View Article Online

# Dalton Transactions

### Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: Y. Li, Y. Zhang, Y. Legrand, A. Van der Lee, J. Jiang, C. Chen, C. Su and M. Barboiu, *Dalton Trans.*, 2017, DOI: 10.1039/C7DT03517A.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the **author guidelines**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the ethical guidelines, outlined in our <u>author and reviewer resource centre</u>, still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



rsc.li/dalton

Published on 12 October 2017. Downloaded by University of Windsor on 17/10/2017 08:14:32



## COMMUNICATION

# Hydrophobic metallo-supramolecular Pd<sub>2</sub>L<sub>4</sub> Cages for zwitterionic guest encapsulation in organic solvents

+Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Yu-Hao Li,<sup>a,b</sup> Yan Zhang,<sup>b</sup> Yves-Marie Legrand,<sup>b</sup> Arie van der Lee,<sup>b</sup> Ji-Jun Jiang,<sup>a</sup> Cheng-Xia Chen,<sup>a</sup> Cheng-Yong Su<sup>a</sup> and Mihail Barboiu<sup>\*a,b</sup>

www.rsc.org/

Hydrophobic metallosupramolecular cages are selectively encapsulating hydrophilic zwitterionic guests in organic solvents *via* synergetic multivalent recognition.

Compartmentalization is a basic feature of living systems, as most of the physiological processes occur in cells.<sup>1</sup> Molecular encapsulation is a fascinating domain. Crossing the solution/capsule barrier, interesting phenomena can be observed, relating new chemistry under confined conditions.<sup>2</sup>

Metallo-supramolecular cages have been proven to be particularly promising hosts for various guests and used for recognition and stabilization of reactive species, selective reactions, extractions, separations or catalysis.<sup>3</sup> The selfassembly of metal cations and pyridyl-based ligands has been employed as an effective method for the construction of a large variety of cages.<sup>4</sup> Hydrogen-bonding, anion templating, solvophobic effects have used to enhance the guest binding, with long-term views towards the dynamic self- adaptive cages and the control of their selectivity.<sup>5</sup>

Molecular encapsulation of hydrophilic guests in non-polar solvents is another challenging option, as they have large free hydration energies, and thus are difficult to extract from water. Lipidic or amphiphilic vesicles may be used for encapsulation.<sup>6</sup> Polytopic receptors have been used for the multivalent recognition of hydrophilic guests.<sup>7</sup> Examples of hydrophilic guests encapsulation are known, but the effective binding or extraction in organic solvents effects are rarely demonstrated with metallo-supramolecular cages.<sup>8</sup> Most of examples are related to hydrophobic guests, that are encapsulated in aqueous solutions or polar solvents.<sup>3</sup>

Herein we report a  $Pd_2L_4$  hydrophobic cage able to encapsulate with a high binding affinity and selectivity hydrophilic zwitterionic guests in organic solvents.



Scheme 1 Synthesis of metallo-supramolecular cages a) C1 and b) C2. c) (right) Five dipyridyl N,N'-dioxide guests used in this study and (left) a simulated electrostatic potential map of the 4,4'-dipyridyl N,N'-dioxide.

We first designed the ligand L1, containing two heteroditopic 3-ureidopyridil moieties, connected *via* a central naphthalene aromatic core center. 3-amino-pyridine, 1 was reacted with 1,5-diisocyanato-naphthalene, 2 to afford ligand L1 (Scheme S1). Cage C1 was obtained by simply heating overnight the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a.</sup> Lehn Institute of Functional Materials, School of Chemistry and Chemical

Engineering, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510275, China.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b.</sup> Institut Europeen des Membranes, Adaptive Supramolecular Nanosystems Group University of Montpellier, ENSCM-CNRS, Place E. Bataillon CC047, Montpellier, F-34095, France. E-mail: mihail-dumitru.barboiu@umontpellier.fr.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: synthetic procedures, NMR binding experiments, ESI-MS, X-ray data. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

#### COMMUNICATION

ligand L1 and Pd(CH\_3CN)\_4(BF\_4)\_2 in 2:1 molar ratio, at 70  $^\circ C$  in DMSO (Scheme 1a). Unfortunately, further host-guest investigations were hindered by the poor solubility of C1 in solvents other than DMSO. In order to improve the solubility in the organic solvents, we next synthetized the hexyl-modified ligand L2: 4-hydroxy-3-nitropyridine, 3 was reacted with PCl<sub>5</sub> in 1,2-dichlo-roethane, followed by addition of 1-hexanol, 4 to afford the 4-(hexyloxy)-3-nitropyridine, 5 which after hydrogenation with Pd/C gave the corresponding 4-(hexyloxy) pyridin-3-amine, **6**.<sup>7f</sup> Compound **6** was then reacted with 1,5diisocyanato-naphthalene, 2 to afford ligand L2 (Scheme S2). The reaction of L2 with 0.5 equiv. of Pd(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)<sub>4</sub>(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> at 70 °C overnight, in DMSO led to the formation of the cage C2, precipitating as a  $Pd_2L2_4(PF_6)_4$  brown solid from saturated  $KPF_6$ aqueous solution (Scheme 1b). The cage C2 is soluble in most common polar solvents such as acetonitrile, acetone or methanol. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of the cage C2 at room temperature indicated the highly symmetric structure of the cage in solution (Fig. S1).



Fig. 1. X-ray crystal structures in stick representation of a) C1 encapsulating two DMSO molecules in CPK representation; counter-anions and solvent molecules have been omitted for clarity and of b) C2 encapsulating two acetone molecules in CPK representation; external counter-anions and solvent acetone molecules in stick representation. The Pd<sup>2+</sup> cations are shown as blue spheres.

Vapor diffusion of ethyl acetate into an acetonitrile/DMSO solution of **C1** gave single-crystals, suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis (Fig. 1a). The distorted array of ligands **L1** rendered two planes of the square-planar  $Pd(Py)_4^{2+}$  not parallel to each other. Two DMSO molecules are encapsulated in the cavity, with the oxygen atoms coordinating the  $Pd^{2+}$  and the methyl groups residing in the hydrophobic central part of the cage.

Evaporation of an acetone solution of **C2** afforded singlecrystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis (Fig. 1b). Oppositely to **C1**, two Pd(Py)<sub>4</sub><sup>2+</sup> panels are parallel-displaced in **C2**. Two acetone molecules are encapsulated inside the cage, with the oxygen atom coordinating to the metal ion. The encapsulated DMSO (**C1**) and acetone (**C2**) guests are not Hbonded to urea-groups. Their carbonyl groups point towards the interior of the cavity and are hydrogen bond to H<sub>a</sub> of the pyridyl group (d<sub>H-O</sub>=2.24 Å). It is known that carbonyl, sulfoxide or amide (C=O, S=O) groups have been shown to H-bond to the alpha-pyridyl protons of Pd<sub>2</sub>L<sub>4</sub> cages as have been shown for DMSO by Crowley et al.<sup>9</sup> The H-bond formation can be clearly observed in solution by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectroscopy, from the shielded shifts of the H<sub>a</sub> protons of the **C2** in *d6*-acetone, when compared with their spectral signals recorded in the more polar CD<sub>3</sub>CN (Fig. S1). Several counter-anions and acetone molecules are H-bonded to externally oriented NH moieties of urea groups or coordinated to Pd<sup>2+</sup> cations.

The good solubility of the cage **C2** in common solvents allowed us to explore the possible guest binding property within its cavity. Inspired by Custelcean work,<sup>10</sup> we presumed that the pre-organization of four ureido-ligands around the squarecoordinated  $Pd^{2+}$  cations would be selectively favoured by the synergetic encapsulation of anionic guests *via* multiple urea Hbonding.



Insignificant shifts upon anion binding were observed in <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra after the addition of common hydrophilic or hydrophobic anions such as sulfate, phosphate or perchlorate, triflate and tetrafluoroborate. This is very surprising as the  $Pd_2L_4^{4+}$  cage is highly charged and has had urea units installed in order to bind anions. It is known that the vast majority of Pd<sub>2</sub>L<sub>4</sub> cages bind the anions.<sup>11</sup> The only example of a Pd<sub>2</sub>L<sub>4</sub> cage that does not encapsulate anions is reported by Crowley et al.<sup>12</sup> in which electrostatic repulsions the cage's central groups and the anions are the major force preventing the binding of the anion. A similar mechanism is possible in the present case: the lone pairs on the urea carbonyls point into the cage cavity and presumably causing repulsion that prevent anion binding with the cavity. The stereochemical spatial disposition (relative distance, geometry, etc.) of the four urea groups around the anions is not favourable for an efficient binding via N-H Hbonding of the anions.

**Dalton Transactions Accepted Manuscrip** 

Published on 12 October 2017. Downloaded by University of Windsor on 17/10/2017 08:14:32

The addition of nitrate anion induces a broadening of the spectrum, reminiscent with fast host-guest exchanges on the NMR time scale, followed by the formation of a precipitate after the addition of second equivalent of nitrate (Fig. S2). Insignificant shifts in <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum upon nitrate anion binding are reminiscent with the formation of a insoluble P<sub>2</sub>L<sub>4</sub> NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> host-guest adduct. The addition of the chloride anion induces cage decomposition and precipitation generating free ligand as precipitate (Fig S18) and [Pd(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)<sub>4</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> (Fig S19) present in solution in CD<sub>3</sub>CN as previously observed.<sup>13</sup>

Then, aromatic substrates (i.e. naphthalene, anthracene, pyrene, 4.4'-bispyridine), coumarin. and aliphatic hydrocarbons (i.e. cyclohexane, dodecane-1,12-diol or undecane-1,11-diamine) (Scheme S3) were selected as potential guests. None of these hydrophobic molecules were encapsulated, reminiscent with non-favourable hydrophobic interactions in the central region of the cage (see ESI). The lack of observed binding in the present experiments in CH<sub>3</sub>CN would appear to be due to the absence of the hydrophobic effect, contrary to previously successful experiments by Yoshiazawa et al. using anthracene based Pd<sub>2</sub>L<sub>4</sub> cages in aqueous CH<sub>3</sub>CN or CH<sub>3</sub>OH as the solvent mixtures.<sup>1</sup>

Inspired by these results, we decided to combine synergetic bonding effects to enhance the guest recognition.<sup>5</sup> N-oxidepyridines, with a relatively poor solubility in organic solvents, are the class of hydrophilic polarized molecules able to combine H-bonding and stacking hydrophobic interactions (Scheme 1). Indeed, upon addition of 4,4'-dipyridyl-N,N'dioxide, G1 to a CD<sub>3</sub>CN solution of C2, significant shifts of the aromatic protons the encapsulated host as well as the guest were observed in <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum (Fig. 3).  $H_a$  has the most distinguished 0.43 ppm downfield shift by due to the CH···O Hbond, as previously reported or to Pd<sup>2+</sup> coordination as observed in the crystal structures.<sup>8b,12,13</sup> Furthermore, no shifts upon guest binding were observed for the N-H urea protons, reminiscent with their orientation outside the cavity. The H<sub>1</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> protons of the guest are upfield and respectively downfield shifted, thanks to the H-bonding to the carbonyl group and their CH- $\pi$  interactions with the aromatic region of the cage. ESI-MS spectrum shows peaks corresponding to  $[C2+guest]^{4+}$  (30% intensity) and to  $[C2]^{4+}$  (Fig. 2b). Binding strength of 4,4'-dipyridyl-N,N'-dioxide guest was quantified by fluorescence titration. The addition of guest to a CD<sub>3</sub>CN solution of C2, resulted in quenching of fluorescence of the host (Fig.3). The 1:1 binding affinity was determined to be 5×10<sup>4</sup> by non-linear least squares fit of the fluorescence intensities, which is considerably high for a hydrophilic guest in organic solvents (Fig. S8). The release of the encapsulated guest can be realized by the addition of water to the hostguest CD<sub>3</sub>CN solution (Fig. S8). Supplementary experiments confirm the perfect fit between 4,4'-dipyridyl-N,N'-dioxide guest and the C2 host cage. Non-oxidated 4,4'-bipyridine, and 2,2'-dipyridyl-N,N'-dioxide, G2 show any interaction with the host cage under same conditions, emphasizing the importance the N $\rightarrow$ O group in the guest binding and the regioselectivity of the encapsulation (Fig. S7). Longer 4,4'-bis(pyridine-oxide), G3,

**G4** guests didn't result in the interactions with the **C2** host cage (Scheme 1).

DOI: 10.1039/C7DT03517A

COMMUNICATION



Fig. 3 Emission spectra ( $\lambda_{ex}$  = 352 nm) of **C2** (2.5 × 10<sup>-5</sup> M) upon titration with 4,4'-dipyridyl N,N'-dioxide in CH<sub>3</sub>CN; arrow indicates spectral change.

Interestingly, the addition of flexible 4,4'-(propane-1,3-diyl)bis (pyridine-oxide), **G5** results in the shift of N-H protons from urea, probably interacting outside the cavity. Similar studies for the encapsulation of molecular guests with both correct size and electronic complementarity have been reported.<sup>15</sup>

In conclusion, we want to highlight the selective strong binding of highly hydrophilic 4,4'-dipyridyl N,N'-dioxide guest by a hydrophobic  $Pd_2L_4$  cage in  $CH_3CN$ . It is based on the relative constitutional stability of host-guest system, through synergetic coordination, H-bonding and hydrophobic interactions. Singular recognition events led to unpredicted non-encapsulation of anions or of hydrophobic aromatic guests. A next step could involve the ligands of different dimensionality and flexibility undergoing adaptive cage construction for multiple guest encapsulation.

Y-H. L. wishes to thank the Innovation and Talent Introduction Base of Photoelectronic and Functional Molecular Solids Material (Grant No. 90002-18011002) from Sun Yat-sen University, and also the China Scholarship Council (No. 21606380054) for the financial support. This work was also conducted within ANR-15-CE29-0009 DYNAFUN and 1000 Talent Plan, WQ20144400255 of SAFEA, China.

### Notes and references

- 1 D. Voet and J. D. Voet, *Biochemistry*, Wiley, New York, 1995, 2nd ed.
- 2 (a) D. J. Cram and J. M. Cram, *Container Molecules and Their Guests*, Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, 1994; (b) R. Breslow and S. D. Dong, *Chem. Rev.* 1998, **98**, 1997.
- (a) M. Albrecht, I. Janser, S. Meyer and R. Fröhlich, *Chem. Commun.* 2003, 2854; (b) F. Fochi, P. Jacopozzi, E. Wegelius, K. Rissanen, P. Cozzini, E. Marastoni, E. Fisicaro, P. Manini, R. Fokkens and E. Dalcanale, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2001, **123**, 7539; (c) M. D. Pluth, R. G. Bergman and K. N. Raymond, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2009, **42**, 1650; (d) D. L. Caulder, R. E. Powers, T. N. Parac and K. N. Raymond, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 1998, **37**, 1840; (e) R. W. Saalfrank, H. Maid and A. Scheurer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2008, **47**, 8794; (f) S. R. Seidel and P. J.

Published on 12 October 2017. Downloaded by University of Windsor on 17/10/2017 08:14:32

DOI: 10.1039/C7DT03517A Journal Name

Stang, Acc. Chem. Res. 2002, 35, 972; (g) M. D. Ward, Chem. Commun. 2009, 4487; (h) C. R. K. Glasson, G. V. Meehan, J. K. Clegg, L. F. Lindoy, P. Turner, M. B. Duriska and R. Willis, Chem. Commun. 2008, 1190; (i) G. W. Orr, L. J. Barbour and J. L. Atwood, Science 1999, 285, 1049; (j) S. J. Dalgaro, S. A. Tucker, D. B. Bassil and J. L. Atwood, Science 2005, 309, 2037; k) J. L. Atwood, L. J. Barbour and A. Jerge, Science 2002, 296, 2367; (n) I. S. Tidmarsh, T. B. Faust, H. Adams, L. P. Harding, L. Russo, W. Clegg and M. D. Ward, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 15167.

- (a) F. Fraternali and G. Wipff, J. Phys. Org. Chem. 1997, 10, 4 292-304; (b) D. A. McMorran and P. J. Steel, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1998, 37, 3295-3297; (c)N. Kishi, Z. Li, K. Yoza, M. Akita and M. Yoshizawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 11438; (d) N. L. Yue, D. J. Eisler, M. C. Jennings and R. J. Puddephatt, Inorg. Chem., 2004, 43, 7671; (e) G. H. Clever, W. Kawamura, S. Tashiro, M. Shiro and M. Shionoya, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 2012, 51, 2606; (f) S. Löffler, J. Lübben, A. Wuttke, R. A. Mata, M. John, B. Dittrich and G. H. Clever, Chem. Sci., 2016, 7, 4676.
- 5. (a) S. Yi, V. Brega, B. Captain and A. E. Kaifer, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 10295; (b) P. Howlader, P. Das, E. Zangrando and P. S. Mukherjee, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 1668. (c) S. M. Jansze, M. D. Wise, A. V. Vologzhanina, R. Scopelliti and K. Severin, Chem. Sci., 2017, 8, 1901.
- 6. U. H. Brinker, J.-L. Mieusset, Molecular Encapsulation: Organic Reactions in Constrained Systems, 2010, John Wiley & sons.
- 7. (a) M. Barboiu, C. Luca, C.T. Supuran, A. Scozzafava, F. Briganti, N. Hovnanian and L. Cot, Liebiegs. Ann. 1997, 1853; (b) F.P. Schmidtchen, J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 5161; (c) J. Rebek Jr., D. Nemeth, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 6738; (d) Y. Nakatsuji, M. Muraoka, M. Wada, H. Morita, A. Masuyama, T. Kida, J. Ikeda, J. Org. Chem., 1997, 62, 6231; (e) C. Seel, A. Galan and J. de Mendoza, Top. Curr. Chem. 1995, 175, 101; (f), M. Barboiu, N. Hovnanian, C. Luca and L. Cot, Tetrahedron, 1999, 55, 9221 (f) Z.-Q. Wu, C.-Z. Li, D.-J. Feng, X.-K. Jiang, Z.-T. Li. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 11054.
- 8. (a) D. P. August, G. S. Nichol and P. J. Lusby, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 2016, 55, 15022; (b) S. T. Ryan, J. Del Barrio, R. Suardiaz, D. F. Ryan, E. Rosta and O. A. Scherman, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 2016, 55, 16096. (c) S. Turega, W. Cullen, M. Whitehead, C. A. Hunter and M. D. Ward, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 8475.
- 9. T. Y. Kim, N. T. Lucas, J. D. Crowley, Supramol. Chem. 2015, 27, 11.
- 10. (a) R. Custelcean, P. V. Bonnesen, N. C. Duncan, X. Zhang, L. A. Watson, G. Van Berkel, W. B. Parson and B. P. Hay, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 8525; (b) R. Custelcean, J. Bosano, P V. Bonnesen, V. Kertesz, B.P. Hay, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 4025; (c) R. Custelcean, B. A. Moyer, B. P. Hay, Chem. Commun. 2005.5971.

11. For X–Ray structures examples of Pd<sub>2</sub>L<sub>4</sub> cages binding the anion see for ex.: (a) D. A. McMorran and P. J. Steel, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1998, 37, 3295; (b) C. Desmarets, T. Ducarre, M. N. Rager, G. Gontard and H. Amouri, Materials 2014, 7, 287; (c) G. H. Clever, S. Tashiro and M. Shionoya, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 7010; (d) S. Freye, J. Hey, A. Torras-Galán, D. Stalke, R. Herbst-Irmer, M. John and G. H. Clever, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 2191; (e) D. Tripathy, A. K. Pal, G. S. Hanan and D. K. Chand, Dalton Trans., 2012, 41, 11273; (f) Y.-H. Li, J.-J. Jiang, Y.-Z. Fan, Z.-W. Wei, C.-X. Chen, H.-J. Yu, S.-P. Zheng, D. Fenske, C.-Y. Su and M. Barboiu, Chem. Commun., 2016, 52, 8745.

12. J. E. M. Lewis and J. D. Crowley, Supramol.Chem. 2014, 26, 173.

13. (a) J. E. M. Lewis, E. L. Gavey, S. A. Cameron and J. D. Crowley, Chem. Sci., 2012, 3, 778; (b) D. Preston, A. Fox-Charles, W. K. C. Lo and J. D. Crowley, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 9042-9045; (c) R. Zhu, J. Lubben, B. Dittrich and G. H. Clever, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 2796.

14. (a) N. Kishi, Z. Li, K. Yoza, M. Akita and M Yoshizawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 11438; (b) N. Kishi, Z. Li, Y. Sei, M. Akita,

K.Yoza, J. S. Siegel, and M. Yoshizawa, Chem. Eur. J. 2013, 19, 6313. 15. P. Liao, B. W. Langloss, A. M. Johnson, E. R. Knudsen, F. S. Tham, R.

R. Julian and R. J. Hooley, Chem. Commun., 2010, 46, 4932-4934

<sup>4 |</sup> J. Name., 2012, 00, 1-3

Hydrophilic zwitterionic guests encapsulation by metallo-supramolecular cages through synergetic coordination, H-bonding and hydrophobic interactions.

