ORGANOMETALLICS



Terminal-Selective C(sp³)–H Arylation: NiH-Catalyzed Remote Hydroarylation of Unactivated Internal Olefins

Yuli He, Bo Han, and Shaolin Zhu*



INTRODUCTION

Alkyl-aryl cross-coupling catalyzed by transition metals is a valuable process in a wide variety of C-C bond formation reactions and enables the production of valuable alkyl-aryl structural motifs (Figure 1a).^{1,2} In these couplings, however,



Figure 1. Design plan: terminal-selective migratory hydroarylation enabled by alkene isomerization and sequential hydroarylation.

reaction sites are limited to the preactivated *ipso* positions. A further difficulty is that the synthesis of the preformed organometallic nucleophiles used in these processes is nontrivial and these nucleophiles can be highly reactive and unstable. Selective remote functionalization of hydrocarbons has the potential to assist in chemical synthesis but often suffers from the difficulty of discriminating between $C(sp^3)$ –H bonds in both the starting material and product. A powerful alternative approach is the use of an unsaturated alkene or its precursor alkyl halide, abundant feedstock starting materials, to undergo metal hydride^{3,4} catalyzed site-selective remote

hydrofunctionalization.^{5–12} Encouraged by the recent progress on NiH-catalyzed remote hydrofunctionalization (Figure 1b),^{9–12} we wondered if the migratory arylation site^{9e} could be located at the least sterically hindered terminal $C(sp^3)$ –H position, thus producing the linear arylation product (Figure 1c).¹³ In this case, the reaction would serve as a useful addition to the current remote hydroarylation methods and broaden their applications, including the retrosynthesis of complex molecules or late-stage functionalization in drug discovery. Herein, we report a nickel hydride catalyst modified by a pyrox ligand which delivers distal terminal arylation products with high functional group compatibility under mild conditions.

Details of the presumed mechanism for this terminalselective migratory hydroarylation reaction are shown in Figure 2. It is envisioned that the nickel(I) hydride (I)⁴ generated *in situ* could promote a rapid and reversible isomerization between a series of alkylnickel intermediates (II, IV, V, etc.) along the alkyl chain through an iterative β -hydride elimination/ β -migratory reinsertion process. With a suitable ligand, selective cross-coupling of the least sterically hindered linear alkylnickel(I) intermediate (V) generated in this way with aryl iodide (2) would be favored over other branched alkylnickel(I) intermediates and regiocontrol would thus be possible. The desired formal terminal C(sp³)–H arylation product (3) would be formed and the nickel hydride species could be regenerated *in situ* in the presence of a fluoride salt

Special Issue: Organometallic Solutions to Challenges in Cross-Coupling

Received: December 31, 2020

mild & broad scope
 simple pyrox ligand

ACS Publications



Figure 2. Proposed pathway of terminal-selective remote hydroarylation.

and hydrosilane to complete a net catalytic cycle. Here we present our achievement of this reaction under mild conditions with high functional group compatibility.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We began by studying the coupling of *trans*-4-octene (1a) with 1-iodo-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzene (2a). An investigation of various parameters showed that the desired terminal-selective arylation product (3a) could be obtained in 80% yield by using a combination of NiBr₂·glyme and a suitable pyrox ligand (L1). The reaction showed excellent regioselectivity (rr (linear isomer:all other isomers) = 98:2) (Table 1, entry 1). Use of other nickel sources led to diminished yields (entries 2 and 3). The pyrox ligand (L1) is easily prepared and is stable in air (see the Supporting Information for details). Screening of ligands revealed that both the dihydrooxazole ring and the 6-

Table 1. Variation of Reaction Parameters					
	. /	Me +	5 mol% NiBr ₂ ·glyme 6 mol% L1		n-Oct
IV	ie [.]	1a CF ₃ nternal alkene (1.0 equiv) aryl iodide (2.0 equiv)	2.5 equiv (EtO) 2.5 equiv KI MF (0.13 M), 25 ⁽	₃ SiH ² ² C, 24 h (3a remote hydroarylation terminal regioselectivity)
e	entry	deviation from standard conditions	yield of 3a (%) ^a	rr ^b	
		2020	00 (00)	00.0	× ×
		none	86 (80)	96.2	
	2	NiBr ₂ instead of NiBr ₂ -glyme	75	97:3)=n´ 'n∽'
	3	NiCl ₂ -glyme instead of NiBr ₂ -glyme	66	95:5	Ŕ
	4	L2 instead of L1	58	93:7	L1: R = Me, X = O
	5	L3 instead of L1	39	92:8	L2: R = Me. X = NH
	6	L4–L7 instead of L1	0	-	L3: R = Me. X = CHo
	7	(EtO) ₂ MeSiH instead of (EtO) ₃ SiH	76	95:5	L4 : R = H. X = O
	8	CsF instead of KF	0	_	
	9 THF instead of DMF		0	_	
	10	toluene instead of DMF	0	_	
	11	MeCN instead of DMF	<5	_	
	12	DMA instead of DMF	32	90:10	R' R-
	12	40.90	60	07.3	L5: R' = H, R ² = H
	14	4 bromobonzotrifluorido instand of 20	16	92.17	L6 : $R' = Me, R^2 = H$
	14	4-bromobenzoumdonde instead of za	10	00.17	L7 : $R' = Me$, $R^2 = Me$
	15	i o edulv za	69	95.5	

^aYields were determined by GC using dodecane as the internal standard. The yield in parentheses is the isolated yield of purified product and is an average of two experiments (0.5 mmol scale). ^brr refers to regioisomeric ratio and represents the ratio of the major (terminal arylation) product to the sum of all other isomers as determined by GC analysis (see Supporting Information for experimental details).

alkyl group of L1 are critical (entries 4-6). Modification of the dihydrooxazole ring led to lower yields (entries 4 and 5). The use of ligand L4 without an o-methyl substituent or replacement of the pyrox ligand (L1) with bipyridine type ligands (L5-L7) resulted in no desired product (entry 6). An evaluation of other silanes showed that polymethylhydrosiloxane (PMHS) was less effective (entry 7). The replacement of KF with CsF resulted in almost a complete loss of reactivity (entry 8). Less polar solvents such as THF, toluene, and acetonitrile were found to be unsuitable (entries 9-11), and the polar solvent DMA was less effective (entry 12). Conducting the reaction at 40 °C instead of at room temperature (rt) also led to a lower yield (entry 13). When a less reactive aryl bromide was used, cross-coupling happened with considerably lower yield and regioselectivity in comparison to that of aryl iodide (entry 14). Use of only 1.5 equiv of the aryl iodide (2a) also led to a reduced yield (entry 15). Control experiments revealed that all of the reaction parameters were important for the success of the reaction.

With this protocol in hand, we sought to demonstrate the generality of this transformation. As shown in Table 2, a variety





"Isolated yields on a 0.50 mmol scale (average of two runs). ^brr refers to regioisomeric ratio and represents the ratio of the major (terminal arylation) product to the sum of all other isomers as determined by GC analysis. ^c10 mol % of NiBr₂·glyme and 11 mol % of L1 used.

of unactivated internal aliphatic alkenes undergo alkene isomerization—hydroarylation smoothly. Both E (1b) and Z(1c,h,l) alkenes, as well as E/Z mixtures (1d-g,i-k), were well-tolerated, and high terminal-selective arylation was observed, regardless of the starting position of the C==C double bond (1c vs 1d). Furthermore, a wide variety of functional groups (1e-l) are well-tolerated, demonstrating the high chemo- and regioselectivity of the reaction. For example, pubs.acs.org/Organometallics

Article





^{*a*}Isolated yields on a 0.50 mmol scale (average of two runs). ^{*b*}rr refers to regioisomeric ratio and represents the ratio of the major (terminal arylation) product to the sum of all other isomers as determined by GC analysis; ratios reported as >95:5 were determined by a crude ¹H NMR analysis. ^{*c*}10 mol % of NiBr₂·glyme and 11 mol % L1 used. ^{*d*}4.5 equiv of (EtO)₃SiH used. ^{*e*}0.2 mmol of 2z used.

even with a heteroatomic substituent at the other terminus of the alkyl chain, including carbamates (1e-g) or ethers (1h-j), migration toward the terminal position and the subsequent linear adduct is still preferred. Of particular interest, good terminal chemo- and regioselectivity is still observed for olefins bearing a remote phenyl group¹⁴ (1k) or a perfluoroalkylsubstituted electron-withdrawing substituent (11). In addition, the isomerization-hydroarylation could be extended to a more sterically hindered trisubstituted alkene (11), although the linear product was formed in a lower yield.

Next, we explored the scope of the aryl iodide coupling partner. As shown in Table 3a, we found that aryl iodides bearing either electron-deficient (2b-1) or electron-donating (2n,o) groups were competent substrates with excellent regioselectivity.¹⁵ Under these exceptionally mild conditions, not only were ethers (2b,e,l), a nitrile (2c), an ester (2d), and amides (2e,f) tolerated, even normally easily reduced functional groups such as a ketone (2g) and an aldehyde (2h) remained intact. The reaction is orthogonal to aryl chloride (2i), aryl triflates (2j), and boronates (2k), which could be used for further derivatization. Notably, various acidic and basic functional groups, including a N–H-bearing amide (2f),

a primary alcohol (2n), and a primary aniline (2o), were compatible with the current protocol.

Heterocyclic arenes products are common scaffolds in pharmaceutically relevant targets, and with respect to heteroaromatic iodide coupling partners (Table 3b), we found that a range of pyridines (2p-t) were suitable substrates. Extended aromatic systems such as a pyrrolesubstituted pyridine (2t) and quinoline (2u) were also effective electrophiles in this transformation. Finally, multinitrogen-containing heterocycles including 2-pyrimidinamine (2v) and imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine (2w) were also competent coupling partners, making this an attractive method for preparing potential biochemically active agents.

This methodology can also be used for the late-stage functionalization of structurally complex and pharmaceutically relevant intermediates (Table 3c). A variety of (hetero)aryl iodides derived from commercially available pharmaceuticals, such as empagliflozin (2x), as well as heteroaryl-containing drugs, including canagliflozin (2y), apixaban (2z), indomethacin (2a'), and cloquintocet-mexyl (2b'), underwent migratory cross-coupling. Interestingly, carbohydrate derivatives such as the glucose (2c') could also undergo the remote arylation successfully.

Isomeric mixtures of olefins derived directly from petrochemical sources are substantially cheaper than pure olefin isomers and their value-added regioconvergent conversion is therefore of considerable interest. As a proof of concept, equimolar mixtures of five isomeric octanes were utilized on a 10 mmol scale and the linear arylation product was obtained on a gram scale in a highly regioconvergent manner (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1. Regioconvergent, 10 mmol Scale Benchtop Experiment



CONCLUSION

A mild, regioconvergent, and terminal-selective nickelcatalyzed migratory hydroarylation has been established. Excellent regio- and chemoselectivities were observed with a wide variety of both functionalized and unfunctionalized alkenes and (hetero)aryl iodide partners. Research into remote hydroarylation with branched selectivity is currently in progress and will be reported in due course.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Information. Commercial reagents were purchased from commercial sources unless otherwise noted. Flash chromatography was performed using glass columns with 230–400 mesh silica gel. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker 400 and 500 MHz spectrometers. IR spectra were obtained on a Bruker Alpha spectrometer and are reported in terms of frequency of absorption (cm⁻¹). GC analysis was performed on an Agilent 7890B gas chromatograph with an FID detector using a J&W DB-1 column (10 m, 0.1 mm I.D.). High-resolution mass spectra were obtained using a Bruker Daltonics, Inc. APEXIII 7.0 TESLA FTMS instrument (ESI) or a Waters Micromass GCT Premier Instrument (EI).

General Procedure for the NiH-Catalyzed Remote Hydroarylation. L1 (6.0 mol %), NiBr₂·glyme (5.0 mol %), KF (2.5 equiv), and anhydrous DMF (3.75 mL) were placed in an oven-dried 8 mL screw-cap vial equipped with a magnetic stirring bar. The vial was placed in a nitrogen-filled glovebox, and the mixture was stirred for 20 min, at which time (EtO)₃SiH (2.5 equiv) (a mixture stirred not more than 1 min), alkene (0.5 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and aryl iodide (1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv) were added to the resulting mixture in that order. The tube was sealed with a Teflon-lined screw cap, removed from the glovebox, and stirred at rt (22–26 °C) for up to $\overline{24}$ h. The reaction mixture was then directly filtered through a short pad of silica gel using EtOAc in hexanes to give the crude product. Dodecane (50 μ L) was added as an internal standard for $G\bar{C}$ analysis. 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane (41.0 mg, 0.25 mmol) was added as an internal standard for ¹H NMR analysis of the crude material. The product was purified by chromatography on silica gel for each substrate. The yields reported are the average of at least two experiments, unless otherwise indicated.

1-Octyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzene (Table 2, 3a). The title compound was prepared from (*E*)-oct-4-ene (87.5 μ L, 62.4 mg, 0.50 mmol), following the general procedure and using NiBr₂-glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), 4-iodobenzotrifluoride (272.0 mg, 150 μ L, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), (EtO)₃SiH (230 μ L, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column

chromatography and eluted with hexanes to provide the title compound as a colorless liquid in 80% yield (103.3 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2926, 1323, 1118, 1018, 732. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.54 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 2.67 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 1.68–1.61 (m, 2H), 1.33–1.30 (m, 10H), 0.91 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 147.2, 128.3, 128.2 (q, *J* = 32.3 Hz), 125.3 (q, *J* = 3.8 Hz), 124.6 (q, *J* = 271.6 Hz), 36.0, 32.1, 31.4, 29.9, 29.5, 29.4, 22.9, 14.2. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): δ –62.3. HRMS-EI (*m*/*z*): calcd for C₁₅H₂₁F₃ [M]⁺ 258.1595, found 258.1598.

1-Hexyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzene (Table 2, 3b). The title compound was prepared from (E)-hex-3-ene (62 µL, 0.50 mmol), following the general procedure and using NiBr₂·glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), 4-iodobenzotrifluoride (272.0 mg, 150 μ L, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), (EtO)₃SiH (230 µL, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with pentanes to provide the title compound as a colorless liquid in 79% yield (90.8 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2929, 1618, 1322, 1116, 1066, 820. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.56 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 2.69 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 1.70-1.62 (m, 2H), 1.40–1.31 (m, 6H), 0.93 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 147.2, 128.8, 128.1 (q, J = 32.3 Hz), 125.3 (q, J = 3.9 Hz), 124.6 (q, J = 272.7 Hz), 36.0, 31.9, 31.4, 29.1, 22.8, 14.2. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -62.3. HRMS-EI (m/z): calcd for $C_{13}H_{17}F_3 [M]^+ m/z 230.1282$, found 230.1279.

1-Octyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzene (Table 2, 3c). The title compound was prepared from (E)-oct-3-ene (56.1 mg, 0.50 mmol), following the general procedure and using NiBr₂·glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), 4-iodobenzotrifluoride (272.0 mg, 150 μ L, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), (EtO)₃SiH (230 µL, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with hexanes to provide the title compound as a colorless liquid in 78% yield (94.4 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2926, 1323, 1118, 1018, 732. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.54 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (d, I = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 2.67 (t, I = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 1.68-1.61 (m, 2H),1.33–1.30 (m, 10H), 0.91 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 147.2, 128.3, 128.2 (q, J = 32.3 Hz), 125.3 (q, J = 3.8 Hz), 124.6 (q, J = 271.6 Hz), 36.0, 32.1, 31.4, 29.9, 29.5, 29.4, 22.9, 14.2. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): δ –62.3. HRMS-EI (m/z): calcd for C₁₅H₂₁F₃ [M]⁺ 258.1595, found 258.1598.

1-Octyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzene (Table 2, 3d). The title compound was prepared from oct-2-ene (56.1 mg, 0.50 mmol), following the general procedure and using NiBr₂·glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), 4-iodobenzotrifluoride (272.0 mg, 150 µL, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), (EtO)₃SiH (230 µL, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with hexanes to provide the title compound as a colorless liquid in 90% yield (116.2 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2926, 1323, 1118, 1018, 732. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.54 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 2.67 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 1.68-1.61 (m, 2H),1.33-1.30 (m, 10H), 0.91 (t, I = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 147.2, 128.3, 128.2 (q, J = 32.3 Hz), 125.3 (q, J = 3.8 Hz), 124.6 (q, J = 271.6 Hz), 36.0, 32.1, 31.4, 29.9, 29.5, 29.4, 22.9, 14.2. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): δ –62.3. HRMS-EI (m/z): calcd for C₁₅H₂₁F₃ [M]⁺ 258.1595, found 258.1598.

tert-Butyl (6-(4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)hexyl)carbamate (Table 2, 3e). The title compound was prepared from tert-butyl hex-4-en-1ylcarbamate (100.0 mg, 0.50 mmol), following the general procedure and using NiBr₂·glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), 4iodobenzotrifluoride (272.0 mg, 150 μ L, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), (EtO)₃SiH (230 μ L, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with 2% EtOAc in hexanes to provide the title compound as a colorless liquid in 55% yield (95.6 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2931, 1691, 1510, 1323, 1116, 1017, 842. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.43 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.18 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 4.50 (s, 1H), 3.02 (q, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.57 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 1.58–1.50 (m, 2H), 1.40–1.33 (m, 11H), 1.27–1.24 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 156.1, 146.9, 128.8, 128.1 (q, *J* = 32.3 Hz), 125.3 (q, *J* = 3.8 Hz), 124.5 (q, *J* = 271.8 Hz), 79.1, 40.6, 35.8, 31.2, 30.1, 28.9, 28.5, 26.7. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): δ –62.3. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*): calcd for C₁₈H₂₆F₃NNaO₂ [M + Na]⁺ 368.1808, found 368.1805.

Benzyl Benzyl(6-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)hexyl)carbamate (Table 2, 3f). The title compound was prepared from benzyl benzyl(hex-3-en-1-yl)carbamate (161.5 mg, 0.50 mmol), following the general procedure and using NiBr₂·glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), 4-iodobenzotrifluoride (272.0 mg, 150 µL, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), (EtO)₃SiH (230 µL, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with 5% EtOAc in hexanes to provide the title compound as a colorless liquid in 62% yield (145.1 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2931, 1696, 1418, 1323, 1116, 1028, 631. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₂): δ 7.57 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.53–7.01 (m, 12H), 5.22 (s, 2H), 4.54 (s, 2H), 3.32-3.25 (m, 2H), 2.66 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 1.64-1.54 (m, 4H), 1.38–1.27 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 156.9 and 156.3 (due to rotamers), 146.9, 138.1, 137.0, 128.8, 128.6, 128.5, 128.3, 128.0, 127.9, 127.7, 127.6, 127.4, 127.3, 125.3 (q, J = 3.9 Hz), 124.5 (q, J = 271.6 Hz), 67.25, 50.6, and 50.3 (due to rotamers), 47.3 and 46.3 (due to rotamers), 35.7, 31.1, 28.9, 28.1, and 27.7 (due to rotamers), 26.7. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -62.2. HRMS-ESI (m/z): calcd for C₂₈H₃₀F₃NNaO₂ [M + Na]⁺ 492.2121, found 492.2125.

tert-Butyl 4-(5-(4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)pentyl)piperidine-1carboxylate (Table 2, 3g). The title compound was prepared from tert-butyl 4-(pent-2-en-1-yl)piperidine-1-carboxylate (127.0 mg, 0.50 mmol), following the general procedure and using NiBr₂·glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), 4-iodobenzotrifluoride (272.0 mg, 150 µL, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), (EtO)₃SiH (230 µL, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with 2% EtOAc in hexanes to provide the title compound as a colorless liquid in 60% yield (119.7 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2928, 1988, 1418, 1324, 1119, 843. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.44 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.20 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.99 (d, J = 13.1 Hz, 2H), 2.61-2.55 (m, 4H), 1.57-1.53 (m, 4H),1.38 (s, 9H), 1.25-1.23 (m, 5H), 1.16-1.11 (m, 2H), 1.00-0.96 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 155.1, 147.0, 128.8, 128.1 (q, J = 32.1 Hz), 125.3 (q, J = 3.9 Hz), 124.5 (q, J = 271.7 Hz), 79.3, 44.2, 36.6, 36.1, 35.9, 32.4, 31.3, 29.5, 28.6, 26.6. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): δ –62.3. HRMS-ESI (m/z): calcd for C₂₂H₃₂F₃NNaO₂ [M + Na]⁺ 422.2277, found 422.2278.

1-(6-(Benzyloxy)hexyl)-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzene (Table 2, 3h). The title compound was prepared from (Z)-((hex-3-en-1-yloxy)methyl)benzene (95.1 mg, 0.50 mmol), following the general procedure and using NiBr2 glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), 4-iodobenzotrifluoride (272.0 mg, 150 µL, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), (EtO)₃SiH (230 µL, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with hexanes to provide the title compound as a colorless liquid in 66% yield (111.0 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2932, 2856, 1322, 1114, 1018, 696. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.42 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.29– 7.10 (m, 7H), 4.40 (s, 2H), 3.37 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 2.55 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 1.56–1.49 (m, 4H), 1.35–1.24 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 147.0, 138.8, 128.8, 128.5, 128.1 (q, J = 32.3 Hz), 127.7, 127.6, 125.3 (q, J = 3.8 Hz), 124.6 (q, J = 271.8 Hz), 73.0, 70.5, 35.8, 31.2, 29.8, 29.1, 26.2. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -62.2. HRMS-EI (m/z): calcd for C₂₀H₂₃F₃O [M]⁺ 336.1701, found 336.1707.

4-(5-(4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)pentyl)tetrahydro-2H-pyran (Table 2, 3i). The title compound was prepared from 4-(pent-1-en-1yl)tetrahydro-2H-pyran (77.0 mg, 0.50 mmol), following the general procedure and using NiBr₂·glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), 4-iodobenzotrifluoride (272.0 mg, 150 µL, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), (EtO)₃SiH (230 µL, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with 1% EtOAc in hexanes to provide the title compound as a colorless liquid in 44% yield (65.8 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2926, 1324, 1119, 1067, 842. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.45 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.20 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 3.89-3.85 (m, 2H), 3.35-3.23 (m, 2H), 2.58 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 1.58–1.47 (m, 4H), 1.28–1.11 (m, 9H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 147.0, 128.8, 128.1 (q, J = 32.2 Hz), 125.3 (q, J = 3.9 Hz), 124.5 (q, J = 271.7 Hz), 68.3, 37.0, 35.9, 35.1, 33.3, 31.3, 29.5, 26.3. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -62.3. HRMS-EI (m/z): calcd for C₁₇H₂₃F₃O $[M]^+$ 300.1701, found 300.1709.

1-(11-Methoxy-7,11-dimethyldodecyl)-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzene (Table 2, 3j). The title compound was prepared from 11methoxy-7,11-dimethyldodec-4-ene (108.1 mg, 0.50 mmol), following the general procedure and using NiBr2·glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), 4-iodobenzotrifluoride (272.0 mg, 150 µL, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), (EtO)₃SiH (230 µL, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with hexanes to provide the title compound as a colorless liquid in 60% yield (108.7 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2928, 1323, 1121, 1066, 842. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.45 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.20 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.10 (s, 3H), 2.58 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 1.58–1.51 (m, 2H), 1.37-1.18 (m, 15H), 1.06 (s, 6H), 0.78 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H).¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 147.2, 128.8, 128.1 (q, *J* = 32.2 Hz), 125.3 (q, J = 3.9 Hz), 124.5 (q, J = 272.7 Hz), 74.8, 49.2, 40.3, 37.8, 37.2, 36.0, 32.9, 31.4, 30.0, 29.4, 27.1, 25.2, 21.5, 19.8. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): δ –62.3. HRMS-EI (m/z): calcd for C₂₁H₃₂F₃O [M – 15]⁺ 357.2405, found 357.2403.

5-(2-Methyl-7-(4(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)heptyl)benzo[d][1,3]dioxole (Table 2, 3k). The title compound was prepared from 5-(2methylhept-3-en-1-yl)benzo[d][1,3]dioxole (123.2 mg, 0.50 mmol), following the general procedure and using NiBr₂·glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), 4-iodobenzotrifluoride (272.0 mg, 150 µL, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), (EtO)₃SiH (230 µL, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with 2% EtOAc in hexanes to provide the title compound as a colorless liquid in 42% yield (82.3 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2926, 1488, 1322, 1116, 770. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.45 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.20 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 6.64 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 6.55 (s, 1H), 6.51–6.48 (m, 1H), 5.84 (s, 2H), 2.57 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 2.45 (dd, J = 13.5, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 2.21 (dd, J = 13.5, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 1.55-1.50 (m, 4H), 1.26-1.18 (m, 4H), 1.08-0.99 (m, 1H), 0.76 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 147.5, 147.1, 145.6, 135.6, 128.8, 128.1 (q, J = 32.2 Hz), 125.3 (q, J = 3.8 Hz), 124.6 (q, J = 271.6 Hz), 122.0, 109.6, 108.0, 100.8, 43.6, 36.5, 35.9, 35.3, 31.3, 29.6, 27.0, 19.5. ¹⁹F NMR (471 MHz, CDCl₃): δ –62.2. HRMS-EI (m/z): calcd for C₂₂H₂₅F₃O₂ [M]⁺ 378.1808, found 378.1807.

1-(9,9,10,10,11,11,12,12,13,13,14,14,14-Tridecafluorotetradecyl)-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzene (Table 2, 3I). The title compound was prepared from 1-perfluorohexyl-1-octene (215.1 mg, 0.50 mmol), following the general procedure and using NiBr₂·glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), 4-iodobenzotrifluoride (272.0 mg, 150 μ L, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), (EtO)₃SiH (230 μ L, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with hexanes to provide the title compound as a colorless liquid in 54% yield (155.4 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2932, 1325, 1119, 1019, 696. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): *δ* 7.5 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.2 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 2.6 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 2.03–1.89 (m, 2H), 1.57–1.47 (m, 4H), 1.31–1.24 (m, 8H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): *δ* 147.1, 128.8, 128.3 (q, *J* = 32.3 Hz), 125.4 (q, *J* = 3.7 Hz), 124.6 (q, *J* = 271.5 Hz), 118.8–110.1 (¹³C–¹⁹F coupling from C₆F₁₃), 35.9, 31.3, 31.0 (t, *J* = 22.5 Hz), 29.4, 29.3, 29.2, 29.2, 20.2. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): *δ* –62.4 (s, 3F), –80.9 (t, *J* = 9.9 Hz, 3F), –114.5 to –114.5 (m, 2F), –121.9 to –122.1 (m, 2F), –122.9 to –123.1 (m, 2F), –123.6 to –123.8 (m, 2F), –126.2 to –126.4 (m, 2F). HRMS-EI (*m*/*z*): calcd for C₂₁H₂₀F₁₆ [M]⁺ 576.1310, found 576.1317.

1-(3-Ethylpentyl)-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzene (Table 2, 3m). The title compound was prepared from 3-ethyl-2-pentene (68 µL, 0.5 mmol), following the general procedure and using NiBr₂ glyme (15.6 mg, 0.05 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (8.8 mg, 0.055 mmol, 11.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), 4-iodobenzotrifluoride (272.0 mg, 150 µL, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), (EtO)₃SiH (230 µL, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with pentanes to provide the title compound as a colorless liquid in 37% yield (45.2 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2962, 2925, 1618, 1322, 1119, 1018, 835. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 7.44 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.21 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 2.55 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 1.52–1.45 (m, 2H), 1.28–1.24 (m, 5H), 0.79 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d): δ 147.6, 128.8, 128.1 (q, J = 32.3 Hz), 125.3 (q, J = 3.7 Hz), 124.6 (q, J = 271.6 Hz), 40.1, 34.7, 33.2, 25.4, 11.0. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -62.3. HRMS-EI (*m*/*z*): calcd for C₁₄H₁₉F₃ [M]⁺ 244.1439, found 244.1445.

1-Octyl-4-(trifluoromethoxy)benzene (Table 3a, 4b). The title compound was prepared from 1-iodo-4-(trifluoromethoxy)benzene (288.0 mg, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), following the general procedure and using NiBr₂·glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), (E)-oct-4-ene (87.5 μ L, 62.4 mg, 0.50 mmol), (EtO)₃SiH (230 μ L, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with hexanes to provide the title compound as a colorless liquid in 66% yield (90.1 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2926, 1508, 1254, 1115, 812. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.10 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.03 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 2.52 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.56-1.46 (m, 2H), 1.28-1.13 (m, 10H), 0.80 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 147.4, 141.8, 129.7, 121.0, 120.7 (q, J = 256.4 Hz), 35.5, 32.0, 31.6, 29.8, 29.6, 29.4, 22.8, 14.2. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): δ –58.0. HRMS-EI (*m*/*z*): calcd for $C_{15}H_{21}F_{3}O$ [M]⁺ 274.1542, found 274.1544.

4-Octylbenzonitrile (Table 3a, 4c). The title compound was prepared from 4-iodobenzonitrile (229.0 mg, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), following the general procedure and using NiBr₂·glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), (E)-oct-4-ene (87.5 µL, 62.4 mg, 0.50 mmol), (EtO)₃SiH (230 µL, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with hexanes to provide the title compound as a colorless liquid in 56% yield (60.2 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2954, 2854, 2227, 1607, 1413, 819, 560. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.48 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.19 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 2.58 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 1.57–1.50 (m, 2H), 1.23–1.18 (m, 10H), 0.80 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 148.7, 132.2, 129.3, 119.3, 109.6, 36.2, 32.0, 31.1, 29.5, 29.4, 29.3, 22.8, 14.2. HRMS-ESI (m/z): calcd for C₁₅H₂₁NNa $[M + Na]^+$ 238.1566, found 238.1559.

Ethyl 4-Octylbenzoate (Table 3a, entry 4d). The title compound was prepared from ethyl 4-iodobenzoate (276.1 mg, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), following the general procedure and using NiBr₂·glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), (E)-oct-4-ene (87.5 μ L, 62.4 mg, 0.50 mmol), (EtO)₃SiH (230 μ L, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with 1% EtOAc in hexanes to provide the title compound as a

colorless liquid in 82% yield (105.3 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2924, 1716, 1610, 1270, 1104, 761. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.95 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.23 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 4.36 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.65 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 1.65–1.58 (m, 2H), 1.38 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.34–1.21 (m, 10H), 0.87 (t, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 166.9, 148.5, 129.7, 128.5, 128.0, 60.9, 36.1, 32.0, 31.3, 29.6, 29.4, 29.4, 22.8, 14.5, 14.2. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*): calcd for C₁₇H₂₆NaO₂ [M + Na]⁺ 285.1825, found 285.1817.

Morpholino(3-octylphenyl)methanone (Table 3a, 4e). The title compound was prepared from (3-iodophenyl)(morpholino)methanone (317.1 mg, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), following the general procedure and using NiBr₂·glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), (E)-oct-4-ene (87.5 μL, 62.4 mg, 0.50 mmol), (EtO)₃SiH (230 μ L, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with 10% EtOAc in hexanes to provide the title compound as a colorless liquid in 56% yield (84.6 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2922, 1605, 1490, 1242, 1120, 942, 808. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.25–7.20 (m, 1H), 7.18–7.10 (m, 3H), 3.78-3.30 (m, 8H), 2.55 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.58-1.48 (m, 2H), 1.29-1.15 (m, 10H), 0.80 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): *δ* 170.8, 143.6, 135.3, 130.1, 128.5, 127.1, 124.4, 67.0, 48.4, and 42.6 (due to rotamers), 35.9, 32.0, 31.4, 29.6, 29.4, 29.4, 22.8, 14.2. HRMS-ESI (m/z): calcd for C₁₉H₃₀NO₂ $[M + H]^+$ 304.2271, found 304.2271.

2.2.2-Trifluoro-N-(4-octvlphenvl)acetamide (Table 3a, 4f). The title compound was prepared from 2,2,2-trifluoro-N-(4-iodophenyl)acetamide (315.2 mg, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), following the general procedure and using NiBr₂·glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), (E)-oct-4-ene (87.5 µL, 62.4 mg, 0.50 mmol), (EtO)₃SiH (230.0 µL, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with 2% EtOAc in hexanes to provide the title compound as a white solid in 58% yield (91.4 mg). Mp: 84-86 °C. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3295, 2917, 1702, 1147, 831. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 7.97 (s, 1H), 7.38 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.10 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 2.51 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.57–1.45 (m, 2H), 1.27-1.16 (m, 10H), 0.80 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, $CDCl_3$: δ 155.0 (q, J = 37.4 Hz), 141.5, 132.8, 129.3, 120.7, 116.0 (q, J = 288.4 Hz), 35.6, 32.0, 31.5, 29.6, 30.0, 29.4, 22.8, 14.2.NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -75.7. HRMS-ESI (m/z): calcd for $C_{16}H_{22}F_3NNaO [M + Na]^+ 324.1546$, found 324.1542.

1-(4-Octylphenyl)ethan-1-one (Table 3a, 4g). The title compound was prepared from 1-(4-iodophenyl)ethan-1-one (246.1 mg, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), following the general procedure and using NiBr₂·glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), (E)-oct-4-ene (87.5 μL, 62.4 mg, 0.50 mmol), (EtO)₃SiH (230 μL, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with hexanes to provide the title compound as a colorless liquid in 72% yield (83.5 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2923, 1681, 1605, 1356, 1285, 596. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.79 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.18 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 2.57 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 2.49 (s, 3H), 1.56–1.50 (m, 2H), 1.26–1.14 (m, 10H), 0.80 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 197.9, 148.9, 135.0, 128.7, 128.6, 36.1, 32.0, 31.3, 29.5, 29.4, 29.3, 26.7, 22.8, 14.2. HRMS-ESI (m/z): calcd for C₁₆H₂₅O [M + H]⁺ 233.1900, found 233.1897.

4-Octylbenzaldehyde (Table 3a, 4h). The title compound was prepared from 4-iodobenzaldehyde (232.0 mg, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), following the general procedure and using NiBr₂·glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), (E)-oct-4-ene (87.5 μ L, 62.4 mg, 0.50 mmol), (EtO)₃SiH (230 μ L, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with hexanes to provide the title compound as a white solid in 71% yield (77.3 mg).

Mp: 34–35 °C. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2923, 1698, 1605, 1167, 824. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 9.96 (s, 1H), 7.79 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 2.67 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.70–1.54 (m, 2H), 1.30–1.26 (m, 10H), 0.87 (t, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 192.2, 150.6, 134.5, 130.0, 129.2, 36.4, 32.0, 31.2, 29.5, 29.4, 29.3, 22.8, 14.2. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*): calcd for C₁₅H₂₃O [M + H⁺] 219.1743, found 219.1746.

1-Chloro-3-octylbenzene (Table 3a, 4i). The title compound was prepared from 1-chloro-3-iodobenzene (238.5 mg, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), following the general procedure and using NiBr₂·glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), (E)-oct-4-ene (87.5 µL, 62.4 mg, 0.50 mmol), (EtO)₃SiH (230 µL, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with hexanes to provide the title compound as a colorless liquid in 84% yield (93.8 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2923, 1597, 1466, 1078, 777. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.27–7.16 (m, 3H), 7.09 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.62 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.68–1.60 (m, 2H), 1.41–1.27 (m, 10H), 0.93 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 145.1, 134.1, 129.6, 128.7, 126.8, 125.9, 35.8, 32.0, 31.4, 29.6, 29.4, 29.3, 22.8, 14.3. HRMS-EI (*m*/*z*): calcd for C₁₄H₂₁Cl [M]⁺ 224.1332, found 224.1327.

4-Octylphenyl Trifluoromethanesulfonate (Table 3a, entry 4j). The title compound was prepared from 4-iodophenyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (352.1 mg, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), following the general procedure and using NiBr2 glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), (E)-oct-4-ene (87.5 µL, 62.4 mg, 0.50 mmol), (EtO)₃SiH (230 µL, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with 0.5% EtOAc in hexanes to provide the title compound as a colorless liquid in 62% yield (104.6 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2926, 1500, 1422, 1205, 1135, 883, 607. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 7.16 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.09 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 2.54 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.58–1.48 (m, 2H), 1.24–1.18 (m, 10H), 0.80 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 147.8, 143.7, 130.2, 121.1, 118.9 (q, J = 320.8 Hz), 35.5, 32.0, 31.45, 29.6, 29.5, 29.4, 22.8, 14.2. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -72.9. HRMS-EI (m/z): calcd for C₁₅H₂₁F₃O₃S [M]⁺ 338.1163, found 338.1165.

4,4,5,5-Tetramethyl-2-(3-octylphenyl)-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (Table 3a, 4k). The title compound was prepared from 2-(3iodophenyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (330.1 mg, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), following the general procedure and using NiBr₂. glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), (E)-oct-4-ene (87.5 μL, 62.4 mg, 0.50 mmol), (EtO)₃SiH (230 µL, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with 2% EtOAc in hexanes to provide the title compound as a colorless liquid in 52% yield (82.3 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2924, 1357, 1144, 708. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.58-7.52 (m, 2H), 7.24–7.20 (m, 2H), 2.53 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.56–1.50 (m, 2H), 1.30-1.27 (m, 12H), 1.23-1.18 (m, 10H), 0.81 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 142.4, 134.9, 132.2, 131.6, 127.8, 83.89, 36.1, 32.1, 31.9, 29.7, 29.6, 29.4, 25.1, 22.8, 14.3. HRMS-ESI (m/z): calcd for C₂₀H₃₃BNaO₂ [M + Na]⁺ 339.2466, found 339.2474.

1-Methoxy-3-octylbenzene (Table 3a, 4l). The title compound was prepared from 1-iodo-3-methoxybenzene (234 mg, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), following the general procedure and using NiBr₂·glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), (*E*)-oct-4-ene (87.5 μ L, 62.4 mg, 0.50 mmol), (EtO)₃SiH (230 μ L, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with hexanes to provide the title compound as a colorless liquid in 40% yield (44.1 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2923, 1584, 1259, 1151, 694. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.11 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 6.70–6.63 (m, 3H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 2.50 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 1.56–1.49 (m, 2H),

1.25–1.17 (m, 10H), 0.80 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 159.7, 144.8, 129.3, 121.0, 114.3, 110.9, 55.3, 36.2, 32.1, 31.6, 29.7, 29.5, 29.4, 22.9 14.3. HRMS-EI (*m*/*z*): calcd for C₁₅H₂₄O [M]⁺ 220.1827, found 220.1828.

Octylbenzene (Table 3a, 4m). The title compound was prepared from iodobenzene (204 mg, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), following the general procedure and using NiBr₂·glyme (15.6 mg, 0.05 mmol, 10.0 mol %), L1 (8.8 mg, 0.055 mmol, 11.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), (*E*)-oct-4-ene (87.5 μ L, 62.4 mg, 0.50 mmol), (EtO)₃SiH (230 μ L, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with pentanes to provide the title compound as a colorless liquid in 54% yield (51.3 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2923, 2853, 1454, 743, 696. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.42–7.36 (m, 2H), 7.32–7.26 (m, 3H), 2.73 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 1.78–1.70 (m, 2H), 1.52–1.40 (m, 10H), 1.02 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 143.1, 128.6, 128.4, 125.7, 36.2, 32.1, 31.8, 29.7, 29.6, 29.5, 22.9, 14.3. HRMS-EI (*m*/*z*): calcd for C₁₄H₂₂ [M]⁺ 190.1722, found 190.1724.

(4-Octylphenyl)methanol (Table 3a, 4n). The title compound was prepared from (4-iodophenyl)methanol (234.0, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), following the general procedure and using NiBr₂·glyme (15.6 mg, 0.05 mmol, 10.0 mol %), L1 (8.8 mg, 0.055 mmol, 11.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), (E)-oct-4-ene (87.5 μ L, 62.4 mg, 0.50 mmol), (EtO)₃SiH (414.0 μ L, 2.25 mmol, 4.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt.

The workup procedure was modified and is detailed below. After completion, the reaction mixture was transferred to a 25 mL roundbottom flask and the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure, A MeOH solution of NH₄F (10 mL, saturated) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. EtOAc (30 mL) and water were added, and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (10 mL \times 3). The combined organic layers were dried over Na2SO4 and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with 2% EtOAc in hexanes to provide the title compound as a colorless liquid in 59% yield (65 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3320, 2922, 1463, 1027, 755. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.29 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.21 (d, J = 7.8Hz, 2H), 4.67 (s, 2H), 2.63 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.84 (s, 1H), 1.68-1.58 (m, 2H), 1.37–1.29 (m, 10H), 0.92 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 142.7, 138.3, 128.8, 127.3, 65.4, 35.8, 32.0, 31.7, 29.6, 29.5, 29.4, 22.8, 14.3. HRMS-EI (m/z): calcd for C₁₅H₂₄O [M]⁺ 220.1827, found 220.1825.

2-Fluoro-4-octylaniline (Table 3a, 4o). The title compound was prepared from 2-fluoro-4-iodoaniline (237.0 mg, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), following the general procedure and using NiBr₂·glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), (E)-oct-4-ene (87.5 µL, 62.4 mg, 0.50 mmol), (EtO)₃SiH (230 µL, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with 1% EtOAc in hexanes to provide the title compound as a colorless liquid in 70% yield (78 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3383, 2923, 1519, 896, 618. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.75–6.71 (m, 1H), 6.69–6.57 (m, 2H), 3.38 (s, 2H), 2.41 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.52–1.41 (m, 2H), 1.23-1.16 (m, 10H), 0.80 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-d): δ 151.9 (d, J = 238.5 Hz), 134.3 (d, J = 5.8 Hz), 131.8 (d, J = 13.3 Hz), 124.3 (d, J = 3.2 Hz), 117.1 (d, J = 3.7 Hz), 115.2 (d, J = 18.2 Hz), 35.1, 32.0, 31.7, 29.7, 29.4, 29.3, 22.8, 14.3. ¹⁹F NMR (471 MHz, CDCl₃): δ –135.4. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*): calcd for C₁₄H₂₃FN [M + H]⁺ 224.1809, found 224.1807.

2-Chloro-4-octylpyridine (Table 3b, 4p). The title compound was prepared from 2-chloro-4-iodopyridine (239.4 mg, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), following the general procedure and using NiBr₂-glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), (E)-oct-4-ene (87.5 μ L, 62.4 mg, 0.50 mmol), (EtO)₃SiH (230 μ L, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with 1% EtOAc in hexanes to provide the title compound as a pale

yellow liquid in 65% yield (73.1 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2924, 1591, 1384, 1085, 717. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.18 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 7.07 (s, 1H), 6.95 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.51 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.59–1.48 (m, 2H), 1.28–1.15 (m, 10H), 0.80 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 155.4, 151.6, 149.5, 124.3, 122.9, 35.1, 31.9, 30.2, 29.4, 29.3, 29.2, 22.8, 14.2. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*): calcd for C₁₃H₂₁ClN [M + H]⁺ 226.1357, found 226.1358.

2-Fluoro-5-octylpyridine (Table 3b, 4g). The title compound was prepared from 2-fluoro-5-iodopyridine (223.0 mg, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), following the general procedure and using NiBr₂·glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), (E)-oct-4-ene (87.5 µL, 62.4 mg, 0.50 mmol), (EtO)₃SiH (230 µL, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with 1% EtOAc in hexanes to provide the title compound as a colorless liquid in 73% yield (76.2 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2925, 1592, 1482, 1393, 1247, 829. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.93 (s, 1H), 7.53-7.48 (m, 1H), 6.76 (dd, J = 8.3, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 2.51 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.57-1.46 (m, 2H), 1.24-1.16 (m, 10H), 0.80 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 162.3 (d, *J* = 236.5 Hz), 147.0 (d, J = 14.1 Hz), 141.1 (d, J = 7.6 Hz), 135.7 (d, J = 4.5 Hz), 109.0 (d, J = 37.3 Hz), 32.1, 31.9, 31.3, 29.5, 29.3, 29.2, 22.8, 14.2. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -72.7. HRMS-ESI (m/z): calcd for $C_{13}H_{21}FN [M + H]^+ 210.1653$, found 210.1650.

2-Methoxy-5-octylpyridine (Table 3b, 4r). The title compound was prepared from 5-iodo-2-methoxypyridine (235.2 mg, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), following the general procedure and using NiBr₂·glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), (E)-oct-4-ene (87.5 µL, 62.4 mg, 0.50 mmol), (EtO)₃SiH (230 µL, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with 1% EtOAc in hexanes to provide the title compound as a colorless liquid in 62% yield (68.5 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2923, 1607, 1490, 1285, 1028, 826. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.88 (s, 1H), 7.30 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.58 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 2.42 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 1.53-1.42 (m, 2H), 1.25-1.13 (m, 10H), 0.79 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 162.7, 146.0, 139.0, 130.7, 110.4, 53.3, 32.2, 32.0, 31.5, 29.5, 29.4, 29.2, 22.8, 14.2. HRMS-ESI (m/z): calcd for C₁₄H₂₃NNaO [M + Na]⁺ 244.1672, found 244.1669.

4-(5-Octylpyridin-2-yl)morpholine (Table 3b, 4s). The title compound was prepared from 4-(5-iodopyridin-2-yl)morpholine (290.0 mg, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), following the general procedure and using NiBr₂·glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), (E)-oct-4-ene (87.5 µL, 62.4 mg, 0.50 mmol), (EtO)₃SiH (230 µL, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with 2% EtOAc in hexanes to provide the title compound as a colorless liquid in 60% yield (82.8 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2922, 1605, 1490, 1242, 1120, 942, 808. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 7.96 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (dd, J = 8.6, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 6.53 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (t, J = 4.8 Hz, 4H), 3.40 (t, J = 4.8 Hz, 4H), 2.41 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.53-1.42 (m, 2H), 1.24-1.17 (m, 10H), 0.80 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 158.3, 147.4, 138.1, 128.2, 107.1, 67.0, 46.2, 32.2, 32.0, 31.6, 29.6, 29.4, 29.2, 22.8, 14.3. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*): calcd for C₁₇H₂₈N₂NaO [M + Na]+ 299.2094, found 299.2092.

2-(2,5-Dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)-5-octylpyridine (Table 3b, 4t). The title compound was prepared from 2-(2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)-5-iodopyridine (298.0 mg, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), following the general procedure and using NiBr₂·glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), (E)-oct-4-ene (87.5 μ L, 62.4 mg, 0.50 mmol), (EtO)₃SiH (230 μ L, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with 2% EtOAc in hexanes to provide the title compound as a pale yellow liquid in 50% yield (70.0 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2923, 1645, 1481, 1405, 754. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.33 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (dd, J = 8.1, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 7.05 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.80 (s, 2H), 2.59 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 2.03 (s, 6H), 1.65–1.54 (m, 2H), 1.33–1.16 (m, 10H), 0.81 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 150.0, 149.3, 137.8, 137.0, 128.7, 121.7, 106.7, 32.7, 32.0, 31.2, 29.5, 29.4, 29.4, 22.8, 14.3, 13.2. HRMS-ESI (m/z): calcd for C₁₉H₂₉N₂ [M + H]⁺ 285.2325, found 285.2326.

3-Octylquinoline (Table 3b, 4u). The title compound was prepared from 3-iodoquinoline (255.0 mg, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), following the general procedure and using NiBr₂·glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), (E)-oct-4-ene (87.5 µL, 62.4 mg, 0.50 mmol), (EtO)₃SiH (230 µL, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with 5% EtOAc in hexanes to provide the title compound as a colorless liquid in 54% yield (65.1 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2923, 1494, 748, 723. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 8.79 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 8.10 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (s, 1H), 7.76 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.70–7.60 (m, 1H), 7.51 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 2.78 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 1.77-1.66 (m, 2H), 1.40-1.24 (m, 10H), 0.89 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 152.2, 146.8, 135.5, 134.2, 129.2, 128.6, 128.3, 127.4, 126.6, 33.3, 31.9, 31.3, 29.5, 29.3, 29.3, 22.8, 14.2. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*): calcd for C₁₇H₂₄N [M + H]⁺ 242.1903, found 242.1902.

N,N-Dimethyl-5-octylpyrimidin-2-amine (Table 3b, 4v). The title compound was prepared from 5-iodo-*N,N*-dimethylpyrimidin-2-amine (249.0 mg, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), following the general procedure and using NiBr₂·glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), (*E*)-oct-4-ene (87.5 μ L, 62.4 mg, 0.50 mmol), (EtO)₃SiH (230 μ L, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with 5% EtOAc in hexanes to provide the title compound as a pale yellow liquid in 72% yield (84.6 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2922, 1602, 1526, 1403, 974, 797, 523. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.08 (s, 2H), 3.09 (s, 6H), 2.32 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.48–1.39 (m, 2H), 1.24–1.16 (m, 10H), 0.80 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 161.4, 157.5, 122.2, 37.3, 32.0, 31.5, 29.5, 29.5, 29.4, 29.1, 22.8, 14.2. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*): calcd for C₁₄H₂₆N₃ [M + H]⁺ 236.2121, found 236.2128.

2-(tert-Butyl)-6-octylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridine (Table 3b, 4w). The title compound was prepared from 2-(tert-butyl)-6-iodoimidazo[1,2a]pyridine (300.1 mg, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), following the general procedure and using NiBr₂·glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), (E)-oct-4-ene (87.5 µL, 62.4 mg, 0.50 mmol), (EtO)₃SiH (230 μ L, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with 2% EtOAc in hexanes to provide the title compound as a colorless liquid in 54% yield (77.2 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2923, 1507, 1235, 803, 686. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.73 (s, 1H), 7.42 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (s, 1H), 6.90 (dd, J = 9.2, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 2.46 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.56–1.45 (m, 2H), 1.32 (s, 9H), 1.24–1.17 (m, 10H), 0.80 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 157.0, 144.3, 126.4, 126.3, 123.1, 116.7, 106.7, 32.7, 32.4, 32.0, 30.9, 30.4, 29.5, 29.4, 29.3, 22.8, 14.2. HRMS-ESI (m/z): calcd for C₁₉H₃₀N₂Na $[M + Na]^+$ 309.2301, found 309.2300.

(S)-3-(4-(2-Chloro-5-octylbenzyl)phenoxy)tetrahydrofuran (Table 3c, 4x). The title compound was prepared from (S)-3-(4-(2-chloro-5-iodobenzyl)phenoxy)tetrahydrofuran (414.7 mg, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), following the general procedure and using NiBr₂·glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), (E)-oct-4-ene (87.5 μ L, 62.4 mg, 0.50 mmol), (EtO)₃SiH (230 μ L, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with 1% EtOAc in hexanes to provide the title compound as a colorless liquid in 78% yield (155.8 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2923, 1611,

1507, 1238, 817. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.15 (s, 1H), 7.01 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.90–6.83 (m, 2H), 6.69 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 4.82–4.75 (m, 1H), 3.94–3.84 (m, 5H), 3.82–3.74 (m, 1H), 2.42 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 2.13–2.00 (m, 2H), 1.47–1.40 (m, 2H), 1.21–1.15 (m, 10H), 0.79 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 155.9, 141.8, 138.5, 132.3, 131.3, 131.2, 130.0, 129.3, 127.8, 115.4, 77.4, 73.3, 67.3, 38.5, 35.4, 33.2, 32.0, 31.5, 29.6, 29.4, 29.4, 22.8, 14.3. HRMS-ESI (m/z): calcd for C₂₅H₃₃ClNaO₂ [M + Na]⁺ 423.2061, found 423.2058.

(5-(4-Fluorophenyl)thiophen-2-yl)(2-methyl-5-octylphenyl)methanone (Table 3c, 4y). The title compound was prepared from (5-(4-fluorophenyl)thiophen-2-yl)(5-iodo-2-methylphenyl)methanone (422.3 mg, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), following the general procedure and using NiBr2 glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), (E)-oct-4-ene (87.5 µL, 62.4 mg, 0.50 mmol), (EtO)₃SiH (230 μ L, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with 2% EtOAc in hexanes to provide the title compound as a colorless liquid in 51% yield (103.3 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2923, 1634, 1410, 1206, 807. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.57–7.51 (m, 2H), 7.28 (d, J = 3.9 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (s, 1H), 7.14 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.11 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 2H), 7.05-6.97 (m, 2H), 2.52 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 2.27 (s, 3H), 1.57–1.46 (m, 2H), 1.23-1.15 (m, 10H), 0.81-0.74 (m, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 190.6, 163.4 (d, J = 249.8 Hz), 152.6, 143.8, 140.0, 138.2, 136.5, 133.7, 131.4, 130.6, 129.8 (d, J = 3.5 Hz), 128.2 (d, J = 8.3 Hz), 128.1, 124.1, 116.3 (d, J = 22.0 Hz), 35.5, 32.0, 31.6, 29.6, 29.4, 29.4, 22.8, 19.4, 14.2. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -111.7. HRMS-ESI (m/z): calcd for C₂₆H₂₉FNaOS [M + Na]⁺ 431.1815, found 431.1813.

Ethyl 1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-5-(4-octylphenyl)-4-oxo-4,5,6,7-tetrahydro-1H-pyrazolo[4,3-c]pyridine-3-carboxylate (Table 3c, 4z). The title compound was prepared from ethyl 5-(4-iodophenyl)-1-(4methoxyphenyl)-4-oxo-4,5,6,7-tetrahydro-1H-pyrazolo[4,3-c]pyridine-3-carboxylate (103.5 mg, 0.4 mmol, 2.0 equiv), following the general procedure and using NiBr2 glyme (3.1 mg, 0.01 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (1.9 mg, 0.012 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (29.2 g, 0.5 mmol, 2.5 equiv), (E)-oct-4-ene (35.0 μL, 0.2 mmol), (EtO)₃SiH (92 μL, 0.5 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (1.5 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with 10% EtOAc in hexanes to provide the title compound as a colorless liquid in 51% yield (51.3 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2924, 1712, 1672, 1511, 1247, 1085, 789. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 7.40 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.16–7.06 (m, 4H), 6.82 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 4.38 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 4.02 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 3.23 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.49 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.55–1.44 (m, 2H), 1.35 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.22–1.16 (m, 10H), 0.80 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 168.3, 153.0, 151.6, 139.1, 137.3, 131.1, 127.2, 126.0, 123.0, 108.4, 72.7, 66.4, 35.7, 33.4, 31.9, 31.6, 31.2, 29.4, 29.3, 29.2, 25.0, 22.7, 22.5, 19.9, 14.1, 14.0. HRMS-ESI (m/z): calcd for $C_{30}H_{38}N_3O_4$ [M + H]⁺ 504.2857, found 504.2856.

Methyl 2-(5-Methoxy-2-methyl-1-(4-octylbenzoyl)-1H-indol-3yl)acetate (Table 3c, 4a'). The title compound was prepared from methyl 2-(1-(4-iodobenzoyl)-5-methoxy-2-methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)acetate (463.3 mg, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), following the general procedure and using NiBr2·glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), (E)-oct-4-ene (87.5 µL, 62.4 mg, 0.50 mmol), (EtO)₃SiH (230 μ L, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with 15% EtOAc in hexanes to provide the title compound as a white solid in 45% yield (101.1 mg). Mp: 72-73 °C. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2925, 1731, 1667, 1605, 1323, 729. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.66 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 6.99 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 6.92 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H),6.68 (dd, J = 9.0, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 3.70 (s, 2H), 2.73 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 2.41 (s, 3H), 1.73–1.64 (m, 2H), 1.39–1.27 (m, 10H), 0.91 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 171.6, 169.6, 155.9, 148.8, 136.2, 132.9, 131.2, 130.6, 130.1, 128.9, 127.5, 115.1, 111.9, 111.5, 101.1, 55.8, 52.2, 36.2, 36.1 31.2, 30.3, 29.5, 29.4, 22.8, 14.2, 13.4. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*): calcd for C₂₈H₃₆NO₄ [M + H]⁺ 450.2639, found 450.2636.

Heptan-2-yl 2-((5-Chloro-3-octylquinolin-8-yl)oxy)acetate (Table 3c, 4b'). The title compound was prepared from heptan-2-yl 2-((5chloro-3-iodoquinolin-8-yl)oxy)acetate (461.0 mg, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), following the general procedure and using NiBr₂·glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), (E)-oct-4-ene (87.5 µL, 62.4 mg, 0.50 mmol), (EtO)₃SiH (230 µL, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with 10% EtOAc in hexanes to provide the title compound as a yellow liquid in 71% yield (158.3 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2925, 1754, 1491, 1203, 1107, 728. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.82 (s, 1H), 8.24 (s, 1H), 7.43 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.80 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 5.00 (q, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 4.91 (s, 2H), 2.82 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 1.75-1.67 (m, 2H), 1.59-1.44 (m, 2H), 1.36-1.15 (m, 19H), 0.89-0.76 (m, 6H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 168.3, 153.0, 151.6, 139.1, 137.3, 131.1, 127.2, 126.0, 123.0, 108.4, 72.7, 66.4, 35.7, 33.4, 31.9, 31.6, 31.2, 29.4, 29.3, 29.2, 25.0, 22.7, 22.5, 19.9, 14.1, 14.0. HRMS-ESI (m/z): calcd for C₂₆H₃₉ClNO₃ [M + H]⁺ 448.2613, found 448.2616.

(3aR,5R,6S,6aR)-5-((R)-2,2-Dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl)-2,2dimethyltetrahydrofuro[2,3-d][1,3]dioxol-6-yl 4-Octylbenzoate (Table 3c, 4c'). The title compound was prepared from (3aR,5R,6S,6aR)-5-((R)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl)-2,2dimethyltetrahydrofuro[2,3-d][1,3]dioxol-6-yl 4-iodobenzoate (490.3 mg, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), following the general procedure and using NiBr2:glyme (7.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5.0 mol %), L1 (4.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 6.0 mol %), KF (73.0 g, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), (E)-oct-4-ene (87.5 μL, 62.4 mg, 0.50 mmol), (EtO)₃SiH (230 μL, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and DMF (3.75 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography and eluted with 2% EtOAc in hexanes to provide the title compound as a colorless oil in 81% yield (192.9 mg). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2926, 1723, 1610, 1263, 1017, 732. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 7.86 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.18 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 5.87 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 5.41 (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 1H), 4.54 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 1H), 4.33–4.22 (m, 2H), 4.03 (dd, J = 5.1, 3.2 Hz, 2H), 2.58 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.60-1.46 (m, 2H), 1.48 (s, 3H), 1.34 (s, 3H), 1.22 (d, J = 17.7 Hz, 16H), 0.80 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): *δ* 165.4, 149.4, 129.9, 128.7, 127.1, 112.5, 109.5, 105.3, 83.5, 80.1, 76.6, 72.8, 67.3, 36.2, 32.0, 31.3, 29.5, 29.4, 29.3, 27.0, 26.9, 26.3, 25.4, 22.8, 14.2. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*): calcd for C₂₇H₄₁NaO₇ [M + Na]⁺ 499.2666, found 499.2666.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.organomet.0c00819.

Experimental procedures and characterization data for all compounds (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Shaolin Zhu – State Key Laboratory of Coordination Chemistry, Chemistry and Biomedicine Innovation Center (ChemBIC), Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Advanced Organic Materials, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China; ● orcid.org/0000-0003-1516-6081; Email: shaolinzhu@nju.edu.cn

Authors

Yuli He – State Key Laboratory of Coordination Chemistry, Chemistry and Biomedicine Innovation Center (ChemBIC), Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Advanced Organic Materials, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China

Bo Han – State Key Laboratory of Coordination Chemistry, Chemistry and Biomedicine Innovation Center (ChemBIC), Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Advanced Organic Materials, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.organomet.0c00819

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Support was provided by the NSFC (21822105, 22001118) and the NSF of Jiangsu Province (BK20200300, BK20201245).

REFERENCES

(1) (a) *Transition Metals for Organic Synthesis*; Beller, M., Bolm, C., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2004. (b) Jana, R.; Pathak, T. P.; Sigman, M. S. Advances in Transition Metal (Pd,Ni,Fe)-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions Using Alkyl-organometallics as Reaction Partners. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 1417–1492.

(2) For selected reviews on nickel catalysis, see: (a) Netherton, M. R.; Fu, G. C. Nickel-Catalyzed Cross-Couplings of Unactivated Alkyl Halides and Pseudohalides with Organometallic Compounds. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2004, 346, 1525–1532. (b) Hu, X. Nickel-Catalyzed Cross Coupling of Non-Activated Alkyl Halides: A Mechanistic Perspective. *Chem. Sci.* 2011, 2, 1867–1886. (c) Montgomery, J. Organonickel Chemistry. In *Organometallics in Synthesis*; Lipshutz, B. H., Ed.; Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, 2013; pp 319–428. (d) Tasker, S. Z.; Standley, E. A.; Jamison, T. F. Recent Advances in Homogeneous Nickel Catalysis. *Nature* 2014, 509, 299–309. (e) *Nickel Catalysis in Organic Synthesis*; Ogoshi, S., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2020. (f) Poremba, K. E.; Dibrell, S. E.; Reisman, S. E. Nickel-Catalyzed Enantioselective Reductive Cross-Coupling Reactions. *ACS Catal.* 2020, 10, 8237–8246.

(3) For selected reviews on metal hydride chemistry, see: (a) Pirnot, M. T.; Wang, Y.-M.; Buchwald, S. L. Copper Hydride Catalyzed Hydroamination of Alkenes and Alkynes. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2016**, 55, 48–57. (b) Nguyen, K. D.; Park, B. Y.; Luong, T.; Sato, H.; Garza, V. J.; Krische, M. J. Metal-catalyzed Reductive Coupling of Olefin-Derived Nucleophiles: Reinventing Carbonyl Addition. *Science* **2016**, 354, 300. (c) Eberhardt, N. A.; Guan, H. Nickel Hydride Complexes. *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 8373–8426. (d) Crossley, S. W. M.; Obradors, C.; Martinez, R. M.; Shenvi, R. A. Mn-, Fe-, and Co-Catalyzed Radical Hydrofunctionalizations of Olefins. *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 8912– 9000. (e) Chen, J.; Guo, J.; Lu, Z. Recent Advances in Hydrometallation of Alkenes and Alkynes via the First Row Transition Metal Catalysis. *Chin. J. Chem.* **2018**, *36*, 1075–1109.

(4) For selected examples concerning Ni^IH, see: (a) Binder, J. T.; Cordier, C. J.; Fu, G. C. Negishi Reactions of Racemic Benzylic Bromides with Achiral Alkylzinc Reagents. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 17003–17006. (b) Cornella, J.; Gómez-Bengoa, E.; Martin, R. Combined Experimental and Theoretical Study on the Reductive Cleavage of Inert C–O Bonds with Silanes: Ruling out a Classical Ni(0)/Ni(II) Catalytic Couple and Evidence for Ni(I) Intermediates. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 1997–2009. (c) Cordier, C. J.; Lundgren, R. J.; Fu, G. C. Enantioconvergent Cross-Couplings of Racemic Alkylmetal Reagents with Unactivated Secondary Alkyl Electrophiles: Catalytic Asymmetric Negishi α -Alkylations of N-Boc-Pyrrolidine. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 10946–10949. (d) Kuang, Y.; Anthony, D.; Katigbak, J.; Marrucci, F.; Humagain, S.; Diao, T. Ni(I)-Catalyzed Reductive Cyclization of 1,6-Dienes: Mechanism-Controlled trans Selectivity. *Chem.* **201**7, *3*, 268–280.

(5) For reviews on remote functionalization via chain walking, see: (a) Larionov, E.; Li, H.; Mazet, C. Well-Defined Transition Metal Hydrides in Catalytic Isomerizations. *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50*, 9816–9826. (b) Vasseur, A.; Bruffaerts, J.; Marek, I. Remote Functionalization through Alkene Isomerization. *Nat. Chem.* **2016**, *8*, 209–219. (c) Sommer, H.; Juliá-Hernández, F.; Martin, R.; Marek, I. Walking Metals for Remote Functionalization. *ACS Cent. Sci.* **2018**, *4*, 153–165. (d) Janssen-Müller, D.; Sahoo, B.; Sun, S.-Z.; Martin, R. Tackling Remote sp³ C–H Functionalization via Ni-Catalyzed "chainwalking" Reactions. *Isr. J. Chem.* **2020**, *60*, 195–206.

(6) For recent Zr-catalyzed chain walking, see: (a) Masarwa, A.; Didier, D.; Zabrodski, T.; Schinkel, M.; Ackermann, L.; Marek, I. Merging Allylic Carbon–Hydrogen and Selective Carbon–Carbon Bond Activation. *Nature* 2014, 505, 199–203. (b) Mola, L.; Sidera, M.; Fletcher, S. P. Asymmetric Remote C–H Functionalization: Use of Internal Olefins in Tandem Hydrometallation–Isomerization– Asymmetric Conjugate Addition Sequences. *Aust. J. Chem.* 2015, 68, 401–403. (c) Gao, Y.; Yang, C.; Bai, S.; Liu, X.; Wu, Q.; Wang, J.; Jiang, C.; Qi, X. Visible-Light-Induced Nickel-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling with Alkylzirconocenes from Unactivated Alkenes. *Chem.* 2020, 6, 675–688.

(7) For recent Pd-catalyzed chain walking, see: (a) Werner, E. W.; Mei, T.-S.; Burckle, A. J.; Sigman, M. S. Enantioselective Heck Arylations of Acyclic Alkenyl Alcohols Using a Redox-Relay Strategy. Science 2012, 338, 1455-1458. (b) Aspin, S.; Goutierre, A.-S.; Larini, P.; Jazzar, R.; Baudoin, O. Synthesis of Aromatic α -Aminoesters: Palladium-Catalyzed Long-Range Arylation of Primary C_{sp} Bonds. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 10808-10811. (c) Mei, T.-S.; Patel, H. H.; Sigman, M. S. Enantioselective Construction of Remote Quaternary Stereocenters. Nature 2014, 508, 340-344. (d) Larionov, E.; Lin, L.; Guénée, L.; Mazet, C. Scope and Mechanism in Palladium-Catalyzed Isomerizations of Highly Substituted Allylic, Homoallylic, and Alkenyl Alcohols. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 16882-16894. (e) Kochi, T.; Hamasaki, T.; Aoyama, Y.; Kawasaki, J.; Kakiuchi, F. Chain-Walking Strategy for Organic Synthesis: Catalytic Cycloisomerization of 1,n-Dienes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 16544-16547. (f) Dupuy, S.; Zhang, K.-F.; Goutierre, A.-S.; Baudoin, O. Terminal-Selective Functionalization of Alkyl Chains by Regioconvergent Cross-Coupling. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 14793-14797. (g) Lin, L.; Romano, C.; Mazet, C. Palladium-Catalyzed Long-Range Deconjugative Isomerization of Highly Substituted α,β -Unsaturated Carbonyl Compounds. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 10344-10350. (h) Singh, S.; Bruffaerts, J.; Vasseur, A.; Marek, I. A Unique Pd-Catalysed Heck Arylation as a Remote Trigger for Cyclopropane Selective Ring-Opening. Nat. Commun. 2017, 8, 14200. (i) Kohler, D. G.; Gockel, S. N.; Kennemur, J. L.; Waller, P. J.; Hull, K. L. Palladium-Catalysed anti-Markovnikov Selective Oxidative Amination. Nat. Chem. 2018, 10, 333-340. (j) Bruffaerts, J.; Pierrot, D.; Marek, I. Efficient and Stereodivergent Synthesis of Unsaturated Acyclic Fragments Bearing Contiguous Stereogenic Elements. Nat. Chem. 2018, 10, 1164-1170.

(8) For recent Co-catalyzed chain walking, see: (a) Obligacion, J. V.; Chirik, P. J. Bis(imino)pyridine Cobalt-Catalyzed Alkene Isomerization-Hydroboration: A Strategy for Remote Hydrofunctionalization with Terminal Selectivity. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 19107– 19110. (b) Yamakawa, T.; Yoshikai, N. Alkene Isomerization-Hydroarylation Tandem Catalysis: Indole C2-Alkylation with Aryl-Substituted Alkenes Leading to 1,1-Diarylalkanes. Chem. - Asian J. 2014, 9, 1242–1246. (c) Scheuermann, M. L.; Johnson, E. J.; Chirik, P. J. Alkene Isomerization-Hydroboration Promoted by Phosphine-Ligated Cobalt Catalysts. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 2716–2719. (d) Chen, X.; Cheng, Z.; Guo, J.; Lu, Z. Asymmetric Remote C-H Borylation of Internal Alkenes via Alkene Isomerization. Nat. Commun. 2018, 9, 3939.

(9) For recent NiH-catalyzed reductive remote hydrofunctionalization, see: (a) Buslov, I.; Becouse, J.; Mazza, S.; Montandon-Clerc, M.; Hu, X. Chemoselective Alkene Hydrosilylation Catalyzed by Nickel

Pincer Complexes. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 14523-14526. (b) Buslov, I.; Song, F.; Hu, X. An Easily Accessed Nickel Nanoparticle Catalyst for Alkene Hydrosilylation with Tertiary Silanes. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 12295-12299. (c) Lu, X.; Xiao, B.; Zhang, Z.; Gong, T.; Su, W.; Fu, Y.; Liu, L. Practical Carbon-Carbon Bond Formation from Olefins through Nickel-Catalyzed Reductive Olefin Hydrocarbonation. Nat. Commun. 2016, 7, 11129. (d) Green, S. A.; Matos, J. L. M.; Yagi, A.; Shenvi, R. A. Branch-Selective Hydroarylation: Iodoarene-Olefin Cross-Coupling. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 12779-12782. (e) He, Y.; Cai, Y.; Zhu, S. Mild and Regioselective Benzylic C-H Functionalization: Ni-Catalyzed Reductive Arylation of Remote and Proximal Olefins. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 1061–1064. (f) Juliá-Hernández, F.; Moragas, T.; Cornella, J.; Martin, R. Remote Carboxylation of Halogenated Aliphatic Hydrocarbons with Carbon Dioxide. Nature 2017, 545, 84-88. (g) Gaydou, M.; Moragas, T.; Juliá-Hernández, F.; Martin, R. Site-Selective Catalytic Carboxylation of Unsaturated Hydrocarbons with CO2 and Water. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 12161-12164. (h) Chen, F.; Chen, K.; Zhang, Y.; He, Y.; Wang, Y.-M.; Zhu, S. Remote Migratory Cross-Electrophile Coupling and Olefin Hydroarylation Reactions Enabled by in situ Generation of NiH. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 13929-13935. (i) Zhou, F.; Zhu, J.; Zhang, Y.; Zhu, S. NiH-Catalyzed Reductive Relay Hydroalkylation: A Strategy for the Remote C(sp³)-H Alkylation of Alkenes. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 4058-4062. (j) Xiao, J.; He, Y.; Ye, F.; Zhu, S. Remote sp³ C-H Amination of Alkene with Nitroarenes. Chem. 2018, 4, 1645-1657. (k) Peng, L.; Li, Y.; Li, Y.; Wang, W.; Pang, H.; Yin, G. Ligand-Controlled Nickel-Catalyzed Reductive Relay Cross-Coupling of Alkyl Bromides and Aryl Bromides. ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 310-313. (1) Shevick, S. L.; Obradors, C.; Shenvi, R. A. Mechanistic Interrogation of Co/Ni-Dual Catalyzed Hydroarylation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 12056-12068. (m) Sun, S.-Z.; Börjesson, M.; Martin-Montero, R.; Martin, R. Site-Selective Ni-Catalyzed Reductive Coupling of *a*-Haloboranes with Unactivated Olefins. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 12765-12769. (n) Zhou, F.; Zhang, Y.; Xu, X.; Zhu, S. NiH-Catalyzed Asymmetric Remote Hydroalkylation of Alkenes with Racemic α -Bromo Amides. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 1754-1758. (o) Zhang, Y.; Xu, X.; Zhu, S. Nickel-Catalysed Selective Migratoryhydrothiolation of Alkenes and Alkynes with Thiols. Nat. Commun. 2019, 10, 1752. (p) Nguyen, J.; Chong, A.; Lalic, G. Nickel-Catalyzed anti-Markovnikov Hydroarylation of Alkenes. Chem. Sci. 2019, 10, 3231-3236. (q) Sun, S.-Z.; Romano, C.; Martin, R. Site-Selective Catalytic Deaminative Alkylation of Unactivated Olefins. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 16197-16201. (r) Qian, D.; Hu, X. Ligand-Controlled Regiodivergent Hydroalkylation of Pyrrolines. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 18519-18523. (s) Kumar, G. S.; Peshkov, A.; Brzozowska, A.; Nikolaienko, P.; Zhu, C.; Rueping, M. Nickel-Catalyzed Chain-Walking Cross-Electrophile Coupling of Alkyl and Aryl Halides and Olefin Hydroarylation Enabled by Electrochemical Reduction. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 6513-6519. (t) Jiao, K.-J.; Liu, D.; Ma, H.-X.; Qiu, H.; Fang, P.; Mei, T.-S. Nickel-Catalyzed Electrochemical Reductive Relay Cross-Coupling of Alkyl Halides to Aryl Halides. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 6520-6524.

(10) For NiH-catalyzed reductive hydrofunctionalization of olefins, see: (a) Lu, X.; Xiao, B.; Zhang, Z.; Gong, T.; Su, W.; Fu, Y.; Liu, L. Practical Carbon–Carbon Bond Formation from Olefins through Nickel-Catalyzed Reductive Olefin Hydrocarbonation. *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, *7*, 11129. (b) Green, S. A.; Matos, J. L. M.; Yagi, A.; Shenvi, R. A. Branch-Selective Hydroarylation: Iodoarene-Olefin Cross-Coupling. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2016**, *138*, 12779–12782. (c) Shevick, S. L.; Obradors, C.; Shenvi, R. A. Mechanistic Interrogation of Co/Ni-Dual Catalyzed Hydroarylation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2018**, *140*, 12056–12068. (d) Nguyen, J.; Chong, A.; Lalic, G. Nickel-Catalyzed anti-Markovnikov Hydroarylation of Alkenes. Chem. Sci. **2019**, *10*, 3231–3236. (e) Bera, S.; Hu, X. Nickel-Catalyzed Regioselective Hydroalkylation and Hydroarylation of Alkenyl Boronic Esters. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2019**, *58*, 13854–13859.

(11) For NiH-catalyzed reductive enantioselective hydrofunctionalization of olefins, see: (a) Wang, Z.; Yin, H.; Fu, G. C. Catalytic Enantioconvergent Coupling of Secondary and Tertiary Electrophiles with Olefins. Nature 2018, 563, 379-383. (b) He, S.-J.; Wang, J.-W.; Li, Y.; Xu, Z.-Y.; Wang, X.-X.; Lu, X.; Fu, Y. Nickel-Catalyzed Enantioconvergent Reductive Hydroalkylation of Olefins with α -Heteroatom Phosphorus or Sulfur Alkyl Electrophiles. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 214-221. (c) Yang, Z.-P.; Fu, G. C. Convergent Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis of Esters of Chiral Dialkyl Carbinols. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 5870-5875. (d) Bera, S.; Mao, R.; Hu, X. Enantioselective C(sp³)-C(sp³) Cross-Coupling of Non-activated Alkyl Electrophiles via Nickel Hydride Catalysis. Nat. Chem. 2021, 13, 270. (e) He, Y.; Liu, C.; Yu, L.; Zhu, S. Enantio- and Regioselective NiH-Catalyzed reductive Hydroarylation of Vinylarenes with Aryl Iodides. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 21530-21534. (f) Liu, J.; Gong, H.; Zhu, S. Nickel-Catalyzed, Regio- and Enantioselective Benzylic Alkenylation of Olefins with Alkenyl Bromide. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2021, 60, 4060-4064. (g) Shi, L.; Xing, L.-L.; Hu, W.-B.; Shu, W. Regio- and Enantioselective Ni-Catalyzed Formal Hydroalkylation, Hydrobenzylation and Hydropropargylation of Acrylamides to α -Tertiary Amides. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2021, 60, 1599-1604. (h) Cuesta-Galisteo, S.; Schörgenhumer, J.; Wei, X.; Merino, E.; Nevado, C. Nickel-Catalyzed Asymmetric Synthesis of *a*-Arylbenzamides. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2021, 60, 1605-1609. (i) He, Y.; Song, H.; Zhu, S. NiH-Catalyzed Asymmetric Hydroarylation of N-Acyl Enamines: Practical Access to Chiral Benzylamines. Nat. Commun. 2021, 12, 638. (j) Qian, D.; Bera, S.; Hu, X. Chiral Alkyl Amine Synthesis via Catalytic Enantioselective Hydroalkylation of Enecarbamates. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2021, 143, 1959-1967. (k) Wang, J.-W.; Li, Y.; Nie, W.; Chang, Z.; Yu, Z.-A.; Zhao, Y.-F.; Lu, X.; Fu, Y. Catalytic Asymmetric Reductive Hydroalkylation of Enamides and Enecarbamates to Chiral Aliphatic Amines. Nat. Commun. 2021, 12, 1313. (1) Wang, S.; Zhang, T.-Y.; Zhang, J.-X.; Meng, H.; Chen, B.-H.; Shu, W. Enantioselective Access to Dialkyl Amines and Alcohols via Ni-Catalyzed Reductive Hydroalkylations. ChemRxiv 2020, DOI: 10.26434/chemrxiv.13284416.v1. (m) Jiang, X.; Han, B.; Xue, Y.; Duan, M.; Gui, Z.; Wang, Y.; Zhu, S. Nickel-Catalyzed Benzylic Alkynylation: Migratory Hydroalkynylation and Enantioselective Hydroalkynylation of Olefins with Bromoalkynes. ChemRxiv 2021, DOI: 10.26434/chemrxiv.13681432.v1. (n) Zhou, F.; Zhu, S. Enantioselective Hydroalkylation of $\alpha_{,\beta}$ -Unsaturated Amides through Reversed syn-Hydrometallation of NiH. ChemRxiv 2021, DOI: 10.26434/chemrxiv.13681579.v1.

(12) For recent NiH-catalyzed redox-neutral (migratory) hydrofunctionalization, see: (a) Lee, W.-C.; Wang, C.-H.; Lin, Y.-H.; Shih, W.-C.; Ong, T.-G. Tandem Isomerization and C-H Activation: Regioselective Hydroheteroarylation of Allylarenes. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 5358-5361. (b) Bair, J. S.; Schramm, Y.; Sergeev, A. G.; Clot, E.; Eisenstein, O.; Hartwig, J. F. Linear-Selective Hydroarylation of Unactivated Terminal and Internal Olefins with Trifluoromethyl-Substituted Arenes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 13098-13101. (c) Xiao, L.-J.; Cheng, L.; Feng, W.-M.; Li, M.-L.; Xie, J.-H.; Zhou, Q.-L. Nickel(0)-Catalyzed Hydroarylation of Styrenes and 1,3-Dienes with Organoboron Compounds. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 461-464. (d) Lv, H.; Xiao, L.-J.; Zhao, D.; Zhou, Q.-L. Nickel(0)-Catalyzed Linear-Selective Hydroarylation of Unactivated Alkenes and Styrenes with Aryl Boronic Acids. Chem. Sci. 2018, 9, 6839-6843. (e) Chen, Y.-G.; Shuai, B.; Xu, X.-T.; Li, Y.-Q.; Yang, Q.-L.; Qiu, H.; Zhang, K.; Fang, P.; Mei, T.-S. Nickel-Catalyzed Enantioselective Hydroarylation and Hydroalkenylation of Styrenes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 3395-3399. (f) Lv, X.-Y.; Fan, C.; Xiao, L.-J.; Xie, J.-H.; Zhou, Q.-L. Ligand-Enabled Nickel-Catalyzed Enantioselective Hydroarylation of Styrenes and 1,3-Dienes with Arylboronic Acids. CCS Chem. 2019, 1, 328-334. (g) Li, Y.; Luo, Y.; Peng, L.; Li, Y.; Zhao, B.; Wang, W.; Pang, H.; Bai, R.; Lan, Y.; Yin, G. Reaction Scope and Mechanistic Insights of Nickel-Catalyzed Migratory Suzuki-Miyaura Cross-Coupling. Nat. Commun. 2020, 11, 417. (h) He, Y.; Liu, C.; Yu, L.; Zhu, S. Ligand-Enabled Nickel-Catalyzed Redox-Relay Migratory Hydroarylation of Alkenes with Arylborons. Angew. Chem.,

Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 9186–9191. (i) Marcum, J. S.; Taylor, T. R.; Meek, S. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 14070–14075. (j) Yu, R.; Rajasekar, S.; Fang, X. Enantioselective Nickel-Catalyzed Migratory Hydrocyanation of Nonconjugated Dienes. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 21436–21441. (k) Li, Z.-Q.; Fu, Y.; Deng, R.; Tran, V. T.; Gao, Y.; Liu, P.; Engle, K. M. Ligand-Controlled Regiodivergence in Nickel-Catalyzed Hydroarylation and Hydroalkenylation of Alkenyl Carboxylic Acids. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 23306–23312.

(13) For other selected examples of hydroarylation of a terminal alkene with an aryl halide, see: (a) Friis, S. D.; Pirnot, M. T.; Dupuis, L. N.; Buchwald, S. L. A Dual Palladium and Copper Hydride Catalyzed Approach for Alkyl-Aryl Cross-Coupling of Aryl Halides and Olefins. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 7242-7246.
(b) Boyington, A. J.; Riu, M.-L. Y.; Jui, N. T. Anti-Markovnikov Hydroarylation of Unactivated Olefins via Pyridyl Radical Intermediates. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 6582-6585.

(14) When a remote aryl-substituted alkene such as (E)-3-pentenylbenzene was employed, the regioselectivity was not as good under the current reaction conditions.

(15) For an *ortho*-substituted aryl iodide, although the regioselectivity is excellent, the yield is low.