

The First Family of Platinum(IV) Acetonyl Complexes. Mono-, Bis-, and Tris(acetonyl) Derivatives[†]

José Vicente,* Aurelia Arcas,[‡] and Jesús M. Fernández-Hernández

Grupo de Química Organometálica, Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Facultad de Química, Universidad de Murcia, Apto. 4021, E-30071 Murcia, Spain

Delia Bautista

SACE, Universidad de Murcia, Apto. 4021, E-30071 Murcia, Spain

Received June 6, 2006

[Hg{CH₂C(O)Me}₂] and K[PtCl₃(C₂H₄)] react (2:1 molar ratio) to give K[Pt₂{CH₂C(O)Me}₆(μ-Cl)₃] (**1**·K) through the intermediate K[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₂Cl₂(η²-CH₂=CH₂)] (**2**·K). The anionic complex **1** has been also obtained as the [K(18-C-6)]⁺ salt (**1**·C) by reacting **1**·K with 18-crown-6, and **2** has been isolated as the Me₄N salt (**2**·NMe₄) by reacting [Hg{CH₂C(O)Me}₂] and K[PtCl₃(C₂H₄)] (1:2) in the presence of an excess of (Me₄N)Cl. The tris(acetonyl) Pt(IV) complexes *fac*-(PPN)₂[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₃-Cl₃] (**3**), *fac*-[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₃(Cl)L₂] (L = ^tBuNC (**4**), XyNC (**5**; Xy = C₆H₃Me₂-2,6)), and *fac*-[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₃Cl(N^N)] (N^N = bpy (**6**), phen (**7**)) have been obtained by reacting **1**·K with (PPN)Cl, L, and N^N, respectively, and *fac*-[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₃(CN^tBu)(bpy)]OTf (**8**) was obtained from **6**, TlTfO, and ^tBuNC. The mono(acetonyl) complex *mer*-[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₂Cl₃(bpy)] (**9**) and the bis(acetonyl) Pt(IV) derivatives [OC-6-13]-[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₂Cl₂(bpy)] (**10**) and [OC-6-43]-[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₂(Me)I(bpy)] (**11**) have been obtained by reacting [Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}Cl(bpy)] with PhICl₂ and by reacting [Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₂(bpy)] with PhICl₂ and MeI, respectively. Room-temperature isomerization of **11** gives [OC-6-34]-[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₂(Me)I(bpy)] (**12**). The first mixed ketonylmethyl complex, [OC-6-33]-[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₂{CH₂C(O)Ph}Br(bpy)] (**13**), has been obtained by reacting [Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₂(bpy)] with PhC(O)CH₂Br. The crystal structures of **1**·C, **6**, **8**, and **9** have been determined.

Introduction

We are interested in the synthesis of ketonylmethyl complexes, M-CH₂C(O)R (R = hydrocarbyl),^{1,2} because they are involved in important organic reactions.³ The chemistry of ketonyl Pt(IV) complexes is poorly developed, and none of the few reported complexes has been characterized by X-ray diffraction studies.⁴ Only the monoketonyl complexes [Pt{CH₂C(O)Ph}-Me₂(X)(N^N)]ⁿ⁺ (N^N = 2,9-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline; X = Br, I, n = 0; X = MeCN, n = 1), (NH₄)[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}Cl₄(NH₃)], and K₂[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}Cl₅] have been obtained respectively by reacting [PtMe₂L₂] with XCH₂C(O)Ph,⁵ by light

irradiation of a [PtCl₆]²⁻ solution in acetone (no isolated yield was reported),⁶ and by reacting K₂[PtCl₄] with iodoacetone in water.^{7,8}

We are involved in the study of the reactivity of organomercury complexes as transmetalating agents.^{9–12} In this context, using [Hg{CH₂C(O)Me}₂] as the transmetalating agent, we have reported the synthesis of the simple (acetonyl)palladium(II) complex [Pd{CH₂C(O)Me}Cl]_n, which has allowed us to prepare a variety of mono(acetonyl)palladium(II) complexes and the first complexes containing the chelating κ²(C,O)-C(NHR)=CHC(O)Me (R = ^tBu, Xy) ligand, resulting from the insertion of isocyanide into the Pd-CH₂C(O)Me bond and a subsequent β-ketoimine to β-ketoenamine tautomerization process.^{11,13} Here we describe the reaction between [Hg{CH₂C(O)Me}₂] and K[PtCl₃(C₂H₄)] to give the tris(acetonyl)platinum(IV) complex

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: jvs1@um.es. Web: <http://www.um.es/gqo/>.

[†] Dedicated to Dr. José-Antonio Abad, with best wishes, on the occasion of his retirement.

[‡] E-mail: aurelia@um.es.

(1) Vicente, J.; Bermúdez, M. D.; Chicote, M. T.; Sánchez-Santano, M. J. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1989**, 141. Vicente, J.; Bermúdez, M. D.; Chicote, M. T.; Sánchez-Santano, M. J. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1990**, 1945. Vicente, J.; Bermúdez, M. D.; Escribano, J.; Carrillo, M. P.; Jones, P. G. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1990**, 3083. Vicente, J.; Abad, J. A.; Cara, G.; Jones, P. G. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1990**, 29, 1125. Vicente, J.; Bermúdez, M. D.; Carrillo, M. P.; Jones, P. G. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1992**, 1975.

(2) Vicente, J.; Abad, J. A.; Chicote, M. T.; Abrisqueta, M.-D.; Lorca, J.-A.; Ramírez de Arellano, M. C. *Organometallics* **1998**, 17, 1564.

(3) Culkin, D. A.; Hartwig, J. F. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2003**, 36, 234. Chen, G. S.; Kwong, F. Y.; Chan, H. O.; Yu, W. Y.; Chan, A. S. C. *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, 1413. Sodeoka, M.; Hamashima, Y. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **2005**, 78, 941.

(4) Cambridge Structural Database, version 5.27 (Jan 2006). Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center: <http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk>.

(5) De Felice, V.; Giovannitti, B.; Derenzi, A.; Tesaro, D.; Panunzi, A. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2000**, 594, 445.

(6) Nizova, G. V.; Serdobov, M. V.; Nikitaev, A. T.; Shul'pin, G. B. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1984**, 275, 139. Shul'pin, G. B.; Nizova, G. V.; Shilov, A. E. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1983**, 671.

(7) Zamaschikov, V. V.; Rudakov, E. S.; Mitchenko, S. A.; Nizova, G. V.; Kitaigorodskii, A. N.; Shul'pin, G. B. *Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, Div. Chem. Sci.* **1984**, 33 (part 2), 1520.

(8) Shilov, A. E.; Shul'pin, G. B. *Chem. Rev.* **1997**, 97, 2879.

(9) Vicente, J.; Chicote, M. T.; Ramírez-de-Arellano, M. C.; Pelizzi, G.; Vitali, F. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1990**, 279. Vicente, J.; Martin, J.; Solans, X.; Font-Altaba, M. *Organometallics* **1989**, 8, 357. Vicente, J.; Chicote, M. T.; Arcas, A.; Artigao, M. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1982**, 65, L251.

(10) Vicente, J.; Arcas, A.; Fernández-Hernández, J. M.; Sironi, A.; Masciocchi, N. *Chem. Commun.* **2005**, 1267.

(11) Vicente, J.; Arcas, A.; Fernández-Hernández, J. M.; Bautista, D. *Organometallics* **2001**, 20, 2767.

(12) Vicente, J.; Abad, J. A.; Rink, B.; Hernández, F.-S.; Ramírez de Arellano, M. C. *Organometallics* **1997**, 16, 5269.

(13) Vicente, J.; Arcas, A.; Fernández-Hernández, J. M.; Bautista, D.; Jones, P. G. *Organometallics* **2005**, 24, 2516.

$K[Pt_2\{CH_2C(O)Me\}_6(\mu-Cl)_3]$, the crystal structure of which has been solved by X-ray powder diffraction. This process represents the first example of the use of a reagent in successive transmetalation and redox reactions, and the complex itself is the first fully characterized ketonyl Pt(IV) complex, the first diplatinum(IV) complex containing three bridging halo ligands, and the first tris(ketonyl)metal complex ever isolated. An intermediate Pt(II) acetonil complex, $[Pt\{CH_2C(O)Me\}Cl_2(C_2H_4)]^-$, has been detected and isolated as the Me_4N salt. These results have been the subject of a preliminary communication.¹⁰ In this paper we report (i) full details of the aforementioned reaction and the synthesis and single-crystal X-ray diffraction study of $[K(18-C-6)][Pt_2\{CH_2C(O)Me\}_6(\mu-Cl)_3]$ (18-C-6 = 18-crown-6), whose data confirm those obtained for the anion in an X-ray powder diffraction study of $K[Pt_2\{CH_2C(O)Me\}_6(\mu-Cl)_3]$, (ii) the synthesis of the first family of tris(ketonyl) Pt(IV) complexes, including neutral, anionic, and cationic derivatives, (iii) the synthesis, through oxidative addition reactions, of mono-(acetonil), the first bis(ketonyl), and additional members of the new family of tris(acetonil) Pt(IV) complexes, and (iv) the first X-ray single-crystal structures of ketonyl Pt(IV) complexes.

Experimental Section

The reactions were carried out without precautions to exclude light or atmospheric oxygen or moisture. Melting points were determined on a Reicher apparatus and are uncorrected. Elemental analyses were carried out with a Carlo Erba 1106 microanalyzer. Molar conductivities were measured on ca. 5×10^{-4} mol L⁻¹ acetone solution with a Crison Micro CM2200 conductimeter. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 16F PC FT-IR spectrometer with Nujol mulls between polyethylene sheets or KBr pellets. NMR spectra were recorded on Varian Unity 300, Bruker AC 200, Avance 300 and 400, and Bruker 600 spectrometers at room temperature. Chemical shifts are referenced to TMS (¹H, ¹³C), H₃-PO₄ (³¹P), or Na₂PtCl₆ (¹⁹⁵Pt). When needed, NMR assignments were performed with the help of APT, DEPT, COSY, and one-dimensional NOE experiments and HETCOR techniques. $[Hg\{CH_2C(O)Me\}_2]$,¹⁴ $K[PtCl_3(C_2H_4)]$,¹⁵ $[Pt\{CH_2C(O)Me\}_2(bpy)]$, $[Pt\{CH_2C(O)Me\}Cl(bpy)]$,² and $PhICl_2$ ¹⁶ were prepared according to literature methods.

Synthesis of $K[Pt_2\{CH_2C(O)Me\}_6(\mu-Cl)_3]$ (1·K). To a solution of $K[PtCl_3(C_2H_4)]$ (250 mg, 0.68 mmol) in acetone (10 mL) was added $[Hg\{CH_2C(O)Me\}_2]$ (430 mg, 1.37 mmol). The resulting suspension was stirred for 20 h at room temperature and then concentrated to dryness. The resulting gray solid was washed with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 5 mL) and refluxed for 40 min in MeCN (10 mL), and the hot suspension was filtered through Celite. The yellow filtrate was concentrated until a colorless solid started to precipitate. Et₂O (5 mL) was added, the suspension was filtered off, and the solid was recrystallized from MeCN/Et₂O to give **1** as a colorless microcrystalline solid. Yield: 102 mg, 74%. Mp: 200 °C dec. Λ_M (acetone, 5×10^{-4} mol L⁻¹): $106 \Omega^{-1} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$. IR (cm⁻¹): $\nu(\text{CO})$ 1689, $\nu(\text{PtCl})$ 245. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, *d*₆-acetone): δ 3.20 (s, 2 H, CH₂, ²*J*_{HPT} = 103 Hz), 2.12 (s, 3 H, Me). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (50.30 MHz, *d*₆-acetone): δ 210 (CO), 32.9 (Me), 25.8 (CH₂, ¹*J*_{CPt} = 657 Hz). ⁹⁵Pt{¹H} (85.88 MHz, *d*₆-acetone): δ -1743 (s). ESI-MS (–): *m/z* 839 [M – K⁺][–]; 437 [Pt(CH₂C(O)Me)₃Cl₂][–]. Anal. Calcd for C₁₈H₃₀Cl₃KO₆Pt₂: C, 24.62; H, 3.44. Found: C, 24.62; H, 3.44.

Synthesis of $[K(18-C-6)][Pt_2\{CH_2C(O)Me\}_6(\mu-Cl)_3]$ (1·C). To a suspension of **1·K** (35 mg, 0.04 mmol) in acetone (2 mL) was added 18-C-6 (16 mg, 0.06 mmol). The resulting solution was filtered through Celite, and the filtrate was concentrated to dryness. The residue was stirred with Et₂O (10 mL) for 15 min at 0 °C, the suspension was filtered, and the solid was air-dried to give **1·C** as a colorless solid. Yield: 36 mg, 80%. Mp: 148 °C. Λ_M (acetone, 4.11×10^{-4} mol L⁻¹): $83 \Omega^{-1} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$. IR (Nujol, cm⁻¹): $\nu(\text{CO})$ 1682; $\nu(\text{PtCl})$ 250, 232. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, *d*₆-acetone): δ 3.66 (s, 24 H, CH₂, 18-C-6), 3.19 (s, 12 H, CH₂, ²*J*_{HPT} = 103 Hz), 2.12 (s, 18 H, Me). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (200 MHz, *d*₆-acetone): δ 210.9 (CO, ²*J*_{CPt} = 48 Hz), 71.8 (CH₂, 18-C-6), 33.9 (Me), 26.8 (CH₂, ¹*J*_{CPt} = 657 Hz). ¹⁹⁵Pt{¹H} NMR (85.88 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -1730 (s). Anal. Calcd for C₃₀H₅₄Cl₃KO₁₂Pt₂: C, 31.54; H, 4.76. Found: C, 31.81; H, 4.74. Single crystals of **1·C** were obtained by slow diffusion of Et₂O into an acetone solution of **1·C**.

Synthesis of *cis*-(Me₄N)[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}Cl₂(C₂H₄)] (2·NMe₄). To a solution of $K[PtCl_3(C_2H_4)]$ (610 mg, 1.65 mmol) in acetone (17 mL) were added (Me₄N)Cl (340 mg, 3.1 mmol) and $[Hg\{CH_2C(O)Me\}_2]$ (280 mg, 0.89 mmol). The suspension was stirred for 6.5 h and then was concentrated to dryness. The residue was stirred in CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL), the suspension was filtered through Celite, and the filtrate was concentrated to ca. 2 mL. The addition of Et₂O (10 mL) gave a suspension, which was filtered, and the solid was air-dried to give **2·NMe₄** as a pale yellow solid. Yield: 580 mg, 83%. Mp: 72 °C. Λ_M (acetone, 5×10^{-4} mol L⁻¹): $114 \Omega^{-1} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$. IR (Nujol, cm⁻¹): $\nu(\text{CO})$ 1647, $\nu(\text{CN})$ 947, $\nu(\text{PtCl})$ 311, 264. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, *d*₆-acetone): δ 3.73 (br, C₂H₄, ²*J*_{HPT} = 66.7 Hz), 3.45 (s, 12 H, NMe₄), 2.34 (s, 2 H, PtCH₂, ²*J*_{HPT} = 106.5 Hz), 2.10 (s, 3 H, MeCO). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.94 (br, 4 H, C₂H₄, ²*J*_{HPT} = 67.8 Hz), 3.44 (s, 12 H, NMe₄), 2.43 (s, 2 H, PtCH₂, ²*J*_{HPT} = 106.8 Hz), 2.23 (s, 3 H, MeCO). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.81 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 213.4 (s, CO, ²*J*_{CPt} = 46 Hz), 64.91 (C₂H₄, ¹*J*_{CPt} = 230 Hz), 56.4 (t {1:1:1}, Me₄N, ¹*J*_{NC} = 4 Hz), 31.0 (s, MeCO), 22.1 (s, PtCH₂, ¹*J*_{CPt} = 619 Hz). ¹⁹⁵Pt{¹H} NMR (86.18 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -3378.6 (s). Anal. Calcd for C₉H₂₁Cl₂O₂Pt: C, 25.42; H, 4.98; N, 3.29. Found: C, 25.49; H, 4.95; N, 3.16.

Synthesis of *fac*-(PPN)₂[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}Cl₃] (3). To a suspension of **1·K** (23.7 mg, 0.03 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (3 mL) was added (PPN)Cl (62 mg, 0.11 mmol). After 10 min of stirring, the suspension was filtered through Celite and the filtrate was concentrated (1 mL). Addition of Et₂O (10 mL) gave a suspension which was stirred for 1 h in a water/ice bath and filtered off. The colorless solid was washed with Et₂O (5 mL) and air-dried to give **3**. Yield: 70 mg, 84%. Mp: 158 °C. Λ_M (acetone, 3.87×10^{-4} mol L⁻¹): $208 \Omega^{-1} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$. IR (Nujol, cm⁻¹): $\nu(\text{CO})$ 1665, $\nu(\text{PtCl})$ 265, 241(br). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.71–7.40 (m, 60 H, PPN), 3.30 (s, 6 H, CH₂, ²*J*_{HPT} = 102 Hz), 2.19 (s, 9 H, Me). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (50.30 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 211.9 (CO, ²*J*_{CPt} = 48 Hz), 133.9 (m, PPN, C_p), 131.9 (m, PPN, C_m), 129.5 (m, PPN, C_o), 126.7 (dd, PPN, C_{ipso}, ¹*J*_{CP} = 108 Hz, ³*J*_{CP} = 1.7 Hz), 32.9 (Me), 25.4 (CH₂, ¹*J*_{CPt} = 651 Hz). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (121.50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 21.1. ¹⁹⁵Pt{¹H} NMR (86.50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -1739. Anal. Calcd for C₈₁H₇₅Cl₃N₂O₃P₄Pt: C, 62.77; H, 4.88; N, 1.81. Found: C, 62.57; H, 4.90; N, 1.91.

Synthesis of *fac*-[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}Cl(CN^tBu)₂] (4). To a suspension of **1·K** (36 mg, 0.04 mmol) in acetone (3 mL) was added ^tBuNC (30 μL, 0.26 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 h and filtered through Celite. The filtrate was concentrated to give a pale yellow oil that was washed with *n*-pentane (3 mL) and vacuum-dried for 24 h to give **4** as a pale yellow solid. Yield: 30 mg, 64%. Mp: 74–80 °C. IR (Nujol, cm⁻¹): $\nu(\text{CN})$ 2242, 2227, $\nu(\text{CO})$ 1681, $\nu(\text{PtCl})$ 271. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.04 (d, 2 H, CH₂, ²*J*_{HH} = 7.0 Hz, ²*J*_{HPT} = 91.7 Hz), 2.98 (s, 2 H, CH₂ trans to Cl, ²*J*_{HPT} = 89.8 Hz), 2.27 (s, 6 H, MeC(O) trans to ^tBuNC), 2.26 (d, 2 H, CH₂ trans to ^tBuNC, ²*J*_{HH} = 7.0 Hz, ²*J*_{HPT} = 67.5 Hz), 2.23 (s, 3 H, MeC(O), ³*J*_{HPT} = 4.8 Hz), 1.57 (s, 18 H, CMe₃).

(14) Nesmeyanov, A. N. *Selected Works in Organic Chemistry*; Pergamon Press: Oxford, U.K., 1963; p 385. Lutsenko, I. F.; Khomutov, R. M. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **1953**, *88*, 837.

(15) Chock, P. B.; Halpern, J.; Paulik, F. E. *Inorg. Synth.* **1973**, *14*, 90.

(16) Vicente, J.; Chicote, M. T.; González-Herrero, P.; Jones, P. G. *Inorg. Chem.* **1997**, *36*, 5735.

$^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (150.9 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 213.8 (CO trans to $^1\text{BuNC}$, $^2J_{\text{Cpt}} = 33$ Hz), 211.3 (CO, $^2J_{\text{Cpt}} = 42$ Hz), 117.1 (t {1:1:1}, CN, $^1J_{\text{CN}} = 18$ Hz, $^1J_{\text{Cpt}} = 816$ Hz), 58.8 ($\text{C}(\text{Me})_3$), 31.4 ($\text{MeC}(\text{O})$ trans to $^1\text{BuNC}$), 31.1 ($\text{MeC}(\text{O})$ trans to Cl), 29.9 (CH_2 trans to $^1\text{BuNC}$, $^1J_{\text{Cpt}} = 463$ Hz), 29.6 (CMe_3), 25.9 (CH_2 trans to Cl, $^1J_{\text{Cpt}} = 548.0$ Hz). $^{195}\text{Pt}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (86.18 MHz, CDCl_3): δ -3343 (q, {1:2:3:2:1}, $^2J_{\text{NPt}} = 22$ Hz). Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{33}\text{ClN}_2\text{O}_3\text{Pt}$: C, 40.18; H, 5.86; N, 4.93. Found: C, 39.83; H, 5.87; N, 5.03.

Synthesis of *fac*-[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₃Cl(CNXY)₂] (5). To a suspension of **1·K** (33 mg, 0.04 mmol) in acetone (3 mL) was added XyNC (27 mg, 0.20 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 h and filtered through Celite. The filtrate was concentrated to dryness to give a yellow oil which was washed with *n*-pentane (2 $\times$ 5 mL) and vacuum-dried for 24 h, to give **5** as a pale yellow oil. Yield: 40 mg, 81%. ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 7.25 (m, 2 H, CH, Xy), 7.12 (m, 4 H, CH, Xy), 3.25 (s, 2 H, CH_2 trans to Cl, $^2J_{\text{Hpt}} = 85.3$ Hz), 3.23 (d, 2 H, CH_2 trans to XyNC , $^2J_{\text{HH}} = 7.5$ Hz, $^2J_{\text{Hpt}} = 85.3$ Hz), 2.52 (d, 2 H, CH_2 trans to XyNC , $^2J_{\text{HH}} = 7.5$ Hz, $^2J_{\text{Hpt}} = 68.7$ Hz), 2.49 (s, 12 H, Me, Xy), 2.33 (s, 3 H, $\text{MeC}(\text{O})$, $^4J_{\text{Hpt}} = 5.3$ Hz), 2.26 (s, 6 H, $\text{MeC}(\text{O})$). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (100.8 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 213.8 (CO, trans to XyNC , $^2J_{\text{Cpt}} = 33$ Hz), 211.4 (CO, trans to Cl, $^2J_{\text{Cpt}} = 42$ Hz), 136.5 (C, Xy), 130.5 (CH, Xy), 128.9 (t, {1:1:1}, XyNC), 128.1 (CH, Xy), 125.0 (br, C, Xy), 31.4 ($\text{MeC}(\text{O})$ trans to XyNC), 30.8 ($\text{MeC}(\text{O})$ trans to Cl, $^3J_{\text{Cpt}} = 8$ Hz), 30.0 (CH_2 trans to XyNC , $^1J_{\text{Cpt}} = 468$ Hz), 26.8 (CH_2 trans to Cl, $^1J_{\text{Cpt}} = 565$ Hz), 18.4 (Me, Xy). $^{195}\text{Pt}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (86.18 MHz, CDCl_3): δ -3307 (br). Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{27}\text{H}_{33}\text{ClN}_2\text{O}_3\text{Pt}$: C, 48.83; H, 5.01; N, 4.22. Found: C, 48.70; H, 4.79; N, 4.13.

Synthesis of *fac*-[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₃Cl(bpy)] (6). Method a. To a suspension of **1·K** (40 mg, 0.05 mmol) in acetone (5 mL) was added bpy (28 mg, 0.18 mmol), and the mixture was stirred for 6 h and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated (0.5 mL), and addition of Et_2O (10 mL) gave a suspension, which was filtered, and the solid was washed with Et_2O (5 mL) and air-dried to give **6**. Yield: 43 mg, 85%.

Method b. To a suspension of $[\text{Pt}\{\text{CH}_2\text{C}(\text{O})\text{Me}\}_2(\text{bpy})]$ (205 mg, 0.45 mmol) in acetone (40 mL) was added $[\text{HgCl}\{\text{CH}_2\text{C}(\text{O})\text{Me}\}]$ (150 mg, 0.51 mmol), and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 24 h. The resulting suspension was filtered through Celite, the filtrate was concentrated (3 mL), and Et_2O (20 mL) was added. The suspension was filtered, and the solid was recrystallized from $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{Et}_2\text{O}$ to give **6** as a yellow solid. Yield: 130 mg, 50%.

Method c. To a solution of $[\text{Pt}\{\text{CH}_2\text{C}(\text{O})\text{Me}\}_2(\text{bpy})]$ (93 mg, 0.20 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (7 mL) was added chloroacetone (0.5 mL, 6.20 mmol). The mixture was stirred at 90 °C for 62 h in a Carius tube and, after cooling, filtered through Celite. The filtrate was concentrated (2 mL), and addition of Et_2O (15 mL) gave a suspension that was filtered off, washed with Et_2O (5 mL), and air-dried to give complex **6** as a yellow solid. Yield: 80 mg, 73%. Mp: 192–195 °C. IR (Nujol, cm^{-1}): $\nu(\text{CO})$ 1675, 1636; $\nu(\text{PtCl})$ 273. ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 9.60–9.55 (m, 2 H, bpy), 8.20 (m, 2 H, bpy), 8.10–8.06 (m, 2 H, bpy), 7.74–7.71 (m, 2 H, bpy), 3.62 (H_A , AB system, 2 H, CH_2 trans to bpy, $^2J_{\text{H}_A\text{H}_B} = 8.8$ Hz, $^2J_{\text{H}_A\text{Pt}} = 93$ Hz), 3.56 (H_B , AB system, 2 H, CH_2 trans to bpy, $^2J_{\text{H}_B\text{H}_A} = 8.8$ Hz, $^2J_{\text{H}_B\text{Pt}} = 97$ Hz), 2.57 (s, 3 H, CH_2 trans to Cl, $^2J_{\text{Hpt}} = 91.6$ Hz), 2.27 (s, 3 H, Me trans to bpy), 1.31 (s, 3 H, Me trans to Cl). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (75.45 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 214.2 ($\text{MeC}(\text{O})\text{CH}_2$, $^2J_{\text{Cpt}} = 30$ Hz), 210.0 ($\text{MeC}(\text{O})\text{CH}_2$, $^2J_{\text{Cpt}} = 45.2$ Hz), 155.0 (C, bpy), 149.7 (CH, bpy, $J_{\text{Cpt}} = 15$ Hz), 139.8 (CH, bpy), 127.3 (CH, bpy, $J_{\text{Cpt}} = 17$ Hz), 123.7 (CH, bpy, $J_{\text{Cpt}} = 11$ Hz), 33.7 ($\text{MeC}(\text{O})$ trans to bpy), 31.4 ($\text{MeC}(\text{O})$ trans to Cl), 24.6 (CH_2 trans to Cl, $J_{\text{Cpt}} = 585$ Hz), 19.9 (CH_2 trans to bpy, $^1J_{\text{Cpt}} = 581$ Hz). $^{195}\text{Pt}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (86.18 MHz, CDCl_3): δ -2012 (s). Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{23}\text{ClN}_2\text{O}_3\text{Pt}$: C, 40.90; H, 4.16; N, 5.02. Found: C, 40.63; H, 4.19; N, 5.05. Single crystals of **3** were obtained by slow diffusion of Et_2O into a CH_2Cl_2 solution of **3**.

Synthesis of *fac*-[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₃Cl(phen)] (7). To a suspension of **1·K** (32 mg, 0.04 mmol) in acetone (4 mL) was added phen· H_2O (29 mg, 0.14 mmol), and the mixture was stirred for 6 h and then filtered through Celite. The filtrate was concentrated (1 mL), Et_2O (10 mL) was added, and the resulting suspension was stirred in a water/ice bath. The suspension was filtered, and the solid was washed with Et_2O (5 mL) and air-dried to give **7** as a yellow solid. Yield: 32 mg, 75%. Mp: 167 °C. IR (Nujol, cm^{-1}): $\nu(\text{CO})$ 1669, $\nu(\text{PtCl})$ 265. ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 9.89 (dd, 2 H, CH, phen, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 5.2$ Hz, $^4J_{\text{HH}} = 1.3$ Hz), 8.55 (dd, 2 H, CH, phen, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.1$ Hz, $^4J_{\text{HH}} = 1.3$ Hz), 8.03 (dd, 2 H, CH, phen, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.1$ Hz, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 5.2$ Hz), 8.01 (s, 2 H, CH, phen), 3.75 (H_A , AB system, 2 H, CH_2 trans to phen, $^2J_{\text{H}_A\text{H}_B} = 8.5$ Hz, $^2J_{\text{H}_A\text{Pt}} = 92.7$ Hz), 3.69 (H_B , AB system, 2 H, CH_2 , $^2J_{\text{H}_A\text{H}_B} = 8.5$ Hz, $^2J_{\text{H}_B\text{Pt}} = 98.2$ Hz), 2.66 (s, 2 H, CH_2 trans to Cl, $^2J_{\text{Hpt}} = 92.1$ Hz), 2.33 (s, 6 H, Me trans to phen), 1.08 (s, 3 H, Me trans to Cl). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (100.81 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 214 (CO trans to phen, $^2J_{\text{Cpt}} = 33$ Hz), 210.0 (CO trans to Cl, $^2J_{\text{Cpt}} = 31$ Hz), 150.1 (CH, phen, $J_{\text{Cpt}} = 15$ Hz), 146.2 (C, phen), 138.8 (CH, phen), 131.1 (C, phen, $J_{\text{Cpt}} = 10$ Hz), 127.7 (CH, phen), 125.9 (CH, phen, $J_{\text{Cpt}} = 17$ Hz), 33.8 (Me trans to phen), 31.2 (Me trans to Cl), 24.4 (CH_2 trans to Cl, $^1J_{\text{Cpt}} = 584$ Hz), 19.9 (CH_2 trans to phen, $^1J_{\text{Cpt}} = 586$ Hz). $^{195}\text{Pt}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (86.18 MHz, CDCl_3): δ -2017. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{21}\text{H}_{23}\text{ClN}_2\text{O}_3\text{Pt}$: C, 43.34; H, 3.98; N, 4.81. Found: C, 42.85; H, 3.94; N, 4.78.

Synthesis of *fac*-[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₃(CN^{*i*}Bu)(bpy)]OTf (8). To a suspension of complex **6** (61.6 mg, 0.11 mmol) in acetone (5 mL) were added TiOTf (40 mg, 0.11 mmol) and $^1\text{BuNC}$ (12.5 μL , 0.11 mmol). The reaction mixture was concentrated to dryness, and the residue was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL) and filtered through Celite. The filtrate was concentrated (3 mL), and Et_2O (10 mL) was added. The resulting oil was stirred for 1 h at 0 °C, and the resulting solid was filtered, washed with Et_2O (5 mL), and air-dried to give **8** as a yellow solid. Yield: 54 mg, 65%. Mp: 122 °C. Λ_M (acetone, 4.9×10^{-4} mol L^{-1}): 124 $\Omega^{-1} \text{cm}^2 \text{mol}^{-1}$. IR (Nujol, cm^{-1}): $\nu(\text{CN})$ 2232, $\nu(\text{CO})$ 1661. ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 8.95 (m, 4 H, CH, bpy), 8.39 (m, 2 H, CH, bpy), 7.87 (m, 2 H, CH, bpy), 3.34 (H_A , AB system, 2 H, CH_2 trans to bpy, $^1J_{\text{HH}} = 12$ Hz, $^2J_{\text{Hpt}} = 101$ Hz), 3.19 (H_B , AB system, 2 H, CH_2 trans to bpy, $^1J_{\text{HH}} = 12$ Hz, $^2J_{\text{Hpt}} = 59$ Hz), 2.36 (s, 6 H, $\text{MeC}(\text{O})$ trans to bpy), 2.23 (s, 2 H, CH_2 trans to $^1\text{BuNC}$, $^2J_{\text{Hpt}} = 77.4$ Hz), 1.26 (s, 9 H, ^1Bu), 1.24 (s, 3 H, $\text{MeC}(\text{O})$ trans to $^1\text{BuNC}$). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (100.81 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 211.1 (CO trans to bpy, $^2J_{\text{Cpt}} = 35.3$ Hz), 210.4 (CO trans to $^1\text{BuNC}$, $^2J_{\text{Cpt}} = 34.7$ Hz), 154.8 (C, bpy), 148.8 (CH, bpy, $J_{\text{Cpt}} = 14.3$ Hz), 142.1 (CH, bpy), 128.5 (CH, bpy, $J_{\text{Cpt}} = 15.9$ Hz), 126.5 (CH, bpy, $J_{\text{Cpt}} = 10.0$ Hz), 59.6 (CMe_3), 32.1 ($\text{MeC}(\text{O})$ trans to $^1\text{BuNC}$), 31.3 ($\text{MeC}(\text{O})$ trans to bpy, $^3J_{\text{Cpt}} = 9.5$ Hz), 29.3 (CH_2 trans to $^1\text{BuNC}$, $^1J_{\text{Cpt}} = 482$ Hz), 24.5 (CH_2 trans to bpy, $^1J_{\text{Cpt}} = 595$ Hz). $^{195}\text{Pt}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (86.18 MHz, CDCl_3): δ -2670. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{25}\text{H}_{32}\text{F}_3\text{N}_3\text{O}_6\text{PtS}$: C, 39.79; H, 4.27; N, 5.57; S, 4.25. Found: C, 39.66; H, 4.49; N, 5.62; S, 4.28. Single crystals of **8** were obtained by slow diffusion of Et_2O into an acetone solution of **8**.

Synthesis of *mer*-[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₃Cl₃(bpy)] (9). To a suspension of $[\text{Pt}\{\text{CH}_2\text{C}(\text{O})\text{Me}\}_2\text{Cl}(\text{bpy})]$ (57 mg, 0.13 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (3 mL) was added PhICl_2 (40 mg, 0.15 mmol), and the resulting yellow suspension was filtered through Celite. The filtrate was concentrated (2 mL), Et_2O (10 mL) was added, and the suspension was filtered off. The solid was washed with Et_2O (5 mL) and air-dried to give **9** as a yellow solid. Yield: 46 mg, 70%. Mp: 131–134 °C. IR (Nujol, cm^{-1}): $\nu(\text{CO})$ 1673, $\nu(\text{PtCl})$ 347. ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 9.81 (m, 1 H, CH, bpy, $^3J_{\text{Hpt}} = 30$ Hz), 9.63 (m, 1 H, CH, bpy), 8.20–8.25 (m, 2 H, CH, bpy), 7.84–7.88 (m, 2 H, CH, bpy), 4.39 (s, 2 H, CH_2 , $^2J_{\text{Hpt}} = 85$ Hz), 2.34 (s, 3 H, Me). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (100.81 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 214.2 (CO), 155.4 (C, bpy), 153.0 (C, bpy), 151.6 (CH, bpy), 147.9 (CH, bpy), 141.2 (CH, bpy), 140.7 (CH, bpy), 129.0 (CH, bpy, $J_{\text{Cpt}} = 31$ Hz), 128.2

(CH, bpy, $J_{\text{CPt}} = 13$ Hz), 124.1 (CH, bpy, $J_{\text{CPt}} = 21$ Hz), 123.6 (CH, bpy), 32.6 (Me), 26.0 (CH_2 , $^1J_{\text{CPt}} = 458.7$ Hz). $^{195}\text{Pt}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (86.18 MHz, CDCl_3): δ -937 (br). Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{13}\text{Cl}_3\text{N}_2\text{OPt}$: C, 30.34; H, 2.55; N, 5.44. Found: C, 30.23; H, 2.46; N, 5.43. Single crystals of **9** were obtained by slow diffusion of Et_2O into a CH_2Cl_2 solution of **9**.

Synthesis of [OC-6-43]-[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₂(Me)I(bpy)] (11**).** To a solution of [Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₂(bpy)] (80 mg, 0.17 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (2 mL) was added MeI (21.5 μL , 0.35 mmol). After 14 h the solution was concentrated (0.5 mL) and cooled for 1 h in a water/ice bath. The suspension was filtered and the solid washed with Et_2O (2 mL) and air-dried to give **11** as a pale yellow solid. Yield: 30 mg, 30%. A second crop of **11** was obtained by addition of Et_2O (10 mL) to the filtrate, but it contained traces of **12** as an impurity. Mp: 153 °C. IR (cm^{-1}): $\nu(\text{CO})$ 1667. ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 9.78 (m, 2 H, CH(6), bpy), 8.21 (m, 2 H, CH(3), bpy), 8.08 (m, 2 H, CH(4), bpy), 7.71 (m, 2 H, CH(5), bpy), 3.71 (H_A , AB system, 2 H, CH_2 , $^1J_{\text{H}_\text{A}\text{H}_\text{B}} = 8.6$ Hz, $^1J_{\text{H}_\text{A}\text{Pt}} = 101$ Hz), 3.42 (H_B , AB system, 2 H, CH_2 , $^1J_{\text{H}_\text{A}\text{H}_\text{B}} = 8.6$ Hz, $^1J_{\text{H}_\text{B}\text{Pt}} = 98$ Hz), 2.32 (s, 6 H, MeC(O)), 0.87 (s, 3 H, Me, $^1J_{\text{HPt}} = 66$ Hz). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (100.8 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 215.2 (CO), 154.5 (C, bpy), 150.4 (CH(6), bpy, $^2J_{\text{CPt}} = 17$ Hz), 139.2 (CH(4), bpy), 127.5 (CH(5), bpy, $^3J_{\text{CPt}} = 17$ Hz), 123.4 (CH(3), bpy, $^3J_{\text{CPt}} = 11$ Hz), 33.3 (MeC(O)), 17.9 (CH_2 , $^1J_{\text{CPt}} = 593$ Hz), 8.7 (Me, $^1J_{\text{CPt}} = 588$ Hz). $^{195}\text{Pt}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (86.18 MHz, CDCl_3): δ -2491. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{21}\text{IN}_2\text{O}_2\text{Pt}$: C, 33.62; H, 3.49; N, 4.61. Found: C, 33.40; H, 3.40; N, 4.69.

Synthesis of [OC-6-34]-[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₂(Me)I(bpy)] (12**).** A solution of **11** (105 mg, 0.17 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL) was heated at 70 °C for 24 h and then filtered through Celite. The filtrate was concentrated (ca. 1 mL), Et_2O (15 mL) was slowly added, and the resulting suspension was filtered off. The solid was air-dried to give **12** as a yellow solid. Yield: 70 mg, 70%. Mp: 144–147 °C. IR (Nujol, cm^{-1}): $\nu(\text{CO})$ 1665. ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 9.41 (m, 1 H, H6, py trans to Me, $^3J_{\text{HPt}} = 6.8$ Hz), 8.87 (m, 1 H, H6, py trans to acetonyl, $^3J_{\text{HPt}} = 18.1$ Hz), 8.24 (m, 2 H, H3 py trans to acetonyl and H3 py trans to Me), 8.11–8.05 (m, 2 H, H4 py trans to acetonyl and H4 py trans to Me), 7.71–7.64 (m, 2 H, H5 py trans to acetonyl and H5 py trans to Me), 3.62 (H_A , AB system, 2 H, CH_2 trans to bpy, $^1J_{\text{HH}} = 7.6$ Hz, $^2J_{\text{HPt}} = 104$ Hz), 3.30 (H_B , AB system, 2 H, CH_2 trans to bpy, $^1J_{\text{HH}} = 7.6$ Hz, $^2J_{\text{HPt}} = 96$ Hz), 2.67 (H_A , AB system, 2 H, CH_2 trans to I, $^1J_{\text{HH}} = 6.8$ Hz, $^2J_{\text{HPt}} = 93$ Hz), 2.60 (H_B , AB system, 2 H, CH_2 trans to I, $^1J_{\text{HH}} = 6.8$ Hz, $^2J_{\text{HPt}} = 93$ Hz), 2.31 (s, 3 H, MeC(O) trans to bpy), 1.88 (s, 3 H, Me, $^2J_{\text{HPt}} = 67.8$ Hz), 1.39 (s, 3 H, MeC(O) trans to I). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (100.8 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 215.3 (CO, $^2J_{\text{CPt}} = 33$ Hz), 209.3 (CO, $^2J_{\text{CPt}} = 48$ Hz), 155.1 (C, bpy), 154.7 (C, bpy), 149.2 (C6, py trans to Me, $^2J_{\text{CPt}} = 12$ Hz), 147.0 (C6, py trans to acetonyl, $^2J_{\text{CPt}} = 14$ Hz), 139.5 (C4 py trans to Me or acetonyl), 139.2 (C4 py trans to Me or acetonyl), 127.1 (C5 py trans to Me or acetonyl, $^3J_{\text{CPt}} = 14$ Hz), 126.6 (C5 py trans to Me or acetonyl, $^3J_{\text{CPt}} = 18$ Hz), 124.0 (C3 py trans to Me or acetonyl, $^3J_{\text{CPt}} = 11.5$ Hz), 123.6 (C3 py trans to Me or acetonyl, $^3J_{\text{CPt}} = 9.2$ Hz), 33.7 (CH_2 trans to I, $^1J_{\text{CPt}} = 550.1$ Hz), 32.6 (MeC(O) trans to N), 31.4 (MeC(O) trans to I), 19.1 (CH_2 trans to N, $^1J_{\text{CPt}} = 540.7$ Hz), -4.05 (Me, $^1J_{\text{CPt}} = 597$ Hz). $^{195}\text{Pt}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (86.18 MHz, CDCl_3): δ -2692. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{21}\text{IN}_2\text{O}_2\text{Pt}$: C, 33.62; H, 3.49; N, 4.61. Found: C, 33.92; H, 3.50; N, 4.58.

Synthesis of [OC-6-33]-[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₂{CH₂C(O)Ph}Br(bpy)] (13**).** To a solution of [Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₂(bpy)] (123 mg, 0.26 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (4 mL) was added $\text{BrCH}_2\text{C(O)Ph}$ (105 mg, 0.53 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 32 h and then filtered through Celite. The filtrate was concentrated (ca. 1 mL), and Et_2O (10 mL) was slowly added to give a suspension, which was filtered off. The yellow solid was air-dried and recrystallized in $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{Et}_2\text{O}$. Yield: 131 mg, 75%. Mp: 173–175 °C. IR (Nujol, cm^{-1}): $\nu(\text{CO})$ 1667, 1645. ^1H NMR (300 MHz,

CDCl_3): δ 9.49–9.43 (m, 2 H, CH, bpy), 7.91–7.86 (m, 2 H, CH, bpy), 7.71–7.68 (m, 2 H, CH, bpy), 7.65–7.61 (m, 2 H, CH, bpy), 7.22–7.17 (m, 1 H, Ph), 6.84–6.82 (m, 4 H, Ph), 3.72 (H_A , AB system, 2 H, MeC(O) CH_2 , $^1J_{\text{HH}} = 8.2$ Hz, $^2J_{\text{HPt}} = 94.1$ Hz), 3.69 (H_B , AB system, 2 H, MeC(O) CH_2 , $^1J_{\text{HH}} = 8.2$ Hz, $^2J_{\text{HPt}} = 98.6$ Hz), 3.20 (s, 2 H, PhC(O) CH_2 , $^2J_{\text{HPt}} = 91.2$ Hz), 2.33 (s, 6 H, Me). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (75.45 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 214.6 (MeC(O) CH_2 , $^2J_{\text{CPt}} = 31$ Hz), 200.9 (PhC(O) CH_2 , $^2J_{\text{CPt}} = 48$ Hz), 154.8 (C, bpy, $J_{\text{CPt}} = 5$ Hz), 149.5 (CH, bpy, $J_{\text{CPt}} = 14$ Hz), 139.2 (CH, bpy), 137.8 (Ph), 131.9 (Ph), 127.7 (Ph), 127.2 (CH, bpy, $J_{\text{CPt}} = 17$ Hz), 126.6 (Ph), 123.0 (CH, bpy, $J_{\text{CPt}} = 11$ Hz), 33.8 (Me), 21.3 (PhC(O) CH_2 , $^1J_{\text{CPt}} = 568$ Hz), 19.6 (MeC(O) CH_2 , $^1J_{\text{CPt}} = 574$ Hz). $^{195}\text{Pt}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (86.18 MHz, CDCl_3): δ -2187. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{25}\text{BrN}_2\text{O}_3\text{Pt}$: C, 43.38; H, 3.79; N, 4.22. Found: C, 43.21; H, 3.80; N, 4.20.

X-ray Structure Determinations. Crystals were mounted in inert oil on glass fibers and transferred to the cold gas stream of the diffractometer (Bruker Smart Apex CCD for **1**·C, **6**, and **8** and Siemens P4 for **9**). Data were collected using monochromated Mo K α radiation in the ω mode. Absorption corrections were based on multi scans (program SADABS) for compounds **1**·C, **6**, and **8** and on ψ scans for compound **9**. The structures were refined anisotropically using the program SHELXL-97 (G. M. Sheldrick, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany). Hydrogen atoms were included using rigid methyl groups or a riding model. Special features of refinement are as follows. **1**·C: half of the crown ether is disordered over two sites, ca. 0.57:0.43. The closeness of some atoms in the two disordered components caused convergence to be slow.

Crystallographic data for complexes **1**·C, **6**, **8**, and **9** are given in Table 1.


Results and Discussion

Reactivity of [Hg{CH₂C(O)Me}₂] toward K[PtCl₃(C₂H₄)].
Synthesis of Q[Pt₂{CH₂C(O)Me}₆(μ -Cl)₃] (Q = K (1**·K), K(18-C-6) (**1**·C)) and cis-(Me₄N)[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₂Cl₂(η^2 -CH₂=CH₂)] (**2**·NMe₄).** The attempts to transmetalate an acetonyl group from [Hg{CH₂C(O)Me}₂] to Pt(II) by reacting it with (NMe₄)₂[PtCl₄], [K(18-C-6)]₂[PtCl₄], [PtCl₂(PhCN)₂], or (NMe₄)₂[Pt₂Cl₆] under different reaction conditions failed, since only complex mixtures formed or no reaction took place. However, [Hg{CH₂C(O)Me}₂] and K[PtCl₃(C₂H₄)] react at room temperature in a 2:1 molar ratio to give a gray precipitate, from which K[Pt₂{CH₂C(O)Me}₆(μ -Cl)₃] (**1**·K) was isolated as a colorless and poorly soluble compound after washing with CH_2Cl_2 , to separate the other reaction product [Hg{CH₂C(O)Me}₂Cl], and extracting with hot MeCN, to remove elemental mercury. Using different solvents and conditions, **1**·K always crystallized as small crystals not suitable for a single-crystal X-ray diffraction study. In similar cases, it proved very convenient to try to solve the structure using X-ray powder diffraction (XRPD).¹⁷ With the aid of ab initio XRPD methods, the structure of complex **1**·K was eventually solved (Scheme 1).¹⁰ Incidentally, we originally thought of a cubane [Pt₄{CH₂C(O)Me}₁₂(μ_3 -Cl)₄] species, in agreement with its reactivity toward bpy (it gives [Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₃Cl(bpy)] the single-crystal structure of which was determined; see below), elemental

(17) Masciocchi, N.; Sironi, A. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1997**, 4643. Masciocchi, N.; Ragaini, F.; Sironi, A. *Organometallics* **2002**, *21*, 3489. Vicente, J.; Gil-Rubio, J.; Bautista, D. *Inorg. Chem.* **2001**, *40*, 2636. Vicente, J.; Gil-Rubio, J.; Bautista, D.; Sironi, A.; Masciocchi, N. *Inorg. Chem.* **2004**, *43*, 5665.

Table 1. Crystallographic Data for Complexes **1**·C, **6**, **8**, and **9**

	1 ·C	6	8	9
formula	C ₃₀ H ₅₄ Cl ₃ KO ₁₂ Pt ₂	C ₁₉ H ₂₃ ClN ₂ O ₃ Pt	C ₂₅ H ₃₂ F ₃ N ₃ O ₆ PtS	C ₁₃ H ₁₃ Cl ₃ N ₂ OPt
<i>M_r</i>	1142.36	557.93	754.69	514.69
cryst habit	Block	needle	lath	needle
cryst size (mm)	0.45 × 0.28 × 0.17	0.37 × 0.15 × 0.08	0.32 × 0.28 × 0.08	0.22 × 0.16 × 0.06
cryst syst	monoclinic	monoclinic	triclinic	triclinic
space group	<i>P</i> 2 ₁ / <i>n</i>	<i>P</i> 2 ₁ / <i>n</i>	<i>P</i> 1	<i>P</i> 1
cell constants				
<i>a</i> , Å	16.3432(8)	7.7305(5)	10.7533(6)	6.7239(8)
<i>b</i> , Å	13.3360(7)	27.1799(19)	11.5279(6)	10.6002(7)
<i>c</i> , Å	19.0526(9)	9.7153(7)	12.4871(7)	11.6152(11)
α, deg			89.267(2)	92.998(6)
β, deg	103.262(2)	111.032(1)	72.360(2)	105.748(9)
γ, deg			78.155(2)	106.808(6)
<i>V</i> (Å ³)	4041.8(3)	19.05.3(2)	1441.71(14)	755.03(13)
<i>Z</i>	4	4	2	2
λ (Å)	0.710 73	0.710 73	0.710 73	0.710 73
ρ(calcd) (Mg m ⁻³)	1.877	1.945	1.738	2.264
μ (mm ⁻¹)	7.269	7.526	5.001	9.818
<i>F</i> (000)	2224	1080	744	484
<i>T</i> (K)	100	100	100	173
2θ _{max} (deg)	26.37	27.10	26.37	24.99
no. of rflns measd	43 594	20 958	16 047	5282
no. of indep rflns	8270	4167	5786	2641
transmissions	0.3713–0.1384	0.5843–0.1672	0.6904–0.2975	0.9578–0.3865
<i>R</i> _{int}	0.0271	0.0283	0.0350	0.0193
no. of restraints/params	0/434	6/238	263/358	0/182
<i>R</i> _w (<i>F</i> ² , all rflns)	0.0537	0.0509	0.0713	0.0585
<i>R</i> (<i>F</i> , > 4σ(<i>F</i>))	0.0217	0.0224	0.0303	0.0237
<i>S</i>	1.055	1.187	1.134	0.996
max Δρ (e Å ⁻³)	1.341	1.391	2.478	1.433

Scheme 1

analyses, NMR, IR and ESI MS data, and in analogy with most known Pt^{IV}(alkyl) complexes [Pt₄R₁₂X₄] (R = Me, Et, X = halogen).¹⁸

The reaction between **1**·K and 18-crown-6 (18-C-6) (1:1.5 molar ratio) gives [K(18-C-6)][Pt₂{CH₂C(O)Me}₆(μ-Cl)₃] (**1**·


C), which, unlike **1**·K, is soluble in most common organic solvents. A single-crystal X-ray diffraction study of **1**·C shows the anion to be the same as that in **1**·K and thus proves the suitability of the previous X-ray powder diffraction study.¹⁰

The formation of **1**·K involves both the transmetalation of the acetonyl group and the oxidation of Pt(II) to Pt(IV). A study using different molar ratios and reaction times was carried out in order to elucidate the mechanism of this process. The 1:1 reaction was followed by ¹H NMR in *d*₆-acetone. After 15 min, the spectrum of the reaction mixture showed the presence of [PtCl₃(C₂H₄)]⁻, [Hg{CH₂C(O)Me}Cl], traces of **1**·K, and the platinum complex **2**·K, containing the acetonyl group and ethylene. The presence of [Hg{CH₂C(O)Me}₂] could not be detected, since the resonances of the methylene and methyl protons overlap with those of [PtCl₃(C₂H₄)]⁻ and *d*₆-acetone, respectively. After 30 min, the spectrum showed the presence of **2**·K and [Hg{CH₂C(O)Me}Cl] and only traces of [PtCl₃(C₂H₄)]⁻ and **1**·K. The complex **2**·K could not be separated from the other reaction product [Hg{CH₂C(O)Me}Cl] when the reaction was repeated on a preparative scale. In similar circumstances, we have solved this problem by adding an excess of (Me₄N)Cl to the reaction mixture.¹⁹ The use of this reagent has a double purpose: (i) to symmetrize^{12,20} [Hg{CH₂C(O)Me}Cl], allowing us to recover half of [Hg{CH₂C(O)Me}₂] (Scheme 1) and work with a [Pt]:[Hg] = 1:0.5 molar ratio, and (ii) to produce [HgCl₃]⁻ salts that can be separated, along with the excess of (Me₄N)Cl, from the reaction mixture, because both are insoluble in CH₂Cl₂. This transmetalation/symmetrization

(18) Allmann, R.; Kucharczyk, D. Z. *Kristallogr.* **1983**, *165*, 227. Donath, H.; Avtomonov, E. V.; Sarraje, I.; Vondahlen, K. H.; Elessawi, M.; Lorberth, J.; Seo, B. S. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1998**, *559*, 191. Ebert, K. H.; Massa, W.; Donath, H.; Lorberth, J.; Seo, B. S.; Herdtweck, E. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1998**, *559*, 203. Hargreaves, R. N.; Truter, M. R. *J. Chem. Soc. A* **1971**, 90. Massa, W.; Baum, G.; Seo, B. S.; Lorberth, J. *Organomet. Chem.* **1988**, *352*, 415. Rundle, R. E.; Sturdivant, J. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1947**, *69*, 1561.

(19) Vicente, J.; Chicote, M. T.; Bermúdez, M. D.; Solans, X.; Font-Altaba, M. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1984**, 557. Vicente, J.; Chicote, M. T.; Martin, J.; Jones, P. G.; Fittschen, C.; Sheldrick, G. M. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1986**, 2215. Vicente, J.; Arcas, A.; Jones, P. G.; Lautner, J. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1990**, 451. Vicente, J.; Abad, J. A.; Lahoz, F. J.; Plou, F. J. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1990**, 1459. Vicente, J.; Arcas, A.; Chicote, M. T. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1983**, *252*, 257. Vicente, J.; Arcas, A.; Gálvez-López, M. D.; Jones, P. G. *Organometallics* **2004**, *23*, 3521.

(20) Vicente, J.; Abad, J. A.; Shaw, K. F.; Gil-Rubio, J.; Ramírez de Arellano, M. C.; Jones, P. G. *Organometallics* **1997**, *16*, 4557.



method gives the first anionic ketonyl Pt(II) complex, *cis*-(Me₄N)[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₂Cl₂(η²-CH₂=CH₂)] (**2·NMe₄**), the spectroscopic data of which agree with those of **2·K**. Therefore, we propose that the first step in the synthesis of **1·K** is a transmetalation reaction giving K[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₂Cl₂(η²-CH₂=CH₂)] (**2·K**; Scheme 1).

The 1:2 reaction between K[PtCl₃(η²-CH₂=CH₂)] and [Hg{CH₂C(O)Me}₂] in *d*₆-acetone was also followed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. The initial formation of **2·K** was observed, but its concentration decreased while the amount of **1·K** and free CH₂=CH₂ increased. No other species were detected at room temperature or below (−10 °C). We propose that, because [Hg{CH₂C(O)Me}₂Cl] and **2·K** were in contact in the 1:1 reaction and only traces of **1·K** were observed, this complex results from the reaction of **2·K** with [Hg{CH₂C(O)Me}₂] through a redox transmetalation reaction (Scheme 1). Alternatively, a normal transmetalation reaction could lead to an unobserved Pt(II) intermediate, K[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₂Cl₂(η²-CH₂=CH₂)] or K₂[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₂(μ-Cl)]₂, which would rapidly react with the other reaction product, [Hg{CH₂C(O)Me}₂Cl], to give **1·K** and Hg through a redox transmetalation reaction. The Hg formed is responsible for the gray color of the initial precipitate obtained in the reaction. We have confirmed that the complex **2·NMe₄** reacts with [Hg{CH₂C(O)Me}₂] to give Hg and **1·NMe₄**.

Reactivity of 1·K. The complex **1·K** is a suitable precursor for the synthesis of tris(acetonyl) Pt(IV) complexes. With neutral or anionic ligands in organic solvents, the reactions take place with bridge splitting and precipitation of KCl. Thus, **1·K** reacts with (PPN)Cl to give *fac*-(PPN)₂[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₃Cl₃] (**3**; Scheme 2). A slight excess of **1·K** over the stoichiometric amount is recommended, because such an excess can be removed, due to the insolubility of **1·K** in the reaction solvent (CH₂Cl₂), while unreacted (PPN)Cl would contaminate **3** after addition of the precipitating solvent (Et₂O). The reaction of a suspension of **1·K** in acetone with an excess of RNC or N^N,

followed by removal of KCl, gives the neutral complex *fac*-[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₃Cl(CNR)₂] (R = ^tBu (**4**), Xy (**5**)) or *fac*-[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₃Cl(N^N)] (N^N = bpy (**6**), phen (**7**)), respectively. Complexes **4** and **5** are very soluble in organic solvents, even in *n*-pentane. To isolate them, it was necessary to evaporate the solvent, to wash the residue with the minimum volume of *n*-pentane to remove the excess isocyanide, and to maintain the residue under vacuum for 24 h. In this way, **4** or **5** was obtained as a pale yellow solid or oil, respectively. The reaction of **6** with TiOTf and ^tBuNC in a 1:1:1 molar ratio gives *fac*-[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₃(CN^tBu)(bpy)]OTf (**8**; Scheme 2). The analogous reaction of **7** and XyNC led to the formation of [Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₃(CNXy)(phen)]OTf, which could not be isolated analytically pure; however, its ¹H NMR spectrum is in agreement with a structure similar to that proposed for **8**.


Oxidative Addition Reactions. One of the most widely used methods for the synthesis of Pt(IV) complexes is the oxidative addition of halogens or alkyl/acyl halides to Pt(II) derivatives.²¹ Despite the fact that the reactivity of alkyl platinum(II) complexes with alkyl halides has been widely studied, only two examples of oxidative addition of an haloketone to a platinum(II) complex to give a ketonyl Pt(IV) complex have been reported.^{5,7} Both are monoacetonyl derivatives, and only one is an acetonyl complex.⁷ This moved us to apply the oxidative addition reaction as a method to synthesize mono-, bis-, and tris(ketonyl) Pt(IV) complexes by reacting our complexes² [Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₂(bpy)] and [Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}Cl(bpy)] with different substrates (PhICl₂,¹⁶ as a solid surrogate of chlorine, MeI, or haloketones). Thus, the reaction between [Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}Cl(bpy)] and PhICl₂ gives the Pt(IV) complex *mer*-[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}Cl₃(bpy)] (**9**; Scheme 3). PhICl₂ must be added in small excess (1:1.1) to ensure the total conversion of the Pt(II) complex. The reaction of [Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₂(bpy)] with PhICl₂ in a 1:1.2 molar ratio gives the product of the trans addition of two chloro ligands: [OC-6-13]-[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₂Cl₂(bpy)] (**10**). However, the product could not be obtained in analytically pure form, even after several recrystallizations. Although several isomers are possible, the IR and NMR spectra agree with the proposed structure (see Scheme 3 and the Supporting Information). We have recently reported the use of PhICl₂ to oxidize Pt(II) to Pt(IV) complexes.²²

The reaction of [Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₂(bpy)] with MeI at room temperature yields the complex resulting from trans addition, [OC-6-43]-[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₂(Me)I(bpy)] (**11**). This complex slowly isomerizes in solution at room temperature to [OC-6-34]-[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₂(Me)I(bpy)] (**12**). On a preparative scale, complex **12** was obtained by warming a solution of **11** in CH₂Cl₂ at 70 °C in a Carius tube for 12 h. Similarly, [Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₂(bpy)] reacts with PhC(O)CH₂Br at room temperature in CDCl₃ to give the first mixed ketonylmethyl complex, [OC-6-33]-[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₂{CH₂C(O)Ph}Br(bpy)] (**13**). In solution, complex **13** evolves to a mixture of complexes that could not be separated. The complex **6** described above can also be obtained by reacting [Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₂(bpy)] with MeC(O)-CH₂Cl (90 °C in CH₂Cl₂) or [Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₂(bpy)] with [Hg{CH₂C(O)Me}Cl] in refluxing acetone. The latter takes place with formation of Hg(0), and the product is obtained with traces of the starting material that can be separated by recrystallization.

(21) Anderson, G. K. *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II*; Pergamon Press: New York, 1995; Vol. 9, p 431. Hartley, F. R. *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry*; Pergamon Press: Oxford, U.K., 1982; Vol. 6, p 471. Rendina, L. M.; Puddephatt, R. J. *Chem. Rev.* **1997**, 97, 1735.

(22) Vicente, J.; Chicote, M. T.; Guerrero, R.; Vicente-Hernández, I.; Jones, P. G.; Bautista, D. *Inorg. Chem.* **2006**, 45, 5201.

Scheme 3



Crystal Structures. The crystal structure of **1**·**K** was solved using ab initio X-ray powder diffraction (XRPD) methods,¹⁰ and those of **1**·**C** (Figure 1), **6** (Figure 2), **8** (Figure 3), and **9** (Figure 4) were solved by single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies. For complex **13**, the atom connectivity shown in Scheme 3 was established using crystals apparently suitable for an X-ray crystallographic study. However, repeated attempts and data collection at low temperature did not give satisfactory refinement of the structure. All of the structures show the platinum atom in a distorted-octahedral environment. The structures of the anion in complexes **1**·**C** and **1**·**K**¹⁰ are analogous: two octahedra with a common face containing the three bridging chloro ligands (Figure 1) similar to what is observed in the Pt(II) complex $[\text{Pt}_2\{\eta^4\text{-C}_4\text{Pr}_4\}_2(\mu\text{-Cl})_3]^+{}^{23}$ and in the well-known isoelectronic $[\text{Re}_2(\mu\text{-Cl})_3(\text{CO})_6]^-$.²⁴ The six Pt–C bond distances in **1**·**C** are not significantly different (range 2.075(3)–2.062(4) Å), while the Pt(1)–Cl bond lengths are in a wider range (2.4762(8)–2.5099(8) Å). Due to the intrinsic low precision of power diffraction, the corresponding values found in **1**·**K** (Pt–C = 2.20(2) Å and PtCl = 2.533(7) Å) are barely comparable.¹⁰ In **1**·**C**, a hexagonal-bipyramidal environment for K is reached with the oxygen atoms of 18-C-6 in equatorial positions and two oxygen atoms of two acetonil ligands of different anions in axial positions; this results in the formation of a chain (Figure 5). The K cations adopt two different dispositions: K(1), with




Figure 1. Ellipsoid representation of the anion of **1**·**C** (50% probability). Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Pt(1)–C(1) = 2.062(3), Pt(1)–C(4) = 2.064(3), Pt(1)–C(7) = 2.072(3), Pt(1)–Cl(1) = 2.4838(8), Pt(1)–Cl(3) = 2.4961(8), Pt(1)–Cl(2) = 2.5099(8), Pt(2)–C(10) = 2.073(4), Pt(2)–C(13) = 2.075(3), Pt(2)–C(16) = 2.062(4), Pt(2)–Cl(1) = 2.4762(8), Pt(2)–Cl(3) = 2.4851(8), Pt(2)–Cl(2) = 2.5010(8), O(1)–C(2) = 1.211(5), O(2)–C(5) = 1.217(4), O(3)–C(8) = 1.211(4), O(4)–C(11) = 1.232(5), O(5)–C(14) = 1.219(5), O(6)–C(17) = 1.223(5), C(1)–C(2) = 1.488(5), C(2)–C(3) = 1.499(6), C(4)–C(5) = 1.498(5), C(5)–C(6) = 1.511(5), C(7)–C(8) = 1.498(5), C(8)–C(9) = 1.501(5), C(10)–C(11) = 1.488(6), C(11)–C(12) = 1.461(6), C(13)–C(14) = 1.480(5), C(14)–C(15) = 1.481(6), C(16)–C(17) = 1.486(6), C(17)–C(18) = 1.497(6); C(1)–Pt(1)–C(4) = 85.79–(14), C(1)–Pt(1)–C(7) = 85.59(14), C(4)–Pt(1)–C(7) = 86.25–(14), C(4)–Pt(1)–Cl(1) = 92.89(11), C(7)–Pt(1)–Cl(1) = 98.80–(10), C(1)–Pt(1)–Cl(3) = 93.59(10), C(4)–Pt(1)–Cl(3) = 99.14(10), Cl(1)–Pt(1)–Cl(3) = 82.19(3), C(1)–Pt(1)–Cl(2) = 100.15(10), C(7)–Pt(1)–Cl(2) = 94.17(10), Cl(1)–Pt(1)–Cl(2) = 81.19(3), Cl(3)–Pt(1)–Cl(2) = 80.59(3), C(16)–Pt(2)–C(10) = 85.95(17), C(16)–Pt(2)–C(13) = 84.92(15), C(10)–Pt(2)–C(13) = 95.36(15), C(16)–Pt(2)–Cl(1) = 93.52(11), C(10)–Pt(2)–Cl(1) = 89.20(11), C(1)–Pt(2)–Cl(3) = 92.58(13), C(13)–Pt(2)–Cl(3) = 99.10(10), Cl(1)–Pt(2)–Cl(3) = 82.56(3), C(16)–Pt(2)–Cl(2) = 99.89(11), C(13)–Pt(2)–Cl(2) = 94.11(11), Cl(1)–Pt(2)–Cl(2) = 81.52(3), Cl(3)–Pt(2)–Cl(2) = 80.97(3).

axial K(1)–O(6) distances of 2.711(3) Å, and K(2), with shorter K(2)–O(1) distances of 2.625(3) Å. Both are shorter than the equatorial distance (2.755(6)–2.841(3) Å). The coordination of the acetonil ligand to K⁺ does not cause the lengthening of the C–O bond distances (1.223(5) and 1.211(5) Å) with respect to those in the terminal acetonil ligands (1.232(5)–1.211(4) Å), and all are within the range observed for complexes **6**, **8**, and **9** (1.228(7)–1.212(5) Å) and for the ketonil platinum(II) complexes reported in the literature (1.25(3)–1.226(12) Å).^{2,25,26}

The oxidation state of the metal has little effect on the Pt–C distances, since the range in **1**·**C**, **6**, **8**, and **9** (2.100(4)–2.063(4) Å) is similar to that found in acetonil platinum(II) compounds (2.116(8)–2.03(4) Å).^{2,25,26} The same effect was observed for methyl platinum(II) and platinum(IV) complexes.²⁷ In **9**, the Pt–Cl bond distance trans to N (2.3073(13) Å) is shorter than the two mutually trans Pt–Cl bond distances (2.3178(14), 2.3124(13) Å). These three distances are shorter

(23) Canziani, F.; Galimberti, F.; Garlaschelli, L.; Malatesta, M. C.; Albinati, A. *Gazz. Chim. Ital.* **1982**, *112*, 323.

(24) Davis, R. L.; Baenziger, N. C. *Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett.* **1977**, *13*, 475.

(25) Falvello, L. R.; Garde, R.; Miqueleiz, E. M.; Tomas, M.; Urriola-beitia, E. P. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1997**, *264*, 297.

(26) Suzuki, Y.; Yagyu, T.; Yamamura, Y.; Mori, A.; Osakada, K. *Organometallics* **2002**, *21*, 5254. Lai, S. W.; Chan, M. C. W.; Cheung, K. K.; Che, C. M. *Inorg. Chem.* **1999**, *38*, 4262.

(27) Kettle, S. F. A. *J. Chem. Soc.* **1965**, 5737.




Figure 2. Ellipsoid representation of **6** (50% probability). Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Pt(1)–C(11) = 2.078(3), Pt(1)–C(14) = 2.090(3), Pt(1)–C(17) = 2.095(3), Pt(1)–N(2) = 2.122(3), Pt(1)–N(1) = 2.135(3), Pt(1)–Cl(1) = 2.4338(8), O(1)–C(12) = 1.218(4), O(2)–C(15) = 1.214(5), O(3)–C(18) = 1.219(4), C(11)–C(12) = 1.498(5), C(12)–C(13) = 1.503(5), C(14)–C(15) = 1.516(5), C(15)–C(16) = 1.512(5), C(17)–C(18) = 1.494(5), C(18)–C(19) = 1.510(5); C(11)–Pt(1)–C(14) = 88.87(13), C(11)–Pt(1)–C(17) = 86.43(13), C(14)–Pt(1)–C(17) = 87.11(13), C(14)–Pt(1)–N(2) = 97.02(12), C(17)–Pt(1)–N(2) = 87.20(12), C(11)–Pt(1)–N(1) = 96.77(12), C(17)–Pt(1)–N(1) = 96.49(11), N(2)–Pt(1)–N(1) = 77.79(10), C(11)–Pt(1)–Cl(1) = 93.89(9), C(14)–Pt(1)–Cl(1) = 92.31(9), N(2)–Pt(1)–Cl(1) = 92.55(8), N(1)–Pt(1)–Cl(1) = 84.06(7).




Figure 3. Ellipsoid representation of **8** (50% probability). Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Pt(1)–C(10) = 2.023(4), Pt(1)–C(7) = 2.069(4), Pt(1)–C(1) = 2.091(4), Pt(1)–C(4) = 2.100(4), Pt(1)–N(1) = 2.127(3), Pt(1)–N(2) = 2.147(3), N(3)–C(10) = 1.145(5), O(1)–C(2) = 1.227(5), O(2)–C(5) = 1.224(5), O(3)–C(8) = 1.212(5), C(1)–C(2) = 1.501(6), C(2)–C(3) = 1.499(6), C(4)–C(5) = 1.478(6), C(5)–C(6) = 1.512(6), C(7)–C(8) = 1.509(6), C(8)–C(9) = 1.499(6); C(10)–Pt(1)–C(7) = 98.63(17), C(10)–Pt(1)–C(1) = 93.10(16), C(7)–Pt(1)–C(1) = 87.50(17), C(7)–Pt(1)–C(4) = 83.03(17), C(1)–Pt(1)–C(4) = 87.33(17), C(10)–Pt(1)–N(1) = 90.10(14), C(7)–Pt(1)–N(1) = 97.99(15), C(4)–Pt(1)–N(1) = 89.29(15), C(10)–Pt(1)–N(2) = 83.80(15), C(1)–Pt(1)–N(2) = 97.15(15), C(4)–Pt(1)–N(2) = 94.51(15), N(1)–Pt(1)–N(2) = 77.18(13).

than those trans to the acetonil ligand in **6** (2.4338(8) Å), showing that the trans influence decreases in the series acetonil > Cl > N-donor ligand. For the same reason, the Pt–N bond trans to Cl (2.042(4) Å) in complex **9** is shorter than that trans to the acetonil group in **6**, **8**, or **9** (2.122(3)–2.147(3) Å). In




Figure 4. Ellipsoid representation of **9** (50% probability). Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Pt(1)–N(2) = 2.042(4), Pt(1)–C(1) = 2.089(6), Pt(1)–N(1) = 2.136(5), Pt(1)–Cl(3) = 2.3073(13), Pt(1)–Cl(2) = 2.3124(13), Pt(1)–Cl(1) = 2.3178(14), O(1)–C(2) = 1.228(7), C(1)–C(2) = 1.479(8), C(2)–C(3) = 1.505(8); N(2)–Pt(1)–C(1) = 96.4(2), N(2)–Pt(1)–N(1) = 78.76(17), C(1)–Pt(1)–Cl(3) = 89.15(16), N(1)–Pt(1)–Cl(3) = 95.97(12), N(2)–Pt(1)–Cl(2) = 87.03(13), C(1)–Pt(1)–Cl(2) = 95.44(16), N(1)–Pt(1)–Cl(2) = 91.82(12), Cl(3)–Pt(1)–Cl(2) = 90.27(5), N(2)–Pt(1)–Cl(1) = 92.42(13), C(1)–Pt(1)–Cl(1) = 85.19(16), N(1)–Pt(1)–Cl(1) = 87.50(12), Cl(3)–Pt(1)–Cl(1) = 90.23(5).




Figure 5. Ellipsoid representation of anions and cations in **1·C** (50% probability). Selected K–O bond lengths (Å): K(1)–O(6) = 2.711(3), K(1)–O_{18-C-6} (range) = 2.755(6)–2.825(9), K(2)–O(1) = 2.625(3), K(2)–O_{18-C-6} (range) = 2.806(2)–2.841(3).

1·C, the PtCl bond distances (2.4762(8)–2.5099(8) Å) are much longer than those in **6** and **9**, mainly because they are bridging. In complexes **6**, **8**, and **9**, the Pt–N bond distance trans to the acetonil group (2.122(3)–2.147(3) Å) is longer than that reported for acetonil platinum(II) complexes (2.082(3), 2.091(4) Å),^{2,25} probably because of the greater π -acceptor character of bpy in acetonil Pt(II) complexes.

Spectroscopic Properties. The ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra of all complexes are in agreement with the structures shown in Schemes 1–3. For complex **10**, these spectra would also agree with a trans arrangement of the acetonil ligands, but we discard this geometry on the basis of the great transphobia²⁸ between

two C-donor ligands.^{28,29} In fact, all Pt(IV) complexes [PtR₂X₂-(L)(L')] (R = C-donor ligand, X = any halogen, L, L' = any ligand, except C-donor) contain the R ligands mutually cis.^{4,30,31} The ¹H NMR spectrum of **12** shows the nonequivalence of the halves of the bpy ligand, and the methylene protons as two AB systems, with ¹⁹⁵Pt satellites. This is only compatible with two geometries having the Me group trans to bpy or to one acetonyl group. The latter can be discarded, because the Me ligand has an NOE effect only with the H6 proton of bpy. This is a new example of the preference of two C-donor ligands to be cis because of their mutual transphobia.^{28,29}

The ¹H NMR spectra of complexes **6–8** and **12** show the Me protons of one acetonyl (1.39–1.08 ppm) shielded by ca. 1 ppm with respect to the others (2.25–2.34 ppm) or to those in complexes **4** and **5** (2.34–2.25 ppm), due to the induced paramagnetic anisotropy of the bpy and phen ligands.

The values of $\delta(^1\text{H})$ and $\delta(^{13}\text{C})$ for the CH₂ nuclei trans to bpy and the corresponding $\delta(^{195}\text{Pt})$ values follow the order (in ppm): **6** (3.62, 3.56; 19.9; –2012) < **10** (3.90; 21.4; –1500) < **9** (4.39; 26.0; –937), due to the successive replacement of an acetonyl group by a Cl ligand. The ¹J_{C_{Pt} value is greater in complexes with the acetonyl ligand trans to Cl (585–568 Hz) or N-donor ligands (593–541 Hz) than to ligands with greater trans influence such as isocyanides (468–459 Hz).}

As expected, the shielding of the platinum nuclei in the Pt(IV) complexes increases as chloro ligands are replaced by acetonyl ligands, **9** (–937 ppm) > **10** (–1500 ppm) > **6** (–2012 ppm), or when, in complexes with three C-donor ligands and one bpy, a chloro ligand is replaced by a bromo ligand, **6** (–2012 ppm) > **13** (–2187 ppm), or an iodo ligand, **11** (–2491 ppm), **12** (–2692 ppm). The significantly greater shielding of **12** with respect to its isomer **11** (Δ = 200 ppm) must be

attributed to the paramagnetic component of the shielding constant, because the greater thermodynamic stability of **12** must be associated with a larger excitation energy. The platinum nucleus in the Pt(II) complex [Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₂(bpy)] (–3351 ppm) is, as expected, more shielded than that in its Pt(IV) derivatives **6** and **10–13** (range –1500 to –2692 ppm). The ¹⁹⁵Pt{¹H} spectrum of complex **4** shows a quintuplet at –3343 ppm due to the coupling with the ¹⁴N nuclei of the equivalent ^tBuNC ligands. Replacement of bpy in **6** (–2012 ppm) or **7** (–2017 ppm) by isocyanide (**4**, –3343 ppm; **5**, –3307 ppm) increases the shielding of the ¹⁹⁵Pt nuclei. This effect has been reported previously.³²

The chloro complexes show band(s) assignable to $\nu(\text{PtCl})$ modes at different wavenumbers depending on the nature of the ligands in trans positions, the coordination mode of the chloro ligand, and the charge of the complex. Thus, in complexes **1**, one (**1·K**, 245 cm^{–1}) or two (**1·C**, 250, 232 cm^{–1}) bands appear in the lower energy region of the spectrum, as expected for bridging chloro ligands trans to C-donor ligands in an anionic complex. In complex **3** an increase in the energy of the $\nu(\text{PtCl})$ absorptions (265, 241(br) cm^{–1}) is observed, due to the terminal nature of the chloro ligands, but the shift is only small because the complex is dianionic. In neutral complexes containing terminal chloro ligands trans to acetonyl (**4**, **6**, and **7**), one band appears in the range 273–265 cm^{–1}, while similar complexes with chloro trans to ligands with low trans influence such as chloro and bpy (**9** and **10**) show $\nu(\text{PtCl})$ absorptions in the range 347–341 cm^{–1}. In *trans*-dichloroplatinum(IV) complexes, characterized by X-ray diffraction studies, one band in the region 344–360 cm^{–1} has been assigned.^{30,33} The cis geometry of **2·NMe₄** is proposed on the basis of the IR spectrum, which shows two bands at 311 and 264 cm^{–1}, assignable to $\nu(\text{PtCl})$ trans to ethylene and the acetonyl group, respectively, in agreement with the assignment made for **3** and the stronger trans influence of the acetonyl ligand with respect to the ethylene ligand.

The number of $\nu(\text{CO})$ bands in the IR spectra of complexes is usually lower than expected. Thus, while the two expected $\nu(\text{CO})$ bands in complexes **1** are observed in **1·C** (1686, 1682 cm^{–1}), both are coincident in **1·K** (1689 cm^{–1}). The other complexes show one or two (only **6**, **13**) bands in the range 1689–1645 cm^{–1}.

Conclusions

We have found that acetonyl Pt(IV) complexes can be prepared (i) by a transmetalation/oxidation reaction using [Hg{CH₂C(O)Me}₂] and K[PtCl₃(C₂H₄)] and (ii) by oxidative addition reactions of PhICl₂, MeI, RX (R = ketonyl, X = Cl, Br), or [Hg{CH₂C(O)Me}Cl] to [Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}Cl(bpy)] or [Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₂(bpy)]. The mechanism of the transmetalation/oxidation reaction has been proved to occur in at least two steps: formation of the anionic acetonyl Pt(II) complex K[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}Cl₂(η^2 -CH₂=CH₂)] and a redox transmetalation reaction leading to metallic Hg and K[Pt₂{CH₂C(O)Me}₆(μ -Cl)₃]. The oxidative addition reactions give mono(acetonyl), the first bis(acetonyl), and new tris(acetonyl) Pt(IV) complexes. The last group, along with K[Pt₂{CH₂C(O)Me}₆(μ -Cl)₃] and its neutral, anionic, and cationic substitution products, are the first tris(ketonyl) complexes of any metal. One of them, [OC-

(28) Vicente, J.; Arcas, A.; Bautista, D.; Jones, P. G. *Organometallics* **1997**, *16*, 2127. Vicente, J.; Abad, J. A.; Frankland, A. D.; Ramírez de Arellano, M. C. *Chem. Eur. J.* **1999**, *5*, 3066. Vicente, J.; Abad, J. A.; Hernández-Mata, F. S.; Jones, P. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 3848. Vicente, J.; Abad, J. A.; Martínez-Viviente, E.; Jones, P. G. *Organometallics* **2002**, *21*, 4454.

(29) Marshall, W. J.; Grushin, V. V. *Organometallics* **2003**, *22*, 555. López, C.; Caubet, A.; Pérez, S.; Solans, X.; Font-Bardía, M. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2003**, *681*, 82. Jalil, M. A.; Fujinami, S.; Nishikawa, H. *Dalton Trans.* **2001**, 1091. Fernandez-Rivas, C.; Cardenas, D. J.; Martin-Matute, B.; Monge, A.; Gutierrez-Puebla, E.; Echavarren, A. M. *Organometallics* **2001**, *20*, 2998. Fernandez, A.; Vazquez Garcia, D.; Fernandez, J. J.; López Torres, M.; Suarez, A.; Castro Juiz, S.; Vila, J. M. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2002**, 2389. Crespo, M.; Granell, J.; Solans, X.; Fontbardia, M. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2003**, *681*, 143. Carbayo, A.; Cuevas, J. Y.; Garcia Herbosa, G.; Garcia Granda, S.; Miguel, D. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2001**, 2361. Amatore, C.; Bahsoun, A. A.; Jutand, A.; Meyer, G.; Ntepe, A. N.; Ricard, L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 4212. Lohner, P.; Pfeffer, M.; Fischer, J. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2000**, *607*, 12. Fernandez, S.; Navarro, R.; Urriolabeitia, E. P. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2000**, *602*, 151. Albert, J.; Bosque, R.; Cadena, J. M.; Granell, J. R.; Muller, G.; Ordinas, J. I. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2000**, *11*, 3335.

(30) Ruiz, J.; López, J. F. J.; Rodríguez, V.; Pérez, J.; Ramírez de Arellano, M. C.; López, G. *Dalton Trans.* **2001**, 2683. Vicente, J.; Chicote, M. T.; Martin, J.; Jones, P. G.; Fittschen, C. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1987**, 881.

(31) Neilsen, W. D.; Larsen, R. D.; Jennings, P. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 3307. Ammendola, P.; Ciajolo, M.; Panunzi, A.; Tuzi, A. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1983**, *254*, 389. Burton, J. T.; Puddephatt, R. J.; Jones, N. L.; Ibers, J. A. *Organometallics* **1983**, *2*, 1487. Gibson, V. C.; Long, N. J.; White, A. J. P.; Williams, C. K.; Williams, D. J. *Organometallics* **2000**, *19*, 4425. Gillard, R. D.; Keeton, M.; Mason, R.; Pilbrow, D. R.; Russell, D. R. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1971**, *33*, 247. Klingler, R. J.; Huffman, J. C.; Kochi, J. K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982**, *104*, 2147. McGinney, J. A. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1973**, *59*, 429. Roat, R. M.; Yolles, S.; Rheingold, A. L. *Inorg. Chem.* **1986**, *25*, 3102. Stewart, F. F.; Neilsen, W. D.; Ekeland, R. E.; Larsen, R. D.; Jennings, P. W. *Organometallics* **1993**, *12*, 4585. Usón, R.; Fornies, J.; Tomas, M.; Cotton, F. A.; Falvello, L. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, *106*, 2482. Usón, R.; Fornies, J.; Menjon, B.; Cotton, F. A.; Falvello, L. R. *Inorg. Chem.* **1985**, *24*, 4651.

(32) Pregosin, P. S. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1982**, *44*, 247.

(33) Shamsuddin, S.; Vanhal, J. W.; Stark, J. L.; Whitmire, K. H.; Khokhar, A. R. *Inorg. Chem.* **1997**, *36*, 5969.

6-33]-[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₂{CH₂C(O)Ph}Br(bpy)], is the first mixed ketonylmetal complex.

Acknowledgment. We thank the Dirección General de Investigación, Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia, and the FEDER for financial support (Grant CTQ2004-05396). J.M.F.-H. acknowledges grants from Fundación Séneca and Fundación Cajamurcia.

Supporting Information Available: Text giving details of the synthesis and spectroscopic properties of [OC-6-13]-[Pt{CH₂C(O)Me}₂-Cl₂(bpy)] (**10**) and CIF files giving crystallographic data for **1•C**, **6**, **8**, and **9**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

OM0605027