

Synthesis of bis(trifluoromethyl)trisulfide and bis(trifluoromethylthio)selenide

S. Munavalli*

Geo-Centers, Inc., Ft. Washington, MD 20774 (USA)

A.J. Muller, D.I. Rossman, D.K. Rohrbaugh and C.P. Ferguson

US Army, Edgewood Research Development and Engineering Center, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5423 (USA)

(Received December 14, 1992; accepted March 25, 1993)

Abstract

The use of 4-dimethylaminopyridine as a catalyst in the reaction of trifluoromethylsulfenyl chloride with hydrogen sulfide at -78°C cuts down the time of reaction from 30 d to 1 d and gives up to 70% yield of bis(trifluoromethyl)trisulfide (**1**). Similarly, bis(trifluoromethylthio)selenide (**2**) can be prepared from hydrogen selenide and trifluoromethylsulfenyl chloride. The influence of other catalysts on the course of the reaction, the formation of unusual by-products, the NMR and mass spectral data of **1** and **2** are presented in this paper.

Introduction

In connection with an on-going project [1], bis(trifluoromethyl)trisulfide (**1**) was required in large quantities. An earlier synthesis of **1** took 30 d at room temperature [2]. When a mixture of carbon disulfide and iodine pentafluoride was heated to 200°C , **1** was isolated as one of the minor products [3]. A 7%–12% yield of **1** was obtained when a mixture of trifluoromethyl iodide and sulfur was heated at 310°C for 36 h [3–5]. However, trifluoromethanesulfenyl chloride (**3**) reacted slowly with H_2S to give acceptable yields of **1** [2]. The reaction of trifluoromethylchloro-disulfide (CF_3SSCl), obtained via photolysis of a mixture of bis(trifluoromethyl) disulfide (**4**) and sulfur dichloride (**5**), with trifluoromethylthiol (**6**) was shown to furnish **1** [4]. It should be noted that the reaction of **3** with an excess of H_2S has been reported to give trifluoromethyl disulfane and bis(trifluoromethyl)trisulfide [5]. Trifluoromethyl radicals generated from a glow discharge of hexafluoroethane reacted with sulfur vapor under the influence of a radio frequency to yield polysulfides including **1** and carbon disulfide [6]. We now wish to report that the use of 4-dimethylaminopyridine (**7**) in the reaction of **3** with H_2S at -78°C for 2–3 h gives satisfactory yields (75%–80%) of **1**, accompanied by trace amounts of the di-, tetra- and pentasulfides as well as 1,1-dichlorofluoromethyl trifluoromethyl disul-

fide (**8**). Replacement of **7** with either pyridine, or triethylamine or *N,N*-dimethylaniline lowers the yield of **1** considerably.

Recently, we have developed and described an efficient method for the synthesis of trifluoromethylthio-copper (**9**) in a crystalline form [7]. Reaction of **9** with **5** at room temperature for 45 min also produced **1** though in slightly reduced yields [1a]. In fact, di- and poly-sulfides routinely result as by-products of the reaction of **9** with various substrates [8]. Scheme 1 provides the genesis of di- and poly-sulfides and **8**. Under similar experimental conditions as those described in the use of **7**, hydrogen selenide reacted with **3** to furnish the selenium analog of **1**, namely bis(trifluoromethylthio)selenide (**2**), which has been characterized from its NMR and mass spectral data. In addition, **4** and **8** were identified as minor products of this reaction (Table 1).

Results and discussion

Table 1 lists, in addition to the expected products (**1** and **2**), the various by-products that have been identified from their mass-spectral fragmentation patterns. The examination of the origin, formation and distribution of the by-products listed in Table 1 clearly suggests the involvement of free radicals in these reactions. It has been reported that the reaction between carbon disulfide and iodine pentafluoride follows a free-


*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

TABLE 1. Products of the reaction of trifluoromethylsulfenyl chloride with H₂S, H₂Se and CF₃SCu

Reactants	Products
H ₂ S + CF ₃ SCl	$\xrightarrow{-78^\circ\text{C}}$ CF ₃ S ₂ CF ₃ ^a + CF ₃ S ₃ CF ₃ (76–80%) + CF ₃ S ₄ CF ₃ ^a + CF ₃ S ₅ CF ₃ ^a + CF ₃ S ₂ CFCl ₂ (1.2%)
H ₂ Se + CF ₃ SCl	$\xrightarrow{-78^\circ\text{C}}$ (CF ₃ S) ₂ Se (86%) + CF ₃ S ₂ CF ₃ + CF ₃ S ₂ CFCl ₂ (7.0%)
CF ₃ SCu + CF ₃ SCl	$\xrightarrow{25^\circ\text{C}}$ CF ₃ S ₂ CF ₃ + CF ₃ S ₃ CF ₃ (61%) + CF ₃ S ₄ CF ₃ + CF ₃ S ₅ CF ₃

^aThese compounds were not detected earlier [2].



radical process [3]. A similar suggestion has been made about the reaction between trifluoromethyl iodide and sulfur [6]. Scheme 1 attempts to rationalize the origin and formation of the polysulfides and **8** identified by the GC–MS examination of the flash-distillate of the reaction mixture.



*Were not detected.

Scheme 1. Genesis of bis(trifluoromethyl)polysulfides and other by-products.

In a sense, Scheme 1 is an extension of an earlier suggestion [2] made to account for the formation of tri- and tetra-sulfides. The disulfide, **4**, is a ubiquitous product of the reaction of trifluoromethylthiocopper (**9**) with various substrates [7, 8]. It is often accompanied by polysulfides. All of the compounds listed in steps 1–6 have been characterized from their mass-spectral fragmentation patterns. Steps 7–9 describe the formation of **8**, initiated by the attack of the trifluoromethylthiyl radical on **3**. Tetrafluoromethane, hexafluoroethane and bis(trifluoromethyl)sulfide (steps 10–12) were not detected. The origin of **8** may be **3** itself (Scheme 2) instead of steps 8 and 9 of Scheme 1. The initially formed difluoromethylene sulfenyl chloride radical (step 1 of Scheme 2) may undergo a rearrangement to give the thiyl radical.



*Undergoes a rearrangement.

Scheme 2. Postulated route to dichlorofluoromethyl trifluoromethyl disulfide (**8**).

There are precedents for this type of arrangement. The rest of the free-radical process (steps 3–6) follows the accepted course. The formation of **8** can be attributed to the participation of free radicals. The free-radical intermediate Cl₂FCS[•] proposed in this mechanism (Scheme 2) has also been implicated in the formation of chlorofluoro-disulfides [9]. The origin of **8** is **3** itself (cf. Scheme 2). Scheme 1 is an extension of an earlier suggestion by Haszeldine and Kidd [2].

Irradiation of a mixture of stoichiometric amounts of **3** and thiocarbonyl fluoride (**10**) has been described to furnish chlorodifluoromethyl trifluoromethyl disulfide [ClF₂CSSCF₃ (**11**)] in 67% yield [9]. Similar treatment of **3** with thiocarbonyl chlorofluoride [FC(S)Cl (**12**)] was also reported to give **8** in 56% yield. It is conceivable that the F₂C(S)[•]Cl thiyl radical might have been formed from **3** and directly resulted in **11** (cf. Scheme 3).

Scheme 3. Postulated route to chlorodifluoromethyl trifluoromethyl disulfide (**11**).

It is worth noting that both **8** and **11** are formed at –78 °C in the reaction of **3** with dry NH₃ and without the assistance of irradiation [10].

The ¹³C and ¹⁹F chemical shifts of **1** and **2** are consistent with the structures assigned to these compounds. The introduction of Se in **2** shifted the ¹⁹F signal upfield by 3 ppm, whereas the ¹³C chemical shift

TABLE 2. Mass-spectral fragmentation data

CF ₃ S ₃ CF ₃ (1)	M ⁺ = 234; 215 (M-F); 165 (M-CF ₃); 133 (M-SCF ₃); 96 (SSS); 82 (CSF ₂); 69 (CF ₃ , 100%); 64 (SS); 44 (CS)
CF ₃ SSeSCF ₃ (2)	M ⁺ = 282; 263 (M-F); 213 (M-CF ₃); 101 (SCF ₃); 82 (CSF ₂); 69 (CF ₃ , 100%); 63 (CSF); 50 (CF ₂); 44 (CS); 32 (S)
CF ₃ SSCF ₂ Cl (8)	M ⁺ = 234; 215 (M-F); 199 (M-Cl); 133 (M-SCF ₃); 117 (199-CSF ₂); 101 (SCF ₃ , 100%); 98 (CSFCl); 79 (CSCl); 69 (CF ₃); 64 (SS); 63 (CSF); 44 (CS)
CF ₃ SSCF ₂ Cl (11) ^a	M ⁺ = 218; 149 (M-CF ₃); 117 (M-SCF ₃); 101 (SCF ₃); 82 (CSF ₂); 69 (CF ₃ , 100%); 64 (SS); 44 (CS)

^aThis compound was identified along with 8 in the products of the reaction of dry ammonia with 3 at -78 °C.

was unaffected. The ¹⁹F chemical shift of bis(trifluoromethyl)disulfide itself appears as a singlet at 46.0 ppm [9]. Thus, the insertion of Se into the sulfur-sulfur bond of the disulfide to form 2 elicits a more pronounced effect on the ¹⁹F chemical shift than does the insertion of S to yield 1. The identity of the polysulfides has been confirmed from their mass-spectral fragmentation patterns, which are similar to the data reported by others [6, 11]. The mass-spectral fragmentation behavior of 1, 2, 8 and 11 is given in Table 2 and supports the structures assigned to them. All of the polysulfides give the parent peak [M⁺] and the peak corresponding to *m/e* = 69[CF₃]⁺. In the case of di- and tri-sulfides, the peak corresponding to [M-F] is seen. However, this peak is absent in the spectra of tetra- and penta-sulfides. Other conspicuous peaks correspond to [CF₃SSS]⁺, [CF₃SS]⁺, [CF₃S]⁺ and [CSF₂]⁺ fragments.

Experimental

General procedure

Warning!! Because of the high toxicity associated with inhalation of 1 and 4, extreme care should be exercised and all reactions should be carried out in efficient hoods. ¹⁹F and ¹³C spectra were recorded on a Varian VXR-400S spectrometer using CCl₃F as an external reference [machine frequencies, 100.58 MHz (¹³C) and 376.29 MHz (¹⁹F)]. A positive chemical shift value δ (ppm) is taken downfield from the external reference. Mass spectra were obtained on a Finnigan model 5100 GC-MS spectrometer equipped with a silica 25 m×0.31 mm i.d. SE-54 capillary column (J and W Scientific, Rancho Cordova, CA). Routine GC analyses were accomplished with a Hewlett Packard 5890A gas chromatograph equipped with a 30 m×0.53 mm i.d. DB-5 column (J and W Scientific, Folsom, CA). The solvents were dried and freshly distilled before use. The reactions were carried out in a flame-dried, argon-purged 10 or 25 ml three-necked round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirrer, a gas inlet, a pressure equalizing dropping funnel and a reflux condenser

carrying a Dry Ice/acetone trap. The temperature of the coolant passing through the reflux condenser was maintained at -20 °C. After the reaction was over, the mixture was cooled to room temperature, flash-distilled under reduced pressure, the distillate collected into a receiver cooled to -78 °C and finally fractionally distilled through a silvered, vacuum-jacketed, metal-helix-packed column.

Bis(trifluoromethyl)trisulfide

Hydrogen sulfide (0.68 g, 0.02 M) was sparged into a solution of 3 (5.14 g, 0.04 M) in freshly distilled dry CH₂Cl₂ (5 ml) cooled to -78 °C. A solution of 7 (1.2 g, 0.01 M) in dry CH₂Cl₂ was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 2 h and then at ambient temperature for 0.5 h. The reaction mixture was flash-distilled and the distillate collected in a receiver cooled to -78 °C. The material was fractionally distilled through a silvered, vacuum-jacketed, metal-helix-packed column, b.p. 85-87 °C (lit. value [2], 86.2 °C) to give the desired product in 75% yield and with a purity of 96% as estimated by GLC methods. ¹³C NMR δ: 128.7 (quartet) (*J* = 314 ± 1 Hz) ppm. ¹⁹F NMR δ: 44.5 (singlet) ppm.

Preparation of bis(trifluoromethyl)trisulfide using trifluoromethylthiocopper

To a slurry of trifluoromethylthiocopper (9) (3.1 g, 0.19 M) in 5 ml of dry xylene was added dropwise at ambient temperature a solution of sulfur dichloride (5) (3.1 g, 80% 0.024 M) in 5 ml of dry xylene. The reaction was exothermic. After addition was complete, the reaction was stirred for an additional 30-45 min and flash-distilled into a Dry Ice/alcohol-cooled receiver. GC-MS analysis of the distillate indicated the presence of the di, tetra- and pentasulfides as minor impurities. Further purification furnished the pure product (61%).

Bis(trifluoromethylthio)selenide

To a solution containing 3 (5.14 g, 0.04 M) and hydrogen selenide (0.8 g, 0.01 M) in 5 ml of dry CH₂Cl₂ cooled to -78 °C was added a solution of 7 (1.2 g

0.01 M) in 5 ml of dry CH_2Cl_2 with stirring. After addition was complete, the reaction mixture was stirred at -78°C for 3 h and then allowed to warm to ambient temperature spontaneously. The reaction mixture, after flash-distillation, was fractionally distilled to yield the title compound in 86% yield, b.p. $100\text{--}102^\circ\text{C}$ with a purity of 94% as estimated by GLC methods. ^{13}C NMR δ : 128.6 (quartet) ($J=312.5 \pm 1$ Hz) ppm. ^{19}F NMR δ : 41.5 (singlet) ppm.

References

- 1 (a) S. Munavalli, D.I. Rossman, D.K. Rohrbaugh, C.P. Ferguson and L.J. Szafraniec, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, **59** (1992) 91; (b) S. Munavalli, D.I. Rossman, D.K. Rohrbaugh, C.P. Ferguson and H.D. Banks, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, **60** (1993) 85; (c) S. Munavalli, D.I. Rossman, D.K. Rohrbaugh and C.P. Ferguson, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, **61** (1993) 147; (d) S. Munavalli, D.I. Rossman, L. Buettner, D.K. Rohrbaugh and C.P. Ferguson, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, in press.
- 2 R.N. Haszeldine and J.M. Kidd, *J. Chem. Soc.*, (1953) 3219.
- 3 G.R.A. Brandt, H.J. Emeleus and R.N. Haszeldine, *J. Chem. Soc.*, (1952) 2198.
- 4 N.R. Zack and J.M. Shreeve, *Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett.*, **10** (1974) 619.
- 5 W. Gombler and F. Steel, *Z. Naturforsch.*, **30b** (1975) 169.
- 6 T. Yasumura and R.J. Lagow, *Inorg. Chem.*, **17** (1978) 3108.
- 7 (a) S. Munavalli, D.I. Rossman, D.K. Rohrbaugh, C.P. Ferguson and F.-L. Hsu, *Heteroatom Chem.*, **3** (1992) 189; (b) S. Munavalli and D.I. Rossman, patent pending.
- 8 S. Munavalli and D.I. Rossman, unpublished results.
- 9 A. Haas and W. Klug, *Chem. Ber.*, **101** (1968) 2617.
- 10 S. Munavalli, D.I. Rossman, D.K. Rohrbaugh and C.P. Ferguson, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, submitted for publication.
- 11 N.R. Zack and J.M. Shreeve, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, **5** (1975) 153.