Synthesis and Characterization of New Phosphanylium and Phosphanetriylammonium Salts. Molecular Structures of $[(Me_2N)PN(AlCl_3)R]$ and $[P(NPr_2^i)(NHR)]^+[CF_3SO_3]^-$ (R = C₆H₂But₃-2,4,6)[†]

Andrei B. Drapailo,^a Alexander N. Chernega,^a Vadim D. Romanenko,^{*,a,b} Rachid Madhouni,^b Jean-Marc Sotiropoulos,^b Lydia Lamandé^b and Michel Sanchez^{*,b}

^a Institute of Organic Chemistry, Academy of Sciences of the Ukraine, 253660, Murmanskaya 5, Kiev 94, Ukraine

^b Université Paul Sabatier, Laboratoire Synthèse, Structure et Réactivité de Molécules Phosphorées, 118 route de Narbonne, 31062 Toulouse cedex, France

The new internal salts $[(R^1R^2N)PN(ECl_3)R]$ (E = AI or Ga; R = 2,4,6-But_3C_6H_2) and phosphanylium salts $[P(NR^1R^2)(NHR)]^+[CF_3SO_3]^-$ have been synthesized by treating aminoiminophosphanes $(R^1R^2N)P=NR$ with AICl_3, GaCl_3 or CF_3SO_3H. Protonation of RHN-P=NR with trifluoromethanesulfonic acid led to the first stable phosphanylium salt $[P(NHR)_2]^+[CF_3SO_3]^-$ with two primary amino groups. In contrast, the reaction of AICl_3 with the (aryloxy)iminophosphane (R'O)P=NR (R' = 4-Me-2,6-But_2C_6H_2) led to the new aryl(phosphanetriyl)ammonium complex $[PNR]^+[(R'O)AICl_3]^-$. All compounds were characterized by multinuclear NMR spectroscopy. The crystal structures of $[(Me_2N)PN(AICl_3)R]$ and $[P(NPr_2)(NHR)]^+[CF_3SO_3]^-$ have been determined by single-crystal X-ray diffractometry.

In 1976 Niecke and Kroher¹ showed that electrophilic attack of AlCl₃ on the P=N double bond of the aminoiminophosphane $R_2N-P=NR$ (R = Me_3Si) generates the internal salt $R_2N P^{+}-N(AlCl_{3})R$. Since that time the reactions of iminophosphanes with Lewis acids have been considered as a promising synthetic pathway to phosphanylium species.² Nevertheless, contrary to the synthesis of the phosphanylium cations $[P(NR_2)_2]^+$ via AlCl₃-promoted halide abstraction from chlorophosphine precursors, the literature contains very few examples of the reaction of iminophosphanes and Lewis acids.³ Furthermore, it was recently reported⁴ that reaction of the chloroiminophosphane CIP=NC₆H₂Bu'₃-2,4,6 with AlCl₃ leads to the stable salt [PNC₆H₂Bu'₃-2,4,6]⁺[AlCl₄]⁻ instead of the expected phosphanylium adduct, CIP⁺-N(AlCl₃⁻)C₆H₂-Bu¹₃-2,4,6. Previously both we⁵ and Niecke and co-workers⁶ have shown that the structure and the reactivity of the iminophosphanes XP=NC₆H₂Bu¹₃-2,4,6 are considerably dependent on the substituent X bonded to the two-co-ordinated phosphorus. Thus, according to the size of the dialkylamino group in aminoiminophosphanes (R₂N)P=NC₆H₂Bu^t₃-2,4,6, *cis* (Z) 1 or *trans* (E) isomers 2, 3 ($R = C_6 H_2 B u_3^t - 2, 4, 6$) are thermodynamically stable. In a cis configuration the s character of the phosphorus-nitrogen multiple bond is more pronounced than in the trans configuration.

The bonding situation within the central skeleton X–P=N is also strongly influenced by the electronic properties of the substituent X. In particular, we demonstrated⁷ that the (aryloxy)iminophosphane (R'O)P=NC₆H₂Bu'₃-2,4,6 (R' = 2,6-di-*tert*-butyl-4-methylphenyl) contains a very short phosphorus–nitrogen double bond (1.50 Å) and an almost linear configuration of the P–N–C unit (173.7°) which probably results from the considerable contribution of the canonical form [R'O]⁻[P=NC₆H₂Bu'₃-2,4,6]⁺. The latter assumption was confirmed by the observations that an increase in the electronegativity of the R'O group in the compounds (R'O)P=



 $NC_6H_2Bu^1_3$ -2,4,6 leads from structures with a covalent P–O bond to those where the $[R'O]^-$ anion is separated from the cationic fragment $[PNC_6H_2Bu^1_3$ -2,4,6]⁺.⁸

In the light of these findings it was of interest to study the influence of structural changes around the P=N double bond in aminoiminophosphanes (R_2N)P=NC₆H₂Bu¹₃-2,4,6 and (aryloxy)minophosphanes (R'O)P=NC₆H₂Bu¹₃-2,4,6 on the reactivity of these species towards Lewis acids. It can be expected that, depending on the structural peculiarities, the above compounds react with electron-deficient molecules either in their 'classical' (p-p)_{π} bond form **A** or as the highly polarized species **B** containing a formally triple phosphorus–nitrogen bond. This paper reports our results concerning the reactions of aminoiminophosphanes 1–3 and the (aryloxy)minophosphane (R'O)P=NC₆H₂Bu¹₃-2,4,6 with AlCl₃ and GaCl₃. In addition we have studied the reaction of (R'₂N)P=NC₆H₂Bu¹₃-2,4,6 with trifluoromethanesulfonic acid leading to new stable phosphanylium derivatives.

Results and Discussion

Reactions of Aminoiminophosphanes 1–3 with Lewis Acids (AlCl₃, GaCl₃).—Reaction of the *cis*-aminoiminophosphane 1 with 1 equivalent of AlCl₃ in toluene at 0 °C leads to a colourless solid, which shows a low-field phosphorus chemical shift [δ (³¹P) 268] compared with that of the starting compound [δ (³¹P) 203]. This solid is stable and was readily isolated in high

[†] Supplementary data available: see Instructions for Authors, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1994, Issue 1, pp. xxiii-xxviii.

yield. Its complete characterization as the phosphanylium salt 4a was achieved by elemental analysis, NMR spectroscopy and single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies. Similarly, amino-iminophosphane 1 on treatment with GaCl₃ gives the 1:1 adduct 4b (Scheme 1).

In contrast with 1, the reaction between trans-aminoiminophosphanes 2 and 3 with $AlCl_3$ in dichloromethane or toluene affords the unstable adducts 5a and 6a which decompose readily in solution at room temperature (Scheme 2); therefore it was only possible to obtain their spectral characteristics (without purification) 2 h after mixing the reagents in CDCl₃ at 0 °C (Table 1). All attempts to isolate the compounds from the reaction mixture failed. However, the major products of the decomposition of **5a** and **6a** were respectively identified as **5c** and **6c** especially from the ${}^{31}P$ and ${}^{13}C$ NMR data. We have noticed that the use of dichloromethane instead of toluene as solvent favoured side reactions. This result could be explained in terms of a one-electron-transfer reaction since it is known that dichloromethane solutions of AlCl₃ act as rather strong one-electron oxidizing agents.⁹ So, it is likely that the interaction of sterically crowded aminoiminophosphane involves the generation of a radical cation and its subsequent reaction with the solvent.

In the case of GaCl₃, which possesses less pronounced oxidizing properties than those of AlCl₃, the interaction with aminoiminophosphane **2** led quantitatively to the expected phosphanylium salt $Pr_{2}^{i}N-P^{+}-N(GaCl_{3}^{-})C_{6}H_{2}Bu_{3}^{i}-2,4,6$ **5b** (*cf.* ref. 10).

Reaction of Aminoiminophosphanes 1–3 with Trifluoromethanesulfonic Acid (CF₃SO₃H).—Direct formation of the salts 4d–6d containing a primary amino substituent was observed upon protonation of the two-co-ordinated nitrogen atom of the iminophosphanes 1–3 with CF₃SO₃H in CH₂Cl₂ solution at -70 °C (Scheme 3). The cations 4d–6d proved to be stable and were isolated in a pure state as colourless crystals. The assigned structures were confirmed by NMR spectroscopy (Table 1) and the crystal structure of [P(NPrⁱ₂){NH(C₆H₂Buⁱ₃-2,4,6)}]⁺[CF₃SO₃]⁻ 5d was determined by X-ray diffraction (see below).

Reaction of an (Aryloxy)iminophosphane with AlCl₃.—This reaction proceeded more slowly than those of aminoiminophosphanes 1–3 and yielded a 1:1 adduct which could be isolated as a reasonably stable, orange-yellow solid. In contrast



Scheme 1 $R = C_6 H_2 B u_3^t - 2,4,6$



with 1 and 2, no reaction was observed when (R'O)P=NC₆H₂-Bu'₃-2,4,6 7 (R' = C₆H₂Bu'₂-2,6-Me-4) was treated with GaCl₃ in toluene or dichloromethane solution at room temperature. The NMR spectra of the adduct 7-AlCl₃ differ greatly from those of 1–3 with AlCl₃; in particular, the ³¹P resonance is shifted to higher field [$\Delta\delta$ (³¹P) -61] as compared to that of the starting reagent [δ (³¹P) 138]. Comparison with the δ (³¹P) of salt-like complexes [P=NC₆H₂Bu'₃-2,4,6]⁺[A]⁻[A = AlCl₄, δ (³¹P) 79.3; ⁴ A = CF₃CO₂, δ (³¹P) 78.7; A = CF₃SO₃, δ (³¹P) 55.4⁸] shows that the phosphorus chemical shift of our adduct 7-AlCl₃ [δ (³¹P) 77] lies among the typical values for aryl(phosphanetriyl)ammonium derivatives. In view of these considerations we assigned to the 7-AlCl₃ adduct the structure [P=NC₆H₂Bu'₃-2,4,6]⁺[(R'O)AlCl₃]⁻ 8.

This structure is further supported by the fact that the reactivities of the compounds **4a–6a** and **8** towards triphenylphosphine differ widely. While the reaction of the former gave instantaneously the aminoiminophosphine, in toluene at -30 °C the latter resulted in generation of compound **9** the ³¹P NMR parameters (δ 84 and 21, $J_{PP} = 338$ Hz) for which were close to those of the compound obtained from reaction of [P=NC₆H₂Bu^t₃-2,4,6]⁺[AlCl₄]⁻ with PPh₃.¹¹ At elevated temperatures, **9** undergoes a slow transformation into the starting (aryloxy)iminophosphane (Scheme 4).

We conclude that, independently of the configuration of the aminoiminophosphane $(R'_2N)P=NC_6H_2Bu'_3-2,4,6$, addition of AlCl₃ or GaCl₃ to these compounds leads to the corresponding derivatives $P(NR'_2)N(ECl_3)C_6H_2Bu'_3-2,4,6$. Reaction of the (aryloxy)iminophosphane 7 with AlCl₃ involves electrophilic attack of the Lewis acid on the oxygen centre giving the salt **8**.

NMR Spectra.—Formulation of the compounds **4a–6a**, **4b**, **5b** and **4d–6d** as two-co-ordinated phosphorus cations is clearly confirmed by their ³¹P NMR spectra which display signals in the range typical for N–P⁺–N ions ³ (δ 261–311, Table 1). For all of these derivatives the ³¹P resonances occur to low field compared with those of the starting aminoiminophosphanes [δ (³¹P) 203 1, 268 2 and 272 3]. Note that according to the literature data⁴ the formation of the monoco-ordinated phosphorus cation [P=NC₆H₂Bu^t₃-2,4,6]⁺[AlCl₄]⁻ from CIP=NC₆H₂Bu^t₃-2,4,6 is accompanied by a high-field shift of the ³¹P resonance [$\Delta\delta$ (³¹P) \approx -60].

Comparison of the ³¹P NMR chemical shifts of $[P(NMe_2)_2]^+$ $[\delta(^{31}P) 264]^3$ and $[P(NMe_2)\{NH(C_6H_2Bu'_3-2,4,6)\}]^+$ **4d** $[\delta(^{31}P) 261]$ shows that the influence of the 2,4,6-Bu'_3C_6H_2NH substituent is similar to that of Me₂N. On the other hand, the large difference between the ³¹P chemical shift of **4d** and that of



Fable 1	Proton, ¹⁹ F, ²	⁷ Al and ³¹ P NMR data ⁴
	111 / 7	(11-)

	$H(J_{\rm PH}/\rm HZ)$					27 Al(Δv_1 /		
Compound	o-Bu ^t	p-Bu ^t	H ₃ CCN	H"CN	Harom	NH	Hz) or 19 F	${}^{31}P-\{{}^{1}H\}$
4a ^b	1.59	1.28		2.90 (3.8) 3.27 (14.2)	7.45		103 (49)	282.9
4b	1.57	1.28		2.88 (3.7) 3.27 (14.3)	7.45			277.2
5a'	1.70	1.20	0.62° 1.06°	3.18 ^{<i>d</i>} 4.28 ^{<i>d</i>}	7.52		102 (1500)	300.3
5a″	1.63	1.25	1.03° 1.15°	3.35 ^d 5.50 ^d	7.58		98 (1500)	304.8
5b'	1.51	1.18	0.99° 1.21°	3.70 ^d 4.27 ^d	7.34			295.7
5b″	1.46	1.20	1.27° 1.47°	3.80 ^d 4.25 ^d	7.39			299.5
6a	1.56 ^e	1.32			7.47	10.2 (br)	98 (270)	311.3
4d	1.46	1.31		3.50 (br)	7.44	10.4 (br)	-78.8	261.4
5d	1.47	1.32	1.49 ^r 1.59 ^r	4.09 ^d 5.30 ^d	7.46	10.6 (14)	79.8	274.8
6d	1.59	1.32			7.50	11.6 (13.2)	- 79.7	279.7
8	1.56 ^g	1.29			7.39		83.0 (650)	77.3

^{*a*} In CDCl₃ solution. ^{*b*} ¹⁵N NMR spectrum: δ – 208 (br, NC₆H₂Bu'₃-2,4,6) and –234 (d, ¹J_{PN} 100 Hz, NMe₂). ^{*c*} d, ³J_{HH} 6.6 Hz. ^{*d*} Complex pattern arising from H–H and P–P coupling. ^{*e*} Signals for 2,4,6-Bu'₃C₆H₂NH: δ 1.33 (*p*-Bu'), 1.65 (*o*-Bu'), 7.53 (H_{arom}) and 10.25 (br s, NH). ^{*f*} d, ³J_{HH} 7.0 Hz. ^{*g*} Signals for 4-Me-2,6-Bu'₂C₆H₂: δ 1.46 (*o*-Bu'), 2.38 (*p*-Me) and 7.33 (H_{arom}).

the only so far known phosphanylium salt with an RNH group, $[P(NHBu')(C_5Me_5)]^+$ [δ (³¹P) 106.7],¹² indicates a larger positive charge delocalization on the latter derivative due to multihapto bonding between the P⁺ centre and the cyclopentadienyl ligand. In all of our cations **4a–6a**, **4b**, **5b** and **4d–6d** the stability results from both contributions: thermodynamic stabilization by means of N—P⁺ π bonding and kinetic stabilization due to the bulky 'supermesityl' substituent (C₆H₂Bu'₃-2,4,6). It is noticeable that according to ³¹P and ¹⁹F NMR data **4d–6d** exist exclusively as ionic species, while $[P(NHBu')(C_5Me_5)]^+[CF_3SO_3]^-$ reveals in solution an equilibrium between a two-co-ordinated cation and a three-coordinated covalent compound.¹²

The ¹H NMR spectrum of compound **4a** is typical of this type of phosphanylium derivative. The most important feature is the non-equivalence of the methyls of the Me₂N group at room temperature; their signals appear as two doublets (δ 2.90, ${}^{3}J_{PH} = 3.8$; δ 3.27, ${}^{3}J_{PH} = 14.2$ Hz) due to the restricted rotation around the P–N bond.

In each case, the complexation of compound 2 with AlCl₃ and GaCl₃ results in the formation of two isomeric compounds with similar ³¹P chemical shifts. Analysis of the complete sets of NMR data showed these compounds to be conformers, 5a' and 5a" (molar ratio 1.6:1) or 5b' and 5b" (molar ratio 2.4:1), with the various ligand arrangements at the partially double $P-NC_6H_2Bu_3^{\prime}-2,4,6$ bond. The assignments of the relative configurations of the Prⁱ₂N-P-NC₆H₂Bu^t₃-2,4,6 fragment in 5a', 5a" and 5b', 5b" were based on a comparison of their ¹³C NMR data (Table 2) with those of cis-4a and trans-5d (Table 2), the structures of which have been elucidated by X-ray crystallography. Especially indicative are the ${}^{13}C$ parameters (δ and J_{PC}) of the C^{ortho} (R), and of the o-tert-butyl group $C^{13}(H_3CC \text{ and } H_3CC)$ signals which are quite similar for 4a and 5a', 5b'. The same arguments allow the assumption that 6a similar to 5a" and 5b" is of trans configuration in the RNH-P-N-R fragment. The range of ²⁷Al resonances for 4a-6a $[98 < \delta(^{27}Al) < 102]$ is typical for a tetrahedrally co-ordinated aluminium atom.13

Molecular and Crystal Structures of Compounds **4a** and **5d**.— Selected bond lengths and angles for compounds **4a** and **5d** are



listed in Tables 3 and 4; Figs. 1 and 2 show the cation geometry. The C(1)–N(1)(A1)–P–N(2) central bond system in 4a is planar within an average deviation of 0.011(3) Å. The amino group C(19)N(2)C(20) is almost coplanar, whereas the C(1)-C(6)benzene ring is orthogonal to the central plane [dihedral angles 4.7(2.1) and 88.4(1)°] due to the steric repulsions between bulky substituents. The bond configuration for atoms N(1) and N(2) is trigonal planar and that for the A1 atom is tetrahedral. It is worth noting that the conformation of molecule 4a is the same as that in the initial molecule $1.^7$ The N(1)-P-N(2) angle in these two molecules is also practically the same [114.65(9) in 4a and 115.9(3)° in 1]. In contrast the P-N(1)-C(1) angle is decreased from 140.7(4) in 1 to $126.4(1)^{\circ}$ in 4a due to the change in the co-ordination number of N(1) from two to three. On going from 1 to 4a the P-N(1) bond is elongated from 1.539(3) to 1.610(2) Å, whereas P-N(2) is shortened from 1.651(3) to 1.615(2) Å; as a result, P-N(1) and P-N(2)in 4a are almost equal and coincide within experimental error limits with the corresponding values of 1.587(12), $1.601(12)^{10}$ and 1.611(4), 1.615(4) Å observed in the cation $[P(NPr_2)_2]^{+.14}$

Therefore, the P–N bond-length distribution in compound 4a is evidence for a more significant contribution of the zwitterionic form C relative to D. Nevertheless, the abovementioned trend in bond lengths on going from 1 to 4a may be partly attributed to weakening of the $n_{N(1)}-\sigma^*_{P-N(2)}$ interaction as well as to rehybridization of the N(1) atom due to the increasing P–N(1)–C(1) bond angle.

The Al-Cl bond lengths in compound 4a (2.119-2.122, average 2.120 Å) as well as the length of Al-N(1) 1.935(2) Å are unexceptional and virtually coincide with the corresponding

(°) for

0.81(2)

angles

Aromatic C Compound o-H₃CC p-H₃CC o-H₃CC p-H₃CC H_nCN H₃CCN ipso 0 m р 34.2 (1.6) 31.2 37.9 42.0 (13.6) 4a 34.5 133.1 143.0 126.2 148.4 42.7 (41.0) (12.7)(3.6)(1.6)4b 34.2 41.9 (12.7) 143.1 31.2 38.0 34.6 133.5 126.0 148.6 (15.2) 42.3 (40.3) (4.1)(1.3)5a' 34.8 (1.3) 31.3 38.4 50.45 (22.3) 27.6 (15.4) 143.2 34.7 135.85 126.3 148.5 53.4 (12.1) 19.9 (13.9)(3.9) (0.8)5a' 36.8 (6.1) 31.3 39.2 34.7 49.8 (24.0) 27.4 (15.6) 136.1 147.8 127.1 149.5 (1.6)55.4 (12.7) 21.1 (1.8) (18.7)(8.6) (3.7)(4.6)5b' 34.4 (1.8) 38.0 50.5 (22.3) 28.0 (15.4) 135.2 142.7 31.1 34.6 126.2 148.3 53.3 (11.4) 20.2(1.3)(15.8)(4.0)(0.6) (1.2)5b' 36.5 (6.0) 33.0 38.8 36.7 49.5 (24.5) 27.7 (15.1) 135.4 147.0 126.5 149.3 (2.0) 21.4 (1.4) (18.7)54.5 (11.4) (8.4)(3.7)(4.3)39.0 6a 1 36.6 31.2 34.6 132.9 147.0 126.7 148.9 (15)33.8 127.1 **4**d 31 2 36.7 423 35.1148.8 124.1 151.5 5d 33.8 (1.3) 31.2 36.8 35.1 50.0 (22.2) 28.1 (13.7) 128.2 148.7 124.1 151.5 55.4 (10.9) 21.0 (19.3) (5.2) (5.2)(2.5)6d 33.7 (6.1) 31.1 36.9 35.0 128.3 148.3 151.3 124.1 (15.2)(4.7)(1.2)8 31.4 31.0 36.0 35.7 130.1 149.8 122.7 161.0 (4.6) (39.4)

^a In CDCl₃ solution. ^b Signals for 2,4,6-Bu'₃C₆H₂NH: δ 31.1 (*p*-H₃CC), 33.9 (*o*-H₃CC), 34.8 (*p*-H₃CC), 36.9 (*o*-H₃CC), 124.2 (*m*-C_{ar}), 128.1 (²J_{PC} 16 Hz; *ipso*-C_{ar}), 149.0 (*o*-C_{ar}) and 150.3 (*p*-C_{ar}). ^c Signals for 4-Me-2,6-Bu'₂C₆H₂: δ 20.7 (*p*-Me), 29.9 (*o*-H₃CC), 36.0 (*o*-H₃CC), 130.5 (*m*-C_{ar}), 131.0 (*p*-C_{ar}), 152.6 (*o*-C_{ar}) and 153.7 (²J_{PC} 6.7 Hz, *ipso*-C_{ar}).

P-N(1)

Table 3Select(Me2N)PN(AIC)	cted bond distances l ₃)C ₆ H ₂ Bu ⁴ ₃ -2,4,6 4a	(Å) and angles	s (°) for
P-N(1) P-N(2) Al-N(1)	1.610(2) 1.615(2) 1.935(2)	N(1)-C(1) N(2)-C(19) N(2)-C(20)	1.465(3) 1.491(3) 1.489(3)
N(1)-P-N(2) P-N(1)-A1 P-N(1)-C(1) A1-N(1)-C(1)	114.65(9) 108.52(9) 126.4(1) 125.1(1)	P-N(2)-C(19) P-N(2)-C(20) C(19)-N(2)-C(20)	135.0(2) 114.2(2) 110.8(2)

P = N(2) N(2) = C(22)	1.602(1)	N(1)-C(1)	1.453(2)
N(2) = C(22)	1.518(2)	N(2) = C(19)	1.490(2)
N(1) - P - N(2)	107.79(7)	C(19)-N(2)-C(22)	116.3(1)
P-N(1)-C(1)	120.6(2)	P-N(2)-C(19)	124.3(2.0)
P-N(2)-C(19)	128.5(1)	C(1)-N(1)-H	115.0
P-N(2)-C(22)	115.3(1)		

N(1)-H

Table 4 Selected bond distances (Å) and

 $[P(NPr_{2}^{i}){NH(C_{6}H_{2}Bu_{3}^{i}-2,4,6)}]^{+}[CF_{3}SO_{3}]^{-}$ 5d

1.602(1)



Fig. 1 Molecular structure of (Me₂N)PN(AlCl₃)C₆H₂Bu⁴₃-2,4,6 4a



values for $Cl_3Al\cdot NH_2(Bu^i)$ [Al–Cl 2.104–2.120, average 2.112(2); Al–N 1.936(4) Å].¹⁵



Fig. 2 Molecular structure of $[P(NPr^i)_2\{NH(C_6H_2Bu^{\tau}_3\text{-}2,4,6)\}]^+\text{-}[CF_3SO_3]^- 5d$

Molecules **4a** are kept within the crystal by means of normal van der Waals interactions. No shortened intermolecular contacts are observed. The shortest Cl····Cl and Cl····C distances are 3.627(8) and 3.567(5) Å, respectively (the corresponding sums of van der Waals radii are 3.60 and 3.50 Å).¹⁶

As in molecule 4a, the C(1)–N(1)–P–N(2) central bond system in cation 5d is practically planar [deviations from least-squares plane do not exceed 0.010 15(2) Å]. The amino group

Table 2 13 C NMR data,^{*a*} δ (J_{CP} /Hz)

Table 5 Crystal data, structure analysis and refinement^a

	4 a	5d
Formula	C ₂₀ H ₃₅ AlCl ₃ N ₂ P·CH ₂ Cl ₂	$[C_{24}H_{44}N_{2}P]^{+}[CF_{3}SO_{3}]^{-}\cdot CH_{2}Cl_{2}$
Μ	552.8	625.6
Crystal size/mm	$0.14 \times 0.20 \times 0.36$	$0.22 \times 0.25 \times 0.34$
a/Å	9.140(5)	9.194(5)
\dot{b}/\dot{A}	9.415(4)	13.256(5)
c/Å	19.074(9)	14.889(5)
$\alpha/^{\circ}$	96.90(4)	82.72(3)
₿/°	90.40(4)	74.38(4)
ν/°	117.04(4)	72.24(4)
$U/Å^3$	1447.8	1662.2
$D_{\rm s}/{\rm g}~{\rm cm}^{-3}$	1.27	1.25
u/cm^{-1}	55.9	32.1
F(000)	580	664.0
T/°C	-85	- 100
$\theta_{\rm max}^{\prime}/{}^{\rm o}$ for data	54	52
No. of reflections:		
total	3245	4014
unique	2964	3719
in refinement	$2552 [I > 5\sigma(I)]$	$3447 [I > 3\sigma(I)]$
No. variables	289	347
Observations/variables	8.8	9.9
R	0.062	0.047
R'	0.098	0.079
Goodness of fit ^b	4.43	3.55
Largest shift/e.s.d.	0.36	0.92
Maximum peak in final difference map/e $Å^{-3}$	1.01	0.25

^{*a*} Details in common: triclinic, space group $P\overline{1}$; Z = 2; $R = \Sigma(|F_o - F_e|)/\Sigma|F_o|$; $R' = [\Sigma w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2 / \Sigma w|F_o|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$. ^{*b*} A small number of ill fitting reflections were elucidated at the final stages of refinement of both structures. Relatively high values of the goodness of fit are probably connected with the crystal decay (only a linear decay correction was applied). Disorder of the solvent molecule CH₂Cl₂ in **4a** may also be a factor.

C(19)N(2)C(22) is almost coplanar, whereas the C(1)–C(6) benzene ring [dihedral angles being 4.0(4) and 89.0(1)°] is orthogonal to the central plane due to the steric repulsions. The P–N(1) and P–N(2) bond lengths coincide within experimental errors, slightly shorter than the corresponding values in **4a**. In **5d** the cation and the anion are connected by a N(1)–H(N1) ··· O(2) hydrogen bond: N(1)···O(2) 2.809(2), N(1)–H(N1) 0.81(2), H(N1)···O(2) 2.05(2) Å, N(1)–H(N1)–O(2) 156.1(2.8)° (the average statistical value, typical for hydrogen bridges of this type, is 2.89 Å).¹⁷

Experimental

General Comments.—All syntheses were carried out using standard inert-atmosphere techniques and the solvents were dried and distilled under dry argon. Dichloromethane was shaken with concentrated H_2SO_4 , rinsed twice with water, washed with 5% aqueous NaHCO₃, then with water and dried overnight over CaCl₂. After decantation, the solvent was stirred with CaH₂ overnight at reflux and then distilled. Toluene was distilled from sodium–benzophenone and then transferred under vacuum prior to use. Deuteriochloroform was dried over molecular sieves.

Iminophosphanes $1-3^{18}$ and 7^{19} were synthesized as reported previously. Proton, ¹³C and ²⁷Al NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian VXR-300 instrument, and chemical shifts are reported relative to internal SiMe₄ (¹H, ¹³C) and external Al₂(SO₄)₃ (²⁷Al). The ³¹P NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker WP-200 spectrometer using 85% H₃PO₄ as the external reference.

For all phosphanylium salts **4a–6a**, **4b**, **5b** and **4d–6d** and the salt **8** the NMR data are given in Tables 1 and 2.

Crystal Structure Determinations of $(Me_2N)PN(AlCl_3)-C_6H_2Bu^t_3-2,4,6$ 4a and $[P(NPr^i_2){NH(C_6H_2Bu^t_3-2,4,6)}]^+-[CF_3SO_3]^-$ 5d.—Crystal data and details of the data collection and processing are given in Table 5. Fractional

atomic coordinates are listed in Tables 6 and 7. The X-ray structural studies of compounds 4a and 5d were performed on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer using graphite-monochromated Cu-K_{α} radiation ($\lambda = 1.541$ 84 Å, ω -2 θ scan mode, ratio scanning rates $\omega: \theta = 1.2:1$).

Both structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares techniques in the anisotropic approximation. The weighting scheme $w = (\sigma^2 F + 0.00 \ 16F^2)^{-1}$ was used. Only part (about 60%) of the hydrogen atoms in compound 4a were located in the Fourier-difference maps; the positions of the remainder were calculated. In 5d all hydrogen atoms of the cation were located in the Fourierdifference maps and only the positions of two hydrogen atoms of the solvent molecule CH₂Cl₂ were calculated. All hydrogen atoms in both structures were included in the final refinement with fixed positional and thermal parameters $(B_{iso} = 5 \text{ Å}^2 \text{ for } 4a \text{ and } 4 \text{ Å}^2 \text{ for } 5d)$; only atom H(N) in 5d was refined isotropically. Corrections for Lorentz and polarization effects but not for absorption were applied. All structural calculations were carried out on a PDP- $1\overline{1/23}$ + computer using the SDP-PLUS program package.²⁰ Neutral atom scattering factors were taken from the usual source.21

Additional material available from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre comprises H-atom coordinates, thermal parameters and remaining bond lengths and angles.

Preparations.—(Me₂N)PN(AlCl₃)C₆H₂Bu^t₃-2,4,6 **4a**. A solution of (dimethylamino)iminophosphane 1 (5.17 g, 15.5 mmol) in toluene (30 cm³) was added quickly to a suspension of AlCl₃ (2.16 g, 16 mmol) in toluene (20 cm³) at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred vigorously during 3 h, then the light yellow solution was reduced in volume at ambient temperature and the solvent removed *in vacuo*. The residue recrystallized from CH₂Cl₂ afforded colourless solid compound **4a** (4.61 g, 63%), m.p. 136–141 °C (Found: C, 51.55; H, 7.50; Cl, 22.75%).

 $(Me_2N)PN(GaCl_3)C_6H_2Bu_3^{t}-2,4,6$ 4b. A solution of the

Table 6 Atomic coordinates with estimated standard deviations (e.s.d.s) in parentheses for $(Me_2N)PN(AlCl_3)C_6H_2Bu'_3-2,4,6\cdot CH_2Cl_2$ 4a

Atom	x	V	Z
Cl(1)	0.335.3(1)	0.116.9(1)	0.968 15(5)
C(2)	0.0294(2)	-0.2218(1)	0.88048(9)
C(3)	0.379.7(2)	0.010.3(1)	0.791.85(7)
Cl(4A)*	0.351 6(4)	0.0741(3)	0.5410(2)
Cl(4B)*	0.447 7(8)	0.123 7(5)	0.5649(2)
Cl(5A)*	0.648 0(5)	0.270 4(6)	0.629 7(3)
Cl(5B)*	0.695 3(4)	0.391 2(5)	0.656 6(2)
PÌ	-0.0246(1)	0.1344(1)	0.894 19(5)
Al	0.210 6(1)	0.012 6(1)	0.866 85(6)
N(1)	0.1077(3)	0.138 3(3)	0.838 1(2)
N(2)	-0.1201(3)	0.236 5(3)	0.8781(2)
cìń	0.148 2(4)	0.2252(4)	0.776 6(2)
C(2)	0.268 5(4)	0.390 8(4)	0.784 8(2)
C(3)	0.292 5(5)	0.471 6(5)	0.726 6(2)
C(4)	0.205 6(5)	0.400 4(5)	0.6619(2)
C(5)	0.092 7(5)	0.240 3(5)	0.654 7(2)
C(6)	0.059 1(5)	0.147 8(4)	0.7103(2)
C(7)	0.381 4(5)	0.492 3(4)	0.853 2(2)
C(8)	0.523 9(5)	0.450 0(5)	0.858 4(3)
C(9)	0.296 3(5)	0.472 6(5)	0.923 2(2)
C(10)	0.456 9(7)	0.672 4(5)	0.848 5(3)
C(11)	0.237 5(6)	0.500 7(6)	0.599 3(2)
C(12)	0.184(1)	0.625 6(6)	0.618 5(3)
C(13)	0.145 7(9)	0.400 0(7)	0.531 2(3)
C(14)	0.414(1)	0.577(1)	0.586 9(4)
C(15)	-0.0787(6)	-0.0286(5)	0.691 9(2)
C(16)	-0.208(1)	-0.0815(9)	0.738 9(5)
C(17)	-0.139(1)	-0.072 7(9)	0.616 7(3)
C(18)	-0.004(1)	-0.138 8(8)	0.695 5(8)
C(19)	-0.120 1(5)	0.331 6(4)	0.821 1(2)
C(20)	-0.231 6(6)	0.243 5(6)	0.933 5(3)
C(21)	0.469 3(9)	0.196(1)	0.639 0(4)
The atoms	Cl(4) and $Cl(5)$	of the solvent mole	ecule CH.Cl. are

* The atoms Cl(4) and Cl(5) of the solvent molecule CH_2Cl_2 are disordered over two positions with equal occupancy.

phosphane 1 (1.0 g, 3 mmol) in dichloromethane (4 cm³) was added to a stirred ice-cooled suspension of GaCl₃ (0.530 g, 3 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (3 cm³). After stirring for 1 h the solution was concentrated *in vacuo* to a final volume of 3 cm³. Addition of hexane produced a colourless precipitate, which was filtered off, washed with pentane and dried *in vacuo* (1.45 g, 95%), m.p. 84–91 °C (Found: C, 46.80; H, 6.70; Cl, 20.55. Calc. for $C_{20}H_{35}Cl_3GaN_2P$: C, 47.05; H, 6.90; Cl, 20.85%).

 $(Pr_{1}^{i}N)PN(GaCl_{3})C_{6}H_{2}Bu_{3}^{i}-2,4,6$ **5b**. The procedure described above was used to synthesize compound **5b** starting from $(Pr_{1}^{i}N)P=NC_{6}H_{2}Bu_{3}^{i}-2,4,6$ **2** (1.20 g, 3 mmol) and GaCl_{3} (0.53 g, 3 mmol); a white powder was obtained (1.60 g, 93%), m.p. 72 °C (Found: C, 50.95; H, 7.60; Cl, 18.50. Calc. for $C_{24}H_{43}Cl_{3}GaN_{2}P$: C, 50.85; H, 7.65; Cl, 18.55%).

Reaction of phosphanes 1–3 with trifluoromethanesulfonic acid: salts 4d–6d. Trifluromethanesulfonic acid (15.7 mg, 10 mmol) was added dropwise by a microsyringe to a solution of the phosphane 1 (3.34 g, 10 mmol), 2 (3.90 g, 10 mmol) or 3 (5.50 g, 10 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 cm³) at – 78 °C. After the addition was complete the solution was warmed to room temperature and concentrated *in vacuo* to *ca*. 6 cm³; addition of pentane resulted in slow precipitation of colourless and hygroscopic solids: 4d (3.12 g, 93%), m.p. 110 °C (decomp.) (Found: C, 51.85; H, 7.70; F, 11.55. Calc. for C₂₁H₃₆F₃N₂O₃PS: C, 52.05; H, 7.50; F, 11.75%); 5d (3.56 g, 91%), m.p. 100 °C (decomp.) (Found: C, 55.55; H, 8.30; F, 10.45. Calc. for C₂₅H₄₄F₃N₂O₃PS: C, 55.55; H, 8.20; F, 10.55%); 6d (4.03 g, 73%), m.p. 186–193 °C (Found: C, 63.35; H, 8.75; F, 8.05. Calc. for C₃₇H₆₀F₃N₂O₃PS: C, 63.40; H, 8.65; F, 8.15%).

 $[P \equiv NC_6H_2Bu_3^{t}-2,4,6]^{+}[(R'O)AlCl_3]^{-} 8 (R' = C_6H_2Bu_2^{t}-2,4,6]^{+}[(R'O)AlCl_3]^{-} 8 (R' = C_6H_2Bu_3^{t}-2,4,6]^{+}[(R'O)AlCl_3]^{-} (R' = C_6H_2Bu_3^{t}-2,4,6]^{+}[(R' = C_$

Atom	x	v	7
CI(1)	0.218.52(0)	2 0 542 27(7)	0 252 92(6)
C(1)	0.218(33(9)) 0.188(2(1))	0.342.57(7) 0.628.40(8)	0.23282(0) 0.43007(7)
C(2)	0.100 2(1) 0.215 54(7)	0.02840(8) 0.10240(5)	0.43007(7)
D	0.213.34(7) 0.755.10(7)	0.10240(3) 0.20200(5)	$0.738\ 30(3)$
F(1)	0.75519(7)	-0.20200(3)	0.73788(3)
F(2)	0.0347(3)	0.245 5(2) 0.126 8(2)	0.802.3(2)
F(3)	0.2407(3)	0.1200(2)	0.902 = 4(1)
O(1)	$0.040 \ 9(3)$	0.0030(2) 0.1213(2)	0.700.9(2)
O(2)	0.0700(2)	-0.0086(1)	0.703 J(2) 0.748 $4(1)$
O(3)	0.2977(2) 0.3147(2)	0.0000(1)	0.7000(1)
N(1)	0.5711(2)	-0.177.9(2)	0.766.2(1)
N(2)	0.7942(2)	-0.0907(2)	0.7291(1)
C(I)	0.501.3(2)	-0.2641(2)	0.7533(2)
C(2)	0.436 8(3)	-0.3041(2)	0.8424(2)
C(3)	0.362 4(3)	-0.3813(2)	0.8457(2)
C(4)	0.348 2(3)	-0.4189(2)	0.7671(2)
C(5)	0.4175(3)	-0.3789(2)	0.680 9(2)
C(6)	0.493 9(3)	-0.3009(2)	0.670 4(2)
C(7)	0.442 3(3)	-0.2659(2)	0.935 7(2)
C(8)	0.342 6(4)	-0.3149(3)	1.020 2(2)
C(9)	0.373 4(5)	-0.1452(3)	0.942 8(2)
C(10)	0.609 9(4)	-0.302 0(3)	0.948 7(2)
C(11)	0.268 3(3)	-0.507 0(2)	0.774 4(2)
C(12)	0.142 2(4)	-0.5043(2)	0.865 6(3)
C(13)	0.394 6(4)	-0.613 8(2)	0.769 0(3)
C(14)	0.189 9(3)	-0.497 1(2)	0.694 5(2)
C(15)	0.567 6(3)	-0.2630(2)	0.570 6(2)
C(16)	0.747 7(3)	-0.3088(3)	0.546 0(2)
C(17)	0.524 9(3)	-0.1417(2)	0.554 3(2)
C(18)	0.510 0(3)	-0.3028(2)	0.497 3(2)
C(19)	0.687 0(3)	0.018 3(2)	0.719 0(2)
C(20)	0.660 9(4)	0.080 0(3)	0.803 2(2)
C(21)	0.751 4(4)	0.073 5(3)	0.627 9(2)
C(22)	0.962 7(3)	-0.0976(2)	0.7277(2)
C(23)	1.003 5(4)	-0.149 9(3)	0.816 5(3)
C(24)	1.073 9(4)	-0.1513(3)	0.642 3(3)
C(25)	0.135 3(4)	0.141 2(2)	0.85/4(3)
C(26)	0.1212(5)	0.03/ 5(4)	0.332 3(4)

2,6-Me-4). The (aryloxy)iminophosphane 7 (3.12 g, 6.2 mmol) was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (20 cm³) and this solution was added to a stirred, ice-cooled suspension of AlCl₃ (8.66 g, 6.4 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (10 cm³). The deep orange solution was stirred during 6 h at room temperature, then the solvent was evaporated *in vacuo* yielding an orange microcrystalline solid compound **8** (3.98 g, 95%). This was recrystallized from $CHCl_3$ -hexane (1:1) at -30 °C, m.p. 125 °C (with decomposition) (Found: C, 61.30; H, 8.15; Cl, 16.90. Calc. for $C_{33}H_{52}AlCl_3NOP$: C, 61.60; H, 8.15; Cl, 16.55%).

Acknowledgements

Acknowledgement is made to the State Committee of the Ukraine for Science and Technology, the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) and the Université Paul Sabatier (France) for financial support. We thank Mrs. A. Rozhenko and V. Polovinko for recording NMR spectra.

References

- E. Niecke and R. Kroher, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1976, 15, 692;
 Z. Naturforsch., Teil B, 1979, 34, 837.
- 2 A. H. Cowley and R. A. Kemp, Chem. Rev., 1985, 85, 367.
- 3 M. Sanchez, M. R. Mazières, L. Lamandé and R. Wolf, in *Multiple Bonds and Low Coordination in Phosphorus Chemistry*, eds. M. Regitz and O. J. Scherer, Georg Thieme, Stuttgart, 1990, p. 129.
- 4 E. Niecke, M. Nieger and F. Reichert, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1988, 27, 1715.

- 5 V. D. Romanenko, A. V. Ruban, A. N. Chernega, M. I. Povolotskii, M. Yu. Antipin, Yu. T. Struchkov and L. N. Markovskii, Zh. Obshch. Khim., 1988, 58, 948; A. N. Chernega, A. V. Ruban, V. D. Romanenko, L. N. Markovskii, A. A. Korkin, M. Yu. Antipin and Yu. T. Struchkov, Heteroatom Chem., 1991, 2, 229.
- 6 W. W. Schoeller, T. Busch and E. Niecke, Chem. Ber., 1990, 123, 1653; E. Niecke and D. Gudat, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1991, 30, 217.
- 7 A. N. Chernega, M. Yu. Antipin, Yu. T. Struchkov, A. V. Ruban and V. D. Romanenko, Zh. Obshch. Khim., 1989, 30, 105.
- 8 E. Niecke, R. Detsch, M. Nieger, F. Reichert and W. W. Schoeller, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 1993, 130, 25.
- 9 H. Bock, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1989, 28, 1627 and refs. therein.
- 10 N. Burford, P. Losier, P. K. Bakshi and T. S. Cameron, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1993, 201. 11 E. Niecke, G. David, R. Detsch, B. Kramer, M. Nieger and P.
- Wenderoth, Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem., 1993, 76, 285.
- 12 D. Gudat, M. Nieger and E. Niecke, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1989, 693.
- 13 H. Haraguchi and S. Fujiwara, J. Phys. Chem., 1969, 73, 3467.
- 14 A. H. Cowley, M. C. Cushner and J. S. Szobota, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1978, 100, 7784.

- 15 W. Clegg, U. Klingebiel, J. Neemann and G. M. Sheldrick, J. Organomet. Chem., 1983, 249, 47.
- 16 L. Pauling, The Nature of the Chemical Bond, Cornell University Press, Ithaca, NY, 1960.
- 17 L. N. Kuleshova and P. M. Zorky, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B, 1981, 37, 1363.
- 18 L. N. Markovskii, V. D. Romanenko, A. V. Ruban, A. B. Drapailo, G. V. Reitel, A. N. Chernega and M. I. Povolotskii, *Zh. Obshch.* Khim., 1990, 60, 2453
- 19 L. N. Markovskii, V. D. Romanenko, A. V. Ruban, A. B. Drapailo, A. N. Chernega, M. Yu. Antipin and Yu. T. Struchkov, Zh. Obshch. Khim., 1988, 58, 291.
- 20 B. A. Frenz, in Computing in Crystallography, eds. H. Schenk, R. Olthof-Hazekamp, H. van Koningsveld and G. C. Bassi, Delft University Press, Delft, 1976, pp. 64-71.
- 21 International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography, Kynoch Press, Birmingham, 1974, vol. 4, p. 9.

Received 18th April 1994; Paper 4/02282C