ChemComm

COMMUNICATION



Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c4cc10417j

Received 30th December 2014, Accepted 15th February 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c4cc10417j

www.rsc.org/chemcomm

High activity and stability in the cross-coupling of aryl halides with disulfides over Cu-doped hierarchically porous zeolite ZSM-5[†]

Wenqian Fu,^{ab} Taotao Liu,^a Zhongxue Fang,^c Yuli Ma,^a Xiang Zheng,^a Wenchang Wang,^c Xiaojun Ni,^a Maolin Hu*^a and Tiandi Tang*^{ac}

A Cu-doped zeolite ZSM-5 (Cu-ZSM-5-M) with a micro-mesomacroporous structure was directly synthesized, and it exhibits excellent catalytic activity and good recyclability in the cross-coupling of aryl halides with diphenyl disulfides. This feature should be attributed to the structural characteristics of meso-macropores and homogeneous dispersion of active Cu^{δ +} (δ < 2) species in Cu-ZSM-5-M.

The carbon-sulfur bond formation is one of the significant organic transformations.¹ For instance, diaryl thioethers are important structural motifs in a wide range of molecules with numerous applications,² which can be synthesized by cross-coupling of aryl halides with sulfides catalyzed by transition-metals such as palladium,³ nickel,⁴ copper,⁵ and iron⁶ as well as ligated metal complexes.^{4,7} Despite these homogeneous transition-metal catalysts being extensively investigated, and exhibiting high activity, the industrial applications of these catalysts still remain a challenge because of their drawbacks including high cost, difficult separation and regeneration. Although a number of solid materials such as carbons,⁸ polymers,⁹ metal organic frameworks,¹⁰ covalent organic frameworks,¹¹ and mesoporous silica¹² have been employed as supports for preparing heterogeneous metal catalysts, the applications of these solid catalysts in organic synthesize are still limited due to their relatively low stability or metal leaching tolerance. From a practical point of view, the following basic but important issues should be taken into account in order to design and synthesize heterogeneous metal catalysts for organic synthesis.

(1) The catalyst must have high thermal stability, which is important for the regeneration of the deactivated catalyst by calcination. (2) A suitable pore structure that can enhance the fast diffusion of the bulk substrates and products.

(3) Good chemical stability and metal leaching tolerance as well as mechanical stability in organic solvents under reaction conditions.

(4) Very importantly, how to utilize the properties of the support for the preparation of functionalized metal catalysts with high activity?

It is well known that aluminosilicate zeolites are crystalline porous materials with good thermal, chemical and mechanical stability, which are widely used in the industry as supports for metal catalysts.¹³ Especially, the negatively charged framework of zeolites can interact with their assembled metal species, resulting in decoration of the electronic properties on metal species, which may improve the catalytic performance of their assembled metals.¹⁴ Furthermore, the mesopores introduced into the zeolite crystals could benefit the mass transfer of the bulk substrates and products, and improve their catalytic performance.¹⁵ Therefore, based on the characteristics of the zeolite framework and the catalytic properties of the transition-metals, it should be possible to design and synthesise highly active and stable metal catalysts, in which the metal species are confined in the mesoporous zeolite framework for organic transformations.

Herein, we report a facile method to synthesize Cu-doped zeolite ZSM-5 (Cu-ZSM-5-M) with a micro-*meso*-macroporous structure by using silane of *N*,*N*-dimethyl-*N*-octadecyl-*N*-(3-triethoxysilylpropyl) ammonium bromide as a mesoscale template (ESI†), which exhibits much higher activity and superior reusability in the cross-coupling of aryl halides with diphenyl disulfides, compared with Cu-doped microporous ZSM-5 (Cu-ZSM-5) and mesoporous zeolite ZSM-5 supported Cu catalyst (Cu-ZSM-5-MI) that was prepared by the impregnation method. This feature may be attributed to the fact that Cu²⁺ in the synthesis gel could be confined in the zeolite framework during the crystallization process, resulting in highly active Cu^{δ +} (δ < 2) species. In addition, the *meso*-macropores in the Cu-ZSM-5-M catalyst benefit mass transfer thereby improving its catalytic performance. This catalyst design concept provides a new strategy for developing highly efficient

Published on 23 February 2015. Downloaded by Mahidol University on 02/03/2015 14:44:28.



View Article Online

^a College of Chemistry and Materials Engineering, Wenzhou University, Wenzhou, Zhejiang 325035, P. R. China. E-mail: tangtiandi@wzu.edu.cn,

maolin@wzu.edu.cn; Fax: +86-577-86689300; Tel: +86-577-86689300

^b School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Southeast University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 211189, P. R. China

^c Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Advanced Catalytic Materials and Technology, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Changzhou University,

Changzhou, Jiangsu 213164, P. R. China

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c4cc10417j



Fig. 1 (a) XRD patterns of Cu-ZSM-5-M, Cu-ZSM-5-MI, Cu-ZSM-5 and reused Cu-ZSM-5-M samples, (b) N₂ adsorption isotherm of the Cu-ZSM-5-M sample (inset: pore size distribution of Cu-ZSM-5-M), (c) low resolution SEM image and (d) high resolution SEM image of the Cu-ZSM-5-M sample.

heterogeneous metal catalysts, which will have potential for their wide range of applications for organic transformations in industry.

Fig. 1a shows the XRD patterns of various samples, which exhibit a series of peaks at 7.9, 8.8, 23.1, 23.9 and 24.4°, associated with the MFI structure could be clearly observed in Cu-ZSM-5-M, Cu-ZSM-5 and Cu-ZSM-5-MI samples, indicating the high crystalline degree of these samples. The inductively coupled plasma (ICP) analysis shows that the Cu content in Cu-ZSM-5-M and Cu-ZSM-5 is 1.3 and 1.4 wt%, respectively, which suggests that the Cu element has been successfully introduced into the zeolite. The nitrogen sorption isotherm of Cu-ZSM-5-M exhibits a hysteresis loop at a relative pressure of 0.70-0.95, which is typically assigned to the presence of mesostructure (Fig. 1b). Correspondingly, the pore-size diameter is centred at 14 nm (inset, Fig. 1b). Sample textural parameters are presented in Table S1 (ESI⁺). The SEM images show the Cu-ZSM-5-M sample with a particle size of 400-600 nm. It seems that the particle is composed of nanoparticle aggregates with main size of 20-40 nm (Fig. 1c and d). In this manner, the mesopores and macropores (marked circle, Fig. 1d) could be formed in aggregates. However, the TEM image of the thinsectioned sample clearly shows that the Cu-ZSM-5-M particle presents a core-shell structure, in which the core is covered by nanoparticle layers with a thickness of about 60 nm (Fig. S1a, ESI[†]). Additionally, the high resolution TEM image represents the mesopore cavities within the crystal (bright areas in Fig. S1b, ESI[†]). The mesopore diameters in the crystal ranged from 8 to 12 nm determined by TEM, in agreement with the pore size distribution derived from the N2 sorption experiment. Furthermore, the nanoparticles on the surface of the core also show a crystal structure (Fig. S1c, ESI⁺). Combining the SEM and TEM results, it was found that Cu-ZSM-5-M exhibits a micro-mesomacroporous structure, which could facilitate mass transfer. It is worth noting that the Cu particles are not observed in the TEM images, while the ICP analysis shows that the Cu content in the Cu-ZSM-5-M sample is 1.3 wt%. These results indicate that the Cu species could be highly dispersed in Cu-ZSM-5-M. As a comparison, the Cu nanoparticles with a size of 1–1.5 nm could be observed in the Cu-ZSM-5-MI sample (Fig. S1d, ESI[†]).

To further investigate the location of Cu species in the Cu-ZSM-5-M catalyst, the additional TEM and the X-ray energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) analyses of thin-sectioned Cu-ZSM-5-M and Cu-ZSM-5 samples were performed, as shown in Fig. S2-S6 (ESI⁺). The TEM images show that the Cu-ZSM-5 sample only contains micropores and that no Cu particles can be observed (Fig. S2a and b, ESI[†]). However, the EDS elemental mapping of the selected zone confirms the presence of the Cu element (Fig. S3, ESI[†]). For the Cu-ZSM-5-M sample, no Cu particles can be observed in the selected zone (Fig. S4a and b, ESI[†]). Similarly, the Cu element is detected by the EDS elemental mapping in the selected zone. In addition, after destruction of the zeolite framework by the electron beam in the selected zone, small nanoparticles (1-2 nm) are observed (Fig. S6, ESI[†]). The very small nanoparticles should be attributed to the aggregation of the copper species in the micro-mesopores. These phenomena indicate that the micropores and mesopores in the Cu-ZSM-5-M sample should contain highly dispersed Cu species.

To study the state of Cu species in the Cu-ZSM-5-M catalyst, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (UV-vis DRS) analysis were performed. From Fig. 2a, the binding energy of Cu2p_{3/2} for the Cu-ZSM-5-MI sample is 933.6 eV, which is well-ascribed to Cu^{2+,16a} In contrast, this value is 933.0 eV for Cu-ZSM-5-M. Possibly, the electron transfer from the negatively charged framework of zeolites to the copper species occurred due to the strong interaction between the zeolite framework and copper species in Cu-ZSM-5-M,^{14c} resulting in the formation of Cu^{δ^+} ($\delta < 2$) species in comparison with Cu²⁺ in the Cu-ZSM-5-MI catalyst. Fig. 2b shows the UV-vis DR spectra of the Cu-ZSM-5-M and Cu-ZSM-5-MI samples. The Cu-ZSM-5-M catalyst displays one absorption band at 200-260 nm, which could be assigned to the charge-transfer in the O-to-metal related to the Cu⁺ or Cu²⁺ ions stabilized in the zeolite framework,^{16a-c} The absorption band at 250 nm is attributed to Cu⁺.^{16d} Compared with Cu-ZSM-5-M, the Cu-ZSM-5-MI catalyst displays one absorption band centred at 210 nm, and a broad shoulder band at 280–320 nm. The broad band at 280–320 nm is ascribed to Cu²⁺.^{16d} Combining XPS and UV-vis results, it was found that Cu species in Cu-ZSM-5-M are mainly present in the form of Cu^{δ^+} $(\delta < 2)$ ions.



Fig. 2 (a) XPS and (b) UV-vis DR spectra of Cu-ZSM-5-M and Cu-ZSM-5-MI catalysts, respectively.

Table 1 Synthesis of diaryl thioethers over a series of catalysts under different conditions $^{\rm a}$



^{*a*} Reaction conditions: diphenyl disulfide (0.2 mmol), iodobenzene (0.6 mmol), Cu-ZSM-5-M (30 mg), base (3 equiv.), DMSO 2 mL, 12 h. Yields were analyzed by GC-FID. ^{*b*} The values in parentheses are isolated yield. ^{*c*} The Cu content equivalent to that of 30 mg of Cu-ZSM-5-M.

The cross-coupling of aryl halides with diaryl disulfides to synthesize diaryl thioether compounds was firstly studied by choosing iodobenzene and diphenyl disulfide as model substrates to investigate our tentative idea (Table 1). To our delight, the highly desired product yield (100%) for diphenyl thioether is obtained over the Cu-ZSM-5-M catalyst (entry 1). The microporous Cu-ZSM-5 and Cu-ZSM-5-MI catalysts gave yields of 61 and 76% (entries 2 and 3), much lower than that of Cu-ZSM-5-M. It should be noted that the product is indeed formed over the metal salt of $Cu(NO_3)_2$ and $Cu(OAc)_2$ catalysts with good yields (entries 4 and 5).

Encouraged by the promising results, we further studied a series of substrates in the Cu-ZSM-5-M-catalyzed cross-coupling of aryl halides with disulfides (Table 2). First, the scope of the various aryl halide substrates was investigated. Delightfully, the Cu-ZSM-5-M catalyst tolerates various functional groups, both electron-rich (entries 2 and 3), electron-deficient (entry 4) aryl iodides, as well as the more sterically hindered ortho-substituted one (entry 5), afforded good yields for the target products. Notably, the substrate of 1-iodonaphthalene with a large molecular dimension is also suitable for this transformation and gives excellent yield (97%), indicating that the Cu-ZSM-5-M catalyst shows superior catalytic performance. Very interestingly, although the activation of carbon-chlorin (C-Cl) and carbon-bromine (C-Br) bonds is much more difficult than the carbon-iodine (C-I) bond,¹⁷ both p-nitrochlorobenzene and p-nitrobromobenzene are successfully applied to this transformation in gratifying yields (entries 7 and 8). In addition, we further applied the Cu-ZSM-5-M catalyst to examine the substrate scope of diaryl disulfides. Diaryl disulfides containing methoxyl and bromo substituents are obtained in good yields (entries 9 and 10). Although, the nitro group weakened the nucleophilicity of the -S-S- bond, it still results in a moderate yield of 67% (entry 11). Interestingly, when difurfuryldisulfide was chosen as a substrate, an excellent yield is obtained (90%, entry 12).

The superior catalytic performance of the Cu-ZSM-5-M catalyst should be attributed to its unique structural characteristics of the *meso*-macropores and homogeneous dispersion of active Cu^{δ +} (δ < 2) species. Because of the large size of the reaction substrate and products, the cross-coupling reaction mainly occurs on the external surface and mesopores in catalysts. Cu-ZSM-5-M shows a *meso*-macropore surface area of 168 m² g⁻¹,

Table 2 The cross-coupling reaction between aryl halides and disulfides^a

| | R∕ ^S `S∕ ^R | $+ R_1 \frac{1}{10} \frac{X Cu-ZSM}{DMSO}$ | $\frac{1-5-M, K_2CO_3}{110 {}^{\circ}C, 12 h} R^{-S}$ | | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}R_1$ |
|-------|----------------------------------|--|---|----|-------------------------|
| | 1 | 2 | | 3 | |
| Entry | Aryl halide | Disulfide | Product | | Yield (%) |
| 1 | C I | | () ^s () | 3a | 100 |
| 2 | | | | 3b | 97 |
| 3 | H3CO | | C S C OCH3 | 3c | 94 |
| 4 | O ₂ N | ~ | S S NO2 | 3d | 99 |
| 5 | | C s s | S S | 3e | 96 |
| 6 | | | | 3f | 97 |
| 7 | O ₂ N Cl | | S S NO2 | 3d | 84 |
| 8 | O ₂ N Br | | NO2 | 3d | 95 |
| 9 | | H ₃ CO | CH ₃ | 3c | 98 |
| 10 | ₿ | Br S S B | S S S Br | 3g | 100 |
| 11 | | O2N S-S-NO | D2 S C NO2 | 3d | 67 |
| 12 | | C→ S→S→ O→ | ⟨) -s_o | 3h | 90 |

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: diphenyl disulfide (0.2 mmol), aryl halide (0.6 mmol), Cu-ZSM-5-M (30 mg), K_2CO_3 (3 equiv.), DMSO 2 mL, 12 h. The yields were obtained by GC analysis.

while Cu-ZSM-5 shows an external surface area of only 67 m² g⁻¹ (Table S1, ESI[†]). So, it is reasonable that the Cu-ZSM-5-M catalyst shows much higher catalytic activity than Cu-ZSM-5 (entries 1 and 2, Table 1). This result indicates that the *meso*-macropores in the Cu-ZSM-5-M catalyst benefit mass transfer and improve its catalytic performance.

On the other hand, Cu-ZSM-5-M and Cu-ZSM-5-MI catalysts have a similar *meso*-macropore area (168 and 164 m² g⁻¹) and mesopore volume (0.45 and 0.46 m³ g⁻¹) as shown in Table S1 (ESI†), and the Cu-ZSM-5-MI catalyst has a relatively higher metal loading (1.8 wt%) than Cu-ZSM-5-M (1.3 wt%), but the product yield over the Cu-ZSM-5-M catalyst (100%) is much higher than that over the Cu-ZSM-5-MI catalyst (76%, Table 1). This phenomenon should be assigned to the difference in electronic properties of the active Cu species between the Cu-ZSM-5-M and Cu-ZSM-5-MI catalysts. It has been reported that the catalytic activity of the metal catalysts such as copper,¹⁸ palladium,¹⁹ nickel,²⁰ and cobalt²¹ can be improved through decoration of their electronic properties upon addition of electron-rich ligands. In our case, Cu²⁺ in the synthesis gel could be confined in the zeolite Cu-ZSM-5-M during the crystallization process, and strongly interacted with the negatively charged framework, resulting in highly active $Cu^{\delta+}$ ($\delta < 2$) species.

The reusable ability of Cu-ZSM-5-M and Cu-ZSM-5-MI catalysts in the cross-coupling reaction was also investigated. The yield of the product decreased from 100 and 77% (Run 1) to 84 and 45% (Run 8, Table S2, ESI⁺) for Cu-ZSM-5-M and Cu-ZSM-5-MI catalysts, respectively. Importantly, when the deactivated catalysts were calcined at 500 °C in air for 4 h, the activity was recovered to 93 and 50% (Run 9) for Cu-ZSM-5-M and Cu-ZSM-5-MI catalysts, respectively. These results demonstrate that the Cu-ZSM-5-M catalyst has good reusability, which is one of the key features of the practical application in industry. The ICP analysis shows that the Cu content in the reused Cu-ZSM-5-M and Cu-ZSM-5-MI catalysts is 1.2 and 0.8 wt%, while in the as synthesized Cu-ZSM-5-M and Cu-ZSM-5-MI is 1.3 and 1.8 wt%. Clearly, the metal leaching has not occurred for the Cu-ZSM-5-M catalyst during the reaction process. Furthermore, the Si/Al ratio for reused and fresh Cu-ZSM-5-M is 33 and 32, respectively. Obviously, Cu-ZSM-5-M possesses good chemical stability, which is very important for industrial applications in the future. Additionally, the intensity of the XRD diffraction peaks for the reused Cu-ZSM-5-M is similar to the fresh catalyst (Fig. 1a), and the textual structure of the reused Cu-ZSM-5-M has no change (Fig. S7 and Table S1, ESI†), which demonstrates that the Cu-ZSM-5-M catalyst has good mechanical stability.

In summary, Cu-ZSM-5-M with a micro-*meso*-macroporous structure was synthesized by using a mesoscale surfactant as a template, which was investigated for catalyzing the synthesis of diaryl thioethers through cross-coupling of aryl halides with disulfides. A variety of functional groups on both the aryl halide and disulphide substrates are well tolerated. Compared with microporous Cu-ZSM-5 and Cu-ZSM-5-MI catalysts, the Cu-ZSM-5-M catalyst shows high activity and excellent reusability in the cross-coupling reaction. This is attributed to the fact that the highly dispersed Cu^{δ_+} ($\delta < 2$) confined in the framework present high activity, and the *meso*-macroporosity in the Cu-ZSM-5-M catalyst facilitates mass transfer thereby improving its catalytic performance.

We are grateful to the support of this work from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (U1463203 and 21476030), the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province of China (Z14B060012). The authors thank the anonymous reviewers for their invaluable advice.

Notes and references

- 1 (*a*) T. Kondo and T. Mitsudo, *Chem. Rev.*, 2000, **100**, 3205; (*b*) J. L. G. Ruano, J. Aleman, C. Fajardo and A. Parra, *Org. Lett.*, 2005, 7, 5493; (*c*) S.-R. Guo, Y.-Q. Yuan and J.-N. Xiang, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, **15**, 4654.
- (a) K. Takimiya, S. Shinamura, I. Osaka and E. Miyazaki, Adv. Mater., 2011, 23, 4347; (b) T. Okamoto, C. Mitsui, M. Yamagishi, K. Nakahara, J. Soeda, Y. Hirose, K. Miwa, H. Sato, A. Yamano, T. Matsushita, T. Uemura and J. Takeya, Adv. Mater., 2013, 25, 6392; (c) T. Mori, T. Nishimura, T. Yamamoto, I. Doi, E. Miyazaki, I. Osaka and K. Takimiya, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 13900.
- 3 (a) M. A. Fernández-Rodríguez, Q. Shen and J. F. Hartwig, Chem. - Eur. J., 2006, 12, 7782; (b) M. A. Fernández-Rodríguez,

- Q. Shen and J. F. Hartwig, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 2180; (c) S. R. Guo, W. M. He, J. N. Xiang and Y. Q. Yuan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 8578.
- 4 (a) O. Baldovino-Pantaleón, S. Hernández-Ortega and D. Morales-Morales, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2005, 8, 955; (b) O. Baldovino-Pantaleón, S. Hernández-Ortega and D. Morales-Morales, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2006, 348, 236.
- 5 (a) S. V. Ley and A. W. Thoma, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 5400;
 (b) N. Taniguchi and T. Onami, J. Org. Chem., 2004, 69, 915;
 (c) S. Fukuzawa, E. Shimizu, Y. Atsuumi, M. Haga and K. Ogata, Tetrahedron Lett., 2009, 50, 2374; (d) H. F. Wang, L. L. Jiang, T. Chen and Y. M. Li, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2010, 2324.
- 6 (a) A. Correa, M. Carril and C. Bolm, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 2880; (b) M. Jegelka and B. Plietker, Org. Lett., 2009, 11, 3462.
- 7 (a) T. Kawamoto, H. Kuma and Y. Kushi, Chem. Commun., 1996, 2121; (b) N. Taniguchi, J. Org. Chem., 2004, 69, 6904.
- 8 H. Sharghi, S. Ebrahimpourmoghaddam and M. M. Doroodmand, *Tetrahedron*, 2013, **69**, 4708.
- 9 (a) T. Ishida, Y. Onuma, K. Kinjo, A. Hamasaki, H. Ohashi, T. Honma, T. Akita, T. Yokoyama, M. Tokunaga and M. Haruta, *Tetrahedron*, 2014, **70**, 6150; (b) A. K. Purohit, A. Kumar, V. Singh, R. Goud, R. Jain and D. K. Dubey, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2014, **55**, 6844.
- 10 (a) A. Dhakshinamoorthy, M. Alvaro and H. Garcia, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2010, 352, 3022; (b) T. Shi, Z. W. Guo, H. X. Yu, J. W. Xie, Y. J. Zhong and W. D. Zhu, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2013, 355, 2538.
- (a) A. Corma, U. Díaz, T. García, G. Sastre and A. Velty, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, 132, 15011; (b) R. H. Jin, K. T. Liu, D. Q. Xia, Q. Q. Qian, G. H. Liu and H. X. Li, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2012, 354, 3265.
- 12 (a) B. J. Melde, B. T. Holland, C. F. Blandford and A. Stein, *Chem. Mater.*, 1999, 11, 3302; (b) C.-K. Chen, Y.-W. Chen, C.-H. Lin, H.-P. Lin and C.-F. Lee, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, 46, 282; (c) J. Mondal, A. Modak, A. Dutta, S. Basu, S. N. Jha, D. Bhattacharyya and A. Bhaumik, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, 48, 8000.
- 13 (a) D. W. Breck, Zeolite Molecular Sieves, Structure, Chemistry and Use, John Wiley & Sons, New York, Londong, Sydney, Toronto, 1974; (b) A. Corma, Chem. Rev., 1997, 97, 2373; (c) C. Jo, K. Cho, J. Kimab and R. Ryoo, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 4175.
- (a) W. Q. Fu, L. Zhang, T. T. Tang, Q. P. Ke, S. Wang, J. B. Hu, G. Y. Fang, J. X. Li and F.-S. Xiao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, 133, 15346;
 (b) L. Zhang, W. Q. Fu, Q. P. Ke, S. Zhang, H. J. Jin, J. B. Hu, S. Wang and T. D. Tang, *Appl. Catal.*, *A*, 2012, 433–434, 251; (c) P. Vanelderen, J. Vancauwenbergh, B. F. Sels and R. A. Schoonheydt, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2013, 257, 483.
- 15 (a) H. C. Xin, A. Koekkoek, Q. H. Yang, R. van Santen, C. Li and E. J. M. Hensen, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 7590; (b) L.-H. Chen, X.-Y. Li, J. C. Rooke, Y.-H. Zhang, X.-Y. Yang, Y. Tang, F.-S. Xiao and B.-L. Su, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, 22, 17381; (c) Z. Z. Kang, G. Y. Fang, Q. P. Ke, J. B. Hu and T. D. Tang, *ChemCatChem*, 2013, 5, 2191; (d) T. D. Tang, L. Zhang, W. Q. Fu, Y. L. Ma, J. Xu, J. Jiang, G. Y. Fang and F.-S. Xiao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, 135, 11437; (e) Y. L. Ding, Q. P. Ke, T. T. Liu, W. C. Wang, M. Y. He, K. Q. Yang, H. L. Jin, S. Wang and T. D. Tang, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2014, 53, 13903.
- 16 (a) X. X. Zhou, H. R. Chen, X. Z. Cui, Z. L. Hua, Y. Chen, Y. Zhu, Y. D. Song, Y. Gong and J. L. Shi, *Appl. Catal.*, A, 2013, 451, 112; (b) F. Bin, C. L. Song, G. Lv, J. N. Song, S. H. Wu and X. D. Li, *Appl. Catal.*, B, 2014, 150–151, 532; (c) F. Bin, X. L. Wei, B. Li and K. S. Hui, *Appl. Catal.*, B, 2015, 162, 282; (d) A. Sultana, T. Nanba, M. Haneda, M. Sasaki and H. Hamada, *Appl. Catal.*, B, 2010, 101, 61.
- 17 C. G. Bates, R. K. Gujadhur and D. Venkataraman, *Org. Lett.*, 2002, 4, 2803.
- (a) F. Y. Kwong and S. L. Buchwald, Org. Lett., 2002, 4, 3517;
 (b) L. Rout, T. K. Sen and T. Punniyamurthy, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 5583.
- 19 (a) G. Y. Li, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2001, 40, 1513; (b) C. Mispelaere-Canivet, J.-F. Spindler, S. Perrio and P. Beslin, Tetrahedron, 2005, 61, 5253.
- 20 (a) C. Millois and P. Diaz, Org. Lett., 2000, 2, 1705; (b) S. Jammi, P. Barua, L. Rout, P. Sah and T. Punniyamurthy, Tetrahedron Lett., 2008, 49, 1484.
- 21 Y.-C. Wong, T. T. Jayanth and C.-H. Cheng, Org. Lett., 2006, 8, 5613.