Green Chemistry

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: G. Hammond, B. Xu and S. Liang, *Green Chem.*, 2018, DOI: 10.1039/C7GC03665E.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the **author guidelines**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the ethical guidelines, outlined in our <u>author and reviewer resource centre</u>, still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



rsc.li/green-chem

Green Chemistry

RSCPublishing

COMMUNICATION

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Metal-free Regioselective Hydrochlorination of Unactivated Alkenes *via* a Combined Acid Catalytic System

Received 00th January 2012, Accepted 00th January 2012

Shengzong Liang,^a Gerald B. Hammond,^{a,*} Bo Xu^{b,*}

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org

Published on 02 January 2018. Downloaded by University of Florida Libraries on 03/01/2018 00:17:12.

A combined acid HCI/DMPU-acetic acid catalytic system was used in the hydrochlorination of a wide range of unactivated alkenes. This hydrochlorination strategy is remarkably greener than previous reported methods in terms of high atom efficiency, no toxic waste generated and metal-free process. The higher efficiency, compared with other commercially available HCI reagents, was augmented by the good regioselectivity and functionality tolerance found. A stepwise mechanism for this hydrochlorination process was proposed based on kinetic studies.

The hydrohalogenation of alkenes--one of the most fundamental organic reactions in elementary organic chemistry--has been extensively studied for over a century. However, in contrast to the facile hydrobromination and hydroiodination of alkenes,¹ the hydrochlorination of alkenes, especially of unactivated monosubstituted alkenes, is still challenging. Although various indirect synthesis of alkyl chlorides, such as the reduction of alkenyl chlorides and the chlorination through C-H activation have been reported,² the direct hydrochlorination of alkenes is still the most straightforward atom-economical method. HCl generated in situ from highly reactive chlorine-containing sources have been used for alkene hydrochlorinations, but the toxic waste and the limited scope restricted their practical uses.³ Notably, Carreira and co-workers described a direct hydrochlorination of challenging monosubstituted alkenes, catalyzed by cobalt, for a wide range

a) Cobalt mediated hydrochlorination of alkenes by Carreira



b) Hydrochlorination of alkenes with novel chlorophosphonium reagent by Snyder



c) Anti-Markovnikov hydrochlorination of alkenes with photoredox catalysis by Nicewicz



Scheme 1. Notable examples of hydrochlorination of olefins.

of functional groups, including acid sensitive species (Scheme 1a). However, only the hydrochlorination of aliphatic alkenes were reported in their work.⁴ More recently, the Snyder group developed a novel chlorophosphonium pre-reagent for the addition of HCl to olefins. This reagent was easy to handle and smoothly delivered HCl to di- and tri-substituted aliphatic alkenes (Scheme 1b).⁵ However, the most convenient and widely available chlorine source, HCl, has been succinctly studied. Although HCl gas or liquid HCl have been utilized in this reaction,⁶ only a very limited substrate scope was reported.

^a Department of Chemistry, University of Louisville, Louisville, Kentucky 40292, USA. ^b College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Biotechnology, Donghua University, 2999 North Renmin Lu, Shanghai 201620, China

E-mail: bo.xu@dhu.edu.cn and gb.hammond@louisville.edu

⁺ Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Detailed experimental procedures, and characterization of compounds. See DOI: 10.1039/ b000000x

Meanwhile, storing and handling gaseous or liquid HCl is always a safety concern. A remarkable application of a supported HCl salt for the hydrochlorination of olefins was demonstrated by Nicewicz and co-workers, using photoredox catalysis.⁷ A series of substituted styrene substrates were converted to the corresponding chlorinated products in anti-Markovnikov manner under irradiation of blue LED using a HCl/2,6-lutidine salt as HCl source (Scheme 1c). In general, the success of the aforementioned hydrochlorinations depended on the structural and electronic nature of alkene substrates: highly substituted or strained alkenes and styrene-based substrates were usually necessary substrates. In this regard, an unbiased hydrochlorination of alkenes relying on easyhandling HCl reagents is still needed. But, to the best of our knowledge, no such general strategy for hydrochlorination of olefins has been reported.

In our continuing efforts to halogenate organic molecules with DMPU-supported hydrogen halides,^{1g, 8} we hypothesized that the highly active and concentrated HCI/DMPU^{8f, 8g} might provide a more widely applicable protocol for addition of HCl to alkenes. However, in our initial attempts, various transition metal catalysts failed to give hydrochlorinated products, which underscored the inefficient activation of alkenes or the catalyst poisoning enabled by the high concentration of chlorine. These observations suggested that perhaps a metalfree, yet highly acidic system, could be appropriate for our designed hydrochlorination strategy. In our previous reports, we demonstrated an efficient alkyne hydration method through acid-assisted Brønsted acid catalysis, in which the activation of the alkyne was enabled by the enhanced acidity of the reaction system by the combination of two acids.⁹ Based on this concept, we now report a highly efficient strategy for the hydrochlorination of unactivated alkenes through a combined acid system (Scheme 1).

We commenced our studies using styrene 1a as model substrate and HCI/DMPU as HCI source (Table 1). As mentioned before, in our initial trials we examined the possibility of hydrochlorination using metal catalysis. A chlorine-tolerant gold catalytic system^{8g} could not efficiently activate the C=C double bond (Table 1, entry 1). Other metal-based Lewis acid catalysts were also ineffective for the hydrochlorination of 1a (Table 1, entries 2-4). Brønsted acid catalysts were also tested (Table 1, entries 5-7) but TfOH, HNTf₂ as well as a solid acid, Nafion NR50, failed to improve the yield of the desired product. We switched our strategy and used acidic solvents such as TFA and AcOH in the absence of strong acid catalysts (Table 1, entries 8-9). No chlorinated product was observed when TFA was used but a high yield (84%) of (1-chloroethyl)benzene 2a was obtained in AcOH. We later found that the reaction at room temperature also produced 2a in 57% yield (Table 1, entry 10). To increase the yield, more HCI/DMPU was utilized (Table 1, entries 11-12). Although there was no obvious difference in yield when 6 and 8 equivalents of HCI/DMPU were used, respectively, the latter was chosen to account for more challenging aliphatic alkenes that would be further examined.

	la	HCI/DMPU catalyst (10 solvent, 1	HCI/DMPU (x equiv), catalyst (10 mol%) solvent, T, 12 h			
entry	х	catalyst	solvent	T (°C)	Yield (%)	
1	4	AuPPh₃Cl	HFIP	70	5	
2	4	FeCl ₃	DMF	100	8	
3	4	Cul	DMF	100	8	
4	4	Ga(OTf)₃	DMF	100	3	
5	4	TfOH	DMF	100	12	
6	4	HNTf ₂	DMF	100	10	
7	4	Nafion NR50	DMF	100	n.r.	
8	4	-	TFA	70	n.d.	
9	4	-	AcOH	100	84	
10	4	-	AcOH	rt	57	
11	6	-	AcOH	rt	95	
12	8	-	AcOH	rt	98	

Yield was determined by GCMS.

After establishing the optimal reaction conditions, the substrate scope was explored (Table 2). First of all, we







^aIsolated yields; Reaction condition: **1** (0.2 mmol) and HCI/DMPU (43% w/w, 1.6 mmol) in AcOH (0.5 ml) at room temperature. ^b100 °C. ^c 50 °C. ^d GCMS yield.

Page 2 of 5

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/070403665500 Published on 02 January 2018. Downloaded by University of Florida Libraries on 03/01/2018 00:17:12.

Green Chemistry



^a The assessment of results is represented with color code, the yield of the standard reaction in the absence of additive is showed in purple, the yield with additive is scaled relative to standard reaction. The product yield represents: > 70% in green, 40-70% in yellow and < 40% in red; the additive remaining represents: > 70% in green, 40-70% in green, 40-70% in yellow and < 40% in red.

investigated the styryl system (Table 2, 2a-2l). The efficacy of our protocol showed a dependence with the electronic properties of the aryl substituents. The substrates containing strong electron-withdrawing group, such as CF₃, needed higher temperature to complete the conversion. But substrates with electron-donating groups underwent the reaction smoothly to give hydrochlorinated products in high yields. The scope of our strategy was further extended to the more challenging unactivated monosubstituted alkenes. Non-functionalized alkenes were efficiently converted to the corresponding chlorinated products (Table 2, 2m-2p). More importantly, a wide range of functional groups such as sulfide, sulfone, imide, carboxylic acid, cyano, nitro as well as carbonyl groups were well tolerated (Table 2, 2g-2z). In addition, this protocol could also be applied for the hydrochlorination of di- and trisubstituted alkenes with good efficiencies (Table 2, 2a'-2c').

To gain insight on the versatility of our strategy towards heteroatomic ring systems, we conducted an additive-based reaction screening, a strategy regarded as a robust and highthroughput approach for the examination of functionality tolerance (Table 3).¹⁰ To our delight, the yields with all the tested additives ranged from 80% to 98%. Most of the heterocycles tested remained intact. including benzo[b]thiophene, 2-(methylthio)thiophene, benzofuran, furfural, benzo[d]oxazole, and 2-pyridone. 1-Methylindole and 1-methylpyrrole partially decomposed, with recoveries of 44% and 15%, respectively. On the other hand, 8-methylquinoline N-oxide was completely converted to its reduced form. Our reaction protocol was also easily utilized in large scale synthesis (eq 1).



Compared with other commercially available HCl sources, the observed superior performance of HCl/DMPU on the hydrochlorination of styrene (**2a**) was consistent with our



Scheme 2. A) Comparison of efficiency among various HCl sources; B) The effect of chloride concentration; C) Step 1: acetylation of styrene with AcOH; D) Step 2: substitution of phenylethyl acetate with HCl.

previous results in the hydrochlorination of alkynes (Scheme 2A).^{8f,8g} After demonstrating the generality of our hydrochlorination strategy, we turned our attention to its mechanism. First, we investigated the kinetic isotope effect (KIE) for the hydrochlorination of styrene (2a). A KIE of 2.2 was observed (Scheme S1), which indicated that the addition of hydrogen to alkene might be the rate-determining step. In addition, we found that the reaction rate was dependent on the concentration of chlorine (Scheme 2B). Based on these outcome and the observation that trace amounts of 1phenylethyl acetate were found as byproduct, we hypothesized that a stepwise reaction took place: 1) the enhanced acidity, by a combined acid system, was able to activate the olefinic double bond, rendering it amenable for nucleophilic acetylation (Scheme 2C). In support of this step, we noticed that the formation of 1-phenylethyl acetate was

5.

6.

7.

9.

10.

very efficient in the presence of either a Brønsted acid such as TfOH, or a Lewis acid such as $Ga(OTf)_3$; on the contrary, no desired product was generated without an acid promoter. Meanwhile, a KIE of 1.33 was obtained when $Ga(OTf)_3$ was used as promoter (Scheme S2 in Supporting Information), a result that is consistent with the assumption that the addition of AcOH to alkene was the rate-determining step; 2) the nucleophilic substitution of 1-phenylethyl acetate with chloride afforded (1-chloroethyl)benzene (Scheme 2D). It was found that the presence of acetic acid significantly facilitated the substitution process due to the enhanced leaving group capacity of the acetoxy group. Moreover, an inverse kinetic isotope effect (KIE=0.59) was observed (Scheme S3 in Supporting Information), which indicated a rehybridization of the transition state involved in the substitution mechanism.¹¹

In summary, we have developed a highly efficient and widely applicable metal-free protocol for the hydrochlorination of

Notes and references

Published on 02 January 2018. Downloaded by University of Florida Libraries on 03/01/2018 00:17:12.

- (a) J. Barluenga, J. M. González, P. J. Campos and G. Asensio, 1. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 1985, 24, 319-320; (b) P. J. Campos, B. García and M. A. Rodríguez, Tetrahedron Lett., 2002, 43, 6111-6112; (c) B. Das, Y. Srinivas, H. Holla and R. Narender, Chem. Lett., 2007, 36, 800-801; (d) C. Kishan Reddy and M. Periasamy, Tetrahedron Lett., 1990, 31, 1919-1920; (e) R. M. Pagni, G. W. Kabalka, R. Boothe, K. Gaetano, L. J. Stewart, R. Conaway, C. Dial, D. Gray, S. Larson and T. Luidhardt, J. Org. Chem., 1988, 53, 4477-4482; (f) S. Irifune, T. Kibayashi, Y. Ishii and M. Ogawa, Synthesis, 1988, 5, 366-369; (g) Z. Li, R. Ebule, J. Kostyo, G. B. Hammond and B. Xu, Chem. Eur. J., 2017, 23, 12739-12743; (h) H. M. Weiss, J. Chem. Educ., 1995, 72, 848; (i) A. M. Sanseverino and M. C. S. d. Mattos, J. Braz. Chem. Soc, 2001, 12, 685-687; (j) M. Galli, C. J. Fletcher, M. del Pozo and S. M. Goldup, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2016, 14, 5622-5626; (k) Y. Nishio, R. Mifune, T. Sato, S.-i. Ishikawa and H. Matsubara, Tetrahedron Lett., 2017, 58, 1190-1193; (l) N. Isenberg and M. Grdinic, J. Chem. Educ., 1969, 46, 601; (m) F. R. Mayo and C. Walling, Chem. Rev., 1940, 27, 351-412. 2.
 - (a) S. M. King, X. Ma and S. B. Herzon, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 6884-6887; (b) R. Kundu and Z. T. Ball, Org. Lett., 2010, 12, 2460-2463; (c) W. Liu and J. T. Groves, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 12847-12849; (d) Q. Qin and S. Yu, Org. Lett., 2015, 17, 1894-1897; (e) Y. Wang, G.-X. Li, G. Yang, G. He and G. Chen, Chem. Sci., 2016, 7, 2679-2683; (f) J. R. Reyes and V. H. Rawal, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 3077-3080; (g) Z. Zhang, Q. Sun, D. Xu, C. Xia and W. Sun, Green Chem., 2016, 18, 5485-5492; (h) I. Gazić Smilović, E. Casas-Arcé, S. J. Roseblade, U. Nettekoven, A. Zanotti-Gerosa, M. Kovačevič and Z. Časar, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 1014-1018.
- (a) P. Boudjouk, B.-K. Kim and B.-H. Han, Synth. Commun., 1996, 26, 3479-3484; (b) M. C. S. de Mattos and A. M. Sanseverino, Synth. Commun., 2000, 30, 1975-1983; (c) Veejendra K. Yadav and K. G. Babu, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2005, 2005, 452-456; (d) L. Delaude and P. Laszlo, Tetrahedron Lett., 1991, 32, 3705-3708; (e) P. J. Kropp, K. A. Daus, S. D. Crawford, M. W. Tubergen, K. D. Kepler, S. L. Craig and V. P. Wilson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 7433-7434; (f) P. J. Kropp, K. A. Daus, M. W. Tubergen, K. D. Kepler, V. P. Wilson, S. L. Craig, M. M. Baillargeon and G. W. Breton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 3071-3079.
- 4. B. Gaspar and E. M. Carreira, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2008, 47, 5758-5760.

alkenes through a combined acid catalytic system. This strategy worked well for challenging monosubstituted alkenes, and exhibited good regioselectivity and functional group tolerance. A kinetic study suggested a sequential acetylation/chlorination pathway.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts interest to declare.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the National Institutes of Health for financial support (R01GM121660). Bo Xu is grateful to the National Science Foundation of China for financial support (NSFC-21472018).

F. T. Schevenels, M. Shen and S. A. Snyder, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 6329-6337.

(a) F. C. Whitmore and F. Johnston, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1933, 55, 5020-5022;
(b) L. Schmerling, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1946, 68, 195-196;
(c) M. J. S. Dewar and R. C. Fahey, J. Am. Chem.

Soc., 1963, 85, 2245-2248; (d) J. K. Stille, F. M. Sonnenberg and T. H. Kinstle, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1966, 88, 4922-4925; (e) R. C. Fahey and C. A. McPherson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1971, 93, 2445-2453; (f) K. B. Becker and C. A. Grob, Synthesis, 1973, 1973, 789-790; (g) P. FrØyen and J. Skramstad, Synth. Commun., 1994, 24, 1871-1877; (h) H. Alper, Y. Huang, D. Belli Dell'Amico, F. Calderazzo, N. Pasqualetti and C. A. Veracini, Organometallics, 1991, 10, 1665-1671; (i) J. P. Kennedy and S. Sivaram, J. Org. Chem., 1973, 38, 2262-2264; (j) D. Landini and F. Rolla, J. Org. Chem., 1980, 45, 3527-3529.

- D. J. Wilger, M. GrandjeanJean-Marc, T. R. Lammert and D. A. Nicewicz, *Nat Chem*, 2014, 6, 720-726.
- (a) O. E. Okoromoba, J. Han, G. B. Hammond and B. Xu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 14381-14384; (b) O. E. Okoromoba, Z. Li, N. Robertson, M. S. Mashuta, U. R. Couto, C. F. Tormena, B. Xu and G. B. Hammond, Chem. Commun., 2016, 52, 13353-13356; (c) O. E. Okoromoba, G. B. Hammond and B. Xu, Org. Lett., 2015, 17, 3975-3977; (d) S. Liang, G. B. Hammond and B. Xu, Chem. Eur. J., DOI: 10.1002/chem.201702664, n/a-n/a; (e) S. Liang, F. J. Barrios, O. E. Okoromoba, Z. Hetman, B. Xu and G. B. Hammond, J. Fluorine Chem., 2017, 203, 136-139; (f) S. Liang, R. Ebule, G. B. Hammond and B. Xu, Org. Lett., 2017, 19, 4524-4527; (g) R. Ebule, S. Liang, G. B. Hammond and B. Xu, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 6798-6801.

 (a) H. Yamamoto and K. Futatsugi, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2005, 44, 1924-1942;
 (b) S. Liang, G. B. Hammond and B. Xu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, 51, 903-906.

(a) T. Gensch, M. Teders and F. Glorius, J. Org. Chem., 2017, 82, 9154-9159;
(b) J. Richardson, J. C. Ruble, E. A. Love and S. Berritt, J. Org. Chem., 2017, 82, 3741-3750;
(c) L. Bayeh, P. Q. Le and U. K. Tambar, Nature, 2017, 547, 196-200;
(d) K. D. Collins and F. Glorius, Nat Chem, 2013, 5, 597-601;
(e) K. D. Collins, A. Rühling and F. Glorius, Nat. Protocols, 2014, 9, 1348-1353;
(f) K. D. Collins, A. Rühling, F. Lied and F. Glorius, Chem. Eur. J, 2014, 20, 3800-3805;
(g) P. R. Leger, R. A. Murphy, E. Pushkarskaya and R. Sarpong, Chem. Eur. J, 2015, 21, 4377-4383.

Green Chemistry

 (a) J. F. Marlier, E. Campbell, C. Lai, M. Weber, L. A. Reinhardt and W. W. Cleland, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2006, 71, 3829-3836; (b) J. F. Marlier, E. J. Fogle, R. L. Redman, A. D. Stillman, M. A. Denison and L. I. Robins, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2015, 80, 1905-1908; (c) J. L. Hogg, J. Rodgers, I. Kovach and R. L. Schowen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1980, 102, 79-85; (d) Y. Lu, F. Qu, B. Moore, D. Endicott and W. Kuester, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2008, 73, 4763-4770; (e) C. G. Mitton, M. Gresser and R. L. Schowen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1969, 91, 2045-2047.