Nitration of α , β -unsaturated esters. Evidence for positive charge build-up adjacent to carbonyl carbon

Stuart A. Hewlins,^{*a*} John A. Murphy,^{***,†,^{*a*} Jian Lin,^{*b*} David E. Hibbs ^{*c*} and Michael B. Hursthouse ^{*c*}}

^a Department of Chemistry, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, UK NG7 2RD

^b Shell Research and Technology Centre, Thornton, PO Box 1, Chester, UK CH1 3SH

^c EPSRC X-Ray Crystallography Service, Department of Chemistry, University of Wales,

Cardiff, PO Box 912, Cardiff, UK

Reactive intermediates formed in the nitration of certain α , β -unsaturated esters with nitronium tetrafluoroborate exhibit behaviour expected of highly reactive α -carbonyl cations. Three diagnostic reaction types have been observed which indicate the presence of these destabilised cations: (i) trapping in a Ritter reaction, (ii) cyclopropane formation from propyl cations, (iii) Wagner–Meerwein migration of alkyl groups. Semi-empirical calculations of the relative gas-phase stabilities of the proposed intermediate cations are useful in rationalising the observed chemistry.

Introduction

The stabilisation of negative charge by an adjacent electronwithdrawing carbonyl group, 'enolate stabilisation', underpins modern synthetic chemistry. As positive charge is highly destabilised by an adjacent electron-withdrawing group, the cationic equivalent of an enolate anion is seldom described. However, recent reviews¹⁻⁶ attest to the formation of such 'destabilised' cations adjacent to carbonyl and other electronwithdrawing groups. Observations made in the literature and in our own research on the regiochemistry of nitration of alkenes prompted us to investigate this area to assess the relative accessibility of such 'cations' both by experiment and using semiempirical computational methods. Throughout this paper, it is recognised that naked cations are unlikely to exist in solution in the solvent used (acetonitrile). Hence the term 'carbocation' will be used in the sense in which organic chemists normally use it when speaking of reactions in solution, as a species which may be heavily solvated, but which nevertheless bears significant positive charge density on the carbon and is capable of demonstrating this fact through its chemical behaviour.

Shin et al. isolated ^{7,8} α -hydroxy- β -nitro esters **2** and α -nitro- α,β -unsaturated esters **3** from nitration of α,β -unsaturated esters 1 with fuming nitric acid (Scheme 1). Although the authors chose not to comment on the mechanism of the formation of these compounds, the unusual regiochemistry of 2 would be consistent with the intermediacy of an α -carbonyl cation. However, the nitration of alkenes with nitric acid can occur by a variety of mechanisms,9 and hence a number of alternative mechanisms may also be proposed for the α,β -unsaturated esters. Below we consider three: mechanism 1 features a concerted addition of the nitronium ion and water to the double bond. The mechanism would not require extensive build up of positive charge α to the ester, but it would require the organisation of three species in the transition state. A second possible mechanism could involve a [2 + 2] cycloaddition¹⁰ of the nitronium ion to the alkene to give cyclic structure 4. Ring opening by water would lead to the isolated nitro alcohol $\mathbf{5}^{.\mathbf{\overline{n}}}$ Å third explanation might be that the nitric acid acts as a source of NO_2^{\bullet} and this adds to the alkene¹² forming a nitroalkyl radical. This could then be trapped by ONO[•] to form the nitro nitrite **6**, as for the reactions of alkenes with N_2O_4 . Hydrolysis would then afford the nitro alcohol **2**.

The wish to distinguish between these and other possible mechanisms prompted the current study of the nitration of α , β -unsaturated esters; in particular we were keen to look for any evidence for the involvement of α -carbonyl cations. We chose



[†] *Present address:* Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry, University of Strathclyde, 295 Cathedral Street, Glasgow, UK G1 1XL. E-mail: John.Murphy@strath.ac.uk

the nitration of α,β -unsaturated esters in dry acetonitrile with nitronium tetrafluoroborate for these studies.¹³

Discussion

Nitration of methyl methacrylate afforded two products, the allylic nitro compound **7** and the nitroacetamide **8**. Three possible mechanisms can be proposed for the formation of **7** (Scheme 2). The first of these is a mechanism where addition of



the nitronium ion occurs to give the α -carbonyl cation **9**; deprotonation of H^A would give the allylic nitro compound **7**. On this mechanism one might expect to isolate product(s) resulting from loss of H^B; none was observed.

A second possible mechanism features the [2 + 2] cycloaddition¹⁰ described above. Deprotonation would then occur from the exocyclic site H^A rather than from the endocyclic site H^B, accounting for the formation of **7** rather than **11**.

A third mechanism would also account for the exclusive formation of the allylic nitro compound **7** rather than the conjugated nitro compound **11**. It involves an ene reaction, with the nitronium ion acting as the enophile.¹⁰ Deprotonation of **12** would afford the observed product **7**.

As for the formation of compound **8**, the regiochemistry suggested that an α -carbonyl cation **9** might be an intermediate in its formation, which was then trapped by acetonitrile. To obtain further understanding of the relative stabilities of the possible intermediate cations in this reaction, calculations have

been carried out using AM1. These showed that the tertiary α carbonyl cation **9** was of considerably lower energy ($\Delta E = 21$ kcal mol⁻¹; 1 cal = 4.184 J) than the alternative primary carbocation **14**, mirroring the regiochemical findings of the experiment (Scheme 3). Interestingly, however, the four-membered



ring system **10** is *ca.* 1 kcal mol⁻¹ lower in energy than **9**. Hence this may be in equilibrium with **9**. Further, the four-membered ring system **13** is apparently lower in energy than any of **9**, **10** or **14**. This implies that there are significant kinetic barriers to the formation of **13**.

Following this finding, a number of analogues of methyl methacrylate were prepared and subjected to nitration. These compounds were uniformly prepared from the corresponding malonates. The first pair were ethyl substituted acrylates **15** and **16** (Scheme 4). Nitration of these compounds provided allylic



nitro compounds, **17** and **18** respectively and cyclopropanes, **19** and **20** respectively. The identity of cyclopropane **20** was confirmed by an independent synthesis. Nitration of butyl methacrylate afforded the allylic nitro compound **21**. This was cyclopropanated using the Corey ylide method¹⁴ to give the cyclopropane **20**. The formation of the cyclopropanes provided

excellent evidence for the high level of positive charge developed on the α -carbon. It is proposed that the α -carbonyl cations **22** cyclised *via* the protonated cyclopropanes **23**.

The formation of cyclopropanes from propyl cations appears to have been first reported by Skell and Starer,¹⁵ and Silver¹⁶ in consecutive publications. Both publications were concerned with the constituents of the hydrocarbon fractions obtained from the deamination of aminopropane using aqueous nitrous acid solution. The authors proposed that the propyl cation cyclised *via* protonated cyclopropane to form the observed product, cyclopropane.

More recently, high level *ab initio* studies have been carried out ^{17,18} on the $C_3H_7^+$ and $C_4H_9^+$ potential energy surfaces and these calculations suggested that protonated cyclopropanes are minima on these surfaces, which supports Skell's proposal. Protonated cyclopropanes have been reviewed.¹⁹⁻²¹ ‡

Inspired by these cyclopropanation reactions, it was felt that nitration of suitably substituted acrylates with longer alkyl chains might lead to the formation of substituted cyclopropanes. To test this hypothesis, the esters **26** and **27** were prepared (Scheme 5). However, on nitration, only the allylic nitro



compounds **28** and **29** were isolated, with no trace of the corresponding cyclopropanes.

There are two possible explanations as to why no cyclopropanation was seen in these reactions. The first considers steric interactions in the transition state **30** for the formation of the protonated cyclopropane. When R is methyl or ethyl, there may be steric interactions between R and either the ester or the nitromethyl group, preventing the cyclisation. With R = H, the interactions would be much less serious allowing the cyclisation to occur. The second explanation considers electronic distribution in the transition state. There may be negative charge build up on the γ -carbon and this will be destabilised by an inductive effect where R is methyl or ethyl.

The formation of cyclopropanes indicates the intermediacy of propyl cations. However a more commonly reported reaction for carbocations is the Wagner–Meerwein rearrangement. To

[‡] Reference should be made at this stage to another published example of cyclopropane formation from an *a*-carbonyl cation. This occurred ²² on solvolysis of the norbornyl methyl sulfonate **24**. Presumably the cyclopropane **25** was formed from the *a*-carbonyl cation which cyclised *via* a protonated cyclopropane. Products resulting from Wagner–Meerwein rearrangements were also isolated.



see if Wagner–Meerwein rearrangements could be observed in these nitration reactions, more highly substituted acrylates were prepared. On nitration of the acrylate esters **31** and **32**, the predicted Wagner–Meerwein migration did occur. The products isolated were the 1,3-nitroacetamides **33** and **34** and rather surprisingly, the oxadiazoles **35** and **36** respectively (Scheme 6).



The structure of the oxadiazole 35 was determined by analysis of the physical data. Combustion analysis suggested the molecular formula $C_{10}H_{15}N_3O_6$ and this was confirmed by the presence of $(M + H)^+$ in the FAB spectrum. The ester group was clearly present from both NMR and IR spectra. The presence of the nitrate functional group was determined by absorbances at 1634 and 1280 cm⁻¹ in the IR spectrum, by the loss of ONO_2 in the EI spectrum and by the loss of NO_2 and ONO_2 in the FAB mass spectrum. The oxadiazole ring was more difficult to assign, but showed a characteristic IR absorbance at 1588 cm⁻¹. The UV spectrum showed no absorbance above 205 nm; weak UV absorbances are characteristic of 1,2,4-oxadiazoles. The EI mass spectrum showed a peak at m/z 138 (59%), due to a molecular fragment $(\mathrm{C}_6\mathrm{H}_6\mathrm{N}_2\mathrm{O}_2)^+$. Although the mechanism of the formation of this ion may be quite complex, it is likely that this fragment is formed by loss of the elements of OEt and MeCHONO₂ from the parent ion. This suggested oxadiazole 35 as a likely product structure and also suggested that a methyl migration had occurred.

It was thought that the mass spectrum of the heptadeuteriated analogue of the oxadiazole could confirm that the migration had occurred, thus providing important evidence for the structure of this product. Accordingly, the acrylate ester **37** was prepared, starting from 2-bromo[${}^{2}H_{7}$]propane. As expected, nitration afforded the deuteriated nitroacetamide **38** and oxadiazole **39**. The EI mass spectrum of this oxadiazole showed a peak at m/z 141 (66%), analogous to the fragment discussed

J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1997 1561



Fig. 1 X-Ray crystal structure of 43

above, which backed up the suggestion that the methyl migration had occurred in the formation of the compound.

To confirm the structure of the oxadiazole **35**, an X-ray crystal structure determination of a derived compound was sought. Compound **35** existed as a 3:1 mixture of diastereomers and so to simplify this situation, it was reacted with tributyltin hydride in benzene with a small amount of AIBN initiator. The tributyltin radicals were predicted to attack the nitrate ester,²³ and form the alkoxyl radical **40** with loss of tributyltin nitrite. Fragmentation, with loss of acetaldehyde would afford the stabilised radical **41**, which should abstract hydrogen from tributyltin hydride to form the ester **42**. The ester **42** was indeed formed and was then converted *via* its acid and acid chloride into the anilide **43**. This was a white crystalline solid and an X-ray crystal structure was determined (Fig. 1).¹³§

With this confirmation of structure in hand, it remained to explain the formation of nitroacetamide **33** and oxadiazole **35**. Nitration of the double bond in **31** occurs to form the α -carbonyl cation **44** (Scheme 7). Methyl migration then occurs to form the



more stable secondary cation **45**. This is trapped by acetonitrile in a Ritter reaction to give **46**. Alternatively, the cation is trapped by the intramolecular nitro group, forming the cyclic structure **47**. Tautomerism of this intermediate, followed by attack of acetonitrile gives the nitrilium species **48**. 5-*endo-dig* Cyclisation



followed by deprotonation would then form the bicyclic compound **49**, which on aromatisation would give the oxadiazole **50**. Subsequent nitration of the alcohol affords the nitrate ester **51**.

Fig. 2

44

45

AM1 calculations were performed on **44** and **45** (Fig. 2). The results showed the secondary cation **45** to be 35 kcal mol⁻¹ more stable than the tertiary α -carbonyl cation **44**, confirming that the methyl migration was favourable. (Furthermore, AM1 calculations found that the minimised structure of the tertiary α -carbonyl cation **53** was 36 kcal mol⁻¹ more stable than that of the tertiary α -carbonyl cation **52** again in line with the observed migration seen in the reaction of ester **32**. In both **45** and **53**, considerable bonding is seen between the nitro oxygen and the carbocation; these carbocations are substantially distorted from planarity as a result; the nitro oxygen–carbocation distance is 1.51 Å in **45** and 1.54 Å in **53**. Cations **44** and **52** appear to be between 1 and 2 kcal mol⁻¹ more stable than their four-membered ring counterparts **54** and **55**).

The chemistry of 1,2,4-oxadiazoles has been reviewed by Eloy.²⁴ He reported that these heterocycles may be prepared by reaction of a nitrile, a nitro compound, phenyl isocyanate and catalytic triethylamine. For a previous report of this synthesis see Mukaiyama and Hoshino.25 This reaction involves a dehydration of the nitro compound to a nitrile oxide by phenyl isocyanate followed by a cycloaddition reaction (Scheme 8). (The reaction only worked for nitriles which were activated by electron-withdrawing groups.) Anneser et al.26 have also reported that the cycloaddition of nitriles to nitrile oxides requires an activated nitrile. A similar mechanism might apply in this case. [We are grateful to Drs M. J. E. Hewlins (Cardiff) and W. J. Kerr (Strathclyde) for independently making this suggestion.] The Scheme shows that 31 may react to afford a nitronitrate 56. Further reaction with the nitronium ion acting as dehydrating agent could form nitrile oxide 57. The cycloaddition then occurs with acetonitrile to give the oxadiazole 51.

To investigate this pathway, nitroethane was reacted with nitronium tetrafluoroborate in acetonitrile. No trace of the oxadiazole **58** was seen under these conditions, suggesting that this type of reaction is not related to our oxadiazole formation.

Finally, the cyclic substrates **59** and **60** were prepared to test the generality of the Wagner–Meerwein migrations (Scheme 9). Nitration of these compounds afforded the ring expanded nitroacetamides **61** and **62** and the oxadiazoles **63** and **64**.

In conclusion, these studies show three strands of evidence for the build-up of considerable positive charge on the carbon adjacent to the carbonyl group in the nitration of α , β unsaturated esters, namely (i) the Ritter reaction seen in the

[§] Atomic coordinates, thermal parameters and bond lengths and angles have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC). See Instructions for Authors, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, 1997, Issue 1. Any request to the CCDC for this material should quote the full literature citation and the reference number 207/101.



formation of **8**, (ii) the formation of cyclopropanes **19** and **20** and (iii) the Wagner–Meerwein rearrangements of intermediates derived from **31**, **32**, **37**, **59** and **60**. The mechanisms proposed in Scheme 1 avoid mandatory accumulation of positive charge α to a carbonyl group, but the results presented here clearly demonstrate that a *tertiary* carbon adjacent to an ester carbonyl may indeed bear significant positive charge and such cases are likely to be widely encountered in synthetic chemistry.

Compound 7 and related allylic nitro compounds may arise by an ene reaction; the ene reaction could feature considerable positive charge adjacent to the carbonyl group. However, intermediates such as **10** appear from our AM1 calculations to be comparable in energy to **9** and so may feature in our reactions.

Experimental

General information

Melting points were measured on a Kofler hot-stage apparatus

and are uncorrected. Microanalyses were determined using a Perkin-Elmer 240B elemental analyser. IR spectra were measured using a Perkin-Elmer 1600 series FTIR spectrometer. UV spectra were recorded on a Philips PU8720 instrument. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded at 250 MHz with a digital resolution of 0.25 Hz per point on a Bruker WM250, at 270 MHz with a digital resolution of 0.33 per Hz point or at 400 MHz with a digital resolution of 0.31 Hz per point on a Bruker AM400 machine. ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded at 67.8 MHz on a JEOL EX270 or at 100 MHz on a Bruker AM400 machine. These were run as decoupled spectra and assigned using DEPT and correlation spectroscopy. All NMR experiments were carried out in deuteriochloroform with tetramethylsilane as internal reference. Coupling constants (J) are reported in hertz (Hz). In several cases, where mixtures of diastereomers were obtained, the overlapping signals have been reported as multiplets, unless the coupling constant of each isomer could be ascertained. In cases where one isomer was formed in excess, wherever possible the minor isomer has been marked (*). The following abbreviations have been used to assign the multiplicity of the signals observed in the ¹H NMR spectra; s singlet, d doublet, t triplet, q quartet, m m, br broad. Mass spectra [electron impact (EI) and fast atom bombardment (FAB)] were recorded on VG AE1 MS902, VG Micromass 70E or VG Autospec spectrometers. Some of the high resolution FAB spectra were recorded at the EPSRC Mass Spectrometry Service, Swansea on a VG Autospec. FAB mass spectra were recorded for positive ions in a m-nitrobenzyl alcohol matrix. The X-ray crystal structure was recorded at the EPSRC X-ray crystallography service, Cardiff.

Where necessary, solvents were dried and/or distilled before use. THF was dried over sodium wire and distilled freshly from potassium–benzophenone. Acetonitrile was dried over 4 Å molecular sieves and distilled from calcium hydride. Dichloromethane was distilled from calcium hydride. DMSO was dried over 4 Å sieves and vacuum distilled. Benzene was dried over sodium wire. All light petroleum (petrol) was of boiling range 40–60 °C and was distilled before use. Hexane was a mixture of isomeric hexanes and was distilled before use. Flash column chromatography was performed using Sorbsil C60 (May & Baker), Kieselgel 60 (Art 9385) or Kieselgel HF254 silica gels.

AM1 calculations were performed on a Silicon Graphics Indy R5000, using the Spartan calculational package available from Wavefunction Inc.

Nitration of methyl methacrylate in acetonitrile

Nitronium tetrafluoroborate (458 mg, 3.44 mmol) was added to dry acetonitrile (20 ml) in a flame-dried flask flushed with argon. The stirred solution was cooled in a salt-ice bath at -16 °C and methyl methacrylate (688 mg, 6.88 mmol) was added rapidly. The reaction was stirred for 2 h, water (50 ml) was added and the reaction mixture extracted with dichloromethane (3 × 30 ml). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to give a pale yellow oil (369 mg).

Column chromatography (50% ethyl acetate–petrol) led to the isolation of methyl 2-methylene-3-nitropropanoate^{27,28} **7** as a colourless oil (200 mg, 1.38 mmol, 40%) (Found: C, 41.3; H, 5.1; N, 9.9. $C_5H_7NO_4$ requires C, 41.4; H, 4.8; N, 9.7%) [Found: $M^+ - OMe$ (EI), 114.0193. $C_5H_7NO_4$ requires $M^+ - OMe$, 114.0191]; v_{max} (film)/cm⁻¹ 3014, 2958 (CH), 1724 (C=O), 1644 (C=C), 1560 (N=O), 1348 (N=O), 899 (=CH₂); δ_H (250 MHz, CDCl₃) 3.82 (3 H, s, CO₂Me), 5.20 (2 H, d, J0.9, CH₂), 6.06 (1 H, br s, =CH), 6.64 (1 H, br s, =CH); δ_C (67.8 MHz, CDCl₃) 52.2 (OMe), 74.9 (CH₂NO₂), 130.2 (=C), 133.9 (CH₂), 164.7 (C=O); m/z (EI) 114 (M⁺ – OMe, 29%), 99 (M⁺ – NO₂, 55), 59 (CO₂Me⁺, 100); and methyl 2-acetamido-2-methyl-3-nitropropanoate **8** as a white solid which was recrystallised from diethyl ether (56 mg, 0.28 mmol, 8%); mp 84–87 °C [Found: (M + H)⁺ (FAB) 205.0819. $C_7H_{12}N_2O_5$ requires (M + H)⁺,

205.0824]; v_{max} (CHCl₃)/cm⁻¹ 3411 (N–H), 1748 (C=O), 1681 (C=O), 1558 (N=O), 1357 (N=O); δ_{H} (250 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.66 (3 H, s, Me), 2.02 (3 H, s, Me), 3.85 (3 H, s, Me), 4.95 (1 H, d, J 13.4, CH₂NO₂), 5.43 (1 H, d, J 13.4, CH₂NO₂), 6.66 (1 H, br s, NH); δ_{C} (67.8 MHz, CDCl₃) 20.9 (Me), 23.4 (Me), 53.5 (Me), 58.2 (C), 76.9 (CH₂), 170.2 (C=O), 171.6 (C=O); *m/z* (FAB) 205 [(M + H)⁺, 33%], 158 (M⁺ - NO₂, 7), 43 (Ac⁺, 85).

Synthesis of ethyl 2-methylenebutanoate 15²⁹

Potassium hydroxide (4.48 g, 80.0 mmol) in dry ethanol (70 ml) was added dropwise over 2 h to a stirred solution of diethyl 2ethylpropanedioate (15.0 g, 80.0 mmol) in dry ethanol (25 ml). The solution was stirred at room temperature for 24 h and the alcohol evaporated off. The high viscosity residue was dissolved in water (30 ml) and extracted with dichloromethane (2×20 ml). The aqueous layer was cooled in ice and concentrated hydrochloric acid (6.6 ml) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 30 \text{ ml})$. The combined organic extracts were dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to give ethyl hydrogen 2-ethylpropanedioate as a colourless oil (9.34 g, 58.4 mmol, 73%). Piperidine (600 mg, 7.06 mmol) and paraformaldehyde (1.74 g, 58.0 mmol) were added to a solution of the ethyl hydrogen 2-ethylpropanedioate in pyridine (15 ml). The solution was heated under reflux until the end of evolution of carbon dioxide.

After cooling, the reaction was poured onto water (50 ml) and extracted with pentane $(3 \times 50 \text{ ml})$. The pentane phases were washed successively with water (20 ml), 2 M hydrochloric acid (20 ml), water, saturated aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate (20 ml) and brine (20 ml). The combined organic extracts were dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to give ethyl 2-methylenebutanoate 15 as a colourless oil (4.45 g, 39.0 mmol, 49%, 67% from half ester) [Found: M^+ (EI), 128.0810. $C_7H_{12}O_2$ requires *M*, 128.0837]; $v_{max}(film)/cm^{-1}$ 2973, 2878 (CH), 1719 (C=O), 1631 (C=C), 1176 (C=O), 818 (=CH₂); δ_H(250 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.08 (3 H, t, J7.4, Me), 1.30 (3 H, t, J7.0, Me), 2.33 (2 H, qdd, J7.4, 1.5, 0.4, CH₂), 4.21 (2 H, q, J7.0, OCH₂), 5.51 (1 H, m, =CH), 6.13 (1 H, m, =CH); δ_{C} (67.8 MHz, CDCl₃) 12.6 (Me), 14.2 (Me), 24.8 (CH₂), 60.5 (OCH₂), 123.2 (=CH₂), 142.5 (=C), 167.2 (C=O); m/z (EI) 128 (M⁺, 14%), 113 $(M^{+} - Me, 27), 100 (M^{+} - C_{2}H_{4}, 34), 55 (M^{+} - CO_{2}Et, 100).$

Nitration of ethyl 2-methylenebutanoate 15

Nitronium tetrafluoroborate (687 mg, 5.16 mmol) was added to dry acetonitrile (20 ml) in a flame-dried flask flushed with nitrogen. The stirred solution was cooled in a salt-ice bath at -16 °C and ethyl 2-methylenebutanoate (1.32 g, 10.3 mmol) was added rapidly. The reaction was stirred for 2 h, water (30 ml) was added and the reaction mixture extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times 30 \text{ ml})$. The combined organic layers were washed with water (30 ml), dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to give a pale yellow oil (954 mg). Column chromatography (6-10-100% ethyl acetate-petrol) led to the isolation of a mixture of isomers (348 mg, 4.02 mmol, 39%). HPLC [(3% ethyl acetatehexane) (3 ml min⁻¹), Waters Porasil 125 Å 15–20 μ m, 9 × 300 mm] led to the isolation of ethyl 2-nitromethylbut-2-enoate 17 as a colourless oil (Found: C, 48.5; H, 6.6; N, 7.8. C7H11NO4 requires C, 48.5; H, 6.4; N, 8.1%) [Found: M⁺ - NO₂ (EI), 127.0746. $C_7H_{11}NO_4$ requires $M - NO_2$, 127.0759]; $v_{max} - (CHCl_3)/cm^{-1}$ 2990, 2928, 2853 (CH), 1712 (C=O), 1658 (C=C), 1564 (N=O), 1347 (N=O), 1145 (C–O); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.30 (3 H, t, J7.1, Me), 1.97 (3 H, d, J7.3, Me), 4.25 (2 H, q, J 7.1, OCH₂), 5.26 (2 H, s, CH₂NO₂), 7.39 (1 H, q, J7.3, =CH); $\delta_{\rm C}(67.8~{\rm MHz},~{\rm CDCl_3})$ 14.1 (Me), 15.0 (Me), 61.5 (CH₂), 70.0 (CH₂), 123.7 (=C), 147.1 (=CH), 165.2 (C=O); m/z (EI) 127 $(M^+ - NO_2, 100\%)$, 99 $(C_4H_5NO_2^+, 92)$, 81 $(C_5H_5O^+, 60)$; and ethyl 1-nitromethylcyclopropanecarboxylate 19 as a colourless oil (Found: C, 48.6; H, 6.7; N, 8.0. C7H11NO4 requires C, 48.5; H, 6.4; N, 8.1%) [Found: M⁺ – NO₂ (EI), 127.0763. C₇H₁₁NO₄ requires $M - NO_2$, 127.0759]; v_{max} (CHCl₃)/cm⁻¹ 2945, 2837

(CH), 1727 (C=O), 1564 (N=O), 1345 (N=O), 1160 (C–O); $\delta_{\rm H}(250 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ 1.06 (2 H, dd, J7.6, 4.8, CH₂), 1.24 (3 H, t, J7.1, Me), 1.57 (2 H, dd, J7.6, 4.8, CH₂), 4.17 (2 H, q, J7.1, OCH₂), 4.53 (2 H, s, CH₂NO₂); $\delta_{\rm C}(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ 14.1 (Me), 15.5 (2 CH₂), 21.6 (C), 61.7 (CH₂), 78.2 (CH₂), 171.9 (C=O); m/z (EI) 128 (M⁺ – NO₂ + H, 16%), 127 (M⁺ – NO₂, 6), 99 (M⁺ – CO₂Et, 100).

Synthesis of butyl 2-methylenebutanoate 16³⁰

Potassium hydroxide (4.00 g, 71.4 mmol) in dry ethanol (70 ml) was added dropwise over 2 h to a stirred solution of diethyl 2ethylpropanedioate (13.4 g, 71.4 mmol) in dry butan-1-ol (25 ml). The solution was stirred at room temperature for 30 h and the alcohol evaporated off. The high viscosity residue was dissolved in water (30 ml) and extracted with dichloromethane (2×20 ml). The aqueous layer was cooled in ice and concentrated hydrochloric acid (5.95 ml) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was extracted with diethyl ether (3×30 ml). The combined organic extracts were dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to give butyl hydrogen 2-ethylpropanedioate as a colourless oil (11.8 g, 62.7 mmol).

Piperidine (600 mg, 7 mmol) and paraformaldehyde (1.89 g, 63 mmol) were added to a solution of butyl hydrogen 2ethylpropanedioate in pyridine (15 ml). The solution was heated under reflux until the end of evolution of carbon dioxide.

After cooling, the reaction was poured onto water (50 ml) and extracted with pentane $(3 \times 50 \text{ ml})$. The pentane phases were washed successively with water (20 ml), 2 M hydrochloric acid (20 ml), water (20 ml), saturated aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate (20 ml) and brine (20 ml). The combined organic extracts were dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to give a pale yellow oil. Column chromatography (8% diethyl etherpentane) led to the isolation of butyl 2-methylenebutanoate 16 as a colourless oil (1.90 g, 13.4 mmol, 19%) [Found: M⁺ (EI), 156.1141. C₉H₁₆O₂ requires *M*, 156.1150] (Found: C, 69.3; H, 10.7. C₉H₁₆O₂ requires C, 69.2; H, 10.3%); v_{max}(film)/cm⁻¹ 2963, 2875 (CH), 1720 (C=O), 1632 (C=C), 1168 (C=O), 817 (=CH); $\delta_{\rm H}(250~{\rm MHz},{\rm CDCl}_3)$ 0.96 (3 H, t, J7, Me), 1.09 (3 H, t, J7, Me), 1.41 (2 H, m, CH₂), 1.67 (2 H, m, CH₂), 2.34 (2 H, m, CH₂), 4.17 (2 H, t, J7, OCH₂), 5.52 (1 H, dd, J3.1, 1.2, =CH), 6.14 (1 H, dd, J2.3, 1.3, =CH); δ_c(67.8 MHz, CDCl₃) 12.4 (Me), 13.4 (Me), 19.0 (CH₂), 24.6 (CH₂), 30.5 (CH₂), 64.0 (OCH₂), 122.8 (=CH₂), 142.3 (=C), 166.9 (C=O); *m*/*z* (EI) 156 (M⁺, 1%), 127 (M⁺ – Et, 2), 101 (CO₂Bu⁺, 100), 83 (M⁺ – OBu, 82), 55 $(M^+ - CO_2Bu, 75).$

Nitration of butyl 2-methylenebutanoate 16

Nitronium tetrafluoroborate (367 mg, 2.75 mmol) was added to dry acetonitrile (20 ml) in a flame-dried flask flushed with nitrogen. The stirred solution was cooled in a salt-ice bath at -16 °C and butyl 2-methylenebutanoate (850 mg, 5.51 mmol) was added rapidly. The reaction was stirred for 2 h, water (50 ml) was added and the reaction mixture extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times 30 \text{ ml})$. The combined organic layers were washed with water (30 ml), dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to give a pale orange oil (795 mg). Column chromatography (8-100% ethyl acetate, hexane) led to the separation of a mixture of isomers (200 mg, 0.99 mmol, 36%). HPLC [(4% ethyl acetate-hexane) (3 ml min⁻¹), Waters Porasil 125 Å, 15–20 µm, 9 × 300 mm] led to the isolation of butyl 2-nitromethylbut-2enoate 18 as a colourless oil (NMR yield 28%) [Found: $(M + H)^+$ (FAB), 202.1065. C₉H₁₅NO₄ requires $(M + H)^+$, 202.1079] (Found: C, 54.0; H, 7.7; N, 7.0. C₉H₁₅NO₄ requires C, 53.7; H, 7.1; N, 7.0%); v_{max}(CHCl₃)/cm⁻¹ 2934 (CH), 1710 (C=O), 1658 (C=C), 1561 (N=O), 1348 (N=O), 1146 (C=O); δ_H(250 MHz, CDCl₃) 0.94 (3 H, t, J7.3, Me), 1.39 (2 H, tq, J7.3, 7.3, CH₂), 1.65 (2 H, m, CH₂), 1.97 (3 H, d, J7.3, Me), 4.19 (2 H, t, J 7.3, OCH₂), 5.26 (2 H, s, CH₂NO₂), 7.37 (1 H, q, J7.3, =CH); δ_C(67.8 MHz, CDCl₃) 13.6 (Me), 14.9 (Me), 19.1 (CH₂), 30.5 (CH₂), 65.3 (CH₂), 69.9 (CH₂), 123.8 (C), 147.0 (CH), 165.2

(C=O); m/z (FAB) 403 [(2 M + H)⁺, 4%], 202 [(M + H)⁺, 100], 155 (M⁺ - NO₂, 23), 154 (M⁺ - HNO₂, 23), 128 (M⁺ - OBu, 48); and *butyl* 1-*nitromethylcyclopropanecarboxylate* **20** as a colourless oil (NMR yield 22%) [Found: (M + H)⁺ (FAB), 202.1072. C₉H₁₅NO₄ requires (M + H)⁺, 202.1079] (Found: C, 53.6; H, 7.7; N, 6.8. C₉H₁₅O₄N requires C, 53.7; H, 7.5; N, 7.0%); ν_{max} (CHCl₃)/cm⁻¹ 2934 (CH), 1725 (C=O), 1562 (N=O), 1347 (N=O), 1169 (C-O); δ_{H} (250 MHz, CDCl₃) 0.92 (3 H, t, J 7.2, Me), 1.07 (2 H, dd, J 6.1, 4.8, CH₂), 1.34 (2 H, m, CH₂), 1.60 (4 H, m, CH₂), 4.11 (2 H, t, J 6.6, OCH₂), 4.52 (2 H, s, CH₂NO₂); δ_{C} (67.8 MHz, CDCl₃) 13.6 (Me), 15.5 (2 CH₂), 19.0 (CH₂), 21.6 (C), 30.5 (CH₂), 65.5 (CH₂), 78.1 (CH₂NO₂), 171.9 (C=O); m/z (FAB) 403 [(2 M + H)⁺, 4%], 202 [(M + H)⁺, 100], 155 (M⁺ - NO₂, 23), 128 (M⁺ - OBu, 60).

Nitration of butyl methacrylate

Nitronium tetrafluoroborate (541 mg, 4.07 mmol) was added to dry acetonitrile (20 ml) in a flame-dried flask flushed with nitrogen. The stirred solution was cooled in a salt-ice bath at -16 °C and butyl methacrylate (578 mg, 648 µl, 4.07 mmol) was added rapidly. The reaction was stirred for 2 h, water (50 ml) was added and the reaction mixture extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times 30 \text{ ml})$. The combined organic layers were washed with water (30 ml), dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to give a pale yellow oil (615 mg). Column chromatography (10% ethyl acetate-hexane) led to the isolation of butyl 2-methylene-3nitropropanoate²⁷ 21 as a colourless oil (260 mg, 1.39 mmol, 34%) (Found: C, 51.4; H, 7.3; N, 7.3. C₈H₁₃NO₄ requires C, 51.3; H, 7.0; N, 7.5%); ν_{max} (CHCl₃)/cm⁻¹ 2962, 2875 (CH), 1722 (C=O), 1645 (C=C), 1562 (N=O), 1341 (N=O); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (250 MHz, CDCl₃) 0.94 (3 H, t, J7, Me), 1.67 (2 H, m, CH₃), 3.81 (2 H, m, CH₂), 4.22 (2 H, t, J7, OCH₂), 5.17 (2 H, s, CH₂NO₂), 6.00 (1 H, s, =CH), 6.63 (1 H, s, =CH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (67.8 MHz, CDCl₃) 13.4 (Me), 18.9 (CH₂), 30.3 (CH₂), 65.4 (CH₂), 75.2 (CH₂NO₂), 130.7 (=C), 133.5 (=CH₂), 164.3 (C=O); *m*/*z* (FAB) 46 (NO₂⁺, 73%).

Cyclopropanation of butyl 2-methylene-3-nitropropanoate 21

Sodium hydride (47.2 mg of 60% dispersion, 1.18 mmol) was washed with tetrahydrofuran $(2 \times 3 \text{ ml})$ and then DMSO (5 ml)was added. Trimethylsulfoxonium iodide (259 mg, 1.18 mmol) was added in one portion. After stirring for 45 min, butyl 2methylene-3-nitropropanoate (200 mg, 1.07 mmol) in DMSO (1 ml) was added rapidly. After stirring for 1.5 h, the reaction was quenched with 3% cold hydrochloric acid (10 ml). The reaction was extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 30 \text{ ml})$. The combined ether extracts were dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to give a brown oil. Column chromatography (10% ethyl acetatehexane) led to the isolation of butyl 1-nitromethylcyclopropanecarboxylate contaminated with iodine. The mixture was taken up in dichloromethane (5 ml) and washed with aqueous sodium thiosulfate (5 ml). This led to the isolation of butyl 1-nitromethylcyclopropanecarboxylate 20 as a colourless oil (22 mg, 0.11 mmol, 10%). This compound was identical to the compound produced from the nitration of butyl 2-methylenepropanoate by TLC, ¹H NMR and IR spectroscopy.

Synthesis of ethyl 2-methylenepentanoate 26

Potassium hydroxide (4.48 g, 80.0 mmol) in dry ethanol (70 ml) was added dropwise over 2 h to a stirred solution of diethyl 2propylpropanedioate (16.1 g, 80 mmol) in dry ethanol (25 ml). The solution was stirred at room temperature for 24 h and the alcohol evaporated off. The high viscosity residue was dissolved in water (30 ml) and extracted with dichloromethane (2 × 20 ml). The aqueous layer was cooled in ice and concentrated hydrochloric acid (6.6 ml) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was extracted with diethyl ether (3 × 30 ml). The combined organic extracts were dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to give ethyl hydrogen 2-propylpropanedioate as a colourless oil (9.74 g, 56.0 mmol, 70%).

Piperidine (600 mg, 7.06 mmol) and paraformaldehyde (1.68

g, 56.0 mmol) were added to a solution of ethyl hydrogen 2propylpropanedioate in pyridine (15 ml). The solution was heated under reflux until the end of evolution of carbon dioxide.

After cooling, the reaction was poured onto water (50 ml) and extracted with pentane (3 \times 50 ml). The pentane phases were washed successively with water, 2 M hydrochloric acid (20 ml), water (20 ml), saturated aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate (20 ml) and brine (20 ml). The combined organic extracts were dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to give a colourless oil. Kugelrohr distillation led to the isolation of ethyl 2methylenepentanoate 26 as a colourless oil (4.80 g, 37.5 mmol, 47%, 67% from half ester); bp 25 °C/0.2 mmHg (lit.,³¹ 48 °C/8 mmHg) [Found: M^+ (EI), 142.0996. $C_8H_{14}O_2$ requires *M*, 142.0994] (Found: C, 67.8; H, 10.3. C₈H₁₄O₂ requires C, 67.6; H, 9.9%); v_{max}(film)/cm⁻¹ 2961, 2874 (CH), 1718 (C=O), 1631 (C=C), 1160 (C-O), 818 (=CH); $\delta_{\rm H}(250 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3) 0.93$ (3 H, t, J7.3, Me), 1.30 (3 H, t, J7.1, Me), 1.50 (2 H, m, CH₂), 2.28 (2 H, t, J7.3, CH₂), 4.21 (2 H, q, J7.1, OCH₂), 5.51 (1 H, bd, J 1.4, =CH), 6.14 (1 H, d, J 1.4, =CH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (67.8 MHz, CDCl₃) 13.5 (Me), 14.1 (Me), 21.5 (CH₂), 33.8 (CH₂), 60.4 (OCH₂), 124.1 (=CH₂), 140.8 (=C), 167.2 (C=O); m/z (EI) 142 (M⁺, 11%), 114 (M⁺ - C₂H₄, 36), 97 (M⁺ - OEt, 75), 69 $(M^+ - CO_2 Et, 100).$

Synthesis of ethyl 2-methylenehexanoate 27³¹

Potassium hydroxide (2.98 g, 53.2 mmol) in dry ethanol (32 ml) was added dropwise over 30 min to a solution of diethyl 2butylpropanedioate (11.5 g, 11.6 ml, 53.0 mmol) in dry ethanol (32 ml). The solution was stirred at room temperature for 12 h and the alcohol evaporated off. The high viscosity residue was dissolved in water (10 ml) and then concentrated hydrochloric acid (4.4 ml) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was extracted with diethyl ether (3×30 ml). The combined organic extracts were dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to give ethyl hydrogen 2-butylpropanedioate as a colourless oil.

Piperidine (430 mg, 5.06 mmol) and paraformaldehyde (1.25 g, 42.5 mmol) were added to a solution of ethyl hydrogen 2-butylpropanedioate in pyridine (15 ml). The solution was heated under reflux until the end of evolution of carbon dioxide.

After cooling, the reaction was poured onto water (50 ml) and extracted with pentane $(4 \times 30 \text{ ml})$. The pentane phases were washed successively with water, hydrochloric acid (2 м), water, saturated aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate and brine. The combined organic extracts were dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to give a colourless oil. Column chromatography (2% ethyl acetate-petrol) led to the isolation of ethyl 2-methylenehexanoate 27 as a colourless oil (5.84 g, 38.0 mmol, 72%) [Found: M^+ (EI), 156.1130. $C_9H_{16}O_2$ requires M, 156.1150] (Found: C, 69.1; H, 10.6. C₉H₁₆O₂ requires C, 69.2; H, 10.3%); v_{max}(film)/cm⁻¹ 2958, 2873 (CH), 1719 (C=O), 1631 (C=C), 1158 (С–О), 818 (=CH); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (250 MHz, CDCl₃) 0.91 (3 H, t, J7.0, Me), 1.30 (3 H, t, J7.1, Me), 1.43 (4 H, m, CH₂), 2.30 (2 H, t, J6.8, CH₂), 4.20 (2 H, q, J7.1, OCH₂), 5.50 (1 H, d, J1.2, =CH), 6.12 (1 H, s, =CH); δ_c(67.8 MHz, CDCl₃) 13.5 (Me), 13.8 (Me), 22.0 (CH₂), 30.4 (CH₂), 31.3 (CH₂), 60.1 (OCH₂), 123.6 (=CH₂), 140.9 (=C), 166.8 (C=O); *m*/*z* (EI) 156 (M⁺, 37%), 128 $(M^+ - C_2H_4, 31), 111 (M^+ - OEt, 63).$

Nitration of ethyl 2-methylenepentanoate 26

Nitronium tetrafluoroborate (532 mg, 4.00 mmol) was added to dry acetonitrile (20 ml) in a flame-dried flask flushed with nitrogen. The stirred solution was cooled in a salt-ice bath at -16 °C and ethyl 2-methylenepentanoate (1.14 g, 8.03 mmol) was added rapidly. The reaction was stirred for 3 h, water (30 ml) was added and the reaction mixture extracted with dichloromethane (3 × 30 ml). The combined organic layers were washed with water (30 ml), dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to give a pale yellow oil (992 mg). Column chromatography (10% ethyl acetate–hexane) led to the isolation of *ethyl* 2Published on 01 January 1997. Downloaded on 30/10/2014 10:30:56.

nitromethylpent-2-enoate **28** as a colourless oil (171 mg, 0.91 mmol, 23%, NMR yield 21.4%) (Found: C, 51.4; H, 7.1; N, 7.6. $C_8H_{13}NO_4$ requires C, 51.3; H, 7.0; N, 7.5%) [Found: $M^+ - NO_2$ (EI), 141.0898. $C_8H_{13}NO_4$ requires $M - NO_2$, 141.0916]; $v_{max}(CHCl_3)/cm^{-1}$ 2938, 2853 (CH), 1712 (C=O), 1653 (C=C), 1564 (N=O), 1353 (N=O), 1152 (C-O); $\delta_H(400 \text{ MHz}, CDCl_3)$ 1.13 (3 H, t, J7.5, CH₃), 1.31 (3 H, t, J7.3, CH₃), 2.32 (2 H, dq, J7.5, 7.5, CH₂), 4.25 (2 H, q, J7.1, OCH₂), 5.24 (2 H, s, CH₂NO₂), 7.27 (1 H, t, J 7.8, =CH); $\delta_C(67.8 \text{ MHz}, CDCl_3)$ 12.7 (Me), 14.1 (Me), 25.6 (CH₂), 61.4 (CH₂), 70.1 (CH₂), 122.1 (=C), 153.3 (=CH), 165.3 (C=O); m/z (EI) 141 (M⁺ - NO₂, 35%), 113 (M⁺ - NO₂ - C₂H₄, 100), 95 (M⁺ - OEt - HNO₂, 81), 67 (M⁺ - HNO₂ - CO₂Et, 100); m/z (FAB) 188 [(M + H)⁺, 11%], 141 (M⁺ - NO₂, 12).

Nitration of ethyl 2-methylenehexanoate 27

Nitronium tetrafluoroborate (516 mg, 3.88 mmol) was added to dry acetonitrile (20 ml) in a flame-dried flask flushed with nitrogen. The stirred solution was cooled in a salt-ice bath at -16 °C and ethyl 2-methylenehexanoate (1.10 g, 7.05 mmol) was added rapidly. The reaction was stirred for 3 h, water (30 ml) was added and the reaction mixture extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times 30 \text{ ml})$. The combined organic layers were washed with water (30 ml), dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to give a pale yellow oil (1.30 g). Column chromatography (10% ethyl acetate-hexane) led to the isolation of ethyl 2-nitromethylhex-2enoate 29 as a colourless oil (94.1 mg, 0.47 mmol, 12%) (Found: C, 53.8; H, 7.8; N, 6.9. C₉H₁₅NO₄ requires C, 53.7; H, 7.5; N, 7.0%) [Found: M⁺ - OEt (EI), 156.0723. C₉H₁₅NO₄ requires M - OEt, 156.0661]; $v_{max}(CHCl_3)/cm^{-1}$ 2935, 2874 (CH), 1713 (C=O), 1652 (C=C), 1565 (N=O), 1353 (N=O), 1151 (C=O); δ_H(250 MHz, CDCl₃) 0.97 (3 H, t, J7.4, Me), 1.31 (3 H, t, J7.0, Me), 1.55 (2 H, m, CH₂), 2.27 (2 H, m, CH₂), 4.25 (2 H, q, J7.0, OCH₂), 5.25 (2 H, s, CH₂NO₂), 7.28 (1 H, t, J7.6, =CH); δ_{C} (67.8 MHz, CDCl₃) 13.6 (Me), 14.0 (Me), 21.5 (CH₂), 31.0 (CH₂), 61.3 (CH₂), 70.2 (CH₂NO₂), 122.7 (C), 151.8 (CH), 165.2 (C=O); m/z (EI) 156 (M^+ – OEt, 16%), 155 (M^+ – NO₂, 28), 127 ($M^+ - NO_2 - C_2H_4$, 27), 109 ($C_7H_{11}N^+$, 79).

Synthesis of diethyl 2-isopropylpropanedioate³²

Sodium (960 mg, 41.7 mmol) was added to dry ethanol (20 ml) in a flask flushed with nitrogen. When the sodium had dissolved, the stirred solution was brought to 55 °C and diethyl propanedioate (6.50 g, 6.16 ml, 40.7 mmol) was added over 30 s. After stirring for 1 h, 2-bromopropane (3.61 ml, 5 g, 40.65 mmol) was added over 1 min. The solution was stirred at 55 °C for 44 h and the alcohol evaporated off. The residue was taken up in water (30 ml) and extracted with dichloromethane (3×30 ml). The combined organic extracts were dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to give a pale yellow liquid (6.79 g). Column chromatography of a 500 mg aliquot (10% ethyl acetate-hexane) led to the isolation of diethyl 2-isopropylpropanedioate as a colourless oil (320 mg, 1.58 mmol, 52%) (Found: C, 59.7; H, 9.3. C₁₀H₁₈O₄ requires C, 59.4; H, 9.0%) [Found: $M^+ - C_3H_6$ (EI), 160.0733. $C_{10}H_{18}O_4$ requires $M^+ - C_3 H_6$, 160.0736]; v_{max} (film)/cm⁻¹ 2939, 2876 (CH), 1735 (C=O); $\delta_{\rm H}(250~{\rm MHz},{\rm CDCl_3})$ 1.00 (6 H, d, J6.7, Me), 1.27 (6 H, t, J7.2, Me), 2.38 (1 H, m, CH), 3.10 (1 H, d, J8.6, CH), 4.19 (4 H, J 7.2, OCH₂); $\delta_{\rm C}(67.8~{\rm MHz},~{\rm CDCl}_3)$ 13.7 (2 Me), 20.0 (2 Me), 28.3 (CH), 58.7 (CH), 60.6 (2 CH₂), 168.4 (2 C=O); m/z (EI) 160 ($M^+ - C_3H_6$, 100%), 157 ($M^+ - OEt$, 76).

Synthesis of ethyl 2-methylene-3-methylbutanoate 31³¹

Potassium hydroxide (2.98 g, 53.2 mmol) in dry ethanol (32 ml) was added dropwise over 30 min to a solution of diethyl 2isopropylpropanedioate (10.8 g, 10.9 ml, 53.5 mmol) in dry ethanol (32 ml). The solution was stirred at room temperature for 12 h and the alcohol evaporated off. The high viscosity residue was dissolved in water (30 ml) and concentrated hydrochloric acid (4.4 ml) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 30 \text{ ml})$. The combined organic extracts were dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated.

Piperidine (430 mg, 5.06 mmol) and paraformaldehyde (1.25 g, 42.5 mmol) were added to a solution of ethyl hydrogen 2-isopropylpropanedioate in pyridine (9 ml). The solution was heated under reflux until the end of evolution of carbon dioxide.

After cooling, the reaction was poured onto water (50 ml) and extracted with pentane $(3 \times 50 \text{ ml})$. The pentane phases were washed successively with water, 2 M hydrochloric acid (20 ml), water (20 ml), saturated aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate (20 ml) and brine (20 ml). The combined organic extracts were dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to give a colourless oil. Column chromatography (2% ethyl acetate-petrol) led to the isolation of ethyl 2-methylene-3-methylbutanoate 31 (3.19 g, 22.5 mmol, 42%) [Found: M^+ (EI), 142.0968. $C_8H_{14}O_2$ requires M, 142.0994] (Found: C, 67.3; H, 10.2. C₈H₁₄O₂ requires C, 67.6; H, 9.9%); v_{max}(film)/cm⁻¹ 2965, 2874 (CH), 1719 (C=O), 1626 (C=C), 1183 (C–O); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (250 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.08 (6 H, d, J 6.8, Me), 1.31 (3 H, t, J7.1, Me), 2.82 (1 H, br qq, J6.8, 6.8, CH), 4.21 (2 H, q, J7.1, OCH₂), 5.50 (1 H, dd, J1.1, 1.1, =CH), 6.12 (1 H, br s, =CH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (67.8 MHz, CDCl₃) 13.9 (Me), 21.4 (2 Me), 29.0 (CH), 60.0 (OCH2), 121.0 (=CH2), 147.1 (=C), 166.9 (C=O); m/z (EI) 142 (M⁺, 8%), 127 (M⁺ - Me, 6), 114 $(M^+ - C_2H_4, 24)$, 97 $(M^+ - OEt, 47)$, 69 $(M^+ - CO_2Et, 100)$.

Nitration of ethyl 2-methylene-3-methylbutanoate 31

Nitronium tetrafluoroborate (504 mg, 3.79 mmol) was added to dry acetonitrile (20 ml) in a flame-dried flask flushed with nitrogen. The stirred solution was cooled in a salt-ice bath at -16 °C and ethyl 2-methylene-3-methylbutanoate (1.07 g, 7.57 mmol) was added rapidly. The reaction was stirred for 2 h, water (50 ml) was added and the reaction mixture extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times 30 \text{ ml})$. The combined organic layers were washed with water (30 ml), dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to give a pale yellow oil (852 mg). Column chromatography (8-70-100% ethyl acetate-pentane) led to the isolation of 3-ethoxycarbonyl-3-(5-methyl-1,2,4-oxadiazol-3-yl) butan-2yl nitrate 35 as a 2:3 mixture of diastereomers, as a colourless oil (176 mg, 0.64 mmol, 17.0%) [Found: (M + H)⁺ (EI), 274.0987. $C_{10}H_{15}N_3O_6$ requires $(M + H)^+$, 274.1039] (Found: C, 44.3; H, 5.8; N, 15.3. C₁₀H₁₅N₃O₆ requires C, 44.0; H, 5.5; N, 15.4%); v_{max}(film)/cm⁻¹ 2989, 2907 (CH), 1743 (C=O), 1634 (ON=O), $\overline{1588}$ (C=N), 1280 (ON=O); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (250 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.22 (t, J7.1, Me), 1.24 (t, J7.1, Me)*, 1.36 (d, J6.5, Me), 1.41 (d, J 6.5, Me)*, 1.70 (s, Me)*, 1.71 (s, Me), 2.59 (s, Me)*, 2.61 (s, Me), 4.20 (2 H in 2 diastereomers, m, OCH₂), 5.96 (q, J 6.5, CH)*, 6.04 (q, J 6.5, CH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (67.8 MHz, CDCl₃) 12.4 (Me), 13.9 (Me), 13.9 (Me)*, 14.6 (Me), 15.6 (Me), 16.7 (Me)*, 50.6 (C), 50.8 (C)*, 62.4 (CH₂), 62.4 (CH₂)*, 80.2 (CHONO₂)*, 80.4 (CHONO₂), 169.3 (=C), 169.7 (=C)*, 169.8 (=C), 176.9 (=C)*, 177.2 (=C); m/z (EI) 274 [(M + H)⁺, 2%], 211 (M⁺ - ONO₂, 5), 183 (M⁺ – ONO₂ – C₂H₄, 9), 155 (C₇H₁₁N₂O₂⁺, 21), 138 (C₆H₆N₂O₂⁺, 59), 43 (C₂H₃O⁺, 100); m/z (FAB) 547 [(2) $M + H)^+$, 3%], 274 [(M + H)⁺, 100], 227 (M⁺ - NO₂, 9), 211 $(M^+ - ONO_2, 16)$, 138 $(C_6H_6N_2O_2^+, 51)$; and *ethyl* 2nitromethyl-2-methyl-3-acetamidobutanoate 33 as a 1:4 mixture of diastereomers, as a white solid (343 mg, 1.39 mmol, 37%); mp 92–95 °C [Found: $(M + H)^+$ (FAB), 247.1292. $C_{10}H_{18}N_2O_5$ requires $(M + H)^+$, 247.1294]; ν_{max} (CHCl₃)/cm⁻¹ 3434 (N–H), 2991 (CH), 2942 (CH), 1737 (C=O), 1674 (C=O), 1558 (N=O), 1373 (N=O); δ_H(250 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.08 (d, J6.9, Me), 1.12 (d, J 6.9, Me)*, 1.23 (s, Me), 1.27 (t, J7.1, Me), 1.30 (t, J7.1, Me)*, 1.97 (s, Me)*, 1.99 (s, Me), 4.21 (2 H in 2 diastereomers, q, J7.1, OCH₂), 4.30 (d, J13.5, CH₂NO₂), 4.35 (1 H in 2 diastereomers, m, CH), 4.91 (d, J13.5, CH₂NO₂)*, 4.96 (d, J13.5, CH₂NO₂), 6.29 (br d, J 8.5, NH)*, 6.43 (br d, J 8.5, NH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (67.8 MHz, CDCl₃) 14.2 (Me), 14.3 (Me), 16.7 (Me), 16.9 (Me)*, 18.0 (Me)*, 23.5 (Me), 23.6 (Me)*, 48.3 (CH), 49.7 (CH)*, 49.9 (C)*, 51.2 (C), 62.2 (CH₂), 62.3 (CH₂)*, 81.3 (CH₂NO₂), 81.4

 $\begin{array}{l} (CH_2NO_2)^*, \ 170.0 \ (C=O)^*, \ 170.6 \ (C=O), \ 172.6 \ (C=O), \ 172.9 \\ (C=O)^*; \ m/z \ (EI) \ 247 \ [(M + H)^+, \ 2\%], \ 189 \ (C_8H_{15}NO_4^+, \ 7), \ 86 \\ (C_4H_8NO^+, \ 100); \ m/z \ (FAB) \ 493 \ [(2 \ M + H)^+, \ 11\%], \ 247 \\ [(M + H)^+, \ 100], \ 200 \ (M^+ - NO_2, \ 10). \end{array}$

Synthesis of diethyl 2-([2H7]isopropyl)propanedioate 33

Sodium (980 mg, 42.6 mmol) was added to dry ethanol (20 ml) in a flask flushed with nitrogen. When the sodium had dissolved, the solution was brought to 52 °C and diethyl propanedioate (6.50 g, 6.16 ml, 40.7 mmol) was added over 5 min. After stirring for 1 h, 2-bromo[²H₇]propane (3.61 ml, 5.00 g, 40.7 mmol) was added over 1 min. The solution was stirred at 52 °C for 44 h and the alcohol distilled off. The residue was taken up in water (40 ml) and extracted with dichloromethane (3×40) ml). The combined organic extracts were washed with water, dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to give an orange liquid (8.44 g). Column chromatography (10% ethyl acetatehexane) led to the isolation of diethyl 2-([2H7]isopropyl)propanedioate as a colourless oil (6.33 g, 30.3 mmol, 75%); v_{max}(film)/cm⁻¹ 2983, 2939 (CH), 2222⁻(C–D), 1733 (C=O); δ_H(250 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.27 (6 H, t, J7.1, Me), 3.10 (1 H, s, CH), 4.19 (4 H, q, J 7.1, OCH₂); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 13.9 (2 Me), 19.1 (m, CD₃), 27.8 (m, CD), 58.7 (CH), 60.9 (2 OCH₂), 168.7 $(2 \text{ C=O}); m/z \text{ (FAB) } 210 [(M + H)^+, 15\%], 164 (M^+ - OEt, 12),$ $136 (M^+ - CO_2Et, 47).$

Synthesis of ethyl 2-methylene-3-[2H3]methyl[2H4]butanoate 37

Potassium hydroxide (1.67 g, 29.8 mmol) in dry ethanol was added over 5 min to a stirred solution of diethyl 2-([2 H₇]isopropyl)propanedioate in dry ethanol (15 ml). After stirring for 2.5 days, the ethanol was evaporated off. The viscous residue was taken up in water (25 ml) and extracted with dichloromethane (2 × 25 ml). To the aqueous layer was added concentrated hydrochloric acid (1.6 ml) and the reaction stirred for 15 min. The reaction was extracted with dichloromethane (3 × 25 ml), dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to give ethyl hydrogen 2-([2 H₇]isopropyl)propanedioate as a colourless oil (4.67 g, 25.8 mmol, 87%).

Ethyl hydrogen 2-([²H₇]isopropyl)propanedioate (4.67 g, 25.8 mmol) was dissolved in pyridine (15 ml) and then piperidine (336 mg, 3.95 mmol) and paraformaldehyde (774 mg, 25.8 mmol) were added. The suspension was refluxed until the end of evolution of carbon dioxide (ca. 3 h). After cooling, water (30 ml) was added and the reaction extracted with pentane $(3 \times 30 \text{ ml})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with water (20 ml), 2 M hydrochloric acid (20 ml), water (20 ml), saturated aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate (20 ml), brine (20 ml), dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to give a colourless oil (3 g). Column chromatography (3% diethyl ether-pentane) led to the isolation of ethyl 2-methylene-3-[²H₃]*methyl*[²H₄]*butanoate* **37** as a colourless oil (2.60 g, 12.4 mmol, 48% from half ester, 41.6% overall) [Found: M⁺ (EI), 149.1421. C₈H₇D₇O₂ requires *M*, 149.1424]; v_{max} (film)/cm⁻¹ 2982, 2937 (CH), 2221 (C–D), 1717 (C=O), 759 (C=CH₂); δ_H(250 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.31 (3 H, t, J 7.1, Me), 4.21 (2 H, q, J 7.1, OCH₂), 5.49 (1 H, d, J 1.1, =CH₂), 6.11 (1 H, d, J 1.1, CH₂); $\delta_{\rm C}(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ 14.1 (Me), 20.6 (m, 2 CD₃), 28.5 (m, CD), 60.3 (CH₂), 122.8 (=CH₂), 147.3 (=C), 167.3 (C=O); m/z (EI) $M^{+},\ 149\ (M^{+},\ 35\%),\ 121\ (M^{+}-C_{2}H_{4},\ 26),\ 104\ (M^{+}-OEt,\ 67),\ 76\ (M^{+}-CO_{2}Et,\ 100).$

Nitration of ethyl 2-methylene-3-[²H₃]methyl[²H₄]butanoate 37

Nitronium tetrafluoroborate (615 mg, 4.62 mmol) was added to dry acetonitrile (20 ml) in a flask flushed with nitrogen. The stirred solution was cooled in a bath at -16 °C, ethyl 2-methylene-3-[²H₃]methyl[²H₄]butanoate (689 mg, 4.62 mmol) was added in one portion. After stirring for 4 h, water (30 ml) was added and the reaction extracted with dichloromethane (3 × 30 ml). The combined organic extracts were washed with water, dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to give a yellow

oil (700 mg). Column chromatography (8-70% ethyl acetatehexane) led to the isolation of 3-ethoxycarbonyl-3-(5-methyl-1,2,4-oxadiazol-3-yl)[2H7]butan-2-yl nitrate 39 as a 3:4 mixture of diastereomers, as a colourless oil (228 mg, 0.80 mmol, 17.3%) [Found: $(M + H)^+$ (FAB), 281.1476. $C_{10}H_8D_7N_3O_6$ requires $(M + H)^+$, 281.1478]; v_{max} (CHCl₃)/cm⁻¹ 2915 (CH), 2247 (C-D), 1738 (C=O), 1644 (ON=O), 1587 (C=N), 1298 (ON=O); $\lambda_{max}/nm 217.7$ ($\epsilon/dm^3 mol^{-1} cm^{-1} 290$); $\delta_{H}(400 \text{ MHz},$ CDCl₃) 1.23 (t, J 7.1, Me), 1.25 (t, J 7.1, Me)*, 2.59 (s, Me), 2.60 (s, Me), 4.21 (2 H in 2 diastereomers, m, OCH₂); $\delta_{\rm C}(100$ MHz, CDCl₃) 12.2 (Me), 13.7 (Me), 13.7 (Me)*, 15.0 (m, CD₃), 62.2 (OCH2), 62.2 (CH2)*, 79.5 (m, CD), 169.1 (=C)*, 169.5 (=C), 169.6 (=C), 176.8 (=C)*, 177.0 (=C); m/z (FAB) 281 $[(M + H)^+, 15\%], 219 (M^+ - ONO_2 + H, 4); m/z$ (EI) 235 $(M^+ - OEt, 2\%)$, 141 $(C_6H_3D_3O_2N_2^+, 66)$; and two separable diastereomers of ethyl 2-nitromethyl-2-[2H3]methyl-3-acetamido[²H₄]butanoate 38. Diastereomer 1 was a white solid (45.1 mg, 0.18 mmol, 3.8%) [Found: $(M + H)^+$ (EI), 254.1749. $C_{10}H_{12}D_7N_2O_5$ requires $(M + H)^+$, 254.1733]; $v_{max}(CHCl_3)/$ cm⁻¹ 3436 (N–H), 1722 (C=O), 1682 (C=O), 1561 (N=O), 1373 (N=O); δ_H(400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.30 (3 H, t, J7.1, Me), 1.95 (3 H, s, Me), 4.21 (2 H, q, J7.1, OCH₂), 4.55 (1 H, d, J14.5, CH₂), 4.85 (1 H, d, J 14.5, CH₂), 5.67 (1 H, br s, NH); δ_c(100 MHz, CDCl₃) 14.1 (Me), 24.2 (Me), 24.8 (m, CD₃), 49.5 (m, CD), 53.5 (C), 61.6 (OCH₂), 73.4 (CH₂NO₂), 170.2 (C=O), 171.1 (C=O); m/z (EI) 254 [(M + H)⁺, 5%], 223 (M⁺ - NO, 10). Diastereomer 2 was a white solid (151 mg, 0.60 mmol, 13%) [Found: $(M + H)^+$ (FAB), 254.1747. $C_{10}H_{12}D_7N_2O_5$ requires $(M + H)^+$, 254.1733]; $\nu_{max}(CHCl_3)/cm^{-1}$ 3435 (N–H), 2992 (CH), 2360, 2238 (C–D), 1735, 1675 (C=O), 1557 (N=O), 1376 (N=O); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.31 (3 H, t, J7.1, Me), 2.02 (3 H, s, Me), 4.25 (2 H, q, J7.1, OCH2), 4.35 (1 H, d, J13.5, CH2), 4.99 (1 H, d, J 13.5, CH₂), 6.37 (1 H, br s, NH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 13.02 (m, CD₃), 13.92 (Me), 15.46 (m, CD₃), 23.17 (Me), 47.43 (m, CD), 50.48 (C), 61.83 (CH₂), 80.94 (CH₂), 170.14 (C=O), 172.20 (C=O); m/z (FAB) 254 [(M + H)⁺, 100%].

Synthesis of ethyl 2-(5-methyl-1,2,4-oxadiazol-3-yl)propanoate 42

To a solution of the nitrate ester **35** (300 mg, 1.10 mmol) in dry benzene (60 ml), was added tributyltin hydride (294 µl, 320 mg, 1.10 mmol) and AIBN (60.0 mg, 0.36 mmol, 0.3 equiv.). The solution was refluxed for 3.5 h and the solvent was evaporated to give a pale yellow oil. Column chromatography (10% ethyl acetate–hexane) led to the isolation of *ethyl* 2-(5-*methyl*-1,2,4-*oxadiazol*-3-*yl*)*propanoate* **42** as a colourless oil (124 mg, 0.67 mmol, 61%); v_{max} (CHCl₃)/cm⁻¹ 2988, 2943 (CH), 1742 (C=O), 1589 (C=N); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.26 (3 H, t, *J*7.1, Me), 1.59 (3 H, d, *J*7.2, Me), 2.59 (3 H, s, Me), 3.95 (1 H, q, *J*7.2, CH), 4.19 (2 H, m, OCH₂); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (67.8 MHz, CDCl₃) 12.3 (Me), 14.0 (Me), 15.0 (Me), 37.9 (CH), 61.5 (CH₂), 169.2 (=C), 170.8 (=C), 176.7 (=C).

2-(5-Methyl-1,2,4-oxadiazol-3-yl)propanoic acid

Potassium hydroxide (33.6 mg, 0.6 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of ethyl 2-(5-methyl-1,2,4-oxadiazol-3-yl)propanoate **42** (99.3 mg, 0.54 mmol) in ethanol (6 ml). After stirring for 20 h, the ethanol was evaporated. The residue taken up in water and extracted with dichloromethane (1 × 20 ml). The aqueous layer was acidified with concentrated hydrochloric acid and then extracted with dichloromethane (2 × 20 ml). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to give 2-(5-*methyl*-1,2,4-*oxadiazol*-3-*yl*)*propanoic acid* as a pale yellow oil (35.5 mg, 0.23 mmol, 42%); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (250 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.59 (3 H, d, *J* 7.3, Me), 2.59 (3 H, s, Me), 3.99 (1 H, q, *J* 7.3, CH), 9.29 (1 H, br s, CO₂H); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (67.8 MHz, CDCl₃) 12.3 (Me), 29.7 (Me), 37.6 (CH), 168.6 (=C), 175.8 (=C), 177.1 (=C).

Synthesis of 2-(5-methyl-1,2,4-oxadiazol-3-yl)propananilide 43

2-(5-methyl-1,2,4-oxadiazol-3-yl)propanoic acid (54 mg, 0.346 mmol) was dissolved in dichloromethane (10 ml) and oxalyl

Empirical formula	C ₁₂ H ₁₃ N ₃ O ₂
Formula weight	231.10
Temperature	293(2) K
Wavelength	0.710 69 Å
Crystal system	Monoclinic
Space group	P2(1)/a
Unit cell dimension	a 9.2294(11) Å
	<i>b</i> 11.7100(11) Å
	c 11.1879(9) Å
	$\beta 95.034(13)^{\circ}$
Volume	1204.5(2) Å ³
Ζ	4
Density (calculated)	1.275 Mg m^{-3}
Absorption coefficient	0.090 mm^{-1}
F(000)	488
Crystal size	$0.27 \times 0.19 \times 0.16 \text{ mm}$
θ Range for data collected	1.83 to 24.97°
Index ranges	$-7 \le h \le 10, -12 \le k \le 13,$
	$-12 \le l \le 11$
Reflections collected	4821
Independent reflections	1771 ($R_{\rm int} = 0.0571$)
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F^2
Data/restraints/parameters	1771/0/156
Goodness of fit on F^2	0.891
Final <i>R</i> indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	R1 0.0489, wR2 0.1109
R indices (all data)	R1 0.0743, wR2 0.1164
Largest diff. peak and hole	$0.188 \text{ and } -0.195 \text{ e } \mathrm{\AA^{-3}}$

chloride (220 mg, 1.73 mmol, 151 µl) was added. After stirring for 1 h, the solvent was evaporated. The residue was taken up in dichloromethane (10 ml) and aniline (50.0 mg, 0.54 mmol, 49 µl) was added. After stirring for 1.5 h, 2 M hydrochloric acid was added and the reaction extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times 10 \text{ ml})$. Column chromatography (50% ethyl acetatehexane) led to the isolation of 2-(5-methyl-1,2,4-oxadiazol-3yl)propananilide 43 as a white solid (35.7 mg, 0.15 mmol, 43%); mp 105–107 °C (Found: C, 62.4; H, 5.9; N, 18.2. C₁₂H₁₃N₃O₂ requires C, 62.3; H, 5.7; N, 18.2%) [Found: M⁺ (EI), 231.1005. $C_{12}N_{13}N_3O_2$ requires *M*, 231.1008]; v_{max} (CHCl₃)/cm⁻¹ 3684, 3620, 3338 (N-H), 3028 (CH), 1693 (C=O), 1601, 1589, 1549 (Ar C=C); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (250 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.69 (3 H, d, J7.2, Me), 2.64 (3 H, s, Me), 3.98 (1 H, q, J7.2, CH), 7.10 (1 H, dd, J7.6, 7.6, ArH), 7.32 (2 H, dd, J7.6, 7.6, ArH), 7.52 (2 H, d, J7.6, ArH), 8.33 (1 H, br s, NH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (67.8 MHz, CDCl₃) 12.4 (Me), 15.8 (Me), 39.9 (CH), 119.9 (CH), 124.5 (CH), 129.0 (CH), 137.6 (C), 167.6 (=C), 169.6 (=C), 177.1 (=C); *m*/*z* (EI) 231 (M⁺, 50%), 112 ($C_5H_8N_2O^+$, 63), 93 (PhNH₂⁺, 100). Crystallisation from dichloromethane-ethyl acetate by slow evaporation led to the isolation of white needles which allowed an X-ray crystal structure to be determined, see Table 1.§

Ethyl 2-methylene-3,3-dimethylbutanoate 32

Potassium hydroxide (1.29 g, 23.15 mmol) in dry ethanol (25 ml) was added dropwise over 2 h to a stirred solution of diethyl 2-*tert*-butylpropanedioate (5.00 g, 23.2 mmol) in dry ethanol (25 ml). The solution was stirred at room temperature for 5 days and then the alcohol was evaporated off. The high viscosity residue was dissolved in water (30 ml) and extracted with dichloromethane (3×20 ml). The aqueous layer was cooled in ice and concentrated hydrochloric acid (2.2 ml) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was extracted with dichloromethane (3×30 ml). The combined organic extracts were dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to give a colourless oil (3.0 g).

Piperidine (225 mg, 2.65 mmol) and paraformaldehyde (570 mg, 19.0 mmol) were added to a solution of the ethyl hydrogen 2-*tert*-butylpropanedioate in pyridine (7 ml). The solution was heated under reflux until the end of evolution of carbon dioxide.

After cooling, the reaction was poured onto water (30 ml) and extracted with pentane (3×50 ml). The pentane phases were washed successively with water, 2 M hydrochloric acid (20

ml), water (20 ml), saturated aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate (20 ml) and brine (20 ml). The combined organic extracts were dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to give a colourless oil. Column chromatography (15% dichloromethanepetrol) led to the separation of *ethyl* 2-*methylene*-3,3*dimethylbutanoate* **32** as a colourless oil (300 mg, 1.92 mmol, 8.3%); v_{max} (film)/cm⁻¹ 2928 (CH), 1712 (C=O), 1115 (C-O); δ_{H} (250 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.21 (9 H, s, Bu'), 1.31 (3 H, t, *J*7.1, Me), 4.20 (2 H, q, *J*7.1, OCH₂), 5.51 (1 H, s, =CH), 5.92 (1 H, s, =CH); δ_{C} (67.8 MHz, CDCl₃) 14.1 (Me), 29.3 (Bu'), 34.8 (C), 60.1 (CH₂), 120.5 (CH₂), 150.3 (=C), 167.9 (C=O).

Nitration of 2-methylene-3,3-dimethylbutanoate 32

Nitronium tetrafluoroborate (140 mg, 1.05 mmol) was added to dry acetonitrile (12 ml) in a flame-dried flask flushed with nitrogen. The stirred solution was cooled in a salt-ice bath at -16 °C and ethyl 2-methylene-3,3-dimethylbutanoate (200 mg, 1.30 mmol) was added rapidly. The reaction was stirred for 2 h, water (30 ml) was added and the reaction mixture extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times 30 \text{ ml})$. The combined organic layers were washed with water (30 ml), dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to give a colourless semi-solid (190 mg). Column chromatography (30-70% ethyl acetate-hexane) led to the of 2-methyl-3-ethoxycarbonyl-3-(5-methyl-1,2,4isolation oxadiazol-3-yl) butan-2-yl nitrate 36 as a colourless oil (24.2 mg, 0.084 mmol, 7.9%) [Found: (M + H)⁺ (FAB), 288.1169. $C_{11}H_{17}N_3O_6$ requires $(M + H)^+$, 288.1196]; v_{max}/cm^{-1} 2991, 2939 (CH), 1732 (C=O), 1633 (ON=O), 1590 (C=N), 1297 (ON=O); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (250 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.25 (3 H, t, J7.1, Me), 1.79 (3 H, s, Me), 1.89 (3 H, s, Me), 2.60 (3 H, s, Me), 4.23 (2 H, m, OCH₂); δ_C(100 MHz, CDCl₃) 12.1 (Me), 13.6 (Me), 18.7 (Me), 22.0 (Me), 22.1 (Me), 54.7 (C), 61.8 (OCH₂), 92.8 (C), 169.5 (=C), 169.7 (=C), 175.7 (=C); m/z (FAB) 288 [(M + H)⁺, 75%], 225 (M $^+$ - ONO_2, 100), 184 (M $^+$ - ONO_2 - MeCN, 49), 138 $(M^+ - HNO_3 - MeCN - OEt, 40)$; and ethyl 2,3-dimethyl-2nitromethyl-3-acetamidobutanoate 34 as a white solid (23 mg, 0.088 mmol, 8.4%); mp 78-80 °C [Found: (M + H)⁺ (FAB), 261.1474. $C_{11}H_{20}N_2O_5$ requires $(M + H)^+$, 261.1450]; v_{max} -(CHCl₃)/cm⁻¹ 3434, 3367 (N-H), 2941, 2852 (CH), 1715 (C=O), 1682 (C=O), 1564 (N=O), 1367 (N=O); δ_H(250 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.33 (3 H, t, J7.1, Me), 1.33 (3 H, s, Me), 1.39 (3 H, s, Me), 1.51 (3 H, s, Me), 1.96 (3 H, s, Me), 4.27 (2 H, m, OCH₂), 4.68 (1 H, d, J13.8, CH₂), 5.11 (1 H, d, J13.8, CH₂), 6.05 (1 H, s, NH); $\delta_{\rm C}(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3)$ 13.4 (Me), 15.3 (Me), 22.5 (Me), 23.3 (Me), 24.3 (Me), 52.7 (C), 57.1 (C), 61.5 (OCH₂), 79.6 (CH_2NO_2) , 169.5 (C=O), 172.6 (C=O); m/z (FAB) 261 $[(M + H)^+, 72\%]$, 154 (57), 69 (81), 55 (100).

Synthesis of diethyl 2-cyclopentylpropanedioate

Sodium (1.60 g, 69.6 mmol) was added to dry ethanol (50 ml) in a flask flushed with nitrogen. The solution was brought to reflux and diethyl propanedioate (10.7 g, 10.2 ml, 67.0 mmol) was added. After refluxing for 45 min, cyclopentyl bromide (10.0 g, 10.2 ml, 67.0 mmol) was added. After refluxing for 20 h, the ethanol was distilled off and the residue taken up in water (30 ml) and extracted with dichloromethane (3×30 ml). The combined organic extracts were dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to give a colourless liquid (15.0 g). Distillation gave diethyl 2-cyclopentylpropanedioate as a colourless liquid (12.6 g, 55.3 mmol, 79%); bp 102–105 °C/2 mmHg (lit.,³⁴ 115–117 °C/ ² mmHg) (Found: C, 63.1; H, 8.9. C₁₂H₂₀O₄ requires C, 63.1; H, 8.8%) [Found: M⁺ - OEt (EI), 183.1015. C₁₂H₂₀O₄ requires M - OEt, 183.1021]; v_{max} (film)/cm⁻¹ 2958, 2871 (CH), 1753 and 1734 (C=O), 1147 (C-O); $\delta_{\rm H}(270~{\rm MHz},~{\rm CDCl}_3)$ 1.27 (8 H, m, CH₂ and Me), 1.57 (4 H, m, CH₂), 1.84 (2 H, m, CH₂), 2.48 (1 H, m, CH), 3.17 (1 H, d, J 10.3, CH), 4.19 (4 H, q, J 7.2, OCH₂); δ_c(67.8 MHz, CDCl₃) 13.5 (2 Me), 24.2 (2 CH₂), 30.2 (2 CH₂), 39.1 (CH), 56.8 (CH), 60.4 (2 OCH₂), 168.3 (2 C=O); m/z (EI) 183 (M⁺ – OEt, 25%), 160 (M⁺ – C₅H₈, 100), 133 $(C_5H_9O_4^+, 31).$

Sodium (1.54 g, 66.9 mmol) was added to dry ethanol (50 ml) in a flask flushed with nitrogen. The solution was brought to reflux and diethyl propanedioate (10.7 g, 10.17 ml, 67.0 mmol) was added. After refluxing for 30 min, cyclohexyl bromide (10.9 g, 18.3 ml, 67.0 mmol) was added. After refluxing for 20 h, the ethanol was distilled off, the residue taken up in water (30 ml) and extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times 30 \text{ ml})$. The combined organic extracts were dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to give a yellow liquid (13.3 g). Distillation gave diethyl 2cyclohexylpropanedioate as a colourless liquid (6.50 g, 26.8 mmol, 40%); bp 94-96 °C/0.6 mmHg (lit.,35 122-123 °C/4 mmHg) [Found: M^+ – OEt (EI), 197.1193. $C_{13}H_{22}O_4$ requires M - OEt, 197.1178]; v_{max} (film)/cm⁻¹ 2981, 2929, 2854 (CH), 1732 (C=O); δ_H(250 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.08 (4 H, m, CH₂), 1.27 (6 H, t, J7.2, Me), 1.27 (2 H, m, CH₂), 1.69 (6 H, m, CH₂), 2.07 (1 H, m, CH), 3.13 (1 H, d, J9.2, CH), 4.18 (4 H, q, J7.2, OCH₂); $\delta_{\rm C}(67.8 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3)$ 13.7 (2 Me), 25.6 (2 CH₂), 25.7 (CH₂), 30.3 (2 CH2), 37.5 (CH), 57.9 (CH), 60.5 (2 OCH2), 168.9 (2 C=O); m/z (EI) 197 (M⁺ – OEt, 16%), 160 (M⁺ – C₆H₁₀, 100), 115 ($C_5H_7O_3^+$, 35).

Synthesis of ethyl 2-cyclopentylprop-2-enoate 59

Potassium hydroxide (2.88 g, 51.3 mmol) in dry ethanol (30 ml) was added to a stirred solution of diethyl 2-cyclopentylpropanedioate (11.7 g, 51.3 mmol) in dry ethanol (15 ml) over 10 min. After stirring for 24 h, the ethanol was evaporated off and the viscous residue taken up in water (50 ml) and then extracted with dichloromethane (2×20 ml). The aqueous layer was acidified with concentrated hydrochloric acid (2.9 ml) and extracted with dichloromethane (3×20 ml). The combined organic extracts were dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to give ethyl hydrogen 2-cyclopentylpropanedioate as a colourless oil (8.79 g, 43.9 mmol, 86%).

Ethyl hydrogen 2-cyclopentylpropanedioate (8.79 g, 43.9 mmol) was dissolved in pyridine (32 ml) and piperidine (60.0 mg, 0.71 mmol) and paraformaldehyde (1.32 g, 44.0 mmol) were added. The suspension was refluxed until the end of evolution of carbon dioxide. After cooling, water (40 ml) was added and the reaction extracted with pentane (3×75 ml). The combined pentane extracts were washed with water (30 ml), 2 M hydrochloric acid (30 ml), water (30 ml), saturated aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate (30 ml) and brine (30 ml) then dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to give a colourless liquid.

Column chromatography (10% diethyl ether–pentane), followed by Kugelrohr distillation led to the isolation of ethyl 2-cyclopentylprop-2-enoate **59** as a colourless liquid (4.80 g, 28.3 mmol, 55% overall, 64% from half ester); bp 135 °C/12 mmHg (lit.,³⁶ 50–51 °C/0.5 mmHg) [Found: M⁺ (EI), 168.1148; C₁₀H₁₆O₂ requires *M*, 168.1150]; v_{max} (film)/cm⁻¹ 2955, 2871 (CH), 1717 (C=O), 1628 (C=C); δ_{H} (250 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.30 (3 H, t, *J*7.1, Me), 1.40 (2 H, m, CH₂), 1.63 (4 H, m, CH₂), 1.92 (2 H, m, CH₂), 2.86 (1 H, m, CH), 4.21 (2 H, q, *J*7.1, OCH₂), 5.52 (1 H, dd, *J*1.1, 1.1, CH₂), 6.10 (1 H, dd, *J*1.1, 1.1, CH₂); δ_{C} (67.8 MHz, CDCl₃) 13.9 (2 Me), 24.7 (2 CH₂), 31.6 (2 CH₂), 41.2 (CH), 60.1 (OCH₂), 121.1 (=CH₂), 144.6 (=C), 167.2 (2 C=O); *m*/z (EI) 168 (M⁺, 32%), 140 (M⁺ - C₂H₄, 28), 123 (M⁺ - OEt, 46), 95 (C₇H₁₁⁺, 100).

Synthesis of ethyl 2-cyclohexylprop-2-enoate 60³⁷

Potassium hydroxide (1.39 g, 24.8 mmol) in dry ethanol (10 ml) was added to a stirred solution of diethyl 2-cyclohexylpropanedioate (6.00 g, 24.8 mmol) in dry ethanol (22 ml) over 15 min. After stirring for 24 h, the ethanol was evaporated off, the viscous residue taken up in water (30 ml) and extracted with dichloromethane (2 × 20 ml). The aqueous layer was acidified with concentrated hydrochloric acid (1.5 ml) and extracted with dichloromethane (3 × 30 ml). The combined organic extracts were dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to give ethyl hydrogen 2-cyclohexylpropanedioate as a colourless oil (4.79 g, 22.4 mmol, 90%).

Ethyl hydrogen 2-cyclohexylpropanedioate (4.79 g, 22.4 mmol) was dissolved in pyridine (25 ml) and piperidine (35.0 mg, 0.42 mmol) and paraformaldehyde (890 mg, 22.4 mmol) were added. The suspension was refluxed until the end of evolution of carbon dioxide. After cooling, water (30 ml) was added and the reaction extracted with pentane (3×30 ml). The combined pentane extracts were washed with water (30 ml), dilute hydrochloric acid (30 ml), water (30 ml), saturated aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate (30 ml) and brine (30 ml) then dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to give a colourless liquid (2.98 g).

Column chromatography (4% diethyl ether-pentane) led to the isolation of ethyl 2-cyclohexylprop-2-enoate **60** as a colour-less liquid (2.90 g, 15.9 mmol, 64% overall, 72% from half ester) [Found: M^+ (EI), 182.1305. $C_{11}H_{18}O_2$ requires *M*, 182.1307]; $v_{max}(film)/cm^{-1}$ 2926, 2980, 2852 (CH), 1716 (C=O), 1627 (C=C); $\delta_{H}(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ 1.13 (2 H, m, CH₂), 1.33 (2 H, m, CH₂), 1.76 (6 H, m, CH₂), 2.44 (1 H, m, CH), 4.20 (2 H, q, *J*7.1, OCH₂), 5.46 (1 H, dd, *J* 1.0, 1.0, =CH₂), 6.09 (1 H, d, *J* 1.0, =CH₂); $\delta_{C}(67.8 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ 14.0 (Me), 26.1 (CH₂), 26.5 (2 CH₂), 32.4 (2 CH₂), 38.9 (CH), 60.3 (OCH₂), 121.5 (=CH₂), 146.4 (=C), 167.2 (C=O); *m/z* (EI) 182 (M⁺, 57%), 153 (M⁺ - Et, 23), 137 (M⁺ - OEt, 52), 67 (C₅H₇⁺, 100).

Nitration of ethyl 2-cyclopentylprop-2-enoate 59

Nitronium tetrafluoroborate (995 mg, 7.48 mmol) was added to dry acetonitrile (30 ml) in a flask flushed with nitrogen. The stirred solution was cooled in an ice bath at -16 °C and ethyl 2cyclopentylprop-2-enoate (1.26 g, 7.48 mmol) was added in one portion. The reaction was stirred for 4 h, water (30 ml) was added and the reaction was extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times 30 \text{ ml})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with water, dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to give a yellow oil (1.76 g). Column chromatography (10-30-50-70-100% ethyl actate-hexane) led to the recovery of ethyl 2-cyclopentylprop-2enoate 59 as a colourless oil (180 mg, 1.07 mmol; 14.5%); and to the isolation of 2-ethoxycarbonyl-2-(5-methyl-1,2,4-oxadiazol-3yl) cyclohexyl nitrate 63 as a 1:4 mixture of diastereomers, as a colourless oil (234 mg, 0.78 mmol, 10.5%, 16% based on recovered starting material) [Found: $(M + H)^+$ (FAB), $C_{12}H_{17}N_3O_6$ requires $(M + H)^+$, 300.1196]; 300.1159. λ_{max} (EtOH)/nm 212 (ϵ /dm³ mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹ 1760); v_{max} (film)/cm⁻¹ 2944, 2869 (CH), 1738 (C=O), 1633 (ON=O), 1588 (C=N), 1281 (ON=O); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.19 (t, J7.1, Me)*, 1.25 (t, J7.2, Me), 1.45 (m, CH₂), 1.65 (m, CH₂), 2.02 (m, CH₂), 2.22 (m, CH2), 2.47 (m, CH2), 2.62 (s, Me), 2.66 (s, Me)*, 4.15 (m, OCH2)*, 4.26 (m, OCH2), 5.97 (m, CHONO2), 6.10 (m, CHONO₂)*; δ_c(100 MHz, CDCl₂) 12.1 (Me), 13.7 (Me)*, 13.7 (Me), 19.7 (CH2)*, 20.4 (CH2), 20.7 (CH2)*, 21.5 (CH2), 25.9 (CH₂)*, 26.3 (CH₂), 27.9 (CH₂)*, 28.8 (CH₂), 50.4 (C)*, 50.8 (C), 61.9 (OCH2)*, 62.1 (OCH2), 79.8 (CH)*, 80.0 (CH), 168.4 (=C)*, 168.9 (=C), 168.9 (=C)*, 169.2 (=C), 176.7 (=C), 177.1 (=C)*; m/z $(FAB) \ \ 300 \ \ [(M+H)^+, \ \ 100\%], \ \ 253 \ \ (M^+-NO_2, \ \ 9), \ \ 237$ $(M^+ - ONO_2, 5)$, 226 $(M^+ - NO_2 - C_2H_4 + H, 17)$; and two separable diastereomers of ethyl 2-acetamido-1-nitromethylcyclohexanecarboxylate 61. Diastereomer 1 was a white crystalline solid (127 mg, 0.47 mmol, 6.3%, 9.7% based on recovered starting material); mp 130-132 °C [Found: (M + H)⁺ (FAB), $C_{12}H_{20}N_2O_5$ requires $(M + H)^+$, 273.1450]; 273.1418. v_{max}(CHCl₃)/cm⁻¹ 3429 (N-H), 2945, 2862 (CH), 1726, 1667 (C=O), 1563, 1372 (N=O); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.32 (3 H, t, J 7.1, Me), 1.35 (3 H, m, CH₂), 1.67 (4 H, m, CH₂), 1.95 (3 H, s, Me), 2.23 (1 H, m, CH₂), 4.04 (1 H, m, CH), 4.28 (2 H, q, J7.1, OCH₂), 4.50 (1 H, d, J12.6, CH₂), 4.66 (1 H, d, J12.6, CH₂), 6.60 (1 H, br d, J 9.0, NH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 13.8 (Me), 21.7 (CH₂), 23.0 (Me), 24.5 (CH₂), 30.0 (CH₂), 32.4 (CH₂), 50.4 (C), 52.3 (CH), 61.7 (CH₂), 82.1 (CH₂), 169.7 (C=O), 172.1 (C=O); m/z (FAB) 273 [(M + H)⁺, 100%]; m/z (EI) 226 (M⁺ - NO₂,

Published on 01 January 1997. Downloaded on 30/10/2014 10:30:56.

50%), 184 ($M^+ - NO_2 - Ac + H$, 65), 138 ($C_8H_{12}NO$, 100); and diastereomer 2 was a white crystalline solid (96 mg, 0.35 mmol, 4.7%, 7.2% based on recovered starting material); mp 158–159 °C [Found: $(M\,+\,H)^{\,+},\,273.1463.\ C_{12}H_{21}N_2O_5$ requires $(M + H)^+$, 273.1450]; v_{max} (CHCl₃)/cm⁻¹ 1733, 1680 (C=O), 1557, 1376 (ON=O); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (250 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.22 (3 H, t, J7.1, Me), 1.50 (6 H, m, CH₂), 1.73 (2 H, m, CH₂), 1.95 (3 H, s, Me), 4.14 (2 H, m, OCH₂), 4.45 (1 H, m, CH), 4.53 (1 H, d, J 13.8, CH₂), 4.85 (1 H, d, J 13.8, CH₂), 6.73 (1 H, br d, J 9.4, NH); $\delta_{\rm C}(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3)$ 13.9 (Me), 20.9 (CH₂), 21.9 (CH₂), 23.1 (Me), 28.0 (CH₂), 28.3 (CH₂), 49.1 (C), 50.1 (CH), 61.7 (CH₂), 77.4 (CH₂), 170.2 (C=O), 172.0 (C=O); m/z (FAB) 273 $[(M + H)^+, 100\%]$, 226 $(M^+ - NO_2, 13)$; m/z (EI) 226 $(M^+ - NO_2, 50\%), 184 (M^+ - NO_2 - Ac + H, 55), 138$ (C₈H₁₂NO, 100).

Nitration of ethyl 2-cyclohexylprop-2-enoate 60

Nitronium tetrafluoroborate (565 mg, 4.65 mmol) was added to dry acetonitrile (20 ml) in a flask flushed with nitrogen. The stirred solution was cooled in an ice bath at -16 °C and ethyl 2-cyclohexylprop-2-enoate (774 mg, 4.25 mmol) was added in one portion. The reaction was stirred for 4 h, water (30 ml) was added and the reaction was extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times 30 \text{ ml})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with water, dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated to give a yellow oil (1.04 g). Column chromatography (10-30-50-70–100% ethyl acetate–hexane) led to the recovery of ethyl 2cyclohexylprop-2-enoate 60 as a colourless oil (233 mg, 1.38 mmol, 18.5%) and to the isolation of 2-ethoxycarbonyl-2-(5methyl-1,2,4-oxadiazol-3-yl) cycloheptyl nitrate 64 as a 3:4 mixture of two diastereomers, as a colourless oil (227 mg, 0.73 mmol, 15.6%, 40% based on recovered starting material) (Found: C, 50.1; H, 6.5; N, 13.3. $C_{13}H_{19}N_3O_6$ requires C, 49.8; H, 6.1; N, 13.4%) [Found: $M^+ - NO_2$ (EI), 251.1420. C₁₃H₁₉O₆N₃ requires M – NO₂, 251.1396]; λ_{max} (EtOH)/nm 214 (ε /dm³ mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹ 1005); ν_{max} (film)/cm⁻¹ 2937 and 2867 (CH), 1738 (C=O), 1632 (ON=O), 1588 (C=N), 1279 (ON=O); $\delta_{\rm H}(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3)$ 1.12 (t, J 7.1, Me), 1.14 (t, J 7.2, Me), $1.58 \ (m, \ CH_2), \ 1.90 \ (m, \ CH_2), \ 2.22 \ (m, \ CH_2), \ 2.49 \ (s, \ Me),$ 2.50 (s, Me), 4.14 (2 H in 2 diastereomers, m, OCH_2), 5.86(1 H in 2 diastereomers, dd, J 10.0, 8.5, CHONO₂); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 12.11 (Me), 13.7 (Me), 22.3 (CH₂), 22.8 (CH₂), 23.0 (CH2), 23.1 (CH2), 27.4 (CH2), 28.0 (CH2), 28.6 (CH2), 28.9 (CH2), 32.1 (2 CH2), 54.4 (C), 54.7 (C), 62.0 (CH2), 62.1 (CH₂), 83.6 (CH), 84.2 (CH), 169.3 (2=C), 170.2 (2=C), 176.4 (=C), 176.7 (=C); m/z (EI) 267 (M⁺ – NO₂, 12%), 251 $(M^+ - ONO_2, 3)$, 151 $(C_9H_{11}O_2, 83)$; and two separable diastereomers of ethyl 2-acetamido-1-nitromethylcycloheptanecarboxylate 62. Diastereomer 1 was a white crystalline solid (16.9 mg, 0.06 mmol, 1.3%, 3.2% based on recovered starting material); mp 120-121.5 °C (Found: C, 54.8; H, 8.1; N, 9.7. $C_{13}H_{22}O_5N_2$ requires C, 54.6; H, 7.7; N, 9.8%) [Found: $(M + H)^+$ (EI), 287.1641. $C_{13}H_{22}N_2O_5$ requires $(M + H)^+$, 287.1607]; v_{max}(CHCl₃)/cm⁻¹ 3438 (N–H), 2933, 2859 (CH), 1726 (C=O), 1679 (C=O), 1558 (N=O), 1373 (N=O); $\delta_{\rm H}(\rm 250$ MHz, CDCl₃) 1.30 (3 H, t, J 7.1, Me), 1.38 (1 H, m, CH₂), 1.78 (8 H, m, CH₂), 2.00 (3 H, s, Me), 2.21 (1 H, m, CH₂), 4.22 (2 H, q, J7.1, OCH₂), 4.53 (1 H, d, J13.1, CH₂), 4.67 (1 H, m, CH), 4.83 (1 H, d, J 13.1, CH₂), 5.81 (1 H, br d, J 11.1, NH); $\delta_{\rm C}(67.8 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ 13.7 (Me), 22.7 (CH₂), 22.9 (Me), 23.9 (CH₂), 27.6 (CH₂), 31.1 (CH₂), 31.2 (CH₂), 51.8 (C), 52.9 (CH), 61.5 (CH₂), 79.0 (CH₂), 169.7 (C=O), 172.7 (C=O); m/z (EI) 287 [(M + H)⁺, 1], 240 (M⁺ - NO₂, 21), 198 $(M^{+} - NO_{2} - Ac + H, 77), 152 (M^{+} - OEt - NO_{2} - Ac,$ 95), 43 (Ac⁺, 100); and diastereomer 2 was a white crystalline solid (167 mg, 0.58 mmol, 12.6%, 31.8% based on recovered starting material); mp 128–131 °C; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.27 (1 H, m, CH₂), 1.33 (3 H, t, J 7.1, Me), 1.61 (7 H, m, CH₂),

1.98 (1 H, m, CH₂), 1.93 (3 H, s, Me), 2.05 (1 H, m, CH₂), 4.15 (1 H, t, J 9.1, CH), 4.30 (2 H, q, J 7.1, OCH₂), 4.52 (1 H, d, J 12.9, CH₂), 4.69 (1 H, d, J 12.9, CH₂), 6.41 (1 H, br d, J 9.1, NH); δ_c(67.8 MHz, CDCl₃) 14.0 (Me), 22.3 (CH₂), 23.3 (Me), 25.1 (CH₂), 27.0 (CH₂), 32.4 (CH₂), 33.1 (CH₂), 53.0 (C), 54.2 (CH), 61.9 (CH₂), 82.0 (CH₂), 169.4 (C=O), 172.8 (C=O).

References

- 1 P. G. Gassman and T. T. Tidwell, Acc. Chem. Res., 1983, 16, 279.
- 2 T. T. Tidwell, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1984, 23, 20.
- 3 X. Creary, Chem. Rev., 1991, 91, 1625.
- 4 X. Creary, A. C. Hopkinson and E. Lee-Ruff, in Advances in Carbocation Chemistry, ed. X. Creary, JAI Press Inc., Greenwich, 1989, vol. 1, pp. 45-92.
- 5 J.-P. Bégué and M. Charpentier-Morize, Acc. Chem. Res., 1980, 13, 207
- 6 X. Creary, Acc. Chem. Res., 1985, 18, 3.
- 7 C.-G. Shin, M. Masaki and M. Ohta, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1970, 43, 3219.
- 8 C.-G. Shin, Y. Yonezawa, H. Narukawa, K. Nanjo and J. Yoshimura, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1972, 45, 3595.
- 9 A. I. Titov, Tetrahedron, 1963, 19, 557.
- 10 A. A. Borisenko, A. V. Nikulin, S. Wolfe, N. S. Zefirov and N. V. Zyk, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, 106, 1074.
- 11 For computational studies of the addition of NO₂⁺ to ethene, see F. Bernardi and W. J. Hehre, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1973, 95, 3078; J. T. Gleghorn and G. Torossian, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1987, 1303; F. Bernardi, M. A. Robb, I. Rossi and A. Venturini, J. Org. Chem., 1993, 58, 7074.
- 12 M. V. R. Reddy, B. Mehrotra and Y. D. Vankar, Tetrahedron Lett., 1995, 36, 4861.
- 13 For a preliminary account of this work, see S. A. Hewlins, J. A. Murphy and J. Lin, Tetrahedron Lett., 1995, 36, 3039; J. A. Murphy, S. A. Hewlins, M. Hursthouse, D. Hibbs and J. Lin, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1995, 559.
- 14 E. J. Corey and M. Chaykovsky, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1962, 84, 867.
- 15 P. S. Skell and I. Starer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1960, 82, 2971.
- 16 M. S. Silver, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1960, 82, 2971.
- 17 W. Koch, B. Liu and P. v. R. Schleyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 3479.
- 18 S. Sieber, P. Buzek, P. v. R. Schleyer, W. Koch and J. Walkimar de M. Carneiro, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 259.
- 19 C. J. Collins, Chem. Rev., 1969, 69, 543.
- 20 C. C. Lee, Prog. Phys. Org. Chem., 1970, 7, 129.
- 21 M. Saunders, P. Vogel, E. L. Hagen and J. Rosenfeld, Acc. Chem. Res., 1973, 6, 53.
- 22 X. Creary and C. C. Geiger, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, 4151.
- 23 J. C. Lopez, R. Alonso and B. Fraser-Reid, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 6471; G. D. Vite and B. Fraser-Reid, Synth. Commun., 1988, 18, 1339. A. S. Batsanov, M. J. Begley, R. J. Fletcher, J. A. Murphy and M. S. Sherburn, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1995, 1281.
- 24 F. Eloy, Fortschr. Chem. Forsch., 1965, 4, 807.
- 25 T. Mukaiyama and T. Hoshino, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1960, 82, 5339.
- 26 R. Huisgen, W. Mack and E. Anneser, Tetrahedron Lett., 1961, 2, 587.
- 27 A. A. Stotskii, V. V. Kirichenko and L. I. Bagal, Zh. Org. Khim., 1973, **9**, 2464.
- 28 A. A. Stotskii and V. V. Kirichenko, Zh. Org. Khim., 1981, 17, 1373.
- 29 H. Amri, M. Rambaud and J. Villiéras, J. Örganomet. Chem., 1990, **384**. 1.
- 30 G. A. Marshalok, I. I. Yatchishin and D. K. Tolopko, Zh. Org. Khim., 1981, 17, 694.
- 31 H. Stetter and H. Kuhlmann, Synthesis, 1979, 29.
- 32 G. Cahiez and M. Alami, Tetrahedron, 1989, 45, 4163.
- 33 K. Lipinski, T. Lipinska, A. Suszko-Purzycka and A. Rykowski, Pol. J. Chem., 1993, 67, 667.
- 34 G. R. Yohe and R. Adams, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1928, **50**, 1503. 35 G. S. Hiers and R. Adams, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1926, **48**, 2385.
- 36 D. S. Deorha and P. Gupta, Chem. Ber., 1965, 98, 1722.
- 37 J. A. Marshall, N. H. Andersen and A. R. Hochstetler, J. Org. Chem., 1967, 32, 113.

Paper 6/07170H Received 21st October 1996 Accepted 7th February 1997