- [7] J. D. Corbett, Struct. Bonding (Berlin) 1997, 87, 157, and references therein.
- [8] T. A. Albright, J. K. Burdett, M. H.Whangbo, Orbital Interaction in Chemistry, Wiley, New York, 1985.
- [9] The spatial drawing of MO obitals was ploted with Molden v3.3 program. The Molden package was written by G. Schaftenaar, CAOS/ CAMM Center Nijmegen, Toernooiveld, Nijmegen, The Netherlands 1997.
- [10] a) Z. Lin, I. D. Williams, Polyhedron 1996, 15, 3277; b) Z. Lin, M.-F.Fan, Struct. Bonding (Berlin) 1997, 87, 35; c) Z. Xu, Z. Lin, Chem. Eur. J. 1998. 4. 28.
- [11] a) G. Igel-Mann, H. Stoll, H. Preuss, Mol. Phys. 1988, 65, 1321; b) D. Andrae, U. Haeussermann, M. Dolg, H. Stoll, H. Preuss, Theor. Chim. Acta 1990, 77, 123; c) W. Kuechle, M. Dolg, H. Stoll, H. Preuss, Mol. Phys. 1991, 74, 1245.
- [12] M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, P. M. W. Gill, B. G. Johnson, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, T. Keith, G. A. Petersson, J. A. Montgomery, K. Raghavachari, M. A. Al-Laham, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. V. Ortiz, J. B. Foresman, J. Cioslowski, B. B. Stefanov, A. Nanayakkara, M. Challacombe, C. Y. Peng, P. Y. Ayala, W. Chen, M. W. Wong, J. L. Andres, E. S. Replogle, R. Gomperts, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, J. S. Binkley, D. J. Defrees, J. Baker, J. P. Stewart, M. Head-Gordon, C. Gonzalez, J. A. Pople, Gaussian, Inc., Pittsburgh PA, 1995.

propane with 99% ee by adding only 1 mol% of a chiral copper catalyst,^[5] an exotic and very hindered ester had to be used to obtain a trans/cis ratio of 94/6. Starting from the inexpensive and commercially available ethyl ester, low trans/ cis diastereoselectivities (trans/cis \approx 70/30) are obtained. Further difficulties arise from the fact that diazo reagents have to be used and that they have to be added slowly (over about 16 h for 0.02 mol) to avoid the formation of side products.^[6, 7] Therefore, for large-scale preparations the sulfur ylide method might be more promising, as already stated by Corey.^[8] However, until now the chirality had only been located on the olefinic moiety, and either yields^[9] or diastereomeric excesses^[10] were low. In the method described here the chirality is located at the sulfur center of the reagent, which is recoverable and can thus be reused (Scheme 1).

R = p-NCC₆ H_4 , Y = TfO; **2c**: R = p-tBuC₆ H_4 , $Y = BF_4$; **2c'**: R = p-

 $tBuC_6H_4$, Y = TfO; 2d: R = 2-naphthyl, Y = BF₄; 4a: R = Ph; 4b: R = p-

(Arylmethyl)sulfonium salts 2a - d were prepared in about 80-85% yield from RCH₂OH, Tf₂O, and pyridine in

CH₂Cl₂^[11] or from RCH₂Br AgBF₄. Only one diastereomer

was detected by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy, and the axial

position was assigned for the arylmethyl group in 2b - d based

on a comparison with spectra of the known 2a.[12] The

corresponding ylides were generated in situ with either NaH

or $EtN=P(NMe_2)_2-N=P(NMe_2)_3$ ("Et-P₂")^[13] as base (Ta-

bles 1 and 2). With NaH in THF at -30° C full conversions

were achieved, as seen from the ¹H NMR spectra of the crude

product, but 24 to 76 hours were required. Whereas cyclo-

NCC₆H₄; **4c**: $R = p - tBuC_6H_4$; **4d**: R = 2-naphthyl. B = NaH, $Et-P_2$.^[13]



Two-Step Synthesis of trans-2-Arylcyclopropane Carboxylates with 98–100% ee by the Use of a Phosphazene Base

Arlette Solladié-Cavallo,* Ahn Diep-Vohuule, and Thomas Isarno

We found recently that oxathiane 1 (see Scheme 1) is a very efficient chiral auxiliary that allows the preparation of various pure trans-diarylepoxides in high yields ($\approx 85\%$) and with high enantiomeric purities (98.5-99.9%).^[1] We report here the extension of this method to the synthesis of disubstituted cyclopropanes.

Since the first Simmons-Smith reaction in 1958,^[2] extensive work has been devoted to the synthesis of enantiomerically enriched polysubstituted cyclopropanes. Pure trans- (or pure cis-) disubstituted cyclopropanes with levels of enantioselectivity up to 93% have been obtained from *trans*- (or *cis*-) olefins by the use of stoichiometric quantities of an external chiral promoter and an excess of the preformed Zn(CH₂I)₂. DME complex.^[3] However, with catalytic amounts of a chiral promoter only 90% ee could be achieved.^[4] Although styrene was converted into a trans-alkoxycarbonyl-substituted cyclo-

propane 4a was isolated in high yield (83%) and with complete enantioselectivity (100% ee), 4b and 4d were obtained with slightly lower enantioselectivities ($\approx 96\%$ for **4b** and \approx 95% for **4d**, Table 1). Only traces of the *cis* isomer of crude 4d were detected, but about 15% of the cis isomer

[*] Dr. A. Solladié-Cavallo, T. Isarno Laboratoire de Stéréochimie Organométallique associé au CNRS	as base.					
ECPM/Université L. Pasteur 1 rue B. Pascal, F-67008 Strasbourg (France)	4	R	C _{ylide} [M]	<i>t</i> [h]	Cruc trans	
E-mail: ascava@chimie.u-strasbg.fr	a	C ₆ H ₅	0.22	24	95	
Dr. A. Diep-Vohuule Stéréochimie et Interactions (Bat. LR2-LR3) ENS Lvon (France)	b c d	p-NCC ₆ H ₄ p- t BuC ₆ H ₄ 2-naphthyl	0.23 0.23 0.19	48 72 6	80, ≥99, 95,	
Compared in fraction for this setials is smallelle on the WWW		Determine 11		(D		

thor.

 $\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{d}$ from $\mathbf{2a} - \mathbf{d}$ in THF at -30° C with NaH

was observed for 4b. Surprisingly, in the case of 4c, although

4	R	C _{ylide} [M]	<i>t</i> [h]	Crude product trans/cis ^[a]	tra yield[%] ^[b]	ns- 4 ee[%] ^[c]	Reisolat- ed 1[%]
a	C ₆ H ₅	0.22	24	95/5	83	100	89
b	p-NCC ₆ H ₄	0.23	48	80/20	62	95.8	89
с	p - $tBuC_6H_4$	0.23	72	\geq 99/1	50	60.8	80
d	2-naphthyl	0.19	6	95/5	78	94.8	80

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW [a] Determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy (200 MHz). [b] Not optimized. [c] Deunder http://www.wiley-vch.de/home/angewandte/ or from the au- termined by chromatography on a chiral phase (see ref. [16] and the supporting information).

COMMUNICATIONS

the cis isomer was not detected, the enantiomeric purity was only 61%.

In an attempt to improve these results and to shorten the reaction time, we decided to generate more reactive "naked" carbanions by using a phosphazene base. Et–P₂ ($pK_a \approx 30$),^[14] is potentially able to provide a high concentration of ylide and could be used in CH₂Cl₂. The results are summarized in Table 2. As expected, full conversions were achieved in only

Table 2. Synthesis of cyclopropanes 4a - d from 2a, b, c', d in CH_2Cl_2 with $Et-P_2$ as base.

4	R	t[min]	$T[^{\circ}C]$	$c_{\rm vlide}[M]$	trans/cis	trans-4		Config.
						$ee[\%]^{[a]}$	$[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{\rm 24[b]}$	
a	C ₆ H ₅	15	- 30	0.05	95/5	96.7	-232	R,R
		15	-50	0.25	94/6 ^[a]	97.8		
b	p-NCC ₆ H ₄	30	-30	0.05	85/15	99.2	- 339	R,R
		30	-50	0.25	94/6 ^[a]	98.8		
с	p - $tBuC_6H_4$	30	-30	0.05	96/4 ^[a]	98.9	-245	R,R
d	2-naphthyl	30	-30	0.05	93/7	84.4	-215	R,R
		30	-50	0.25	95/5 ^[a]	94.8		

[a] Determined by gas chromatography on CP-Chirasil-DEX CB and by HPLC on Chiralcel OJ for 4a, and by HPLC on Chiralcel OJ for 4b-d (see ref. [16] and the supporting information). [b] In ethanol.

15 to 30 minutes. The percentage of the *cis* isomer for **4b** was reduced to 6%. It is worth noting that in all cases, after chromatographic separation from the starting oxathiane, the *cis* isomers were not detected by ¹H NMR spectroscopy (200 MHz). The enantiomeric purities of 4a-c ranged from 97.8 to 98.9%, and reached 94.8% for **4d**.

The enolizable ketone **5** also reacted smoothly under the same conditions to provide the corresponding cyclopropane **6** in high yield and with high enantioselectivity (98.5 % *ee*, Scheme 2). In an analogous manner acrolein led mainly to the



Scheme 2. Reaction of **2a** with acrolein and **5**. The *trans* configuration at the epoxide ring was proven by the coupling constant for the *trans* protons $(7: {}^{3}J = 2.5 \text{ Hz}; {}^{(1)}\text{8}: {}^{3}J = 2 \text{ Hz})$; the configuration at the cyclopropane ring in **8** is unclear.

diastereomerically pure *trans*-epoxide 7 (66%), although the epoxycyclopropane **8** was also observed (34%) as a single diastereomer. The latter was generated by epoxidation of the already formed cyclopropane.

In all the cases the *trans* structure was assigned to the major compound with the help of ¹H NMR spectroscopy.^[15] The enantiomeric purities were determined by gas chromatography on a CP-Chirasil-DEX CB column in the case of **4a** and **6** or by HPLC on a Chiralcel OJ column in the case of **4a**–**d**.^[16] The 1*R*,2*R* absolute configurations were assigned to *trans*-

cyclopropanes **4b**–**d** and **6** on the basis of the *minus* sign of their optical rotations in ethanol; the 1*R*,2*R* isomer of the known **4a** is levorotatory in ethanol according to Walborsky^[17] and Evans^[18] The *R*,*R* absolute configurations (obtained in all cases) are reasonably explained by the model already proposed for the syntheses of mono- and diary-lepoxides:^[1, 12] The approach of the Michael acceptor occurs opposite to the *gem*-dimethyl group (Figure 1). The very high *ee* values observed (98–100%) could well be due to the participation of the salt present (Et–P₂H⁺TfO⁻ or BF₄⁻) in a coordinative and/or electrostatic interaction in the transition state leading to the cyclization.



Figure 1. Possible participation of the salt (symbolized by a charge within a circle) in the mechanism of the cyclopropanation.

The chiral sulfur ylide derived from oxathiane **1** provides, in 15 to 30 minutes and with about 90% conversion, 2arylcyclopropane carboxylates (**4a**–**d**, **6**) with high enantioselectivities (98–100% *ee*, 95% for **4d**). It is worth noting that these ylides also react smoothly with an enolizable ketone to provide in high yield enantiomerically pure ketocyclopropanes of type **6**, which can then be further derivatized. Although used in stoichiometric amount, the chiral auxiliary is recovered in high yield; we are now searching for a way to avoid the presently necessary chromatographic separation. It is also worth noting that the phosphazene base can be recovered through precipitation of $Et-P_2H^+TfO^-$.

Experimental Section

The base (1 equiv) and the Michael acceptor (2 equiv) were successively added (at the desired temperature) to a stirred solution of the sulfonium salt (5 mmol) in anhydrous CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL). The smooth reaction was monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC). After the reation was complete, the mixture was poured into cold water, the aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (5 × 10 mL), and the organic phases were combined and dried over NaSO₄. The cyclopropane derivative and the chiral auxiliary were then separated by chromatography (silica gel, hexane/ether 95/5), and the chiral auxiliary was reused. All compounds gave correct elemental analyses.

2a: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ = 7.48 (m, 2H), 7.38 (m, 3 H), 5.60 (d, 1 H, ²*J* = 12 Hz), 4.80 (d, 1 H, ²*J* = 12 Hz), 4.66 (s, 2H), 3.80 (td, 1 H, ³*J* = 10.5, 10.5, 4.5 Hz), 2.01 (m, 1H), 1.80 (m, 2H), 1.74 (s, 3H), 1.68 (s, 3H), 1.50 (m, 2H), 1.30 (q, 1 H, ³*J* = 11 Hz), 1.10 (m, 2H), 0.95 (d, 3H, ³*J* = 6.5 Hz); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ = 130.7, 71.0 (C), 130.1, 129.8, 127.0, 78.0, 43.0, 31.0 (CH), 58.0, 40.0, 36.0, 33.5, 24.0 (CH₂), 23.5, 22.0, 21.0 (CH₃), 120.7 (q, *J*_{CF} = 320 Hz).

2b: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): $\delta = 7.75$ (AB system, 4H, ³*J* = 8.5 Hz, $\Delta \nu = 15$ Hz), 5.53 (d, 1 H, ²*J* = 12 Hz), 4.96 (d, 1 H, ²*J* = 12 Hz), 4.80 (AB system, ²*J* = 13 Hz, $\Delta \nu = 13$ Hz), 3.76 (td, 1 H, ³*J* = 10.5, 10.5, 4 Hz), all other signals are very similar to those of **2a**.

2c: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): $\delta = 7.45$ (brs, 4H), 5.62 (d, 1H, ²*J* = 12 Hz), 4.86 (d, 1H, ²*J* = 12 Hz), 4.57 (s, 2H), 3.80 (td, 1H, ³*J* = 10, 10, 4 Hz), all other signals are similar to those of **2a**. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR

spectra of **2c'** are identical to those of **2c** with the exception of the signal for the carbon atom of the anion CF₃SO₂ at $\delta = 120.7$ (q, J = 320 Hz).

2d: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, TMS): $\delta = 7.95$ (m, 4H), 7.56 (m, 3H), 5.43 (d, 1H, ²*J* = 12 Hz), 4.90 (d, 1H, ²*J* = 12), 4.77 (AB system, ²*J* = 13 Hz, $\Delta \nu = 15$ Hz), 3.79 (td, 1H, ³*J* = 10.5, 10.5, 4.5 Hz), 2.10 (m, 1H), 1.90 (m, 2H), 1.76 (s, 3H), 1.74 (s, 3H), 1.6 (m, 2H), 1.4 (q, 1H, *J* = 11 Hz), 1.1 (m, 2H), 1.0 (d, 3H, ³*J* = 6.5 Hz); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): $\delta = 134.1$, 133.8, 124.1, 71.5 (C), 131.4, 130.6, 128.5, 128.3, 128.2, 127.7, 127.0, 78.7, 43.7, 31.4 (CH), 58.5, 40.4, 36.9, 34.3, 24.1 (CH₂), 26.2, 22.0, 21.1 (CH₃).

4a: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ = 7.27 (m, 3 H), 7.13 (m, 2 H), 4.18 (q, 2 H, ³*J* = 7), 2.53 (ddd, 1 H, *J* = 9.5, 6.5, 4 Hz), 1.91 (ddd, 1 H, *J* = 8.5, 5.5, 4.5 Hz), 1.61 (ddd, 1 H, *J* = 9.5, 5.5, 4.5 Hz), 1.32 (ddd, 1 H, *J* = 8.5, 6.5, 4.5 Hz), 1.29 (t, 3 H, ³*J* = 7 Hz); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ = 173.5, 140.2 (C), 128.5, 126.5, 126.2, 26.3, 24.2, (CH), 60.7, 17.1 (CH₂), 14.4 (CH₃).

4b: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): $\delta = 7.50$ (d, 2 H, ³J = 8 Hz), 7.13 (d, 2 H, ³J = 8 Hz), 4.15 (q, 2 H, ³J = 7 Hz), 2.49 (ddd, 1 H, J = 10, 6.5, 4 Hz), 1.91 (ddd, 1 H, J = 8.5, 5.5, 4 Hz), 1.62 (ddd, 1 H, J = 10, 5.5, 4.5 Hz), 1.29 (ddd, 1 H, J = 8.5, 6.5, 4.5 Hz), 1.22 (t, 3 H, ³J = 7 Hz).

4c: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): $\delta = 7.33$ (d, 2 H, ³J = 8.5 Hz), 7.06 (d, 2 H, ³J = 8.5 Hz), 4.16 (q, 2 H, ³J = 7 Hz), 2.51 (ddd, 1 H, J = 9.5, 6.5, 4 Hz), 1.90 (ddd, 1 H, J = 8.5, 5, 4 Hz), 1.60 (ddd, 1 H, J = 9.5, 5, 4 Hz), 1.34 (ddd, 1 H, J = 8.5, 6.5, 4 Hz), 1.32 (s, 9 H), 1.29 (t, 3 H, ³J = 7 Hz).

4d: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ = 7.78 (m, 3 H), 7.57 (s, 1 H), 7.45 (m, 2 H), 7.21 (dd, 1 H, ${}^{3}J$ = 8.5, ${}^{4}J$ = 2 Hz), 4.19 (q, 2 H, ${}^{3}J$ = 7 Hz), 2.69 (ddd, 1 H, *J* = 9.5, 6.5, 4.5 Hz), 2.01 (ddd, 1 H, *J* = 8.5, 5, 4.5 Hz), 1.67 (ddd, 1 H, *J* = 9.5, 5, 4.5 Hz), 1.47 (ddd, 1 H, *J* = 8.5, 6.5, 4.5 Hz), 1.30 (t, 3 H, ${}^{3}J$ = 7 Hz); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ = 173.5, 137.6, 133.5, 132.4 (C), 128.3, 127.7, 127.5, 126.4, 125.6, 124.9, 124.6, 26.5, 24.3 (CH), 60.9, 17.2 (CH₂), 14.4 (CH₃).

6: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ = 7.30 (m, 3 H), 7.10 (m, 2 H), 2.53 (ddd, 1 H, *J* = 9, 6.5, 4 Hz), 2.32 (s, 3 H), 2.23 (ddd, 1 H, *J* = 8, 5, 4 Hz), 1.70 (ddd, 1 H, *J* = 9, 5, 4 Hz), 1.39 (ddd, 1 H, *J* = 8, 6.5, 4 Hz); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ = 207.0, 140.4 (C), 127.5, 126.5, 126.1, 33.0, 30.9, 29.1 (CH, CH₃), 19.2 (CH₂).

Received: December 4, 1997 [Z112291E] German version: *Angew. Chem.* **1998**, *110*, 1824–1827

Keywords: asymmetric synthesis • cyclopropanes • cyclopropanations • phosphazene bases • ylides

- A. Solladié-Cavallo, A. Diep-Vohuule, V. Sunjic, V. Vinkovic, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 1996, 7, 1783.
- [2] H. E. Simmons, R. D. Smith, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1958, 80, 5323.
- [3] A. B. Charette, S. Prescott, C. Brochu, J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 1081.
- [4] A. B. Charette, C. Brochu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 11367.
- [5] D. A. Evans, K. A. Woerpel, M. M. Hinman, M. M. Faul, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 726.
- [6] S. E. Denmark, S. P. O'Connor, J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 584.
- [7] H. Fritschi, U. Leutenegger, A. Pfaltz, Helv. Chim. Acta 1988, 71, 1553.
- [8] E. J. Corey, M. Jautelat, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 3912.
- [9] M. Calmes, J. Daunis, F. Escale, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1996, 7, 395.
- [10] M. J. De Vos, A. Krief, Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 103.
- [11] a) E. Vedejs, D. A. Engler, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1976**, 3487; b) E. Vedejs,
 D. A. Engler, M. J. Mullins, *J. Org. Chem.* **1977**, 42, 3109.
- [12] A. Solladié-Cavallo, A. Adib, M. Schmitt, J. Fischer, A. DeCian; *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 1992, *3*, 1597.
- [13] Such phosphazene bases provide very reactive naked anions. One could expect the large $Et-P_2H^+$ cation to be less tightly associated to its anion and to the ylide, thus allowing an easy access to the ylide. Furthermore, it should be geometrically able to help form the complex of the ylide and the Michael substrate in the transition state.
- [14] R. Schwesinger, H. Schlemper, Angew. Chem. 1987, 99, 1212; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1987, 26, 1167.
- [15] In all cases the CH₂ protons of the ester groups are more shielded (due to the phenyl effect) in the *cis* isomer ($\delta \approx 3.85$, CDCl₃) than in the *trans* isomer ($\delta \approx 4.20$, CDCl₃). This was already observed for the

aldehydic proton in formylphenylcyclopropane: P. Scribe, J. Wiedmann, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1971, 2268.

- [16] The enantiomeric purities were determined by Dr. V. Vinkovic in the group of Prof. V. Sunjic at the Ruder Boskovic Institut of Zagreb.
- [17] Y. Inouye, T. Sugita, H. M. Walborsky, *Tetrahedron* 1964, 20, 1695.
 [18] D. A. Evans, K. A. Woerpel, M. J. Scott, *Angew. Chem.* 1992, 104, 439;
- [18] D. A. Evans, K. A. woerper, M. J. Scott, Angew. Chem. 1992, 104, 459 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1992, 31, 430.

Multiple Coordination of Metal Atoms to Arenes: The Coordination of Six Ruthenium Atoms to Naphthalene-1,8-diyl in $[Ru_6(\mu_6-C_{10}H_6)(\mu_3-PPh)(CO)_{14}]^{**}$

Antony J. Deeming* and Caroline M. Martin

Research on the incorporation of arenes into clusters has largely been stimulated by their potential as models for chemisorption on metal surfaces and by a wish to modify arene structure and reactivity.^[1, 2] The chemistry of benzene with metal clusters has been developed extensively in recent years,^[3] and dominant modes of coordination are η^6 coordination at a single metal atom, $\mu_3 - \eta^2, \eta^2, \eta^2$ coordination over a triangular cluster face, and combinations of these two modes with σ -M–C bonding, as observed in phenyl and orthophenylene (1,2-didehydrobenzene) systems. Several of these modes resemble chemisorption of benzene on a (111) metal surface or on step-sites on such surfaces.^[4] In contrast, however, relatively few clusters that contain more complex, polycyclic arenes such as naphthalene and anthracene have been reported. Studies of such compounds are often hindered by the difficulty of introducing the polycyclic arene into the coordination sphere of the cluster, and has, so far, centered on mono- and binuclear species, with interactions through either σ bonds, as in [Fe(C₁₀H₇)₄][LiOEt₂]₂,^[5] or more commonly by π complexation through η^2 , η^4 , η^6 , or bis-allylic η^3 : η^3 interactions, as in, for example, the compounds [Rh2(C5Me5)2(1,2- η^2 -3,4- η^2 -C₁₀H₈)(PMe₃)₂],^[6] [RhCp(η^4 -C₁₄H₁₀)],^[7] [Ru(η^4 - $C_8H_{12}(\eta^6-C_{10}H_8)$],^[8] and $[Fe_2(CO)_6(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^3-C_{14}H_{10})]$.^[9] One of our recent objectives has therefore been to extend the coordination chemistry of naphthalene and anthracene by the combination of the σ and π M–C interactions that are present in the above molecules, to allow extensive metalation of each ring of the polycyclic arene.

We have introduced polycyclic arenes into the clusters by the thermal degradation of tertiary phosphanes in the presence of metal compounds. The thermolysis of $[Ru_3(CO)_{12}]$

[**] This work is supported by the EPSRC and the University of London Central Research Fund.

© WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH, D-69451 Weinheim, 1998 1433-7851/98/3712-1691 \$ 17.50+.50/0

^[*] Prof. A. J. Deeming Department of Chemistry, University College London 20 Gordon Street, London, WC1H0AJ (UK) Fax: (+44) 171-380-7463 E-mail: a.j.deeming@ucl.ac.uk
C. M. Martin University Chemical Laboratory Cambridge, CB21EW (UK)