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Abstract: A straightforward approach to podophyllotoxin was developed using silyl enol ether 5 .

Podophyllotoxin (1) is a lignan isolated from Podophyllum peltatum and P. emodi ${ }^{1}$. It is a potent inhibitor of microtubule assembly and a key intermediate of an antitumor agent, etoposide (2) ${ }^{2}$. Although there have been several elegant syntheses of this compound or its $C 4$ epimer (epipodophyllotoxin) ${ }^{3}$, we sought an operationally simpler route, which is the subject of this letter.

The challenge of the synthesis lies in the formation of four contiguous stereocenters and the presence of a base-sensitive trans lactone. Two of the published syntheses involve conversion of a cis lactone (picropodophy1lotoxin) to a trans lactone by a kinetic protonation of the lactone enolate. ${ }^{3 a, b}$ This produces a mixture of isomers in which the desired trans lactone is the minor component. Another problem is that bis-alkylation is observed to be the major course of action in the base-catalyzed hydroxymethylation of tetralone $3 .{ }^{4}$

$1 \quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{HO}, \quad \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$
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To circumvent these problems we planned to establish the stereochemistry of the C2 center early in the synthesis. The possible use of cis ester 4 was suggested by a considerable amount (approximately $16 \%$ ) of 4 in the equilibrium mixture resulting from treatment of trans ester (3) with EtONa in EtOH at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. We reasoned, furthermore, that under mild conditions 4 could be converted to a silyl enol ether without epimerization at C 2 , and then alkylation would take place from the $\beta$-face since the $\alpha$-face is shielded by a pendant aromatic ring and the ethoxycarbonyl group ${ }^{5}$ and that use of the silyl enol ether should also eliminate the problem of bisalkylation.

The starting material in our synthesis (Scheme) was readily available by the published route. $3 \mathrm{e}, 4 \mathrm{~b}$ Cis ester 4 was obtained by treatment of 3 with 4 eq. of LDA followed by an aqueous HCl quence at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After one recrystallization from EtOH the desired cis ester was obtained in $70 \%$ yield. ${ }^{6}$ This keto ester was treated with TMSOTf in the presence of triethylamine at $3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give silyl enol ether. $\underline{5}^{7}$ Under these conditions, the stereochemistry at C2 was maintained as the dihedral angle between the C1 and C2 protons was calculated to be approximately $21^{\circ}$ from their coupling constant (7.1Hz). 8 The silyl enol ether thus prepared was allowed to react with dibenzyloxymethane in the presence of a catalytic amount of TMSOTf to give compound $6 .{ }^{9,12}$ The yield for the two steps was $70-74 \%$, and as expected, only the $\beta$-isomer was obtained. The benzyloxymethyl group could also be introduced using benzyloxymethyl chloride and $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}{ }^{10}$

Reduction of the C 4 carbonyl group with $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ proceeded stereospecifically to give lactone $\underline{7}^{12}$ as the major product (43\%) as well as alcohol $\underline{8}$ (25\%). ${ }^{12}$ Catalytic hydrogenation of $\underline{7}$ in EtOAc in the presence of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ gave the known 11 neopodophyllotoxin (9) in 55\% yield. Following the chemistry developed by von Wartburg the C2-C4 lactone was hydrolyzed with 1 N NaOH , and after acidification the diol acid (podophyllic acid) was treated with dicyclohexyl carbodimide in THF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give podophyllotoxin in $54 \%$ yield. Thus, this sequence gave podophyllotoxin in a straightforward manner in 5 steps from tetralone 3.

## Scheme




70-74\% for two steps
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