Molecular Devices

An Anion-Modulated Three-Way Supramolecular Switch that Selectively Binds Dihydrogen Phosphate, H₂PO₄^{-**}

Jesse V. Gavette, Nancy S. Mills, Lev N. Zakharov, Charles A. Johnson II, Darren W. Johnson,* and Michael M. Haley*

Molecular switches have received considerable attention owing to interest in studying the miniaturization of macroscopic machines and the desire to emulate biological systems.^[1] A firm understanding of cationic molecular interactions has resulted in numerous examples of supramolecular switches in which cations serve as the major stimulus.^[2] As the subtle interactions of anions in supramolecular systems have become better understood, anion-stimulated conformational changes and supramolecular switches have also gained in importance.^[3]

Several supramolecular systems have utilized conformational changes to target anions,^[4] inspired by the concept of the induced-fit model proposed for enzymatic selectivity.^[5] This has been implemented by engineering molecules with controlled rotational freedom in conjunction with strategic placement of specific anion-coordinating functional groups.

The phosphate anion is known to significantly affect biological and ecological systems, and a great deal of interest focuses on further understanding its impact. Phosphorylated molecules are key components in biological processes such as energy storage and signaling pathways. Phosphate has significant environmental impact with its role in the eutrophication process.^[6] As a result, phosphate-binding receptors have become a highly desirable target in supramolecular chemistry, with several recent reports of systems designed to selectively coordinate phosphate.^[7]

Herein we report a bisurea-based anion receptor bearing a bipyridine core unit that is capable of selective dihydrogen

[*] J. V. Gavette, Dr. C. A. Johnson II, Prof. Dr. D. W. Johnson, Prof. Dr. M. M. Haley Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry & Materials Science Institute University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403-1253 (USA) E-mail: dwj@uoregon.edu haley@uoregon.edu

Prof. Dr. N. S. Mills Department of Chemistry, Trinity University San Antonio, TX 78212 (USA) Dr. L. N. Zakharov CAMCOR-Center for Advanced Materials Characterization in

Oregon, University of Oregon Eugene, OR 97403-1443 (USA)

[**] This work was supported by NIH grant R01-GM087398, which also funded early stage intellectual property that was licensed by SupraSensor Technologies, a company co-founded by the principal investigators. We appreciate extremely helpful conversations with Prof. Pablo Ballester at ICIQ, Spain in revising some of the complex solution speciation presented herein. University of Oregon NMR facilities are supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation (CHE-0923589).

phosphate coordination. This receptor features an order of magnitude increase in association constant for dihydrogen phosphate over halides and a series of other competing oxoanions. The presence of two different induced-fit binding conformations is in part responsible for this selectivity. Receptor 1 was designed to coordinate anions by exploiting the potential of the bis(urea) compounds to converge multiple binding units on a single guest. Replacement of the pyridine core in our previously reported class of arylethynyl anion receptors^[8] with a bipyridyl unit is expected to provide a flexible structural unit to aid in forming a discrete binding pocket, offering two hydrogen bond acceptors to specifically target protic oxoanions. This design results in a receptor with divergent and guest-specific anion binding conformations, allowing this receptor to function as a three-way molecular switch influenced strictly by anions with an "off" (unbound) state and two spectroscopically distinct "on" states. Additionally, we show that it is possible to reversibly switch between the bound conformational states by altering the relative equivalents of chloride and hydrogen sulfate anions.

Receptor 1 was prepared according to Scheme 1. The known compounds 6,6'-dibromo-2,2'-bipyridine^[9] and 2alkynyl-4-tert-butyl aniline[10] were reacted in a double Sonogashira cross-coupling reaction yielding 2. Direct coupling of two equivalents of 4-nitrophenylisocyanate to diamine 2



Scheme 1. Synthesis of receptor 1. Assignments determined by ${}^{1}H^{-1}H$ COSY, ¹H-¹³C HSQC, and ¹H-¹³C HMBC NMR spectroscopy.

WILEY CONLINE LIBRARY 10270

© 2013 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

produced bis(urea) product **1** as a light yellow powder. Repeated precipitation of **1** from hot THF gave analytically pure product in up to 83 % yield. The final product could be further purified by flash column chromatography using CH_2Cl_2 containing 3% v/v of a 9:1 v/v MeOH/NH₄OH mixture.

The ability of receptor **1** to bind anions in solution was initially probed by ¹H NMR titrations in 10% [D₆]DMSO/CDCl₃ or by UV/Vis titrations in 10% DMSO/CHCl₃ (Table 1). In all cases, association constants (K_a) were

Table 1: Association constants (K_a) obtained by UV/Vis or ¹H NMR titrations of **1** with various anions in 10% DMSO/CHCl₃ or the perdeutero-equivalent at 298 K and data fit to an apparent 1:1 binding model.^[a]

Halides [Lmol ⁻¹]		Oxoanions [Lmol ⁻¹]		
	1		1	
Cl⁻	140 ± 10	$H_2PO_4^-$	$78000\pm 2000^{[b]}$	
Br ⁻	60 ± 5	HSO₄ [−]	170 ± 20	
I	40 ± 15	OAc^{-}	3200 ± 500	
		NO_3^-	60 ± 5	

[a] Anions were added as the tetrabutylammonium salts. Association constants represent an average of at least three titrations. Data were fitted to a 1:1 binding model using HypNMR 2006 or Hyperquad 2006 and based on goodness of fit, results of Job plots, and extensive non-linear least squares modeling (see the Supporting Information). It is clear from the increased goodness of fit that 1:2 association does occur for HSO₄⁻ and the halides, specifically Cl⁻, at least to some extent during titrations (Supporting Information, Figure S27, S28 for 1:2 fits). Only 1:1 apparent K_a values are given, as 1:2 fits are less reliable owing to the need to fit too many unknown variables. Nevertheless, association constant fits (β_{11} , β_{12}) are provided and discussed for 1:2 association in the Supporting Information. [b] Association constant obtained from UV/Vis titrations.

determined from ¹H NMR titrations by simultaneous fitting of the downfield shifting of the urea protons; in the case of titrations with Cl⁻ and Br⁻, the H_c aryl resonances were included in the fit. UV/Vis binding studies were carried out by measuring the bathochromic shifting of the host absorbance. Binding curves were fit using the Hyperquad 2006 suite of non-linear curve fitting software.^[11] All titrations of the bipyridine-based receptor were fit to a 1:1 host–guest model, which was confirmed by a Job plot analysis.

The halides in general exhibited minimal affinity toward **1** trending $Cl^- > Br^- > l^-$. Acetate (OAc⁻) anion gave an association constant of 3200 Lmol⁻¹, whereas HSO₄⁻ and NO₃⁻ had binding constants of 170 and 60 Lmol⁻¹, respectively. Initial attempts to determine association constants from ¹H NMR titrations of **1** with H₂PO₄⁻ resulted in broadening of the urea resonances to approx. 1 equiv of guest, and an eventual sharpening of the urea peaks beyond 1 equiv was observed (Supporting Information, Figure S8). The broadening of the urea resonances is likely a result of either exchange on the NMR timescale or a coordination-induced proton exchange event, similarly observed by Gale et al.^[7a] As a result, reliable binding constants of **1** and H₂PO₄⁻ ($K_a = 78000 \text{ Lmol}^{-1}$) were ultimately obtained by UV/Vis titrations (Supporting Information, Figure S21).

Taken as a whole, the observed anion binding trend is $H_2PO_4^- > OAc^- > HSO_4^- \approx Cl^- > Br^- \approx NO_3^- > l^-$. The most noteworthy result is the high affinity of receptor 1 for dihydrogen phosphate: $H_2PO_4^-$ is bound over an order of magnitude more strongly than the more basic OAc⁻, and over two orders of magnitude greater than similarly shaped HSO_4^- . This selectivity may result from a short, strong hydrogen bond between guest and host, or perhaps even a proton transfer to the host and concomitant hydrogen bonding between the resulting protonated bipyridine and $HPO_4^{2^-}$.

Further analysis of the binding data revealed distinctly different proton shifting patterns of 1 upon addition of various anionic guests. The addition of halides as the tetrabutylammonium salts expectedly caused steady downfield shifting of the urea resonances H_g and H_h, but surprisingly the H_c aryl resonance residing on the bipyridine unit also exhibited a drastic shift downfield by 0.64 ppm. The response of H_c to increasing halide concentration suggests the involvement of H_c in hydrogen bonding toward halide guests (Figure 1a). Though unexpected, the importance of aryl C-H hydrogen bonding by neutral receptors to halides in solution has been thoroughly demonstrated by Flood and Lee,^[12] and a similar interaction was observed by Jeong and Kwon.^[7f] This phenomenon was most prominent for Clfollowed by Br⁻. The binding of I⁻ was too weak to determine if shifting trends definitively followed that of the other halides. The synchronous downfield movement of the urea and aryl protons upon addition of the spherical halides are consistent with a binding conformation similar to Z (Figure 1 c), where a cleft formed by the urea unit rotates circa 180° away from the bipyridine nitrogen atoms about the alkyne bond. This binding conformation would be expected to offer two equivalent binding sites. A 1:2 host-guest complex is suggested from representative fits and speciation diagrams of Cl- at high equivalents of guest (Supporting Information, Figure S27).

¹H NMR studies of **1** with oxoanions $(H_2PO_4^{-}, HSO_4^{-})$, OAc^- , and NO_3^-) produced distinctly different shifting patterns from those with the halides (Figure 1b). The urea resonances of 1 experienced the most intense shifts when treated with oxoanions, as was seen with the halides. The most significant differences in the shifting pattern of halides and oxoanions pertained to the H_a and H_c protons. In the case of the oxoanions, the H_c resonance does not exhibit the same magnitude of downfield shift that was observed with the halides, suggesting that the aryl proton is no longer significantly involved in the complexation of guests. The H_a resonance of 1 also shifts much further upfield with H₂PO₄⁻, HSO₄⁻, and OAc⁻ versus the halides. The binding of NO₃⁻ is too weak to induce a significant perturbation of the H_a resonance. The lack of H_c shifting is consistent with binding conformations similar to either S or U (Figure 1c). The upfield shifting of the 2,2'-bipyridine cleft resonance has been shown to be indicative of rotation about the bipyridine bridging bond during the transition of an "anti" to a "syn" conformation with respect to the bipyridine nitrogen atoms, which is more suggestive of a U-like conformation.^[14] Additionally, DFT calculations^[13] indicate that the most stabilized



Figure 1. Partial ¹H NMR spectra of receptor 1 upon titration with a) TBACl and b) TBAHSO₄. Numbers next to NMR spectra represent [anion]/[1]. c) Chemdraw representations and calculated (ω B97X-D/ 6-31G(d,p))^[13] wireframe representations of possible anion binding in the putative **S**, **Z**, (truncated for clarity) and **U** conformations.

anion complex is in a **U**-like conformation (see the Supporting Information). An **S**-like conformation is expected in formation of 1:2 complexes in large excesses of guest, though reliable evidence for this currently exists only with the $HSO_4^$ system. Unfortunately, NOESY and ROESY NMR experiments did not yield any additional information on the nature of the anion-bound conformation.^[15] The differences in the trends of the NMR data between halides and oxoanions are definitive evidence for the emergence of a binding conformation for oxoanions that is distinct spectroscopically from that of the halides. This yields a unique system with the possibility of acting as a three-way molecular switch influenced solely by anionic stimuli with an "off" (unbound) state and two different "on" (bound) states that are identifiable as either halide- or oxoanion-bound.

Single crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained from slow evaporation of solutions of **1** containing excess tetrabutylammonium bromide in 10% $[D_6]DMSO/CDCl_3$ (Figure 2).^[16] The solid-state studies revealed that the recep-



Figure 2. ORTEP representations of the X-ray crystal structure of the 1.2 Br^- hydrogen-bonded complex. Ellipsoids set at 50% probability; TBA⁺ counterions have been omitted for clarity.

tor adopts the anticipated "anti" conformation with respect to the bipyridine unit. The receptor is able to coordinate bromide in the solid state forming a 1.2 Br^- host-guest complex in the expected Z conformation (Figure 1 c). Receptor **1** forms two weak hydrogen bonds through the urea moieties, and another weak C–H hydrogen bond with the bipyridine unit to each bromide with distances N_g-Br 3.432(1) Å, N_h-Br 3.232(1) Å, and C_c-Br, 3.85(1) Å and angles N_g-H_g...Br 156.8(4)°, N_h-H_h...Br 167.1(7)°, and C_c-H_c...Br 141.8(4)°. Though it is often dangerous to correlate solid-state and solution-phase data, the correlation of the Xray hydrogen bonding network and the previously described solution studies is in excellent agreement. Both sources of data provide a convincing picture for halide binding in this receptor.

We were interested in the ability of this system to act reversibly with regard to the two "on" states. As a result, the interconversion of the binding conformations was determined by a series of competition experiments. Chloride and hydrogen sulfate were chosen as the stimuli since they yielded differing conformations by ¹H NMR spectroscopy and produced similar association constants. A sample of free receptor was incrementally saturated with Cl⁻. As mentioned previously, this caused significant downfield shifting of the urea

10272 www.angewandte.org

© 2013 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

resonances (H_g and H_h) and the bipyridine aryl proton (H_c). The chloride saturated system was then treated with up to about 3 equiv of HSO_4^- relative to Cl^- , which caused a retreating of urea and bipyridine H_c resonances back upfield as well as continued upfield shifting of the H_a resonance suggesting complexation of HSO_4^- over Cl^- (Figure 3). Figure 3b shows the speciation diagram of free host **1**, **1**· Cl^- , and **1**· HSO_4^- during the course of this competition experiment. The reverse experiment was also conducted where the free receptor, with the oxoanion causing significant upfield shifting of the H_a resonance and moderate downfield shifting of the urea protons (Supporting Information, Figure S30). This system was then slowly treated with an up to threefold excess of Cl^- to HSO_4^- , which resulted



Figure 3. a) Plot of the chemical shift changes of select protons on the 1-anion complexes as first Cl⁻, and then a second anion (HSO₄⁻), are titrated in as the TBA salt during competition experiments. For the reverse competition (HSO₄⁻ then Cl⁻) see the Supporting Information, Figures S35–S38. The anion concentration range spans from 0 to over 200 equivalents of total anion (for raw data, see the Supporting Information, Figures S29, S30). b) Titration curves (solid black lines) from the competition experiments were fit using apparent *K*_a values from Table 1 to both 1:1 (shown) and 1:2 host–guest models (Supporting Information, Figures S34, S37). Grayscale background traces show the speciation diagram of free host 1, 1·Cl⁻, and 1·HSO₄⁻ throughout the competition experiment based on the 1:1 fit. The *x*-axis corresponds to the data "point number" in the competition titration shown in part (a).

in increased downfield shifting of the urea and H_c resonances as well as an overall downfield shift of the H_a resonance. These results show the proton resonances diagnostic of the varying conformations can be influenced reversibly by Cl⁻ and HSO₄⁻. Furthermore, this system is able to revert back to the "off" state from the chloride complex by the addition of 1 equivalent of NaBPh₄ (Supporting Information, Figure S31), suggesting these conformational states can indeed be reversibly achieved.

In conclusion, through binding studies we have demonstrated a supramolecular receptor that preferentially coordinates the biologically and environmentally relevant dihydrogen phosphate anion an order of magnitude greater than the more basic acetate, and several orders of magnitude greater than other anions tested. Binding studies also revealed the emergence of spectroscopically different halide- and oxoanion-dependent binding conformations. The halide conformation in solution is further confirmed by solid-state data. The distinctly different conformations demonstrate the ability of this receptor to function as a strictly anion-influenced supramolecular three-way switch. Furthermore, it has been shown that the different bound conformations can be reversibly modulated by changing the identity of the anionic stimuli between chloride and hydrogen sulfate. The various conformational outcomes exhibited by this receptor influenced only by the subtle differences in anionic guests represents a potentially interesting system for use in supramolecular machinery, and shows that it is possible to allow conformationally flexible hosts to "select" their preferred anionic guests.

Received: April 9, 2013 Revised: June 29, 2013 Published online: August 9, 2013

Keywords: anions · host-guest systems · molecular recognition · receptors · supramolecular chemistry

- a) M. Zhang, K. Zhu, F. Huang, in Supramolecular Chemistry From Molecules to Nanomaterials, Wiley, Chichester, 2012, pp. 2425-2496; b) V. Balzani, A. Credi, F. M. Raymo, J. F. Stoddart, Angew. Chem. 2000, 112, 3484-3530; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3348-3391; c) E. R. Kay, D. A. Leigh, F. Zerbetto, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 72-196; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 72-191.
- [2] K. C.-F. Leung, C.-P. Chak, C.-M. Lo, W.-Y. Wong, S. Xuan, C. H. K. Cheng, *Chem. Asian J.* **2009**, *4*, 364–381.
- [3] a) I. M. Jones, A. D. Hamilton, Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 4693–4696; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 4597–4600; b) K. Sato, Y. Nishina, K. Shiga, J. Biochem. 1992, 111, 359–365; c) L. Guo, Q.-L. Wang, Q.-Q. Jiang, Q.-J. Jiang, Y.-B. Jiang, J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 9947–9953; d) Y.-L. Huang, W.-C. Hung, C.-C. Lai, Y.-H. Liu, S.-M. Peng, S.-H. Chiu, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 6749–6753; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 6629–6633; e) C.-F. Lin, C.-C. Lai, Y.-H. Liu, S.-M. Peng, S.-H. Chiu, Chem. Eur. J. 2007, 13, 4350–4355; f) M. J. Chmielewski, J. Jurczak, Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 7652–7667; g) C. J. Serpell, R. Chall, A. L. Thompson, P. D. Beer, Dalton Trans. 2011, 40, 12052–12055; h) T.-C. Lin, C.-C. Lai, S.-H. Chiu, Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 613–616; i) D. Makuc, J. R. Hiscock, M. E. Light, P. A. Gale, J. Plavec, Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2011, 7, 1205–1214; j) J. Suk, V. R. Naidu, X. Liu, M. S.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 10270-10274

Angewandte Communications

Lah, K.-S. Jeong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 13938–13941; k) K.-S. Jeong, M. K. Chae, J. Suk, *Pure Appl. Chem.* **2012**, *84*, 953–964.

- [4] a) A. N. Swinburne, M. J. Paterson, A. Beeby, J. W. Steed, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2010, *16*, 2714–2718; b) M. J. Kim, H.-W. Lee, D. Moon, K.-S. Jeong, *Org. Lett.* 2012, *14*, 5018–5021; c) M. H. Filby, S. J. Dickson, N. Zaccheroni, L. Prodi, S. Bonacchi, M. Montalti, M. J. Paterson, T. D. Humphries, C. Chiorboli, J. W. Steed, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008, *130*, 4105–4113; d) T. Pierro, C. Gaeta, F. Troisi, P. Neri, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2009, *50*, 350–353; e) K. J. Wallace, W. J. Belcher, D. R. Turner, K. F. Syed, J. W. Steed, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2003, *125*, 9699–9715; f) S. Moerkerke, M. Ménand, I. Jabin, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2010, *16*, 11712–11719.
- [5] D. E. Koshland, Angew. Chem. 1994, 106, 2468–2472; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1994, 33, 2375–2378.
- [6] For a recent review on the importance and examples of coordination of phosphate and phosphorylated guest by supramolecular receptors, see: A. E. Hargrove, S. Nieto, T. Zhang, J. L. Sessler, E. V. Anslyn, *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 6603–6782.
- [7] a) P. A. Gale, J. R. Hiscock, S. J. Moore, C. Caltagirone, M. B. Hursthouse, M. E. Light, *Chem. Asian J.* 2010, 5, 555–561;
 b) P. A. Gale, J. R. Hiscock, N. Lalaoui, M. E. Light, N. J. Wells, M. Wenzel, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2012, *10*, 5909–5915; c) S. Kondo, Y. Hiraoka, N. Kurumatani, Y. Yano, *Chem. Commun.* 2005, 1720–1722; d) M. A. Saeed, D. R. Powell, M. A. Hossain, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2010, *51*, 4904–4907; e) W. Gong, S. Bao, F. Wang, J. Ye, G. Ning, K. Hiratani, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2011, *52*, 630–634; f) T. H. Kwon, K.-S. Jeong, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2006, *47*, 8539–8541; g) S. Kondo, R. Takai, *Org. Lett.* 2013, *15*, 538–541.
- [8] a) O. B. Berryman, C. A. Johnson, L. N. Zakharov, M. M. Haley, D. W. Johnson, Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 123-126; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 117-120; b) C. A. Johnson, O. B. Berryman, A. C. Sather, L. N. Zakharov, M. M. Haley, D. W. Johnson, Cryst. Growth Des. 2009, 9, 4247-4249; c) C. N. Carroll, O. B. Berryman, C. A. Johnson, L. N. Zakharov, M. M. Haley, D. W. Johnson, Chem. Commun. 2009, 2520-2522; d) C. N. Carroll, B. A. Coombs, S. P. McClintock, C. A. Johnson II, O. B. Berryman, D. W. Johnson, M. M. Haley, Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 5539-5541.

- [9] a) E. Weber, H. P. Josel, H. Puff, S. Franken, J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 3125-3132; b) C. M. Amb, S. C. Rasmussen, J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 4696-4699.
- [10] W. B. Wan, M. M. Haley, J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 3893-3901.
- [11] a) P. Gans, A. Sabatini, A. Vacca, *Talanta* 1996, 43, 1739–1753;
 b) C. Frassineti, S. Ghelli, P. Gans, A. Sabatini, M. S. Moruzzi, A. Vacca, *Anal. Biochem.* 1995, 231, 374–382.
- [12] S. Lee, A. H. Flood, *Top. Heterocycl. Chem.* **2012**, *28*, 85–108.
- [13] a) Gaussian 09, Revision C.01, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, et al., Gausian, Inc., Wallingford CT, **2010**; b) J.-D. Chai, M. Head-Gordon, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, *10*, 6615–6615; c) M. Swart, M. Sola, F. M. Bickelhaupt, J. Chem. Phys. **2009**, *131*, 094103.
- [14] a) I. C. Calder, T. M. Spotswood, C. I. Tanzer, Aust. J. Chem.
 1967, 20, 1195–1212; b) T. M. Spotswood, C. I. Tanzer, Aust. J. Chem. 1967, 20, 1213–1225; c) T. M. Spotswood, C. I. Tanzer, Aust. J. Chem. 1967, 20, 1227–1242.
- [15] A combination of the high symmetry of the receptor, borderline molecular weight for NOESY and ROESY experiments, significant overlap of aryl peaks of the strongest complex $(1 \cdot H_2PO_4^{-})$, and weak binding in all of the other systems prevented any conclusive conformational assignment of anion complexes in solution by these methods.
- [16] X-ray data for 1 (TBABr)₂: $C_{80}H_{114}Br_2N_{10}O_6$, $M_r = 1471.63$, crystal size $0.34 \times 0.10 \times 0.01$ mm³, Triclinic, space group $P\bar{1}$, a = 8.496(8), b = 12.527(12), c = 19.598(18) Å, a = 100.299(16), $\beta = 93.450(15)$, $\gamma = 92.059(14)^{\circ}$, V = 2046(3) Å³, Z = 1, Z' = 0.5, $\rho_{calcd} = 1.194$ g cm⁻³, $\mu = 1.045$ mm⁻¹, F(000) = 782, Mo Ka radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å, T = 173(2) K, $2\Theta_{max} = 45.00^{\circ}$, 11442 reflections measured [$R_{int} = 0.1774$], 5324 reflections observed, 443 refined parameters, R1 = 0.0926, wR2 = 0.1626, and GOF = 1.003 for reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$, R1 = 0.2375, wR2 = 0.2146, and GOF = 1.003 for all data, max/min residual electron density + 0.490/-0.714 e Å⁻³. CCDC 930545 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.