Dynamic Article Links

Cite this: Org. Biomol. Chem., 2011, 9, 3146

www.rsc.org/obc

COMMUNICATION

Stereoselective routes to aryl substituted γ -butyrolactones and their application towards the synthesis of highly oxidised furanocembranoids[†]

Allan Patrick G. Macabeo, Andreas Kreuzer and Oliver Reiser*

Received 21st January 2011, Accepted 8th March 2011 DOI: 10.1039/c1ob05113j

Titanium chelate addition of aryl nucleophiles to cyclopropyl aldehyde 6 followed by a tin-catalyzed one-pot *retro*-aldol, acetalisation and lactonisation sequence afforded *cis* and *trans* γ -aryllactone acetals. A γ -furyllactone derived by this approach was further transformed in two steps to model compounds for the oxidised northeastern sectors of selected *Pseudopterogorgia* diterpenoids.

Highly substituted γ-butyrolactone motifs are profusely present in many synthetic intermediates and biologically active structures.¹ In general, their enantiomeric purity and absolute configuration play a significant role on their purported pharmacological properties.² Thus, much effort has been invested in their asymmetric synthesis.³ Among the derivatives thus far reported, less attention has been devoted to the stereoselective synthesis of *trans* and especially, $cis \gamma$ -aryl- or heteroarylbutyrolactones.⁴ Such lactone-based synthons may serve as intermediates for the synthesis of highly oxidised furanocembranoids (*e.g.* 1–3) and lignan natural products (*e.g.* 4) (Fig. 1).⁵

We previously reported that Hosomi–Sakurai allylation of furan ester 5 derived cyclopropyl aldehyde 6 affords *trans* lactones 7 with high diastereoselectivity following the Felkin–Ahn paradigm as the operating addition pathway.⁶ A useful alternative would appear to be the addition of nucleophiles through a substrate-controlled Cram chelate addition pathway that should lead to the corresponding *cis*-lactones. In this study, we wish to disclose the addition of aryl- and heteroarylltitanium nucleophiles to 6 leading to either *cis*- or *trans*-lactones 9, which appear to be useful building blocks towards the synthesis of 1–4 (Scheme 1).

We initiated our experiments by screening furyl nucleophiles taking into consideration the sensitive nature of the methyl oxalate moiety in 6 under basic nucleophilic conditions. Initial attempts to add several different 2-furyl metal reagents (ArCeCl₂, ArCuCl, ArZnCl) alone or in combination with BF₃·OEt₂ to aldehyde 6 were unsuccessful (Scheme 2). Either decomposition or no reaction of the starting material was observed. Organotitanium

Fig. 1 Representative natural products that can be derived from γ -aryllactones.

Scheme 1

reagents display high chemo- and diastereoselectivity towards aldehydes in comparison to other carbonyl functionalities and are considered as "well-behaved reagents" because of their ability to mitigate chemical reactivity and basicity. Nevertheless, reaction of the 2-furyltitanium reagent **8a** with **6** was also unsuccessful, however, when BF₃·OEt₂ was additionally employed, the desired furyl transfer was finally achieved to give rise to **10a**

Institut für Organische Chemie, Universität Regensburg, Universitätsstrasse 31, Regensburg, D-93053, Germany. E-mail: Oliver.Reiser@chemie. uni-regensburg.de; Fax: +49 9419434621; Tel: +49 9419434631

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures, ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra. CCDC reference numbers 809453 and 809454. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c1ob05113j

Scheme 2

(>90% conversion). While **10a** can be isolated, due to its sensitive nature we opted to directly convert it to the corresponding lactone **9a** through a retro-aldol and lactonisation sequence upon saponifying the oxalylic ester, making use of the 1,2-donor–acceptor relationship⁸ in the cyclopropane ring (Scheme 2). Previously, we reported Ba(OH)₂·8H₂O and Otera stannoxanes^{6,9} as effective reagents to carry out this transformation for allylated derivatives of **6**. Treatment of **10a** with Ba(OH)₂·8H₂O however, failed to give the expected furyllactone carbaldehyde. Gratifyingly, stannoxane **11a** furnished the desired lactone acetal **9a**, albeit in only 23% overall yield based on **6**. Screening of other stannoxane derivatives revealed **11c** to be more effective, improving the overall yield of the two-step sequence from **6** to **9a** to 40% (Table 1, entry 1–3).

Subsequently, a representative number of other aryltitanium reagents, being readily prepared by dehydrolithiation or dehalolithiation of aryl derivatives followed by titanation with CITi(OPr')₃, were tested for the synthesis of lactones **9** (Scheme 2). ¹⁰ Besides 2-thienyl (entry 4) and phenyl (entry 5), alkoxy substituted aryl groups (entries 6–9) being especially relevant towards naturally occurring compounds such as **4** could be successfully introduced. However, aryltitanium nucleophiles bearing substitutions at the *ortho*-position, *e.g.* those derived from 2-bromoanisole and 2-bromotoluene, were not amenable with this reaction sequence, which is most likely due to steric hindrance.

The stereochemistry of 9a-g was confirmed through 2D-NOESY correlation experiments and X-ray analysis of 9c (see supporting information). Thus, it was revealed that the diastere-oselectivity of this reaction sequence was greatly influenced by the type of aryl nucleophile being used. While the 2-furyltitanium 8a gave rise predominantly to the *trans*-lactone 9a (86:14), the aryl substituted lactones 9c-9g were obtained with moderate to excellent *cis*-selectivity (3:1 to >99:1), demonstrating for the first time that addition of nucleophiles to 6 can ultimately lead to *cis*-lactone of type 9 as the major products.

The *cis*-selectivity observed in the formation of **9c–9g** with aryltitanium/BF₃·OEt₂ reagents, contrasting the high *trans*-selectivity achieved in the corresponding transformations with

allylsilanes/BF $_3$ ·OEt $_2$, and the excellent oxygen-chelating capabilities of titanium reagents make us propose a cyclic Cram chelate-type featuring a rather unusual 8-membered titanium complex (Scheme 3, pathway I). The aryl nucleophile is delivered externally from the sterically less hindered face of the carbonyl group, giving rise to 10c–g as the major diastereomer.

CO₂Et

$$CO_2$$
Et

 CO_2 Et

In contrast, the *syn*-selectivity observed with furan titanate **8a** results through the formation of an incipient bond between the furyl nucleophile and the electrophilic aldehyde carbon while

Table 1 γ -Aryl and allyl lactone synthesis from cyclopropane aldehyde, 6

	RTi(OPr ⁱ)3 +	E(OC)O OHC	1) BF3 OEt2, THF, -78 °C	Rmoo	
	(8a-h)	ĊO₂Et 6	2) 11c (10 mol%), MeOH, PhMe, reflux	MeO OMe 9a-h	
Entry	Organotitanium nucleophile	Aldehyde	Lactone ^a	Yield ^b	cis: trans ratio ^e
1	Ti(OPr ⁱ) ₃	6	MeO 9a	23°	14:86
2 3 4	Ti(OP r') ₃	6	S MeO jii 9b	29 ^d 40 38	54:46
5	Ti(OPr ⁱ) ₃	ent- 6	O Me O Me O gc	45	>99:1
6	MeO 8d	ent- 6	MeO 9d	38	82:18
7	MeO Ti(OPr^i) ₃	ent -6	MeO MeO 96	40 cO	74:26
8	$ \begin{array}{c} O \\ \hline $	ent- 6	MeO gf	33	76:24
9	MeO Ti(OPr ⁱ) ₃	6	OMe MeO MeO	37 O O O 9g	92:8
10	Ti(OPr ⁱ) ₃ 8h	6	OHC. 9h	Me 29 ⁷	6:94

^a Major diastereomer shown. ^b Unless otherwise stated, **11c** was used as catalyst. Overall yield in two steps. ^c **11a** was used. ^d **11b** was used. ^e Based on relative integrals in the ¹H NMR spectrum. ^f Lactonisation was carried out with Ba(OH)₂·8H₂O.

the oxygen atom in the furan ring is coordinated with titanium, favoring the formation *syn* addition product **10a** (Scheme 3, pathway II).

The poor diastereoselectivity achieved with the thienyl nucleophile **8b** could be explained by the weaker coordination ability of sulfur to titanium, thus resulting in no preference either for pathway I or II. The lower diastereoselectivity for oxygenated aryltitanium reagents leading to **9d–9g** compared to **9a** might reflect different degrees of internal delivery of the nucleophile *via* coordination of titanium to the oxygen substituents in the aryl rings. In agreement with this proposal is the highly selective addition of allyltitanium **8h** to **6** (Table 1, entry 10), leading to **13** by directed delivery of the allyl nucleophile *via* a Zimmerman–Traxler-like transition state (Scheme 3, pathway III).

Lactone 9a seemed to be a suitable precursor to study the synthesis of the northeastern segments of diterpenoids 1 and 2 Scheme 4). Initial attempts to perform oxidative transformations on the furan ring using a number of methods known for that moiety, i.e. singlet oxygen oxidation, mCPBA or Jones oxidation¹² to furnish a y-hydroxybutenolide were unsuccessful. Using bromine¹³ in methanol, however, afforded the 2,5-dimethoxy-2,5-dihydrofuran 14 in 75% yield, albeit as a mixture of three diastereomers in a 1:1:2 ratio, from which 14c could be separated by chromatography. From the mixture of 14a and 14b the former was obtained in pure form by crystallisation and its structure could be assigned unambiguously by X-ray structural analysis. The major diastereomer 14c was heated with Bredereck's reagent¹⁴ to install the α -dimethylaminomethylene handle, furnishing 15 in good yield (81%). The model precursor product thus obtained satisfies the 1S,2S,3S (and 6S) configurations required in furanocembranoids 1 and 2.

In conclusion, we have developed a new diastereoselective approach towards γ -aryl lactones utilising aryltitanium reagents in combination with the readily available cyclopropanecarbaldehyde **6**. This methodology extends the previously reported functionali-

Scheme 4

sation of **6** with allylsilanes in substrate scope, but also offers for the first time a reversal of stereochemistry. Thus, *cis*-disubstituted γ -aryl- β -methyl acetal lactones with good diastereoselectivity in enantiomerically pure form can be obtained, which compares well with previously reported methods.³⁻⁴

Acknowledgements

A.P.G.M. is grateful to the Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst (DAAD) for a graduate fellowship.

References

- 1 (a) E. Brenna, C. Fuganti and S. Serra, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2003, 14, 1; (b) H. C. Brown, S. V. Kulkarni and U. S. Racherla, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1994, 59, 365; (c) A. K. Ghosh and L. J. Swanson, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2003, 68, 9823; (d) B. H. Lipshutz, A. Lower, R. J. Kucejko and K. Noson, *Org. Lett.*, 2006, 8, 2969; (e) E. L. Stangeland and T. Sammaki, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2004, 69, 2381; (f) J. W. Hilborn, Z.-H. Lu, A. R. Jurgens, O. K. Fang, H. Byers, S. A. Wald and C. H. Senanayake, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2001, 42, 8919.
- (a) G. F. Russell and J. I. Hills, *Science*, 1971, 172, 1043; (b) L. Friedmann and J. G. Miller, *Science*, 1971, 172, 1044; (c) K. Otsuka, Y. Zenibayashi, M. Itoh and A. Totsuka, *Agric. Biol. Chem.*, 1974, 38, 485; (d) J. H. Tumlinson, M. G. Klein, R. E. Doolittle, T. L. Ladd and A. T. Proveaux, *Science*, 1977, 197, 789.
- 3 For reviews on asymmetric lactone synthesis see: (a) A. Schall and O. Reiser, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2008, 14, 2353; (b) M. Seitz and O. Reiser, Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol., 2005, 9, 285; (c) R. Bandichhor, B. Nosse and O. Reiser, Top. Curr. Chem., 2005, 243, 43.
- 4 (a) C. Forzato, G. Furlan, P. Nitti, G. Pittacco, E. Valentin, E. Zangrando, P. Buzzini, M. Goretti and B. Turchetti, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2008, 19, 2026; (b) P. V. Ramachandran, K. J. Padiya, V. Rauniyar, M. V. R. Reddy and H. C. Brown, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2004, 45, 1015; (c) M. Ozeki, D. Hashimoto, K. Nishide, T. Kajimoto and M. Node, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2005, 16, 1663 and references cited therein
- 5 For the isolation of 1–4 see: (a) J. Marrero, A. D. Rodriguez, P. Baran, R. G. Raptis, J. A Sanchez, E. Orteg-Barria and T. L. Capson, Org. Lett., 2004, 6, 1661; (b) A. D. Rodriguez and Y.-P. Shi, J. Org. Chem., 2000, 65, 5389; (c) J. Marrero, J. Benitez, A. D. Rodriguez, H. Zhao and R. G. Raptis, J. Nat. Prod., 2008, 71, 381; (d) R. C. Cambie, A. R. Lal, P. S. Rutledge and K. D. Wellington, Biochem. Syst. Ecol., 1997, 25, 565For the partial synthesis of 1: (e) B. Doroh and G. A. Sulikowski, Org. Lett., 2006, 8, 903; (f) R. Miao, S. G. Gramani and M. J. Lear, Tetrahedron Lett., 2009, 50, 1731.
- 6 (a) R. Bandichhor, B. Nosse, S. Sörgel, C. Böhm, M. Seitz and O. Reiser, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2003, **9**, 260; (b) C. Böhm and O. Reiser, *Org. Lett.*, 2001, **3**, 1315; (c) M. Schinnerl, C. Böhm, M. Seitz and O. Reiser, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2003, **14**, 765; (d) S. Kalidindi, W. B. Jeong, A. Schall, R. Bandichhor, B. Nosse and O. Reiser, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 6361.
- 7 (a) M. T. Reetz, J. Westermann, R. Steinbach, B. Wenderoth, R. Peter, R. Ostarek and S. Maus, *Chem. Ber.*, 1985, **118**, 1421; (b) M. T. Reetz, R. Steinbach, J. Westermann, R. Peter and B. Wenderoth, *Chem. Ber.*, 1985, **118**, 1441; (c) H. Haarmann and W. Eberbach, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1991, **32**, 903; (d) S. Zhou, C. R. Chen and H. M. Gau, *Org. Lett.*, 2010, **12**, 48; (e) A. P. G. Macabeo, *Synlett*, 2008, **20**, 3247.
- 8 (a) H.-U. Reißig and R. Zimmer, Chem. Rev., 2003, 103, 1151; for related approaches to γ-butyrolactones utilising 1,2-donor–acceptor substituted cyclopropanes see: (b) C. Brückner and H.-U. Reißig, J. Org. Chem., 1988, 53, 2440; (c) H. Kunz and M. Lindig, Chem. Ber., 1983, 116, 220.
- (a) J. Otera, N. Dan-oh and H. Nozaki, J. Org. Chem., 1991, 56, 5307;
 (b) A. Orita, K. Sakamoto, Y. Hamada, A. Mitsutome and J. Otera, Tetrahedron, 1999, 55, 2899.
- 10 For the preparation of aryltitanium compounds see: (a) H. Takahashi, K. Tanahashi, K. Higashiyama and H. Onishi, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 1986, 34, 479; (b) K. Yoshida and T. Hayashi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 2872; (c) H. W. Lee, F. L. Lam, C. M. So, C. P. Lau, A. S. C. Chan and F. Yee, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 7436.

- 11 For leading references on cyclopropanecarbaldehyde additions and chelate controlled addition reactions, see: (a) C. Böhm, M. Schinnerl, C. Bubert, M. Zabel, T. Labahn, E. Parisini and O. Reiser, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2000, 2955; (b) C. Bubert and O. Reiser, Tetrahedron Lett., 1997, 38, 4985; (c) S. Ono, S Shuto and A. Matsuda, Tetrahedron Lett., 1996, 37, 221; (d) Y. Kazuta, H. Abe, T. Yamamoto, A. Matsuda and S. Shuto, Tetrahedron, 2004, 60, 6689; (e) Y. Kazuta, H. Abe, A. Matsuda and S. Shuto, J. Org. Chem., 2004, 69, 9143; (f) M. T. Reetz, Acc. Chem.
- Res., 1993, **26**, 462; (g) A. Mengel and O. Reiser, *Chem. Rev.*, 1999, **99**, 1191–1223.
- 12 (a) S. Patil, B. E. Stephens and F. Liu, *Tetrahedron*, 2008, **64**, 10831; (b) I. Maeba, M. Suzuki, O. Hara, T. Takeuchi, I Iijima and H. Furukawa, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1987, **52**, 4521.
- 13 W. Du and Y. Hu, Carbohydr. Res., 2006, 341, 725.
- 14 H. H. Wassermann and J. L. Ives, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1978, **43**, 3238