Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: H. Robinson, J. Stillibrand, K. Simelis, S. J. Macdonald and A. Nortcliffe, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2020, DOI: 10.1039/D0OB01495H.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.





View Article Online

View Journal

Iridum-catalysed C–H Borylation of β-aryl-aminopropionic acids

Henry Robinson,[†] Joe Stillibrand,[†] Klemensas Simelis,[†] Simon J. F. Macdonald[‡] and Andrew Nortcliffe[†]*

[†]GlaxoSmithKline Carbon Neutral Laboratories for Sustainable Chemistry, School of Chemistry, University of Nottingham, Triumph Road, Nottingham, NG7 2TU, United Kingdom.

[‡]GlaxoSmithKline, Medicines Research Centre, Gunnels Wood Road, Stevenage, SG1 2NY, United Kingdom.



Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry Accepted Manuscript

Abstract

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/D0OB01495H

Iridium-catalysed catalytic, regioselective C–H borylation of β -aryl-aminopropionic acid derivatives gives access to 3,5-functionalised protected β -aryl-aminopropionic acid boronates. The synthetic versatility of these new boronates is demonstrated through telescoped functionalisation reaction to give diverse building blocks for medicinal chemistry. The C-H borylation is also effective for dipeptide substrates. We have exemplified this methodology in the synthesis of a pan α_y integrin antagonist.

Introduction

Natural and non-natural amino acids are widespread and have been extensively exploited in the synthesis of therapeutic molecules.¹ As a result, there is a continued need to develop novel strategies for the synthesis of these fundamentally important building blocks. Of particular importance in the context of medicinal chemistry is the development of methods for the construction of structurally diverse β -aryl-aminopropionic acids. This motif is present in biologically active molecules with activity against Chagas disease,² breast cancer,³ and in α_v integrin antagonists as potential treatments for osteoporosis,⁴ melanoma⁵ and idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis.⁶ Additionally, β -phenyl-aminopropionic acid is an intermediate in the synthesis of Maraviroe,⁷ a CCR-5 receptor antagonist used for the treatment and prevention of HIV. Therefore, a unified strategy to the synthesis of functionalised β -aryl-aminopropionic acid would be of significant value.

Three general strategies have been developed for the synthesis of β -aryl-aminopropionic acids to date (Scheme 1). The Rodionov reaction (Scheme 1A) represents the simplest option and involves the multicomponent coupling of an aldehyde, malonic acid and ammonium acetate to generate racemic β -aryl-aminopropionic acids.^{8–10} A second protocol involves diastereoselective Reformatsky reactions of sulfinimines (Scheme 1B).¹¹ An_{DO} alternative contine auxiliary-based approach is depicted in Scheme 1C and involves the addition of chiral lithium amides to cinnamic acid derivatives (Scheme 1C).^{12–14} These strategies for the synthesis of β aryl-aminopropionic acids are dependent on the availability of highly functionalised starting aldehydes (Scheme 1A and B) or aryl bromides (Scheme 1C). While some of these are commercially available, they are available in limited supply and, since the diversity is introduced in the first step, a multistep synthesis is required for each different β -arylaminopropionic acid. This makes the exploration of structure-activity relationships around the aryl ring time and resource consuming.

Scheme 1: Common approaches to β-aryl-aminopropionic acids.

Drganic & Biomolecular Chemistry Accepted Manuscr

View Article Online

DOI: 10.1039/D0OB01495H

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence Open Access Article. Published on 17 August 2020. Downloaded on 8/18/2020 3:10:57 AM.



As part of our research into novel biologically active peptidomimetics for therapeutic application we sought to incorporate β -aryl-aminopropionic acids with diverse substituents in the 3- and 5-positions. Given that existing methods, require a bespoke aldehyde or aryl bromide for every new compound and we designed an alternative method based on a late-stage functionalisation approach. Specifically, we envisioned that an appropriately pre-functionalised 3-substituted β -aryl-aminopropionic acid derivative, accessed *via* a Rodionov multicomponent reaction from more widely available 3-substituted aldehyde, could undergo a C–H functionalisation reactions (Scheme 2) providing a platform for further chemistry.

Dpen Access Article. Published on 17 August 2020. Downloaded on 8/18/2020 3:10:57 AM.



In the past decade C–H activation chemistry emerged into the forefront of modern organic chemistry. The innovative work of Hartwig and Miyaura,¹⁵ and Smith and Maleczka¹⁶ in iridium-catalysed aromatic C–H borylation offers a potentially powerful method for functionalisation given that the synthetic versatility of boronate groups is ever increasing.^{17,18,19} Encouraged by previous examples of C–H borylation on simple phenylalanine²⁰ and tryptophan²¹ derivatives we now report the substrate directed iridium borylation of β-3-aryl-aminopropionic acid precursors together with examples of "one-pot" transformations to diversely functionalised 3,5-substituted products and implementation of this methodology in the preparation of an integrin antagonist bearing a β-3,5-aryl-aminopropionic acid motif.

Results and Discussion

A series of 3-substituted β -aryl-aminopropionic acid derivatives were prepared by Rodionov multicomponent reaction or addition of the bromozincacetate to the corresponding sulfinimine followed by suitable protection as the *N*-Boc esters. Using **1d** as a model substrate we performed a brief screen of previously reported ligands and solvents to determine the optimum conditions.²² Methoxy(cyclooctadiene)iridium(I) dimer ([Ir(OCH₃)COD)]₂) was chosen as the catalyst with *bis*(pinacolato)diboron (B₂pin₂) as the boron source. We evaluated three solvents (MTBE, THF and *i*PrNEt₂) with two ligands – 4-4'-di-*tert*-butyl-2,2'-bipyridine (dtbpy) and

3,4,7,8-tetramethyl-1,10-phenanthroline (tmphen). The reactions were heated at 80_{OL1}^{OL1} and a qualitative assessment of conversion determined by LCMS. The reaction did not reach completion when *i*PrNEt₂ was used a solvent. Conversion was comparable for both ligands and when ether solvents were used. Under standard heating conditions, shortening the reaction time from 24 h reduced the conversion, but complete conversion could be achieved using microwave irradiation in three hours. Based on this screen we selected MTBE and dtbpy to pair with ([Ir(OCH₃)COD)]₂) and B₂Pin₂. Under these conditions a range of β-aryl-aminopropionic acid derivatives were converted into the corresponding borylated derivatives (Scheme 3). As predicted, the reaction predominantly or exclusively yielded the 3,5-substituted products, in accordance with the reported directing regiochemistry of iridium-catalysed borylation reactions.²³

Open Access Article. Published on 17 August 2020. Downloaded on 8/18/2020 3:10:57 AM.

BΥ-NC

Scheme 3: Substrate scope of iridium-catalysed C–H borylation of β-aryl-aminopropion of β-aryl-aminopropion (Scheme 3) Scheme 3: Substrate scope of iridium-catalysed C–H borylation of β-aryl-aminopropion (Scheme 3) Scheme 3: Substrate scope of iridium-catalysed C–H borylation of β-aryl-aminopropion (Scheme 3) Scheme 3: Substrate scope of iridium-catalysed C–H borylation of β-aryl-aminopropion (Scheme 3) Scheme 3: Substrate scope of iridium-catalysed C–H borylation of β-aryl-aminopropion (Scheme 3) Scheme 3: Substrate scope of iridium-catalysed C–H borylation of β-aryl-aminopropion (Scheme 3) Scheme 3: Scheme

acids.



^{*a*}1:1:3 mixture of regioisomers (**2a**:4-substitued:3,5-substituted), ^{*b*}2:1 mixture of regioisomers (**2c**:3,4-substituted), ^{*c*}borylated product ($R^1 = Bpin$) unstable to column chromatography; yield over two steps following Suzuki coupling with *p*-nitroiodobenzene to yield product 2k ($R^1 = p$ -nitrophenyl) ^{*d*}reaction conducted at room temperature.

& Biomolecular Chemistry Accepted Manuscript

Indanic

The reaction of unsubstituted β -aryl-aminopropionic acid derivative (X = H) yielded a mixing for β -aryl-aminopropionic acid derivative (X = H) yielded a mixing for β -aryl-aminopropionic acid derivative (X = H) yielded a mixing for β -aryl-aminopropionic acid derivative (X = H) yielded a mixing for β -aryl-aminopropionic acid derivative (X = H) yielded a mixing for β -aryl-aminopropionic acid derivative (X = H) yielded a mixing for β -aryl-aminopropionic acid derivative (X = H) yielded a mixing for β -aryl-aminopropionic acid derivative (X = H) yielded a mixing for β -aryl-aminopropionic acid derivative (X = H) yielded a mixing for β -aryl-aminopropionic acid derivative (X = H) yielded a mixing for β -aryl-aminopropionic acid derivative (X = H) yielded a mixing for β -aryl-aminopropionic acid derivative (X = H) yielded a mixing for β -aryl-aminopropionic acid derivative (X = H) yielded a mixing for β -aryl-aminopropionic acid derivative (X = H) yielded a mixing for β -aryl-aminopropionic acid derivative (X = H) yielded a mixing for β -aryl-aminopropionic acid derivative (X = H) yielded a mixing for β -aryl-aminopropionic acid derivative (X = H) yielded a mixing for β -aryl-aminopropionic acid derivative (X = H) yielded a mixing for β -aryl-aminopropionic acid derivative (X = H) yielded a mixing for β -aryl-aminopropionic acid derivative (X = H) yielded a mixing for β -aryl-aminopropionic acid derivative (X = H) yielded a mixing for β -aryl-aminopropionic acid derivative (X = H) yielded a mixing for β -aryl-aminopropionic acid derivative (X = H) yielded a mixing for β -aryl-aminopropionic acid derivative (X = H) yielded a mixing for β -aryl-aminopropionic acid derivative (X = H) yielded a mixing for β -aryl-aminopropionic acid derivative (X = H) yielded a mixing for β -aryl-aminopropionic acid derivative (X = H) yielded a mixing for β -aryl-aminopropionic acid derivative (X = H) yielded a mixing for β -aryl-aminopropionic acid derivative (X = H) yielded a mixing for β -aryl-amino of 3- and 4-isomers along with and 3,5-diborylated product in a ratio of 1:1:3, as determined by ¹H NMR. 3-Substituted substrates were readily tolerated in the reaction delivering the corresponding 3,5-substituted products in 38-86% yield (Scheme 3). 3-Fluorosubstituted substrate 1c yielded a 2:1 mixture of 3,5 (2c) and 3,4-isomers that were inseparable by column chromatography. This is consistent with observations by other workers.^{20,24} The borylated products were stable and could be readily purified by column chromatography to isolate them. Furthermore, the 3-trifluoromethyl 2f and 3-bromo 2h derivatives could be prepared on multigram scale with improved isolated yields. Under the standard borylation conditions, 2thienvl derivative 11 yielded exclusively the 3,5-diborvlated product. The 5-borvlated product 21 was produced exclusively by conducting the reaction at room temperature. C-H borylation of the 3-pyridyl derivative 1k yielded the desired product, however, attempts to purify the compound by chromatography resulted in protodeborylation and recovery of starting material 1k. The yield reported is for the two-step procedure, involving Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of the unstable boronate ester with 4-nitroiodobenzene ($R^1 = 4$ -nitrophenvl). When the enantioenriched substrate (S)-1f was subjected to C-H borylation followed by oxidation, phenol (S)-3 was obtained with an 97% ee indicating no racemisation occurs in the borylation process (Scheme 4).

Dpen Access Article. Published on 17 August 2020. Downloaded on 8/18/2020 3:10:57 AM.

(S)-1f

97% ee



(S)-2f

33% over 2 steps

(S)-3 97% ee



To demonstrate the versatility of these β -aryl-aminopropionic acid boronate derivatives in synthesis we have exemplified their applicability in typical reactions used in the synthesis of biologically active molecules (Scheme 5). In each example, the 3-substituted β -arylaminopropionic acid derivative was borylated under the previously described conditions and then telescoped into functionalisation without purification of the boronate. Oxidation of the boronate with aqueous Oxone[®] provided the phenols **3-6**.¹⁶ **3-Trifluoromethyl derivative 1h** was borylated and transformed into biaryl ether 7 under standard Suzuki-Miyaura conditions. Attempts to alkylate the phenols under standard conditions (RBr, Cs₂CO₃) were unsuccessful, resulting in ester hydrolysis and transesterification only. To circumvent this and access ether containing products we utilised the Chan-Lam coupling to provide phenyl ethers 8 and 9.25 The Chan-Lam coupling was also an effective methodology to access N-linked heteraraomatic βaryl-aminopropionic acid derivatives, the 1,3-dimethylpyrazole derivates 10 and 11 were accessed in 74 and 68% yield respectively over two steps.²⁶ These transformations are further examples of the Chan-Lam coupling as a viable sustainable alternative to Pd-catalysed etherification and amination and are compatible with tandem iridium borylation. Bromination of 2i with CuBr₂ provided the 3-bromo-5-tert-butyl derivative 12, this motif is featured in recently reported selective integrin antagonists.^{27,28} 3-Bromo-5-fluoroderivative 13 was

Scheme 5: One-pot functionalisation of BPin-β-aryl-aminopropionic acids

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.

Open Access Article. Published on 17 August 2020. Downloaded on 8/18/2020 3:10:57 AM.

BY-NC



Reagents and conditions. (a) standard conditions Scheme 2; (b) Oxone[®], aq. acetone, r.t., 0.75 h, 3 = 52%, 4 = 77%, 5 = 33%, 6 = 92% over two steps; (c) 1-iodo-4-nitrobenzene, Pd(dppf).CH₂Cl₂, K₃PO₄, 1,2-DME:H₂O, 70 °C, 4.5 h, 93% over two steps; (d) phenol, CuOAc₂, B(OH)₃, 4Å molecular sieves, 70 °C, 16 h, 8 = 36%, 9 = 34% over two steps; (e) 1,4-dimethylpyrazole, Cu(OAc)₂, B(OH)₃, 4Å molecular sieves, 80 °C, 16 h, 10 = 74%, 11 = 68% over two steps; (f) CuBr₂, MeOH:H₂O (2:1), 70 °C, 16 h, 39\%; (g) i. AgOTf, NaOH, MeOH, 0 °C, 0.5 h; ii. Selectfluor[®], 3Å MS, acetone, r.t., 3 h, 59%.

BY-NC

Open Access Article. Published on 17 August 2020. Downloaded on 8/18/2020 3:10:57 AM.

While *tert*-butoxycarbonyl groups present a robust, stable protecting group for the substrate $C_{BO1495H}$ further *N*-functionalisation requires deprotection before further reaction. To demonstrate the utility of the C–H borylation in peptidomimetics, we replaced the *tert*-butoxycarbonyl group with an α -amino acid (Scheme 6). Under the established C–H borylation conditions the amino acid derived substrates **14a-c** were converted to the corresponding dipeptide boronates **15a-c** in good yield showing the tolerance of the conditions to more complex conditions with additional hydrogen bond donors.



Scheme 6: Iridium-catalysed C–H borylation of β-aryl-aminopropionic dipeptides.

 $AA = amino acid^{a} extended reaction time of 6 h.$

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence Dpen Access Article. Published on 17 August 2020. Downloaded on 8/18/2020 3:10:57 AM.

Finally, we used this C–H borylation strategy to prepare a reported selective on the selective of the select

Scheme 7: Application of C–H borylation chemistry in the synthesis of an integrin antagonist.



Reagents and conditions. (a) Boc-glycine, HATU, *i*Pr₂EtN, MeCN, 0 °C to r.t., 16 h, 50%; (b) 4M HCl in dioxane, 5 h; (c) 3-guanidinobenzoic acid, di*iso*propylcarbodiimide, HOBt, CH₂Cl₂:DMF (1:1), r.t., 64 h; (c) 1M aq. LiOH, THF, r.t., 64 h, 52% over three steps.

In conclusion, we have developed an efficient iridium-catalysed C–H borylation procedure of β -aryl- and heteroaryl-aminopropionic acids and explored the scope of the procedure with

Dpen Access Article. Published on 17 August 2020. Downloaded on 8/18/2020 3:10:57 AM.

respect to functional group tolerance and retention of enantiointegrity. We have fulfilled continees on the C-H borylation procedure in dipeptide substrates further demonstrating its synthetic utility. By applying telescoped "one-pot" reaction conditions we have shown that the C-H borylation approach allows an effective route to diversely functionalised β -aryl-aminopropionic acids across chemical space relevant to medicinal chemistry.

Supporting information

Experimental procedures, NMR spectra and chiral HPLC chromatograms are available in Supporting Information.

Author information

Corresponding author: Email: <u>andrew.nortcliffe@nottingham.ac.uk</u>, Phone +44(0)1158 466887

Funding

We thank GlaxoSmithKline and the University of Nottingham for providing funds for consumables associated with this work, including a part-studentship for H. Robinson.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

[#]J.S. Deceased December 2018

Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry Accepted Manuscrip

ORCID

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/D0OB01495H

Henry Robinson 0000-0002-3318-653X

Andrew Nortcliffe 0000-0002-3371-390X

Acknowledgements

We thank Thomas McInally and Prof. Christopher J. Moody for helpful discussions. Determination of chiral purity was undertaken by Reach Separations, Bio City, Nottingham, UK.

References

- 1 M. A. T. Blaskovich, J. Med. Chem., 2016, 59, 10807–10836.
- 2 M. Kashif, K. F. Chacón-Vargas, J. C. López-Cedillo, B. Nogueda-Torres, A. D. Paz-González, E. Ramírez-Moreno, R. Agusti, M. L. Uhrig, A. Reyes-Arellano, J. Peralta-Cruz, M. Ashfaq and G. Rivera, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2018, **156**, 252–268.
- 3 Y. Guo, Y. Zhao, G. Wang, Y. Chen, Y. Jiang, L. Ouyang and B. Liu, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2018, **143**, 402–418.
- J. H. Hutchinson, W. Halczenko, K. M. Brashear, M. J. Breslin, P. J. Coleman, L. T. Duong, C. Fernandez-Metzler, M. A. Gentile, J. E. Fisher, G. D. Hartman, J. R. Huff, D. B. Kimmel, C.-T. Leu, R. S. Meissner, K. Merkle, R. Nagy, B. Pennypacker, J. J. Perkins, T. Prueksaritanont, G. A. Rodan, S. L. Varga, G. A. Wesolowski, A. E. Zartman, S. B. Rodan and M. E. Duggan, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2003, 46, 4790–4798.
- 5 A. Trabocchi, G. Menchi, N. Cini, F. Bianchini, S. Raspanti, A. Bottoncetti, A. Pupi,

Dpen Access Article. Published on 17 August 2020. Downloaded on 8/18/2020 3:10:57 AM

J. Adams, E. C. Anderson, E. E. Blackham, Y. W. R. Chiu, T. Clarke, N. Eccles, L. A.
Gill, J. J. Haye, H. T. Haywood, C. R. Hoenig, M. Kausas, J. Le, H. L. Russell, C.
Smedley, W. J. Tipping, T. Tongue, C. C. Wood, J. Yeung, J. E. Rowedder, M. J.
Fray, T. McInally and S. J. F. Macdonald, *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2014, 5, 1207–1212.

L. Calorini and A. Guarna, J. Med. Chem., 2010, 53, 7119-7128.

- S. J. Haycock-Lewandowski, A. Wilder and J. Åhman, Org. Process Res. Dev., 2008, 12, 1094–1103.
- 8 W. M. Rodionow, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1929, 51, 847–852.
- 9 W. M. Rodionow and E. A. Postovskaja, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1929, 51, 841–847.
- 10 C. Y. K. Tan and D. F. Weaver, *Tetrahedron*, 2002, **58**, 7449–7461.
- 11 K. Brinner, B. Doughan and D. J. Poon, *Synlett*, 2009, 2009, 991–993.
- S. G. Davies, A. D. Smith and P. D. Price, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2005, 16, 2833–2891.
- S. G. Davies, A. M. Fletcher, P. M. Roberts and J. E. Thomson, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2012, 23, 1111–1153.
- S. G. Davies, A. M. Fletcher, P. M. Roberts and J. E. Thomson, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2017, 28, 1842–1868.
- 15 T. Ishiyama, J. Takagi, K. Ishida, N. Miyaura, N. R. Anastasi and J. F. Hartwig, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 390–391.
- Maleczka Robert E., F. Shi, D. Holmes and M. R. Smith, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, 125, 7792–7793.
- 17 C. Sandford and V. K. Aggarwal, Chem. Commun., 2017, 53, 5481–5494.

Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry Accepted Manuscrip

- 18
 J. W. B. Fyfe and A. J. B. Watson, Chem, 2017, 3, 31–55.
 View Article Online

 DOI: 10.1039/D00B01495H
 DOI: 10.1039/D00B01495H
- 19 S. M. Nicolle, A. Nortcliffe, H. E. Bartrum, W. Lewis, C. J. Hayes and C. J. Moody, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2017, 13623–13627.
- 20 F.-M. Meyer, S. Liras, A. Guzman-Perez, C. Perreault, J. Bian and K. James, *Org. Lett.*, 2010, **12**, 3870–3873.
- V. A. Kallepalli, F. Shi, S. Paul, E. N. Onyeozili, R. E. Maleczka and M. R. Smith, J. Org. Chem., 2009, 74, 9199–9201.
- S. M. Preshlock, B. Ghaffari, P. E. Maligres, S. W. Krska, R. E. Maleczka and M. R. Smith, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 7572–7582.
- T. Ishiyama, Y. Nobuta, J. F. Hartwig and N. Miyaura, *Chem. Commun.*, 2003, 2924–2925.
- T. E. Hurst, T. K. Macklin, M. Becker, E. Hartmann, W. Kügel, J.-C. Parisienne-La Salle, A. S. Batsanov, T. B. Marder and V. Snieckus, *Chem. – A Eur. J.*, 2010, 16, 8155–8161.
- J. C. Vantourout, H. N. Miras, A. Isidro-Llobet, S. Sproules and A. J. B. Watson, J.
 Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 4769–4779.
- H. Robinson, S. A. Oatley, J. E. Rowedder, P. Slade, S. J. F. Macdonald, S. P. Argent,
 J. D. Hirst, T. McInally and C. J. Moody, *Chem. A Eur. J.*, 2020, 26, 7678–7684.
- 27 WO2017117538, 2009.
- 28 WO2014015054, 2014.
- 29 T. Furuya and T. Ritter, Org. Lett., 2009, 11, 2860–2863.