View Article Online View Journal

Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: Y. Wang, P. Chen, Q. Zhang, J. Guo, L. Chen and X. Zhang, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2018, DOI: 10.1039/C8OB01861H.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the **author guidelines**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the ethical guidelines, outlined in our <u>author and reviewer resource centre</u>, still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



rsc.li/obc

ARTICLE

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

An effective organocatalytic preparation of both 1,3-diketones and nitriles from alkynones with oximes as hydroxide source

Pei Chen, a Qian-Qian Zhang, Jia Guo, Lu-Lu Chen, Yan-Bo Wang and Xiao Zhang b

alkynones.

An effective phosphine-catalyzed protocol has been established for the synthese of 1,3-diketones and nitriles from alkynones with oximes as hydroxide surrogate. This method features the use of phosphine catalyst , compatibility with various functional groups and ambient temperature, which makes this approach very practical. Plausible mechanism was proposed.

previous work

Introduction

Published on 03 September 2018. Downloaded by Freie Universitaet Berlin on 9/3/2018 9:08:01 AM

1,3-Diketones have recently attracted much attentions due to their uses as versatile synthetic precursors, bioactive molecules, bidentate ligands and luminescent materials.¹⁻² Because of their importance, a variety of synthetic methods have been developed to construct this useful scaffold. The typical approach is the classical Claisen condensation reaction through a hard enolate using a strong base.³ Due to the strong basic conditions, these reactions are not applicable to substrates containing base-sensitive functionality. This limitation was subsequently overcome by using soft enolate system to coupling the two carbonyl compounds.⁴ In addition, 1,3diketones can be also synthesized by the oxidation of β hydroxyketones,⁵ the decarboxylative coupling strategy,⁶ and organo-7 and metal catalysis.8 Although above methodologies are favorable, they usually suffer from the limitations, such as use of a strong base, oxidant, high temperature or metal catalysts. On the other hand, nitriles are also important structural units existing in natural products and bioactive molecules.9 Common methods for the syntheses of nitrile, such as the Sandmeyer reaction, 10 dehydration of amine,11 dehydration of primary amides 12 and others, 13 have been reported. However, those methodologies usually suffer from poor reactivity, harsh reaction conditions, narrow substrate tolerance or use of metal catalysts. Therefore, the development of simple, efficient and metal-free synthetic approach with high activity and broad substrate scope for both syntheses of 1,3-diketones and nitriles under mild conditions is still a challenging but attractive task.



Scheme 1 Synthesis of 1,3-diketones and protected 1,3-diketones from

As we all knew, alkynones as important structural motifs have been widely studied due to their convenient synthesis by the Sonogashira coupling of terminal alkynes with acyl chlorides¹⁴ and functionalized transformations to valuable cyclic compounds.¹⁵ It is noteworthy that a tactical approach for synthesis of 1,3-diketones by hydration of alkynones were described.¹⁶ However, due to use of concentrated sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) , platinum(IV) chloride (PtCl₄) or amines as catalysts, this method somewhat suffer from narrow substrate scope and high temperature (Scheme 1a-b). Additionally, Ley and coworkers reported an interesting work for the synthese of a versatile masked 1,3-diketone from the conjugate addition of dithiols to alkynones with the strong base NaOMe (Scheme 1c). Recently, Fier and Maloney reported an excellent work for the synthesis of phenols from aryl halides using benzaldehyde oxime as a hydroxide surrogate, meanwhile benzaldehyde oxime was transformed into benzonitrile.17 Base on above mechanistic and experimental insights, we speculate if 1,3-diketones and nitriles were both obtained from alkynones with oximes as hydroxide surrogates by choosing appropriate metal-free base catalysts under

CHEMISTRY

3OB01861H

DOI:

^{a.} Institute of Functional Organic Molecular Engineering, Henan Engineering Laboratory of Flame-Retardant and Functional Materials, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Henan University, Kaifeng, 475004, China. E-mail: wangyanbokf@henu.edu.cn.

^{b.} College of Science, State Key Laboratory of Heavy Oil Processing, China University of Petroleum, Changping, Beijing, 102249, China. E-mail: zhangxiao@cup.edu.cn +Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: mechanism studies and copies of NMR spectra. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x.

mild conditions (room temperature). Intrigued by the development of a convenient and simple access to 1,3-diketones, nitriles and as a continuation of our interests in the applications of alkynones,¹⁸ herein we wish to report our active results, in which a variety of alkynones and oximes were smoothly transformed to the corresponding 1,3-diketones and nitriles in the presence of a simple and efficient metal-free catalyst. This catalytic system showed wide substrate scope and a series of functionalized 1,3-diketones and nitriles were successfully obtained in moderate to excellent yields (Scheme 1d).

Results and discussion

Table 1. Optimization of reaction conditions ^a

Ph Ph +	Ph N OH	base ➤ Solvent, 25 °C	Ph Ph	+ Ph ^{_CN}
1a	1.1 equiv		2a	3a

Entry	Base (equiv.)	Solvent	Yield (%)	
5			$2\mathbf{a}^b$	3 a ^c
1	DABCO (0.2)	CH ₂ Cl ₂	-	-
2	DMAP (0.2)	CH_2Cl_2	19	17
3	DBU (0.2)	CH ₂ Cl ₂	43	40
4	PPh ₃ (0.2)	CH ₂ Cl ₂	95	91
5	K ₂ CO ₃ (0.2)	CH_2Cl_2	trace	trace
6	Cs ₂ CO ₃ (0.2)	CH_2Cl_2	23	20
7^d	PPh ₃ (0.1)	CH ₂ Cl ₂	88	85
8	PPh ₃ (0.2)	DMF	52	50
9	PPh ₃ (0.2)	DMSO	63	61
10	PPh ₃ (0.2)	THF	17	15
11	PPh ₃ (0.2)	1,4-dioxane	trace	trace
12	PPh ₃ (0.2)	CH ₃ CN	83	79
13	PPh ₃ (0.2)	DCE	91	87
14^e	-	CH ₂ Cl ₂	-	-
15 ^f	PPh ₃ (0.2)	CH_2Cl_2	-	-
16 ^g	PPh ₃ (0.2)	CH_2Cl_2	87	84

^{*a*}Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.3 mmol), 0.33 mmol benzaldehyde oxime, PPh₃ (0.06 mmol), 1.5 mL solvent, 25 °C, 3 h, N₂. ^{*b*}Isolated yield for product **2a**. ^{*c*}Yield determined by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy for product **3a**, using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard. ^{*d*}6 h. ^{*e*} The reaction was conducted without PPh₃. ^{*f*}The reaction was conducted without benzaldehyde oxime. ^{*g*}Under open air atmosphere. DABCO = 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane; DMAP = 4-dimethylaminopyridine ; DBU = 1,8-diaza bicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene; PPh₃ = triphenylphosphine; CH₂Cl₂ = dichloromethane, DMF = N,N-dimethylfo rmamide; DMSO = dimethyl sulfoxide; CH₃CN = acetonitrile; DCE = 1,2-dichloroethane.

To validate this hypothesis, we choose 1,3-diphenylprop-2-yn-1-one **1a** and benzaldehyde oxime as model substrates to investigate the optimal conditions and the experimental results are listed in Table 1. Since products **2a** and **3a** were both obtained almost in equalyields, we only discussed the results for product **2a**. When 0.2 equivalent amount of DABCO as catalyst in CH₂Cl₂ under room temperature was used, no desired product 2a was obtained (Table 9, entry 1)! Then, treatment of 1a with organic base of DMAP or DBU under same conditions, product 2a was obtained in 19% or 43% yields, respectively (Table 1, entries 2-3). To our delight, the desired product **2a** was obtained in 95% yield when PPh₃ as catalyst (Table 1, entry 4). When inorganic bases such as K₂CO₃ or Cs₂CO₃ were used as catalysts, inferior results were observed (Table 1, entries 5-6). Those results demonstrated that the properties of base show an obvious effect on this transformation. Even the reaction time was prolonged to 6 h, a decreased yield of 2a was observed when the loading of PPh₃ was lowered from 0.2 to 0.1 equiv. (Table 1, entry 7). 2a was obtained in moderate yield in DMSO or DMF (Table 1, entries 8-9). Other solvents such as THF or 1,4-dioxane gave low or trace yields (Table 1, entries 10-11). While CH₃CN and DCE gave better results (Table 1, entries 12-13). However, the reaction failed to give without PPh₃ or benzaldehyde oxime, which demonstrated that both of PPh3 and benzaldehyde oxime are necessary for this reaction. Additionally, when the reaction was conducted out under open-air condition, the yield is slightly lower than the yield under N₂ condition (Table 1, entry 16). This may be attributed to partial oxidation of triphenylphosphine (PPh₃) to triphenylphosphine oxide (O=PPh₃). Thus, the optimal reaction conditions were obtained: 0.3 mmol 1a, 1.1 equiv. benzaldehyde oxime, 20 mol% PPh₃ as a catalyst in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature.

With the optimized reaction conditions, the scope of various alkynones with benzaldehyde oximes were further investigated. This metal-free catalytic system showed high generality and a series of 1,3-diketones were obtained in moderate to good yields, as shown in Table 2. For alkynones, common functional substituents including alkyl, ether, halo, and even a cyano group at ortho, meta, or para positions of the aryl groups, were all tolerated (Table 2, entries 3, 5, 8, 10-13, 15-17, 19, 21-24 and 28). Notably, naphthyl and heteroaromatic alkynones were also compatible under similar reaction conditions (Table 2, entries 25-27). Still, cinnamyl substrate 1w gave the corresponding product 2w in 43% yield. When 3-aryl substituted alkynones with different electron-rich, electron-withdrawing groups and heteroaromatic derivative were used as substrates, the reactions proceeded smoothly to generate the desired products (Table 2, entries 2, 4, 6-7, 9, 14, 18, 20 and 30-31). Additionally, the substrate bearing 3-n-butyl-substitution could also provide the desired product 2z, albeit in a lower yield (Table 2, entry 32). Unfortunately, the developed protocol failed to produce 2aa and 2ab, when alkyl-substituted substrates 1aa and 1ab were used (Table 2, entry 33-34).

A variety of aromatic and aliphatic aldehyde oximes were also employed, the desired products **3** all gave in good to high yields (Table 3). Aromatic aldehyde oximes, with electronwithdrawing or election-donating groups at the 2, 3, and 4 positions of the aryl ring, were all tolerated, affording the corresponding nitriles in good to excellent yields (**3a–3k**). Aliphatic aldehyde oximes including 2-phenylacetaldehyde oxime and dodecanal oxime were also effective hydroxide source for the reaction.

Published on 03 September 2018. Downloaded by Freie Universitaet Berlin on 9/3/2018 9:08:01 AM

Published on 03 September 2018. Downloaded by Freie Universitaet Berlin on 9/3/2018 9:08:01 AM

Journal Name

Table 2. Scope of alkynones ^a

	1 1.	1 equiv			2	3a
Intry	R ¹	R ²		T (h)	Yield	(%)
					2 ^b	3a ^c
1	C_6H_5	C_6H_5	1a	3	95 (2a)	90
2	C_6H_5	4-MeC ₆ H ₄	1b	12	80 (2b)	78
3	$4-MeC_6H_4$	C_6H_5	1b'	8	92 (2b)	88
4	C_6H_5	4-OMeC ₆ H	4 1c	12	65 (2c)	62
5	$4-OMeC_6H_4$	C_6H_5	1c'	12	82 (2c)	79
6	C_6H_5	$4-EtC_6H_4$	1d	9	61 (2d)	59
7	C_6H_5	3-MeC ₆ H ₄	1e	5	86 (2e)	83
8	$3-MeC_6H_4$	C_6H_5	1e'	5	85 (2e)	82
9	C_6H_5	$4-tBuC_6H_4$	1f	5	85 (2f)	81
10	4- ^t BuC ₆ H₄	C_6H_5	1f'	5	70 (2f)	66
11	2-MeC ₆ H ₄	C_6H_5	1g	5	78 (2g)	75
12	3-OMeC ₆ H ₄	C_6H_5	1h	5	74 (2h)	72
13	2-OMeC ₆ H ₄	C_6H_5	1i	5	86 (2i)	82
14	C_6H_5	4-CIC ₆ H ₄	1j	3	89 (2j)	86
15	4-CIC ₆ H ₄	C_6H_5	1j'	3	88 (2j)	84
16	3-CIC ₆ H ₄	C_6H_5	1k	3	89 (2k)	86
17	2-CIC ₆ H ₄	C_6H_5	11	3	90 (2I)	88
18	C_6H_5	$4-FC_6H_4$	1m	3	91 (2m)	87
19	4-FC ₆ H ₄	C_6H_5	1m'	3	86 (2m)	83
20	C_6H_5	$4-CF_3C_6H_4$	1n	3	80 (2n)	78
21	$4-BrC_6H_4$	C ₆ H₅	10	5	61 (2o)	60
22	$3-BrC_6H_4$	C_6H_5	1p	5	56 (2p)	54
23	$2\text{-BrC}_6\text{H}_4$	C ₆ H₅	1q	3	80 (2q)	78
24	4-CNC ₆ H ₄	C ₆ H₅	1r	5	96 (2 r)	91
25	1-naphthyl	C ₆ H₅	1s	5	90 (2s)	86
26	2-thienyl	C ₆ H₅	1t	10	72 (2t)	68
27	2-furanyl	C ₆ H₅	1u	5	74 (2 u)	70
28		C ₆ H₅	1v	15	75 (2v)	73
29		C_6H_5	1w	24	43 (2w)	40
30	C ₆ H₅ _		1x	5	68 (2x)	64
31	C_6H_5	3-pyridyl	1y	5	73 (2y)	68
32	C_6H_5	^{<i>n</i>} Bu	1z	5	26 (2z)	24
33	Me	C_6H_5	1aa	3	- (2 aa)	-
34	$C_6H_5CH_2$	C ₆ H₅ :	1ab	3	- (2ab)	-

2. Yield determined by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy for product 3ane using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard?/C8OB01861H

Table 3. Scope of aromatic and aliphatic aldehyde oximes ^a

Ph	+ R ³ N ^{OH} -	PPh ₃	Ph Ph	Ph + R^{3} , CN
Entry	R ³	T (b)	Za Viel	lq (%) 2
Lincity	K	. ()	3 - h	3
			Zas	3
1	C_6H_5	3	95	90 (3a)
2	4-MeC ₆ H ₄	3	87	85 (3b)
3	2-MeC ₆ H ₅	3	86	83 (3c)
4	4- ⁱ PrC ₆ H ₄	3	92	89 (3d)
5	4-OMeC ₆ H ₅	3	90	88 (3e)
6	$4-NMe_2C_6H_4$	3	95	94 (3f)
7	4-CIC ₆ H ₄	3	90	89 (3g)
8	$2\text{-BrC}_6\text{H}_5$	3	88	85 (3h)
9	$4-CF_3C_6H_4$	3	85	82 (3i)
10	$4-NO_2C_6H_5$	5	87	85 (3j)
11	O Br	3	95	93 (3k)
12	1-naphthyl	24	81	78 (3I)
13	$C_6H_5CH_2$	5	88	85 (3m)
14	$CH_3(CH_2)_9CH_2$	5	89	86 (3n)
^a Reaction	conditions: 1a (0.3	mmol) 03	33 mmo	oximes

^{σ}Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.3 mmol), 0.33 mmol oximes, PPh₃ (0.06 mmol), 1.5 mL CH₂Cl₂. ^{*b*}Isolated yield. ^cYield determined by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy, using 1,3,5trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard.

explore the reaction mechanism, control experiment was carried (Scheme 2). To exclude the hydration of substrates 1, no duct 2a was obtained when H₂O in place of benzaldehyde oxime der the standard conditions (Scheme 2). Base on the above ults and literature precedent in the catalytic transformation of ynones using phosphines as catalysts,¹⁹ a plausible mechanism s proposed in Scheme 3. When substrate is firstly activated by na, an addition reaction takes place to form intermediate A. On other hand, the intermediate **B** is produced by the proton nsfer reaction of oximes to substrates **1**. Finally, after elimination nitriles 3, the product 2 are released together with the enerated catalyst PPh₃ to finish the catalyst cycle. Furthermore, -HRMS detection of the reaction mixture of **1a** and benzaldehyde me as substrates after 0.5 h was conducted to capture the prmation of reaction intermediates. Fortunately, the species of z for the intermediates A, B, product 2a and benzonitrile 3a were served, and the values were consistent with the theoretical culation (R^1 = Ph, R^2 = Ph; calcd for [M_A +H]⁺ = 469.1716, found: 0.1711; calcd for [M_B+H]⁺: 328.1332, found: 328.1324; calcd for $_{2a}$ +H]⁺: 225.0910, found: 225.0908; R³ = Ph, calcd for [M_{3a}+H]⁺: 104.0495, found: 104.0498; see Fig. S1 in the ESI[†]for details).

Additionally, since the methyl characteristic peak in the NMR spectrum in 4-methylbenzaldehyde oxime having, it was chosen to react with substrate **1a** for monitoring the reaction course by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. From the changes of ¹H NMR spectroscopy, substrate **1a** and 4-methylbenzaldehyde oxime were continuously consumed gradually, and the peak intensity of the product **2a** and 4-methylbenzonitrile **3b** were constantly raised (see Fig. S2 in the ESI[†] for details).



Scheme 2 Control experiments.



Scheme 3 Possible mechanism for the reaction of alkynones with oximes.

Conclusions

Published on 03 September 2018. Downloaded by Freie Universitaet Berlin on 9/3/2018 9:08:01 AM

In summary, we have demonstrated a simple and efficient metal-free approach for the synthese of 1,3-diketones and nitriles from alkynones with oximes as hydroxide sources in a one-pot manner under mild conditions. A variety of functionalized 1,3-diketones and nitriles can be obtained in moderate to excellent yields, which are both useful building blocks toward organic synthesis. The plausible mechanism of this reaction was suggested and supported by control experiments, ESI-HRMS detection and ¹H NMR monitoring. Further efforts are currently ongoing to use oximes as hydroxide surrogates for more synthetic applications.

Experimental

Unless otherwise statement, all manipulations were performed using standard Schlenk techniques under a dry nitrogen atmosphere. NMR spectra were recorded with tetramethylsilane as the internal standard. NMR spectra were recorded on a BrukerAvanceII400M type (¹H NMR, 400 MHz; ¹³C NMR, 100 MHz) spectrometer. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on a Q-TOF mass spectrometry (Micromass, Wythenshawe, UK) equipped with Z-spray ionization source. Infrared spectra (IR) was measured using a Nicolet, NEXUS ET all spectrophotometer. Tetrahydrofuran and 1: 194 drokane were distilled from sodium/benzophenone under N₂ atmosphere. Acetonitrile was distilled from phosphorus pentoxide under N₂ atmosphere. CH₂Cl₂, DCE, DMSO and DMF were distilled from calcium hydride under N₂ atmosphere. Substrates **1** were prepared by the Sonogashira coupling of terminal alkynes with acyl chlorides.¹⁴

General Procedure for Synthesis of Products 2 and 3

General Procedure: A 10 mL oven-dried Schlenck tube was successively charged with 0.30 mmol alkynones **1**, 0.33 mnol benzaldehyde oxime, 0.06 mmol PPh₃ and 1.5 mL CH₂Cl₂. The tube was sealed and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3-24 h. After completion of this reaction, solvent was moved by the rotary evaporator and the resulting mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate (10 mL) and washed with brine (10 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (2 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated in-vacuo. The crude reaction mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether /EtOAc) to give products **2** and **3**.

NOTE: All the products **2** are existed in keto-enol equilibrium and the enol forms dominate.

1,3-Diphenylpropane-1,3-dione (2a):^{6,8c} white solid (95% yield); mp 74.5–76.3 °C (lit.^{20a} mp 76–77 °C). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 16.98 (s, 1H), 8.02–8.00 (m, 4H), 7.57–7.54 (m, 2H), 7.49 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 4H), 6.87 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 185.7, 135.5, 132.5, 128.7, 127.2, 93.2.

1-Phenyl-3-(p-tolyl)propane-1,3-dione (**2b**):^{6b} white solid (**1b** as substrate for 80% yield; **1b'** as substrate for 92% yield); mp 79.9.5–81.0 °C (lit.^{20a} mp 80–81.5 °C). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 16.92 (s, 1H), 8.04–7.95 (m, 2H), 7.90 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.54 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.51–7.49 (m, 2H), 7.29 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.84 (s, 1H), 2.43 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 186.2, 185.3, 143.4, 135.7, 133.0, 132.4, 129.5, 128.8, 127.4, 127.2, 93.0, 21.8.

1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-3-phenylpropane-1,3-dione (2c):^{6,8c} white solid (**1c** as substrate for 65% yield; **1c'** as substrate for 82% yield); mp 128.7–129.5 °C (lit.^{20a} mp 127-128 °C). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 16.99 (s, 1H), 8.00–7.97 (m, 4H), 7.57–7.46 (m, 3H), 6.98 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.80 (s, 1H), 3.88 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 186.3, 184.2, 163.4, 135.7, 132.3, 129.5, 128.8, 128.4, 127.1, 114.1, 92.5, 55.6.

1-(4-Ethylphenyl)-3-phenylpropane-1,3-dione (2d): slight yellow solid (61% yield); mp: 49.5-51.1°C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 16.94 (s, 1H), 7.99 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.93 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.55 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.32 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 6.85 (s, 1H), 2.73 (q, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 1.28 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 186.2, 185.3, 149.6, 135.7, 133.2, 132.4, 128.7, 128.3, 127.5, 127.2, 93.0, 29.0, 15.3; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 2968, 2931, 2868, 1600, 1512, 1471, 1299, 1226; HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C₁₇H₁₇O₂⁺ ([M+H]⁺): 253.1223, found:

253.1224.

1-Phenyl-3-(m-tolyl)propane-1,3-dione (**2e**):^{6b} light brown oil (**1e** as substrate for 86% yield; **1e'** as substrate for 85% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 16.87 (s, 1H), 8.01–7.99 (m, 2H), 7.81–7.78 (m, 2H), 7.58-7.54 (m, 1H), 7.49 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.40–7.37 (m, 2H), 6.85 (s, 1H), 2.45 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 186.2, 185.8, 138.6, 135.7, 135.7, 133.4, 132.5, 128.8, 128.7, 127.9, 127.3, 124.5, 93.3, 21.6.

1-(4-(Tert-butyl)phenyl)-3-phenylpropane-1,3-dione (2f):^{8e} white solid (**1f** as substrate for 85% yield; **1f**² as substrate for 70% yield); mp 94.6–96.4 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 16.91 (s, 1H), 8.00–7.98 (m, 2H), 7.94 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.57-7.47 (m, 5H), 6.85 (s, 1H), 1.37 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 186.0, 185.5, 156.4, 135.8, 132.9, 132.4, 128.8, 127.3, 127.2, 125.8, 93.1, 35.2, 31.3.

1-Phenyl-3-(o-tolyl)propane-1,3-dione (**2g**):^{20b} slight yellow oil (78% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 16.56 (s, 1H), 7.85 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.45 (dd, J = 15.6, 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.27 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.19-7.15 (m, 2H), 6.44 (s, 1H), 2.46 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 190.6, 185.1, 137.2, 136.8, 135.4, 132.6, 131.6, 130.9, 128.8, 128.4, 127.3, 125.9, 97.4, 20.8.

1-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-3-phenylpropane-1,3-dione (**2h**):⁶ white solid (74% yield); mp 57.9–58.5 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 16.86 (s, 1H), 8.04–7.94 (m, 2H), 7.61–7.52 (m, 3H), 7.48 (dd, J = 10.4, 4.8 Hz, 2H), 7.39 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.09 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.84 (s, 1H), 3.88 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 186.0, 185.5, 160.0, 137.2, 135.5, 132.6, 129.8, 128.8, 127.3, 119.7, 118.7, 112.2, 93.4, 55.6.

1-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-3-phenylpropane-1,3-dione (2i):⁶ white solid (86% yield); enol/ ketone = 91:9; mp 62.2–64.0 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 16.88 (s, 1H), 7.98-7.94 (m, 3H), 7.56–7.44 (m, 4H), 7.16 (s, 1H), 7.07 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.95 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 185.9, 184.3, 158.6, 136.1, 133.2, 132.3, 130.4, 128.7, 127.3, 125.0, 120.9, 111.8, 98.6, 55.9.

1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-3-phenylpropane-1,3-dione (2j):^{6a} white solid (**1j** as substrate for 89% yield; **1j'** as substrate for 88% yield); mp 84.6–86.1 °C (lit.^{20a} mp 82.5-84 °C). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 16.80 (s, 1H), 7.98 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.93 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 7.56 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.53–7.39 (m, 4H), 6.81 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 185.9, 184.6, 138.8, 135.4, 134.1, 132.7, 129.1, 128.8, 128.6, 127.3, 93.1.

1-(3-Chlorophenyl)-3-phenylpropane-1,3-dione (**2k**):^{3b} brick-red solid (89% yield); mp 65.2–66.6 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): δ 16.73 (s, 1H), 8.00–7.95 (m, 3H), 7.86 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.53–7.48 (m, 3H), 7.43 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.81 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 186.2, 184.2, 137.5, 135.3, 135.1, 132.8, 132.4, 130.1, 128.9, 127.4, 125.4, 93.4.

1-(2-Chlorophenyl)-3-phenylpropane-1,3-dione (21):^{3b} brown solid (90% yield); mp 50.2–51.6 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 16.34 (s, 1H), 7.97–7.95 (m, 2H), 7.68 (dd, J = 7.2, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (dd, J = 8.4, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 7.50–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.39 (dtd, J = 7.2, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (dd, J = 8.4, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 7.50–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.39 (dtd, J = 8.4, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 7.50–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.39 (dtd, J = 8.4, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 7.50–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.39 (dtd, J = 8.4, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 7.50–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.39 (dtd, J = 8.4, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 7.50–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.39 (dtd, J = 8.4, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 7.50–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.39 (dtd, J = 8.4, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 7.50–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.39 (dtd, J = 8.4, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 7.50–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.39 (dtd, J = 8.4, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 7.50–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.39 (dtd, J = 8.4, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 7.50–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.39 (dtd, J = 8.4, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 7.50–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.39 (dtd, J = 8.4, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 7.50–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.39 (dtd, J = 8.4, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 7.50–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.39 (dtd, J = 8.4, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 7.50–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.39 (dtd, J = 8.4, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 7.50–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.39 (dtd, J = 8.4, 6.4 Hz, 7.50–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.39 (dtd, J = 8.4, 6.4 Hz, 7.50–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.39 (dtd, J = 8.4, 6.4 Hz, 7.50–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.39 (dtd, J = 8.4, 6.4 Hz, 7.50–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.39 (dtd, J = 8.4, 6.4 Hz, 7.50–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.39 (dtd, J = 8.4, 6.4 Hz, 7.50–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.50–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.50–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.50–7.50 (m, 3H), 7.50 (m, 3H

14.4, 7.2, 1.6 Hz, 2H), 6.75 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz CDCl₃)δ (ppm): 187.0, 184.7, 136.4, 135.1, 132.8, 13Φ99; 131989/13099;013012, 128.9, 127.4, 127.1, 98.5.

1-(4-Fluorophenyl)-3-phenylpropane-1,3-dione (2m):^{6b} white solid (**1m** as substrate for 86% yield; **1m**' as substrate for 85%); mp 78.0–79.2 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 16.85 (s, 1H), 8.03–7.97 (m, 4H), 7.58–7.54 (m, 1H), 7.51–7.47 (m, 2H), 7.17 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.80 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 185.2, 165.5, (d, $J_{C-F} = 252.4$ Hz), 135.4, 132.6, 132.0 (d, $J_{C-F} = 3.0$ Hz), 129.7 (d, $J_{C-F} = 9.1$ Hz), 128.8, 127.2, 115.9 (d, $J_{C-F} = 21.8$ Hz), 92.9; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): -106.3 (dd, $J_{C-F} = 4.8$, 1.9 Hz).

1-Phenyl-3-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)propane-1,3-dione

(2n):^{6,8c} white solid (80% yield); mp 96.3–97.2 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 16.72 (s, 1H), 8.09 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 8.00 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.75 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.59 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 187.2, 183.5, 138.9, 135.4, 133.9 (d, $J_{C-F} = 32.5$ Hz),133.0, 128.9, 127.6, 127.5, 125.8 (q, $J_{C-F} = 3.7$ Hz), 123.8 (d, $J_{C-F} = 270.9$ Hz), 93.8; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): -63.0.

1-(4-Bromophenyl)-3-phenylpropane-1,3-dione (20):⁶ light yellow solid (61% yield); mp 91.4–93.2 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 16.80 (s, 1H), 7.98 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.85 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.62 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.56 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 6.81 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 186.1, 184.7, 135.5, 134.6, 132.8, 132.1, 128.9, 128.8, 127.4, 127.3, 93.1.

1-(3-Bromophenyl)-3-phenylpropane-1,3-dione (2p):^{6a} light yellow solid (56% yield); mp 66.7–67.9 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 16.73 (s, 1H), 8.11 (s, 1H), 7.99 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.91 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (dd, J = 8.0, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.81 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 186.2, 184.2, 137.7, 135.3, 135.3, 132.9, 130.4, 130.3, 128.9, 127.4, 125.8, 123.1, 93.4.

1-(2-Bromophenyl)-3-phenylpropane-1,3-dione (2q):^{6a} light brown oil (80% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 16.24 (s, 1H), 7.96 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.68 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (dd, *J* = 7.6, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.41 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (td, *J* = 7.6, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.67 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 188.7, 184.1, 138.6, 134.9, 134.0, 132.8, 131.8, 130.1, 128.8, 127.6, 127.4, 120.3, 98.3.

4-(3-Oxo-3-phenylpropanoyl)benzonitrile (**2r**):^{6a} white solid (96% yield); mp 154.0–155.6 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 16.67 (s, 1H), 8.07 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 8.00 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.78 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.59 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 6.86 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 187.7, 182.4, 139.5, 135.3, 133.2, 132.6, 129.0, 127.7, 127.5, 118.2, 115.6, 94.1.

1-(Naphthalen-1-yl)-3-phenylpropane-1,3-dione (2s):^{6a} white solid (90% yield); mp 49.3–50.8 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 16.82 (s, 1H), 8.55 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.00–7.98 (m, 3H), 7.93–7.91 (m, 1H), 7.83 (dd, J = 7.2, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.62–7.47 (m, 6H), 6.73 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 190.7, 184.6, 135.2, 135.1, 134.0, 132.7, 131.9, 130.3, 128.8, 128.7, 127.5, 127.3,

ARTICLE

Published on 03 September 2018. Downloaded by Freie Universitaet Berlin on 9/3/2018 9:08:01 AM

127.2, 126.5, 125.7, 124.9, 98.3.

1-Phenyl-3-(thiophen-2-yl)propane-1,3-dione (**2t**):⁶ yellow solid (72% yield); mp 80.1–81.4 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 16.29 (s, 1H), 7.95–7.93 (m, 2H), 7.81 (d, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.17 (t, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 6.69 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 183.1, 181.0, 142.4, 134.6, 132.7, 132.4, 130.5, 128.8, 128.5, 127.0, 93.3.

1-(Furan-2-yl)-3-phenylpropane-1,3-dione (**2u**):^{6b} light brown solid (74% yield); mp 68.2–69.6 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 16.19 (s, 1H), 7.96 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.61 (s, 1H), 7.54 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.25 (t, J = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 6.77 (s, 1H), 6.59 (dd, J = 3.2, 1.6 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 182.7, 177.8, 151.2, 146.2, 134.9, 132.5, 128.8, 127.1, 115.9, 112.8, 92.9.

1-(Benzo[d]][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)-3-phenylpropane-1,3-dione (**2v**):⁶ white solid (75% yield); mp 83.2–84.6 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 16.89 (s, 1H), 7.95 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.60 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.6Hz, 1H), 7.50–7.47 (m, 4H), 6.89 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.74 (s, 1H), 6.06 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 186.2, 183.9, 151.6, 148.4, 135.5, 132.4, 130.3, 128.8, 127.1, 123.1, 108.4, 107.4, 102.0, 92.7.

(E)-1,5-Diphenylpent-4-ene-1,3-dione (2w):⁶ bright yellow solid (43% yield); mp 104.3–106.1 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 16.14 (s, 1H), 7.97–7.95 (m, 2H), 7.70 (d, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 7.58–7.54 (m, 3H), 7.48 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.43–7.38 (m, 3H), 6.66 (d, *J* = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 6.35 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 189.5, 179.6, 140.2, 136.4, 135.2, 132.7, 130.2, 129.1, 128.8, 128.2, 127.5, 123.5, 97.8.

Methyl 4-(3-oxo-3-phenylpropanoyl)benzoate (**2x**):^{6a} white solid (68% yield); mp 124.2–125.2 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 16.75 (s, 1H), 8.15 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 8.05–7.99 (m, 4H), 7.60–7.56 (m, 1H), 7.53-7.49 (m, 2H), 6.89 (s, 1H), 3.96 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 187.3, 183.6, 166.4, 139.4, 135.6, 133.4, 132.9, 130.0, 128.9, 127.5, 127.2, 94.0, 52.6.

1-Phenyl-3-(pyridin-3-yl)propane-1,3-dione (**2y**):^{8e} light yellow solid (73% yield); mp 121.6–122.3 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 16.70 (s, 1H), 9.19 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.77 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.28–8.25 (m, 1H), 8.00-7.98 (m, 2H), 7.61–7.56 (m, 1H), 7.53–7.49 (m, 2H), 7.44 (ddd, J = 8.0, 4.8, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 6.86 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 186.6, 183.6, 153.0, 148.6, 135.2, 134.7, 133.0, 131.4, 128.9, 127.4, 123.7, 93.6.

1-Phenylheptane-1,3-dione (2z):⁴ light yellow oil (26% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 16.20 (s, 1H), 7.89–7.878 (m, 2H), 7.51 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.47–7.42 (m, 2H), 6.17 (s, 1H), 2.45–2.41 (m, 2H), 1.67 (dt, *J* = 15.2, 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.40 (dq, *J* = 14.4, 7.2 Hz, 2H), 0.95 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): δ 197.1, 183.6, 135.2, 132.3, 128.7, 127.1, 96.2, 39.1, 28.1, 22.5, 13.9.

Benzonitrile (**3a**):¹³ colorless oil (90% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.66–7.64 (m, 1H), 7.63–7.57 (m, 1H), 7.47 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 132.9, 132.2,

129.2, 118.9, 112.6.

 View Article Online

 4-Methylbenzonitrile (3b):¹³ colorless oil (85% ýtěld); 400 MHz, 400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.52 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.26 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 2.41 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 143.8, 132.1, 129.9, 119.2, 109.3, 21.9.

2-Methylbenzonitrile (3c): ¹³ colorless oil (83% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.59 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 2.55 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 142.0, 132.7, 132.6, 130.3, 126.3, 118.2, 112.9, 20.5.

4-Isopropylbenzonitrile (3d):¹³ colorless oil (89% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.57 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 2.95 (hept, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 1.25 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 154.5, 132.3, 127.4, 119.3, 109.7, 34.5, 23.6.

4-Methoxybenzonitrile (3e):¹³ colorless oil (88% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.57 (dd, *J* = 8.6, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 6.94 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 3.85 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 162.9, 134.0, 119.3, 114.8, 104.0, 55.6.

4-(Dimethylamino)benzonitrile (3f):¹³ white solid (94% yield); mp 74.6–75.9 °C (lit.^{13c} mp 75-76 °C). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.44 (dd, J = 9.4, 2.3 Hz, 2H), 6.63 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 3.02 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 152.5, 133.3, 120.7, 111.4, 97.2, 39.9.

4-Chlorobenzonitrile (3g):¹³ white solid (89% yield); mp 91.2–92.8 °C (lit.^{13c} mp 91-93 °C). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.60 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.46 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 139.6, 133.4, 129.7, 118.0, 110.9.

2-Bromobenzonitrile (3h):¹³ white solid (85% yield); mp 51.6–52.8 °C (lit.^{13j} mp 52-54 °C). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.69–7.64 (m, 2H), 7.48–7.40 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 134.4, 134.0, 133.3, 127.7, 125.3, 117.2, 115.9.

4-(Trifluoromethyl)benzonitrile (3i):¹³ colorless oil (82% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.81 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.75 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 134.6 (q, $J_{C-F} = 33.4$ Hz), 132.8, 126.3 (q, $J_{C-F} = 3.7$ Hz), 123.2 (q, $J_{C-F} = 3.7$ Hz), 117.5, 116.2 (d, $J_{C-F} = 1.2$ Hz). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): -63.6.

4-Nitrobenzonitrile (**3j**):¹³ white solid (85% yield); mp 147.5–149.1 °C (lit.^{13e} mp 148-149 °C). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 8.37–8.34 (m, 2H), 7.91–7.87 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 150.1, 133.6, 124.4, 118.4, 116.9.

2-Bromo-4,5-dimethoxybenzonitrile (3k):¹³ white solid (93% yield); mp 114.1–115.8 °C (lit.^{13m} mp 113 °C). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.06 (s, 1H), 7.04 (s, 1H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.88 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 153.3, 148.6, 117.7, 117.6, 115.6, 115.4, 106.9, 56.6, 56.5.

1-Naphthonitrile (3I):¹³ colorless oil (78% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 8.23 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 8.07 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.91 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.68 (dd, *J* = 11.2, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (dd, *J* = 11.1,

3.9 Hz, 1H), 7.55–7.46 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 133.3, 132.9, 132.6, 132.3, 128.7, 128.6, 127.6, 125.1, 124.9, 117.8, 110.2.

2-Phenylacetonitrile (3m):¹³ colorless oil (85% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.40–7.32 (m, 5H), 3.75 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 130.0, 129.2, 128.1, 128.0, 118.0, 23.6.

Dodecanenitrile (3n):¹³ colorless oil (86% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 2.32 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.71–1.59 (m, 2H), 1.43 (dd, *J* = 14.6, 7.0 Hz, 2H), 1.30-1.26 (m, 14H), 0.87 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 119.9, 32.0, 29.7, 29.6, 29.4, 29.4, 28.9, 28.8, 25.5, 22.8, 17.2, 14.2.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. U1504205 and 21303264), the Key Research Project of Education Department of Henan Province (No. 17A150002) and Henan University (yqpy20170009).

Notes and references

1 (a) A. V. Kel'in, *Curr. Org. Chem.*, 2003, **7**, 1691; (b) A. V. Kel'in and A. Maioli, *Curr. Org. Chem.*, 2003, **7**, 1855.

2 (a) P. A. Vigato, V. Peruzzo and S. Tamburini, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **253**, 1099; (b) J. C. Lo, J. Gui, Y. Yabe, C.-M. Pan and P. S. Baran, *Nature*, 2014, **516**, 343; (c) T. Butler, W. A. Morris, J. Samonina-Kosicka and C. L. Fraser, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 3359; (d) T. Butler, W. A. Morris, J. Samonina-Kosicka and C. L. Fraser, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 1242; (e) E. S. Akturk, S. J. Scappaticci, R. N. Seals and M. P. Marshak, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, **56**, 11466.

3 (a) M. B. Smith and J. March, March's Advanced Organic Chemistry: Reactions, Mechanisms, and Structure, 6th ed.; Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, 2007; Chapter 16; (b) H. Zhang, D. Feng, H. Sheng, X. Ma; J. Wan and Q. Tang, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 6417.

4 D. Lim, F. Fang, G. Zhou and D. M. Coltart, Org. Lett., 2007, 9, 4139.

5 S. L. Bartlett and C. M. Beaudry, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2011, **76**, 9852. 6 (a) Z. He, X. Qi, S. Li, Y. Zhao, G. Gao, Y. Lan, Y. Wu, J. Lan and J. You, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 855; (b) Z. He, X. Qi, Z. She, Y. Zhao, S. Li, J. Tang, G. Gao, Y. Lan and J. You, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2017, **82**, 1403.

7 (a) A. S. Zanina, S. I. Shergina, I. E. Sokolov and R. N. Myasnikova, *Russ. Chem. Bull.*, 1995, **44**, 689; (b) M. J. Gaunt, H. F. Sneddon, P. R. Hewitt, P. Orsini, D.F. Hook and S. V. Ley, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2003, **1**, 15; (c) H. F. Sneddon, M. J. Gaunt and S. V. Ley, *Org. Lett.*, 2003, **5**, 1147; (d) H. F. Sneddon, A. van den Heuvel, A. K. H. Hirsch, R. A. Booth, D. M. Shaw, M. J. Gaunt and S. V. Ley, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2006, **71**, 2715. (e) S. Singh, P. Singh, V. K. Rai, R. Kapoor and L. D. S. Yadav, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2011, **52**, 125.

8 (a) T. Fukuyama, T. Doi, S. Minamino, S. Omura and I. Ryu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, **46**, 5559; (b) K. Sato, S. Yamazoe, R. Yamamoto, S. Ohata, A. Tarui, M. Omote, I. Kumadaki and A. Ando, *Org. Lett.*, 2008, **10**, 2405; (c) M. Sada and S. Matsubara, 14 *Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 432; (d) T. M. Gøgsig, R. H. Taaning, A. T. Lindhardt and T. Skrydstrup, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 798; (e) H. S. P. Rao and N. Muthanna, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2015, **2015**, 1525.

9 (a) F. F. Fleming, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 1999, **16**, 59; (b) R. J. Herr, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2002, **10**, 3379; (c) F. F. Fleming, L. Yao, P. C. Ravikumar, L. Funk and B. C. Shook, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2010, **53**, 7902; (d) C. Gunanathan, M. Hoelscher and W. Leitner, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, **2011**, 3381; (e) D. Srimani, M. Feller, Y. Ben-David and D. Milstein, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 11853.

10 (a) T. Sandmeyer, *Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges.*, 1884, **17**, 1633; (b) T. Sandmeyer, *Chem. Ber.*, 1884, **17**, 2650; (c) T. Sandmeyer, *Chem. Ber.*, 1885, **18**, 1492; (d) T. Sandmeyer, *Chem. Ber.*, 1885, **18**, 1946; (e) I. P. Beletskaya, A. S. Sigeev, A. S. Peregudov and P. V. Petrovskii, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2004, **689**, 3810; (f) W. Xu, Q. Xu and J. Li, *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2015, **2**, 231; (g) J. Li, W. Xu, J. Ding and K.-H. Lee, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2016, **57**, 1205; (h) M. Barbero, S. Cadamuro and S. Dughera, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2016, **14**, 1437.

11 Selected examples (a) A. Stojiljković, V. Andrejević and M. L. Mihailovi, Tetrahedron, 1967, 23, 721; (b) J. B. Lee, C. Parkin, M. J. Shaw, N. A. Hampson and K. I. Macdonald, Tetrahedron, 1973, 29, 751; (c) T. Yoshida, T. Okano and S. Otsuka, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1979, 870; (d) F. Porta, C. Crotti, S. Cenini and G. Palmisano, J. Mol. Catal., 1989, 50, 333; (e) K. Mori, K. Yamaguchi, T. Mizugaki, K. Ebitani and K. Kaneda, Chem. Commun., 2001, 461; (f) X.-Q. Gu, W. Chen, D. Morales-Morales and C. M. Jensen, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2002, 189, 119; (g) K. Yamaguchi and N. Mizuno, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 1480; (h) K. C. Nicolaou and C. J. N. Mathison, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 5992; (i) W. H. Bernskoetter, M. Brookhart, Organometallics, 2008, 27,2036; (j) Y. Zhang, K. Xu, X. Chen, T. Hu, Y. Yu, J. Zhang and J. Huang, Catal. Commun., 2010, 11, 951; (k) Z. Wang, J. Belli, C. M. Jensen, Faraday Discuss., 2011, 151, 297; (I) K.-N. T. Tseng, A. M. Rizzi, N. K. Szymczak, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 16352; (m) J. Kim and S. S. Stahl, ACS Catal., 2013, 3, 1652; (n) K.-N. T. Tseng and N. K. Szymczak, Synlett, 2014, 25, 2385; (o) L. V. A. Hale, T. Malakar, K.-N. T. Tseng, P. M. Zimmerman, A. Paul and N. K. Szymczak, ACS Catal., 2016, 6, 4799; (p) D. Indranil, Y. Sudhir, S. Abir, D. Subhabrata, H. Markus, L. Walter, and K. B. Jitendra, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, **140**, 8662. 12 Selected examples: (a) J. Blum and A. Fisher, Tetrahedron Lett., 1970, 11, 1963; (b) J. Blum, A. Fisher and E. Greener, Tetrahedron, 1973, 29, 1073; (c) Y. Watanabe, F. Okuda and Y. Tsuji, J. Mol. Catal., 1990, 58, 87; (d) K. Ishihara, Y. Furuya and H. Yamamoto, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 2983; (e) S. I. Maffioli, E. Marzorati and A. Marazzi, Org. Lett., 2005, 7, 5237; (f) Y. Furuya, K. Ishihara and H. Yamamoto, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2007, 80, 400; (g) J. A. Campbell, G. McDougald, H. McNab, L. V. C. Rees and R. G. Tyas, Synthesis, 2007, 2007, 3179; (h) S. Hanada, Y. Motoyama and H. Nagashima, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2008, 2008, 4097; (i) S. Zhou, K. Junge, D. Addis, S. Das and M. Beller, Org. Lett., 2009, 11, 2461; (j) S. Zhou, D. Addis, S. Das, K. Junge and M. Beller, Chem. Commun., 2009, 4883; (k) S. Sueoka, T. Mitsudome, T. Mizugaki, K. Jitsukawa and K. Kaneda, Chem. Commun., 2010, 46, 8243; (I) S. Enthaler and M. Weidauer, Catal. Lett., 2011, 141, 1079; (m) S. Enthaler, Chem. - Eur. J., 2011, 17, 9316; (n) S. Enthaler and S. Inoue, Chem. -Asian J., 2012, 7, 169; (o) S. Elangovan, S. Q. Duque, V. Dorcet, T. Roisnel, L. Norel, C. Darcel and J. B. Sortais, Organometallics, 2015, 34, 4521; (p) B.

ARTICLE

Published on 03 September 2018. Downloaded by Freie Universitaet Berlin on 9/3/2018 9:08:01 AM

Xue, H. Sun, Y. Wang, T. Zheng, X. Li, O. Fuhr and D. Fenske, *Catal. Commun.*, 2016, **86**, 148; (q) Y. L. Richard, B. Minwoo and L. B. Stephen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 1627.

13 Selected examples: (a) J. Zanon, A. Klapars and S. L. Buchwald, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 2890; (b) R. K. Arvela, N. E. Leadbeater, H. M. Toreniusa and H. Tye, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2003, 1, 1119; (c) Z. Zhang and L. S. Liebeskind, Org. Lett., 2006, 8, 4331; (d) K. Yamaguchi, H. Fujiwara, Y. Ogasawara, M. Kotani and N. Mizuno, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 3922; (e) D. S. Bhalerao, U. S. Mahajan, K. H. Chaudhari and K. G. Akamanchi, J. Org. Chem., 2007, 72, 662; (f) M. Lamani and K. R. Prabhu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 6622; (g) P. Y. Yeung, C. M. So, C. P. Lau and F. Y. Kwong, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 8918; (h) G. E. Dobereiner and R. H. Crabtree, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 681; (i) J. Kim and S. Chang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 10272; (j) M. E. Moon, Y. Choi, Y. M. Lee, V. Vajpayee, M. Trusova, V. D. Filimonov and K.-W. Chi, Tetrahedron Lett., 2010, 51, 6769; (k) J. Velcicky, A. Soicke, R. Steiner and H.-G. Schmalz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 6948; (I) A. V. Ushkov and V. V. Grushin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 10999; (m) L. R. Donaldson, S. Wallace, D. Haigh, E. E. Patton and A. N. Hulme, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2011, 9, 2233; (n) S. Laulhe, S. S. Gori and M. H. Nantz, J. Org. Chem., 2012, 77, 9334; (o) J. Kim, J. Choi, K. Shin and S. Chang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 2528; (p) A. Falk, A.-L. Goederz and H.-G. Schmalz, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 1576; (q) S. Chakraborty, Y. J. Patel, J. A. Krause and H. Guan, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 7523; (r) T. Wang and N. Jiao, Acc. Chem. Res., 2014, 47, 1137; (s) P. Bisseret, G. Duret and N. Blanchard, Org. Chem. Front., 2014, 1, 825; (t) B. Mondal, K. Acharyya, P. Howlader and P. S. Mukherjee, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 1709; (u) Q. Wu, Y. Luo, A. Lei and J. You, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 2885; (v) D. D. Beattie, T. Schareina and M. Beller, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2017, 15, 4291; (w) G. Yan, Y. Zhang and J. Wang, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2017, 359, 4068.

14 (a) Y. Tohda, K. Sonogashira and N. Hagihara, *Synthesis*, 1977, **1977**, 777; (b) R. Cox, D. Ritson, T. Dane, J. Berge, J. Charmant and A. Kantacha, *Chem. Commun.*, 2005, 1037. (c) Z. She, D. Niu, L. Chen, M. A. Gunawan, X. Shanja, W. Hersh and Y. Chen, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2012, **77**, 3627; (d) L. Chen and C.-J. Li, *Org. Lett.*, 2004, **6**, 3151.

15 For selected examples for synthesis of cyclic compounds, see (a) R. Bernini, G. Fabrizi, A. Sferrazza and S. Cacchi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 8078; (b) X.-Q. Pan, J.-P. Zou, G.-L. Zhang and W. Zhang, Chem. Commun., 2010, 46, 1721; (c) J. Zhou, G.-L. Zhang, J.-P. Zou and W. Zhang, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2011, 2011, 3412; (d) G. Cheng, X. Zeng, J. Shen, X. Wang and X. Cui, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 13265; (e) J. Shen, G. Cheng and X. Cui, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 10641; (f) X. Wang, G. Cheng and X. Cui, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 652; (g) X. Yang, G. Cheng, J. Shen, C. Kuai and X. Cui, Org. Chem. Front., 2015, 2, 366; (h) R. Kumar, S. H. Thoratc and M. S. Reddy, Chem. Commun., 2016, 52, 13475; (i) G. R. Kumar, Y. K. Kumar and M. S. Reddy, Chem. Commun., 2016, 52, 6589; (j) C. Pan, B. Huang, W. Hu, X. Feng and J.-T. Yu, J. Org. Chem., 2016, 81, 2087; (k) J. Shen, X. Wang, X. Lin, Z. Yang, G. Cheng and X. Cui, Org. Lett., 2016, 18, 1378; (I) Y. Zhou, X. Tao, Q. Yao, Y. Zhao and Y. Li, Chem. Eur. J., 2016, 22, 17936; (m) R. Samineni, J. Madapa, P. Srihari and G. Mehta, Org. Lett., 2017, 19, 3119; (n) J. Wen, W. Shi, F. Zhang, D. Liu, S. Tang, H. Wang, X.-M. Lin and A. Lei, Org. Lett., 2017, 19, 3131; (o) Y. Tu, Z. Zhang, T Wang, J. Ke and J. Zhao, Org. Lett., 2017, 19, 3466; (p) Z. Yan, J. Xie and C. Zhu, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2017, 359, 4153; (q) Y. Zhang, S. Ye, M. Ji, L. Li, D. Guo and G.

Zhu, J. Org. Chem., 2017, **82**, 6811; (r) G. Zhu, S. Wu, X Bao, L Cui, Y. Zhang, J. Qu, H. Chen and B. Wang, Chem. 100mman 202017, 53, 4714; (s) V. Dwivedi, M. Rajesh, R. Kumar, R. Kant and M. S. Reddy, Chem. Commun., 2017, 53, 11060.

16 (a) C. L. Bickel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1949, *69*, 73; (b) W. Baidossi, M. Lahav and J. Blum, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1997, *62*, 669; (c) D. V. Soldatov, G. D. Enright, A. S. Zanina and I. E. Sokolov, *J. Struct. Chem.*, 2003, *2*, 441.

17 P. S. Fier and K. M. Maloney, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.,* 2017, *56*, 4478.

18 (a) S. Zhang, X.-T. Bai, D.-Y. Chen, P. Chen, Q.-Q. Zhang and Y.-B. Wang, *RSC Adv.*, **2017**, 7, 31142; (b) X.-T. Bai, Q.-Q. Zhang, S. Zhang, D.-Y. Chen, F.-J. Fu, J.-Y. Zhu, Y.-B. Wang and Y.-T. Tang, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2018, **2018**, 1581.

19 (a) B. M. Trost and C.-J. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, 116, 3167; (b) B. M. Trost and C.-J. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, 116, 10819; (c) C. Zhang and X. Lu, J. Org. Chem., 1995, 60, 2906; (d) J.-C. Wang and M. J. Krische, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 5855; (e) J.-C. Wang, S.-S. Ng and M. J. Krische, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 3682; (e) V. Sriramurthy, G. A. Barcan and O. Kwon, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 12928; (f) B. A. Trofimov, T. E. Glotova, M. Yu. Dvorko, I. A. Ushakov, E. Yu. Schmidt, A. I. Mikhaleva, Tetrahedron, 2010, 66, 7527; (g) S. N. Arbuzova, N. K. Gusarova, T. E. Glotova, I. A. Ushakov, S. I. Verkhoturova, A. O. Korocheva and B. A. Trofimov, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2014, 2014, 639; (h) A. Fraile, A. Parra, M. Tortosa, J. Alemán, Tetrahedron, 2014, 70, 9145; (i) Y.-L. Sun, X.-N. Zhang, Y. Wei and M. Shi, ChemCatChem, 2016, 8, 3112; (j) Y.-L. Sun, Y. Wei and M. Shi, Org. Chem. Front., 2017, 4, 2392; (k) Y.-L. Sun, Y. Wei and M. Shi, Org. Chem. Front., 2018. 5. 210.

20 (a) H. Eietsu, I. Kenyuki, H. Takaaki and S. Takahachi, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1991, *56*, 1631; (b) K. Miura, M. Tojino, N. Fujisawa, A. Hosomi and I. Ryu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2004, *43*, 2423.

