View Article Online

ChemComm

Chemical Communications

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: Y. Landais, S. Liu, R. Achou, C. Boulanger, G. G. Pawar, N. Kumar, J. Lusseau and F. Robert, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, DOI: 10.1039/D0CC05226D.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



rsc.li/chemcomm

ChemComm



COMMUNICATION

Copper-Catalyzed Oxidative Benzylic C(sp³)–H Amination. Direct Synthesis of Benzylic Carbamates.

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Shuai Liu, a Raphael Achou, a Coline Boulanger, a Govind Pawar, a Nivesh Kumar, a Jonathan Lusseau, aFrédéricRobert, aandYannickLandais*a

www.rsc.org/

Published on 18 September 2020. Downloaded on 9/19/2020 7:00:09 AM

A new efficient strategy to access benzylic carbamates through C-H activation is reported. The use of a catalytic amount of Cu(I)/diimine ligand in combination with NFSI ((PhSO₂)₂NF) or F-TEDA-PF₆ as oxidants and H₂NCO₂R as an amine source, direct leads to the C-N bond formation at benzylic position. The mild reaction conditions, and broad substrate scope make this transformation a useful method for a late-stage incorporation of the ubiquitous carbamate fragment onto hydrocarbons.

The direct functionalization of the C(sp³)-H bond represents one of the most efficient, atom and step economic strategy to introduce functional groups on a carbon skeleton.¹ The selective C-H activation, however still remains an important challenge to synthetic organic chemists, due to the high bond energy of the C-H bond (BDE ~ 98-100 kcal/mol).² Over the past two decades, organic synthesis has made remarkable advances in this field and achieved direct functionalization of saturated C-H bonds of alkanes, alcohols, ketones, ethers, esters, to construct C-C and C-X bonds (X = N, O, S, etc.).³ Urethanes (carbamates) are an important family of nitrogen compounds that are widespread due to their biological activity,⁴⁻⁷ but also as a repeating unit in polyurethanes, the 6th class of commodity polymers.⁸ In addition, urethanes also play a prominent role as protecting groups for amines and amino acids.9 However, in spite of the many recent developments, the access to the urethane linkage still remains a burgeoning field. Traditional protocols for installing the carbamate group include additions of alcohols to carcinogenic isocyanates, available from acyl azides intermediates or toxic phosgene.¹⁰ Carbon dioxide was also shown to be an attractive alternative to phosgene, as it is non-toxic and widely available.11-13



Figure 1 Reported protocol and present work for urethane synthesis

Several advancements have been made recently to efficiently construct urethanes. Jiao *et al.* thus reported a PdCl₂-catalyzed strategy for synthesis of urethanes, through a three-component process involving azides, CO, and alcohols (Figure 1a).¹⁴ In a similar vein, Jiang's group developed an alkali metal promoted coupling of CO₂, amines and N-tosylhydrazones, which led to a variety of carbamates in a single step.¹⁵ Similar, but greener conditions were later proposed by Chung's group (Figure 1b).¹⁶ Interestingly, Kanai *et al.* devised an original access to N-Boc carbamates through C-H activation in the presence of peroxide and isocyanates (Figure 1c).¹⁷ Finally, Fu and co-workers described a visible-light Cu(I)-catalyzed access to urethanes (Figure 1d).¹⁸ These strategies have their own merits but also limitations, such as expensive catalysts, the use of carcinogenic isocyanates or hazardous peroxides, the need

^{a.} University of Bordeaux, Institute of Molecular sciences (ISM), UMR-CNRS 5255, 351, Cours de la Libération, 33405 Talence Cedex, France.

E-mail : <u>yannick.landais@u-bordeaux.fr</u>

[†] Footnotes relating to the title and/or authors should appear here. Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

COMMUNICATION

pre-functionalized substrates, for and harsh reaction conditions. We thus describe here an alternative strategy relying on a Cu(I)-catalyzed oxidative amination of hydrocarbons benzylic C(sp³)-H position, which affords a regioselective access to simple carbamates under mild conditions.

Preliminary studies were carried out using a Cu catalyst (10 mol%), ligand L1 (12 mol%)(for the choice of L1, see ESI), NFSI (2.0 eq.) as the oxidant and 2a as the aminating agent (Table 1).3d,19 The reaction, first carried out using CuCl and ethylbenzene 1a as a model substrate at 60°C in acetonitrile, led to carbamate **3a** in 33% yield (entry 1). Changing CH₃CN for HFIP provoked a severe drop in yield (entry 2), while, a mixture of both solvents in various ratio (entries 3-5) led to 3a with improved yield. A 1:1 ratio was finally found to afford an optimal conversion (entry 5). Changing CuCl for CuOAc (Entry 6) did not improve the process, and iron catalyst proved to be totally inefficient in this context (entry 7).²⁰ A variety of other oxidants were also tried, including Selectfluor and F-TEDA-PF₆ (ESI).^{3b} The former led to disappointing conversion (entry 8), while the latter led to 3a in 53% yield (entry 9). It was later found that NFSI and F-TEDA-PF₆ were both efficient for this transformation depending on the nature of the substrate (vide infra). Photochemical activation of the deep green solution of NFSI-CuCl and L1 using red LEDs (λ_{max} = 628 nm) was also tested, leading to 3a in 43% yield at 25°C (entry 10). When the reaction was performed in the dark at 25°C, only 6% of 3a was isolated (entry 11), indicating the importance of light. Our efforts to increase yields further using a photochemical activation however remained unsuccessful and the optimal thermal conditions in entry 5 were finally retained to extend the substrate scope, varying the nature of hydrocarbons in the presence of 2a (Scheme 1).



Table 1 Optimization of the C-H amination of 1a with carbamate 2a

entry ^a	1	Oxidant	Catalyst	Solvent	Yield (%) ^b
1	1a	NFSI	CuCl	CH₃CN	33
2	1a	NFSI	CuCl	HFIP	8
3	1a	NFSI	CuCl	CH ₃ CN-HFIP 7:3	54
4	1a	NFSI	CuCl	CH ₃ CN-HFIP 6:4	56
5	1a	NFSI	CuCl	CH ₃ CN-HFIP 1:1	72 ^c
6	1a	NFSI	CuOAc	CH ₃ CN-HFIP 1:1	68
7	1a	NFSI	Fe(OAc) ₂	CH ₃ CN-HFIP 1:1	-
8	1a	Selectfluor	CuCl	CH ₃ CN-HFIP 1:1	5
9	1a	F-TEDA-PF ₆	CuCl	CH ₃ CN-HFIP 1:1	53
10 ^d	1a	NFSI	CuCl	CH ₃ CN-HFIP 1:1	43
11 ^e	1a	NFSI	CuCl	CH ₃ CN-HFIP 1:1	6

^a Unless otherwise mentioned, all reactions were performed with **1a** (0.25 mmol). 2a (0.5 mmol), oxidant (0.5 mmol) CuCl (0.025 mmol), L1 (0.03 mmol) in 1:1 MeCN/HFIP (1.0 mL) under argon at 60°C for 24 h. ^b Isolated yields. ^c 0% e.e. ^d Reaction performed at 25°C under red-LEDs irradiation. ^e In the dark at 25°C.

A variety of cyclic and acyclic benzylic systems, were thus screened, and the corresponding carbamates390btained29A moderate to good yields as summarized in Scheme 1. Functional groups including esters (in 3d, 3f, 3p, 3u) ether (3q), or halogens (Cl, Br, F) in 3g, 3k, 3r-t are compatible with reaction conditions. Steric hindrance around the reacting center appears as a limiting factor as shown with the formation of 3d and 3l in moderate yield or comparing orthoand para-substituted biphenyls 3n and 3o. Cumene for instance did not react. Some heterocycles are compatible with the C-H amination conditions as shown by the access to 3v-w in good yields (ESI). In some cases, NFSI was shown to provide lower conversion, being successfully replaced with F-TEDA-PF₆, which led to cleaner reactions and also proved to be easier to discard from the crude reaction mixture by chromatography.



Scheme 1 Scope of the C-H amination of benzylic substrates with carbamate 2a. NFSI used as oxidant. ^b F-TEDA-PF₆ used as oxidant.

Next, to broaden the variety of urethanes accessible through this approach, we varied the nature of the substituents on the carbamate, in order to access for instance to N-Cbz or N-Troc groups, typical amine protecting groups.⁹ Results summarized in Scheme 2, clearly show, as mentioned before, that yields decreased with the increasing steric hindrance of the carbamate moiety, the NBoc group being incorporated in very low yields (i.e. 4h). Methyl, ethyl, benzyl and even iso-propyl carbamates 2a-d react efficiently. The Troc (2,2,2-

Journal Name

Published on 18 September 2020. Downloaded on 9/19/2020 7:00:09 AM

Journal Name

trichloroethoxycarbonyl) group was conveniently incorporated using **2g**, to give the desired carbamates **4i-j** in satisfying yields. 1,3-Oxazolidin-2-one **2h** also displayed a good reactivity, leading to the corresponding cyclic carbamates **4mp** in moderate to excellent yields.



Preliminary mechanistic studies were also carried out. For instance, when the reaction between 1a and 2a under conditions above was repeated in the presence of TEMPO, only traces of 3a were observed, indicating the occurrence of a radical process (ESI). Olefins were then used as radical traps. For instance, styrene 5 was submitted to the above conditions, affording the fluorosulfonylamide addition product 6 in modest yield (Scheme 3).²¹ Interestingly, when the reaction was performed without 2a, 6 was isolated in a similar yield. 1,1-Diphenylethylene 7, under standard conditions, without 2a, led to trisubstituted olefin 8. These experiments suggest that the electrophilic (PhSO₂)₂N radical is generated upon oxidation of NFSI by the Cu(I) salt,^{3d,19,22} the addition of which onto unactivated olefins 5 and 7 being favoured on polar grounds.²² Attempts to trap a putative benzylic radical adding CBrCl₃, using **1b** under standard conditions, failed to produce benzyl bromide 9a, and led instead to 3b in high yield (80-90%). When the reaction was repeated in the absence of 2a, 9a was detected through GC-MS, along with the corresponding amide 9b, fluoride 9c, chloride 9d and several other unidentified products (Scheme 3 and (ESI)). While 9a is indicative of the presence of a benzylic radical, formation of 9b may be assigned to a Ritter reaction between the corresponding benzylic cation and CH₃CN. 9c-d may in turn be

issued either from a radical or a cationic pathwaytid(ESI),²³ Similar results were obtained during reaction of set by the field of the set o



Scheme 3 Additional mechanistic study experiments.

Based on the above experimental evidence and previous literature reports, 3d, 19, 22-24 a tentative mechanism for the benzylic C-H amination is finally proposed as depicted in Figure 2. We first anticipated a single-electron transfer from the Cu(I)-L complex to NFSI (or F-TEDA-PF₆), which would provide a Cu(II)-complex A along with a nitrogen-centered radical B. The latter would subsequently abstract the benzylic hydrogen of substrate I to generate a benzylic radical C. This may then evolve following two possible pathways, e.g. (1) through a combination with Cu-complex A to afford Cu(III) species D,25 which could lead to intermediate E after ligand exchange with carbamate II, providing urethane III upon reductive elimination or benzyl halides IV in the absence of II (ESI). A radical-polar crossover process (2) may also be invoked with the oxidation of C into benzylic cation F by Cu(II) species A.^{19b} The absence of enantioselectivity using chiral ligand L1 in our experiments (ESI) and the formation of Ritter product 9b point toward the formation of cation F. Finally, a similar reasoning should apply to addition products 6 and 8. The radical resulting from the addition of $(PhSO_2)_2N$ onto 5 and 7 may be oxidized into a cation such as F, which would then evolve toward 8 though proton elimination or trapped by a fluoride anion leading to 6. The absence of carbamate addition in the last case may be explained by the steric hindrance of the (PhSO₂)₂N group preventing the approach of 2a, as observed above for hindered benzylic substrates (i.e. 3d, 3l). A Cu(II) complex such as **D** may also explain the formation of **6**.²³ Additional mechanistic studies to firmly distinguish between these pathways will however be required, which are currently ongoing.

In summary, we reported a direct Cu-catalyzed C-H amination of a variety of benzylic substrates, leading to carbamates in satisfying yields. The combination between strong oxidants, i.e. NFSI or F-TEDA-PF₆ and Cu(I)-catalyst/diimine system was found to be highly efficient to access carbamates including N-Cbz and N-Troc derivatives. This methodology avoiding carcinogenic isocyanates and unsafe peroxides should be

Journal Name

useful for late-stage functionalization of hydrocarbons in medicinal chemistry where urethanes are widespread.



Figure 2 Proposed reaction mechanism for the benzylic C-H amination.

SL thanks the Chinese Scholarship Council (CSC) for a PhD grant. We are grateful to the University of Bordeaux (UBx) and to the CNRS for financial support. Dr. C. Absalon (Cesamo, Ubx) is gratefully acknowledged for GC-MS experiments.

Conflicts of interest

"There are no conflicts to declare".

Notes and references

- (a) T. Newhouse, and P. S. Baran, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, **50**, 3362; (b) T. A. Ramirez, B. G. Zhao, and Y. Shi, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, **41**, 931; (c) J. He, M. Wasa, K. S. L. Chan, Q. Shao, and J.-Q. Yu, Chem. Rev. 2017, **117**, 8754; (d) H. Yi, G. T. Zhang, and A. Lei, Chem. Rev. 2017, **117**, 9016; (e) N. Sauermann, T. H. Meyer, and L. Ackermann, ACS Catal. 2018, **8**, 7086; (f) H. Wang, X. Gao, Z. Lv, T. Abdelilah, and A. Lei, Chem. Rev. 2019, **119**, 67697; (g) T. Kawasaki, N. Ishida, and M. Murakami, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, **142**, 3366.
- (a) R. Jazzar, and O. Baudoin, Chem. Eur. J. 2010, 16, 2654;
 (b) M. C. White, Science 2012, 335, 807; (c) C. Liu, J. Yuan, M. Gao, S. Tang, W. Li, R. Shi, and A. Lei, Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 12138; (d) R. K. Quinn, C. D. Vanderwal, and E. J. Alexanian, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 696; (e) H. Zhao, and J. Jin, Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 6179; (f) H. Y. Hao, Y. Jie, and D. Q. Xu, Org. Lett. 2020, 22, 2396.
- 3 (a) S. Y. Zhang, F. M. Zhang, and T. Y. Qu, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 1937; (b) J. L. Roizen, M. E. Harvey, J. Du Bois, Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 911; (c) Q. Michaudel, D. Thevenet, and P. S. Baran, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 2547; (d) V. A. Schmidt, R. K. Quinn, A. T. Brusoe, and E. J. Alexanian, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 143892; (e) W. Zhang, F. Wang, S. D. McCann, D. Wang, P. Chen, S.S. Stahl, and G. Liu, Science, 2016, 353, 1014; (f) Y. Kawamata, M. Yan, Z. Q. Liu, D. H. Bao, J. S. Chen, J. T. Starr, and P. S. Baran, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 7448; (g) Y. F. Tian, Z.-Q. Liu, Green Chem. 2017, 19, 5230; (h) M. X. Sun, Y. F. Wang, B. H. Xu, X. Q. Ma, and S. J. Zhang, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2018, 16, 1971; (i) Y. F. Tian, C. Q. Sun, R. X. Tan, and Z.-Q. Liu, Green Chem. 2018, 20, 588; (j) Y. F. Tian, A. B. Ling, R. Fang, R. X. Tan, and Z.-Q. Liu, Green Chem. 2018, 20, 3432; (k) S. Guo, D. I. AbuSalim, and S. P. Cook, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 123782; (I) X. A. Liang, L. B. Niu, S. C. Wang, J. M. Liu, and A. Lei, Org. Lett. 2019, 21,

2441; (*m*) S. S. Shi, P. B. Zhang, G. Tang, and Y. F. Zhao, Orag Lett. 2020, **22**, 5, 1760.

- 4 (a) P. Adams, and F. A. Baron, Chem. Rev. 1965, 65, 567; (b)
 A. K.; Ghosh, and M. Brindisi, J. Med. Chem. 2015, 58, 2895.
- 5 (a) A. K. Ghosh, J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 7967; (c) A. Joshi, and M. Larhed, J. Med. Chem. 2013, 56, 8999.
- 6 (a) V. Semetey, C. Hemmerlin, C. Didierjean, A. P. Schaffner, A. G. Giner, A. Aubry, J. P. Briand, M. Marraud, and G. Guichard, Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 3843; (b) B. S. Patil, G. R. Vasanthakumar, and V. V. Suresh Babu, J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 72740.
- 7 S. Badreshia, and J. G. Marks, Am. J. Contact Dermatitis 2002, 13, 77.
- 8 (a) O. Bayer, Angew. Chem. 1947, 9, 257; (b) L. Maisonneuve,
 O. Lamarzelle, E. Rix, E. Grau, and H. Cramail, Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 12407.
- 9 T. W. Greene, and P. G. M. Wuts, Protecting Groups in Organic Synthesis, 3rd Ed. J. Wiley & Sons, New York, (1999), 503.
- (a) S. Ozaki, Chem. Rev., 1972, 72, 457; (b) D. P. N. Satchell, and R. S. Satchell, Chem. Soc. Rev. 1975, 4, 231; (c) P. Majer, and R. S. Randad, J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 1937; (d) M. T. Migawa, and E. E. Swayze, Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 3309; (e) J. G. Kim, and D. O. Jang, Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 2688.
- (a) M. A. Casedei, A. Inesi, F. M. Moracci, and L. Rossi, *Chem. Commun.* 1996, 2575; (b) M. A. Casadei, F. M. Moracci, G. Zappia, A. Inesi, and L. Rossi, *J. Org. Chem.* 1997, **62**, 6754; (c) M. A. Yoshida, N. Hara, and S. Okuyama, *Chem. Commun.* 2000, **2**, 151; (d) D. Chaturvedi, A. Kumar, and S. Ray, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2003, **44**, 7637; (e) S. L. Peterson, S. M. Stucka, and C. J. Dinsmore, *Org. Lett.* 2010, **12**, 1340.
- 12 Y. Yoshida, S. Ishii, and T. Yamashita, *Chem. Lett.* 1984, **13**, 1571.
- 13 Q. Zhang, H. Y. Yuan, N. Fukaya, and J. C. Choi, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 2018, 6, 6675.
- 14 L. Ren, and N. Jiao, Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 3706.
- 15 W. F. Xiong, C. R. Qi, H. T. He, L. Ouyang, M. Zhang, and H. F. Jiang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 3084.
- 16 J. Y. Hong, U. R. Seo, and Y. K. Chung, Org. Chem. Front. 2016, **3**, 7647.
- P. K. Chikkade, Y. Kuninobu, and M. Kanai, *Chem. Sci.* 2015, 6, 3195.
- 18 J. M. Ahn, J. C. Peters, and G. C. Fu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 18101.
- (a) L. Fu, Z. Zhang, P. Chen, Z. Lin, and G. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 12493; (b) S.-E. Suh, S.-J. Chen, M. Mandal, I. A. Guzei, C. J. Cramer, and S. S. Stahl, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 11388; (c) J. A. Buss, A. Vasilopoulos, D. L. Golden, and S. S. Stahl, Org. Lett. 2020, 22, 5749; (d) A. Vasilopoulos, D. L. Golden, J. A. Buss, and S. S. Stahl, Org. Lett. 2020, 22, 5753.
- 20 J. F. Hartwig, and M. A. Larsen, ACS Cent. Sci. 2016, 2, 281.
- 21 Y. Zhang, Z. Yin, and X.-F. Wu, Org. Lett. 2020, 22, 1889.
- 22 H. Jianga, and A. Studer, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2020, 49, 1790.
- 23 C. L. Jenkins, and J. K. Kochi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 856.
- 24 Q.-Q. Min, J.-W. Yang, M.-J. Pang, G.-Z. Ao, and F. Liu, Org. Lett. 2020, 22, 2828.
- (a) S.-J. Li, and Y. Lan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, **56**, 6609; (b)
 X.-Y. Yu, J. Chen, H.-W. Chen, W.-J. Xiao, and J.-R. Chen, *Org. Lett.* 2020, **22**, 2333.

4 | J. Name., 2012, 00, 1-3

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

Published on 18 September 2020. Downloaded on 9/19/2020 7:00:09 AM.

Graphical Abstract

Copper-Catalyzed Oxidative Benzylic C(sp3)–H Amination. Direct Synthesis of Benzylic Carbamates.

A Cu(I)-diimine ligand combined with a N-F source allows the C-H abstraction and incorporation of a carbamate functional group in hydrocarbons benzylic position.

 $R + H_2N OR' \frac{Cu(I)-Ligand}{R_2N-F, \Delta}$ OR' R

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/D0CC05226D