Ni(acac)₂-catalyzed cross-coupling and carbonylative cross-coupling of organostannanes with hypervalent iodonium salts

PERKIN

Suk-Ku Kang,* Hyung-Chul Ryu and Sang-Woo Lee

Department of Chemistry, Sungkyunkwan University, Natural Science Campus, Suwon 440-746, Korea

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 27th July 1999, Accepted 31st August 1999

The Ni-catalyzed cross-coupling and carbonylative cross-coupling of organostannanes with hypervalent iodonium salts were achieved in the presence of Ni(acac)₂ (10 mol%) in NMP at 70 $^{\circ}$ C in moderate yields.

The palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling and carbonylative cross-coupling of organostannanes with organic electrophiles (i.e., halides and triflates) are known as the Stille reaction 1 and have become an extremely powerful tool for carbon-carbon bond formation. In the search for alternatives to the palladium catalyst, the copper and manganese-catalyzed cross-coupling of organostannanes with organic halides has been reported.² Hypervalent iodine compounds have received much attention as the electrophiles with organostannanes in palladiumcatalyzed reactions 3 due to their good reactivities, ready availability and nontoxic properties. Recently we have reported⁴ copper(I)-catalyzed cross-coupling and carbonylative crosscoupling of organostannanes with hypervalent iodine compounds. Here we wish to report nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling and carbonylative cross-coupling of iodonium salts with organostannanes.

Generally, to generate active nickel(0) species a reducing agent such as Zn, NaBH₄, or DIBAL-H is needed. Thus, in the nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling of organostannanes with arylmethanesulfonates by Percec *et al.*⁵ the presence of zinc was essential to get an active catalyst. However in the literature, Ni(dppf)Cl₂-catalyzed cross-coupling of chloroarenes with arylboronic acids was realized in the absence of a reducing agent.⁶ We have studied nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling and carbonylative cross-coupling of hypervalent iodonium salts with organostannanes and found that the catalyst Ni(acac)₂ is effective and surprisingly the catalyst performed much better when the reducing agent was omitted (Scheme 1).

$$\begin{array}{ll} R^{1}\,SnBu_{3} & + & R^{2}\,I^{+}\,PhX^{-} & \dfrac{Ni(acac)_{2}\,(10\;mol\%)}{NMP,\,70\;^{o}C,\,8\;h} \\ \\ R^{1} = Ph,\,p\text{-MeOC}_{6}H_{4} \\ \\ X = BF_{4},\,OTf,\,Br \\ \\ R^{2} = p\text{-MeOC}_{6}H_{4}\,2\text{-thienyl},\,2\text{-furyl},\,(\emph{E})\text{-PhCH=CH}, \end{array}$$

Scheme 1

Initially, we examined the cross-coupling of *p*-methoxyphenyl(phenyl)iodonium tetrafluoroborate (**1b**) with 2-thienyltributylstannane (**2c**) to form the coupled product **3g** and to find optimum conditions. After a series of experiments, it was found that of the catalysts tested [Ni(acac)₂, [Ni(acac)₂–Zn, NiCl₂(Ph₃P)₂, NiCl₂(Ph₃P)₂–Zn, NiCl₂(dppe), Ni(acac)₂–Zn–Ph₃P, Ni(acac)₂–Et₂Zn, Ni(acac)₂–ZnCl₂, NiCl₂(dppe)–Zn, NiCl₂] Ni(acac)₂ was the only catalyst which afforded the coupled product in a high yield. Of the solvents tested (NMP, DMF, CH₃CN, CHCl₃, THF, CHCl₃–NMP) the solvents NMP and DMF were effective and NMP was the best choice.

The nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling of hypervalent iodonium salts with organostannanes is summarized in Table 1. The di-

phenyliodonium tetrafluoroborate (1a) reacted with 2-thienyltributylstannane (2c) in the presence of Ni(acac)₂ (10 mol%) in NMP at 70 °C for 8 h to afford 2-phenylthiophene (3a) 7 in 79% yield (entry 1 in Table 1). Under the same conditions, treatment of the iodonium salt 1a with 2-furyltributylstannane (2d) gave 2-phenylfuran (3b)⁸ in 78% yield (entry 2). This coupling was applied to alkenyl- and alkynylstannane 2e and 2f. The iodonium salt 1a was readily coupled with 2e and 2f to provide the coupled alkene 3c and alkyne 3d in 82 and 80% yields, respectively (entries 3 and 4) (see Experimental section). For the p-methoxyphenyl(phenyl)iodonium tetrafluoroborate (1b), reaction with p-methoxyphenyltributylstannane (2b) gave 4,4'dimethoxy-1,1'-biphenyl (3e) in 73% yield (entry 5). Coupling of 1b with 2-furyl- and 2-thienyltributylstannane (2d) and (2c) afforded p-methoxyphenyl-substituted furan and thiophene **3f** and $3g^9$ in 71 and 77% yields, respectively (entries 6 and 7). For the *p*-methoxyphenyl(phenyl)iodonium triflate and bromide (1c) and (1d), which have different counterions from 1b, reaction with 2c afforded the coupled product 3g in 75 and 78% yields (entries 8 and 9). It is notable that the yields of crosscoupling were not dependent on the counterions.

In considering a plausible mechanism for the coupling, it is presumed that the oxidative addition of highly reactive electrophilic iodonium salt **1a** with Ni(0) gives polar and reactive PhNi(II)L₂, which if subjected to transmetallation with organostannanes followed by reductive elimination would give the cross-coupled product.

This cross-coupling was extended to carbonylation cross-coupling and the results of carbonylative cross-coupling of hypervalent iodonium salts with organostannanes under atmospheric pressure are summarized in Scheme 2 and Table 2.

$$R^{1} \operatorname{SnBu}_{3} + R^{2} \operatorname{I}^{1} \operatorname{PhBF}_{4} \xrightarrow{\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Ni}(\operatorname{acac})_{2}(10 \operatorname{mol}\%) \\ \\ \operatorname{NMP}, 70 \, ^{\circ}\mathrm{C}, 8 \operatorname{h} \\ \\ \operatorname{CO}(1 \operatorname{atm}) \end{array}} R^{1} \operatorname{COR}^{2}$$

$$R^{1} = \operatorname{Ph}, p\text{-MeOC}_{6}H_{4}, (E)\text{-PhCH=CH}$$

$$R^{2} = \operatorname{Ph}, 2\text{-thienyl}, 2\text{-furyl}, (E)\text{-PhCH=CH},$$

Scheme 2

The iodonium salt **1a** reacted with phenyltributylstannane (**2a**) in the presence of Ni(acac)₂ (10 mol%) in NMP under an atmospheric pressure of carbon monoxide at 70 °C for 8 h to afford benzophenone (**4a**) in 81% yield (entry 1 in Table 2) (see Experimental section). Under the same conditions, 2-thienyltributylstannane (**2c**) was readily coupled with carbon monoxide to give the ketone **4b**¹⁰ in 76% yield (entry 2). This carbonylative cross-coupling was applied to alkenyl- and alkynyl-substituted stannanes **2e** and **2f** which were smoothly coupled to afford unsaturated ketones **4c** and **4d**¹¹ in 72 and 78% yields, respectively (entries 3 and 4). For *p*-methoxyphenyl(phenyl)iodonium tetrafluoroborate (**1b**), 2-thienyltributylstannane (**2c**) was readily coupled under CO to give aryl

J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1999, 2661–2663 266

Table 1 Ni(acac)₂-catalyzed cross-coupling of organostannanes with hypervalent iodonium salts

Entry	Hypervalent iodonium salts	Organostannanes	Product	Isolated yield (%)
1	${ m Ph_2}{ m I}^+{ m BF_4}^-$ 1a	$SnBu_3$	Ph	79
2	1a	2c SnBu ₃ 2d	3a Ph 3b	78
3	1a	Ph SnBu ₃ 2e	Ph Ph	82
4	1a	Ph————————————————————————————————————	Ph————————————————————————————————————	80
5	MeO \longrightarrow $I^+ Ph BF_4^-$	MeO——SnBu ₃	MeO — OMe	73
	1b	2b	3 e	
6	1b	$SnBu_3$ 2d	OMe 3f	71
7	1b	$SnBu_3$	OMe	77
8	MeO——I* Ph *OTf	SnBu ₃	3g OMe $3g$	75
9	$MeO \longrightarrow I^{+} Ph Br^{-}$ $1d$	2c	3g	78

ketone $4e^{10}$ in 70% yield (entry 5). Treatment of 1b with alkynyl-substituted stannane 2f afforded ynone $4f^{12}$ in 69% yield (entry 6). Finally the alkenyl-substituted iodonium salt 1c was reacted with 2-furyltributylstannane (2d) to afford the enone $4g^{13}$ in 65% yield (entry 7).

Experimental

Typical procedure

To a mixture of diphenyliodonium tetrafluoroborate (1a) (500 mg, 1.36 mmol) and nickel(II) acetylacetonate (34.9 mg, 10 mol%) was added tributyl(phenylethynyl)stannane (2f) (532 mg, 1.36 mmol) under N₂ charged at 70 °C in NMP (20 ml). The reaction mixture was stirred at 70 °C for 8 h, extracted with ether (20 mL \times 3) and washed with water (20 mL \times 3). The organic layer was dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and evaporated *in vacuo*. The crude product was separated by SiO₂ column chromatography (hexanes, $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.49) to afford diphenylacetylene (3d) (194 mg, 80%).

To a mixture of diphenyliodonium tetrafluoroborate (1a) (500 mg, 1.36 mmol) and nickel(II) acetylacetonate (34.9 mg, 10 mol%) was added tributylphenylstannane (2a) (499 mg 1.36

mmol) under atmospheric CO at 70 °C in NMP (20 ml). The reaction mixture was stirred at 70 °C for 8 h, extracted with ether (20 mL × 3), and washed with water (20 mL × 3). The organic layer was dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was separated by SiO₂ column chromatography (EtOAc–hexanes 1:10 R_f = 0.45) to afford benzophenone (4a) (200 mg, 81%).

Acknowledgements

Generous financial support by KOSEF(99-0501-02-01-3) and KOSEF-Center for Molecular Design and Synthesis (CMDS).

Notes and references

- 1 Review: (a) J. K. Stille, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1986, 25, 508; (b) T. N. Mitchell, Synthesis, 1992, 803.
- 2 (a) J. R. Falck, R. K. Bhatt and J. Ye, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 117, 5973–5982; (b) S.-K. Kang, J.-S. Kim and S.-C. Choi, J. Org. Chem., 1997, 62, 4208–4209.
- 3 (a) R. M. Moriarty and W. R. Epa, Tetrahedron Lett., 1992, 33, 4095–4098; (b) R. T. Hinkle, G. T. Poulter and P. J. Stang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 11626–11627; (c) S.-K. Kang, H.-W. Lee,

2662 J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1999, 2661–2663

Table 2 Ni(acac)₂-catalyzed carbonylative cross-coupling of organostannanes with hypervalent iodonium salts

Entry	Hypervalent iodonium salts	Organostannanes	Product	Isolated yield (%)
1	$Ph_2I^+BF_4^ 1a$	PhSnBu ₃ 2 a	PhCOPh 4 a	81
2	1a	SnBu ₃	COPh 4b	76
3	1a	Ph SnBu ₃ 2e	Ph COPh 4c	72
4	1a	Ph———SnBu ₃	Ph————COPh 4d	78
5	$MeO \longrightarrow I^+ Ph BF_4^-$	S $SnBu_3$	CO—CO—OMe	70
	1b	2 c	4 c	
6	1b	Ph ———— $SnBu_3$	Ph————————————————————————————————————	69
7	Ph It	2f SnBu ₃ 2d	4f CO Ph 4g	65

- J.-S. Kim and S.-C. Choi, Tetrahedron Lett., 1996, 37, 3733-3736; (d) S.-K. Kang, H.-W. Lee, S.-B. Jang, T.-H. Kim and J.-S. Kim, *Synth. Commun.*, 1996, **26**, 4311–4318.
- 4 S.-K. Kang, T. Yamaguchi, T.-H. Kim and P.-S. Ho, J. Org. Chem., 1996, **61**, 9082–9083.
- 5 V. Percec, J.-Y. Bae and D. H. Hill, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1995, **60**, 6895–6903.
- 6 A. F. Indolese, Tetrahedron Lett., 1997, 38, 3513–3516.
- 7 (a) T. Sotoyama, S. Hara and A. Suzuki, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1979, **52**, 1865–1866; (*b*) E. I. Negishi, F. T. Luo, R. Frishee and H. Matsushita, *Heterocycles*, 1992, **22**, 1667–1669.
- 8 A. Pelter, M. Rowland and G. Clements, Synthesis, 1987, 51–53.
- 9 L. T. Baldwin, S. Pakray, R. Castle and M. L. Lee, J. Heterocycl. Chem., 1985, 22, 1667-1669.
- 10 S.-K. Kang, K.-H. Lim, P.-S. Ho, S.-K. Yoon and H.-J. Son, Synth.
- Commun., 1998, 28, 1481–1489.
 L. Delaude, A. M. Masdeu and H. Alper, Synthesis, 1994, 1149– 1151.
- 12 T. Kobayashi and M. Tanaka, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1981, 333–334.
- 13 M. A. Ciafolin and N. E. Byrne, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1988, 1230-1231.

Communication 9/06086C