


This article was downloaded by: [York University Libraries]

On: 11 November 2014, At: 04:31

Publisher: Taylor & Francis

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Molecular Crystals and Liquid Crystals

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gmcl20>

Efficient Red Organic Light-Emitting Diodes Using 2-(2-(4-Pentylbicyclo[2.2.2]octan-1-yl)-6-(2-(1,1,7-trimethyl-7-triphenysilyl)ethyl-1,2,3,5,6,7-hexahydropyrido[3,2,1-ij]quinolin-9-yl)vinyl)-4H-pyran-4-ylidene)malononitrile as a Fluorescent Red Emitter

Bo Min Seo^a, Ji Hoon Seo^a, Jun Ho Kim^a, Jung Sun Park^a, Kum-Hee Lee^a, Min Hye Park^a, Seung Soo Yoon^b & Young Kwan Kim^a

^a Department of Information Display, Hongik University, Seoul, 121-791, Korea

^b Department of Chemistry, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Gyunggi-do, 440-746, Korea

Published online: 18 Oct 2011.

To cite this article: Bo Min Seo, Ji Hoon Seo, Jun Ho Kim, Jung Sun Park, Kum-Hee Lee, Min Hye Park, Seung Soo Yoon & Young Kwan Kim (2011) Efficient Red Organic Light-Emitting Diodes Using 2-(2-(4-Pentylbicyclo[2.2.2]octan-1-yl)-6-(2-(1,1,7-trimethyl-7-triphenysilyl)ethyl-1,2,3,5,6,7-hexahydropyrido[3,2,1-ij]quinolin-9-yl)vinyl)-4H-pyran-4-ylidene)malononitrile as a Fluorescent Red Emitter, *Molecular Crystals and Liquid Crystals*, 550:1, 233-239, DOI: [10.1080/15421406.2011.599758](https://doi.org/10.1080/15421406.2011.599758)

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15421406.2011.599758>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims,

proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at <http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions>

Efficient Red Organic Light-Emitting Diodes Using 2-(2-(4-Pentylbicyclo[2.2.2]octan-1-yl)-6-(2-(1,1,7-trimethyl-7-triphenysilyllethyl-1,2,3,5,6,7-hexahydropyrido[3,2,1-ij]quinolin-9-yl)vinyl)-4H-pyran-4-ylidene)malononitrile as a Fluorescent Red Emitter

BO MIN SEO,¹ JI HOON SEO,¹ JUN HO KIM,¹
JUNG SUN PARK,¹ KUM-HEE LEE,¹ MIN HYE PARK,¹
SEUNG SOO YOON,² AND YOUNG KWAN KIM^{1,*}

¹Department of Information Display, Hongik University, Seoul 121-791, Korea

²Department of Chemistry, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon,
Gyeonggi-do 440-746, Korea

The authors have demonstrated red organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs) using a new fluorescent red emitter, 2-(2-(4-pentylbicyclo[2.2.2]octan-1-yl)-6-(2-(1,1,7-trimethyl-7-triphenysilyllethyl-1,2,3,5,6,7-hexahydropyrido[3,2,1-ij]quinolin-9-yl)vinyl)-4H-pyran-4-ylidene)malononitrile (DCJTPO), achieved the maximum external quantum efficiency (EQE) of 2.06%, current efficiency (CE) of 3.83 cd/A, and Commission Internationale De L'Eclairage (CIE_{x,y}) coordinates of (0.58, 0.40) at 0.029 mA/cm² in comparison with control device using 4-(dicyanomethylene)-2-tert-butyl-6-(1,1,7,7-tetramethyljulolidin-4-yl-vinyl)-4H-pyran which showed the maximum EQE of 1.07%, CE of 1.41 cd/A and CIE_{x,y} coordinates of (0.61, 0.38) at 0.11 mA/cm². This result showed that the high performance of red device using DCJTPO showed easier electron trapping directly on the emitter molecule.

Keywords light-emitting diode; Red organic lighting emitting diodes; 2-(2-(4-pentylbicyclo[2.2.2]octan-1-yl)-6-(2-(1,1,7-trimethyl-7-triphenysilyllethyl-1,2,3,5,6,7-hexahydropyrido[3,2,1-ij]quinolin-9-yl)vinyl)-4H-pyran-4-ylidene)malononitrile; charge carrier trapping directly

Introduction

Since the first application of a multilayer structure in organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs) by Tang and Vanslyke, OLEDs have attracted considerable interest due to their easy processability, flexibility, thinness, low operating voltages, high resolution, wide viewing angles, and fast response time [1]. So OLED have become a technology for the next generation full color flat-panel displays [2–7]. Many researchers have investigated fluorescent and phosphorescent green emitters for high device performance in OLED [8, 9]. However, the fluorescent red and blue emitters have remained to be weaker part in realizing the full color

*Corresponding author. E-mail: kimyk@hongik.ac.kr

display with low efficiency and dissatisfied color purity. Therefore, red emitters are still rare. In this paper, a new red fluorescent material, 2-(2-(4-pentylbicyclo[2.2.2]octan-1-yl)-6-(2-(1,1,7-trimethyl-7-triphenylsilyl)ethyl-1,2,3,5,6,7-hexahydropyrido[3,2,1-ij]quinolin-9-yl)vinyl)-4H-pyran-4-ylidene)malononitrile (DCJTPSO) was synthesized and studied. The optimized fluorescent red device using DCJTPSO achieved the maximum external quantum efficiency (EQE) of 2.06%, Current efficiency (CE) of 3.83 cd/A, and Commission Internationale De L'Éclairage (CIE_{x,y}) coordinates of (0.58, 0.40) at 0.029 mA/cm², respectively.

Experimental

Synthesis

Synthesis of (*N*-2-ethylhexyl)-3(6)-phenothiazinylene vinylene-*co*-9,10-anthrylene vinylene (KPD-1). Firstly *N*-(2-ethylhexyl)phenothiazine (EHPZ) was synthesized from the reaction of phenothiazine (6 g, 0.03 mol) and 2-ethylhexylbromide (5.8 g, 0.03 mol) in the presence of sodium hydroxide (7.2 g, 0.18 mol) in dimethylsulfoxide (60 ml) at room temperature for 3 h.¹¹ Yield: 73%. ¹H NMR(CDCl₃), δ: 6.87, 7.12 (m, 8H, Ar-*H*), 3.70 (m, 2H, N-CH₂-), 1.93 (t, 1H, CH-), 1.22–1.44 (m, 9H, -CH₂-), 0.83–0.87 (m, 6H, -CH₃), IR (KBr), cm⁻¹: 3064 (Ar CH), 2926 (aliphatic CH), 2857 (N-CH₂-), 1593, 1485 (Ar C=C).

N-(2-Ethylhexyl)-3(6)-formylphenothiazine (EHFZ) was synthesized using modified Vilsmeier-Haack formylation in the second step [6, 7]. Yield: 45%, ¹H NMR(CDCl₃), δ: 9.73 (m, 2H, aldehydic hydrogen), 6.88, 7.56 (m, 6H, Ar *H*), 3.73 (t, 2H, N-CH₂-), 1.17–1.85 (m, 9H, -CH₂-, CH-), 0.76–0.82 (m, 6H, -CH₃), IR (KBr), cm⁻¹: 3048 (Ar CH; weak signal), 2927 (aliphatic CH), 2857 (N-CH₂-), 2724 (aldehydic hydrogen), 1688 (C=O), 1600, 1465 (Ar C=C).




Figure 1. (a) Molecular structure of the key materials used for fabrication. (b) The energy diagram of the red OLEDs in this study.

9,10-(Chloromethyl)anthracene (4.8 g, 0.014 mol) was reacted with triethylphosphite (30g, 0.18 mol) at 120°C for 12 h. The unreacted triethylphosphite was removed under reduced pressure.¹² 9,10-Bis(diethoxyphosphinylmethyl)anthracene (PHAN) was obtained as yellow crystal. Yield: 92%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃), δ : 7.57, 8.38 (m, 8H, Ar **H**), 4.23 (d, 8H, -CH₂O-P-), 3.84 (m, 4H, Ar-CH₂-P), 1.06 (t, 12H, -CH₃), IR (KBr), cm⁻¹: 3026 (Ar CH), 2983 (aliphatic CH), 1251 (P=O).

As presented in Scheme 1 (a), KPD-1 was synthesized with adding potassium *tert*-butoxide (4.73 g, 0.04 mol) solution into a mixture of EHFZ (1.70 g, 0.005 mol) and PHAN (1.20g, 0.0025 mol) in THF, and then stirring at room temperature for 7 h. Yield: 51%, ¹H NMR (CDCl₃), δ : 6.94 (m, 4H, =CH-), IR (KBr), cm⁻¹: 3021 (Ar CH), 2924 (aliphatic CH), 2855 (N-CH₂-), 1578, 1471 (Ar C=C), 965 (trans vinylene).

Poly(BFMP₁₂-AV) alternating copolymer, as shown in Scheme 1 (b), was obtained by Horner-Emmons condensation reaction [5, 8, 9].

Fabrication of PLED

Indium tin oxide (ITO)-coated glass was cleaned in an ultrasonic bath by the following sequence: in acetone, methanol, distilled water and isopropyl alcohol. Thereafter, pre-cleaned ITO was treated by O₂ plasma with the conditions of 2×10^{-2} Torr, 125 W for 2 min. All devices were fabricated using the high vacuum (5×10^{-7} Torr) thermal evaporation of organic materials onto the surface of the ITO-coated glass substrate (15 Ω /sq, emitting area was 3 mm x 3 mm). The deposition rates were 1.0 ~ 1.1 Å/sec for organic materials and 0.1 Å/sec for lithium quinolate (Liq), respectively. After the deposition of the organic layers without a vacuum break the Al cathode was deposited at a rate of 10 Å/sec. With the DC voltage bias, the optical and electrical properties of OLEDs such as the current density, luminance, CE, and electroluminescence (EL) spectra of the emission characteristics were measured with Keithley 236 and CHROMA METER CS-1000A instruments. The




Figure 2. Current density versus voltage characteristics of device A and B. Inset: Luminance versus voltage characteristics of device A and B.

UV-Visible absorption spectra were measured in 1,2-dichloroethane solution (10^{-5} M) using a Shimadzu UV-1650PC. The photoluminescence (PL) were obtained in 1,2-dichloroethane solution (10^{-5} M) and thin film using an Amincobrowman series 2 luminescence spectrometer. The fluorescent quantum yields were determined in 1,2-dichloroethane solution at 293 K against 4-(dicyanomethylene)-2-tert-butyl-6-(1,1,7,7-tetramethyljulolidin-4-yl-vinyl)-4H-pyran (DCJTB) ($\Phi = 0.78$) as a reference. All measurements were carried out under ambient conditions at room temperature.




Figure 3. (a) EQE versus current density characteristics of device A and B. (b) CE versus current density characteristics of device A and B.




Figure 4. (a) UV/visible absorption spectrum of DCJTJB and PL spectrum of MADN. (b) UV/visible absorption spectrum of DCJTPO and PL spectrum of MADN.

Results and Discussion

Figure 1 (a) shows the molecular structure of key materials used for fabrication, 2-methyl-9, 10-di(2-naphthyl)anthracene (MADN), DCJTJB (device A), and DCJTPO (device B), respectively. Figure 1 (b) shows energy level diagram of two devices with the structure of ITO/N,N'-bis(naphthalen-1-yl)-N,N'-bis(phenyl)-benzidine (NPB) (500 Å)/DCJTJB:MADN (300 Å, 5%, device A) or DCJTPO:MADN (300 Å, 5%, device B)/bis-(2-methyl-8-quinolinolate)-4-(phenylphenolato)aluminium (BALq) (300 Å)/Liq(20 Å)/Al(1000 Å), respectively. The doping concentrations of DCJTJB and DCJTPO in MADN were optimized to 5%, respectively. NPB, MADN, BALq and Liq were used for hole transporting layer, red host, electron transporting layer (ETL), and electron injection layer, respectively. DCJTJB and DCJTPO were also used for fluorescent red emitter, respectively.

Figure 2 shows current density versus voltage characteristics of device A and B. Inset Fig 2 shows luminance versus voltage characteristics of device A and B. Device A and B




Figure 5. (a) CIE_{x,y} coordinates versus voltage characteristics of device A and B from 5 to 12 V. (b) EL spectra of device A and B at 1000 cd/m².

showed the current density of 50.1 and 265 mA/cm² at 13 V, respectively. Seeing that the highest occupied molecular orbital and the lowest occupied molecular orbital of red dopant, the carrier injection barrier are 0.5 eV for electrons and only 0 eV for holes. From the electron carrier point of view, there is the direct electron injection from the ETL to the red emitter. Also the red device B using DCJTPO showed higher current density than that of the red device A with same device structure.

This result showed carrier injection of the devices B is better than that of the device A. Figure 3 (a) and (b) show the EQE and CE versus current density characteristics of two devices. Device A and B had a maximum EQE of 1.07 and 2.06% and CE of 1.41 and 3.83 cd/A at 0.11, 0.03 mA/cm², respectively. They also exhibited a EQE of 0.84 and 1.49% and CE of 1.13 and 2.90 cd/A at 10 mA/cm², respectively.

Figure 4 (a) and (b) show the UV/visible absorption spectra of DCJTb (device A) and DCJTPO (device B) and PL spectrum of MADN, respectively. The UV/visible absorption of DCJTPO and PL spectra MADN showed almost the same spectra overlap in comparison of them of DCJTb and MADN. This result indicated similar efficient Förster singlet energy transfer from MADN to the red emitter.

However, PL quantum yield of DCJTPO(0.97) showed higher than that of DCJTb(0.78). As a result, the performance of the red device B using the DCJTPO is better performance than that of the red device A with same device structure.

Figure 5 (a) shows the CIE_{x,y} coordinates changes of two devices from 5 to 12 V. Devices A and B showed CIE_{x,y} coordinates of (0.61, 0.39) and (0.58, 0.41) at 13 and 9 V, respectively.

Figure 5 (b) shows the EL spectra of two devices at 1000 cd/m². Device A and B had a red peak at 615 and 601 nm for DCJTb and DCJTPO, respectively. Device A showed more redwish emission than device B.

Conclusions

In this study, we demonstrated efficient red OLEDs using a new fluorescent red emitter, DCJTPO. The optimized red device using DCJTPO showed higher EQE of 2 times and CE of 2.7 times than control red device using DCJTb. This result indicated similar efficient Förster singlet energy transfer from MADN to the red device using DCJTb or DCJTPO because the UV/visible absorption of DCJTPO and PL spectra MADN showed almost the same spectra overlap in comparison with them of DCJTb and MADN. However, PL quantum yield of DCJTPO(0.97) showed higher than that of DCJTb(0.78). This process of device B on charge easier electron trapping directly on the emitter molecule also resulted in a more efficient performance than that of device A.

As a result, the performance of the red device B using the DCJTPO is better than that of the red device A with same device structure.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by 2009 Hongik University Reserch Fund and the ERC program of the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation (KOSEF) grant funded by the Korea Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (MEST) and (No. R11-2007-045-03001-0).

References

- [1] C. W. Tang and S. A. VanSlyke, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **51**, 913 (1987).
- [2] J. Kido, K. Hongawa, K. Okuyama, and K. Nagai, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **64**, 815 (1994).
- [3] J. H. Seo, J. H. Kim, J. H. Seo, G. W. Hyung, J. H. Park, K. H. Lee, S. S. Yoon, and Y. K. Kim, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **90**, 203507 (2007).
- [4] Y. Sun, N. C. Giebink, H. Kanno, B. Ma, M. E. Thompson, and S. R. Forrest, *Nature*, **440**, 908 (2006).
- [5] M. H. Ho, Y. S. Wu, S. W. Wen, M. T. Lee, T. M. Chen, C. H. Chen, K. C. Kwok, S. K. So, K. T. Yeung, Y. K. Cheng, and Z. Q. Gao, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **89**, 252903 (2006).
- [6] J. R. Sheats, H. Antoniadis, M. Hueschen, W. Leonard, J. Miller, R. Moon, D. Roitman, and A. Stocking, *Science* **273**, 884 (1996).
- [7] L. Zhou, A. Wang, S. Wu, J. Sun, S. Park, and T. N. Jackson, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **88**, 083502 (2006).
- [8] G. Yu, J. Cao, J. Hummelen, F. Wudl, and A. J. Heeger, *Science* **270**, 1789 (1995).
- [9] G. Yu, Y. Cao, J. Wang, J. McElvain, and A. J. Heeger, *Synth. Met.* **102**, 904 (1999).