Chemical Science

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: A. Das, J. Nutting and S. S. Stahl, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, DOI: 10.1039/C9SC02609F.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



rsc.li/chemical-science

View Article Online

View Journal

Chemical Science



EDGE ARTICLE

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

www.rsc.org/

Electrochemical C–H oxygenation and alcohol dehydrogenation involving Fe-oxo species using water as the oxygen source

Amit Das,[†] Jordan E. Nutting,[†] and Shannon S. Stahl*

High-valent iron-oxo complexes are key intermediates in C–H functionalization reactions. Herein, we report generation of a (TAML)Fe-oxo species (TAML = tetraamido macrocyclic ligand) via electrochemical proton-coupled oxidation of the corresponding (TAML)Fe^{III}–OH₂ complex. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) and spectroelectrochemical studies are used to elucidate the relevant (TAML)Fe redox processes and determine the predominant (TAML)Fe species present in solution during bulk electrolysis. Evidence for iron(IV) and iron(V) species is presented, and these species are used in the electrochemical oxygenation of benzylic C–H bonds and dehydrogenation of alcohols to ketones.

Introduction

High valent iron-oxo species are implicated as key catalytic intermediates in a number of enzyme-mediated oxidation reactions,¹ including those with heme- and non-heme active sites. Iron(IV)- and iron(V)-oxo species are key intermediates that effect C–H oxygenation, among other transformations. The reactive nature of these high valent species and their ability to carry out challenging and important synthetic transformations has motivated efforts to design and synthesize high-valent iron-oxo complexes.² Considerable success has been achieved, both in the synthesis of well-defined high-valent complexes and in the development and application of iron-based catalysts for selective oxidation of organic molecules.³

The generation of high valent iron-oxo complexes typically proceeds via reaction of a reduced iron precursor with an oxygen-atom transfer reagent, such as iodosylbenzene, a peracid, or hydrogen peroxide.⁴ An appealing alternative approach would utilize water as the oxygen-atom source and generate the iron-oxo species via electrochemical protoncoupled oxidation of an Fe–OH₂ complex, resembling the pathway involved in photosynthetic water oxidation.5 Electrochemical generation of iron-oxo species from reduced Fe–OH/OH₂ species has been demonstrated,⁶ especially in the context of molecular iron-based catalysts for electrochemical water oxidation.^{7,8} The investigation of analogous reactivity for electrochemical oxidation of organic molecules however has been limited to electroanalytical studies9 or to the mineralization of organic pollutants.^{10,11} On the other hand, stoichiometric one-electron oxidants, such as cerium(IV) ammonium nitrate (CAN), have been used to promote catalytic oxidation of organic molecules by high valent metal-oxo species using water as an oxygen-atom source.^{12,13} For example, Fukuzumi and Nam generated a tetrapyridylamine-ligated ironoxo complex via oxidation of the corresponding iron(II) complex in the presence of water with CAN and showed that this complex could support catalytic oxygenation of thioethers and C–H bonds.¹⁴ Analogous catalytic reactivity was demonstrated with other non-heme iron complexes¹⁵ and has been extended to photochemical processes by employing a photocatalyst in combination with stoichiometric [Co^{III}(NH₃)Cl]²⁺ or persulphate as the terminal oxidant.^{6c,16}

Electrochemical oxidation of Fe-OH₂ complexes would bypass the need for stoichiometric chemical oxidants of the type noted above, as the reaction could be coupled to proton reduction at the cathode to generate H_2 as the sole byproduct. Here, we report the first preparative electrochemical method for oxidation of organic molecules involving generation of a molecular metal-oxo species using water as the source of oxygen. The catalyst consists of an iron complex bearing TAML as an ancillary ligand (TAML = tetraamido macrocyclic ligand), which was pioneered by Collins and co-workers for ironcatalyzed oxidation of organic molecules with hydrogen peroxide.17 Cyclic voltammetry (CV) and spectroelectrochemical studies are used to characterize the catalyst resting state during bulk electrolysis conditions, and the TAML-ligated iron catalyst is then used in the electrochemical oxidation of a series of alcohols and benzylic C-H substrates.

Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 1101 University Avenue, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, USA. E-mail: <u>stahl@chem.wisc.edu</u>.

⁺ These authors contributed equally to this work

[‡] Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental details, procedures, and spectroscopic data. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Scheme 1. Different approaches for the generation of high valent iron-oxo species (left) and illustration of the application of electrochemical iron-oxo generation to the selective oxidation of organic molecules (right).

Please do not adjust margins

electrochemical C-H oxidation of organic molecules.

Results and discussion

To begin our studies, we identified two molecular iron complexes previously reported to promote electrochemical water oxidation, anticipating that the high valent iron-oxo intermediates formed in this reaction could also promote C-H hydroxylation of organic substrates. The two complexes six-coordinate Fe^{III}–OH₂ consisted of a complex. [(dpaq)Fe^{III}(OH₂)]²⁺ (dpaq = 2-[bis(pyridine-2-ylmethyl)]amino-N-quinolin-8-yl-acetamido), reported by Meyer and coworkers,^{7a} and the commercially available [(TAML)Fe^{III}(OH₂)]Na complex 1 developed by Collins and co-workers.^{7b} Preliminary studies of the (dpaq)Fe complex, however, showed that it is unstable under the buffered conditions initially chosen to explore electrochemical reactions with organic substrates (1:1 $CH_3CN:H_2O$, pH = 6-9) (see ESI^{\ddagger} for details). Therefore, the ensuing efforts focused on reactions with the (TAML)Fe complex. TAML, and a number of closely related analogs, are strongly donating tetraanionic macrocyclic ligands that stabilize high oxidation states of iron, including $\rm Fe^{V,17}$ For example, [(TAML)Fe^V(O)]⁻ species generated by reaction of the Fe^{III} precursor with meta-chloroperbenzoic acid (mCPBA)^{4a,g,18} or NaOCl¹⁹ or by photochemical oxidation^{16c} promote the oxidation of sulfides, alcohols, and sp³ C–H bonds.²⁰

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) analysis of 1 in CH₃CN reveals two reversible redox features at 620 mV and 1200 mV vs. Ag/AgCl (Fig. 1A), which are attributed to the generation of Fe^{IV} and Fe^{V} species, respectively.7b,d,19a,21 Efforts to analyze the CV of 1 in aqueous solution showed that this complex decomposes rapidly via demetallation of the TAML ligand at pH < 6 (see ESI[‡] for details).²² In a mixed acetonitrile-water solution with K₂HPO₄ as the supporting electrolyte (pH ~8.5), CV analysis reveals the presence of three irreversible redox features (Fig. 1B). The current response for the redox feature at ca. 1250 mV is significantly higher than for the two lower potential features. Control studies show that this enhanced current response does not reflect (TAML)Fe-catalyzed oxidation of water or CH₃CN under these conditions (see ESI[‡] for details), suggesting that it corresponds to the self-promoted ligand oxidization that has been characterized in chemical oxidation studies with (TAML)Fe complexes.^{17b,23} Addition of ethylbenzene to this solution reveals a further increase in current at the redox feature at 1250 mV (Fig. 1B), implicating electrocatalytic turnover of the (TAML)Fe species via reaction with ethylbenzene at this potential.

To gain further insights into the redox behavior of (TAML)Fe species under these conditions, the pH of the aqueous electrolyte was varied with different phosphate buffers while monitoring the redox potentials for the (TAML)Fe redox features by differential pulse voltammetry (DPV, Fig. 2). Only the first redox feature (i) exhibits a dependence on the pH, shifting to more negative potentials with increasing pH. The



other two features (ii, iii) are unaffected by changes in the pH. A plot of the pH dependence of redox feature *i* exhibits a slope of -59 mV/pH, corresponding to a 1e⁻/1H⁺ stoichiometry and is consistent with proton-coupled oxidation of **1** to a [Fe^{IV}–OH] species (Fig. 2B). Control experiments show that redox feature *ii* disappears in the absence of the HPO₄²⁻ buffer, suggesting that this redox step corresponds to a Fe^{III/IV} process involving an Fe complex bearing HPO₄²⁻ as an axial ligand (see ESI[‡] for details). Finally, the potential of feature iii, assigned to the Fe^{IV/V} redox process, does not vary as the solution pH is changed (Fig. 2).

In anticipation of using (TAML)Fe complex 1 as a mediator for electrosynthetic applications, we investigated the behavior of 1 under bulk electrolysis conditions in a divided cell. A reticulated vitreous carbon (RVC) working electrode was paired with a Pt wire counter electrode, and a fiber-optic UV-visible dip probe was used to record optical spectra of the bulk solution during electrolysis. Bulk electrolysis was conducted with a solution of 1 in 1:1 CH₃CN:H₂O with K₂HPO₄ (0.1 M) at applied potentials of 800 and 1250 mV (Fig. 3). Electrolysis of the solution at 800 mV for 15 min led to clear changes in (TAML)Fe species in solution, and the spectral data reveal conversion of the Fe^{III} species 1 into the dimeric oxo-bridged iron(IV) complex 3 (Fig. 3A).²⁴ Electrolysis at an applied potential of 1250 mV led to the same spectral changes, albeit with more rapid formation of 3 (ca. 6 min) (Fig. 3B). Continued electrolysis at this potential leads to slow bleaching of the solution, implicating the onset of self-promoted decomposition of the high-valent (TAML)Fe species in solution (see ESI[‡] for details). ^{17b,23}

Formation of the oxo-bridged (TAML)Fe^{IV} dimer 3 at both electrolysis potentials was initially unexpected; however, these observations are readily rationalized from the CV data and

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence

Open Access Article. Published on 27 June 2019. Downloaded on 6/27/2019 5:36:34 PM



Journal Name

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence

Open Access Article. Published on 27 June 2019. Downloaded on 6/27/2019 5:36:34 PM

A) 20 pH 6.0 (iii) pH 6.5 . pH 7.0 16 pH 7.5 (i) 12 (ii) i/ Ju 8 4 0 -0 1 04 08 12 E / V (vs Ag/AgCl) B) 1.2 Fe^V 1.1 (iii) 1 E / V (vs Ag/AgCI) 0.9 FeⅣ 0.8 0.7 -0.059x + 0.997 0.6 Fe∥ 0.5 0.4 5.5 8.5 6.5 pH (Aqueous 7.5 Buffer)

Figure 2. A) Differential pulse voltammograms of 0.5 mM 1 in 1:1 CH₃CN:buffer (0.1 M phosphate). B) Pourbaix diagram derived from the peak potentials of redox features (*i*) and (*iii*) in (A).

previous studies of (TAML)Fe species with chemical oxidants.^{23b} The pH-dependent CV data in Fig. 2 suggest that electrochemical oxidation of **1** generates the [Fe^{IV}-OH] species **2** in a 1e⁻/1H⁺ process, and formation of **3** can arise from the dimerization of **2**.²⁵ The (TAML)Fe^V(O) species **4** has been shown to react rapidly with **1** to generate **3**. For example, treatment of **1** with 0.5 equiv of mCPBA affords oxo-bridged (TAML)Fe^{IV} dimer **3**.^{23a,26} Complex **4** is not directly detected under the electrochemical conditions of Fig. 3B; however, it is expected to react rapidly react with **1** in solution after being generated at the electrode, to afford **3**.

The identical (TAML)Fe speciation at the two electrolysis potentials is contrasted by different rates of substrate oxidation during electrochemical (TAML)Fe-catalyzed oxidation of organic molecules. Ethylbenzene and 1-phenylethanol were evaluated as substrates for electrochemical oxidation in the presence of 10 mol% 1, and constant potential electrolysis was conducted at 800 and 1250 mV. Both substrates generate acetophenone as the product; however, the rate of product formation varies with the substrate and applied potential. The formation of acetophenone from ethylbenzene is nearly 10-fold faster at 1250 mV relative to the rate at 800 mV, while oxidation of 1phenylethanol exhibits an ca. five-fold increase in rate at the higher potential (Fig. 4). Small amounts of 1-phenylethanol are observed during the oxidation of ethylbenzene, but this intermediate does not build up to significant concentrations during the electrolysis, consistent with the much faster oxidation of 1-phenylethanol.

The electrolysis results are consistent with previous

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

Please do not adjust margins



Figure 3. A) UV-vis spectra recorded for 0.5 mM **1** undergoing bulk electrochemical oxidation at 800 mV (vs Ag/AgCl) in 1:1 CH₃CN:H₂O with and K₂HPO₄ (0.1 M) in a divided cell. Final spectra collected after 15.3 min of bulk electrolysis. B) UV-vis spectra recorded for 0.5 mM (TAML)Fe undergoing bulk electrochemical oxidation at 1250 mV (vs Ag/AgCl) in 1:1 CH₃CN:H₂O with and K₂HPO₄ (0.1 M) in a divided cell at a RVC electrode. Final spectra collected after 6.7 min of bulk electrolysis. Inset) LSV of bulk electrolysis solution at the RVC working electrochemical oxidation beyond the final spectra shown in (B) leads first to steady state absorption features followed by overall loss of all (TAML)Fe absorption features.

observations that the Fe^{IV} dimer **3** is capable of oxidizing organic molecules²⁶; however, they also show that conditions capable of generating Fe^V (i.e., at 1250 mV) lead to even faster reactivity. The steady-state bulk concentration of Fe^{IV} dimer **3** is not affected by the electrolysis potential (see ESI[‡] for details). Therefore, the increased oxidation rate at 1250 mV is attributed to the generation of the highly reactive, but unobserved, Fe^V(O) species at the electrode, which will promote rapid reaction with the substrate.²⁷

Electrochemical oxidation of ethylbenzene under constant potential electrolysis conditions at 1250 mV with **1** as the catalyst generates acetophenone in 79% yield. A 55% Faradaic efficiency was observed during this reaction, probably reflecting that the

J. Name., 2013, 00, 1-3 | 3

COMMUNICATION

View Article Online

COMMUNICATION







Figure 4. Formation of acetophenone during the first two hours of (TAML)Fe catalyzed electrochemical oxidation of ethylbenzene (circles, 20 mM) or 1-phenylethanol (triangles, 20 mM) at applied potential of 1250 mV (red) and 800 mV (black) (vs Ag/AgCl) in 1:1 CH₃CN:H₂O and 0.1 M K₂HPO₄ in a divided cell.

background self-oxidation of (TAML)Fe species competes with productive reaction with the substrate.^{17b,23} A series of additional substrates containing benzylic C-H bonds was then tested under the electrochemical oxidation conditions (Figure 5A; see ESI[‡] for details). Evaluation of modified ethylbenzene derivatives showed good performance with electron-rich and -neutral derivatives (5-7), while lower reactivity was observed with the very electron-deficient nitrosubstituted derivative (8). The reaction with isobutylbenzene proceeds with high selectively (10), arising exclusively from reaction at the benzylic position; no tertiary C-H oxidation product was detected. Similarly, no oxidation of the tertiary benzylic C–H position was observed in the reaction with the methyl ester of ibuprofen (11), probably reflecting both steric and electronic (i.e., from the electronwithdrawing ester) effects. The reaction also shows some tolerance of a pyridine substituent (12), but low conversion and yield is observed with the less reactive 2-ethylpyridine substrate (17). Pyridine coordination to Fe by these complexes could inhibit reactivity.²⁵ We also examined the electrochemical oxidation of a small series of secondary alcohols (Figure 5B). This reaction is more facile than benzylic C-H oxidation, and only 5 mol% catalyst was needed to observe good reactivity. In spite of the simplicity of this cyclohexanol as a substrate, the good yield observed with this substrate (13, 85%) is noteworthy because a recent electrochemical oxidation of cyclohexanol with a different molecular Fe-based catalyst led to mineralization of this substrate (i.e., production of CO₂).¹⁰ Good-to- excellent yields were observed with a series of more interesting alcohols under the electrolysis conditions (14-16). Many of the yields in Figure 5 were determined by GC or ¹H NMR analysis due to the volatility of the products; however, the steroidal ketone 15 was isolated in 97% yield. The ineffectiveness of electron-rich substrates, such as 18-20 in Figure 5C reflects the ability of these substrates to undergo direct electron-transfer at potentials below



Figure 5. Assessment of (TAML)Fe-catalyzed electrochemical oxidation of substrates containing a benzylic C–H bond or alcohol functional group. ^a Reactions performed in a divided H-cell under N₂ atmosphere with graphite:Pt electrodes at a constant applied potential of 1250 mV vs. Ag/AgCl. Anolyte: 0.1 mmol substrate, 0.1 M K₂HPO₄, 2.5 mL H₂O, 2.5 mL CH₃CN. Catholyte: 0.1 M K₂HPO₄, 2.5 mL H₂O, 2.5 mL CH₃CN. Catholyte: 0.1 M K₂HPO₄, 2.5 mL H₂O, 2.5 mL CH₃CN. ^b Yield% (conversion%) estimated by GC analysis with bromobenzene internal standard; ^c Yield% (conversion%) estimated by ¹H NMR analysis with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene internal standard. n.d. = not determined

1250 mV (see ESI[‡] for details).²⁸ In general, this electrocatalytic approach does not yet achieve the breadth of reactivity demonstrated with (TAML)Fe and related catalysts that utilize chemical oxidants.^{17,18} The most significant constraint appears to be the oxidative self-decomposition of the (TAML)Fe catalyst under the bulk electrolysis conditions, which limits the catalyst lifetime (see Section 10 of the ESI[‡]).

In spite of existing limitations, the data in Figure 5 establish an important benchmark for electrochemical oxidation of organic molecules. While electrochemical generation of transition-metal oxo species via proton-coupled oxidation of metal-aqua complexes is well established for water oxidation, analogous reactivity has not previously been demonstrated for preparative electrochemical oxidation of organic molecules. This approach warrants further development, and it would complement the application of organic hydrogen-atom transfer (HAT) mediators, such as *N*-hydroxyphthalimide^{28a,29,30} and nitrogen-centered radicals^{28b,31} in electrochemical HAT-based oxidation of organic molecules.

ÓМе

Conclusions

Journal Name

The results outlined herein demonstrate the electrochemical generation of high valent iron species via proton-coupled oxidation of a TAML-ligated Fe^{III}–OH₂ complex, and the application of this reaction to preparative electrochemical oxidation of organic molecules. Voltammetry analysis reveals the sequential formation of Fe^{IV} and Fe^V species at increasing potentials (800 and 1250 mV, respectively). Electrolysis of [(TAML)Fe^{III}(OH₂)]⁻ at the higher potential results in accumulation of the oxo-bridged, dimeric (TAML)Fe^{IV} species ${\bf 3}$ in solution, attributed to the generation of Fe^V at the electrode followed by comproportionation with Fe^{III} in solution. Sustained electrolysis at this potential provides the basis for selective electrochemical organic oxidation reactions, including oxygenation of benzylic C-H bonds and dehydrogenation of alcohols.

These results establish an important foundation for future studies, such as those focused on the development of more robust metal complexes. The self-promoted decomposition of the high-valent intermediates generated under these reaction conditions limits the Faradaic efficiency and the catalyst lifetime during electrolysis. Furthermore, complexes that exhibit stability under acidic conditions could support broader functional group compatibility by taking advantage of the ability to protect amines and other basic functional groups via protonation with a Brønsted acid.³²

Conflict of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This project was initiated with financial support from an NSF CCI grant (CHE-1305124) and completed with support from the DOE (DE-FG02-05ER15690). JEN acknowledges the NSF for a predoctoral fellowship (DGE-1747503). The spectrometers were supported by NSF grant CHE-1048642 and by a generous gift from Paul J. and Margaret M. Bender, and the mass spectrometer was supported by NIH grant NIH 1S10 OD020022-1.

Notes and references

- a) Sono, M.; Roach, M. P.; Coulter, E. D.; Dawson, J. H. *Chem. Rev.* 1996, 96, 2841–2887. b) Meunier, B.; de Visser, S. P.; Shaik, S. *Chem. Rev.* 2004, 104, 3947–3980. c) Groves, J. T. J. *Inorg. Biochem.* 2006, 100, 434–447. d) Krebs, C.; Fujimori, D. G.; Walsh, C. T.; Bollinger, J. M., Jr. Acc. Chem. Res. 2007, 40, 484–492. e) Ortiz de Montellano, P. R. *Chem. Rev.* 2010, 110, 932–948. f) Ortiz de Montellano, P. R. *Cytochrome P450: Structure, Mechanism, and Biochemistry*, 4th ed.; Springer: New York, 2015. g) Huang, X.; Groves, J. T. *Chem. Rev.* 2018, 118, 2491–2553.
- 2 a) Shan, X.; Que, L., Jr. J. Inorg. Biochem. 2006, 100, 421–433. b)

COMMUNICATION

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C9SC02609F

Nam, W. Acc. Chem. Res. **2007**, 40, 522–531. c) Que, L., Jr.; Tolman, W. B. Nature **2008**, 455, 333–340. d) Englemann, X.; Monte-Pérez, I.; Ray, K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2016**, 55, 2–20. e) Guo, M.; Corona, T.; Ray, K.; Nam, W. ACS Cent. Sci. **2019**, 5, 13– 28.

- a) Che, C.-M.; Lo, V. K.-L.; Zhou, C.-Y.; Huang, J.-S. Chem. Soc. Rev.
 2011, 40, 1950–1975. b) Costas, M. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2011, 255,
 2912–2932. c) Oloo, W. N.; Que, L., Jr. Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48,
 2612–2621. d) White, M. C.; Zhao, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140,
 13988–14009.
- a) de Oliveira, F. T.; Chanda, A.; Banerjee, D.; Shan, X.; Mondal, 4 S.; Que, L., Jr.; Bominaar, E. L.; Münck, E.; Collins, T. J. Science 2007, 315, 835-838. b) Comba, P.; Wunderlich, S. Chem. Eur. J. 2010, 16, 7293-7299. c) Seo, M. S.; Kim, N. H.; Cho, K.-B.; So, J. E.; Park, S. K.; Clémancey, M.; Garcia-Serres, R.; Latour, J.-M.; Shaik, S.; Nam, W. Chem. Sci. 2011, 2, 1039-1045. d) Prat, I.; Mathieson, J. S.; Güell, M.; Ribas, X.; Luis, J. M.; Cronin, L.; Costas, M. Nature Chem. 2011, 3, 788-793. e) Wilson, S. A.; Chen, J.; Hong, S.; Lee, Y.-M.; Clémancey, M.; Garcia-Serres, R.; Nomura, T.; Ogura, T.; Latour, J.-M.; Hedman, B.; Hodgson, K. O.; Nam, W.; Solomon, E. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 11791-11806. f) England, J.; Bigelow, J. O.; Van Heuvelen, K. M.; Farquhar, E. R.; Martinho, M.; Meier, K. K.; Frisch, J. R.; Münck, E.; Que, L., Jr. Chem. Sci. 2014, 5, 1204–1215. g) Ghosh, M.; Singh, K. K.; Panda, C.; Weitz, A.; Hendrich, M. P.; Collins, T. J.; Dhar, B. B.; Gupta, S. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 9524-9527. h) Biswas, A. N.; Puri, M.; Meier, K. K.; Oloo, W. N.; Rohde, G. T.; Bominaar, E. L.; Münck, E.; Que, L., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 2428-2431. i) Serrano-Plana, J.; Oloo, W. N.; Acosta-Rueda, L.; Meier, K. K.; Verdejo, B.; García-España, E.; Basallote, M. G.; Münck, E. Que, L., Jr.; Company, A.; Costas, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 15833-15842.
- a) McEvoy, J. P.; Brudvig, G. W. *Chem. Rev.* 2006, *106*, 4455–4483. b) Renger, G.; Renger, T. *Photosynth. Res.* 2008, *98*, 53–80. c) Gupta, R.; Taguchi, T.; Lassalle-Kaiser, B.; Bominaar, E. L.; Yano, J.; Hendrich, M. P.; Borovik, A. S. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* 2015, *112*, 5319–5324.
- 6 For electrochemical generation of high valent iron-oxo complexes (i.e., non-catalytic examples), see: a) Lee, W. A.; Calderwood, T. S.; Bruice, T. C. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **1985**, *82*, 4301–4305. b) Collins, M. J.; Ray, K.; Que, L., Jr. *Inorg. Chem.* **2006**, *45*, 8009–8011. c) Kotani, H.; Suenobu, T.; Lee, Y.-M.; Nam, W.; Fukuzumi, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 3249–3251. d) Wang, D.; Ray, K.; Collins, M. J.; Farquhar, E. R.; Frisch, J. R.; Gómez, L.; Jackson, T. A.; Kerscher, M.; Waleska, A.; Comba, P.; Costas, M.; Que, L., Jr. *Chem. Sci.* **2013**, *4*, 282–291.
- a) Coggins, M. K.; Zhang, M.-T.; Vannucci, A. K.; Dares, C. J.; Meyer, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 5531–5534. b) Demeter, E. L.; Hilburg, S. L.; Washburn, N. R.; Collins, T. J.; Kitchin, J. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 5603–5606. c) Okamura, M; Kondo, M.; Kuga, R.; Kurashige, Y.; Yanai, T.; Hayami, S.; Praneeth, V. K. K.; Yoshida, M.; Yoneda, K.; Kawata, S.; Masaoka, S. Nature, 2016, 530, 465–468. d) Pattanayak, S.; Chowdhury, D. R.; Garai, B.; Singh, K. K.; Paul, A.; Dhar, B. B.; Gupta, S. S. Chem. Eur. J. 2017, 23, 3414–3424. e) Kottrup, K. G.; D'Agostini, S.; van Langevelde, P. H.; Siegler, M. A.; Hetterscheid, D. G. H. ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 1052–1061.
- 8 For general reviews of water oxidation with molecular electrocatalysts, see: a) Sala, X.; Romero, I. Rodriguez, M.; Escriche, L.; Llobet, A. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 2842–2852. b) Kärkäs, M. D.; Verho, O.; Johnston, E. V.; Åckermark, B. Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 11863–12001. c) Blakemore, J. D.; Crabtree, R. H.; Brudvig, G. W. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 12974–13005. d) Zhang, B.; Sun, L. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2019, 48, 2216–2264.

- 9 a) Hickman, D. L.; Goff, H.M. *Inorg. Chem.* 1983, *22*, 2787–2789.
 b) Groves, J. T.; Gilbert, J. A. *Inorg. Chem.* 1986, *25*, 123–125. c) Lee, T.-s.; Su, Y. O. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 1996, *414*, 69–73. d) Vannucci, A. K.; Hull, J. F.; Chen, Z.; Binstead, R. A.; Concepcion, J. J.; Meyer, T. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012, *134*, 3972–3975. e) Vannucci, A. K.; Chen, Z.; Concepcion, J. J.; Meyer, T. J. *ACS Catal.* 2012, *2*, 716–719.
- de Sousa, D. P.; Miller, C. J.; Chang, Y.; Waite, T. D.; McKenzie, C. J. Inorg. Chem. 2017, 56, 14936–14947.
- 11 A conceptually different approach to electrochemical generation of an Fe-oxo species relies on reduction of an Fe^{III} complex, followed by activation of O_2 by the reduced Fe species: Mukherjee, M.; Dey, A. ACS Cent. Sci. **2019**, *5*, 671–682.
- 12 For a review see: Fukuzumi, S.; Kojima, T.; Lee, Y.-M.; Nam, W. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2017**, *333*, 44–56.
- 13 For a selected recent example that shows considerable synthetic scope, see: McNeill, E.; Du Bois, J. Chem. Sci. 2012, 3, 1810–1813.
- 14 Lee, Y.-M.; Dhuri, S. N.; Sawant, S. C.; Cho, J.; Kubo, M.; Ogura, T.; Fukuzumi, S. Nam, W. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 121, 1835– 1838.
- 15 Garcia-Bosch, I.; Codolà, Z.; Prat, I.; Ribas, X.; Lloret-Fillol, J.; Costas, M. Chem. Eur. J. **2012**, *18*, 13269–13273.
- 16 a) Company, A.; Sabenya, G.; González-Béjar, M.; Gómez, M.; Clémancy, M.; Blondin, G.; Jasniewski, A. J.; Puri, M.; Browne, W. R.; Latour, J.-M.; Que, L., Jr.; Costas, M.; Pérez-Prieto, J.; Lloret-Fillol, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 4624–4633. b) Chantarojsiri, T.; Sun, Y.; Long, J. R.; Chang, C. J. Inorg. Chem. 2015, 54, 5879– 5887. c) Chandra, B.; Singh, K. K.; Gupta, S. S. Chem. Sci. 2017, 8, 7545–7551.
- 17 a) Bartos, M. J.; Gordon-Wylie, S. W.; Fox, B. G.; Wright, L. J.; Weintraub, S. T.; Kauffmann, K. E.; Münck, E.; Kostka, K. L.; Uffelman, E. S.; Rickard, C. E. F.; Noon, K. R.; Collins, T. J. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1998**, *174*, 361–390. b) Collins, T. J. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2002**, *35*, 782–790. c) Collins, T. J.; Ryabov, A. D. *Chem. Rev.* **2017**, *117*, 9140–9162.
- 18 Jana, S.; Ghosh, M.; Ambule, M.; Gupta, S. S. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 746-749.
- 19 a) Pattanayak, S.; Jasniewski, A. J.; Rana, A.; Draksharapu, A.; Singh, K. K.; Weitz, A.; Hendrich M.; Que, L., Jr.; Dey, A.; Gupta, S. S. *Inorg. Chem.* **2017**, *56*, 6352–6361. b) Jana, S.; Thomas, J.; Gupta, S. S. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **2019**, *486*, 476–482.
- 20 (TAML)Fe and related analogs have been used as catalysts for electrochemical water oxidation, as described in refs. 7b and 7d, respectively. However, we note that in 7b, the electrochemical water oxidation studies were performed with (TAML)Fe immobilized on the electrode surface and conducted at low pH under conditions that lead to decomposition of the catalyst in solution. Non-electrochemical water oxidation by (TAML)Fe catalysts and related analogs include: a) Ellis, W. C.; McDaniel, N. D.; Bernhard, S.; Collins, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 10990–10991. b) Panda, C.; Debgupta, J.; Díaz Díaz, D.; Singh, K. K.; Gupta, S. S.; Dhar, B. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 12273–12282.
- 21 a) Ghosh, A.; de Oliveira, F. T.; Yano, T.; Nishioka, T.; Beach, E. S.; Kinoshita, I.; Münck, E.; Ryabov, A. D.; Horwitz, C. P.; Collins, T. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005, *127*, 2505–2513. b) Popescu, D.-L.; Vrabel, M.; Brausam, A.; Madsen, P.; Lente, G.; Fabian, I.; Ryabov, A. D.; van Eldik, R.; Collins, T. J. *Inorg. Chem.* 2010, *49*, 11439– 11448.
- 22 See also: Polshin, V.; Popescu, D.-L.; Fischer, A.; Chanda, A.; Horner, D. C.; Beach, E. S.; Henry, J.; Qian, Y.-L.; Horwitz, C. P.; Lente, G.; Fabian, I.; Münck, E.; Bominaar, E. L.; Ryabov, A. D.; Collins, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2008**, 130, 4497–4506
- 23 a) Kundu, S.; Thompson, J. V. K.; Ryabov, A. D.; Collins, T. J. J. Am.

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C9SC02609F

Chem. Soc. **2011**, *133*, 18546–18549. b) Ren, Q.; Guo, Y.; Mills, M. R.; Ryabov, A. D.; Collins, T. J. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2015**, 1445–1452.

- 24 Chanda, A.; Shan, X.; Chakrabarti, M.; Ellis, W. C.; Popescu, D. L.; de Oliveira, F. T.; Wang, D.; Que, L., Jr.; Collins, T. J.; Münck, E.; Bominaar, E. L. *Inorg. Chem.* **2008**, *47*, 3669–3678.
- 25 Ghosh, A.; Mitchell, D. A.; Chanda, A.; Ryabov, A. D.; Popescu, D. L.; Upham, E. C.; Collins, G. J.; Collins, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 15116–15126.
- Kundu, S.; Thompson, J. V. K.; Shen, L. Q.; Mills, M. R.; Bominaar,
 E. L.; Ryabov, A. D.; Collins, T. J. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2015**, *21*, 1803– 1810.
- 27 For comparative studies of Fe^{IV} and Fe^V species bearing TAML and closely related tetraanionic ligands, see refs. 4g, 19a, 26 and the following: Jana, S.; Thomas, J.; Gupta, S. S. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 2019, 486, 476–482.
- 28 The challenge of lowering the applied potential in electrochemical C–H oxidation reaction has been the specific focus of several recent studies: a) Rafiee, M.; Wang, F.; Hruszkewycz, D. P.; Stahl, S. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 22– 25. b) Wang, F.; Stahl, S. S. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 6385– 6390.
- 29 a) Horn, E. J.; Rosen, B. R.; Chen, Y.; Tang, J.; Chen, K.; Eastgate,
 M. D.; Baran, P. S. *Nature*, **2016**, *533*, 77–81. b) Hruszkewycz, D.
 P.; Miles, K. C.; Stahl, S. S. *Chem. Sci.* **2017**, *8*, 1282–1287.
- 30 For a review of NHPI-mediated electrochemical reactions, see: Nutting, J. E.; Rafiee, M.; Stahl, S. S. Chem. Rev. 2018, 118, 4834– 4885.
- 31 Kawamata, Y.; Yan, M.; Liu, Z.; Bao, D.-H.; Chen, J.; Starr, J. T.; Baran, P. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 7448–7451.
- 32 a) Howell, J. M.; Feng, K.; Clark, J. R.; Trzepkowski, L. J.; White, M. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015, *137*, 14590–14593. b) Adams, A. M.; Du Bois, J.; Malik, H. A. *Org. Lett.* 2015, *17*, 6066–6069. Mack, J. B. C.; Gipson, J. D.; Du Bois, J.; Sigman, M. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2017, *139*, 9503–9506.

6 | J. Name., 2012, 00, 1-3

TOC Graphic and Text



The well-known $[(TAML)Fe(OH_2)]^-$ complex undergoes proton-coupled oxidation to an Fe-oxo species that supports electrochemical C–H oxidation and alcohol dehydrogenation.