

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of Fluorine Chemistry 125 (2004) 1287-1290



www.elsevier.com/locate/fluor

Synthesis and biological activity of novel 2-methyl-4-trifluoromethyl-thiazole-5-carboxamide derivatives

Chang-Ling Liu^{a,b}, Zheng-Ming Li^{a,*}, Bin Zhong^a

^aInstitute of Elemento-Organic Chemistry, State Key Laboratory of Elemento-Organic Chemistry, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, PR China

^bShenyang Research Institute of Chemical Industry, Shenyang 110021, PR China

Received 26 December 2003; received in revised form 9 March 2004; accepted 12 March 2004

Available online 25 June 2004

Abstract

Nine novel 2-methyl-4-trifluoromethylthiazole-5-carboxamide derivatives were designed and synthesized utilizing ethyl 4,4,4-trifluoroacetoacetate as a starting material. Subsequently, the biological activity of the compounds was evaluated in the greenhouse. Results indicated that all of the compounds have some fungicidal and insecticidal activity but no herbicidal activity. Compound **1** has fungicidal activity with 90% control of tomato late blight at 375 g ai/ha, while two compounds **2F** and **2H** show insecticidal activity with 80 and 100% control, respectively, against potato leafhopper at 600 g ai/ha.

© 2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Synthesis; Biological activity; 2-Methyl-5-trifluoromethyl-thiazolecarboxamides

1. Introduction

The thiazole nucleus plays a vital role in many biological activities making it one of the extensively studied heterocycles [1–9]. For example 2,4-dimethylthiazole-5-carboxamide and 2-methyl-4-trifluoromethylthiazole-5-carboxamide of discovering new fungicides we incorporated the two active moieties of thifuzamide and propamocarb into a single compound, 1. The hydrochloride salt (2A), and additional analogs (2B–2D) and comparative compounds (2E–2H) were synthesized, and their biological activities were evaluated in the greenhouse.



derivatives such as metsulfovax [10] and thifluzamide [11] are known as agricultural fungicides where the 4-trifluoromethylthiazole-5-carboxamide derivatives are usually better than the 4-methylthiazole-5-carboxamides [3]. Propamocarb [12] is also a known agricultural fungicide and with the goal 2. Results and discussion

2.1. Synthesis

Scheme 1 illustrates the synthetic route used to prepare the derivatives.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: liuchangling@vip.163.com (C.-L. Liu), nkzml@nk.sina.net (Z.-M. Li).

Compound **6** was synthesized from ethyl 4,4,4-trifluoroacetoacetate [13] and reacted easily with amines in acetonitrile to afford **1** and **2** in high purity and yield. Compound

^{0022-1139/\$ –} see front matter O 2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jfluchem.2004.03.006



Scheme 1. Synthesis of 2-methyl-4-trifluoromethyl-thiazole-5-carboxamide derivatives. (a) SO₂Cl₂CCl₄; (b) thioacetamide/DMF; (c) NaOH/H₂O, HCl (aq); (d) SOCl₂; (e) amine/Et₃N/CH₃CN. R: **2A**, 3-(dimethylamino)propyl HCL salt; **2B**, 3-(diethylamino)propyl; **2C**, 3-(1*H*-imidazol-1-yl)propyl; **2D**, 3-(2-oxo-pyrrolidin-1-yl)propyl; **2E**, 1,1-dimethyl-prop-2-ynyl; **2F**, 1-ethyl-1-methyl-prop-2-ynyl; **2G**, *tert*-butyl; **2H**, (*N*-*tert*-butyl)amino.

2A was prepared from 1 with hydrochloride acid in methanol.

The synthesized compounds **1** and **2** were characterized by IR, ¹H NMR, mass spectra and elemental analyses were consistent with the assigned structures. The IR spectra of compounds showed NH and C=O stretching bands at 3240– 3440 and 1640–1675 cm⁻¹, respectively. The ¹H NMR of spectra of compounds **1** and **2** showed signals at δ 5.98– 8.45 ppm attributed to NH, while the <u>CH₂</u> of compound **2F** was affected by CH₃ and chiral carbon simultaneously, and showed two groups of quadruple peak 2.11–2.15 (m, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz, CH_aMe), and 1.85–1.92 (m, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz, CH_bMe).



2.2. Biological activities

The biological activities were evaluated at Rohm and Haas Co. using a previously reported procedure [14–17]. All of the compounds have some flingicidal and insecticidal activity with no herbicidal activity, where 100% is complete control of the flingus. Compound **1** showed good activity of tomato late blight providing 90% at 375 g ai/ha. Additionally, two compounds, **2F** and **2H**, have insecticidal activity with 80 and 100% control, respectively, against potato leafhopper at 600 g ai/ha.

3. Experimental

Melting points were determined on a Büchi melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded with Mercury 300 (Varian, 300 MHz) spectrometer with CDCl3 as the solvent and TMS as the internal standard. Infrared spectra were measured on KBr disks using a PF-983G instrument (Perkin-Elmer). Mass spectra (GC–MS) were obtained on an MD-800 (Fisons) instrument. Combustion analyses for elemental composition were made with an EA 1106 analyzer (Fisons). All chemicals or reagents were purchased from standard commercial suppliers.

3.1. Preparation of N-(3-(dimethylamino)propyl)-2methyl-4-trifluoromethylthiazole-5-carboxamide (1)

To the solution of 3-(dimethylaniino)propylamine (1.1 g, 0.01 mol) and triethylamine (1.0 g, 0.01 mol) in 10 mL acetonitrile was added 2-methyl-4-trifluoromethylthiazole-5-carbonyl chloride (6) [13] (2.3 g, 0.01 mol), the mixture was heated to reflux for 10 min, rotovaped to remove the acetonitrile. Water was added and extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃, water and brine, dried over magnesium sulfate and rotovaped to give 2.36 g of the target compound (1) as an oil, yield 80%. IR (KBr) v: 3300 (N-H), 2960, 2840, 1660, 1565, 1495, 1465, 1360, 1295, 1175, 1140, 910, 730 cm⁻¹; GC-MS, *m/z* (%): 295 (M^+ , 15%), 273 (25%), 254, 245 (10%), 232 (8%), 222 (88%), 206 (10%), 194 (75%), 181 (18%), 166 (70%), 147, 125 (40%), 106 (10%), 97 (100%), 84 (20%), 71 (25%), 58 (25%), 42 (80%); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 8.45 (bs, 1H, NH), 3.53 (d, 2H, J = 5.4 Hz, CH₂), 2.73 (s, 3H, CH_3), 2.60 (t, 2H, J = 6 Hz, CH_2), 2.34 (s, 6H, NMe_2), 1.82 (t, 2H, J = 6 Hz, CH₂); Anal. Calc. (%) for C₁₁H₁₆F₃N₃OS: C, 44.73; H, 5.46; N, 14.24. Found: C, 44.49; H, 5.56; N, 14.28.

3.2. Preparation of N-(3-(dimethylamino)propyl)-2methyl-4-trifluoromethylthiazole-5-carboxamide hydrochloride salt (**2A**)

Yield 90%, 143–144 °C. IR (KBr) v: 3440 (N–H), 2980, 2960, 1640, 1520, 1505, 1475, 1440, 1320, 1250, 1160, 1135, 1080, 905, 850, 710 cm⁻¹; GC–MS, *m/z* (%): 331 (M^+), 295 (13%), 280, 275, 251, 194 (15%), 166 (22%), 151, 125 (22%), 106 (5%), 84 (10%), 72 (20%), 58 (100%); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 11.76 (bs, 1H, HCl), 8.22 (bs, 1H, NH), 3.60 (bs, 2H, CH₂), 3.15 (bs, 2H, CH₂), 2.84 (d, 6H, J = 3.6 Hz, NMe₂), 2.73 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.18 (bs, 2H, CH₂).

1289

3.3. Preparation of N-(3-(diethylamino)propyl)-2-methyl-4-trifluoromethylthiazole-5-carboxamide (**2B**)

Oil, yield 86%. IR(KBr) v: 3280 (N–H), 2980, 2820, 1660, 1565, 1490, 1360, 1295, 1200, 1175, 1135, 910, 730 cm⁻¹; GC–MS, *m*/*z* (%): 323 (*M*⁺, 15%), 308 (68%), 294 (45%), 231 (5%), 223 (8%), 183 (3%), 166 (65%), 125 (50%), 112 (20%), 100 (22%), 86 (100%), 72 (75%), 58 (65%), 42 (38%); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 8.44 (bs, 1H, NH), 3.56 (d, 2H, *J* = 6 Hz, CH₂), 2.70–2.81 (m, 9H, CH₃, 3CH₂), 1.92 (t, 2H, *J* = 6 Hz, CH₂), 1.13–1.18 (m, 6H, 2CH₃); Anal. Calc. (%) for C₁₃H₂₀F₃N₃OS: C, 48.29; H, 6.23; N 12.99. Found: C, 48.40; H, 6.18; N 12.78.

3.4. Preparation of N-(3-(1H-imidazol-1-yl)propyl)-2methyl-4-trifluoromethylthiazole-5-carboxamide (**2C**)

Oil, yield 78%. IR (KBr) v: 3240 (N–H), 2950, 2880, 1660, 1570, 1505, 1495, 1440, 1360, 1295, 1230, 1205, 1175, 1140, 1090, 910, 820, 730, 670 cm⁻¹; GC–MS, *m/z* (%): 318 (M^+ , 5%), 300, 275, 341, 259 (6%), 251, 203 (3%), 194 (80%), 183 (15%), 175 (18%), 166 (90%), 146 (8%), 125 (65%), 107 (42%), 95 (100%), 82 (85%), 69 (28%), 55 (45%), 41 (35%); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.62 (bs, 1H, NH), 7.45 (s, 1H, CH), 6.95 (s, 2H, CH=CH), 4.04 (t, 2H, J = 6.6 Hz, CH₂), 3.38 (d, 2H, J = 6.3 Hz, CH₂), 2.73 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.10 (t, 2H, J = 6.6 Hz, CH₂); Anal. Calc. (%) for C₁₂H₁₃F₃N₄OS: C, 45.27; H, 4.12; N 17.61. Found: C, 45.08; H, 4.25; N 17.45.

3.5. Preparation of N-(3-(2-oxo-pyrrolidin-1-yl)propyl)-2methyl-4-trifluoromethylthiazole-5-carboxamide (**2D**)

Oil, yield 85%. IR (KBr) v: 3265 (N–H), 2940, 2880, 1675, 1655, 1560, 1500, 1475, 1440, 1365, 1295, 1205, 1175, 1140, 905, 820, 730 cm⁻¹; GC–MS, *m/z* (%): 335 (M^+ , 30%), 315, 302, 287 (5%), 266 (10%), 251 (5%), 237 (15%), 224 (23%), 211, 194 (62%), 183 (15%), 175 (12%), 166 (62%), 146 (3%), 141 (12%), 125 (64%), 112 (78%), 98 (100%), 84 (38%), 70 (64%), 56 (52%), 41 (49%); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.66 (bs, 1H, NH), 3.33–3.46 (m, 6H, 3CH₂), 2.73 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.43 (t, 2H, J = 8.1 Hz, CH₂), 2.11 (m, 2H, CH₂), 1.80 (m, 2H, CH₂); Anal. Calc. (%) for C₁₃H₁₆F₃N₃O₂S: C, 46.55; H, 4.81; N, 12.54. Found: C, 46.72; H, 4.67; N, 12.62.

3.6. Preparation of N-(1,1-dimethyl-prop-2-ynyl)-2methyl-4-trifluoromethylthiazole-5-carboxamide (**2E**)

Yield 95%, 99–100 °C. IR (KBr) v: 3320 (C=CH), 3290 (N–H), 2980, 2920, 2180 (C=C), 1660, 1560, 1530, 1485, 1360, 1295, 1205, 1175, 1135, 1000, 905, 850, 730, 665, 640 cm⁻¹; GC–MS, m/z (%): 276 (M^+ , 50%), 261 (60%), 256 (78%), 241 (15%), 228 (12%), 220 (8%), 207 (15%), 194 (100%), 187 (60%), 171 (15%), 166 (88%), 146 (15%), 125 (78%), 106 (50%), 96 (15%), 84 (55%), 67

(48%), 52 (39%), 41 (65%); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 6.21 (bs, 1H, NH), 2.73 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.42 (s, 1H, ≡CH), 1.73 (s, 6H, 2CH₃); Anal. Calc. (%) for C₁₁H₁₁F₃N₂OS: C, 47.82; H, 4.02; N, 10.15. Found: C, 47.68; H, 3.90; N, 10.28.

3.7. Preparation of N-(1-ethyl-1-methyl-prop-2-ynyl)-2methyl-4-trifluoromethylthiazole-5-carboxamide (**2F**)

Yield 95%, 79–80 °C. IR (KBr) v: 3310 (C=CH), 3280 (N–H), 2980, 2940, 2880, 2180 (C=C), 1665, 1575, 1540, 1485, 1485, 1465, 1360, 1320, 1295, 1205, 1185, 1135, 1000, 910, 840, 730, 675, 635 cm⁻¹; GC–MS, *m/z* (%): 291 (M + 1, 2%), 275 (10%), 261 (100%), 255 (15%), 242 (70%), 235, 221, 194 (90%), 173 (10%), 166 (80%), 146 (15%), 125 (65%), 106 (32%), 96 (15%), 79 (52%), 65 (12%), 53 (25%), 42 (20%); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 6.20 (bs, 1H, NH), 2.73 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.11–2.15 (m, J = 7.5, 1H, CH_aMe), 1.85–1.92 (m, J = 7.5, 1H, CH_bMe), 2.43 (s, 1H, C=CH), 1.71 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.58 (t, 3H, J = 7.2 Hz, CH₃); Anal. Calc. (%) for C₁₂H₁₃F₃N₂OS: C, 49.64; H, 4.52; N, 9.65. Found: C, 49.45; H, 4.42; N, 9.78.

3.8. Preparation of N-tert-butyl-2-methyl-4trifluoromethylthiazole-5-carboxamide (2G)

Yield 95%, 110–112 °C. IR (KBr) v: 3300 (N–H), 2980, 2940, 1650, 1575, 1540, 1495, 1375, 1305, 1200, 1175, 1140, 910, 850, 730, 695 cm⁻¹; GC–MS, *m/z* (%): 266 (M^+ , 35%), 251 (88%), 231, 223, 211 (60%), 194 (100%), 171 (44%), 166 (88%), 151 (25%), 146 (10%), 125 (75%), 118 (20%), 106 (30%), 96 (8%), 87 (5%), 70 (8%), 56 (48%), 42 (41%); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 5.98 (bs, 1H, NH), 2.72 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.44 (s, 9H, 3CH₃); Anal. Calc. (%) for C₁₀H₁₃F₃N₂OS: C, 45.10; H, 4.92; N, 10.53. Found: C, 45.29; H, 4.78; N, 10.37.

3.9. Preparation of N-((N-tert-butyl)amino)-2-methyl-4trifluoromethylthiazole-5-carboxamide (2H)

Yield 85%, 123–124 °C. IR (KBr) v: 3260 (N–H), 3205 (N–NH), 2980, 1675, 1530, 1460, 1375, 1340, 1210, 1155, 910, 865, 780, 715 cm⁻¹; GC–MS, m/z (%): 281 (M^+ , 20%), 266 (52%), 246 (15%), 225 (75%), 205 (25%), 194 (100%), 186 (10%), 166 (45%), 148 (2%), 146 (3%), 125 (30%), 106 (10%), 87 (15%), 75 (4%), 576 (95%), 41 (55%); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.64 (bs, 1H, NH), 3.56 (bs, 1H, NH), 2.75 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.14 (s, 9H, 3CH₃); Anal. Calc. (%) for C₁₀H₁₄F₃N₃OS: C, 42.69; H, 5.02; N, 14.95. Found: C, 42.88; H, 5.21; N, 14.78.

Acknowledgements

Thanks to Dr. R.M. Jacobson, Dr. S.H. Shaber, Dr. Y.M. Zhu, and Dr. J.M. Renga for their help in the project and

paper preparation. The project is funded by the National Key Project for Basic Research (2003CB114400).

References

- L.F. Lee, F.M. Schleppnik, R.K. Howe, J. Heterocyclic Chem. 22 (1985) 1621–1630.
- [2] G.A. White, Pestic. Biochem. Physiol. 34 (1989) 255–276.
- [3] W.G. Phillips, M. Rejda-Heath, Pestic. Sci. 38 (1993) 1-7.
- [4] H. Dolman, J. Kuipers, US Patent 4 889 863 (1989).
- [5] S. Berg, S. Hellberg, PCT Application WO 03 089 419 (2003).
- [6] E. Harrington, J. Wang, J. Cochran, S. Nanthakumar, US Patent Application 2003119856 (2003).
- [7] F.J. Gellibert, C.D. Hartley, J.M. Woolven, N. Mathews, European Patent Application EP1 355 892A1 (2003).

- [8] G. Seifert, T. Rapold, M. Senn, European Patent Application EP1330446A1 (2003).
- [9] M. Kulka, W. Harrison, US Patent 3 7254 27 (1973).
- [10] C.D.S. Tomlin, The Pesticide Manual, 12th ed., BCPC, 2000, p. 1008.
- [11] C.D.S. Tomlin, The Pesticide Manual, 12th ed., BCPC, 2000, p. 901.
- [12] C.D.S. Tomlin, The Pesticide Manual, 12th ed., BCPC, 2000, pp. 769–770.
- [13] G.H. Alt, J.K. Pratt, W.G. Phillips, G.H. Srouji, US Patent 5045554 (1991).
- [14] C.L. Liu, X.N. Liu, R.M. Jacobson, M.J. Mulvihill, PR China Patent CN1118465c (2003).
- [15] R. Ross, T.T. Fujimoto, S.H. Shaber, European Patent Application EP0811614A1 (1997).
- [16] R.M. Jacobson, L.T. Nguyen, US Patent 6 147 062 (2000).
- [17] R.M. Jacobson, L.T. Nguyen, M. Thirugnanam, US Patent 4 970 224 (1990).