Journal Pre-proof

Electrochemically promoted decarboxylative borylation of alkyl N-hydroxyphthalimide esters

Jian-Jun Dai, Xin-Xin Teng, Wen Fang, Jun Xu, Hua-Jian Xu

 PII:
 S1001-8417(21)00723-3

 DOI:
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cclet.2021.09.011

 Reference:
 CCLET 6723

To appear in:

Chinese Chemical Letters

Received date:1 July 2021Revised date:30 August 2021Accepted date:1 September 2021



Please cite this article as: Jian-Jun Dai, Xin-Xin Teng, Wen Fang, Jun Xu, Hua-Jian Xu, Electrochemically promoted decarboxylative borylation of alkyl N-hydroxyphthalimide esters, *Chinese Chemical Letters* (2021), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cclet.2021.09.011

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2021 Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Chinese Chemical Society and Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences.

Communication

Electrochemically promoted decarboxylative borylation of alkyl *N*-hydroxyphthalimide esters

Jian-Jun Dai^{a,b,*}, Xin-Xin Teng^a, Wen Fang^a, Jun Xu^{a,b}, Hua-Jian Xu^{a,b,*1}

^a School of Food and Biological Engineering, Hefei University of Technology, Hefei 230009, China ^b Anhui Province Key Laboratory of Advance Catalytic Materials and Reaction Engineering, Hefei University of Technology, Hefei 230009, China

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Article history: Received Received in revised form Accepted Available online	An electrochemically promoted decarboxylative borylation reaction is reported. The reaction proceeds under mild conditions in an undivided cell without use of transition metal- or photo- catalysts. The key feature of the reaction is the compatibility of diboron reagents with the electrochemical conditions. This reaction exhibits broad substrate scope, good functional group tolerability, and easy scalability.
Keywords: Electrochemical Decarboxylation Borylation Alkyl boronic esters Redox active esters	A
Graphical Abstract	
	NPhth + B2cat2 Vndivided cell transition metal- or photo-catalysts free 1°, 2°, 3° alkyl 37 examples with yield up to 80%

An electrochemically promoted decarboxylative borylation reaction is reported. The reaction proceeds under mild conditions in an undivided cell without use of transition metal- or photo-catalysts.

Alkyl boronic acid and esters represent important scaffolds in both organic synthesis and pharmaceuticals [1]. For example, alkyl boronic acid and esters show great value for the construction of $C(sp^3)$ -rich scaffolds using cross-coupling reactions [2,3]. In addition, molecules containing alkyl boron structures have been proven to be life-saving drugs such as Velcade [4,5]. Classic methods to synthesize alkyl boron compounds include hydroboration of alkenes [6–11], borylation of organometallic nucleophiles (*e.g.*, Grignard and organolithium reagents) [12–14]. However, these methods suffer from harsh reaction conditions and poor functional group tolerance. Over the past decade, great attention has been directed to the borylation of alkyl halides using transition-metal catalysis including Cu [15–16], Ni [17,18], Pd [17,19], Zn [20], Fe [21,22], Mn [23] and more recently photo-induced catalysis [24–33]. In recent years, the use of easily available and stable carboxylic acids redox active esters (RAEs, *e.g.*, *N*-hydroxyphthalimide esters) derived from alkyl carboxylic acids as starting materials for decarboxylative transformations is a fast growing research area [34–40]. In particular, remarkable progresses have been made with regard to decarboxylative borylation of RAEs [41–44]. Baran and co-workers reported transition-metal catalyzed (*i.e.* nickel, copper) protocols for the decarboxylative borylation of RAEs with bis(pinacolato)diboron (B₂pin₂) using excess MeLi and LiOH as the base, respectively [45,46]. Li and co-workers described the decarboxylative borylation of RAEs with excess B₂pin₂ using an Ir-based photoredox catalyst under 45 W CFL irradiation (Scheme 1A)

* Corresponding authors.

E-mail address: daijj@hfut.edu.cn (J.J. Dai), hjxu@hfut.edu.cn (H.J. Xu)

[47]. Aggarwal *el al.* developed an efficient photo-induced method to achieved the decarboxylative borylation of RAEs with bis(catecholato)diboron (B_2 cat₂) under irradiation with blue LEDs (Scheme 1A) [48]. An important feature to the success of these decarboxylative transformations of RAEs is the facile generation of alkyl radical intermediates via single-electron transfer process.

Electro-organic synthesis servers as a powerful and green technique for oxidative and reductive reactions by single-electron transfer under mild conditions and good functional group tolerance [49–56]. Recently, elegant works on electrochemical reductive decarboxylative carbon–carbon bond formation using RAEs have been disclosed by Wang [57], Lei [58] and Zeng [59] groups.

In this context, we hypothesized that the electrochemically promoted reductive decarboxylation of RAEs may be compatible with diboron reagents such as B_2pin_2 , B_2cat_2 , thus resulting the decarboxylative borylation reaction. Herein, we report an efficient method for the construction of alkyl boronic esters using an electrochemically promoted decarboxylative borylation of alkyl *N*-hydroxyphthalimide esters (Scheme 1B). This reaction exhibits broad substrate scope, good functional group tolerability, and easy scalability, representing an alternative method for the formation of $C(sp^3)$ –B bond with electrochemical techniques [60,61].

A. Prior arts of decarboxylative borylation of RAEs



1°, 2°, 3° alkyl Undivided cell **Scheme 1.** Radical decarboxylative borylation of RAEs.

We started our investigation with the electrochemical decarboxylative borylation of *N*-(cyclohexanecarbonyl)phthalimide and B₂cat₂ under constant current electrolysis (CCE) (Table 1). It was found that the desired product **1** can be obtained in 86% yield (76% isolated) with 10 mA constant current in an undivided cell followed by the treatment of pinacol and Et₃N (entry 1). The use of other supporting electrolytes including "Bu₄NPF₆, LiClO₄ and NaBF₄ (entries 2–4) gave **1** in slightly lower yields. Increasing or decreasing the electric current delivered diminished yields (entries 5 and 6). It was also found that this reaction was sensitive to air (entry 7). The cathode materials such as reticulated vitreous carbon (RVC), nickel, copper and iron failed to improve the efficiency of this reaction (entry 8, please see Supporting information for more details). Also, the use of graphite anode resulted in lower efficiency compared to that of platinum anode (entry 9). Notably, the solvent is important to the outcome of the reaction: the use of THF gave a decreased yield (entry 10), however the performances of other solvents such as MeCN, DMSO and NMP were poorer than that of DMF (entries 11–13). Additionally, the decarboxylative borylation reaction proceeded well at cathode in a divided cell (entry 14, not optimized). It should be noted that the reaction can be promoted by light from fume hood white bulb but at a significantly lower rate (entry 15). This result is in agreement with the previous report by Aggarwal and co-workers [48]. Finally, control experiments showed that a similar positive result was obtained when the reaction was conducted in dark (entry 16) and a very lower yield of **1** was observed without the use of electric current (entry 17). These results highlight the crucial role of an electric current in the decarboxylative borylation reaction.

Table 1

Screening of reaction conditions.^a



Journal Pre-proof

Entry	Changes from standard conditions	Yield (%) ^b
1	none	86 (76)
2	ⁿ Bu ₄ NPF ₆ instead of ⁿ Bu ₄ NBF ₄	76
3	LiClO ₄ instead of "Bu ₄ NBF ₄	68
4	NaBF ₄ instead of ⁿ Bu ₄ NBF ₄	65
5	20 mA instead of 10 mA, 2 h	35
6	5 mA instead of 10 mA, 8 h	44
7	air atmosphere	7
8	RVC, Ni, Cu, Fe instead of Pt cathode	45-67
9	graphite instead of Pt anode	46
10	THF instead of DMF	75
11	MeCN instead of DMF	21
12	DMSO instead of DMF	0
13	NMP instead of DMF	53
14	divided cell was used	57
15	w/o electric current	31
16	in dark	77
17	in dark w/o electric current	28

^a Standard conditions: NHPI ester (0.6 mmol, 1 equiv.), B_2cat_2 (2 equiv.), DMF (2 mL), platinum plate anode: (10 mm × 10 mm × 0.2 mm), platinum plate cathode: (10 mm × 10 mm × 0.2 mm), ^{*n*}Bu₄NBF₄ (0.6 mol/L), constant current: 10 mA, r.t., argon atmosphere, 4 h, undivided cell, then pinacol (4 equiv.), Et₃N (2 mL), r.t., 2 h. Cell voltage: 1.5–4 V.

^bGC yield using mesitylene as an internal standard. Isolated yield is shown in parentheses.

With the optimal reaction conditions in hand, the scope of this reaction was then examined with respect to different alkyl carboxylic acids. As summarized in Scheme 2A, primary *N*-hydroxyphthalimide esters bearing either electron-donating or electron-withdrawing groups were smoothly converted to the corresponding alkylboronates in moderate to good yields (39%–62%). It was demonstrated that halides such as fluoro (14), chloro (3 and 15) and bromo (7 and 16) groups were well compatible with the reaction, thus not only demonstrating the orthogonal reactivity of this reaction with previously developed transition metal-catalyzed borylation of halides [15–23], but also providing opportunities for further conversions with cross-coupling reactions [3]. Furthermore, *N*-hydroxyphthalimide esters possessing terminal olefin (4), ester (5), phenyl (8 and 11), methyl (12), methoxy (9 and 13), thienyl (10) and trifluoromethyl (17) groups were well tolerated.

Journal Pre-proof



Scheme 2. Substrate scope. Reaction conditions: NHPI ester (0.6 mmol, 1 equiv.), B_2cat_2 (2 equiv.), DMF (2 mL), platinum plate anode: (10 mm × 10 mm × 0.2 mm), ${}^{n}Bu_4NBF_4$ (0.6 M), constant current: 10 mA, r.t., argon atmosphere, 4 h, undivided cell, then pinacol (4 equiv.), Et₃N (2 mL), r.t., 2 h. ^aReaction time was 3 h. ^bReaction time was 6 h. ^cB₂cat₂ (3 equiv.) was used.

Then, investigation of the substrate scope was focused on secondary and tertiary *N*-hydroxyphthalimide esters (Scheme 2B). Both acyclic and cyclic alkyl *N*-hydroxyphthalimide esters were all suitable for the decarboxylative borylation, yielding the desired secondary and tertiary alkylboronates in moderate to good yields (31%–80%). For example, cyclohexyl (2, 22 and 28), cyclopentyl (20), inner olefin (21), cyclopropyl (18, 27 and 29) and adamantyl (30) motifs were tolerated, as was the important heterocycle, Bocprotected piperidine (23 and 24). The cyclobutyl boronic ester (19) could also be achieved, albeit with a lower yield. Interestingly, Aggarwal *et al.* reported tertiary carboxylic acids such as 1-methyl-cyclohexyl carboxylic acid failed to provide the desired decarboxylative borylation product in photochemical conditions [48]. To our delight, the desired boronic ester (28) can be formed smoothly under our electrochemical conditions, suggesting that this electrochemical protocol is complementary to that previously developed photochemical process.

To further expand the substrate scope, we conducted late-stage modifications of amino acids and drug molecules (Scheme 2C). Specifically, β -amino acid and γ -amino acids (*e.g.*, γ - aminobutyric acid (GABA), gabapentin and pregabalin) were converted into boronic esters (**31–34**) in moderate yields. Gemfibrozil, a lipid-lowering drug, gave the corresponding boronic ester **35** in 53% yield. The reaction was also amenable to scale-up by a one-pot procedure in which cyclohexyl *N*-(cyclohexanecarbonyl)phthalimide formed *in situ*, followed by electrochemically promoted decarboxylative borylation, delivering the corresponding boronic ester **1** in 48% yield on a 5.0 mmol scale (Scheme 2D).

A series of experiments were conducted to gain more mechanistic insights of the electrochemically promoted decarboxylative borylation reaction (Scheme 3). In the radical trapping experiment, with the use of 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine 1-oxyl (TEMPO, 3 equiv.) as a trapping agent under the standard conditions, the formation of **1** was obviously inhibited and the cyclohexyl/TEMPO

adduct **37** was detected in HRMS analysis (Scheme 3A). Further evidence for the radical mechanism was provided by the reaction of **38**, where only ring-opening product **4** was obtained without the formation of cyclopropyl-containing **39** (Scheme 3B). Moreover, when the 5-hexenyl radical clock precursor **40** was used, we obtained cyclization reaction product **41** in 17% yield along with linear product **42**, the ratio of **41** and **42** was determined by ¹H NMR analysis (Scheme 3B).



Scheme 3 Control experiments and proposed mechanism for the electrochemical decarboxylative borylation reaction.

Based on previous reports of radical borylation [24,30,34] and the above experimental results, we proposed a possible mechanism for the electrochemically promoted decarboxylative borylation reaction (Schene 3C). Initially, alkyl *N*-hydroxyphthalimide ester was reduced at the cathode to generate radical anion **A** that underwent decarboxylation to form the corresponding alkyl radical **B** intermediacy. Then, alkyl radical **B** reacts with B_2cat_2 and DMF to deliver the intermediate **C**. Subsequently, the cleavage of B–B bond of **C** to generate **D** and **F**. Finally, **D** is converted to product **E** with the treatment of pinacol/triethylamine. The radical **F** is eventually oxidized at the anode yielding **G**, and reaction of **G** and NPhth anion to deliver **H**.

In summary, we have developed an electrochemically promoted decarboxylative borylation reaction. The process shows broad substrate scope and provides a series of alkyl boronic esters. It is worth noting that the diboron reagents compatible well with electrochemical reaction conditions, which will provide opportunities for discovering new electrochemical borylation reactions. The use of electrochemical methods for making other type of organon boron compounds is currently underway in our laboratory.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 21871074 and 21971051), the National Key R&D Program of China (No. 2018YFB1501604), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. PA2020GDKC0021) and the Key Research and Development Program Projects in Anhui Province (No. 201904a07020069).

Declaration of Interest Statement

We declare that we have no financial and personal relationships with other people or organizations that can inappropriately influence our work, there is no professional or other personal interest of any nature or kind in any product, service and/or company that could be construed as influencing the position presented in, or the review of, the manuscript entitled.

References

- [1] D.G. Hall, Boronic Acids: Preparation and Applications in Organic Synthesis, Medicine and Materials, 2nd ed., Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2011.
- [2] N. Miyaura, A. Suzuki, Chem. Rev. 95 (1995) 2457–2483.
- [3] A. de Meijere, F. Diederich, Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions, 2nd ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2004.
- [4] T. Hideshima, P. Richardson, D. Chauhan, et al., Cancer Res. 61 (2001) 3071-3076.
- [5] A. Paramore, S. Frantz, Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery 2 (2003) 611–612.
- [6] G.C. Fu, Transition Metal-Catalyzed Hydroboration of Olefins. Transition Metals for Organic Synthesis: Building Blocks and Fine Chemicals, 2nd ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2004.
- [7] K. Burgess, M.J. Ohlmeyer, Chem. Rev. 91 (1991) 1179–1191.
- [8] K. Burgess, W.A. Van der Donk, S.A. Westcott, et al., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 114 (1992) 9350–9359.
- [9] D.A. Evans, G.C. Fu, A.H. Hoveyda, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 114 (1992) 6671–6679.
- [10] C.M. Crudden, D. Edwards, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 24 (2003) 4695-4712.
- [11] A.M. Carroll, T.P. O'Sullivan, P. J. Guiry, Adv. Synth. Catal. 347 (2005) 609-631.
- [12] E. Khotinsky, M. Melamed, Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 42 (1909) 3090–3096.
- [13] E. Krause, R. Nitsche, Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 54 (1921) 2784–2791.
- [14] H.C. Brown, T.E. Cole, Organometallics 2 (1983) 1316–1319.
- [15] C.T. Yang, Z.Q. Zhang, H. Tajuddin, et al., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 51 (2012) 528-532.
- [16] H. Ito, K. Kubota, Org. Lett. 14 (2012) 890-893.
- [17] J. Yi, J.H. Liu, J. Liang, et al., Adv. Synth. Catal. 354 (2012) 1685-1691.
- [18] A.S. Dudnik, G.C. Fu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 134 (2012) 10693–10697.
- [19] A. Joshi-Pangu, X. Ma, M. Diane, et al., J. Org. Chem. 77 (2012) 6629-6633.
- [20] S.K. Bose, K. Fucke, L. Liu, P.G. Steel, T.B. Marder, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 53 (2014) 1799-1803.
- [21] T.C. Atack, R.M. Lecker, S.P. Cook, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 136 (2014) 9521–9523.
- [22] R.B. Bedford, P.B. Brenner, E. Carter, et al., Organometallics 33 (2014) 5940-5943.
- [23] T.C. Atack, S.P. Cook, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 138 (2016) 6139–6142.
- [24] Y. Cheng, C. Mück- Lichtenfeld, A. Studer, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 57 (2018) 16832–16836.
- [25] D. Mazzarella, G. Magagnano, B. Schweitzer-Chaput, P. Melchiorre, ACS Catal. 9 (2019) 5876–5880.
- [26] L. Zhang, Z.Q. Wu, L. Jiao, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 59 (2020) 2095-2099.
- [27] J. Wu, L. He, A. Noble, V.K. Aggarwal, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 140 (2018) 10700-10704.
- [28] F. Sandfort, F. Strieth-Kalthoff, F.J.R. Klauck, M.J. James, F. Glorius, Chem. Eur. J. 24 (2018) 17210–17214.
- [29] J. Hu, G. Wang, S. Li, Z. Shi, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 57 (2018) 15227-15231.
- [30] F.W. Friese, A. Studer, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 58 (2019) 9561-9564.
- [31] J. Wu, R.M. Bar, L. Guo, A. Noble, V.K. Aggarwal, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 58 (2019) 18830-18834.
- [32] Q. Liu, J. Hong, B. Sun, et al., Org. Lett. 21 (2019) 6597–6602.
- [33] F. W. Friese, A. Studer, Chem. Sci. 10 (2019) 8503-8518.
- [34] M. Yan, J.C. Lo, J.T. Edwards, P.S. Baran, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 138 (2016) 12692-12714.
- [35] Y. Li, L. Ge, M.T. Muhammad, H. Bao, Synthesis 49 (2017) 5263–5284.
- [36] T. Patra, D. Maiti, Chem. Eur. J. 23 (2017) 7382–7401.
- [37] S. Murarka, Adv. Synth. Catal. 360 (2018) 1735-1753.
- [38] P. Niu, J. Li, Y. Zhang, C. Huo, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 36 (2020) 5801-5814.
- [39] W. M. Cheng, R. Shang, ACS Catal. 10 (2020) 9170–9196.
- [40] S.K. Parida, T. Mandal, S. Das, et al., ACS Catal. 11 (2021) 1640-1683.
- [41] L. Xu, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 29 (2018) 3884-3890.
- [42] D. Wei, T.M. Liu, B. Zhou, B. Han, Org. Lett. 22 (2020) 234–238.
- [43] L. Candish, M. Teders, F. Glorius, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 139 (2017) 7440-7443.
- [44] W.M. Cheng, R. Shang, B. Zhao, W.L. Xing, Y. Fu, Org. Lett. 19 (2017) 4291-4294.
- [45] C. Li, J. Wang, L.M. Barton, et al., Science 356 (2017) eaam7355.
- [46] J. Wang, M. Shang, H. Lundberg, et al., ACS Catal. 8 (2018) 9537-9542.
- [47] D. Hu, L. Wang, P. Li, Org. Lett. 19 (2017) 2770-2773.
- [48] A. Fawcett, J. Pradeilles, Y. Wang, et al., Science 357 (2017) 283-286.
- [49] J.I. Yo-shida, K. Kataoka, R. Horcajada, A. Nagaki, Chem. Rev. 108 (2008) 2265–2299.
- [50] B.A. Frontana-Uribe, R.D. Little, J.G. Ibanez, A. Palma, R. Vasquez-Medrano, Green Chem. 12 (2010) 2099-2119.
- [51] R. Francke, R.D. Little, Chem. Soc. Rev. 43 (2014) 2492-2521.
- [52] S.R. Waldvogel, B. Janza, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 53 (2014) 7122-7123.
- [53] E.J. Horn, B. R. Rosen, P.S. Baran, ACS Cent. Sci. 2 (2016) 302–308.
- [54] M. Yan, Y. Kawamata, P.S. Baran, Chem. Rev. 117 (2017) 13230-13319.
- [55] A. Wiebe, T. Gieshoff, S. Möhle, et al., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 57 (2018) 5594–5619.
- [56] D. Pollok, S.R. Waldvogel, Chem. Sci. 11 (2020) 12386–12400.
- [57] X. Chen, X. Luo, X. Peng, et al., Chem. Eur. J. 26 (2020) 3226-3230.
- [58] Y. Liu, L. Xue, B. Shi, et al., Chem. Commun. 55 (2019) 14922-14925.
- [59] F. Lian, K. Xu, W. Meng, et al., Chem. Commun. 55 (2019) 14685-14688.
- [60] J. Hong, Q. Liu, F. Li, et al., Chin. J. Chem. 37 (2019) 347–351.
- [61] L.M. Barton, L. Chen, D. Blackmond, P. Baran, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 118 (2021) e2109408118.