

View Article Online View Journal

RSC Advances

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: M. Younis, V. Darcos, C. Paniagua, P. Ronjat, L. Lemaire, B. Nottelet, X. Garric, Y. Bakkour, J. H. El Nakat and J. Coudane, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, DOI: 10.1039/C5RA23646K.



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C5RA23646K

COYAL SOCIETY

Journal Name

ARTICLE

MRI-visible polymer based on poly(methyl methacrylate) for imaging applications

Mira Younis,^{a,b} Vincent Darcos,^a* Cédric Paniagua,^a Pauline Ronjat,^a Laurent Lemaire,^c Benjamin Nottelet,^a Xavier Garric,^a Youssef Bakkour,^d John Hanna El Nakat,^b Jean Coudane^a

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Published on 07 January 2016. Downloaded by University of California - San Diego on 07/01/2016 21:49:04.

Macromolecular contrast agents are very attractive to afford efficient magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) visualization of implantable medical devices. In this work, we report on the grafting of a Gd-based DTPA contrast agent onto a poly(methyl methacrylate) derivative backbone by combining free radical polymerization and copper-catalyzed azide-alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC). Using free radical polymerization, poly(methyl methacrylate-*co*-propargyl methacrylate) copolymers were prepared with a control of the ratio in propargyl methacrylate monomer units. The synthesis of a new azido mono-functionalized DTPA ligand was also reported and characterized by ¹H NMR and mass spectroscopy. After complexation with gadolinium, this ligand has been grafted on the polymer backbone by click chemistry reaction. The obtained macromolecular contrast agent was then coated on a polypropylene mesh using the airbrushing technique and the mesh was assessed for MRI visualization at 7 Teslas. The polymeric contrast agent was also tested for cytocompatibility and stability to assess its suitability for biomedical applications.

1. Introduction

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has become one of the most popular imaging techniques in the last few decades, with nearly 60 million MRI scans performed yearly around the world.¹ This technique's popularity and routine use by clinicians for diagnosis arises from the fact that it is a non-invasive imaging technique with high spatial resolution.² In order to enhance the signal and thus improve the diagnosis accuracy, various MRI contrast agents (CAs) have been developed. CAs containing paramagnetic ions such as Gadolinium (Gd) are currently the most employed owing to the ion's unique magnetic properties^{3,4} and high coordination number.⁵ However, free gadolinium (Gd³⁺) ion is highly toxic. In order to

^a Institut des Biomolécules Max Mousseron (IBMM), UMR 5247, CNRS, Université Montpellier, ENSCM, Bâtiment I, Faculté de Pharmacie, 15 avenue Charles Flahault, BP14491, 34093 Montpellier cedex 5, France reduce its toxicity, Gd^{III} chelates have been developed. ³Among these Gd^{III} complexes, hydrophilic and low molecular weight complexes such as Magnevist[®], Dotarem[®], Omniscan[®] have been extensively used for clinical uses in the last decades. However, large amount of those low molar masses Gd chelates must be injected as they are rapidly cleared from the body⁶ thus allowing MRI visualization for only short periods of time. To circumvent this clearance shortcoming and also to significantly increase the relaxivity properties as relaxivity enhancement time,⁷ CAs have been linked to macromolecular structures such as polymer,⁸ dendrimer,⁹ carbon nanotube,¹⁰ fullerenes.¹¹ As a consequence, new functional Gd-based CAs were recently prepared to improve the ligation between the macromolecular structure and the CA.

The development of such new functional Gd-based CA that can be grafted on polymeric structures opens up a new era in MR imaging. Indeed, polymeric based implants as used in gynecology or hernia repair are not visible using conventional MR imaging examination^{12,13} even though the presence of collagen coating on some of them allow a transitional visualization of the implant.¹⁴

However, a long term vizualisation of the prosthesis is required to obtain information concerning their post-operation fate and fixation inside the body.^{15,16} Polymeric gadolinium macromolecular contrast agents are one of the very promising contrast agents for long term visualization^{7,17} even if there are not so many examples

E-mail: vincent.darcos@univ-montp1.fr

^b Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Sciences, University of Balamand, Al_Kurah, P.O. Box 100, Lebanon

^c Micro et Nanomédecines Biomimétiques-MINT, INSERM UMR-S1066, Université Angers, 4 rue Larrey, 49933 Angers Cedex 9, France

^d Laboratory of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Science III, Lebanese University, P.O.Box 826, Tripoli, Lebanon

ARTICLE

Published on 07 January 2016. Downloaded by University of California - San Diego on 07/01/2016 21:49:04.

concerning the use of hydrophobic polymers. Among the first examples of the use of hydrophobic polymers are MRI-visible PCL and MRI-visible PMMA obtained by post-polymerization modification.^{18,19} However, there are some disadvantages concerning the synthesis of these polymeric contrast agents, such as lack of control over the substitution degree of the polymer and the necessity to use several protection/deprotection steps on the DTPA ligand before the complexation with gadolinium. In order to control the substitution degree of CA, in a second approach the Huisgen click reaction had been used in the synthesis of MRI poly(ϵ -caprolactone).²⁰

In this paper, we aim at designing and characterizing Gd³⁺functionalized poly(methyl methacrylate) using copper catalyzed [3+2] cycloaddition (scheme 1). The synthesis of new azide monofunctionalized DTPA and its corresponding gadolinium(III) complex is first reported. Then, the click reaction of a gadolinium DTPA complex onto an alkyne-functionalized poly(methyl methacrylate) is described. The obtained macromolecular contrast agent is then coated on a commercial polypropylene mesh using the airbrushing technique.²¹ The effect of the amount of gadolinium present in the contrast agent on the MRI signal will be assessed, in addition to stability and cytocompatibility studies.



Scheme 1: Synthetic pathway for the preparation of PMMA MRI contrast agent 4

2. Experimental Section

2.1 Chemicals and Materials

Dimethylfornamide (DMF, Aldrich) and toluene (Aldrich) were dried over calcium hydride overnight and distilled under reduced pressure. Pyridine (Aldrich) was dried over KOH and distilled. Tetrahydrofuran (THF, Aldrich) was dried by refluxing over a benzophenone-sodium mixture until a deep blue colour appeared and distilled. Methyl methacrylate (MMA, Aldrich) was purified through a basic aluminum oxide column in order to remove the inhibitor. AIBN was recrystallized in ethanol. All other materials were obtained from Aldrich and were used without any further purification.

2.2 Characterization

NMR Spectroscopy: AMX300 Bruker spectrophotometer operating at 300MHz was used to record the NMR spectra. AMX400 Bruker spectrophotometer was used to obtain the ¹H and ¹³C spectra of the azido functionalized DTPA ligand. Deuterated chloroform and water were used as solvent, and chemical shifts were expressed in ppm with respect to tetramethylsilane (TMS).

FT-IR: FT-IR spectra were obtained on a Perkin Elmer spectrum 100 FT-IR spectrophotometer with an attenuated total reflectance (ATR) method.

LC-MS and MALDI analyses: A Q-TOF (Waters) spectrometer with an electrospray interface was used to obtain LC-MS spectra. An Ultra-FlexIII spectrometer (Bruker) with dithranol as matrix was used to obtain MALDI-TOF spectra. All solvents were HPLC grade.

Preparative HPLC: HPLC separation was performed at SYNBIO3, Montpellier on HPLC (Waters) with HD-C18 column using HPLC acetonitrile in 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid as eluent.

Size Exclusion Chromatography: Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) was performed at room temperature using a Viscotek GPCmax system equipped with a Viscotek guard column (10×4.6 mm) and two Viscotek columns LT 5000L mixed medium (300×7.8 mm), with a Viscotek VE 3580 refractometric detector, and a Viscotek VE 3210 UV/Vis detector. Calibration was established with polystyrene standards from Polymer Laboratories. THF was used as solvent with a flow rate of 1 mL.min⁻¹.

ICP-MS Analyses: Quantification of Gd³⁺ and Cu⁺ was performed on an Element XR sector field ICP-MS (inductively coupled plasma) with an indium enriched ultrapure solution as an internal standard. The analyses were performed in the GeoSciences laboratory at the University of Montpellier.

2.3 Synthesis

2.3.1 Synthesis of 3-azido-1-propylamine: 3-azido-1-propylamine was synthesized according to a known procedure.²² 3-chloropropylamine hydrochloride (4 g, 30.8 mmol), sodium azide (6 g, 92.3 mmol), and water (30 mL) were added in the round bottom flask. The mixture solution was heated overnight at 80°C. After cooling in an ice bath, KOH (4 g) and diethyl ether (50 mL) were added. After separation of the organic phase, the aqueous phase was further extracted with diethyl ether (3×50 mL). The organic phase was then dried over magnesium sulfate and evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification of the crude product by distillation yielded 2.46 g of the pure product as coloress oil with a yield of 80 %. ¹H NMR (300MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm)= 3.3 (t,2H,CH₂N₃); 2.8 (t,2H, CH₂NH₂), 1.6 (m,2H, CH₂CH₂CH₂), 1.4 (s, NH₂). FT-IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 2100(N₃)

2.3.2 Synthesis of azido-functionalized DTPA (monoN₃-DTPA): DTPA bis-anhydride (1.9 g, 5.3 mmol, 1 equiv.) and imidazole (3.04 g, 44.2 mmol) were solubilized in DMF at 80 °C. The mixture was then cooled to 50 °C, and a mixture of azidopropylamine (0.53g, 5.3mmol, 1equiv.) and pyridine (0.22 mL) was added dropwise. The mixture was left at 50 °C for 5 hours, then precipitated in acetone, filtered and lyophilized. The monofunctional DTPA **(1)** was purified by preparative HPLC separation (0.555 g, yield 30 %). ¹H NMR (400MHz, D₂O) δ ppm: 1.8 (2H, m, CH₂CH₂N₃), 3.4 (12H, t, N(CH₂)₂N and CH₂N₃), 3.7(2H, s, NCH₂CO₂H), 4 (8H, s, NCH₂CO₂H). FT-IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 2100 (N₃). LC-MS (ES+, m/z): 476.2 Da [M+H⁺]

2.3.3 Synthesis of Gd³⁺ complex (2): Pyridine (2 mmol, 0.16 mL) was added to azido-functionalized DTPA **1** (100 mg, 0.2 mmol) dissolved in few mL of H₂O and stirred for 10 minutes at room temperature. GdCl₃.6H₂O (0.15g, 0.4 mmol) was then added, and the mixture was left at 40 °C for 24 hours. Solvents were removed under vacuum, and an aqueous solution of the complex was stirred in Chelex resin 100 overnight to remove free Gd^{3+, 23} The product was then filtered, lyophilized, and obtained as white solid. The absence of free gadolinium was verified by methyl thymol blue (MTB) calorimetric test²⁴ and the amount of complexed Gd³⁺was

DOI: 10.1039/C5RA23646K

Journal Name

Journal Name

calculated by ICP-MS, revealing 10% Gd by weight (64 mg; yield: 50%). MALDI-TOF (dithranol, m/z): [M+K⁺]=685.8 Da versus 685.78 calculated. FT-IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 2100 (N₃).

2.3.4 Synthesis of propargyl methacrylate: Methacryloyl chloride (13.95 g, 133 mmol) in 50 mL DCM was added dropwise at 0 °C during 30 minutes to propargyl alcohol (5 g, 89 mmol) and Et₃N (13.51 g, 133 mmol) in 50 mL DCM. The reaction was then left overnight at room temperature. After removal of ammonium salts by filtration over celite, the product was washed with 3×50mL of saturated NaHCO₃ and 3×50mL H₂O until pH = 7. The product was dried over MgSO₄ and solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The final product was obtained as colorless oil by vacuum distillation. (4g, yield=36%) ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 1.97 (3H, s, CH₃-C=CH₂), 2.48(1H, s, C=CH), 4.76(2H, s, OCH₂), 5.63(1H, s, C=CHH), 6.18(1H,s,C=CHH).

2.3.5 Synthesis of poly(methyl methacrylate-co-propargyl methacrylate): Polymerization was carried out in toluene solution using a standard Schlenk technique under inert atmosphere of argon. Typically, methyl methacrylate (5 g, 49.9 mmol, 97.5 equiv.) and propargyl methacrylate (0.158 g, 1.279 mmol, 2.5 equiv.), AIBN (0.05 g, 0.3 mmol, 1% w/w), and toluene (20 mL) were placed in an oven-dried Schlenk tube. The tube was fitted with a rubber septum. The solution was further degassed by three freeze-thaw-pump cycles. The resulting mixture was placed in a thermostatically controlled oil bath at 70°C for 2 hours. The reaction was stopped with liquid nitrogen. The polymer **(3)** was precipitated in cold methanol, collected by filtration, and dried *in vacum*. ¹H NMR (300MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 3.5(s,3H, OCH₃) and 4.2 (s,2H,CH₂- C=CH). $M_{n,SEC}$ = 40000 g.mol⁻¹, *D*=1.8.

2.3.6 Synthesis of MRI visible poly(methyl methacrylate): In a Schlenk tube were placed poly(methyl methacrylate-*co*propargyl methacrylate) (50 mg, 2.2% mol propargyl unit), Gd DTPA complex (7 mg, 10 μ mol, 1.2 equiv.), CuBr (1.5 mg, 10 μ mol, 1.2 equiv.), and the least amount of DMF to solubilize the components. The tube was fitted with a rubber septum. The solution was further degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles. The mixture was stirred under argon, and PMDETA (3.7 mg, 22 μ mol, 2.4 equiv.) was added. The reaction was left at 40 °C for 48 hours. The crude material was purified by dialysis (dialyzing tubing 6000-8000 MWCO) against acetone which was renewed regularly. After 3 Days, acetone was evaporated to yield pure gadolinated PMMA **(4)** (40 mg, yield 80%).

2.4 MRI Visualization

2.4.1 Mesh Preparation for MRI Visualization: Meshes were sprayed with PMMA MRI contrast agent having different Gd^{3+} percentages prepared as follows: the synthesized polymeric contrast agent has been diluted by commercial PMMA and dissolved in DCM to obtain 0.14, 0.23 and 0.79% w/w of Gd^{3+} . On commercial polypropylene mesh $(3x3cm^2)$ each polymeric solution was sprayed using Infinity Airbrush system supplied by Harder & Steenbeck (Osteinbeck, Germany) under a pressure of argon of 3 bars and at a distance of 5 cm. Meshes were dried overnight under vacuum to obtain a constant weight.

2.4.2 MR Imaging protocol: MR imaging experiments were performed on a Bruker Biospec 70/20 system operating at a magnetic field of 7T (Bruker, Wissembourg, France). The resonant

circuit of the NMR probe was a 35-mm diameter birdcage resonator. Meshes (around 1 cm^2) were embedded in degassed 1% by weight agar gel prior to imaging. Gadolinium-free samples corresponded to a polypropylene mesh without Gd contrast agent. To test signal enhancement, T1 weighting was introduced into the MR images using an inversion pulse in rapid three-dimensional (3-D) acquisition with relaxation enhancement (RARE) sequence (TR = 3000 ms; mean echo time (TEm) = 8 ms; RARE factor = 8; FOV = 3 × 3 × 1.5 cm; matrix 128 × 128 × 64). Inversion time was set at 1100 ms, sufficient to allow canceling of the embedding gel.

DOI: 10.1039/C5RA23646K

ARTICLE

2.5 Stability and Cytocompatibility Studies

2.5.1 Film Formation: Films containing different w/w % of Gd³⁺ have been prepared by diluting the MRI-visible polymer with commercial PMMA and dissolving in DCM. After solvent evaporation, films containing various amounts of Gd³⁺ were then obtained.

2.5.2 Stability Studies: Films containing 0.10% and 0.43% by weight of Gd^{3+} were placed in PBS solution at 37°C under stirring at 130 rpm. At scheduled time points (1, 7, 30 and 90 days) 1 mL of PBS buffer was withdrawn (then replaced by 1 mL fresh buffer) and analyzed by ICP-MS for Gd^{3+} release study.

2.5.3: In Vitro Cytotoxicity Evaluation: Cytocompatibility tests were performed on L-929 murine fibroblast cells. Cells were cultured in MEM culture media by adding horse serum (10% by volume), penicillin streptomycin and 1% GLUTAMAX. Cells were grown in 5% CO2 incubator at 37 °C for around 24 hours till a confluence of around 80%. At this point, the culture medium was sucked out and thrown. Different films were then gently placed on these cells. The films contained 0.23, 0.5 and 1.34% Gd³⁺ by weight. Films of gadolinium-free poly(methyl methacrylate-co-propargyl methacrylate) were also placed in addition to blank samples, negative control (the cells with cell lysis) and positive control (the cells). To each of the wells 1000 μ L of LDH reaction mixture was added and samples were incubated for 24 hours. Each sample was repeated 3 times. After 24 hours, 10 μL of each sample were transferred to UV wells to which 100 μ L of LDH were added.Sample was tested for UV absorbance at 490 nm by Victor V3 Perkin Elmer UV spectrophotometer.

3. Results and Discussion

Azido mono-functionalized diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid (compound **1**) was synthesized in one step using DTPA bis(anhydride) according to the procedure outlined in Scheme **1**. In order to synthesize this DTPA ligand, a versatile method is the reaction of an amino precursor, namely the azidopropylamine, with DTPA bis(anhydride). However, the reaction of DTPA bisanhydride with amine groups usually yields a mixture of mono-amide and bisamide products,^{25,26,27} and the separation can be difficult and fastidious depending on the type of amine used (Scheme 2).



Scheme2. Chemical structure of mono-amide DTPA and bis-amide-DTPA

Moreover, the synthesis of bis-amide-DTPA is relatively easy using an excess of amine without the need for tedious purification steps. Indeed, many publications reported the synthesis in which an

DOI: 10.1039/C5RA23646K

Journal Name

ARTICLE

Published on 07 January 2016. Downloaded by University of California - San Diego on 07/01/2016 21:49:04.

excess of amine is used to ensure the formation of bis-amide.^{28,29} Perez-Baena et al. reported the synthesis of alkyne functionalized bis-amide DTPA in almost quantitative yield.³⁰ Azido-functionalized bis-amide DTPA ligands were also described²⁰. Both ligands were used as MRI CAs and were grafted onto macromolecular architectures via click chemistry reactions. To the best of our knowledge, only few publications are reporting on mono-amide DTPA compounds. The main reason resides in the fact that these compounds are usually obtained in low yields with multi-step reactions. For example, a mono-maleimide derivative of DTPA bearing an amide link was prepared in a multi-steps reaction leading to a global low yield.³¹ Despite the challenging synthesis, mono-amide DTPA ligands are more interesting than bis-amide because the chelating power of DTPA in momo-amide DTPA is higher than in the corresponding bis-amide DTPA²⁵. Another study revealed that micelles containing gadolinium monoamide DTPA complexes showed higher relaxivity than micelles with bis-amide DTPA complexes.³² In addition, the dissociation rate of linear bisamide Gd-DTPA chelates is higher than in other chelates due to insufficient thermodynamic and kinetic stabilities.33 Moreover, compared to DOTA ligand, DTPA ligand has been chosen because the chemistry to prepare functional ligand is probably more simple, and DTPA is much cheaper than DOTA.

Therefore, the aim was to find a simple and versatile method to synthesize azido mono-functionalized DTPA ligand under mild conditions. DTPA ligand 1 was prepared in one step by condensation of the commercially available DTPA bis(anhydride) with azidopropylamine in DMF at 80 °C in the presence of imidazole. It was found that the optimal condition is an equimolar ratio between DTPA bis(anhydride) and azidopropylamine. Imidazole was used for its good promotion ability attributed to the low melting point of imidazolium salt, and its ability to homogenize the reaction mixture in dry media.³⁴ The LC-MS spectrum of the crude material revealed the presence of mono-functionalized DTPA and di-functionalized DTPA (Figure S1). After preparative HPLC separation, pure azido mono-functionalized DTPA 1 was obtained as a white powder with a 30% yield. The LC-MS spectrum revealed the presence of a unique peak at 476.2 Da [M+H⁺] as compared to 476.45 theoretical (Figure S2). The formation of the target compound was further confirmed by ¹H NMR (Figure S3) and FTIR. FT-IR analysis revealed the presence of the azide group at 2100 cm

The functionalized ligand 1 was then complexed with GdCl₃.6H₂O resulting in a gadolinium complex **2** with a quantitative yield. Purification on Chelex 100 resin was used to efficiently remove the free non complexed Gd³⁺. The absence of free Gd³⁺ was confirmed by a methyl thymol blue test (MTB) using UV spectroscopy. MALDI-TOF analysis using dithranol as a matrix revealed one peak at $[M+K^{+}] = 685.8$ Da as compared to 685.78 theoretical. The complexation ratio of Gd^{3+} in **1** was determined by ICP-MS and was found to be 40 %. Further experiments were done in order to improve the complexation ratio. Unfortunately, the complexation ratio was not improved as it is not judicious to increase the temperature due to the thermo-labile azide groups. In fact, in most cases high temperature and long reaction time were used to increase the complexation ratio. Moreover, it is well-known that commercial DTPA CAs like Magnevist® contained non complexed ligands. Finally it is interesting to have an excess of ligand to reduce the proportion of the uncomplexed toxic free gadolinium.

MRI-visible poly(methyl methacrylate) (4) was obtained by combination of free radical polymerization and Huisgen click chemistry. First, poly(methyl methacrylate-co-propargyl methacrylate) with 2.5 % of propargyl methacrylate monomer units was synthesized by free radical polymerization in toluene using AIBN as initiator and without any protection of the alkyne bond (Table 1). Best reaction conditions were found by using 1% by weight AIBN and a reaction time of 2 hours (entry 3). Experimental molar mass ($M_{n,exp}$ = 40000 g.mol-1) determined by SEC was in good agreement with the expected value. Indeed, the molar mass of the PMMA polymer has to be high enough to allow a perfect coating of materials. Copolymers having 2.3% propargyl units were obtained as shown by ¹H NMR. Experimental molar ratios were in good agreement with theoretical compositions, which is consistent with a good incorporation of propargyl methacrylate monomer during the polymerization and a low loss of alkyne moiety. Nevertheless, protective groups such as trialkylsilyl can be used to overcome this problem. In our contribution, in order to limit the loss of alkyne group during the free radical polymerization, polymerizations were carried at 70 °C for a short time period, typically 2 hours, for the entry 3. As a consequence, conversion ratio of the polymerization remains low, below 50 %.

Table1. Characteristics of the poly(methyl methacrylatecpropargyl methacrylate) copolymers

Entry	AIBN %wt	Time hours	Conv ^ª %	a F _{PMA,exp}	<i>M_{n,sec}^b</i> g.mol ⁻¹	Đ ^b
1	0.5	2	30	1.8	45000	1.6
2	0.5	4	50	1.6	54000	2
2	1	2	40	2.3	40000	1.8
3	1	4	52	2.0	33000	2

^a Determined by ¹H NMR. ^bDetermined by size exclusion chromatography in THF

To prepare MRI-visible poly(methyl methacrylate) polymer 4, alkyne-functionalized PMMA 3 was coupled with azido monofunctionalized Gd-DTPA 2 by copper-catalyzed azide-alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC). Click reaction was performed in DMF at 40 °C using a CuBr/PMDETA complex as catalyst. The reaction was stopped after 48 hours, and the macromolecular CA was then purified by dialysis against acetone using dialysis membranes with MWCO 6000-8000. The amount of Gd³⁺ in the polymeric CA was then measured by ICP-MS analysis as presented in Table 2. The calculated weight percentage of Gd3+ was 2.25, while the experimental weight percentage was 1.34. The difference between the calculated and the experimental values is due to the low efficiency of the click reaction, i.e. 60 %. However, it is well-know that the efficiency of Huisgen click reaction is not quantitative in macromolecular chemistry due to the steric hindrance, the poor solubility of the reactant, etc... Nevertheless, the amount of Gd³¹ into the macromolecular contrast agent is high enough for the next step, the coating of polypropylene mesh. ICP-MS measurements showed the copper amount in the macromolecular CA is below 1 ppm.

Published on 07 January 2016. Downloaded by University of California - San Diego on 07/01/2016 21:49:04.

Table 2. Characteristics of the macromolecular contrast agent

Gd content wt% calculated	Gd content ^a wt % experimental	Reaction efficiency %	yield %				
2.25	1.34	60	80				
^a Determined by ICD MC							

^a Determined by ICP-MS

MRI-visible PMMA CA was then sprayed onto commercial polypropylene mesh using the airbrushing technique. The airbrushing is a versatile method allowing the preparation of homogenous and regular film without altering the mesh shape and mesh mechanical properties.¹⁹ Moreover, it was found that Gd-DTPA-PMMA using a PMMA with a molar mass of 40000 g.mol⁻¹ had suitable filmogenic properties on polypropylene mesh.

The effect of the proportion of Gd^{3+} in the polymeric CA on MRI visualization is shown on Figure 1. Polypropylene meshes covered with CA were visualized using 7T MRI. In a first step, a 7T MRI scanner was used for preliminary studies. Then, further experiments will be done with a clinical scanner (1.5T or 3T). In the absence of CA, the polypropylene mesh was not visible. The mesh turned out to be visible by spraying it with the polymeric CA. For this, polypropylene meshes were coated with PMMA samples at different Gd³⁺ concentrations of 0.14 and 0.24 % by weight. The amounts of Gd^{3+} present on each mesh were 1.4 and 2.3 µg per mg of polymeric contrast agent sprayed on the mesh. The amounts were determined depending on the amount of contrast agent placed on the mesh usually around 10 mg. Regardless the amount of Gd³⁺, meshes turned out to be visible even with concentrations of Gd^{3+} as low as 1.4 µg per mg of polymeric contrast agent, corresponding to 0.14% by weight Gd^{3+} . The presence of 0.14% by weight of Gd^{3+} in the polymeric CA was sufficient to make the mesh visible. However, with the increase of Gd³⁺ content no signal enhancement or magnetic susceptibility effect were observed for MRI visualization



Figure 1: 7T MR images of polypropylene meshes coated with polymeric contrast agents containing (A) blank (B) 0.14%, and (C) 0.23% by weigh Gd^{3+}

Gd-complexes are gaining more importance because of nephrogenic systemic fibrosis (NSF). NSF mainly occurs in patients with severe renal failure. These patients take longer time to clear Gd-complexes, which increases the risk of transmetallation between Gd^{3+} and Zn^{2+} thus releasing free Gd^{3+} . ³⁵ For this, stable contrast agents are a necessity to eliminate any risks associated with free Gd^{3+} and prevent the precipitation of gadolinium salts.

In vitro stability studies were carried out in PBS buffer to detect any release of free toxic gadolinium and to evaluate long-term MR

imaging. Circular films were prepared with the PMMA contrast agent containing 0.1 and 0.43% by weight of Gd^{3+} and placed in PBS buffer at 37°C up to 90 days. The amount of released Gd^{3+} was measured by ICP-MS analysis. Films containing 0.1% Gd^{3+} w/w showed no detectable release of Gd^{3+} in PBS over a period of 90 days. Films containing 0.43% Gd^{3+} by weight (79 µg of $Gd3^+$) showed a release of 0.4% Gd^{3+} (0.231 µg Gd^{3+}) throughout the 90 days. In both cases this represents a very low release of Gd^{3+} showing the stability of the CA during this period of time. Moreover, µgram scale of gadolinium use can be compare to gram scale of gadolinium use for conventional MR scan where patients receive 1.0–2.5 g Gd^{3+} as a bolus (posology of 0.1–0.2 mmol/kg of contrast agent for an intravenous injection of Magnevist).

MRI-PMMA CAs with different amounts of Gd³⁺ (0.23, 0.5, and 1.34% Gd³⁺) were placed in contact with murine fibroblast cells in order to determine their cytocompatibility.. Figure 2 showed that MRI-PMMAs with 0.23 and 0.5% Gd³⁺ were cytocompatible and did not have any effect on cell growth after 24 hours whereas those containing 1.34% Gd³⁺ were detrimental for the cells. The LDH direct contact cytotoxicity test used to assess the cytocompatibility works as follows: LDH or lactate dehydrogenase is an enzyme liberated in culture media once cells are dead. The presence of LDH reduces tetrazolium salt in LDH mixture to formazan dye having a red color and a maximum absorbance at 490 nm. Thus, the presence of dead cells is indicated by both a red color and high UV absorbance at this wavelength. This had been the case with the TCPS negative control which contained the cell lysis, and in the case of the direct contact of PMMA MRI films containing 1.34% Gd³⁺ as revealed in Figure 2. The graph also reveals that MRI PMMA films containing 0.23 and 0.5% $\mathrm{Gd}^{3+}(w/w)$ had almost no UV absorbance, and thus no cytotoxicity was observed. The result is not surprising because a study performed by Porsio et al.³⁶ revealed that MRI visible PCL nanoparticles with 1% Gd³⁺(w/w) caused lower cell proliferation as compared to the same nanoparticles with lower Gd³⁺ amounts. Hence, polymeric contrast agents with the least amounts of Gd³⁺ are recommended in order to assure cytocompatibility by keeping a good MRI visibility.



Figure 2. Effect of amount of Gd³⁺ present in film on UV absorbance

4. Conclusion

This work described the synthesis of a new azido monofunctionalized DTPA ligand using a simple pathway for long term visualization of implanted meshes. The complexation of this DTPA ligand with Gd³⁺ lead to the synthesis of Gd-DTPA contrast agent. Macromolecular contrast agents based on poly(methyl methacrylate) were prepared by click chemistry of the Gd-DTPA ligand bearing an azide group onto alkyne-functionalized PMMA. This new MRI-visible polymer was characterized by ICP-MS analysis

J. Name., 2013, 00, 1-3 | 5

DOI: 10.1039/C5RA23646K

Journal Name

ARTICLE

in order to determine the amount of Gadolinium. The results revealed that an increase in the amount of Gd^{3+} did not improve visualization of the mesh. Thus amounts of Gd^{3+} as low as 0.1% by weight rendered polypropylene meshes visible on a 7T MRI. PMMA MRI contrast agents with amounts of Gd^{3+} as low as 0.1% by weight were also cytocompatible and stable. This allows their long-term use in the body for biomedical applications after further *in vivo* stability studies.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Balamand Internal Research Grant (BIRG) and the SATT AxLR for funding. The authors also thank Synbio3 platform (IBMM, Montpellier) for the preparative HPLC experiments and PRIMEx-IRM platform (Angers University) for the MRI resource.

Notes and references

- 1 R. Sutton, E. Kanal, B. Wilkoff, D. Bello, R. Luechinger, I. Jenniskens, M. Hull and T. Sommer, *Trials*, 2008, **9**, 68.
- 2 K. Jung, H. Kim, G. Lee, D. Kang, J. Park, K. Kim, Y. Chang and T. Kim, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2011, **54**, 5385-5394.
- 3 B. Nottelet, V. Darcos, J. Coudane, Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm., 2015, 97, 350-370.
- 4 P. Caravan, J. Ellison, T. McMurry and R. Lauffer, *Chem. Rev.*, 1999, **99**, 2293-2352.
- 5 A. Sherry, P. Caravan and R. Lenkinski, *J. Magn. Reson. Imaging*, 2009, **30**, 1240-1248.
- 6 S. Aime and P. Caravan, J. Magn. Reson. Imaging, 2009, 30, 1259-1267.
- 7 J. Bryson, J. Reineke and T. Reineke, *Macromolecules*, 2012, 45, 8939-8952.
- 8 G. SCHUHMANN-GIAMPIERI, H. SCHMITT-WILLICH, T. FRENZEL, W. PRESS and H. WEINMANN, *Invest. Radiol.*, 1991, **26**, 969-974.
- 9 F. Fernández-Trillo, J. Pacheco-Torres, J. Correa, P. Ballesteros, P. Lopez-Larrubia, S. Cerdán, R. Riguera and E. Fernandez-Megia, *Biomacromolecules*, 2011, **12**, 2902-2907.
- B. Doan, J. Seguin, M. Breton, R. Beherec, M. Bessodes, J. Rodríguez-Manzo, F. Banhart, J. Beloeil, D. Scherman and C. Richard, *Contrast Media Mol. Imaging*, 2012, 7, 153-159.
- 11 R. Bolskar, Nanomedicine, 2008, 3, 201-213.
- 12 C. Brown and J. Finch, Ann. R. Coll. Surg. Eng.l, 2010, 92, 272-278.
- 13 V. Letouzey, S. Huberlant, S. Blanquer, O. Guillaume, X. Garric and R. de Tayrac, *J Minim Invasive Gynecol*, 2011, **18**, S117.
- 14 F. Franconi, J. Roux, X. Garric and L. Lemaire, Magn Res. Med., 2014, 71, 313-317.
- N. Kraemer, J. Otto, M. Hodenius, I. Slabu, M. Baumann, U. Klinge, A. Muellen, B. Obolenski, R. Guenther and G. Krombach, in *Proc. Int. Soc. Mag. Res. Med.*, **2009**, 663.
- 16 N. Kuehnert, N. Kraemer, J. Otto, H. Donker, I. Slabu, M. Baumann, C. Kuhl and U. Klinge, *Surg. Endosc.*, 2011, 26, 1468-1475.
- 17 E. Schopf, J. Sankaranarayanan, M. Chan, R. Mattrey and A. Almutairi, *Mol. Pharm*, 2012, **9**, 1911-1918.
- 18 S. Blanquer, O. Guillaume, V. Letouzey, L. Lemaire, F. Franconi, C. Paniagua, J. Coudane and X. Garric, Acta Biomater, 2012, 8, 1339-1347.
- 19 O. Guillaume, S. Blanquer, V. Letouzey, A. Cornille, S. Huberlant, L. Lemaire, F. Franconi, R. de Tayrac, J. Coudane and X. Garric, *Macromol. Biosci.*, 2012, **12**, 1364-1374.

- S. El Habnouni, B. Nottelet, V. Darcos, B. Porsio, L. Lemaire, F. Franconi, X. Garric and J. Coudane, *Biomacromolecules*, 2013, 14, 3626-3634.
- 21 A. Behrens, B. Casey, M. Sikorski, K. Wu, W. Tutak, A. Sandler and P. Kofinas, *ACS Macro Lett.*, 2014, **3**, 249-254.
- 22 B. Carboni, A. Benalil and M. Vaultier, J Org Chemi, 1993, 58, 3736-3741.
- 23 P. Lebdušková, P. Hermann, L. Helm, É. Tóth, J. Kotek, K. Binnemans, J. Rudovský, I. Lukeš and A. Merbach, *Dalton Trans.*, 2007,**4**, 493-501.
- 24 J. Martinelli, B. Balali-Mood, R. Panizzo, M. Lythgoe, A. White, P. Ferretti, J. Steinke and R. Vilar, *Dalton Trans.*, 2010, 39, 10056.
- 25 M. Ardestani, A. Arabzadeh, Z. Heidari, A. Hosseinzadeh, H. Ebrahimi, E. Hashemi, M. Mosayebnia, M. Shafiee-Alavidjeh, A. Alavi, M. Babaei, A. Rahmim, S. Ebrahimi and M. Amanlou, *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.*, 2009, **283**, 447-455.
- 26 L. Frullano and P. Caravan, Curr. Org. Synth., 2011, 8, 535-565.
- 27 A. Vértes, Handbook of nuclear chemistry, Springer, Dordrecht, 2011.
- 28 P. Baía, J. André, C. Geraldes, J. Martins, A. Merbach and É. Tóth, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2005, **11**, 2110-2119.
- 29 T. Cheng, K. Lin, M. Ou, H. Shih, G. Liu and Y. Wang, *J., Chin. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **48**, 1099-1105.
- 30 I. Perez-Baena, I. Loinaz, D. Padro, I. García, H. Grande and I. Odriozola, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2010, **20**, 6916.
- 31 Y. Arano, T. Uezono, H. Akizawa, M. Ono, K. Wakisaka, M. Nakayama, H. Sakahara, J. Konishi and A. Yokoyama, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1996, **39**, 3451-3460.
- 32 T. Parac-Vogt, K. Kimpe, S. Laurent, C. Piérart, L. Elst, R. Muller and K. Binnemans, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2004, **17**, 3538-3543.
- 33 M. Ferreira, A. Martins, C. Martins, P. Ferreira, É. Tóth, T. Rodrigues, D. Calle, S. Cerdan, P. López-Larrubia, J. Martins and C. Geraldes, *Contrast Media Mol Imaging*, 2013, 8, 40-49.
- 34 A. Khalafinezhad, B. Mokhtari and M. Soltanirad, *Tetrahedron Lett*, 2003, **44**, 7325-7328.
- 35 D. Broome, Eur. Jour. Radiol., 2008, 66, 230-234.
- 36 B. Porsio, L. Lemaire, S. El Habnouni, V. Darcos, F. Franconi, X. Garric, J. Coudane and B. Nottelet, *Polymer*, 2015, 56, 135-140.



219x59mm (96 x 96 DPI)