View Article Online View Journal

# Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

## Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: D. S. Lee and E. J. Cho, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2019, DOI: 10.1039/C9OB00332K.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the **author guidelines**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the ethical guidelines, outlined in our <u>author and reviewer resource centre</u>, still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



rsc.li/obc

## ARTICLE

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

## Fluoroalkenylation of boronic acids via oxidative Heck reaction

Da Seul Lee and Eun Jin Cho\*

A fluoroalkenylation of boronic acids with fluoroalkyl alkenes has been developed. The Pd-catalyzed oxidative Heck coupling reaction proceeds under oxygen atmosphere at room temperature, in the absence of base and/or ligand, showing excellent practicality of the process. This simple transformation is highly stereoselective to provide only *E*-isomers. In addition to the general approach using alkenes with functionalized fluoroalkyl reagents, this method, transferring aromatic system into the electron-deficient fluoroalkyl alkene, provides an efficient alternative way to yield valuable organofluorines.

### Introduction

Published on 04 April 2019. Downloaded by UNIVERSITE PARIS SUD on 4/8/2019 8:40:39 PM

Fluoroalkylated compounds possess unique characteristics such as high lipophilicity, bioavailability, and metabolic stability compared to their non-fluoroalkylated analogues.<sup>1</sup> Therefore, a wide range of fluoroalkylation reactions have been developed. The synthesis of fluoroalkylated alkenes, in particular, has been of great interest.<sup>2</sup> The fluoroalkenyl motif is present in a variety of functional molecules, including pharmaceuticals. Fluoroalkenyl molecules also serve as versatile synthetic building blocks owing to the high reactivity of the unsaturated alkenyl moiety towards a variety of organic transformations.

Synthesis of fluoroalkylated alkenes has been reported through many methods. Pd- or Cu-catalyzed traditional coupling reactions utilized functionalized alkenyl precursors such as organotin compounds or carboxylic acids with fluoroalkyl reagents to generate fluoroalkylated alkene complexes (Scheme 1a).<sup>3</sup> Likewise, synthetic protocols for the reaction of unfunctionalized, simple alkenes with fluoroalkyl halides have also been developed.<sup>4</sup> However, these reactions require high temperature and use of base or/and ligand additives. Recent advances in visible light photocatalysis of unactivated alkenes in the presence of metal- or organo-photosensitizers enabled the reactions to be carried out under much milder conditions. However, many of these processes still require the use of additives such as sacrificial electron donors or acceptors.<sup>5,6</sup>

In this regard, the approach using fluoroalkyl alkene ( $R_{F}$ -alkene) instead of activated fluoroalkyl reagent under the mild conditions is an efficient alternative. There are Pd-catalyzed

reactions using aryl halide or diarylazonium salt as the coupling partner (Scheme 1b).<sup>7</sup> However, these reactions also require base additives and high temperature. In this backdrop, herein, we report a mild and efficient fluoroalkenylation reaction of arylboronic acid with R<sub>F</sub>-alkene using the oxidative Heck coupling process<sup>8</sup> (Scheme 1c). This reaction has several advantages over the previous methods. It uses molecular oxygen as the oxidant<sup>9</sup> and easily available arylboronic acids as the precursor,<sup>10</sup> and can be carried out at room temperature under ligand-, and base-free conditions. In addition, the process is highly stereoselective, leading to the formation of only the *E*-isomer.



Scheme 1. Synthesis of fluoroalkylated alkenes with (a) various fluoroalkyl reagent and (b) fluoroalkyl alkene, and (c) our strategy.

Department of Chemistry, Chung-Ang University 84 Heukseok-ro, Dongjak-gu Seoul 06974, Republic of Korea. E-mail: ejcho@cau.ac.kr

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental Procedure, Characterization data, and NMR spectra ( $^{1}$ H,  $^{13}$ C,  $^{19}$ F) of the synthesized compounds. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

#### Journal Name

#### ARTICLE

Published on 04 April 2019. Downloaded by UNIVERSITE PARIS SUD on 4/8/2019 8:40:39 PM

#### **Results and discussion**

We began the investigation for the synthesis of fluoroalkenylated compound using phenylboronic acid (1a) and nonafluorobutyl ethylene (2a) as model substrates (Table 1). The use of Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> provided the desired E-selective 3aa in oxygen-saturated N,N-dimethylacetamide (DMA) solution in 71% yield (entry 1). The oxidative Heck coupling gave significantly better reactivity with Pd(II) catalyst (entry 1) than Pd(0) and other transition-metal complexes such as Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub>, which showed very low reactivity, or Ni(OAc)<sub>2</sub> which did not show any reactivity (entries 2-4). Interestingly, the use of the ligands, 2,2'-bipyridine and 1,10-phenanthroline (entries 5 & 6), and increased reaction temperature (entry 7) considerably lowered the reactivity. A control experiment under argon atmosphere after freeze-pump-thaw degassing process, clearly demonstrated the role of molecular oxygen as an oxidant for the reaction (entry 8). Subsequently, different solvents such as *N*,*N*-dimethylforamide (DMF), acetonitrile (CH<sub>3</sub>CN), dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), dichloromethane (DCM) and 2,2,2trifluoroethanol (TFE) were screened (entries 9-13). However,

Table 1. Optimization for fluoroalkenylation of boronic acids<sup>a</sup>

	B(OH) <sub>2</sub> + 1a	C <sub>4</sub> F <sub>9</sub> (1 equiv) <b>2a</b>	catalyst O <sub>2</sub> bubbling solvent (0.1 M) r.t.,, 12 h	C <sub>4</sub> F <sub>9</sub> 3aa
Entry	, Catalyst (3 mol%)	Solvent	Variations	Yield(%) <sup>b</sup>
1	Pd(OAc) <sub>2</sub>	DMA	-	71%
2	Pd <sub>2</sub> (dba) <sub>3</sub>	DMA	-	7%
3	Cu(OAc) <sub>2</sub>	DMA	-	2%
4	Ni(OAc) <sub>2</sub>	DMA	-	0%
5	Pd(OAc) <sub>2</sub>	DMA	3 mol% 2,2'-bipyridine	9%
6	Pd(OAc) <sub>2</sub>	DMA	3 mol% 1,10-phenanthroli	ne 4%
7	Pd(OAc) <sub>2</sub>	DMA	80 <sup>0</sup> C	25%
8	Pd(OAc) <sub>2</sub>	DMA	no O <sub>2</sub> <sup>c</sup>	trace
9	Pd(OAc) <sub>2</sub>	DMF	-	55%
10	Pd(OAc) <sub>2</sub>	MeCN	-	44%
11	Pd(OAc) <sub>2</sub>	DMSO	-	trace
12	Pd(OAc) <sub>2</sub>	DCM	-	0%
13	Pd(OAc) <sub>2</sub>	TFE	-	0%
14	Pd(OAc) <sub>2</sub>	DMA (0.05	M) -	40%
15	Pd(OAc) <sub>2</sub>	DMA (0.2 I	M) -	57%
16	Pd(OAc) <sub>2</sub>	DMA	<b>2a</b> (0.5 equiv)	44%
17	Pd(OAc) <sub>2</sub>	DMA	<b>2a</b> (1.2 equiv)	78%
18	Pd(OAc) <sub>2</sub>	DMA	<b>2a</b> (1.5 equiv)	78%
19	Pd(OAc) <sub>2</sub> (2 mol	%) DMA	<b>2a</b> (1.2 equiv)	67%
20	Pd(OAc) <sub>2</sub> (5 mol	%) DMA	2a (1.2 equiv)	87%
21	Pd(OAc) <sub>2</sub> (6 mol	%) DMA	<b>2a</b> (1.2 equiv)	87%

<sup>a</sup>All the reactions were carried out on a 0.1 mmol scale. <sup>b</sup>Yields were determined by <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectrometry using  $\alpha, \alpha, \alpha$  -trifluorotoluene as the internal standard. <sup>c</sup>Degassed by freeze-pump-thaw cycling.

none of them showed better reactivity than DMAArtEutcher screening was performed which showed the best feactivity at 0.1 M concentration (entries 14 & 15) and the stoichiometry of **2a** and Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> was optimized to 1.2 equiv and 5 mol%, respectively (entries 16-21). Notably, the optimized reaction conditions showed that the process is highly practical and mild, using only molecular oxygen in the presence of Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub>, at room temperature.

With the optimized conditions in hand, we investigated the substrate scope of the transformation using different boronic acid derivatives (1) and R<sub>F</sub>-alkenes (2) to synthesize a variety of fluoroalkenylated compounds (3) (Table 2). Regardless of the electron-density on boronic acids, the reactions showed similar reactivity. Use of substrates with both electrondonating substituents such as *p*-tert-butyl (1b), *o*-methoxy (1c), p-methoxy (1d), and 3,5-dimethyl (1e) groups and electronwithdrawing substituents such as p-nitro (1f), m-chloro (1g), pchloro (1h), and acetyl (1i) groups led to the formation of the corresponding coupled products (3) in moderate to good yields. Polycyclic aromatic derivatives such as naphthyl (1j), phenanthrenyl (1k), and pyrenyl (1l) were also suitable substrates, but the reaction in these cases required the use of a ligand and higher temperature to improve the reaction efficiency. In general, along with the desired cross-coupled compound 3, diaryl compound, formed by homo-coupling of the boronic acid, was also produced as a side product. In addition, benzene derivatives, generated from the boronic acid substrate, were also detected as minor side products. With regards to the fluoroalkyl alkene partner, C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>13</sub>- (2b) and C<sub>8</sub>F<sub>17</sub>-(2c) alkenes worked as well as C<sub>4</sub>F<sub>9</sub>-ethylene (2a).<sup>11</sup> Moreover, the transformation was amenable to gram-scale synthesis under the optimized reaction conditions, where product 3aa was prepared on a 10 mmol scale with a yield similar to that of the 0.7 mmol scale reaction (Scheme 2).

The reaction was selective with unsubstituted perfluoroalkylated alkenes. Resubjection of isolated **3aa** did not provide the second Heck product and gave only homocoupling product of **1a** with mostly recovered **3aa** (Scheme 3).

Difluoroalkenylation of 1,4-benzenediboronic acid (1m), which has two reaction sites, was also tried, but it furnished the di-coupled product (3ma) in only 15% yield along with several side products (Scheme 4a). On the other hand, the reaction of 4-bromo-3,3,4,4-tetrafluoro-1-butene (2d), which has two different functional reaction sites (alkenyl vs bromide), afforded the Heck product (3ad) in 43% yield without formation of the Suzuki product (3ad'), indicating that the Heck coupling preferentially proceed over Suzuki coupling under the conditions (Scheme 4b).

Vinyl boronic acid derivatives were not suitable substrates for the transformation. Reactions of (E)-styrylboronic acid (**1**n) and 1-phenylvinyl boronic acid (**1o**) did not proceed well under the conditions to give homo-coupled products, **6** and **7**, respectively, along with trace amount of the Heck product (Scheme 5).

The use of the boronic acid moiety was found to be essential for this transformation; consequently, the transformation did

Published on 04 April 2019. Downloaded by UNIVERSITE PARIS SUD on 4/8/2019 8:40:39 PM

#### Journal Name

Table 2. Substrate scope for fluoroalkenylation of boronic acids.<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup> All reactions were carried out on a 0.7 mmol scale. <sup>b</sup> Isolated yield or <sup>19</sup>F NMR yields (using α,α,α-trifluorotoluene as the internal standard) owing to the volatility of the products. The reaction of 1f was conducted in the presence of 3 mol% 2,2'-bipyridine at 80 °C. <sup>d</sup>The reactions of 1j, 1k, and 1l were conducted in the presence of 3 mol% 1,10-phenanthroline at 80 °C.



Scheme 2. Gram-scale reaction of 1a and 2a



not proceed when the less Lewis acidic phenylboronic ester (9) was used.<sup>12</sup> In addition, the reactions of chloro- (10), bromo-(11), and iodobenzene (12) did not provide the cross-coupled product 3aa, which further proved that halogens are not suitable precursors for this transformation (Scheme 6).7b

The proposed mechanism for the fluoroalkenylation of arylboronic acids with fluoroalkyl alkenes is shown in Scheme 7. The cycle begins with transmetalation between boronic acid 1 and Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub>. The Pd complex then forms a  $\pi$ -complex with alkene 2 and the alkene inserts itself in the Pd-C bond, in the

syn orientation, to produce the reaction intermediate B, in a stereoselective manner. Subsequent  $\beta$ -hydride elimination in **B** results in the desired E-stereoselective fluoroalkenylated compound 3 and the Pd(II) hydride complex C. Reductive elimination of **C** generates Pd(0), which is oxidized by molecular oxygen<sup>9</sup> to regenerate Pd(II) species. Hydrogen peroxide is formed during the oxidation, which was proved by using hydrogen peroxide determination strip.



Scheme 4. Reactions of (a) 1,4-benzenediboronic acid (1m) and (b) 4-bromo-3,3,4,4tetrafluoro-1-butene (2d)

Published on 04 April 2019. Downloaded by UNIVERSITE PARIS SUD on 4/8/2019 8:40:39 PM

#### Journal Name



Scheme 5. Reactions with vinylboronic acids



Scheme 6. Unsuccessful fluoroalkenylation with other precursors



Scheme 7. Proposed mechanism

#### Conclusions

In summary, we developed a mild and efficient method for fluoroalkenylation of arylboronic acid derivatives through a Pdcatalyzed oxidative Heck reaction, which proceeds ARteroom temperature under ligand- and base and Base Arteroom addition, the reaction is highly stereoselective to provide only *E*-isomers.

#### Experimental

#### **General reagent information**

Palladium acetate and *N*,*N*-dimethylacetamide (DMA) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich chemical company. Fluoroalkyl ethylenes and commercially available boronic acid derivatives were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Alfa Aesar, TCI, Combi-Blocks, or Ark pharm companies. Flash column chromatography was performed using ZEOCHEM ZEOprep silicagel 60 (60-200 mesh).

#### General analytical information

The synthesized fluoroalkenylated compounds were characterized by <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, <sup>19</sup>F NMR, and FT-IR spectroscopy. NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian 600 MHz instrument (600 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H NMR, 151 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C NMR, and 564 MHz for <sup>19</sup>F NMR). Copies of <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, and <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra can be found at the end of the Supporting Information. <sup>1</sup>H NMR experiments are reported in units, parts per million (ppm), and were measured relative to residual chloroform (7.26 ppm) or acetone (2.09 ppm) in the deuterated solvent. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra are reported in ppm relative to deuterochloroform (77.23 ppm) or deuterated acetone (205.87 ppm), and all were obtained with <sup>1</sup>H decoupling. <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra are reported in ppm, and all were taken composite pulse decoupling (CPD) mode. Coupling constants were reported in Hz. FT-IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet 6700 Thermo Scientific FT-IR spectrometer. Reactions were monitored by <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectrometry and thin layer chromatography. Mass spectral data of all unknown compounds were obtained from the Korea Basic Science Institute (Daegu) on a Jeol JMS 700 high resolution mass spectrometer.

### Experimental Procedure

#### Synthesis of Fluoroalkenylated Compound (3)

An oven-dried reaction flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar was charged with boronic acid derivative **1** (0.7 mmol) and 3–6 mol% of Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> in DMA (7 mL). Molecular oxygen was bubbled through the reaction mixture for 2 min, and then fluoroalkyl alkene **2** (0.84 mmol) was added, and it was allowed to stir for 12–15 h at room temperature. The reaction progress was monitored by thin layer chromatography or <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectroscopy. After completion, the mixture solution was concentrated using a rotary evaporator (carefully evaporated using a vacuum controller owing to the volatility of the fluoroalkenylated product) and purified using silica gel flash column chromatography using petroleum ether as the eluent to afford the corresponding fluoroalkenylated compound **3**.

Analytic Data for Fluoroalkenylated Compound (3)

#### Journal Name

(*E*)-(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6-nonafluoro- $6\lambda^{12}$ -hexa-1-en-3,5-diyn-1yl)benzene, **3aa**<sup>3i</sup>: colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.50 – 7.46 (m, 2H), 7.44 – 7.38 (m, 3H), 7.18 (dt, *J* = 16.1, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 6.21 (dt, *J* = 16.1, 12.3 Hz, 1H).; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 140.01 (t, *J* = 10.1 Hz), 133.77, 130.42, 129.19, 127.87, 114.48 (t, *J* = 23.0 Hz). (carbon peaks of –C<sub>4</sub>F<sub>9</sub> are omitted due to complicated C-F splitting); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (564 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ -81.06 (3F), -111.35 (2F), -124.14 (2F), -125.73 (2F); IR (neat): v<sub>max</sub> = 2969, 1677, 1239, 1204, 973 cm<sup>-1</sup>; *R*<sub>f</sub> 0.42 (Petroleum ether).

(*E*)-(10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10-tridecafluoro-10λ<sup>16</sup>-deca-1-en-3,5,7,9-tetrayn-1-yl)benzene, **3ac**<sup>3i</sup>: colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.51 – 7.46 (m, 2H), 7.44 – 7.38 (m, 3H), 7.19 (d, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 6.20 (dt, *J* = 16.0, 12.3 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 139.97 (t, *J* = 9.3 Hz), 133.82, 130.41, 129.19, 127.87, 114.63 (t, *J* = 23.1 Hz) (carbon peaks of –C<sub>8</sub>F<sub>17</sub> are omitted due to complicated C-F splitting); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (564 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ -80.93 (3F), -111.19 (2F), -121.45 (2F), -122.00 (m, 4F), -122.81 (2F), -123.26 (2F), -126.22 (m, 2F); IR (neat): v<sub>max</sub> = 3035, 1659, 1243, 1205, 973 cm<sup>-1</sup>; *R*<sub>f</sub> 0.69 (Petroleum ether).

 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  153.97, 139.73 ( $t_{ev}/\pi t_{2.3} + t$ 

(*E*)-1-methoxy-2-(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6-nonafluoro- $6\lambda^{12}$ -hexa-1en-3,5-diyn-1-yl)benzene, **3ca**<sup>13</sup>: colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>**H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>**) δ 7.47 - 7.44 (m, 2H), 7.36 (dd, *J* = 7.6, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (dd, *J* = 8.3, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.93 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 6.33 (dt, *J* = 16.1, 12.6 Hz, 1H), 3.89 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>**C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)** δ 158.21, 135.40 (t, *J* = 9.4 Hz), 131.53, 128.98, 122.74, 120.96, 114.95 (t, *J* = 23.1 Hz), 111.41, 55.73 (carbon peaks of -C<sub>4</sub>F<sub>9</sub> are omitted due to complicated C-F splitting); <sup>19</sup>F **NMR (564 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)** δ -81.06 (3F), -111.19 (2F), -124.12 (2F), -125.70 (2F); **IR** (neat): v<sub>max</sub> = 2925, 1620, 1234, 913, 745 cm<sup>-1</sup>; *R*<sub>f</sub> 0.71 (Petroleum ether/Ether, 8/1).

(*E*)-1-methoxy-3-(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6-nonafluoro- $6\lambda^{12}$ -hexa-1en-3,5-diyn-1-yl)benzene, **3da**<sup>13</sup>: colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>**H NMR** (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.32 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (d, *J* = 16.1 Hz, 1H), 7.07 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 6.99 (s, 1H), 6.95 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.19 (dt, *J* = 16.1, 12.3 Hz, 1H), 3.84 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C **NMR** (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 160.23, 139.96 (t, *J* = 9.5 Hz), 135.12, 130.22, 120.43, 116.09, 114.78 (t, *J* = 23.1 Hz), 113.10, 55.57 (carbon peaks of -C<sub>4</sub>F<sub>9</sub> are omitted due to complicated C-F splitting); <sup>19</sup>F **NMR** (564 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ -81.06 (3F), -111.34 (2F), -124.12 (2F), -125.72 (2F); **IR** (neat): v<sub>max</sub> = 3008, 2961, 1659, 1234, 974, 748 cm<sup>-1</sup>; *R*<sub>f</sub> 0.86 (Petroleum ether/Ether, 8/1).

**BIOMOIECULAR CHEMISTRY ACCEPTED MANUS** 

**Journal Name** 

**CDCl<sub>3</sub>)**  $\delta$  140.23 (t, *J* = 9.6 Hz), 138.81, 133.74, 132.14, 125.75, 114.09 (t, *J* = 23.1 Hz), 21.33 (carbon peaks of  $-C_6F_{13}$  are omitted due to complicated C-F splitting); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (564 MHz, **CDCl<sub>3</sub>**)  $\delta$  -80.96 (3F), -111.07 (2F), -121.66 (2F), -122.94 (2F), -123.34 (2F), -126.26 (2F); IR (neat): v<sub>max</sub> = 2924, 1657, 1605, 1237, 1199, 974 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS m/z (EI) calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>11</sub>F<sub>13</sub> [M+]

ARTICLE

(*E*)-1-nitro-4-(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6-nonafluoro-6 $\lambda^{12}$ -hexa-1-en-3,5-diyn-1-yl)benzene, **3fa**<sup>3f</sup>: off-white solid; <sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.28 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.65 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.25 (d, *J* = 15.9 Hz, 1H), 6.37 (dt, *J* = 15.9, 11.7 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 148.88, 139.64, 137.74 (t, *J* = 9.3 Hz), 128.64, 124.50, 118.95 (t, *J* = 23.6 Hz) (carbon peaks of  $-C_4F_9$  are omitted due to complicated C-F splitting); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (564 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ -81.00 (3F), -112.02 (2F), -123.95 (2F), -125.70 (2F); IR (neat): v<sub>max</sub> = 2916, 1608, 1535, 1349, 1237, 913 cm<sup>-1</sup>; *R*<sub>f</sub> 0.56 (Petroleum ether/Ether, 8/1).

(*E*)-1-chloro-3-(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6-nonafluoro-6 $\lambda^{12}$ -hexa-1-en-3,5-diyn-1-yl)benzene, **3ga**<sup>3f</sup>: colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.47 (s, 1H), 7.40 – 7.32 (m, 3H), 7.12 (dt, *J* = 16.0, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.22 (dt, *J* = 16.0, 12.1 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 138.66 (t, *J* = 9.5 Hz), 135.51, 135.31, 130.45, 130.39, 127.72, 126.10, 116.07 (t, *J* = 23.3 Hz) (carbon peaks of  $-C_4F_9$ are omitted due to complicated C-F splitting); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (564 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ -81.06 (3F), -111.63 (2F), -124.09 (2F), -125.73 (2F); IR (neat): v<sub>max</sub> = 3068, 2971, 1661, 1234, 970, 785 cm<sup>-1</sup>; *R*<sub>f</sub> 0.67 (Petroleum ether).

(*E*)-1-chloro-3-

6 | J. Name., 2012, 00, 1-3

heptadecafluoro- $10\lambda^{20}$ -deca-1-en-3,5,7,9-tetrayn-1-yl)benzene, **3gc**: colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.47 (s, 1H), 7.39 - 7.32 (m, 3H), 7.12 (d, *J* = 16.1 Hz, 1H), 6.22 (dt, *J* = 16.1, 12.2 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  138.62 (t, *J* = 9.5 Hz),

135.59, 135.36, 130.43, 130.39, 127.74, 126.08,  $1_{16}$ ,  $2_{34}$  (t<sub>ondine</sub> 23.1 Hz) (carbon peaks of  $-C_8F_{17}$  and  $10^{-10}$  ( $000^{-33}$ ) complicated C-F splitting); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (564 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -81.08 (3F), -111.58 (2F), -121.52 (2F), -122.07 (m, 4F), -122.89 (2F), -123.26 (2F), -126.33 (2F); IR (neat):  $v_{max} = 2974$ , 1661, 1200, 971, 779, 732 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS m/z (EI) calc. for  $C_{16}H_6ClF_{17}$  [M+] 555.9887, found 555.9889;  $R_f$  0.71 (Petroleum ether).

(*E*)-1-chloro-4-(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6-nonafluoro-6 $\lambda^{12}$ -hexa-1-en-3,5-diyn-1-yl)benzene, **3ha**<sup>3i</sup>: colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>**H NMR** (600 MHz, **CDCl**<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.42 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.38 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.13 (dt, *J* = 16.2, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.18 (dt, *J* = 16.2, 12.0 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>**C NMR** (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 138.74 (t, *J* = 9.6 Hz), 136.44, 132.21, 129.48, 129.07, 115.10 (t, *J* = 23.3 Hz) (carbon peaks of  $-C_4F_9$ are omitted due to complicated C-F splitting); <sup>19</sup>F **NMR** (564 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ -81.04 (3F), -111.47 (2F), -124.09 (2F), -125.72 (2F); **IR** (neat): v<sub>max</sub> = 3044, 2927, 1660, 1236, 1206, 974 cm<sup>-1</sup>; *R*<sub>f</sub> 0.62 (Petroleum ether).

(*E*)-1-chloro-4-(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8-tridecafluoro-8 $\lambda$ <sup>16</sup>octa-1-en-3,5,7-triyn-1-yl)benzene, **3hb**<sup>3</sup>: colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>**H NMR** (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.42 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.38 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.13 (d, *J* = 16.2 Hz, 1H), 6.18 (dt, *J* = 16.2, 12.0 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>**C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl**<sub>3</sub>) δ 138.71 (t, *J* = 9.2 Hz), 136.45, 132.22, 129.48, 129.08, 115.21 (t, *J* = 22.9 Hz) (carbon peaks of  $-C_6F_{13}$ are omitted due to complicated C-F splitting); <sup>19</sup>**F NMR (564 MHz, CDCl**<sub>3</sub>) δ -80.83 (3F), -111.25 (2F), -121.64 (2F), -122.86 (2F), -123.20 (2F), -126.16 (2F); **IR (neat)**: v<sub>max</sub> = 2927, 1660, 1240, 1197, 974 cm<sup>-1</sup>; *R* 0.79 (Petroleum ether).

(*E*)-1-(4-(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6-nonafluoro-6 $\lambda^{12}$ -hexa-1-en-3,5diyn-1-yl)phenyl)ethan-1-one, **3ia**: colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (**300** MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.97 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.55 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.20 (d, *J* = 16.1 Hz, 1H), 6.30 (dt, *J* = 16.1, 12.0 Hz, 1H), 2.60 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (**151** MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 197.30, 138.83 (t, *J* = 9.6 Hz), 138.33, 137.90, 129.09, 127.99, 116.99 (t, *J* = 23.1 Hz), 26.77 (carbon peaks of  $-C_4F_9$  are omitted due to complicated C-F splitting); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (564 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ -81.24 (3F), -111.87 (2F), -124.17 (2F), -125.87 (2F); IR (neat): v<sub>max</sub> = 3033, 2987, 1685, 1235, 1207, 974, 816 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS m/z (EI) calc. for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>9</sub>F<sub>9</sub>O [M+] 364.0510, found 364.0508; *R*<sub>f</sub> 0.67 (Petroleum ether/Ether, 8/1).

(*E*)-1-(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6-nonafluoro-6 $\lambda^{12}$ -hexa-1-en-3,5-diyn-1-yl)naphthalene, **3ja**<sup>13</sup>: colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>**H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)** δ 8.07 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (d, *J* = 15.9 Hz, 1H), 7.93 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.91 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 7.7 Hz, 1H), 6.31 (dt, *J* = 15.9, 12.3 Hz, 1H).; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 137.75 (t, *J* = 9.6 Hz), 133.85, 131.52, Published on 04 April 2019. Downloaded by UNIVERSITE PARIS SUD on 4/8/2019 8:40:39 PM

#### ARTICLE

131.35, 130.61, 129.00, 127.21, 126.57, 125.65, 125.18, 123.37, 117.59 (t, J = 22.9 Hz) (carbon peaks of  $-C_4F_9$  are omitted due to complicated C-F splitting); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (564 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  - 81.06 (3F), -111.28 (2F), -124.10 (2F), -125.63 (2F); IR (neat):  $v_{max}$  = 3066, 2957, 1654, 1236, 886 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $R_f$  0.54 (Petroleum ether).

yl)naphthalene, **3jc**: off-white solid; m. p. 40-42 °C; <sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.05 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.99 (d, J = 15.9 Hz, 1H), 7.92 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.66 (d, J =7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (dd, J = 7.4, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (dd, J = 8.2, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (dd, J = 8.1, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.28 (dt, J = 15.9, 12.1 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 137.69 (t, J = 9.5 Hz), 133.82, 131.51, 131.33, 130.61, 129.00, 127.22, 126.58, 125.66, 125.19, 123.37, 117.70 (t, J = 23.7 Hz) (carbon peaks of  $-C_8F_{17}$  are omitted due to complicated C-F splitting); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (564 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ -80.78 (3F), -111.02 (2F), -121.28 (2F), -121.88 (m, 4F), -122.70 (2F), -123.14 (2F), -126.10 (2F); IR (neat): v<sub>max</sub> = 3081, 2987, 1661, 1242, 1152, 972 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS m/z (EI) calc. for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>9</sub>F<sub>17</sub> [M+] 572.0433, found 572.0436; *R*<sub>f</sub> 0.54 (Petroleum ether).

(*E*)-9-(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6-nonafluoro- $6\lambda^{12}$ -hexa-1-en-3,5-diyn-1-yl)phenanthrene, **3ka**: off-white oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.76 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 8.69 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.06 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 8.00 (d, *J* = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 7.93 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.89 (s, 1H), 7.75 – 7.67 (m, 3H), 7.64 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 6.37 (dt, *J* = 15.6, 12.3 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 138.56 (t, *J* = 9.3 Hz), 131.31, 131.25, 130.71, 130.65, 130.03, 129.34, 127.92, 127.41, 127.36, 127.33, 126.67, 124.38, 123.52, 122.87, 118.16 (t, *J* = 22.5 Hz) (carbon peaks of  $-C_4F_9$  are omitted due to complicated C-F splitting); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (564 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ -80.96 (3F), -111.26 (2F), -124.02 (2F), -125.57 (2F); IR (neat):  $v_{max}$  = 2953, 2922, 2852, 1656, 1235, 970 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS m/z (EI) calc. for  $C_{20}H_{11}F_9$  [M+] 422.0717, found 422.0719; *R*<sub>f</sub> 0.58 (Petroleum ether).

 130.70, 130.65, 130.03, 129.34, 127.92, 127.40, 127.35, 127.32, 126.65, 124.37, 123.51, 122.87, 118.23 ((P):  $\pm$ 222.39/42) (Carbon peaks of  $-C_6F_{13}$  are omitted due to complicated C-F splitting); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (564 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ -80.77 (3F), -111.02 (2F), -121.47 (2F), -122.79 (2F), -123.08 (2F), -126.09 (2F); IR (neat): v<sub>max</sub> = 2971, 2923, 1655, 1241, 1202, 971 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS m/z (EI) calc. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>11</sub>F<sub>13</sub> [M+] 522.0653, found 522.0652; **R**<sub>f</sub> 0.47 (Petroleum ether).

(*E*)-1-(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6-nonafluoro- $6\lambda^{12}$ -hexa-1-en-3,5-diyn-1-yl)pyrene, **3lb**: pale-green solid; m. p. 82-85 °C; <sup>1</sup>**H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)** δ 8.34 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 8.29 (d, *J* = 15.8 Hz, 1H), 8.26 - 8.23 (m, 2H), 8.21 - 8.18 (m, 3H), 8.13 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 8.09 - 8.04 (m, 2H), 6.45 (dt, *J* = 15.8, 12.1 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>**C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)** δ 137.32 (t, *J* = 9.2 Hz), 132.81, 131.56, 130.91, 129.51, 128.98, 128.79, 127.78, 127.51, 126.59, 126.29, 126.07, 125.28, 125.08, 124.84, 124.40, 122.39, 117.09 (t, *J* = 22.5 Hz) (carbon peaks of  $-C_6F_{13}$  are omitted due to complicated C-F splitting); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (564 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ -80.76 (3F), -110.64 (2F), -121.45 (2F), -122.77 (2F), -122.98 (2F), -126.07 (2F); IR (neat): v<sub>max</sub> = 2987, 2901, 1653, 1241, 903, 723 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS m/z (EI) calc. for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>11</sub>F<sub>13</sub> [M+] 546.0653, found 546.0656; *R*<sub>f</sub> 0.40 (Petroleum ether).

#### Analytic Data for Side Products

1,1'-biphenyl, **5**: white solid; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 7.61 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 4H), 7.46 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 7.6 Hz, 4H), 7.36 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  141.46, 128.96, 127.46, 127.38.

1-((*E*)-3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,6-nonafluorohex-1-en-1-yl)-4-((*E*)-3,3,4,4,5,6,6,6-octafluoro-5-methylhex-1-en-1-yl)benzene, **3ma**: white solid; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 7.52 (s, 4H), 7.18 (d, *J* = 16.1 Hz, 2H), 6.25 (dt, *J* = 16.1, 12.1 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 138.94 (t, *J* = 10.0 Hz), 135.40, 128.43, 115.81 (t, *J* = 23.3 Hz) (carbon peaks of  $-C_4F_9$  are omitted due to complicated C-F splitting); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (564 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ -81.04 (3F), -111.51 (2F), -124.04 (2F), -125.72 (2F).

(*E*)-(4-bromo-3,3,4,4-tetrafluorobut-1-en-1-yl)benzene, **3ad**<sup>14</sup>: colorless oil; <sup>1</sup>**H NMR (600 MHz, CDCI<sub>3</sub>)** δ 7.49 (m, 2H), 7.41 (m, 3H), 7.20 (d, *J* = 16.1 Hz, 1H), 6.25 (dt, *J* = 16.1, 11.8 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>**C NMR (151 MHz, CDCI<sub>3</sub>)** δ 140.02 (t, *J* = 8.6 Hz), 133.93, 130.30, 129.16, 127.82, 114.56 (t, *J* = 23.9 Hz) (carbon peaks of  $-C_2F_5$  are omitted due to complicated C-F splitting); <sup>19</sup>**F NMR** (564 MHz, CDCI<sub>3</sub>) δ -65.65 (2F), -109.04 (2F).

(1E,3E)-1,4-diphenylbuta-1,3-diene, **6**<sup>15</sup>: white solid; <sup>1</sup>**H NMR** (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.45 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 4H), 7.34 (dd, *J* = 7.7, 7.4 Hz, 4H), 7.24 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 6.97 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 2H), 6.69 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  137.58, 133.04, 129.47, 128.87, 127.78, 126.60.

buta-1,3-diene-2,3-diyldibenzene, **7**<sup>16</sup>: white solid; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.39 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 4H), 7.27 (dd, J = 7.7, 7.4 Hz, 4H), 7.22 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 5.54 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 2H), 5.3 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 2H); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CO) δ 7.44 (d, J = 7.6Hz, 4H), 7.31 (dd, J = 7.6, 7.2 Hz, 4H), 7.27 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 5.64 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 2H), 5.37 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 150.06, 140.42, 128.38, 127.71, 116.57, 110.24. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CO) δ 150.86, 140.57, 128.88, 128.26, 127.94, 116.20.

styrene, **8**: colorless liquid; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CO) δ 7.51 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.39 (dd, *J* = 7.6 , 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.81 (dd, *J* = 17.7, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 5.86 (d, *J* = 17.7 Hz, 1H), 5.29 (d, *J* = 10.9 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CO) δ 138.38, 137.76, 129.28, 128.57, 126.92, 114.01.

#### **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts to declare.

#### Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the National Research Foundation of Korea [NRF-2012M3A7B4049657, NRF-2014-011165, and NRF-2017R1A2B2004082].

#### Notes and references

- (a) J. Wang, M. Sanchez-Rosello, J. L. Acena, C. del Pozo, A. E. Sorochinsky, S. Fustero, V. A. Soloshonok and H. Liu, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 2432-2506; (b) Y. Zafrani, D. Yeffet, G. Sod-Moriah, A. Berliner, D. Amir, D. Marciano, E. Gershonov and S. Saphier, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2017, **60**, 797-804.
- 2 Some recent reviews on fluoroalkylations, see: (a) G. Landelle, M. Bergeron, M.-O. Turcotte-Savard and J.-F. Paquin, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 2867-2908; (b) S. Barata-Vallejo, S. M. Bonesi and A. Postigo, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 62498-62518; (c) C. Ni and J. Hu, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2016, **45**, 5441-5454.
- 3 (a) S. Matsubara, M. Mitani and K. Utimoto, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1987, 28, 5857-5860; (b) A. Hafner and S. Brase, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2011, 353, 3044-3048; (c) Y. Li, L. Wu, H. Neumann and M. Beller, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 2628-2630; (d) A. Lishchynskyi, Z. Mazloomi and V. V. Grushin, Synlett, 2015, 26, 45-50; (e) K. Aikawa, Y. Nakamura, Y. Yokota, W. Toya and K. Mikami, Chem. Eur. J., 2015, 21, 96-100; (f) C. Wu, Y. Huang, Z. Zhang and Z. Weng, Asian J. Org. Chem., 2016, 5, 1406-1410; (g) J.-J. Ma and W.-B. Yi, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2017, 15, 4295-4299; (h) S. P. Midya, J. Rana, T. Abraham, B. Aswin and E. Balaraman, Chem. Commun., 2017, 53, 6760-6763; (i) Y.-L. Lai, D.-Z. Lin and J.-M. Huang, J. Org. Chem., 2017, 82, 597-605.
- 4 (a) Z. Feng, Q.-Q. Min, H.-Y. Zhao, J.-W. Gu and X. Zhang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 1270-1274; (b) H. Egami, Y. Usui, S. Kawamura, S. Nagashima and M. Sodeoka, *Chem. Asian J.*, 2015, **10**, 2190-2199.
- Some reviews on visible-light induced fluoroalkylations, see:
  (a) X. Wang, V. Hessel and T. Noel, *Chem. Today.*, 2013, 31, 10-14;
  (c) T. Koike and M. Akita, *Top. Catal.*, 2014, 57, 967-

974; (b) S. Barata-Vallejo, S. M. Bonesi and A. Postgo. Org. Biomol. Chem., 2015, **13**, 11153-11183<sub>O</sub> (C) To Structure Contract Iqbal, Y. You and E. J. Cho, Acc. Chem. Res., 2016, **49**, 2284-2294; (d) X. Pan, H. Xia and J. Wu, Org. Chem. Front., 2016, **3**, 1163-1185; (e) Visible Light Photocatalysis in Organic Chemistry, ed. C. R. J. Stephenson, T. R. Yoon and D. W. C. MacMillan, John Wiley & Sons, 2018.

Visible light-induced synthesis of fluoroalkyl alkenes from our laboratory, see ref. 5(c) and (a) N. Iqbal, S. Choi, E. Kim and E. J. Cho, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2012, **77**, 11383-11387; (b) C. Yu, N. Iqbal, S. Park and E. J. Cho, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 12884-12887; (c) W. J. Choi, S. Choi, K. Ohkubo, S. Fukuzumi and E. J. Cho, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 1454-1464. (d) Y. Choi, C. Yu, J. S. Kim and E. J. Cho, *Org. Lett.*, 2016, **18**, 3246-3249; (e) G.-R. Park, Y. Choi, M. G. Choi, S.-K. Chang and E. J. Cho, *Asian J. Org. Chem.*, 2017, **6**, 436-440; (f) G.-R. Park, J. Moon and E. J. Cho, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 12786-12789.

7 (a) S. Darses, M. Pucheault and J.-P. Genet, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2001, 2001, 1121-1128; (b) J. Feng and C. Cai, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, 2013, 146, 6-10.

- 8 Some recent examples on oxidative Heck coupling reactions, see: (a) J. Ruan, X. Li, O. Saidi and J. Xiao, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 2424-2425; (b) Y. Su and N. Jiao, Org. Lett., 2009, 11, 2980-2983; (c) K. Inamoto, J. Kawasaki, K. Hiroya, Y. Kondo and T. Doi, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 4332-4334; (d) C. Jiang, D. J. Covell, A. F. Stepan, M. S. Plummer and M. C. White, Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 1386-1389; (e) J. H. Delcamp, P. E. Gormisky and M. C. White, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 8460-8463; (f) L. Zhang, C. Dong, C. Ding, J. Chen, W. Tang, H. Li, L. Xu and J. Xiao, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2013, 355, 1570-1578; (g) L. Meng, C. Liu, W. Zhang, C. Zhou and A. Lei, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 1110-1112; (h) Y. He, Z. Yang, R. T. Thornbury and F. D. Toste, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 12207-12210; (i) P. K. Chinthakindi, K. B. Govender, A. S. Kumar, H. G. Kruger, T. Govender, T. Naicker and P. I. Arvidsson, Org. Lett., 2017, 19, 480-483; (j) G.-F. Zha, G. A. L. Bare, J. Leng, Z.-P. Shang, Z. Luo and H.-L. Qin, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2017, 359, 3237-3242.
- 9 Some recent examples where molecular oxygen was used as the oxidant in Heck coupling reactions, see: (a) Z. He, S. Kirchberg, R. Frohlich and A. Studer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 3699-3702; (b) P. Sun, Y. Zhu, H. Yang, H. Yan, L. Lu, X. Zhang and J. Mao, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2012, **10**, 4512-4515; (c) C. Zheng, D. Wang and S. S. Stahl, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 16496-16499; (d) S. E. Walker, J. Boehnke, P. E. Glen, S. Levey, L. Patrick, J. A. Jordan-Hore and A.-L. Lee, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, **15**, 1886-1889; (e) Y. W. Kim and G. I. Georg, *Org. Lett.*, 2014, **16**, 1574-1577; (f) J. Lee, J. Yu, S. H. Son, J. Heo, T. Kim, J.-Y. An, K.-S. Inn and N.-J. Kim, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2016, **14**, 777-784.
- 10 Y. C. Jung, R. K. Mishra, C. H. Yoon and K. W. Jung, *Org. Lett.*, 2003, **5**, 2231-2234.
- 11 In some reactions, **2c** did not show good reactivity probably due to the poor solubility and mixability in DMA solvent.
- 12 A. J. J. Lennox and G. C. Lloyd-Jones, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 412-443.
- 13 A. Csapo and J. Rabai, J. Fluorine Chem., 2012, 144, 79-85.
- 14 Y. Sakaguchi, S. Yamada, T. Konno, T. Agou and T. Kubota, J. Org. Chem., 2017, 82, 1618-1631.
- 15 E. Alacid and C. Najera, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2006, 348, 2085-2091.
- K. M. Doxsee and J. K. M. Mouser, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1991, 32, 1687-1690.