4'-C-[(4-Trifluoromethyl-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)methyl]thymidine as a Sensitive ¹⁹F NMR Sensor for the Detection of Oligonucleotide Secondary Structures

Lotta Granqvist and Pasi Virta*

Department of Chemistry, University of Turku, 20014 Turku, Finland

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: 4'-C-[(4-Trifluoromethyl-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)methyl]thymidine was synthesized and incorporated as a phosphoramidite into oligonucleotide sequences. Its applicability as a sensor for the ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopic detection of DNA and RNA secondary structures was demonstrated. On DNA, the ¹⁹F NMR measurements were focused on monitoring of duplex-triplex conversion, for which this fluorine-labeled 2'deoxynucleoside proved to be a powerful sensor. This sensor seemingly favors DNA, but its behavior in the RNA environment also turned out to



be informative. As a demonstration, invasion of a 2'-O-methyl oligoribonucleotide into an RNA hairpin model (HIV-1 TAR) was monitored by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy. According to the thermal denaturation studies by UV spectroscopy, the effect of the 4'-C-(4-trifluoromethyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)methyl moiety on the stability of these DNA and RNA models was marginal.

■ INTRODUCTION

Previous studies of ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy have shown it to be a promising tool for the characterization of molecular interactions, secondary structural arrangements, and their dynamics in oligonucleotides.^{1–19} The sensitivity is still far from that of the conventional spectrophotometric measurements (UV, CD, and fluorescence-based techniques), but ¹⁹F NMR may give more detailed information about the conversion mechanisms and relative mole fractions of the secondary structural species. The efficient discrimination even between only moderately different structures results from the wide chemical shift dispersion of the ¹⁹F nucleus (50-fold compared to ¹H) and from the characteristic shift, which responds readily to changes in local van der Waals interactions and electrostatic fields.¹⁹⁻²³ It may even be suggested that once an appropriate label is readily available and its incorporation into oligonucleotides is facile, ¹⁹F NMR measurements could be one of the routine methods for the characterization of molecular interactions and competing hybridization modes. In order to increase the sensitivity (83% of ¹H NMR sensitivity) and straightforwardness of the ¹⁹F NMR measurement, there is an interest in developing nucleoside derivatives to which magnetically equivalent fluorine atoms (in a CF₃ group) are attached via an appropriate proton-coupling barrier [i.e., an isolated spin system provided by alkyne, thioether, or aryl bridges (cf. Figure 1); precisely, the CF_3 fluorines are magnetically equivalent only if their rotation rate is much faster than the effective correlation time of the nucleic acid structure]. These ¹⁹F-multiplied sensors may allow rapid measurements at micromolar oligonucleotide concentrations without the need for ¹H decoupling techniques. The following obvious demands, which more or less compromise each other, should additionally be considered with the sensors: the effect on the native oligonucleotide



Figure 1. High-performance ¹⁹F multiplied NMR sensors used for oligonucleotides.

structure should be marginal, while the resulting shift should clearly reflect the secondary structural changes. Examples of previously reported ¹⁹F-multiplied sensors are presented in Figure 1. 5-[4,4,4-Trifluoro-3,3-bis(trifluoromethyl)but-1-ynyl]-2'-deoxyuridine (1), with nine equivalent fluorine atoms, has successfully been used for the detection of DNA and RNA double helices.^{7,9,12} Although notable effects on the stabilities of the labeled DNA and RNA structures have not been observed, the bulky 4,4,4-trifluoro-3,3-bis(trifluoromethyl)but-1-ynyl group undeniably plays a dominating role in the major groove. 2'-Deoxy-5-(trifluoromethyl)uridine (2) is a commercially

Received: February 11, 2014 Published: March 28, 2014

Article



Figure 2. ¹⁹F NMR signals of sensor 6 in different DNA hybrization modes. Conditions: (i) 100 μ mol L⁻¹ **ON1**, 10 mmol L⁻¹ sodium phosphate (pH 5.5), 2 mmol L⁻¹ MgCl₂, and 0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaCl in D₂O–H₂O (1:9 v/v) at 25 °C; (ii) the same as (i) except at pH 6.0 and 37 °C; (iii) 50 μ mol L⁻¹ **ON1**, 45 μ mol L⁻¹ **ON2**, 10 mmol L⁻¹ sodium phosphate (pH 6.0), 2 mmol L⁻¹ MgCl₂, and 0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaCl in D₂O–H₂O (1:9 v/v) at 37 °C; (iv) 50 μ mol L⁻¹ **ON1**, 50 μ mol L⁻¹ **ON2**, 50 μ mol L⁻¹ **ON3**, 10 mmol L⁻¹ sodium phosphate (pH 6.0), 2 mmol L⁻¹ MgCl₂, and 0.1 mol L⁻¹ MaCl in D₂O–H₂O (1:9 v/v) at 50 °C, with the passive temperature-dependent shift eliminated and the shifts interpolated to that at 37 °C; (v) 100 μ mol L⁻¹ **ON1**, 100 μ mol L⁻¹ **ON3**, 100 μ mol L⁻¹ **ON4**, 10 mmol L⁻¹ sodium phosphate (pH 6.0), 2 mmol L⁻¹ MgCl₂, 0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaCl in D₂O–H₂O (1:9 v/v) at 37 °C. (Note: in spite of the virtual homogeneity of the oligonucleotides, the shoulders of the ¹⁹F NMR signals most likely originate from impurities.)

available nucleoside that has been incorporated into DNA double helices.²⁴ In spite of this modest base modification, a remarkable decrease in the duplex stabilities has been observed.^{17,24} Additionally, this label is not compatible with the standard oligonucleotide protection scheme, since it is readily transformed to a 5-cyanouridine residue upon ammonolysis.²⁴ ¹⁹F NMR applications with this potential sensor have not been described. Recently, 3,5-bis-(trifluoromethyl)benzoic acid (3) and 4-[3,5-bis-(trifluoromethyl)benzamido]benzoic acid (4) were postsynthetically coupled to 5-(3-aminopropyn-1-yl)-2'-deoxyuridine residues of oligonucleotide sequences, and the resulted sensors were used to probe mismatched and bulged DNAs by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy.¹⁸ Comparable to 1, these sterically demanding major-groove-oriented base modifications do not remarkably retard hybridization with complementary oligonucleotides. Elegant ¹⁹F NMR applications (structure probing of bistable RNA, characterization of RNA-protein and RNA-smallmolecule interactions) using 2'-deoxy-2'-trifluoromethylthiouridine (5) as a sensor have been described.¹⁶ The synthesis of phosphoramidite derivative 5 and its incorporation into an RNA sequence were straightforward, and the resulting ¹⁹F NMR shift of the sensor clearly distinguished between different secondary structures. With this sensor, however, a clearly facilitated thermal denaturation (57 vs 72 °C) of an RNA hairpin was reported.

In response to the above demands, a novel 4'-C-modified ¹⁹F NMR sensor, 4'-C-[(4-trifluoromethyl-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)methyl]thymidine (**6**), is described in the present report. This sensor (as a deoxynucleoside with predominant *S* conformation) was primarily designed for the detection of DNA secondary structures, which was demonstrated by the ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopic monitoring of DNA triplex/duplex/single strand conversion. For these experiments **6** proved to be an excellent sensor, resulting in sharp and well-distinguished ¹⁹F signals as unique singlets for DNA triplexes (**6** paired via both the Watson–Crick face and the Hoogsteen face), a DNA duplex, and a single strand (Figure 2). **6** turned out to be informative also for the RNA environment. Three different sites of an HIV-1 trans-activation response element (TAR) RNA model were labeled by **6**, and our previously reported study of ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopic characterization of RNA invasion⁹ was repeated and confirmed. The 4-trifluoromethyl-1*H*-1,2,3triazol-1-yl group offered a quasi-isolated spin system in a similar manner as a trifluoromethylphenyl group (cf. 3 and 4), and ¹⁹F NMR data could be obtained without the need for fluorine—proton decoupling. The effect of sensor **6** on the stability of these DNA and RNA models was marginal, although it expectedly depended slightly on the labeling site (Table 1).

Table 1. UV Melting Temperatures (T_m) of the ¹⁹F-Labeled Secondary Structures of Oligonucleotides (X = 6) and Values of ΔT_m in Comparison with Unmodified Oligonucleotides (X = Thymidine or Uridine)^{*a*}

entry	oligonucleotides labeled with 6	$T_{\rm m}/^{\circ}{\rm C}~(\Delta T_{\rm m}/^{\circ}{\rm C})$
1	ON1/ON2 duplex	54.9 (-0.6)
2	ON1/ON2/ON3 triplex	32.9 (-0.6)
3	ON1/ON4/ON5 triplex	31.0 (-1.7)
4	¹⁹ F-HIV-1 TAR(1)	72.4 (+0.5)
5	¹⁹ F-HIV-1 TAR(2)	71.0 (-0.9)
6	¹⁹ F-HIV-1 TAR(3)	69.2 (-2.7)

^{*a*}Conditions: 10 mmol L⁻¹ NaH₂PO₄ (pH 6.0), 0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaCl, 2.0 mmol L⁻¹ MgCl₂, and 2.0 μ mol L⁻¹ each oligonucleotide (entries 1–3); 10 mmol L⁻¹ sodium cacodylate (pH 7.0), 0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaCl, and 2.0 μ mol L⁻¹ each oligonucleotide (entries 4–6).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4'-C-[(4-Trifluoromethyl-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)methyl]thymidine Sensor 6 and Synthesis of Its Phosphoramidite Derivative 12. The 4'-C is an attractive site for the introduction of a label in DNA. Previous studies have shown that the 4'-modification faces the minor groove upon hybridization, and oligonucleotides modified at this site usually form equally stable double helices compared with their unmodified counterparts.²⁵⁻³⁴ It may also be expected that the fluorine label at this site in 6 only marginally disturbs the secondary structure of DNA but is sensitive to secondary structural arrangements because of the particular orientation. The 4'-C additionally is a uniform site for the label compared with, for example, the base-modified probes 1–4. On RNA,

however, certain shortcomings of 6 may be expected: 6 lacks the 2'-OH group, and the predominant S conformation may disfavor A-type helices. Additionally, on an RNA duplex the (4trifluoromethyltriazolyl)methyl group at the 4'-C position is oriented outward from the helix, not onto the edge of the minor groove as in DNA, and hence, only modest ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopic discrimination between double-stranded and single-stranded RNA may be expected. In spite of these presumptions, the behavior of 6 in the RNA environment proved promising (Table 1; also see Figures 5 and 6 below). The 4'-trifluoromethyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl moiety was chosen because it may be readily obtained from the corresponding azide derivative 10^{33} (note: the triazolyl moiety is a weak base,³⁵ which is entirely uncharged in the ¹⁹F NMR measurements used for the oligonucleotides below) via copper(I)-catalyzed Huisgen 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition.^{36,37} A high-yielding procedure for the preparation of 4'-C-azidomethyl-3'-O-(4,4'-dimethoxytrityl)thymidine (10) (Scheme 1) was





^{*a*}Conditions: (i) 3,3,3-trifluoroprop-1-yne, CuSO₄, sodium ascorbate; (ii) 2-cyanoethyl *N*,*N*-diisopropylphosphoramidochloridite, Et₃N, DCM.

previously reported.^{33,38} Accordingly, 4'-C-hydroxymethylthymidine 8 was first synthesized from thymidine (7) following the literature procedure.^{38,39} The primary hydroxyl groups were converted to triflates and then the $O^{2,5'}$ -anhydronucleoside was formed,⁴⁰ after which the remaining 4'-C-trifluoromethanesulfonyl group was replaced with azide ion to give 9. The anhydronucleoside bridge was hydrolyzed, the 3'-OH group exposed, and the 5'-OH group protected with a DMTr group. Several synthetic steps were required, but the procedure was reproducible and the overall yield from 7 to 10 was relatively high (49%). Copper(I)-catalyzed Huisgen 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition³⁶ between the 4'-C-azidomethyl group of 10 and gaseous 3,3,3-trifluorobutyne gave 11 with the trifluoromethyltriazolyl moiety in 77% yield, and the 3'-OH group was then quantitatively (97%) phosphitylated to afford the desired phosphoramidite 12. The above-described synthesis of 4'-C modification evidently may be generalized for other nucleosides, but the fact should be borne in mind that the correct

stereocontrol for the 4'-C substituent is facile only in thymidine. The anhydronucleoside 9^{40} determined the stereocontrol.

Oligonucleotide Synthesis. Oligonucleotides were synthesized on a 1.0 μ mol scale using an automatic DNA/RNA synthesizer. Benzylthiotetrazole as an activator and coupling times of 20 and 300 s were used for the couplings of standard DNA and RNA building blocks, respectively. For the fluorinelabeled thymidine phosphoramidite 12 (using a 0.13 mol L^{-1} solution in dry MeCN to load the reagent vessel), the coupling time was increased to 600 s, resulting in a coupling efficiency of 92%. Nearly quantitative coupling of 12 could be provided by repeating the automatic coupling step or by using a manual phosphoramidite coupling in which a more concentrated solution (final concentration 0.11 mol L⁻¹ after addition of the activator) but a smaller excess (10 equiv) of 12 could be used (see the Experimental Procedures). Otherwise, standard DNA and RNA protocols were applied. The oligonucleotides were released from the support with concentrated ammonia (33% aqueous NH₃ at 55 °C overnight), and TBDMS protections were removed by treatment with triethylamine trihydrofluoride followed by filtration through an ion exchange cartridge. The purification was carried out by RP HPLC. According to the RP HPLC profiles (see the Supporting Information), only a slight decrease in the purities of the labeled sequences compared with that of the unlabeled sequence was observed. The authenticity of the oligonucleotides was verified by MS (ESI-TOF).

¹⁹F NMR Measurements. Instead of testing probe 6 for new, sophisticated ¹⁹F NMR applications, its behavior was demonstrated in relatively well studied and defined secondary structures: in a DNA duplex, in DNA triplexes (6 paired via both the Hoogsteen face and the Watson–Crick face), and in HIV-1 TAR models upon invasion with 2-O-methyl oligoribonucleotides in which three different sites were labeled: (1) the stem region before the bulge, (2) the stem region between the loop and the bulge, and (3) the loop. In this manner we also could get rather comprehensive understanding of how this sensor affects the stabilities of native oligonucleotide structures in varying local environments of the sensor (Table 1).

As a first example, we repeated the experiment previously reported by Tanabe et al.¹⁰ They used 5-fluoro-2'-deoxyuridine as an ¹⁹F signal transmitter for the detection of triplex formation between ON1, ON4, and ON5 (Figure 3). In contrast to their experiments, lower oligonucleotide concentrations of 50 and 100 μ mol L⁻¹ (vs 250 μ mol L⁻¹) and a higher pH of 6.0 (vs 5.5) were used for the triplex formation. At 25 °C and pH 5.5, ON1 (100 μ mol L⁻¹, X = 4'-C-[(4trifluoromethyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)methyl]thymidine, 10 mmol L⁻¹ sodium phosphate, 2 mmol L⁻¹ MgCl₂, 0.1 mol L^{-1} NaCl) appeared as two signals in the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum (i in Figure 2), as described by Tanabe et al.¹⁰ The sharp signal at -62.53 ppm referred to the single strand, and the broad signal ca. 0.6 ppm upfield resulted from unspecific C-CH⁺ quartets (but not necessarily from a specific i motif). At a higher pH of 6.0 (at 37 °C), only the sharp signal at -62.53 ppm was detected (ii in Figure 2). The triplex formation was then carried out (I in Figure 3). ON1 was titrated with ON4/ON5 duplex, which should result in binding of ON1 via the Hoogsteen face. As shown in I in Figure 3, clear conversion of the initial signal at -62.53 ppm to a new sharp signal at -61.69 ppm occurred, which indicated ON1/ON4/ON5 triplex formation. Since sharp and well-distinguished signals ($\Delta \delta = 0.83$ ppm) were

Article



Figure 3. (I) Formation and (II, III) thermal melting of the **ON1/ON4/ON5** DNA triplex followed by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy. Conditions: 100 μ mol L⁻¹ **ON1**, 0–120 μ mol L⁻¹ **ON4** and **ON5** (1:1 mol/mol), 10 mmol L⁻¹ sodium phosphate (pH 6.0), 2 mmol L⁻¹ MgCl₂, and 0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaCl in D₂O–H₂O (1:9 v/v).



Figure 4. DNA triplex/duplex/single strand conversions followed by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy. Conditions: 50 μ mol L⁻¹ **ON1**, **ON2**, and **ON3**, 10 mmol L⁻¹ sodium phosphate (pH 6.0), 2 mmol L⁻¹ MgCl₂, and 0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaCl in D₂O-H₂O (1:9 v/v).

detected, we next studied whether the relative peak areas at increasing temperature (II in Figure 3) could be applied to the determination of the melting temperature (T_m) of the **ON1**/**ON4/ON5** triplex. As shown, a nice negative S curve was obtained (III in Figure 3), in which the inflection point at 66 °C showed thermal melting. [The difference between the T_m values in 100 μ mol L⁻¹ (66 °C) and 2 μ mol L⁻¹ (31.0 °C) solutions of oligonucleotides should be noted (Table 1)].

The ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopic behavior of the sensor was then similarly studied upon formation of the **ON1/ON2** duplex and

the ON1/ON2/ON3 triplex. Sharp and unique signals resulted also for these secondary structures at -62.17 and -61.86 ppm, respectively (Figure 2; the more detailed data of the titrations are not presented). Melting of the ON1/ON2/ON3 triplex bearing the sensor in the Watson–Crick face was more informative than that of ON1/ON4/ON5 triplex (Figure 4). As shown, the conversion from the ON1/ON2/ON3 triplex to the ON1/ON2 duplex and finally to the ON1 single strand could be nicely followed according to the relative peak areas of the ¹⁹F NMR resonance signals. The oligonucleotide



Figure 5. ¹⁹F NMR shift-vs-temperature profiles of ¹⁹F-labeled HIV-TAR models and their invasion complexes. Conditions: 50 μ mol L⁻¹ labeled ¹⁹F-HIV-1 TAR(1–3) with and without 50 μ mol L⁻¹ ON6, 10 mmol L⁻¹ sodium cacodylate (pH 7.0), and 0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaCl in D₂O–H₂O (1:9 v/ v).

concentration in this experiment was 50 μ mol L⁻¹. It may be worth mentioning that a seemingly direct conversion of the ON1/ON2/ON3 triplex to ON1 was observed at a concentration of 100 μ mol L⁻¹ (in our initial attempt), which was a result of the stronger concentration dependence of the triplex compared with the duplex.

Triple helices may be stabilized by aminoglycosides.^{41–43} Among them, neomycin has proven to be the most effective ligand. The above-described triplex/duplex/single strand conversion was followed also in the presence of neomycin (Figure 4, blue curves). As shown, 1.0 equiv of neomycin was enough to stabilize the ON1/ON2/ON3 triplex ($50 \mu mol L^{-1}$) clearly ($\Delta T_m = 4 \,^{\circ}C$), whereas the ON1/ON2 duplex was less stabilized. The difference between these stabilizations was consistent with the literature.^{41–43} Oligonucleotides have been conjugated to aminoglycosides in order to increase their affinities to RNA targets,^{12,33,34,44,45} but attempts to use them for triplex recognition have failed to date. However, the approach may still have some potential, since the observed enhanced triplex formation shown in Figure 4 resulted from only a stoichiometric amount of neomycin.

We previously used ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy to characterize the invasion of 2'-O-methyl oligoribonucleotides to an ¹⁹Flabeled HIV-1 TAR model,⁹ and later the same experiment was applied for the verification of aminoglycoside-enhanced invasion.¹² In these previous reports, sensor 1⁷ was incorporated into the tetranucleotide stem region between the bulge and the loop of an HIV-1 TAR model [cf. ¹⁹F-HIV-1 **TAR(2)** in Figure 5 with $X^2 = 1$ and $X^1 = X^3 = U$], and it was able to detect not only the denaturation of HIV-1 TAR but also the conversion of the macro-looped complex to the open-chain invasion complex (cf. structures A, B, C, and D in Figure 5). Interestingly, separate, albeit partially coalescent, signals for the A/B and C/D equilibria were observed, which indicated that rearrangements between these structures were slow on the NMR time scale. For intramolecular hybridizations, the equilibrium is usually fast on the NMR time scale, 6 which questions our previous conclusions.

In the present study, 6 was incorporated at three different positions of the same HIV-1 TAR model (X¹, X², and X³ in ¹⁹F-HIV-1 TAR(1), ¹⁹F-HIV-1 TAR(2) and ¹⁹F-HIV-1 TAR(3), respectively; Figure 5), and the RNA invasion studies were repeated. ¹⁹F NMR measurements were carried out using oligonucleotide concentrations of 50 μ mol L⁻¹ in 0.10 mol L⁻ NaCl buffered by 10 mmol L^{-1} sodium cacodylate, pH 7.0 (previous conditions: 20 μ mol L^{-1} ¹⁹F-labeled HIV-1 TAR with **ON6** in 0.1 mol L^{-1} NaCl with 25 mmol L^{-1} NaH₂PO₄, pH 6.5). The thermal UV melting temperatures for the HIV-1 TAR models under these experimental conditions (69.2-72.4 °C) are listed in Table 1. The ¹⁹F NMR spectra of each labeled HIV-1 TAR model with and without 1.0 equiv of ON6 were measured at varying temperatures. The ¹⁹F NMR shift-versustemperature profiles are presented in I in Figure 5, and the profiles of the shift differences versus temperature after reduction of the passive temperature-dependent shift are shown in II in Figure 5. The thermal melting of HIV-1 TAR (A/B equilibrium) could be monitored by the stem-located sensors $(X^2 \text{ and } X^3)$ as negative S curves (\blacksquare, \bullet) , while 6 in the loop (X^1) shifted continuously (\blacktriangleleft over 0.5 ppm) over the whole temperature range measured (23–75 °C). In comparison to the UV melting profiles (Table 1), slightly different T_m values were obtained from the ¹⁹F NMR shift-versus-temperature profiles, given by the inflection points at 71 °C for ¹⁹F-HIV-1 TAR(2) and at 68 °C for ¹⁹F-HIV-1 TAR(3) (II in Figure 5). It is worth noting that now well-behaving coalescence signals were obtained [see the spectra of ¹⁹F-



Figure 6. ¹⁹F NMR spectra of ¹⁹F-labeled HIV-TAR models and their invasion complexes in increasing temperature. Conditions: 50 μ mol L⁻¹ labeled ¹⁹F-HIV-1 TAR(1-3) with and without 50 μ mol L⁻¹ ON6 (titration carried out in II), 10 mmol L⁻¹ sodium cacodylate (pH 7.0), and 0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaCl in D₂O-H₂O (1:9 v/v) (cf. the shift data in Figure 5).

HIV-1 TAR(2) in panel I of Figure 6]. Thus, it seems that a heavily modified sensor such as 1, even if it does not decrease the stability of the secondary structure, may misrepresent the rate of denaturation. The equilibrium between the macro-loop invasion complex (C) and the open-chain invasion complex (D) could be monitored by labeled ¹⁹F-HIV-1 TAR(3) ($X^3 =$ 6, $X^1 = X^2 = U$). Also here, an averaged signal between the structures was obtained, but the signal was broad (III in Figure 6). The incomplete coalescence signal refers to a slower process in comparison with the hairpin melting (A/B). The inflection point at 39 °C in the shift-versus-temperature profile ($\mathbf{\nabla}$), corresponding to $T_{\rm m}$ of the macro-loop complex C, is clearly seen. 6 in ¹⁹F-HIV-1 TAR(2) $(X^2 = 6, X^1 = X^3 = U)$ also reflected the C/D equilibrium, but an unclear shift-versustemperature profile was obtained (\blacktriangle). As shown in II in Figure 5, the signals of the hairpin HIV-1 TAR (A) and the macro-loop complex C overlapped, but the titration with ON6 could be followed at 55 °C (i.e., under conditions where A was directly converted to the open-chain invasion complex D; II in Figure 6). Finally, melting of the open-chain invasion complex D to give the denatured HIV-1 TAR (B) could be followed by relative ¹⁹F NMR peak areas using ¹⁹F-HIV-1 TAR(1) ($X^1 = 6$, $X^2 = X^3 = U$) (IV in Figure 6). As shown by these results, the ¹⁹F shift difference between the signals of the single-stranded and double-stranded RNA was only slightly smaller than that with DNA, and invasion of a 2'-O-methyl oligoribonucleotide into a HIV-1 TAR model and its mechanism could be clearly characterized by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy using 6 as a signal transmitter (Figures 5 and 6).

CONCLUSION

A new ¹⁹F-multiplied sensor for the ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopic detection of oligonucleotide secondary structures, 4'-C-[(4trifluoromethyl-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)methyl]thymidine (6), has been described. The synthesis of the corresponding phosphoramidite building block 12 was straightforward, and it was readily incorporated into DNA and RNA sequences by an automatic synthesizer. The uniform 4'-C position for the fluorine sensor proved to behave nicely in DNA and RNA environments. In ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy, 6 readily reflected secondary structural arrangements but only slightly affected the stabilities compared with the native oligonucleotide structures (as verified by UV melting profiles). ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopic characterization of DNA triplex/duplex/single strand conversion and of invasion of an RNA hairpin model (HIV-1 TAR) was demonstrated.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

General Remarks. Dichloromethane and MeCN were dried over 4 Å molecular sieves, and triethylamine was dried over CaH₂. NMR spectra were recorded at 500 MHz. The chemical shifts for ¹H and ¹³C NMR are given in parts per million from the residual signals of the deuterated solvents (CD₃OD and CD₃CN). ³¹P NMR shifts are referenced to external H_3PO_4 and ¹⁹F NMR shifts to external CCl_3F . Mass spectra were recorded using electrospray ionization (ESI).

5'-O-(4,4'-Dimethoxytrityl)-4'-C-[(4-trifluoromethyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)methyl]thymidine (11). To a mixture of 4'-Cazidomethyl-5'-O-(4,4'-dimethoxytrityl)thymidine (10)³³ (0.86 g, 1.4 mmol) in DMSO (6 mL) were added aqueous solutions of CuSO₄ (0.1 mol L⁻¹, 150 μ L, 15 μ mol) and sodium ascorbate (0.1 mol L⁻¹) 1.5 mL, 0.15 mmol). The reaction was carried out in a sealed tube placed in an ice bath and bubbled with gaseous 3,3,3-trifluoropropyne for 5 min. The reaction mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 48 h, during which time the gas was added three times in an ice

bath along with additions of the aqueous solutions of $CuSO_4$ (100 μL_2) 10 μ mol) and sodium ascorbate (400 μ L, 40 μ mol). The reaction mixture was then partitioned between dichloromethane and water. The organic phase was separated, dried over Na₂SO₄, and evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (30-0% hexane and 0.1% Et₃N in EtOAc) to yield 0.77 g (77% yield) of 11 as a yellowish oil. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) δ : 8.38 (s, 1H), 7.43 (s, 1H), 7.40-7.38 (m, 2H), 7.28-7.19 (m, 7H), 6.82-6.81 (m, 4H), 6.43 (dd, 1H, J = 7.3 and 6.1 Hz), 4.88 (d, 1H, J = 14.7 Hz), 4.79-4.76 (m, 2H), 3.74 (s, 6H), 3.25 (d, 1H, J = 10.3 Hz), 2.87 (d, 1H, J = 10.3 Hz), 2.44 (m, 1H), 2.33 (ddd, 1H, J = 13.7, 6.1, and 3.1 Hz), 1.42 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CD₃OD) δ: 164.8, 159.0, 158.9, 150.9, 144.3, 137.8 (q, J = 39.0 Hz), 136.1, 135.0, 134.7, 130.0, 127.9, 127.6, 126.8, 125.9, 120.7 (q, J = 266.7 Hz), 112.8, 110.6, 87.3, 86.4, 84.5, 72.1, 64.1, 54.3, 52.0, 39.6, 10.7. ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CD₃OD) δ : -63.64. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: calcd for $C_{35}H_{34}F_{3}N_{5}NaO_{7}$ [M + Na]⁺ 716.2308, found 716.2310.

3'-O-[(2-Cyanoethoxy)-(N,N-diisopropylamino)phosphinyl]-5'-O-(4,4'-dimethoxytrityl)-4'-C-[(4-trifluoromethyl-1H-1,2,3triazol-1-yl)methyl]thymidine (12). Compound 11 (0.15 g, 0.22 mmol) was dried over P2O5 in a vacuum desiccator and dissolved in dry dichloromethane (1.5 mL). Triethylamine (152 µL, 1.1 mmol) and 2-cyanoethyl N,N-diisopropylphosphoramidochloridite (78 µL, 0.35 mmol) were added, and the mixture was stirred under nitrogen for 2 h. Upon completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was eluted through a short dried silica gel column (60-0% hexane and 0.1% Et₃N in EtOAc) to yield two diastereomers of 12 as white foams (overall 0.19 g, 97% yield). Diastereomer I: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃CN) δ: 9.36 (b, 1H), 8.22 (s, 1H), 7.45–7.25 (m, 10H), 6.88 (m, 4H), 6.34 (dd, ${}^{3}J$ = 6.7 Hz average), 5.01 (m, 1H), 4.85 (d, 1H, ${}^{2}J$ = 14.9 Hz), 4.74 (d, 1H, ²J = 14.9 Hz), 3.87-3.76 (m, 2H), 3.79 and 3.78 (both s, 6H), 3.73-3.66 (m, 2H), 3.24 (d, 1H, ²J = 10.4 Hz), 2.93(d, 1H, ${}^{2}J$ = 10.4 Hz), 2.63 (m, 2H), 2.47–2.37 (m, 2H), 1.52 (segmented, 3H), 1.25-1.23 (m, 12H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CD_3CN) δ : 163.7, 158.9, 150.3, 144.5, 137.5 (q, J = 38 Hz), 135.8, 135.2, 135.0, 130.1, 128.0, 127.1, 126.0, 120.9 (q, J = 265 Hz), 118.4, 113.2, 110.5, 87.0, (85.79 and 85.75), 84.2, (74.2 and 74.0), 63.4, (58.8 and 58.6), 54.9, 52.2, (43.2 and 43.1), 38.2, (24.97 and 23.91), (20.1 and 20.0), 11.2. ³¹P NMR (200 MHz, CD₃CN) & 149.8. ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CD₃CN) δ: -63.28. Diastereomer II: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃CN) δ: 9.38 (b, 1H), 8.19 (s, 1H), 7.45-7.18 (m, 10H), 6.87 (m, 4H), 6.37 (dd, ${}^{3}J$ = 7.0 and 6.5 Hz), 4.96 (m, 1H), 4.80 (d, 1H, ${}^{2}J$ = 15.0 Hz), 4.74 (d, 1H, ${}^{2}J$ = 15.0 Hz), 3.97–3.75 (m, 2H), 3.78 (s, 6H), 3.74-3.63 (m, 2H), 3.15 (d, 1H, ²J = 10.0 Hz), 2.94 (d, 1H, ²J = 10.0 Hz), 2.73 (m, 2H), 2.53 (ddd, 1H, ${}^{2}J$ = 14.0 Hz, ${}^{3}J$ = 6.5 and 4.5 Hz), 2.43 (m, 1H), 1.55 (s, 3H), 1.25-1.21 (m, 12H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CD₃CN) δ : 163.7, 158.9, 150.4, 144.5, 137.6 (q, J = 39 Hz), 135.8, 135.1, 134.9, 130.1, 128.0, 127.1, 125.9, 120.9 (q, J = 265 Hz), 118.6, 113.2, 110.6, 87.0, (85.4 and 85.3), 84.0, (74.7 and 74.6), 63.3, (58.5 and 58.3), 54.9, 51.9, (43.3 and 43.2), 38.3, (24.3 and 24.2), (23.91 and 23.85), (20.2 and 20.1), 11.3. ³¹P NMR (200 MHz, CD₃CN) δ: 149.7. ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CD₃CN) δ: -63.28. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: calcd for C₄₄H₅₁F₃N₇NaO₈P [M + Na]⁺ 916.3387, found 916.3387.

Oligonucleotide Synthesis. Oligonucleotides were synthesized on a 1.0 μ mol scale using an automatic DNA/RNA synthesizer. Benzylthiotetrazole as an activator and coupling times of 20 and 300 s were used for the couplings of standard DNA and RNA building blocks, respectively. *Automatic coupling of* **12**: A 0.13 mol L⁻¹ solution of **12** was prepared and added to the synthesizer. The coupling time was increased to 600 s, and otherwise the standard coupling cycle was used. According to the DMTr assay, a 92% coupling efficiency was obtained. *Manual coupling of* **12**: A 0.20 mol L⁻¹ solution of **12** (10 μ mol) was prepared. This solution and a solution of benzylthiotetrazole (0.25 mol L⁻¹ in dry acetonitrile, 10 μ mol) were suspended with the CPG support (bearing the sequence before **12**, 1 μ mol). The suspension was mixed for 10 min under nitrogen at ambient temperature, loaded onto the synthesis column, and filtered. The synthesis column was set to the synthesizer, and then the chain elongation was continued automatically. According to the DMTr assay, nearly quantitative coupling of 12 was provided. After the chain elongation, the supports were suspended in concentrated ammonia (33% aqueous NH₃ at 55 °C overnight), the mixtures were filtered, and the filtrates were evaporated to dryness. The residues of oligodeoxyribonucleotides ON1-ON5 and 2'-O-methyl oligoribonucleotide ON6 were dissolved in water and subjected to RP HPLC purification. The residues of HIV-1-TAR models were dissolved in triethylamine trihydrofluoride (75 μ L), triethylamine (60 μ L), and DMSO (115 μ L), mixed for 2.5 h at 65 °C, and filtered through an ion exchange cartridge, after which the filtrates were evaporated to dryness. The residues of the free RNAs were dissolved in sterilized water, and then RP HPLC was carried out. After RP HPLC purification using a semipreparative RP HPLC column (C-18, 250 mm \times 10 mm, 5 μ m) with gradient elution (0–90% acetonitrile in 0.1 mol L^{-1} triethylammonium acetate in 25 min) and detection at 260 nm, the homogenized oligonucleotides were lyophilized, and their authenticities were verified by MS (ESI-TOF) (Table 2).

Table 2. Observed and Calculated Molecular Masses of the Oligonucleotides Labeled with 6

entry	oligonucleotide	observed molecular mass	calculated average molecular mass
1	ON1	4514.8 ^a	4515.0
2	¹⁹ F-HIV-1 TAR ¹	9492.5 ^b	9494.1
3	¹⁹ F-HIV-1 TAR ²	9492.3 ^b	9494.1
4	¹⁹ F-HIV-1 TAR ³	9492.3 ^b	9494.1

^{*a*}Calculated from the most intense isotope combination at $[(M - 3H)]^{3-}/3$. ^{*b*}Calculated from the most intense isotope combination at $[(M - 11H)]^{11-}/11$.

¹⁹F NMR Spectroscopy Studies. Oligonucleotides (as triethylammonium salts) were dissolved in an appropriate buffer [500 μ L of either 10 mmol L⁻¹ sodium phosphate, 2 mmol L⁻¹ MgCl₂, 0.1 mol L^{-1} NaCl in D₂O-H₂O (1:9 v/v), pH 5.5; the same but at pH 6.0; or 10 mmol L^{-1} sodium cacodylate, 0.1 mol L^{-1} NaCl in D₂O-H₂O (1:9 v/v), pH 7.0]. All of the samples were heated to 90 $^\circ C$ and then allowed to cool to room temperature, after which the NMR measurements were carried out at target temperatures. Spectra were recorded at a frequency of 470.6 MHz on a Bruker Avance 500 MHz spectrometer. Typical experimental parameters were chosen as follows: ¹⁹F excitation pulse, 4.0 μ s; acquisition time, 1.17 s; prescan delay, 6.0 µs; relaxation delay, 0.8 s; usual number of scans, 2048 or 1024. The parameters were optimized to gain the signals with the longest relaxation rate (triplex). In order to improve the authenticity of the relative peak areas (spectra in Figures 3 and 4), the decrease (triplexes) and increase (single strand) in the peak areas were additionally referred to an internal standard (5-[4,4,4-trifluoro-3,3bis(trifluoromethyl)but-1-ynyl]uridine)¹² as far as it was possible. A macro command was used for automatic temperature ramps using a 20 min equilibration time for each temperature.

Melting Temperature Studies. The melting curves (absorbance vs temperature) were measured at 260 nm on a PerkinElmer Lambda 35 UV–vis spectrometer equipped with a multiple cell holder and a Peltier temperature controller. The temperature was changed from 10 to 90 °C at a rate of 0.5 °C/min. The measurements were performed in 10 mmol L⁻¹ sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6) containing 0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaCl and 2 mmol L⁻¹ MgCl₂ or in 10 mmol L⁻¹ sodium cacodylate (pH 7) containing 0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaCl. The oligonucleotides were used at a concentration of 2 μ mol L⁻¹. Each T_m value was determined as the maximum of the first derivative of the melting curve.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

NMR spectra for **11** and **12**, RP HPLC profiles and MS (ESI-TOF) data for **ON1** and the labeled HIV-1 TAR models, and UV and CD data for the oligonucleotides. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: pamavi@utu.fi.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support from the Academy of Finland (251539 and 256214) is gratefully acknowledged. We also thank Anu Kiviniemi for some preliminary work considered in this study.

REFERENCES

(1) Hammann, C.; Norman, D. G.; Lilley, D. M. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2001, 98, 5503.

(2) Olsen, G. L.; Edwards, T. E.; Deka, P.; Varani, G.; Sigurdsson, S. Th.; Drobny, G. P. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2005**, *33*, 3447.

(3) Kreutz, C.; Kählig, H.; Konrat, R.; Micura, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 11558.

(4) Kreutz, C.; Kählig, H.; Konrat, R.; Micura, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 3450.

- (5) Henning, M.; Munzarová, M. L.; Bermel, W.; Scott, L. G.; Sklenář, V.; Williamson, J. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 5851.
- (6) Graber, D.; Moroder, H.; Micura, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 17230.
- (7) Barhate, N. B.; Barhate, R. N.; Cekan, P.; Drobny, G.; Sigurdsson, S. Th. Org. Lett. **2008**, 10, 2745.
- (8) Henning, M.; Scott, L. G.; Sperling, E.; Bermel, W.; Williamson, J. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 14911.
- (9) Kiviniemi, A.; Virta, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 8560.

(10) Tanabe, K.; Sugiura, M.; Nishimoto, S. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2010, 18, 6690.

- (11) Moumné, R.; Pasco, M.; Prost, E.; Lecourt, T.; Micouin, L.; Tisne, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, 132, 13111.
- (12) Kiviniemi, A.; Virta, P. Bioconjugate Chem. 2011, 22, 1559.
- (13) Sakamoto, T.; Hayakawa, H.; Fujimoto, K. *Chem. Lett.* **2011**, *40*, 720.
- (14) Sakamoto, T.; Shimizu, Y.; Sasaki, J.; Hayakawa, H.; Fujimoto, K. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2011, 21, 303.
- (15) Lombés, T.; Moumné, R.; Larue, V.; Prost, E.; Catala, M.; Lecourt, T.; Dardel, F.; Micoin, L.; Tisné, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 9530.
- (16) Fauster, K.; Kreutz, C.; Micura, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 13080.
- (17) Kiviniemi, A.; Murtola, M.; Ingman, P.; Virta, P. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 5153.
- (18) Tanabe, K.; Tsuda, T.; Ito, T.; Nishimoto, S. Chem.—Eur. J. 2013, 19, 15133.
- (19) Chen, H.; Viel, S.; Ziarelli, F.; Peng, L. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 7971.
- (20) Gerig, J. T. In *Biological Magnetic Resonance*; Berliner, L., Reuben, J., Eds.; Plenum Press: New York, 1978; p 139.
- (21) Rastinejad, F.; Evilia, C.; Lu, P. Methods Enzymol. 1995, 261, 560.
- (22) Gerig, J. T. Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc. 1994, 26, 293.
- (23) Kitevski-LeBlanc, J. L.; Prosser, R. S. Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc. 2012, 62, 1.
- (24) Markley, J. C.; Chirakul, P.; Sologub, D.; Sigurdsson, S. Th. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2001, 11, 2453.

(25) Raunjær, M.; Bryld, T.; Wengel, J. Chem. Commun. 2003, 1604.

- (26) Wengel, J. Acc. Chem. Res. 1999, 32, 301.
- (27) Pfundheller, H. M.; Bryld, T.; Olsen, C. E.; Wengel, J. Helv. Chim. Acta 2000, 83, 128.
- (28) Ueno, Y.; Nagasawa, Y.; Sugimoto, I.; Kojima, N.; Kanazaki, M.; Shuto, S.; Matsuda, A. J. Org. Chem. **1998**, 63, 1660.
- (29) Wang, G.; Seifert, W. E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 6515.
- (30) Fensholdt, J.; Thrane, H.; Wengel, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36,
- 2535.
- (31) Thrane, H.; Fensholdt, J.; Regner, M.; Wengel, J. *Tetrahedron* **1995**, *51*, 10389.
- (32) Maag, H.; Schmidt, B.; Rose, S. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 6449.
- (33) Kiviniemi, A.; Virta, P.; Lönnberg, H. Bioconjugate Chem. 2008, 19, 1726.
- (34) Kiviniemi, A.; Virta, P.; Lönnberg, H. Bioconjugate Chem. 2010, 21, 1890.
- (35) Abboud, J.-L. M.; Foces-Foces, C.; Notario, R.; Trifonov, R. E.; Volovodenko, A. P.; Ostrovskii, V. A.; Alkorta, I.; Elguero, J. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, 3013.
- (36) Stepanova, N. P.; Galishev, V. A.; Turbanova, E. S.; Maleev, A. V.; Potekhin, K. A.; Kurkutova, E. N.; Struchkov, Y. T.; Petrov, A. A. *Zh. Org. Khim.* **1989**, *25*, 1613.
- (37) Rostovtsev, V. V.; Green, L. G.; Fokin, V. V.; Sharpless, K. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 2596.
- (38) O-Yang, C.; Kurz, W.; Eugui, E. M.; McRoberts, M. J.; Verheyden, J. P. H.; Kurz, L. J.; Walker, K. A. M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, 33, 41.
- (39) Jones, G. H.; Taniguchi, M.; Teggs, D.; Moffatt, J. G. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 1309.
- (40) Wu, T.; Nauwelaerts, K.; Van Aerschot, A.; Froeyen, M.; Lescrinier, E.; Herdewijn, P. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 5423.
- (41) Arya, D. P.; Micovic, L.; Charles, I.; Coffee, R. L., Jr.; Willis, B.; Xue, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 3733.
- (42) Arya, D. P.; Xue, L.; Tennant, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 8070.
- (43) Arya, D. P. Acc. Chem. Res. 2011, 44, 134.
- (44) Charles, I.; Xi, H.; Arya, D. P. Bioconjugate Chem. 2007, 18, 160.
- (45) Ketomäki, K.; Virta, P. Bioconjugate Chem. 2008, 19, 766.