This article is published as part of the Dalton Transactions themed issue entitled:

Self-Assembly in Inorganic Chemistry

Guest Editors Paul Kruger and Thorri Gunnlaugsson

Published in issue 45, 2011 of Dalton Transactions



Image reproduced with permission of Mark Ogden

Articles in the issue include:

PERSPECTIVE:

Metal ion directed self-assembly of sensors for ions, molecules and biomolecules Jim A. Thomas Dalton Trans., 2011, DOI: 10.1039/C1DT10876J

ARTICLES:

Self-assembly between dicarboxylate ions and a binuclear europium complex: formation of stable adducts and heterometallic lanthanide complexes James A. Tilney, Thomas Just Sørensen, Benjamin P. Burton-Pye and Stephen Faulkner Dalton Trans., 2011, DOI: 10.1039/C1DT11103E

Structural and metallo selectivity in the assembly of [2 × 2] grid-type metallosupramolecular species: Mechanisms and kinetic control Artur R. Stefankiewicz, Jack Harrowfield, Augustin Madalan, Kari Rissanen, Alexandre N. Sobolev and Jean-Marie Lehn Dalton Trans., 2011, DOI: 10.1039/C1DT11226K

Visit the *Dalton Transactions* website for more cutting-edge inorganic and organometallic research <u>www.rsc.org/dalton</u>

Dalton Transactions

Cite this: Dalton Trans., 2011, 40, 12153

PAPER

Nickel(II) and iron(II) triple helicates assembled from expanded quaterpyridines incorporating flexible linkages[†]

Christopher R. K. Glasson,^{*a*} George V. Meehan,^{*a*} Cherie A. Motti,^{*b*} Jack K. Clegg,^{*c*,*d*} Peter Turner,^{*c*} Paul Jensen^{*c*} and Leonard F. Lindoy^{*c*}

Received 3rd May 2011, Accepted 30th June 2011 DOI: 10.1039/c1dt10820d

In the present study the interaction of Fe(II) and Ni(II) with the related expanded quaterpyridines, 1,2-, 1,3- and 1,4-bis-(5'-methyl-[2,2']bipyridinyl-5-ylmethoxy)benzene ligands (**4–6** respectively), incorporating flexible, bis-aryl/methylene ether linkages in the bridges between the dipyridyl domains, was shown to predominantly result in the assembly of $[M_2L_3]^{4+}$ complexes; although with **4** and **6** there was also evidence for the (minor) formation of the corresponding $[M_4L_6]^{8+}$ species. Overall, this result contrasts with the behaviour of the essentially rigid 'parent' quaterpyridine **1** for which only tetrahedral $[M_4L_6]^{8+}$ cage species were observed when reacted with various Fe(II) salts. It also contrasts with that observed for **2** and **3** incorporating essentially rigid substituted phenylene and biphenylene bridges between the dipyridyl domains where reaction with Fe(II) and Ni(II) yielded both $[M_2L_3]^{4+}$ and $[M_4L_6]^{8+}$ complex types, but in this case it was the latter species that was assigned as the thermodynamically favoured product type. The X-ray structures of the triple helicate complexes $[H_2O \subset Ni_2(4)_3](PF_6)_4 \cdot THF \cdot 2.2H_2O$, $[Ni_2(6)_3](PF_6)_4 \cdot 1.95MeCN \cdot 1.2THF \cdot 1.8H_2O$, and the very unusual triple helicate PF_6^- inclusion complex, $[(PF_6) \subset Ni_2(5)_3](PF_6)_3 \cdot 1.75MeCN \cdot 5.25THF \cdot 0.25H_2O$ are reported.

Introduction

While considerable interest continues to be given to the metalion directed assembly of discrete metallosupramolecular systems that incorporate a central cavity,¹ the assembly of new systems of this type displaying predetermined properties continues to be a challenge.² This is due to the many influences that may affect the outcome of a given self-assembly experiment. In the first instance the choice of the metal ion and the other components (commonly an appropriately functionalised organic building block or blocks) are obviously of key importance. Metal ion properties such as its charge, radius, spin-state and the presence of any crystal field properties that may influence the coordination behaviour (including the degree of kinetic inertness of the resulting product) may all influence a given supramolecular assembly outcome. For the organic component, careful design of both its structural and electronic properties are of course essential. Even factors such as its flexibility may influence a given supramolecular outcome. For example, a considerable number of polypyridyl ligands displaying different flexibilities have now been employed for the assembly of a wide variety of metallo-supramolecular structures whose architectures, at least in part, reflect the inherent flexibility of the particular ligand system used.³

Like many others, we have employed a metal-directed approach of the type mentioned above for synthesising a range of structures incorporating 2- and 3-dimensional voids, many of which include guest molecules or ions.⁴⁻⁷ For example, it has now been well demonstrated that when essentially linear bis-bidentate ligand systems react with octahedral metal ions, M_2L_3 helicates (or sometimes their corresponding *meso* isomers) or M_4L_6 tetrahedra are commonly formed; sometimes these occur in combination.⁸⁻¹⁰

Although from entropic considerations alone the above reaction type might be expected to favour formation of a smaller M_2L_3 assembly, if the generation of such an assembly is sterically inhibited due to lack of flexibility in the organic component (L) then frequently the larger homologue, M_4L_6 , becomes the preferred thermodynamic product.^{8,11,12} Hence, in such a situation it is the balance between flexibility and rigidity of the ditopic ligand that may largely control which of the above assembly types predominates.

In our previous studies, it was shown that the near-rigid, linear ditopic ligand, 5,5^{'''}-dimethyl-2,2':5',5'':2'',2^{'''}-quaterpyridine 1,

^aSchool of Pharmacy and Molecular Sciences, James Cook University, Townsville, Qld., 4814, Australia. E-mail: George.Meehan@jcu.edu.au ^bThe Australian Institute of Marine Science, Townsville, 4810, Qld., Australia

^cSchool of Chemistry, F11, The University of Sydney, NSW, 2006, Australia. E-mail: lindoy@chem.usyd.edu.au; Fax: +61 2 93513329

^dDepartment of Chemistry, The University of Cambridge, Lensfield Rd, Cambridge, UK CB2 1EW

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: ESI-HRMS of $[Fe_2(4)_3](PF_6)_4$ and an additional X-ray structure figure for the $[Ni_2(6)_3]^{4+}$ cation. CCDC reference numbers [CCDC 824369–824371]. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c1dt10820d



yields solely [Fe₄L₆]⁸⁺ tetrahedra when reacted with a range of Fe(II) salts (often spontaneously including an anion in the central cavity of the tetrahedral cage).^{4,6} However the slightly larger (and more kinetically inert) Ru(II) yielded a $[Ru_2L_3]^{4+}$ triple helicate under the conditions employed for the reaction.9 In a further study in our laboratories, the related expanded quaterpyridine derivatives 2 and 3 incorporating rigid dimethoxy-substituted 1,4phenylene and tetramethoxy-substituted 4,4'-biphenylene bridges respectively between pairs of 2,2'-bipyridyl groups were prepared and in a comparative investigation their interaction with Fe(II) and Ni(II) was undertaken.13 In each case these fully conjugated ligand systems yielded a mixture of $[M_2L_3]^{4+}$ triple helicate and a $[M_4L_6]^{8+}$ tetrahedral species - behaviour that, at least in part, was attributed to the greater flexibility of these extended quaterpyridines allowing generation of both the above species type (although evidence suggested that the tetrahedral structures are of somewhat higher stability than the corresponding triple helicates in each case).

As an extension of the above studies, we now report the results of a further comparative investigation of the effect of extending the structure of quaterpyridine 1 to yield the flexibly-linked, bisether containing quaterpyridine ligands 4-6 on the nature of the metallosupramolecular assemblies formed with Ni(II) and Fe(II). In particular, it was of interest to observe what influence the increased flexibility of 4-6 would have on the nature of the corresponding metallosupramolecular systems generated relative to those formed by 1-3.

Results and discussion

Expanded quaterpyridine ligand synthesis

The reaction of 5-chloromethyl-5'-methyl-2,2'-bipyridine with catechol, resorcinol and hydroquinone in the presence of K_2CO_3 in dimethylformamide afforded the flexibly bridged quaterpyridines **4–6** in 90, 93 and 58 percent yield, respectively. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR of the respective products confirmed their expected C_2 -symmetries and in each case the spectra were in overall accord with the expected structures. In particular, for the ¹H NMR spectra NOEs were observed between methyl protons at ~2.4 ppm and protons in the 4'- and 6'-positions for each compound, allowing full assignment of the respective spectra.

[M₂L₃]⁴⁺ complexes incorporating 4-6

We now report the results of metal-directed assembly reactions involving the interaction of Fe(II) and Ni(II) with each of the new flexibly-bridged quaterpyridines **4–6**.

Fe(II) complexes. In an initial series of experiments, FeCl₂·5H₂O or Fe(BF₄)₂·6H₂O were reacted with each of **4–6** in a 2:3 (M:L) ratio in a microwave reactor using methanol or acetonitrile as solvent. For the catechol-bridged species **4**, thin layer chromatography (TLC) of the crude product indicated the predominant formation of a single deep red product. This product was subjected to chromatography on silica gel and isolated as its PF_6^- salt. The deep red colouration is characteristic of the presence of a low-spin [Fe(bipyridine)₃]²⁺ chromophore.¹⁴

The ¹H NMR spectrum of this material indicated that the complexed ligand had retained its two-fold symmetry; there were eight aromatic resonances (Fig. 1a). However, despite the chromatographic purification step, there was evidence for the presence of a small amount (\sim 10%) of a second product of high symmetry (see arrows in Fig. 1a).

The ESI-HRMS of the above product revealed +2 to +4 ions corresponding to successive losses of PF_6^- from the formula $[Fe_2(4)_3](PF_6)_4$ (see ESI†). There was also evidence of a second series of ions (much weaker peaks) corresponding to the successive losses of PF_6^- anions from the formula $[Fe_4(4)_6](PF_6)_8$. The presence of this latter series is exemplified by the theoretical and observed isotopic distributions for the +5 ion, $\{[Fe_4(4)_6](PF_6)_3\}^{s_+}$ (see ESI†). Thus, the presence of a $[Fe_4L_6]^{8_+}$ complex likely accounts for the symmetrical by-product observed in the ¹H NMR spectrum of this material. Based on the ¹H NMR spectrum and mass spectrum of the complex, $[Fe_2(4)_3](PF_6)_4$, it is assumed that the structure is either a true helicate (namely, metal centres: $\Delta\Delta$ or $\Lambda\Lambda$) or a *meso*-helicate (metal centres: $\Delta\Lambda$).

TLC of the product from an analogous metal-directed assembly experiment employing $Fe(BF_4)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ and the resorcinol-bridged 5 indicated the formation of a complex mixture of products. In this case, separation of these by chromatography on silica gel or by size exclusion chromatography was in each case unsuccessful leading to only a partially purified product whose ¹H NMR spectrum showed an underlying broadness and indicated the presence of impurities. However, the spectrum confirmed that in the major product the ligand maintains its two-fold symmetry. The ESI-HRMS of the above product showed the presence of +2 and +3 ions corresponding to the successive losses of BF_4^- from the formula $[Fe_2(5)_3](BF_4)_4$. No ions that could be assigned to arise from a $[Fe_4L_6] (BF_4)_8$ species were observed.

The interaction of the hydroquinone-bridged quaterpyridine **6** with FeCl₂·5H₂O gave a deep red crude product that was isolated by addition of NH_4PF_6 . This was redissolved then passed down a silica gel column and was again isolated as its PF_6^- salt. Interestingly, in contrast to the ¹H NMR spectra of the respective products derived from **4** and **5**, the ¹H NMR spectrum of this material indicated that two different ligand environments were present. The most clearly seen proton resonances reflecting this are those corresponding to the hydroquinone-bridge protons, which in the free ligand are equivalent, but are now present as two singlets of relative intensities close to 1 : 1(see peaks marked with asterisks in Fig. 1b). As well, there are two overlapping AB systems for the



Fig. 1 ¹H NMR spectra in CD₃CN of (a) $[Fe_2(4)_3](PF_6)_4$ and (b) $[Fe_2(6)_3](PF_6)_4$. The two singlets arising from the hydroquinone-bridge proton in the latter spectrum are marked with asterisks (see discussion in main text).

phenoxymethylene protons (see expanded peaks marked with red circle in Fig. 1b). The ESI-HRMS of this material revealed +2 and +3 ion species corresponding to successive losses of PF_6^- from the formula $[Fe_2(6)_3](PF_6)_4$ (while much less intense peaks were also present corresponding to the successive loss of PF_6^- ions from the formula $[Fe_4(6)_6](PF_6)_8$).

Based on the above evidence, the $\sim 1:1$ relative intensities for the ligand singlet peaks rule out possible simple $[Fe_2L_3]^{4+}$ helicate or *meso* structures (as well as a dinuclear structure of this stoichiometry incorporating two terminally coordinated **6** ligands and one bridging **6** ligand)¹⁵ in which two ligands are in one environment and one is in another. The most probable rationale for the observed NMR data appears to be that the product is a $\sim 1:1$ mixture of the corresponding (isomeric) triple helicate and *meso*-helicate. Although a single helicate or *meso*-helicate structure in which opposite ends are non-equivalent would also fit the data, we consider this unlikely since it is difficult to see what the driving force for the formation of such species would be.

Ni(II) complexes. In a subsequent set of experiments, a similar series of metal-directed assembly experiments to those just discussed for Fe(II) and **4–6** were undertaken in which NiCl₂·6H₂O was substituted for the iron salt. The ESI-HRMS of the resulting products indicated that the major species were the $[M_2L_3]^{4+}$ complexes, $[Ni_2(4)_3](PF_6)_4$, $[Ni_2(5)_3](PF_6)_4$, and $[Ni_2(6)_3](PF_6)_4$ respectively; the spectrum of $[Ni_2(4)_3](PF_6)_4$, which is representative of those for of the other two products, is shown in Fig. 2a. In parallel to the corresponding Fe(II) systems of **4** and **6**, there was again mass spectral evidence for the additional formation of $[Ni_4L_6]^{8+}$ species for these two ligands. In each case, +3 ion species corresponding to the loss of three PF₆⁻ anions from the formulae $[Ni_4(4)_6](PF_6)_8$ and $[Ni_4(6)_6](PF_6)_8$ were observed. Good agreement between the theoretical and observed isotopic distributions leaves little doubt as to the identity of these species

(see, for example Fig. 2b). It is noted that the spectrum of $[Ni_2(5)_3](PF_6)_4$ only showed ions corresponding to the loss of PF_6^- anions from this formula; no evidence for formation of $[Ni_4(5)_6](PF_6)_8$ was observed in this case.

X-ray structures

Crystals of $[Ni_2(4)_3](PF_6)_4$, $[Ni_2(5)_3](PF_6)_4$ and $[Ni_2(6)_3](PF_6)_4$ suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown from a tetrahydrofuran/acetonitrile solvent mixture in each case. The structure of $[H_2O \square Ni_2(4)_3](PF_6)_4 \cdot THF \cdot 2.2H_2O$ (Fig. 3) confirmed the $[M_2L_3]^{4+}$ formulation of the complex cation. The product crystallised in the centrosymmetric monoclinic space group *C* 2/*c* with *Z* = 4; thus the molecule has a two-fold axis. The two octahedral Ni(II) centres are separated by 10.8 Å and bridged by three quaterpyridine ligands such that the stereochemistry of the metal centres of each discrete unit are either $\Delta \Delta$ (*P*) or $\Lambda \Lambda$ (*M*). Thus, the solid state structure of $[Ni_2(4)_3](PF_6)_4$ is a true helicate. There is a guest water molecule encapsulated in the centre of the helix.

 $[(PF_6) \subset Ni_2(5)_3](PF_6)_3 \cdot 1.75 MeCN \cdot 5.25 THF \cdot 0.25 H_2O$ crystallised in the centrosymmetric monoclinic space group *P* 2₁/c. The two octahedral Ni(II) centres are separated by 12.8 Å and bridged by three quaterpyridine ligands such that the stereochemistry of the metal centres of each discrete unit is again either $\Delta \Delta$ (*P*) or $\Delta \Lambda$ (*M*). The structure reveals that a PF₆⁻ anion is encapsulated in the central cavity of the helicate such that in the solid state the structure corresponds to $[(PF_6) \subset Ni_2(5)_3](PF_6)_3$ (Fig. 4).

Finally the crystal structure of $[Ni_2(6)_3](PF_6)_4 \cdot 1.95MeCN \cdot 1.2THF \cdot 1.8H_2O$ confirmed its formulation as $[Ni_2(6)_3](PF_6)_4$ (Fig. 5). This product crystallised in the centrosymmetric triclinic space group $P\overline{1}$. The two octahedral Ni(II) centres are separated by 14.1 Å and bridged by three quaterpyridine ligands such that the stereochemistry of the metal centres of each discrete unit are once again either $\Delta \Delta$ (*P*) or $\Lambda \Lambda$ (*M*). Interestingly, in this product the





Fig. 2 (a) The mass spectrum of $[Ni_2(4)_3](PF_6)_4$ and the theoretical (top) and observed (bottom) isotopic distributions for (b) $\{[Ni_4(4)_6](PF_6)_5\}^{3+}$ and (c)



Fig. 3 (a) Stick representation of the crystal structure of $[Ni_2(4)_3](PF_6)_4$ ·THF·2.2H₂O and (b) space filling representation (hydrogens, counterions and solvents removed for clarity).



Fig. 4 (a) Stick representation of the crystal structure of $[(PF_6) \subset Ni_2(5)_3](PF_6)_3 \cdot 1.75 MeCN \cdot 5.25 THF \cdot 0.25 H_2O and (b) space filling$ representation both views showing the encapsulated PF₆⁻ anion (hydrogens, counterions and solvents are removed for clarity).



Fig. 5 (a) Stick representation of the crystal structure of $[Ni_2(6)_3](PF_6)_4 \cdot 1.95 MeCN \cdot 1.2 THF \cdot 1.8 H_2O$ illustrating the two bound ligand conformations present in this helicate and (b) a space filling representation (hydrogens, counterions and solvents removed for clarity).

three ligands exist in two different conformations. For two ligands one of the bipyridyl groups is orientated at approximately 80° to

12156 | Dalton Trans., 2011, 40, 12153-12159

the plane of the hydroquinone bridge which is coplanar to the other bipyridyl group. The other ligand is in a near planar conformation throughout, with each coordination domain orientated ~180° to the other. The latter is related to the S-shaped conformation that Albrecht described as being beneficial for helicate formation.¹²

Conclusions

In this report we have demonstrated that the interaction of Fe(II) and Ni(II) with the expanded flexible quaterpyridines 4-6 predominantly results in the formation of $[M_2L_3]^{4+}$ complexes although with 4 and 6 there was also evidence for the (minor) formation of the corresponding $[M_4L_6]^{8+}$ species under the conditions employed. This result contrasts with the behaviour of the quite rigid 'parent' quaterpyridine 1 for which only [M4L6]8+ species were observed. To a lesser degree the present results for 4-6 also contrast with those obtained for the expanded quaterpyridines of intermediate flexibility, 3 and 4, for which both $[M_2L_3]^{4+}$ and $[M_4L_6]^{8+}$ species were seen to occur but in these cases the $[M_4L_6]^{8+}$ appears to be the favoured (thermodynamic) product. Finally, it is noted that the structure of the complex cation $[(PF_6) \subset Ni_2(5)_3]^{3+1}$ is quite unusual in that it provides a rare example of the inclusion of a large polyatomic anion in a dinuclear triple helicate complex.

Experimental

All reagents were of analytical grade unless otherwise indicated. 5-Trimethylsilylmethyl-5'-methyl-2,2'-bipyridine and 5chloromethyl-5'-methyl-2,2'-bipyridine were prepare using a combination of literature methods.^{16,17} Chromatography grade solvents were distilled through a fractionation column packed with glass helices. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AM-300 or a Varian Mercury 300 MHz spectrometer (300.133 MHz) at 298 K. Electrospray (ES) high resolution Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometry (FTICR-MS) measurements were obtained on a Bruker BioAPEX 47e mass spectrometer equipped with an Analytica of Branford electrospray ionisation (ESI) source.

1,2-Bis-(5'-methyl-[2,2']bipyridinyl-5-ylmethoxy)benzene (4). 5-Chloromethyl-5'-methyl-2,2'-bipyridine (241 mg, 1.1 mmol), catechol (55 mg, 0.5 mmol) and K_2CO_3 (415 mg, 3.0 mmol) in dimethylformamide (10 mL) was stirred at room temperature over 12 h. H₂O (20 mL) was then added to the reaction mixture, and the resulting precipitate filtered off and washed with water followed by a minimum volume of chilled methanol. The crude product was purified by chromatography on silica gel with dichloromethane (98.75%), methanol (1%) and saturated NH₃ (0.25%) as eluent to afford 4 (215 mg, 90%) as a white powder. ¹H-NMR δ (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) 2.40 (s, 6 H, CH₃), 5.22 (s, 4 H, OCH₂Ar), 6.96 (m, 4 H, Ar–H), 7.63 (dd, ³J = 8.1, ⁴J = 1.8 Hz, 2 H, H-4'), 7.90 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 8.1$, ${}^{4}J = 2.1$ Hz, 2 H, H-4), 8.29 (d, ${}^{3}J =$ 8.1 Hz, 2 H, H-3'), 8.38 (d, ${}^{3}J = 8.1$ Hz, 2 H, H-3), 8.50 (d, ${}^{4}J =$ 1.8 Hz, 2 H, H-6'), 8.72 (d, ${}^{4}J$ = 2.1 Hz, 2 H, H-6); 13 C-NMR δ (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 18.61, 69.17, 115.70, 120.97, 121.07, 122.43, 132.73, 133.86, 136.57, 137.97, 148.45, 148.87, 149.61, 153.26, 155.88; positive ion ESI-HRMS: m/z ($M = C_{30}H_{26}N_4O_2$ in dichloromethane/methanol): calc for $[M + Na]^+$: 497.1948, found 497.1948.

1,3-Bis-(5'-methyl-[2,2']bipyridinyl-5-ylmethoxy)-benzene (5). The procedure was similar to that employed for the synthesis of 4. 5-Chloromethyl-5'-methyl-2,2'-bipyridine (241 mg, 1.1 mmol), resorcinol (55 mg, 0.5 mmol) and K₂CO₃ (415 mg, 3.0 mmol) were reacted in DMF (10 cm³) for 12 h. Standard workup yielded 5 (220 mg, 93%) as a white powder. ¹H NMR δ (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) 2.41 (s, 6 H, CH₃), 5.12 (s, 4 H, OCH₂Ar), 6.64 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 8.1, {}^{4}J =$ 2.3 Hz, 2 H, H-b), 6.65 (d, ${}^{4}J$ = 2.3 Hz, 1 H, H-a), 7.23 (t, ${}^{3}J$ = 8.1 Hz, 1 H, H-c), 7.65 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 8.1$, ${}^{4}J = 2.1$ Hz, 2 H, H-4'), 7.89 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 8.1$, ${}^{4}J = 2.1$ Hz, 2 H, H-4), 8.30 (d, ${}^{3}J = 8.1$ Hz, 2 H, H-3'), 8.41 (d, ${}^{3}J$ = 8.1 Hz, 2 H, H-3), 8.52 (d, ${}^{4}J$ = 2.1 Hz, 2 H, H-6'), 8.72 (d, ${}^{4}J$ = 2.1 Hz, 2 H, H-6); ${}^{13}C$ NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 18.61, 67.75, 102.60, 107.89, 120.99, 121.07, 130.41, 132.43, 133.96, 136.61, 138.05, 148.50, 149.60, 153.21, 155.93, 159.88; positive ion ESI-HRMS: m/z ($M = C_{30}H_{26}N_4O_2$ in dichloromethane/methanol): calc. for $[M + H]^+$: 475.2129, found 475.2200; calc. for $[M + Na]^+$: 497.1948, found 497.1945.

1,4-Bis-(5'-methyl-[2,2']bipyridinyl-5-ylmethoxy)-benzene (6). The procedure was similar to that employed for the synthesis of **4**. 5-Chloromethyl-5'-methyl-2,2'-bipyridine (241 mg, 1.1 mmol), hydroquinone (55 mg, 0.5 mmol) and K₂CO₃ (415 mg, 3.0 mmol) were reacted in DMF (10 cm³) for 12 h. Standard workup yielded **6** (138 mg, 58%) as a sparingly soluble white powder. This material was used without further purification. ¹H NMR δ (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) 2.41 (s, 6 H, CH₃), 5.09 (s, 4 H, O*CH*₂Ar), 7.65 (dd, ³J = 8.1, ⁴J = 1.8 Hz, 2 H, H-4'), 7.89 (dd, ³J = 8.4, ⁴J = 2.1 Hz, 2 H, H-4), 8.31 (d, ³J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H, H-3'), 8.41 (d, ³J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H, H-3), 8.52 (d, ⁴J = 1.8 Hz, 2 H, H-6'), 8.71 (d, ⁴J = 2.1 Hz, 2 H, H-6); positive ion ESI-HRMS: m/z ($M = C_{30}H_{26}N_4O_2$ in dichloromethane/methanol): calc. for [M + Na]⁺: 497.1948, found 497.1956.

 $[Fe_2(4)_3](PF_6)_4$. A mixture of FeCl₂·5H₂O (30 mg, 0.14 mmol) and 4 (110 mg, 0.232 mmol) in methanol (10 mL) was heated with microwave energy in a sealed pressurised microwave vessel with temperature and pressure sensors (Step 1 – ramped to 130 °C over

2 min using 100% of 400 W; Step 2 – held at 130 °C for 20 min using 25% of 400 W). The reaction mixture was filtered and the crude product precipitated by the addition of an excess of aqueous NH₄PF₆. This deep red material was purified by chromatography on silica gel with a mixture of acetonitrile, H₂O and saturated KNO_3 (7:1:0.5) as eluent. The resulting product was isolated by precipitation with excess aqueous NH₄PF₆ in H₂O (20 mL) and removed by filtration to afford crude $[Fe_2(4)_3](PF_6)_4$ (132 mg, 90%) as a red solid. ¹H-NMR (see Fig. 1a) δ (300 MHz, CD₃CN) 2.16 (s, 18 H, CH₃), 4.93 (s, 12 H, OCH₂Ar), 6.56 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 6.0$ Hz, ${}^{4}J =$ 3.6 Hz, 6 H, Ar–H), 6.94 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 6.0$ Hz, ${}^{4}J = 3.6$ Hz, 6 H, Ar–H), 7.00 (br s, 6 H), 7.22 (br s, 6 H), 7.91 (br m, 12 H), 8.07 (d, ${}^{3}J =$ 8.4 Hz, 6 H), 8.24 (d, ${}^{3}J = 8.3$ Hz, 6 H; positive ion ESI-HRMS: m/z (M = C₉₀H₇₈P₄F₂₄Fe₂N₁₂O₆ in acetonitrile/methanol): calc for $[M - 2PF_6]^{2+}$: 912.2073, found 912.2130; calc for $[M - 3PF_6]^{3+}$: 559.8166, found 559.8168; calc for $[M - 4PF_6]^{4+}$: 383.6213, found 383.6210.

[Fe₂(5)₃](BF₄)₄. A mixture of Fe(BF₄)₂·6H₂O (47 mg, 0.14 mmol) and quaterpyridine **5** (110 mg, 0.232 mmol) in acetonitrile (10 mL) was heated with microwave energy in a sealed pressurised microwave vessel with temperature and pressure sensors (Step 1 – ramped to 130 °C over 2 min using 100% of 400 W; Step 2 – held at 130 °C for 20 min using 25% of 400 W). The solvent was evaporated and the crude deep red material was purified by chromatography on Sephadex LH-20 with acetonitrile as eluent. This allowed the isolation of [Fe₂(5)₃](BF₄)₄ (109 mg, 83%) as a deep red solid. ¹H-NMR δ (300 MHz, CD₃CN) 2.21 (s, 18 H, CH₃), 4.94 (d, ²J = 14.8 Hz, 6 H, OCH₂Ar), 5.04 (d, ²J = 14.8 Hz, 6 H, OCH₂Ar), 6.35, 6.38, 7.15, 7.93, 8.04, 8.39; positive ion ESI-HRMS: m/z (M = C₉₀H₇₈B₄F₁₆Fe₂N₁₂O₆ in acetonitrile/methanol): calc for [$M - 2BF_4$]²⁺: 854.2472, found 854.2486; calc for [$M - 3BF_4$]³⁺: 540.4966, found 540.4973.

[Fe₂(6)₃](PF₆)₄. The procedure was similar to that employed for the synthesis of $[Fe_2(4)_3](PF_6)_4$. FeCl₂·5H₂O (30 mg, 0.14 mmol) and quaterpyridine **6** (110 mg, 0.232 mmol) afforded $[Fe_2(6)_3](PF_6)_4$ (125 mg, 85%) as a red solid. ¹H-NMR (see Fig. 1b) δ (300 MHz, CD₃CN) 2.21 (s, CH₃), 2.22 (s, CH₃), 4.79 (d, ²*J* = 14.5 Hz, OCH₂Ar), 4.81 (d, ²*J* = 14.9 Hz, OCH₂Ar), 4.88 (d, ²*J* = 14.5 Hz, OCH₂Ar), 4.96 (d, ²*J* = 14.9 Hz, OCH₂Ar), 6.59 (s, 6 H, Ar–H), 6.73 (s, 6 H, Ar–H), 7.06 (s, 3 H), 7.07 (s, 3 H), 7.17 (s, 3 H), 7.21 (s, 3 H), 7.94 (m, 6 H), 8.06 (m, 6 H), 8.42 (m, 12 H); positive ion ESI-HRMS: m/z ($M = C_{90}H_{78}P_4F_{24}Fe_2N_{12}O_6$ in acetonitrile/methanol): calc for $[M - 2PF_6]^{2+}$: 912.2073, found 912.2130; calc for $[M - 3PF_6]^{3+}$: 559.8166, found 559.8179.

[Ni₂(4)₃](PF₆)₄. A stirred solution of NiCl₂·6H₂O (17 mg, 0.07 mmol) and **4** (50 mg, 0.105 mmol) in methanol (10 mL) was heated with microwave energy in a sealed pressurised microwave vessel with temperature and pressure sensors (Step 1 – ramped to 130 °C over 2 min using 100% of 400 W; Step 2 – held at 130 °C for 20 min using 25% of 400 W). The product was isolated by precipitation with excess aqueous NH₄PF₆ in H₂O (20 mL) followed by filtration affording [Ni₂(**4**)₃](PF₆)₄ quantitatively as a yellow solid. Positive ion ESI-HRMS: m/z ($M = C_{90}H_{78}P_4F_{24}Ni_2N_{12}O_6$ in acetonitrile/methanol): calc for [$M - 2PF_6$]^{2+:} 915.2073, found 915.1991; calc for [$M - 3PF_6$]^{3+:} 561.8166, found 561.8224; calc for [$M - 4PF_6$]^{4+:} 385.1213, found 385.1229. Found: C, 49.15; H, 3.75; N, 7.55%. Calc. for $C_{90}H_{78}P_4F_{24}Ni_2N_{12}O_6\cdot4H_2O$: C 49.31, H

3.96, N 7.67%. X-ray quality crystals were obtained by diffusion of tetrahydrofuran into an acetonitrile solution of the product.

The crude product before recrystallisation also showed evidence for the presence of the corresponding M_4L_6 complex, $[Ni_4(4)_6](PF_6)_8$. Positive ion ESI-HRMS: m/z ($M = C_{180}H_{156}P_8F_{48}Ni_4N_{24}O_{12}$ in acetonitrile/methanol): calc for $[M - 3PF_6]^{3+}$: 1268.5984, found 1268.6407.

[Ni₂(5)₃](PF₆)₄. The procedure was similar to that employed for the synthesis of [Ni₂(4)₃](PF₆)₄. NiCl₂·6H₂O (17 mg, 0.07 mmol) and quaterpyridine **5** (50 mg, 0.105 mmol) yielded [Ni₂(5)₃](PF₆)₄ quantitatively as a yellow solid. Positive ion ESI-HRMS: m/z ($M = C_{90}H_{78}P_4F_{24}Ni_2N_{12}O_6$ in acetoni-trile/methanol): calc for [$M - 2PF_6$]²⁺: 915.2073, found 915.1989; calc for [$M - 3PF_6$]³⁺: 561.8130, found 561.8224; calc for [$M - 4PF_6$]⁴⁺: 385.1213, found 385.1192. Found: C 49.31, H 3.72, N 7.66%. Calc. for $C_{90}H_{78}P_4F_{24}Ni_2N_{12}O_6 \cdot 4H_2O$: C 49.31, H 3.96, N 7.67%. X-ray quality crystals were obtained by diffusion of tetrahydrofuran into an acetonitrile solution of the product.

[Ni₂(6)₃](PF₆)₄. The procedure was similar to that employed for the synthesis of [Ni₂(4)₃](PF₆)₄. NiCl₂·6H₂O (17 mg, 0.07 mmol) and quaterpyridine 6 (50 mg, 0.105 mmol) yield [Ni₂(6)₃](PF₆)₄ quantitatively as a yellow solid. Positive ion ESI-HRMS: m/z ($M = C_{90}H_{78}P_4F_{24}Ni_2N_{12}O_6$ in acetoni-trile/methanol): calc for [$M - 2PF_6$]²⁺: 915.2073, found 915.1974; calc for [$M - 3PF_6$]³⁺: 561.8130, found 561.8131; calc for [$M - 4PF_6$]⁴⁺: 385.1213, found 385.1235. Found: C, 49.43; H, 3.80; N, 7.75%. Calc. for $C_{90}H_{78}P_4F_{24}Ni_2N_{12}O_6 \cdot 4H_2O$: C, 49.31; H, 3.96; N, 7.67%. X-ray quality crystals were obtained by diffusion of tetrahydrofuran into an acetonitrile solution of the product.

The crude product before recrystallisation also showed evidence for the presence of the corresponding M_4L_6 complex, $[Ni_4(6)_6](PF_6)_8$. Positive ion ESI-HRMS: m/z ($M = C_{180}H_{156}P_8F_{48}Ni_4N_{24}O_{12}$ in acetonitrile/methanol): calc for $[M - 3PF_6]^{3+}$: 1268.5984, found 1268.6423.

X-ray studies

Data for $[H_2O \subset Ni_2(4)_3](PF_6)_4 \cdot THF \cdot 2.2H_2O$ and $[Ni_2(6)_3](PF_6)_4 \cdot IHF \cdot 2.2H_2O$ 1.95MeCN·1.2THF·1.8H₂O were collected on a Bruker-Nonius APEX2-X8-FR591 diffractometer employing graphitemonochromated Mo-Ka radiation generated from a rotating anode (0.71073 Å) with ω and ψ scans to approximately 56° 2 θ at 150(2) K.¹⁸ Data for [(PF₆) \subset Ni₂(**5**)₃](PF₆)₃. 1.75MeCN 5.25THF 0.25H₂O were collected at approximately 100 K using double diamond monochromated synchrotron radiation (0.48595 Å) and ω and ψ scans at the ChemMatCARS beamline at the Advanced Photon Source. Data integration and reduction were undertaken with SAINT and XPREP.19 Subsequent computations were carried out using the WinGX-32 graphical user interface.²⁰ Structures were solved by direct methods using SIR97.²¹ Multi-scan empirical absorption corrections, when applied, were applied to the data set using the program SADABS.²² Data were refined and extended with SHELXL-97 and SHELXH-97.²³ In general, non-hydrogen atoms with occupancies greater than 0.5 were refined anisotropically. Carbon-bound hydrogen atoms were included in idealised positions and refined using a riding model. As oxygen bound hydrogen atoms could not be located in the difference Fourier map they were not modelled. In

all cases the crystals employed were extremely solvent sensitive and required rapid handling (~ 30 s) at low temperatures in order to facilitate data collection. Crystallographic data and specific details pertaining to structural refinements is summarised below.

$[H_2O \subset Ni_2(4)_3](PF_6)_4 \cdot THF \cdot 2.2H_2O$

Formula C₉₄H₈₆₄F₂₄N₁₂Ni₂O₁₀₂₀P₄, *M* 2244.61, Monoclinic, space group *C2/c*(#15), *a* 23.0800(16), *b* 15.0530(11), *c* 32.656(3) Å, *β* 105.778(4), *V* 10918.0(15) Å³, *D_c* 1.362 g cm⁻³, *Z* 4, crystal size 0.300 × 0.250 × 0.100 mm, colour yellow, habit needle, temperature 150(2) K, λ (MoK_a) 0.71073 Å, μ (MoK_a) 0.502 mm⁻¹, *T*(SADABS)_{min,max} 0.813, 0.951, 2 θ _{max} 50.00, hkl range –21 27, –17 17, –38 38, N 111989, *N*_{ind} 9594(R_{merge} 0.0267), *N*_{obs} 8211(*I* > 2 σ (*I*)), *N*_{var} 798, residuals *R*₁(*F*) 0.0735, *wR*₂(*F*²) 0.2345, GoF(all) 1.094, Δ p_{min,max} –0.568, 1.084 e⁻Å⁻³.

Specific details. The O(3)-containing ligand is disordered over two equal occupancy positions and the P(2)-containing PF₆ is disordered over two positions with occupancies of 0.7 and 0.3. The THF molecule is also disordered over two unequal positions (0.35 and 0.15) and the remaining disordered water molecules were modelled over 10 positions with a total occupancy of 1.2. Some bond length and angle restraints were required to facilitate realistic models.

[(PF₆)⊂Ni₂(5)₃](PF₆)₃·1.75MeCN·5.25THF·0.25H₂O

Formula C_{114.50}H_{125.75}F₂₄N_{13.75}Ni₂O_{11.50}P₄, *M* 2575.83, monoclinic, space group *P*21/c(#14), *a* 26.028(2), *b* 22.7450(17), *c* 21.4660(16) Å, β113.663(2), *V* 11639.6(16) Å³, *D_c* 1.470 g cm⁻³, *Z* 4, crystal size 0.100 × 0.050 × 0.050 mm, colour yellow, habit needle, temperature 100(2) K, λ (synchrotron) 0.49594 Å, μ (synchrotron) 0.259 mm⁻¹, *T*(SADABS)_{min,max} 0.5994, 0.7444, 2 θ_{max} 38.62, *hkl* range –33 33, –30 26, –27 28, *N* 99393, *N*_{ind} 27767(*R*_{merge} 0.0847), *N*_{obs} 16878(*I* > 2 σ (*I*)), *N*_{var} 1577, residuals *R*₁(*F*) 0.0624, *wR*₂(*F*²) 0.1880, GoF(all) 1.010, $\Delta \rho_{min,max}$ –0.858, 1.628 e⁻Å⁻³.

Specific details. The O(3T)-containing THF is modelled as disordered over 3 positions with a total occupancy of 1 and the O(5T)-containing THF is modelled over two equal occupancy positions. The O(6T)-containing THF is 0.75 occupancy and is superimposed with a 0.25 occupancy MeCN. A number of bond length and angle constraints were required to facilitate realistic modelling.

[Ni₂(6)₃](PF₆)₄·1.95MeCN·1.2THF·1.8H₂O

Formula C_{98.70}H_{97.05}F₂₄N_{13.95}Ni₂O₉P₄, *M* 2317.49, triclinic, space group P $\bar{1}$ (#2), *a* 14.9978(6), *b* 15.0666(6), *c* 25.7786(11) Å, *α* 91.398(2), *β* 104.152(2), *γ* 99.563(2)°, *V* 5556.9(4) Å³, *D*_c 1.385 g cm⁻³, *Z* 2, crystal size 0.300 × 0.100 × 0.100 mm, colour yellow, habit needle, temperature 150(2) K, λ (MoK_a) 0.71073 Å, μ (MoK_a) 0.496 mm⁻¹, *T*(SADABS)_{min,max} 0.799, 0.952, 2 θ max 50.00, hkl range -17 17, -17 17, -30 30, *N* 107006, *N*_{ind} 19484(*R*_{merge} 0.0534), *N*_{obs} 13655(*I* > 2 σ (*I*)), *N*_{var} 1534, residuals *R*₁(*F*²) 0.0734, *wR*₂ (*F*²) 0.2194, GoF(all) 1.072, $\Delta \rho$ min,max -0.552, 0.993 e⁻ Å⁻³.

Specific details. Each of the anions is disordered over two positions as is the O(1T) and O(2T)-containing THFs. The N(4S)-containing MeCN and O(3T)-containing THF have occupancies

of less that 1 and have disordered water molecules superimposed on their positions. A number of bond length constraints were required to produce realistic models.

Acknowledgements

GVM and LFL thank the Australian Research Council for support. JKC acknowledges a Marie Curie International Incoming Fellowship within the 7th European Community Framework Programme. Use of the ChemMatCARS Sector 15 at the Advanced Photon Source was supported by the Australian Synchrotron Research Program, which is funded by the Commonwealth of Australia under the Major National Research Facilities Program. ChemMatCARS Sector 15 is also supported by the National Science Foundation/Department of Energy under grant numbers HE9522232 and CHE0087817, and by the Illinois board of higher education. The Advanced Photon Source is supported by the US Department of Energy, Basic Energy Sciences, Office of Science, under contract no. W-31-109-Eng-38.

References

- 1 Y. R. Hristova, M. M. J. Smulders, J. K. Clegg, B. Breiner and J. R. Nitschke, Chem. Sci., 2011, 2, 638; W. Meng, B. Breiner, K. Rissanen, J. D. Thoburn, J. K. Clegg and J. R. Nitschke, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 3479; A. Stephenson, S. P. Argent, I. S. Tidmarsh and M. D. Ward, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 858; I. A. Riddell, M. M. J. Smulders, J. K. Clegg and J. R. Nitschke, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 457; B. Breiner, J. K. Clegg and J. R. Nitschke, Chem. Sci., 2011, 2, 51; Q.-F. Sun, J. Iwasa, D. Ogawa, Y. Ishido, S. Sato, T. Ozeki, Y. Sei, K. Yamaguchi and M. Fujita, Science, 2010, 328, 5982; P. Mal, B. Breiner, K. Rissanen and J. R. Nitschke, Science, 2009, 324, 1697; M. D. Ward, Chem. Commun., 2009, 4487; R. Custelcean, J. Bosano, P. V. Bonnesen, V. Kertesz and B. P. Hay, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 4025; M. R. Ams, D. Ajami, S. L. Craig, J.-S. Yang and J. Rebek, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 13190; M. D. Pluth, R. G. Bergman and K. N. Raymond, Acc. Chem. Res., 2009, 42, 1650; B. H. Northrop, Y.-R. Zheng, K.-W. Chi and P. J. Stang, Acc. Chem. Res., 2009, 42, 1554; M. Yoshizawa, J. K. Klosterman and M. Fujita, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 3418; R. W. Saalfrank, H. Maid and A. Scheurer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 8794; D. J. Tranchemontagne, Z. Ni, M. O'Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 5136; I. S. Tidmarsh, T. B. Faust, H. Adams, L. P. Harding, L. Russo, W. Clegg and M. D. Ward, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 15167; S. J. Dalgarno, N. P. Power and J. L. Atwood, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2008, 252, 825; M. Fujita, M. Tominaga, A. Hori and B. Therrien, Acc. Chem. Res., 2005, 38, 369; D. Fiedler, D. H. Leung, R. G. Bergman and K. N. Raymond, Acc. Chem. Res., 2005, 38, 351.
- 2 J. P. Sauvage, Ed., Transition metals in supramolecular chemistry, Perspectives in Supramolecular Chemistry; Vol. 5, John Wiley & Sons Ltd., New York, 1999L. F. Lindoy and I. M. Atkinson, Self-assembly in supramolecular chemistry, Royal Society for Chemistry, Cambridge UK, 2000.
- 3 U. Kiehne, T. Weilandt and A. Lutzen, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2008, 2056; S. Goetz and P. E. Kruger, *Dalton Trans.*, 2006, 1277; R. Prabaharan and N. C. Fletcher, *Dalton Trans.*, 2003, 2558; C. Kaes, A. Katz and M. W. Hosseini, *Chem. Rev.*, 2000, **100**, 3553.
- 4 C. R. K. Glasson, J. C. McMurtrie, G. V. Meehan, J. K. Clegg, L. F. Lindoy, C. A. Motti, B. Moubaraki, K. S. Murray and J. D. Cashion, *Chem. Sci.*, 2011, 2, 540.

- 5 F. Li, J. K. Clegg, L. F. Lindoy, R. B. Macquart and G. V. Meehan, *Nat. Commun.*, 2011, **2**, 205; J. K. Clegg, F. Li, K. A. Jolliffe, G. V. Meehan and L. F. Lindoy, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 6042; J. K. Clegg, S. S. Iremonger, M. J. Hayter, P. D. Southon, R. B. Macquart, M. B. Duriska, P. Jensen, P. Turner, K. A. Jolliffe, C. J. Kepert, G. V. Meehan and L. F. Lindoy, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 1075; F. Li, J. K. Clegg, P. Jensen, K. Fisher, L. F. Lindoy, G. V. Meehan, B. Moubaraki and K. S. Murray, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 7059.
- 6 C. R. K. Glasson, G. V. Meehan, J. K. Clegg, L. F. Lindoy, P. Turner, M. B. Duriska and R. Willis, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 1190.
- 7 D. J. Bray, B. Antonioli, J. K. Clegg, K. Gloe, K. Gloe, K. A. Jolliffe, L. F. Lindoy, G. Wei and M. Wenzel, *Dalton Trans.*, 2008, 1683; J. K. Clegg, D. J. Bray, K. Gloe, K. Gloe, K. A. Jolliffe, G. A. Lawrance, L. F. Lindoy, G. V. Meehan and M. Wenzel, *Dalton Trans.*, 2008, 1331; J. K. Clegg, D. J. Bray, K. Gloe, K. Gloe, M. J. Hayter, K. A. Jolliffe, G. A. Lawrance, G. V. Meehan, J. C. McMurtrie, L. F. Lindoy and M. Wenzel, *Dalton Trans.*, 2007, 1719; J. K. Clegg, L. F. Lindoy, J. C. McMurtrie and D. Schilter, *Dalton Trans.*, 2006, 3114; J. K. Clegg, *Aust. J. Chem.*, 2006, **59**, 660; J. K. Clegg, L. F. Lindoy, B. Moubaraki, K. S. Murray and J. C. McMurtrie, *Dalton Trans.*, 2004, 2417.
- 8 C. R. K. Glasson, L. F. Lindoy and G. V. Meehan, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2008, **252**, 940.
- 9 C. R. K. Glasson, G. V. Meehan, J. K. Clegg, L. F. Lindoy, J. A. Smith, F. R. Keene and C. Motti, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2008, **14**, 10535.
- 10 S. M. Biros, R. M. Yeh and K. N. Raymond, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 6062; P. Mal, D. Schultz, K. Beyeh, K. Rissanen and J. R. Nitschke, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 8297; S. P. Argent, T. Rüs-Johannessen, J. C. Jeffery, L. P. Harding and M. D. Ward, Chem. Commun., 2005, 4647; D. L. Caulder, R. E. Powers, T. N. Parac and K. N. Raymond, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1998, 37, 1840; R. W. Saalfrank, A. Stark, M. Bremer and H.-U. Hummel, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1990, 29, 311; R. W. Saalfrank, R. Burak, A. Breit, D. Stalke, R. Herbst-Irmer, J. Daub, M. Porsch, E. Bill, M. Müther and A. X. Trautwein, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1994, 33, 1621.
- M. Albrecht and R. Frohlich, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2007, **80**, 797; P. J. Steel, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2005, **38**, 243; M. Albrecht and M. Schneider, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2002, 1301; M. Albrecht, *Chem. Rev.*, 2001, **101**, 3457; D. L. Caulder and K. N. Raymond, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1997, **36**, 1440; C. Piguet, G. Bernardinelli and G. Hopfgartner, *Chem. Rev.*, 1997, **97**, 2005.
- 12 M. Albrecht, Chem. Eur. J., 2000, 6, 3485; M. Albrecht, Chem. Soc. Rev., 1998, 27, 281.
- 13 C. R. K. Glasson, G. V. Meehan, C. A. Motti, J. K. Clegg, P. Turner, P. Jensen and L. F. Lindoy, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, DOI: 10.1039/c1dt10667h.
- 14 F. W. Cagle and G. F. Smith, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1947, 69, 1860.
- 15 R. L. Paul, Z. R. Bell, J. S. Fleming, J. C. Jeffery, J. A. McCleverty and M. D. Ward, *Heteroat. Chem.*, 2002, **13**, 567.
- 16 U. S. Schubert, C. Eschbaumer and G. Hochwimmer, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1998, **39**, 8643.
- 17 S. A. Savage, A. P. Smith and C. L. Fraser, J. Org. Chem., 1998, 63, 10048.
- 18 Bruker-Nonius (2003). APEX v2.1, SAINT v.7 and XPREP v.6.14. Bruker AXS Inc. Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- 19 Bruker (1995), SMART, SAINT and XPREP. Bruker, Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- 20 WinGX-32: System of programs for solving, refining and analysing single crystal X-ray diffraction data for small molecules, L. J. Farrugia, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 1999, **32**, 837.
- 21 A. Altomare, M. C. Burla, M. Camalli, G. L. Cascarano, C. Giocavazzo, A. Guagliardi, A. G. C. Moliterni, G. Polidori and S. Spagna, J. Appl. Cryst., 1999, 32, 115.
- 22 G. M. Sheldrick, SADABS: Empirical Absorption and Correction Software, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1999–2003.
- 23 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXL-97: Programs for Crystal Structure Analysis, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997.