## CrystEngComm

Cite this: CrystEngComm, 2012, 14, 7856-7860

www.rsc.org/crystengcomm

## COMMUNICATION

## Two novel entangled metal-organic networks constructed from 4,4'-bis(2-methylimidazol-1-ylmethyl)biphenyl and dicarboxylates: From polycatenated $2D + 2D \rightarrow 3D$ framework to polyrotaxane-like 2D + 2D $\rightarrow$ 2D layer<sup>†</sup>

Di Sun,\*<sup>a</sup> Zhi-Hao Yan,<sup>ab</sup> Yong-Kai Deng,<sup>a</sup> Shuai Yuan,<sup>a</sup> Lei Wang\*<sup>b</sup> and Dao-Feng Sun<sup>a</sup>

Received 8th May 2012, Accepted 15th August 2012 DOI: 10.1039/c2ce25706h

Two novel entangled metal-organic networks, namely  $[Cd(bmimbp)(bdc)]_{n}$  (1) and  $[Zn(bmimbp)(tbtpa)]_{n}$  (2) (bmimbp) = 4,4'-bis(2-methylimidazol-1-ylmethyl)biphenyl, H<sub>2</sub>bdc = 1,3benzenedicarboxylic acid,  $H_2$ tbtpa = tetrabromoterephthalic acid), exhibit a polycatenated  $2D + 2D \rightarrow 3D$  framework and a polyrotaxane-like 2D + 2D  $\rightarrow$  2D layer, respectively. Moreover, the thermal stabilities and photoluminescent properties are also discussed.

Recently, mixed ligand assembly strategies incorporating pyridineor imidazole-based ligands and polycarboxylates have been verified as an effective approach for the construction of coordination networks.<sup>1,2</sup> Among these, entangled networks have attracted particular attention for crystal engineers not only due to their intrinsic aesthetic appeal and potential properties, but also for their intricate molecular architectures and topologies.<sup>3</sup> There have been some comprehensive reviews by Robson, Batten, and Ciani's groups about fascinating entangled structures and their classification.<sup>4</sup> Interpenetration as an important subgroup of entanglement, has been a long-standing fascination for chemists, and a variety of appealing interpenetrated networks have been constructed.<sup>5</sup> Moreover, the rapid advance of crystal engineering has led to novel and more intricate types of entanglement being discovered, such as polyrotaxane, polycatenane and polyknotting as well as self-threading.<sup>6</sup> As important members in the realm of entangled networks, polycatenated and polyrotaxane-like networks have special structural features: polycatenated networks have a higher dimensionality than that of the component motifs and polyrotaxane-like networks are characterized by the presence of closed loops

and rods or string elements that can thread through these loops, in which the different motifs cannot be disentangled without breaking links. However, until now, polycatenated and polyrotaxane-like coordination networks have usually been constructed from lower dimensional motifs (0D  $\rightarrow$  1D or 2D; 1D  $\rightarrow$  2D or 3D), but 2D coordination networks formed from polycatenated or polyrotaxane-like coordination networks (2D  $\rightarrow$  2D or 3D) have, by comparison, been rarely reported.<sup>7</sup> Therefore, further research is necessary to enrich and develop this field.

As an ongoing investigation on synthesis of novel entangled networks,<sup>8</sup> we selected the conformationally flexible ligand 4,4'-bis(2-methylimidazol-1-ylmethyl)biphenyl (bmimbp) as a main ligand, which has two methylene groups between the biphenyl and imidazole ring which freely rotate around the C-C bonds, giving different possible conformations such as syn and anti. Thus it may be possible to yield novel entangled coordination networks. On the other hand, as indicated by a CSD (Cambridge Structure Database) survey with the help of ConQuest version 1.3,<sup>9</sup> only one bmimbp-based coordination network, [Ni<sub>2</sub> (bmimbp)<sub>2</sub>(dipicolinate)<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O]<sub>n</sub>, has been documented,<sup>10</sup> while other bmimbp-based coordination networks with or without auxiliary ligands have not yet been disclosed. Given the above consideration and our previous work, herein, we synthesized and characterized two novel entangled metal-organic networks, namely  $[Cd(bmimbp)(bdc)]_n$  (1) and  $[Zn(bmimbp)(tbtpa)]_n$  (2)  $(bmimbp = 4,4'-bis(2-methylimidazol-1-ylmethyl)biphenyl, H_2bdc$ = 1,3-benzenedicarboxylic acid, H<sub>2</sub>tbtpa = tetrabromoterephthalic acid), exhibiting polycatenated  $2D + 2D \rightarrow 3D$  framework and polyrotaxane-like  $2D + 2D \rightarrow 2D$  net, respectively (Scheme 1). These entangled fashions in crystal structures, especially the latter, provided new insights into the entangled structures that can be achieved by crystal engineering concepts.

Complexes 1 and 2 were prepared by hydrothermal reaction of Cd(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O or Zn(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, bmimbp, H<sub>2</sub>bdc or H<sub>2</sub>tbtpa, and KOH in methanol–DMF (1 mL, v/v = 1 : 1) (see ESI<sup>†</sup>). The compositions of 1 and 2 were further deduced from X-ray single crystal diffraction, elemental analysis and IR spectra. The solidstate FT-IR spectra (Fig. S1, ESI<sup>†</sup>) of complexes 1 and 2 show the characteristic bands for deprotonated carboxyl groups. The powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns of 1 and 2 are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Key Lab of Colloid and Interface Chemistry, Ministry of Education, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Shandong University, Jinan, 250100, P. R. China. E-mail: dsun@sdu.edu.cn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Key Laboratory of Eco-chemical Engineering, Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, Qingdao University of Science and Technology, Qingdao, 266042, P. R. China. E-mail: inorchemwl@126.com

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Synthetic and crystallographic details, additional measurements, figures and tables. CCDC reference numbers 880881 and 880882. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/ c2ce25706h



Scheme 1 Synthetic procedures of 1 and 2.

consistent with the simulated pattern derived from the X-ray single crystal data, implying that the bulk sample is the same as the single crystal (Fig. S2, ESI<sup>+</sup>).

X-ray single-crystal diffraction analysis<sup>‡</sup> reveals that **1** is a polycatenated  $2D + 2D \rightarrow 3D$  framework based on an undulated  $4^4$ -sql single sheet. It crystallizes in the triclinic crystal system with space group of  $P\bar{1}$  with an asymmetric unit that contains one Cd(II) ion, two crystallographically unique bmimbp ligands lying on a site of  $\bar{1}$  symmetry and a bdc dianion. As depicted in Fig. 1a, the Cd1 is six-coordinated by four O atoms and two N atoms from two different bdc ligands and two different bmimbp ligands, respectively. The bond angle around the Cd(II) ion vary from 52.43(12) to 136.28(13)°, and the bond lengths from 2.244(3) to 2.584(4) Å. The flexible bmimbp ligand in **1** shows an *anti* conformation and the two phenyl rings are perfectly coplanar as imposed by the inversion center. The dihedral angles between imidazole and phenyl rings are 76.3 and 84.0° for two crystal-



**Fig. 1** Crystal structure of **1**. Thermal ellipsoid (50%) plot of **1** showing the coordination environment of the Cd(II) ion (a). Symmetry codes: (i) x + 1, y, z; (ii) -x + 2, -y - 1, -z + 1; (iii) -x + 1, -y, -z + 2. Ball and stick view of the 2D undulated 4<sup>4</sup>-sql sheet along two different orientations (b) and (c). Cd: purple; N: blue; O: red; C: grey.

lographically unique bmimbp ligands, respectively, which are responsible for the formation of highly undulated sheet. The *anti*bmimbp and  $\mu_2$ -bdc in a *syn–syn* mode link Cd(II) ions to generate a 2D undulating sheet (Fig. 1b). From a topological viewpoint, this sheet contains one type of node (Cd1 ion) and two types of linkers (bmimbp and bdc ligands). In **1**, Cd1 ions as 4-connected nodes are bridged by 2-connected bmimbp and bdc ligands, so the 2D sheet can be simplified to a 4<sup>4</sup>-sql sheet,<sup>11</sup> which contains a window of 10.0 × 17.4 Å and exhibits a highly undulated character with a thickness of about 18 Å (Fig. 1c).

A more impressive structural characteristic of complex 1 is that each highly undulated 2D 4<sup>4</sup>-sql single sheet is simultaneously penetrated by the two nearest neighbouring ones (one above and the other below), which have parallel but not coincident mean planes (Fig. 2). In contrast to simple 2D  $\rightarrow$  2D interpenetration,<sup>12</sup> this resulting structure can be described by a 2D  $\rightarrow$  3D 'polycatenated network' because this network results in the overall network with a higher dimensionality than each component of the single motif. The high degree of entanglement is due to: (i) the large enough windows of the net; (ii) the highly undulated nature of the single 4<sup>4</sup>-sql sheet. Among the known interpenetrated 4<sup>4</sup>-sql networks in coordination networks, most examples show 2D  $\rightarrow$ 2D parallel interpenetration or 2D  $\rightarrow$  3D inclined polycatenation and only limited 2D  $\rightarrow$  3D polycatenation structures have been observed in a parallel mode.<sup>13</sup>

When  $H_2$ bdc was replaced by  $H_2$ tbtpa, we obtained complex 2 as a polyrotaxane-like  $2D + 2D \rightarrow 2D$  network based on a 6<sup>3</sup>-hcb single sheet. X-ray single-crystal diffraction analysis<sup>‡</sup> reveals that the asymmetric unit of 2 contains one Zn(II) ion, one bmimbp ligand and two halves of tbtpa ligands (Fig. 3a). The Zn1 is located in a distorted tetrahedral geometry ( $\tau_4 = 0.93$ ),<sup>14</sup> completed by two O atoms from two different tbtpa ligands and two N atoms from two different bmimbp. The bond angles around the Zn(II) center vary from 96.6(3) to  $115.0(3)^\circ$ , and the bond lengths from 1.947(7) to 2.030(8) Å. In 2, bmimbp shows a *syn*-conformation, different from that in 1. The dihedral angles between imidazole and phenyl rings are 73.4 and 89.0°, while this parameter for the two central phenyl rings is  $28.0^{\circ}$ . Two crystallographically unique  $\mu_2$ -tbtpa ligands show different coordination conformations, syn-syn and anti-anti modes, respectively. The two bmimbp ligands link a pair of Zn(II) centers to form Zn<sub>2</sub>(bmimbp)<sub>2</sub> loops (8.5  $\times$  16.0 Å, corresponding to the Zn···Zn distance and shortest intracycle C-C separation).

The extension of  $Zn_2(bmimbp)_2$  loops into a 2D honeycomblike sheet (Fig. 3b) is accomplished by  $\mu_2$ -tbtpa with an *anti–anti* mode. Each hexagon has four edges represented by the tbtpa



Fig. 2 (a) Simplified single  $4^4$ -sql sheet constructed by Cd(II) centers (purple balls) bmimbp (green rods) and bdc ligands (red rods). (b). Schematic drawing of the 2D + 2D  $\rightarrow$  3D polycatenated network.





**Fig. 3** Crystal structure of **2**. Thermal ellipsoid (50%) plot of **2** showing the coordination environment of the Zn(II) ion (a). Ball and stick view of a 2D 6<sup>3</sup>-hcb single sheet (b). The polyrotaxane-like 2D + 2D  $\rightarrow$  2D network. Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y-1, -z + 2; (ii) -x, -y, -z + 1; (iii) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 2.

ligands and two edges replaced by Zn<sub>2</sub>(bmimbp)<sub>2</sub> loops. That is to say, each Zn atom is connected to three others: two *via* single  $\mu_2$ tbtpa bridges and the third one by pairs of bmimbp ligands. In this analysis, this 2D sheet could be simplified to a 3-connected net with 6<sup>3</sup>-**hcb** topology in which the loops are converted into a 2-connected node, resulting in a network description which cannot properly describe the topology of interpenetration<sup>4c</sup> as it would require links to pass through the middle of other links. Thus to obtain a network description that can be used to further describe the interpenetration, one must include the 2-membered rings (*i.e.*, the "loops"), resulting in the overall 4-connected (2·6<sup>5</sup>) topology.

The most striking feature of complex **2** is that two identical 2D single 6<sup>3</sup>-hcb sheets are interlocked with each other in a 2D  $\rightarrow$  2D parallel fashion thus directly leading to the formation of a 2D polyrotaxane-like structure containing rotaxane-like motifs (Fig. 3c). As shown in Fig. 4a, the Zn<sub>2</sub>(bmimbp)<sub>2</sub> loops of each sheet are threaded by one Zn-tbtpa-Zn rod of the other sheet, and *vice versa*. Although 2D  $\rightarrow$  2D parallel interpenetrated 6<sup>3</sup>-hcb nets, in particular with most typical AgN<sub>3</sub> coordination environments, have appeared in the literature,<sup>15</sup> reports of the polyrotaxane-like network involving a 2D 6<sup>3</sup>-hcb sheet are exceedingly sparse

because these systems require the presence of loops and rods which can thread the loops. One of the most famous examples similar to **2** is  $[Zn(1,4-bix)_2(NO_3)_2\cdot 4.5H_2O]_n$  (bix = bis(imidazol-1-ylmethyl)benzene) reported by Robson and co-workers,<sup>16</sup> in which both the loop and rod elements are flexible bix ligands. Following this, some mixed-ligand polyrotaxane-like networks<sup>17</sup> containing flexible N-donors as loop linker and aromatic dicarboxylate as rods, such as  $[Co_2(1,3-bix)_2(bpea)_2]_n$  (H<sub>2</sub>bpea = biphenylethene-4,4'-dicarboxylic acid),  $[Cd(tp)(bpp)(H_2O)\cdot H_2O]_n$  (H<sub>2</sub>tp = terephthalic acid, bpp = 1,3-bis(4-pyridyl)propane), and [Co(bimh)(bpdc)]<sub>n</sub> (bimh = 1,6-bis(imidazol-1-yl)-hexane, H<sub>2</sub>bpdc = biphenyl-4,4'-dicarboxylate), were also reported.

It has been shown that the variation of entangled fashions in complexes 1 and 2 are mainly associated with the conformations of bmimbp ligand and coordination modes of auxiliary dicarboxylates (Table 1). The combination of these two factors are key to influence the final entangled fashions, which depends on the competition and adaptability of the components. In 1 and 2, the main ligand bmimbp adopts the completely different conformations of anti and syn, respectively. The former contributes to the formation of a highly undulated sheet and the latter to the loop. The auxiliary dicarboxylates (bdc and tbtpa) adopt the same  $\mu_2$ - $\eta^1, \eta^1$  coordination mode but different coordination conformations. The bdc adopts a syn-syn mode in 1, but tbtpa shows both syn-syn and anti-anti in 2. Notably, steric hindrance of four Br atoms on tbtpa imposed the plane determined by the carboxyl group being nearly perpendicular to the central phenyl ring, while the carboxyl group is nearly coplanar with central phenyl ring for the bdc ligand. These results show that dicarboxylates and bmimbp can fine-tune themselves to match with the coordination preference of metal centers and lower the energetic arrangement in the self-assembly process.

To investigate the thermal stability of both complexes, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) experiments were carried out in the temperature range of 30–600 °C under a flow of nitrogen with a heating rate of 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 5). They exhibit similar thermal behaviors. There is no obvious weight loss before 360 and 307 °C for **1** and **2**, respectively. Then the frameworks begin to collapse, accompanying the loss of organic ligands.

The photoluminescence spectra of the complexes 1 and 2 are shown in Fig. 6. The ligand brimbp displays photoluminescence with emission maximum at 323 nm ( $\lambda_{ex} = 300$  nm). It can be

Table 1 Comparison of conformations of bmimbp and dicarboxylates in 1 and  $2^{\it a}$ 



<sup>a</sup> Purple: Cd or Zn; yellow: Br; red: O; blue: N; grey: C.

(a) (b)

Fig. 4 (a) Stereochemical relationship between a Zn-tbtpa-Zn rod and a Zn<sub>2</sub>(bmimbp)<sub>2</sub> loop. (b) Schematic view of the simplified polyrotaxane-like  $2D + 2D \rightarrow 2D$  network in **2**.



Fig. 5 The TGA curves of 1 and 2.

presumed that this peak originate from the  $\pi^* \rightarrow \pi$  transition. To the best of our knowledge, the emission of dicarboxylate belongs to  $\pi^* \rightarrow$  n transitions which is very weak compared to that of the  $\pi^*$  $\rightarrow \pi$  transition of the brimbp, so the dicarboxylates almost have no contribution to the fluorescent emission of as-synthesized complexes.<sup>18</sup> Upon complexation of these ligands with Cd(II) or Zn(II) ions, red-shifted emissions are observed at 395 nm for 1 and 410 nm for 2, under 320 nm excitation, respectively. Owing to their d<sup>10</sup> electronic configuration, Cd(II) and Zn(II) are difficult to oxidize or reduce, so the emissions here are neither metal-to-ligand charge transfer nor ligand-to-metal charge transfer. Since the profiles and positions of the emission bands of 1 and 2 are similar to those observed in the free bmimbp ligand, they can be assigned mainly to the intraligand transition of bmimbp which is modified by metal coordination.<sup>19</sup> The emissions in the blue region suggests that both two complexes may be potential blue-light-emitting materials.

In summary, we have presented two novel entangled mixedligand metal–organic networks, which vary from polycatenated  $2D + 2D \rightarrow 3D$  framework to polyrotaxane-like  $2D + 2D \rightarrow 2D$ layer. The structural comparison suggests that the conformations of bmimbp ligand and coordination modes of auxiliary dicarbox-



Fig. 6 The photoluminescence spectra of 1, 2 and bmimbp ligand.

ylates are key points to influence the final entangled fashions. Moreover, their thermal stabilities and emissive behaviors were also discussed.

This work was supported by the NSFC (Grant Nos. 21201110 and 21271117), the Independent Innovation Foundation of Shandong University (2011GN030), the Special Fund for Postdoctoral Innovation Program of Shandong Province (201101007) and the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2012M511010). Dr Sun also thanks the help from Jinan Henghua Sci. & Tec. Co., Ltd. for the synthesis of the bmimbp ligand.

## References

‡ Crystal data for 1: C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Cd, *M* = 618.95, triclinic, space group *P*Ī (no. 2), *Z* = 2, *T* = 298(2) K, *a* = 9.995(2) Å, *b* = 11.410(3) Å, *c* = 11.572(3) Å, *α* = 87.877(4)°, *β* = 88.749(4)°, *γ* = 83.876(3)°, *V* = 1311.1(6) Å<sup>3</sup>, μ(Mo-Kα) = 0.877, 6456 reflections measured, 4534 unique ( $R_{int}$  = 0.0174) which were used in all calculations. The final w $R_2$  was 0.1043 (all data) and  $R_1$  was 0.0382 (>2*σ*(*I*)). Crystal data for **2**: C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>22</sub>Br<sub>4</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Zn, *M* = 887.53, triclinic, space group *P*Ī (no. 2), *Z* = 2, *T* = 298(2) K, *a* = 10.237(12) Å, *b* = 11.827(14) Å, *c* = 14.256(16) Å, *α* = 91.67(2)°, *β* = 109.35(2)°, *γ* = 103.26(2)°, *V* = 1575(3) Å<sup>3</sup>, μ(Mo-Kα) = 5.897, 7596 reflections measured, 5390 unique ( $R_{int}$  = 0.0501) which were used in all calculations. The final w $R_2$  was 0.1523 (all data) and  $R_1$  was 0.0532 (>2*σ*(*I*)).

- (a) X. B. Zhao, B. Xiao, A. J. Fletcher, K. M. Thomas, D. Bradshaw and M. J. Rosseinsky, *Science*, 2004, **306**, 1012; (b) L. J. Murray, M. Dincă and J. R. Long, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 1294; (c) J. R. Li, R. J. Kuppler and H. C. Zhou, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 1477; (d) Z. J. Lin, D. S. Wragg, J. E. Warren and R. E. Morris, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 10334; (e) S. Mukhopadhyay and W. H. Armstrong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 13010; (f) Y. C. Liao, F. L. Liao, W. K. Chang and S. L. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 1320; (g) K. Biradha, C. Seward and M. J. Zaworotko, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1999, **38**, 492; (h) M. H. Mir, L. L. Koh, G. K. Tan and J. J. Vittal, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 390; (i) W. B. Lin, L. Ma and O. R. Evans, *Chem. Commun.*, 2000, 2263; (j) Y. F. Han, W. G. Jia, W. B. Yu and G. X. Jin, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 3419; (k) K. S. Chichak, S. J. Cantrill, A. R. Pease, S.-H. Chiu, G. W. V. Cave, J. L. Atwood and J. F. Stoddart, *Science*, 2004, **304**, 1308.
- (a) S. Yoon and S. J. Lippard, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 8386; (b) D. Bradshaw, T. J. Prior, E. J. Cussen, J. B. Claridge and M. J. Rosseinsky, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 6106; (c) T. J. Prior and M. J. Rosseninsky, Chem. Commun., 2001, 495; (d) S. A. Bourne, J. J. Lu, B. Moulton and M. J. Zaworotko, Chem. Commun., 2001, 861; (e) J. Tao, M. L. Tong, J. X. Shi, X. M. Chen and S. W. Ng, Chem. Commun., 2000, 2043; (f) R. H. Wang, M. C. Hong, J. H. Luo, R. Cao and J. B. Weng, Chem. Commun., 2003, 1018; (g) F. N. Dai, H. Y. He and D. F. Sun, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 14064; (h) S.-M. Fang, M. Hu, Q. Zhang, M. Du and C.-S. Liu, Dalton Trans., 2011, 40, 4527; (i) B. Li, J. Tao, H.-L. Sun, O. Sato, R.-B. Huang and L.-S. Zheng, Chem. Commun., 2008, 2269; (j) Z.-H. Zhang, S.-C. Chen, J.-L. Mi, M.-Y. He, Q. Chen and M. Du, Chem. Commun., 2010, 46, 8427; (k) Q. X. Jia, H. Tian, J. Y. Zhang and E. Q. Gao, Chem.-Eur. J., 2011, 17, 1040; (1) W. T. Ai, H. Y. He, L. J. Liu, Q. J. Liu, X. L. Lv, J. Li and D. F. Sun, CrystEngComm, 2008, 10, 1480.
- (a) K. M. Park, D. Whang, E. Lee, J. Heo and K. Kim, Chem.-Eur. J., 2002, 8, 498; (b) V. Niel, A. L. Thompson, M. C. Muñoz, A. Galet, A. E. Goeta and J. A. Real, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 3760; (c) J. A. Aitken and M. G. Kanatzidis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 11780; (d) L. Q. Ma and W. B. Lin, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 3637; (e) Y. Gong, Y. C. Zhou, T. F. Liu, J. Lu, D. M. Proserpio and R. Cao, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 5982; (f) R. L. LaDuca, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2009, 253, 1759; (g) X. F. Kuang, X. Y. Wu, R. M. Yu, J. P. Donahue, J. S. Huang and C. Z. Lu, Nat. Chem., 2010, 2, 461; (h) O. Shekhah, H. Wang, M. Paradinas, C. Ocal, B. Schupbach, A. Terfort, D. Zacher, R. A. Fischer and C. Woll, Nat. Mater., 2009, 8, 481; (i) A. S. Degtyarenko, P. V. Solntsev, H. Krautscheid, E. B. Rusanov, A. N. Chernega and K. V. Domasevitch, New J. Chem., 2008, 32, 1910; (j) H. He, D. Yuan, H. Ma, D. Sun, G. Zhang and H.-C. Zhou, Inorg. Chem., 2010, 49, 7605; (k) X. Zhao, H. He, T. Hu, F. Dai and D. Sun, Inorg. Chem., 2009, 48, 8057.

- 4 (a) V. A. Blatov, L. Carlucci, G. Ciani and D. M. Proserpio, *CrystEngComm*, 2004, 6, 378; (b) I. A. Baburin, V. A. Blatov, L. Carlucci, G. Ciani and D. M. Proserpio, *CrystEngComm*, 2008, 10, 1822; (c) S. R. Batten and R. Robson, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1998, 37, 1460; (d) S. R. Batten, *CrystEngComm*, 2001, 3, 67; (e) L. Carlucci, G. Ciani and D. M. Proserpio, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2003, 246, 247.
- 5 (a) L. Carlucci, G. Ciani and D. M. Proserpio, CrystEngComm, 2003, 5, 269; (b) Z. Su, J. Fan, T. Okamura, M. S. Chen, S. S. Chen, W. Y. Sun and N. Ueyama, Cryst. Growth Des., 2010, 10, 1911; (c) J. Fan, H. F. Zhu, T. A. Okamura, W. Y. Sun, W. X. Tang and N. Ueyama, Inorg. Chem., 2003, 42, 158; (d) D. Zhao, D. J. Timmons, D. Q. Yuan and H. C. Zhou, Acc. Chem. Res., 2011, 44, 123; (e) Y. Gong, Y. C. Zhou, T. F. Liu, J. Lu, D. M. Proserpio and R. Cao, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 5982; (f) S. B. Ren, L. Zhou, J. Zhang, Y. Z. Li, H. B. Du and X. Z. You, CrystEngComm, 2009, 11, 1834; (g) M. C. Das, H. Xu, Z. Y. Wang, G. Srinivas, W. Zhou, Y. F. Yue, V. N. Nesterov, G. D. Qian and B. L. Chen, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 11715; (h) X. M. Zhang and X. M. Chen, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2003, 413; (i) S. Bureekaew, H. Sato, R. Matsuda, Y. Kubota, R. Hirose, J. Kim, K. Kato, M. Takata and S. Kitagawa, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 7660.
- 6 (a) X.-L. Wang, C. Qin, E.-B. Wang, Y.-G. Li, Z.-M. Su, L. Xu and L. Carlucci, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 5824; (b) Y.-Q. Lan, S.-L. Li, J.-S. Qin, D.-Y. Du, X.-L. Wang, Z.-M. Su and Q. Fu, Inorg. Chem., 2008, 47, 10600; (c) C. Qin, X.-L. Wang, E.-B. Wang and Z.-M. Su, Inorg. Chem., 2008, 47, 5555; (d) F. Luo, Y.-T. Yang, Y.-X. Che and J.-M. Zheng, CrystEngComm, 2008, 10, 981; (e) L.-F. Ma, Y.-Y. Wang, J.-Q. Liu, G.-P. Yang, M. Du and L.-Y. Wang, CrystEngComm, 2009, 11, 1800.
- 7 (a) X. L. Zhang, C. P. Guo, Q. Y. Yang, W. Wang, W. S. Liu, B. S. Kang and C. Y. Su, *Chem. Commun.*, 2007, 4242; (b) X. Q. Lv, M. Pan, J. R. He, Y. P. Cai and C. Y. Su, *CrystEngComm*, 2006, 8, 847; (c) Z. Y. Fu, X. T. Wu, J. C. Dai, L. M. Wu, C. P. Cui and S. M. Hu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, 1856; (d) C. S. A. Fraser, M. C. Jennings and R. J. Puddephatt, *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, 1310; (e) G. F. Liu, B. H. Ye, Y. H. Ling and X. M. Chen, *Chem. Commun.*, 2002, 1442; (f) A. J. Blake, N. R. Champness, A. Khlobystov, D. A. Lemenovskii, W. S. Li and M. Schröder, *Chem. Commun.*, 1997, 2027; (g) J. Yang, J. F. Ma, S. R. Batten and Z. M. Su, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 2233.
- 8 (a) H. Y. He, D. Q. Yuan, H. Q. Ma, D. F. Sun, G. Q. Zhang and H. C. Zhou, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, **49**, 7605; (b) X. L. Zhao, H. Y. He, T. P. Hu, F. N. Dai and D. F. Sun, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, **48**, 8057; (c) D. X. Wang, H. Y. He, X. H. Chen, S. Y. Feng, Y. Z. Niu and D. F. Sun, *CrystEngComm*, 2010, **12**, 1041; (d) H.-J. Hao, D. Sun, F.-J. Liu, R.-B. Huang and L.-S. Zheng, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2011, **11**, 5475; (e) T. Hu, X. Zhao, X. Hu, Y. Xu, D. Sun and D. Sun, *RSC Adv.*, 2011, **1**, 1682;

(f) D. Sun, H.-J. Hao, F.-J. Liu, H.-F. Su, R.-B. Huang and L.-S. Zheng, *CrystEngComm*, 2012, **14**, 480.

- 9 (a) F. H. Allen, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci., 2002, **58**, 380; (b) Cambridge Structure Database search, CSD Version 5.28 (November 2006) with 15 updates January (2007–Feb 2012).
- 10 T.-F. Liu, W.-F. Wu, C.-Q. Wan, C.-H. He, C.-H. Jiao and G.-H. Cui, J. Coord. Chem., 2011, 64, 975.
- 11 (a) V. A. Blatov, M. O'Keeffe and D. M. Proserpio, *CrystEngComm*, 2010, **12**, 44; (b) I. A. Baburin, V. A. Blatov, L. Carlucci, G. Cianib and D. M. Proserpio, *CrystEngComm*, 2008, **10**, 1822; (c) I. A. Baburin, V. A. Blatov, L. Carlucci, G. Cianib and D. M. Proserpio, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2008, **8**, 519.
- 12 (a) J.-Q. Liu, Y.-Y. Wang, L.-F. Ma, G.-L. Wen, Q.-Z. Shi, S. R. Batten and D. M. Proserpio, *CrystEngComm*, 2008, **10**, 1123; (b) K. A. Hirsch, S. R. Wilson and J. S. Moore, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1997, **36**, 2960; (c) L. Carlucci, G. Ciani, D. M. Proserpio and S. Rizzato, *CrystEngComm*, 2002, **4**, 413; (d) Y.-B. Dong, P. Wang, R.-Q. Huang and M. D. Smith, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2004, **43**, 4727; (e) S. R. Batten, B. F. Hoskins and R. Robson, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2000, **6**, 156; (f) L. Carlucci, G. Ciani, D. M. Proserpio and S. Rizzato, *CrystEngComm*, 2002, **4**, 121; (g) M. Du, X. J. Jiang and X. J. Zhao, *Chem. Commun.*, 2005, 5521.
- (a) B. Xu, Z. J. Lin, L. W. Han and R. Cao, *CrystEngComm*, 2011, 13, 440; (b) Y. Y. Liu, Z. H. Wang, J. Yang, B. Liu, Y. Y. Liu and J. F. Ma, *CrystEngComm*, 2011, 13, 3811; (c) M. Yang, F. L. Jiang, Q. H. Chen, Y. F. Zhou, R. Feng, K. C. Xiong and M. C. Hong, *CrystEngComm*, 2011, 13, 3971; (d) X. Zhao, J. Dou, D. Sun, P. Cui, D. Sun and Q. Wu, *Dalton Trans.*, 2012, 41, 1928; (e) D. Sun, Q.-J. Xu, C.-Y. Ma, N. Zhang, R.-B. Huang and L.-S. Zheng, *CrystEngComm*, 2010, 12, 4161; (f) F.-J. Liu, D. Sun, H.-J. Hao, R.-B. Huang and L.-S. Zheng, *CrystEngComm*, 2012, 14, 379.
- 14 L. Yang, D. R. Powell and R. P. Houser, Dalton Trans., 2007, 955.
- (a) J. Konnert and D. Britton, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1966, **5**, 1193; (b) S. R.
  Batten, B.F. Hoskins and R. Robson, *New J. Chem.*, 1998, **22**, 173; (c)
  K. V. Domasevitch, I. Boldog, E. B. Rusanov, J. Hunger, S. Blaurock,
  M. Schroder and J. Sieler, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 2005, **631**, 1095.
- 16 B. F. Hoskins, R. Robson and D. A. Slizys, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1997, 36, 2336.
- 17 (a) J. Yang, J.-F. Ma, S. R. Batten and Z.-M. Su, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 2233; (b) Y. Liu, Y. Qi, Y.-Y. Lv, Y.-X. Che and J.-M. Zheng, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2009, 9, 4797; (c) G. H. Wang, Z. G. Li, H. Q. Jia, N. H. Hu and J. W. Xu, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2008, 8, 1932.
- 18 W. J. Chen, Y. Wang, C. Chen, Q. Yue, H. M. Yuan, J. S. Chen and S. N. Wang, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2003, 42, 944.
- 19 X. Y. Yi, H. C. Fang, Z. G. Gu, Z. Y. Zhou, Y. P. Cai, J. Tian and P. K. Thallapally, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2011, **11**, 2824.