A Convenient Synthesis of 1-Deoxy-8a-*epi*-Castanospermine Diastereoisomers (6R,7R,8S,8aS)-6,7,8-Trihydroxyindolizidine and (6R,7R,8R,8aS)-6,7,8-Trihydroxyindolizidine

Honglu Zhang,^[a] Yi-Ke Ni,^[a] Gang Zhao,^{*[a]} and Yu Ding^{*[a]}

Keywords: Alkaloids / Natural products / Asymmetric synthesis / Cyclization / Hydroxylation

An efficient synthesis of (6R,7R,8S,8aS)-6,7,8-trihydroxyindolizidine and (6R,7R,8R,8aS)-6,7,8-trihydroxyindolizidine is described from readily available *N*-BOC-L-proline, (BOC = *tert*-butoxycarbonyl) which involves the addition of ethyl lithiopropiolate to the aldehyde derived from *N*-BOC-L-proline as a key step, then cyclization to construct indolizidine skeletons and asymmetric dihydroxylation.

(© Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, 69451 Weinheim, Germany, 2003)

Introduction

The naturally occurring polyhydroxylated indolizidine alkaloids, represented by (+)-castanospermine (1) and (+)-6epi-castanospermine (2), have been isolated from Castanospermum australe^[1] and Alexa leiopetala.^[2] Such indolizidine alkaloids and their derivatives have been reported to display glycosidase inhibition activity,^[3] and are regarded as potential antiviral, antitumor, and immunomodulating agents.^[4] Because of their unique structural features and significant bioactivity, (+)-castanospermine (1), (+)-6-epi-castanospermine (2) and their analogues have been synthesized by many groups from different starting materials in the past decades^[5] in order to elucidate structure-activity relationships. In our previous preparation of *pumiliotoxin 251D* we developed a diastereoselective addition of ethyl lithiopropiolate to N-BOC-L-proline derivatives to elongate a threecarbon chain.^[6] As part of our continuing program, which is directed towards a general synthesis route to hydroxylated indolizidine alkaloids, we now report a short and practical synthesis of 1-deoxy-epi-castanospermine 3a and 3b^[7,8] from the readily available starting material N-BOC-L-proline. The attractive feature of this approach lies in its inherent flexibility, since the key intermediates 10a and 10b can be easily converted into a series of analogues and derivatives.

Results and Discussion

(2S)-*N*-BOC-pyrrolidine-2-carboxaldehyde (6) was prepared in two steps from commercially available *N*-BOCproline (4) (Scheme 2). Compound 4 was reduced by treat-



HR², R¹ N, OH

3a: $R^1 = OH$, $R^2 = H$

3b: $R^1 = H$, $R^2 = OH$

1: (+)-Castanospermine, X = H, Y = OH2: (+)-6-*epi*-Castanospermine, X = OH, Y = H

Scheme 1



Scheme 2. (a) BH3·Me2S, THF, room temp., 99%; (b) (COCl)2, DMSO, CH2Cl2, Et3N, -78 °C, 95%

ment with $BH_3 \cdot Me_2S$ to afford the alcohol **5** in 99% yield, which was followed by Swern oxidation according to a literature procedure^[9] to give aldehyde **6** in 95% yield.

The addition of ethyl propiolate to aldehyde **6** using *n*BuLi as base in THF at $-78 \, ^{\circ}C^{[10]}$ afforded a separable mixture of **7a** and **7b** in a combined yield of 80% (Scheme 3). The ratio of diastereomeric addition products was determined by the yields of **7a** and **7b** isolated after purification by column chromatography on silica gel (*n*-hexanes/ethyl acetate, 3:1). The absolute configurations of the newly formed stereogenic centers were assigned by subsequent transformation to target compounds **3a** and **3b**, respectively. The effect of additives on the ratio of diastereoisomers was studied. When the reaction was conducted in the presence of 2 equiv. of HMPA, a 2.6:1 mixture of **7a/7b** was obtained. On the contrary, in the presence of 2 equiv. of Ti(O*i*Pr)₄ a 1:2.5 mixture of **7a/7b** was produced. Unfortunately, attempts to improve the stereoselectivity in the

[[]a] Laboratory of Modern Organic Synthetic Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 354 Fenglin lu, Shanghai, 200032, P. R. China

presence of various Lewis acids such as $[Cp_2TiCl_2]$, $TiCl_4$, $Ti(OiPr)_2Cl_2$ ZnBr₂, Sm(OTf)₃, Yb(OTf)₃ or SnCl₄ resulted in either little diastereoselectivity or very low yield.

Entry	Additive	Equiv.	7a/7b ^[a]	Total yield $(7a + 7b)^{[b]}$
1 2 3	none HMPA Ti(O <i>i</i> Pr) ₄	2 2	1.2:1.0 2.6:1.0 1.0:2.5	80% 78% 74%

Table 1. Effect of additives on the reaction

^[a] Determined by weight after column flash chromatography. ^[b] Isolated yield.



Scheme 3

Silvlation of the alcohols 7a and 7b with TBSCl/imidazole^[11] led to silvl ethers **8a** and **8b** in 98 and 99% yields, respectively (Scheme 4).^[12] Then partial *cis*-hydrogenation of the carbon-carbon triple bonds to 9a and 9b with (Z)double bonds was easily accomplished in the presence of Lindlar's catalyst. Acidic deprotection by trifluoroacetic acid and subsequent intramolecular cyclization initiated by Et₃N in CH₂Cl₂ resulted in 10a (or 10b).^[11] Thus, dihydroxylation of key intermediates 10a or 10b with OsO4/ $NMO^{[13]}$ (NMO = *N*-methylmorpholine *N*-oxide) at room temperature provided diols 11a or 11b in 88 and 97% yield, respectively. Interestingly, the syn-dihydroxylations of 10a and 10b occurred from the face of the double bond in svn relationship to 8a-H with an exo approach as shown in Figure 1. Finally, reduction of the resulting intermediates 11a and 11b with BH₃·Me₂S in THF^[14] and then exposure to TBAF yielded the two desired trihydroxyindolizidines 3a and 3b.

The structural assignments of **3a** and **3b** were accomplished by ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy, and from 2D ¹H-¹H COSY and NOESY homonuclear shift correlation spectra (Figure 2 and Table 2).

The NOESY spectrum shows that 8-H and 7-H are close to one another in **3a**, and 8-H and 8a-H are close to one another in **3b** based on related cross signals. This is consistent with the configurations of target compounds **3a** and **3b**, which are shown in Figure 2.

Comparison with spectroscopic data (¹H NMR) in the literature confirmed the structural assignments. In addition, comparison of the rotation of **3a** and **3b** with the literature value confirmed the absolute stereochemistry {**3a**: $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -35.8 \ (c = 0.92, H_2O); \text{ ref.}^{[7]} \ [\alpha]_D^{25} = -36.3 \ (c = 0.49, H_2O); \textbf{3b}: \ [\alpha]_D^{20} = +23.8 \ (c = 0.90, \text{ MeOH}); \text{ ref.}^{[8]} \ [\alpha]_D^{25} = +23 \ (\text{MeOH})$ }.



Scheme 4. Reagents and conditions: (a) TBSCl, imidazole, CH_2Cl_2 , room temp. 12 h, 98% of **8a**, 99% of **8b**; (b) H₂, Lindlar's catalyst, 1 atm, quinoline, MeOH, room temp. 3 d, 96% of **9a**, 98% of **9b**; (c) i: TFA, CH_2Cl_2 , 0 °C to room temp, then 1.5 h, ii: Et_3N, CH_2Cl_2 , room temp. 2 d, 45% of **10a**, 61% of **10b**; (d) OsO₄, NMO, acetone/H₂O (10:1), 25 °C, 8 h, 88% of **11a**, 97% of **11b**; (e) i: BH₃·Me₂S/THF, room temp., 4 h, reflux, 1 h, ii: EtOH, reflux, 95% of **12a**, 97% of **12b**; (f) TBAF, THF, 25 °C 1 h, 90% of **3a**, 83% of **3b**



Figure 1. The syn-dihydroxylation of 10a with an exo approach



Figure 2. Graphical summary of the NOESY spectrum observed for 3a and 3b

Table 2. Selective coupling constants J [Hz] for 3a and 3b

Isomer	$J_{5\alpha-5\beta}$	$J_{5\alpha-6}$	$J_{5\beta-6}$	J_{6-7}	J_{7-8}	J_{8-8a}
3a	10.9	5.1	11.1	2.9	2.8	10.2
3b	10.1	5.0	10.9	3.2	3.4	1.8

In conclusion, we have completed a new efficient synthesis of two stereoisomers 3a and 3b of 1-deoxycastanospermine in 16 and 23% overall yields, respectively. The mild reaction conditions and high yield make the route attractive.

Experimental Section

General: All reactions were conducted under Ar unless stated otherwise and monitored by TLC on precoated silica gel HSGF254 plates (Yantai Chemical Co. Ltd.). Column chromatography was performed on silica gel 300-400 mesh (Yantai Chemical Co. Ltd.) and eluted with hexane and ethyl acetate mixtures. All solvents were refluxed and distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl (THF, Et_2O) or CaH_2 (CH₂Cl₂). The NMR spectra (¹H: 300 MHz; ¹³C: 75.4 MHz) were recorded with a Bruker AMX-300 spectrometer and are reported in δ units in ppm and J values in Hz with Me₄Si as the internal standard. MS spectra (EI) were recorded with an HP-5985-A mass spectrometer. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded with a Shimadzu IR-440 or Digital FTIR and are reported in cm⁻¹. Optical rotations were measured with a Perkin-Elmer 241Autopol Polarimeter. $[\alpha]_D$ values are reported in units of $10^{-1} \text{ deg cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$. Elemental analyses were performed with a Carlo Erba 1106 analyzer. (S)-N-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)prolinol (5) and (S)-N-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)pyrrolidine-2-carboxaldehyde (6) were prepared according to a previously described procedure.^[9]

Compounds 7a and 7b: *n*BuLi (9.2 mL of a 2.0 M solution in hexane, 18.5 mmol) was added slowly to a stirred solution of ethyl propiolate (1.88 mL, 1.814 g, 18.5 mmol) in dry THF (150 mL) at $-78 \,^{\circ}$ C under Ar. The resulting solution was stirred at $-78 \,^{\circ}$ C for 1 h. Then a solution of aldehyde **6** (1.844 g, 9.25 mmol) in dry THF (10 mL) was added through a cannula under positive Ar pressure. The resulting solution was stirred at $-78 \,^{\circ}$ C for 3 h, and the reaction was monitored with TLC. Saturated aqueous NH₄Cl was added at $-78 \,^{\circ}$ C to quench the reaction, and then the reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and extracted with Et₂O (3 \times 100 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried with Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated. Flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane/EtOAc, 3:1) gave **7a** (1.21 g) and **7b** (1.02 g) in a combined yield of 80%.

7a: $[\alpha]_{D}^{23} = -123.05 \ (c = 1.07, CHCl_3). R_f = 0.51 \ (hexane/EtOAc, 3:1). IR (KBr): <math>\tilde{v} = 3319, 2234, 1712, 1654 \ cm^{-1}.$ ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3): $\delta = 6.40 \ (d, J = 9.1 \ Hz, 1 \ H, OH), 4.52 \ (d, J = 8.8 \ Hz, 1 \ H, CHOH), 4.25 \ (q, J = 7.2 \ Hz, 2 \ H, OCH_2CH_3), 4.15-4.05 \ (m, 1 \ H, NCH), 3.56-3.53 \ (m, 1 \ H, NCHH), 3.38 \ (m, 1 \ H, NCHH), 2.15-1.75 \ (m, 4 \ H, CH_2CH_2), 1.50 \ [s, 9 \ H, C(CH_3)_3], 1.27 \ (t, J = 7.2 \ Hz, 3 \ H, OCH_2CH_3) \ pm.$ ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3): $\delta = 157.1 \ [COC(CH_3)_3], 153.1 \ (CO_2CH_2CH_3), 85.6 \ and 80.8 \ (C=C), 76.8 \ [OC(CH_3)_3], 66.8 \ (CHOH), 62.7 \ (OCH_2CH_3), 61.8 \ (NCH), 48.1 \ (NCH_2), 28.9 \ (CH_2CH_2), 28.2 \ [C(CH_3)_3], 23.7 \ (CH_2CH_2), 13.8 \ (CO_2CH_2CH_3) \ pm. MS \ (EI): <math>m/z \ (\%) = 196 \ (9) \ [M - BOC]^+, 70 \ (100), 57 \ (68) \ [C_4H_9^+]. \ C_{15}H_{23}NO_5 \ (297.35): calcd. C \ 60.59, H \ 7.80, N \ 4.71; \ found C \ 60.88, H \ 8.02, N \ 4.65.$

7b: $[\alpha]_{D}^{26} = -82.2$ (c = 1.14, CHCl₃). $R_{\rm f} = 0.43$ (hexane/EtOAc, 3:1). IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 3405$, 2239, 1716, 1670 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 5.45$ (br., 1 H, OH), 4.50 (br., 1 H, CHOH), 4.25 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2 H, OCH₂CH₃), 4.05 (br., 1 H, NCH), 3.34–3.46 (m, 2 H, NCH₂), 2.15–1.82 (m, 4 H, CH₂CH₂), 1.45 [s, 9 H, C (CH₃)₃], 1.30 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H, OCH₂CH₃) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 157.0$ [COC(CH₃)₃], 153.1 (CO₂CH₂CH₃), 86.2 and 80.7 ($C \equiv C$), 65.9 [OC(CH₃)₃], 61.9 (CHOH), 61.7 (OCH₂CH₃), 61.4 (NCH), 47.4 (NCH₂), 28.2 [C(CH₃)₃], 27.9 and 23.7 (CH₂CH₂), 13.8 (CO₂CH₂CH₃) ppm. MS (EI): m/z (%) = 196 (8) [M - BOC]⁺, 70 (100), 57 (57) [C₄H₉⁺]. C₁₅H₂₃NO₅ (297.35): calcd. C, 60.59, H 7.80, N 4.71; found C 60.45, H 7.56, N 4.49.

Compounds 8a and 8b: TBSC1 (2.42 g, 16.08 mmol) was added to a solution of alcohol **7a** (3.188 g, 10.72 mmol) and imidazole (1.83 g, 26.8 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (70 mL) at 0 °C and the resulting mixture was warmed to 25 °C and stirred for 12 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (100 mL) and washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (20 mL) and brine (20 mL). The organic layer was dried (Na₂SO₄) and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc, 10:1) to afford TBS ether **8a** (4.32 g, 98%).

8a: $[\alpha]_{23}^{23} = -99 (c = 1.06, CHCl_3)$. $R_f = 0.47$ (hexane/EtOAc, 3:1). IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 2234$, 1717, 1693 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3): $\delta = 5.15$ (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 0.6 H, CHOTBS), 4.90 (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 0.4 H, CHOTBS), 4.29–4.19 (m, 2 H, OCH₂CH₃), 3.95–3.85 (m, 1 H, NCH), 3.50–3.28 (m, 2 H, NCH₂), 2.27–1.73 (m, 4 H, CH₂CH₂), 1.48, 1.46 (2×s, 9 H, C(CH₃)₃], 1.35–1.29 (m, 3 H, OCH₂CH₃), 0.90 [s, 9 H, SiC(CH₃)₃], 0.15, 0.13, 0.08, 0.06 [4 × s, 6 H, Si(CH₃)₂] ppm. MS (EI): m/z (%) = 114 (100), 70 (87), 57 (57) [C₄H₉⁺]. C₂₁H₃₇NO₅Si (411.61): calcd. C 61.28, H 9.06, N 3.40; found C 61.12, H 9.14, N 3.40.

8b: $[\alpha]_D^{26} = -98 \ (c = 1.00, \text{CHCl}_3). R_f = 0.41 \ (hexane/EtOAc, 8:1).$ IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 2241, 1717, 1696 \ \text{cm}^{-1}.$ ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl}_3): $\delta = 5.08 \ (d, J = 5.0 \ \text{Hz}, 0.45 \ \text{H}, \text{CHOTBS}), 4.90 \ (d, J = 4.9 \ \text{Hz}, 0.55 \ \text{H}, \text{CHOTBS}), 4.25 \ (q, J = 7.1 \ \text{Hz}, 2 \ \text{H}, \text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_3), 3.90-3.79 \ (m, 1 \ \text{H}, \text{NCH}), 3.55-3.33 \ (m, 2 \ \text{H}, \text{NCH}_2), 2.27-1.72 \ (m, 4 \ \text{H}, \text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2), 1.46, 1.44 \ [2 \times \text{s}, 9 \ \text{H}, \text{C(CH}_3)_3], 1.28 \ (t, J = 7.1 \ \text{Hz}, 3 \ \text{H}, \text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_3), 0.87 \ [\text{s}, 9 \ \text{H}, \text{SiC(CH}_3)_3], 0.15, 0.13, 0.11 \ (3 \times \text{s}, 3 \ \text{H}, \text{SiCH}_3) \ \text{ppm. MS} \ (\text{EI}): m/z \ (\%) = 298 \ (35), 114 \ (100), 70 \ (92). \ C_{21}H_{37}\text{NO}_5\text{Si} \ (411.61): \ \text{calcd. C} \ 61.28, \ \text{H} \ 9.06, \ \text{N} \ 3.40; \ \text{found C} \ 61.20, \ \text{H} \ 9.01, \ \text{N} \ 3.47.$

Compounds 9a and 9b: Quinoline (50 μ L) and reducing Lindlar's catalyst (99 mg) were added to a solution of **8a** (990 mg, 2.41 mmol) in MeOH (20 mL). The black suspension was stirred under H₂ for a period of 3 d at room temperature. The catalyst was filtered off through Celite, washed with MeOH, and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (silica gel, hexane/ EtOAc, 12:1) gave **9a** (950 mg, 96%).

9a: $[a]_{12}^{26} = -57.10$ (c = 1.35, CHCl₃). $R_f = 0.44$ (hexane/EtOAc, 8:1). IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 2959$, 2932, 1722, 1697, 1652, 1473, 1392 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 6.17-6.03$ (m, 1 H, CH=CH), 5.77-5.70 (m, 1 H, CH=CH), 5.59-5.44 (m, 1 H, CHOTBS), 4.23-4.10 (m, 2 H, OCH₂CH₃), 3.86-3.79 (m, 1 H, NCH), 3.35-3.23 (m, 2 H, NCH₂), 2.04-1.76 (m, 4 H, CH₂CH₂), 1.48, 1.43 [2 × s, 9 H, OC(CH₃)₃], 1.32-1.24 (m, 3 H, OCH₂CH₃), 0.86 [s, 9 H, SiC(CH₃)₃], 0.06-0.03 [m, 6 H, Si(CH₃)₂] ppm. MS (EI): m/z (%) = 413 (3) [M⁺], 314 (58), 114 (100), 70 (93), 57 (63). C₂₁H₃₉NO₅Si (413.62): calcd. C 60.98, H 9.50, N 3.39; found C 61.20, H 9.51, N 3.84.

9b: $[a]_{D}^{26} = -25.2$ (c = 1.14, CHCl₃). $R_{f} = 0.37$ (hexane/EtOAc, 3:1). IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 2977$, 2932, 1726, 1698, 1652, 1391, 1366, 1255, 1188 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 6.17-6.06$ (m, 1 H, CH=CH), 5.75 (dd, J = 0.7, 11.7 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH), 5.58-5.46 (m, 1 H, CHOTBS), 4.15 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2 H, OCH₂CH₃), 4.00 (br., 1 H, NCH), 3.50-3.21 (m, 2 H, NCH₂), 2.00-1.74 (m, 4 H, CH₂CH₂), 1.45 [s, 9 H, OC(CH₃)₃], 1.25 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H, OCH₂CH₃), 0.85 [s, 9 H, Si(CH₃)₃], 0.06 (s, 3 H, SiCH₃), 0.00 (s, 3 H, SiCH₃) ppm. MS (EI): m/z (%) = 413 (0.01) [M⁺], 313 (100), 57 (6). C₂₁H₃₉NO₅Si (413.62): calcd. C 60.98, H 9.50, N 3.39; found C 61.11, H 9.44, N 3.66. (8*S*,8a*S*)-8-(*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-2,3,8,8a-tetrahydro-5(1*H*)-indolizinone (10a) and (8*R*,8a*S*)-8-(*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-2,3,8,8atetrahydro-5(1*H*)-indolizinone (10b): TFA (TFA = trifluoroacetic acid) (8 mL) was added at 0 °C to a solution of 9b (798 mg, 1.931 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL). The mixture was warmed to 25 °C and stirred for 1.5 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. A solution of Et₃N (7 mL) in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 d. After evaporation of solvent under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/MeOH, 10:1) to afford α , β -unsaturated lactam 10b (318 mg, 61%).

10a: $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -25.2$ (c = 1.01, CHCl₃). $R_{f} = 0.82$ (EtOAc/MeOH, 10:1). IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 1670$, 1606 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 6.35$ (dd, J = 10.0, 1.4 Hz, 1 H, 7-H), 5.85 (dd, J =10.0, 2.3 Hz, 1 H, 6-H), 4.35 (dd, J = 11.2, 1.4 Hz, 1 H, 8-H), 3.61–3.50 (m, 2 H, 3-H), 3.39–3.30 (m, 1 H, 8a-H), 2.24–1.34 (m, 4 H, 1-H and 2-H), 0.83 [s, 9 H, SiC(CH₃)₃], 0.03 [s, 3 H, Si(CH₃)], 0.02 [s, 3 H, Si (CH₃)] ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 162.6$ (C-5), 144.8 (C-7), 124.3 (C-6), 72.4 (C-8), 62.6 (C-8a), 44.3 (C-3), 32.2 (C-1), 25.5 [SiC(CH₃)₃], 22.5 (C-2), 17.8 [SiC(CH₃)₃], -4.6 (SiCH₃), -4.9(SiCH₃) ppm. MS (EI): m/z (%) = 267 (11) [M⁺], 252 (4) [M – Me]⁺, 210 (24) [M – C₄H₉]⁺. C₁₄H₂₅NO₂Si (267.44): calcd. C 62.87, H 9.42, N 5.24; found C 62.92, H 9.57, N 5.13.

10b: $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = +278.4 (c = 0.87, CHCl_3). R_f = 0.77 (EtOAc/MeOH, 10:1). IR (film): <math>\tilde{v} = 1656$, 1602 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3): $\delta = 6.65$ (dd, J = 9.7, 5.6 Hz, 1 H, 7-H), 6.02 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1 H, 6-H), 4.08 (dd, J = 5.6, 3.6 Hz, 1 H, 8-H), 3.61–3.50 (m, 2 H, 3-H), 3.42–3.38 (m, 1 H, 8a-H), 2.10–1.75 (m, 4 H, 1-H and 2-H), 0.83 [s, 9 H, SiC(CH_3)_3], 0.03 [s, 3 H, Si(CH_3)], 0.02 [s, 3 H, Si(CH_3)] ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3): $\delta = 162.2$ (C-5), 137.9 (C-7), 127.4 (C-6), 62.6 (C-8), 60.8 (C-8a), 44.6 (C-3), 26.8 (C-1), 25.7 [SiC(CH_3)_3], 22.9 (C-2), 18.1 [SiC(CH_3)_3], -4.1 (SiCH_3), -4.8 (SiCH_3) ppm. MS (EI): m/z (%) = 267 (0.3) [M⁺], 252 (5) [M – Me]⁺, 210 (100) [M – C₄H₉]⁺. C₁₄H₂₅NO₂Si (267.44): calcd. C 62.87, H 9.42, N 5.24; found C 62.80, H 9.42, N 5.11.

(6*R*,7*R*,8*S*,8a*S*)-8-(*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-6,7-dihydroxyindolizidin-5-one (11a) and (6*R*,7*R*,8*R*,8a*S*)-8-(*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-6,7-dihydroxyindolizidin-5-one (11b): OsO₄ (27 μ L of a 2.5% solution in *t*BuOH) was added to a solution of α , β -unsaturated lactam 10b (20 mg, 0.075 mmol) and NMO (22 mg, 0.188 mmol) in acetone/H₂O (10:1, 1 mL) and the reaction mixture was stirred at 25 °C for 8 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL) and washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (5 mL) and brine (5 mL). The organic layer was dried (Na₂SO₄), and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/MeOH, 10:1) to afford diol 11b (22 mg, 97%).

11a: $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -102.3 \ (c = 0.84, CHCl_3). R_f = 0.67 \ (EtOAc/MeOH, 10:1). IR (film): <math>\tilde{v} = 3386, 1637 \ cm^{-1}.$ ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3): $\delta = 4.20 \ (s, 1 H, 8-H), 4.08 \ (s, 1 H, OH), 4.00 \ (s, 1 H, OH), 3.74-3.71 \ (m, 1 H, 7-H), 3.65 \ (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1 H, 6-H), 3.50-3.45 \ (m, 2 H, 3-H), 2.95 \ (br., 1 H, 8a-H), 2.25-1.45 \ (m, 4 H, 1-H and 2-H), 0.90 \ (s, 9 H, SiC(CH_3)_3], 0.11 \ (s, 3 H, Si(CH_3)], 0.09 \ (s, 3 H, Si(CH_3)] \ ppm.$ ¹³C NMR (75Mz, CDCl_3): $\delta = 168.5 \ (C-5), 72.9 \ (C-6), 71.4 \ (C-7), 69.8 \ (C-8), 59.5 \ (C-8a), 44.7 \ (C-3), 31.3 \ (C-1), 25.6 \ [SiC(CH_3)_3], 22.3 \ (C-2), 18.0 \ [SiC(CH_3)_3], -4.4 \ (SiCH_3), -4.7 \ (SiCH_3) \ ppm. MS \ (EI): <math>m/z \ (\%) = 302 \ (0.1) \ [M + H]^+, 286 \ (4) \ [M - Me]^+, 244 \ (100). \ C_{14}H_{27}NO_4Si \ (301.45): calcd. C, 55.78, H 9.03, N 4.65; found C 55.74, H 8.58, N 4.65.$

11b: $[a]_{20}^{20} = -104.4$ (*c* = 0.84, CHCl₃). *R*_f = 0.63 (EtOAc/MeOH, 3:1). IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 3379$ (br), 1630 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300 MHz,

CDCl₃): $\delta = 4.25-4.12$ (m, 3 H, 6-H, 7-H and 8-H), 3.88 (br., 1 H, 8a-H), 3.48–3.43 (m, J = 5.0 Hz, 2 H, 3-H), 2.00–1.75 (m, 4 H, 1-H and 2-H), 0.85 [s, 9 H, SiC(CH₃)₃], 0.08 [s, 3 H, Si(CH₃)], 0.06 [s, 3 H, Si(CH₃)] ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 169.2$ (C-5), 70.2 (C-6), 68.2 (C-7), 67.7 (C-8), 58.7 (C-8a), 44.3 (C-3), 26.6 (C-1), 25.6 [SiC(CH₃)₃], 22.5 (C-2), 17.9 [SiC(CH₃)₃], -4.8 (SiCH₃), -5.2 (SiCH₃) ppm. MS (EI): m/z (%) = 302 (5) [M + H]⁺, 286 (2) [M – Me]⁺, 70 (100). C₁₄H₂₇NO₄Si (301.45): calcd. C 55.78, H 9.03, N 4.65; found C 55.84, H 8.62, N 4.61.

(6*R*,7*R*,8*S*,8a*S*)-8-(*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-6,7-dihydroxyindolizidine (12a) and (6*R*,7*R*,8*R*,8a*S*)-8-(*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-6,7-dihydroxyindolizidine (12b): To a solution of lactam 11a (112 mg, 0.365 mmol) in dry THF (10 mL), a solution of BH₃·Me₂S (0.2 mL of a 10 M solution in Me₂S, 2 mmol) was added under Ar, and the reaction mixture was kept at room temperature for 4 h and refluxed for 1 h. The excess of reducing agent was quenched by careful addition of EtOH (2 mL) at -5 °C. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was dissolved in EtOH (8 mL), heated under reflux for 2 h, then cooled to room temperature and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/MeOH/NH₃, 10:3:0.2) to afford 12a (102 mg, 95%).

12a: $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -27$ (c = 0.99, MeOH). $R_f = 0.57$ [EtOAc/MeOH/ aqueous NH₃ (25–28%), 10:1.5:0.2]. IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 3420$, 1255, 1058 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 3.95-3.93$ (m, 1 H, 7-H), 3.89–3.83 (m, 1 H,6-H), 3.52 (dd, J = 9.2, 2.6 Hz, 1 H, 8-H), 3.06 (dt, J = 2.4, 8.6 Hz, 1 H, 3-H), 2.99 (dd, J = 5.0, 10.1 Hz, 1 H, 5- α H), 2.64 (br., 2 H, 20*H*), 2.31–2.21 (m, 3 H, 3-H, 5- β H and 8a-H), 2.03–1.39 (m, 4 H, 1-H and 2-H), 0.90 [s, 9 H, SiC(CH₃)₃], 0.09 [s, 3 H, Si(CH₃)], 0.08 [s, 3 H, Si(CH₃)] ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 75.1$, 72.4, 69.5 (3*C*-OH), 62.5 (C-8a), 53.6 (C-3), 53.1 (C-5), 28.5 (C-1), 26.0 [SiC(CH₃)₃], 21.5 (C-2), 18.3 [SiC(CH₃)₃], -4.1 (SiCH₃), -4.2 (SiCH₃) ppm. MS (EI): m/z (%) = 287 (1) [M⁺], 270 (10) [M – OH]⁺, 70 (100). HRMS (EI+): calcd. for C₁₄H₂₉NO₃Si 287.19167; found 287.18758.

12b: $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = +13.1$ (c = 0.96, MeOH). $R_{f} = 0.60$ [EtOAc/MeOH/ aqueous NH₃ (25–28%), 10:1.5:0.2]. IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 3267-3117$, 2982, 2954, 1469, 392 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta =$ 4.19–4.13 (m, 1 H, 6-H), 3.91 (s, 2 H, 2OH), 3.13–3.02 (m, 2 H, 7-H, 8-H), 2.50–2.18 (m, 6 H), 1.88–1.60 (m, 3 H), 0.92 [s, 9 H, SiC(CH₃)₃], 0.011 [s, 6 H, Si(CH₃)₂] ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 72.0$ and 70.3 and 66.5 (3*C*-OH), 61.5 (C-8a), 53.6 (C-3), 52.7 (C-5), 25.9 [SiC(CH₃)₃], 23.8 (C-1), 21.4 (C-2), 18.2 [SiC(CH₃)₃], -4.7 (SiCH₃), -4.8 (SiCH₃) ppm. MS (EI): m/z (%) = 287 (1) [M⁺], 270 (4) [M – OH]⁺, 230 (10) [M – C₄H₉]⁺, 113 (19), 84 (31), 70 (100). HRMS (EI+): calcd. for C₁₄H₂₉NO₃Si 287.19167; found 287.19336.

(6*R*, 7*R*, 8*S*, 8a*S*)-6,7,8-Trihydroxyindolizidine (3a) and (6*R*, 7*R*, 8*R*, 8a*S*)-6,7,8-Trihydroxyindolizidine (3b): nBu_4NF (0.21 mL of a 1 M solution in THF) was added to a solution of diols 12a (50 mg, 0.174 mmol) in THF (5 mL) and the resulting mixture was stirred at 25 °C for 1 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography [silica gel, EtOAc/MeOH/aqueous NH₃ (25–28%) 8:3:0.5] to afford triol 3a (27 mg, 90%).

3a: White solid, m.p.165 \pm 0.5 °C (ref.^[7] m.p. 166–168 °C). $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20} =$ -35.8 (c = 0.92, H₂O) {ref.^[7] $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25} =$ -36.3 (c = 0.49, H₂O)}. $R_{\rm f} =$ 0.48 [CH₂Cl₂/MeOH/aqueous NH₃ (25–28%), 8:3:0.2]. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, D₂O): $\delta =$ 4.02 (dd, J = 2.8, 2.9 Hz, 1 H, 7-H), 3.85 (ddd, J = 11.1, 5.1, 2.9 Hz, 1 H, 6-H), 3.50 (dd, J = 10.2, 2.8 Hz, 1 H, 8-H), 3.10–3.04 (m, 1 H, 3-H), 3.00 (dd, J = 10.9, 5.1 Hz, 1 H, 5- α H), 2.57–2.38 (m, 3 H, 8a-H, 3-H, 5- β H), 2.10–2.00 (m, 1 H, 1-H), 1.83–1.72 (m, 2 H, 2-H), 1.48–1.35 (m, 1 H, 1-H) ppm. ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, D2O): δ = 74.3 and 73.5 and 70.0(3C-OH), 63.8 (C-8a), 55 (C-3), 52.6 (C-5), 29.2 (C-1), 22.9 (C-2).

3b: $[a]_{D}^{20} = +23.5$ (c = 0.90, MeOH) {ref.^[8] $[a]_{D}^{25} = +23$ (MeOH)}. $R_{f} = 0.70$ [CH₂Cl₂/MeOH/aqueous NH₃ (25–28%), 8:3:0.2]. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD): $\delta = 4.20$ (ddd, J = 10.9, 5.0, 3.2 Hz, 1 H, 6-H), 4.11 (dd, J = 3.3, 3.4 Hz, 1 H, 7-H), 3.90 (dd, J = 3.4, 1.8 Hz, 1 H, 8-H), 3.22–3.17 (m, 1 H, 3-H), 3.10 (dd, J = 10.1, 5.0 Hz, 1 H, 5- α H), 2.73–2.69 (m, 1 H, 8a-H), 2.52 (dd, J = 10.1, 10.9 Hz, 1 H, 5- β H), 2.45–2.38 (m, 1 H, 3-H), 2.08–1.95 (m, 3 H, 1-H and 2-H), 1.87–1.84 (m, 1 H, 1-H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CD₃OD): $\delta = 72.8$ and 70.3 and 67.5 (3C-OH), 62.9 (C-8a), 54.9 (C-3), 54.2 (C-5), 24.5 (C-1), 22.7 (C-2) ppm.

Acknowledgments

We thank the National Natural Sciences Foundation for funding this work.

- ^[1] L. D. Hohenschutz, E. A. Bell, P. J. Jewess, D. P. Leworthy, R. J. Pryce, E. Arnold, J. Clardy, *Phytochemistry* **1981**, 20, 811–814.
- ^[2] R. J. Nash, L. E. Fellows, J. V. Dring, C. H. Stirton, D. Carter, M. P. Hegarty, E. A. Bell, *Phytochemistry* **1988**, *27*, 1403-4.
- ^[3] ^[3a] E. W. Baxter, A. B. Reitz, J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 3175-3185. ^[3b] L. M. Sinnott, Chem. Rev. 1990, 90, 1171-1202.

- ^[4] [^{4a]} A. D. Elbein, R. J. Molyneux, in *Alkaloids: Chemical and Biological Perspectives* (Ed.: S. W. Pelletier), Wiley, New York, **1987**, vol. 5, pp. 1–54. [^{4b]} N. Asano, R. J. Nash, R. J. Molyneux, G. W. J. Fleet, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2000**, *11*, 1645–1680.
- ^[5] N. T. Patil, J. N. Tilekar, D. D. Dhavale, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2001, 42, 747–749 and references cited therein.
- [6] Y. Ni, G. Zhao, Y. Ding, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 2000, 3264–3266.
- [7] [^{7a]} H. Setoi, H. Takeno, M. Hashimoto, *Heterocycles* 1986, 24, 1261–1264.
 [^{7b]} H. Setoi, H. Takeno, M. Hashimoto, J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 3948–3950.
- [8] K. H. Aamlid, L. Hough, A. C. Richardson, *Carbohydr. Res.* 1990, 202, 117–129.
- [9] P. Z. Reed, J. A. Katzenellenbogen, J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 2624-2634.
- ^[10] P. Garner, J. M. Park, J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 3772-3787.
- [^{11]} K. C. Nicolaou, H. J. Mitchell, K. C. Fylaktakidou, R. M. Rodriguez, H. Suzuki, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2000**, *6*, 3116–3148.
- ^[12] Stable conformation isomers exist of 8a, 8b, 9a and 9b, which form because of steric hindrance between C-2 of the pyrrolidine ring and the propargyl carbon atom after the hydroxy group has been protected with the large *t*BuMe₂Si group. Their ¹H NMR spectra show that the isomeric ratio is 40:60 in 8a and 45:55 in 8b.
- [¹³] ^[13a] N. Cabezas, J. Thierry, P. Potier, *Heterocycles* **1989**, *28*.
 607–610. ^[13b] N. M. Carpenter, G. W. J. Fleet, I. Cencidi Bello, B. Wichester, L. E. Fellows, R. J. Nash, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 7261–7264.
- ^[14] C. Paolucci, L. Mattioli, J. Org. Chem. **2001**, 66, 4787–4794. Received July 22, 2002