

View Article Online View Journal

# **RSC Advances**

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: K. D. Paul, V. Luxami and M. Verma, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, DOI: 10.1039/C5RA00925A.



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

Cite this: DOI:

10.1039/c0xx00000xwww.rsc.org/xxxxxx

## Synthesis, *in vitro* evaluation and DNA interaction studies of *N*-allyl naphthalimide analogues as anticancer agents

Meenakshi Verma, Vijay Luxami and Kamaldeep Paul\*

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX 5 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

Abstract: А novel series of 2-allyl-6-substitutedbenzo[de]isoquinoline-1,3-diones has been synthesized and evaluated against 60 human tumor cell lines for their in vitro antitumor activities. Compound 6b proved to be the most active 10 member at single dose concentration of 10 µM and broad spectrum of antitumor activity with GI<sub>50</sub>, TGI and LC<sub>50</sub> values of 84.2 nM, 27.6 µM and 89.3 µM respectively at five dose concentration levels. DNA binding properties of this compound has been investigated by UV-Vis and fluorescence 15 spectrophotometer as well as thermal denaturation experiments. Molecular docking studies of compound 6b has also been supported the corresponding biological data.

#### 1. Introduction

Published on 01 May 2015. Downloaded by Freie Universitaet Berlin on 02/05/2015 12:24:11.

In the area of anticancer research, the development of small <sup>20</sup> molecules capable of interacting with deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) and exhibiting anticancer activities has received enormous attention in recent years.<sup>1</sup> Amongst these it has been found that 1,8-naphthalimides (benz[*de*]isoquinolin-1,3-diones) and its derivatives possess high anticancer activity towards

- <sup>25</sup> various human and murine cells.<sup>2-7</sup> The development of functional 1,8-naphthalimide derivatives as anticancer agents and DNA targeting is a fast growing area and has resulted in several such derivatives like amonafide, mitonafide, elinafide and bisnafide (Figure 1) that entered into clinical trials.<sup>8</sup> Additionally
- <sup>30</sup> the naphthalimide ring can also be substituted, for example, at the 3- or 4-position with amino, bromo or nitro groups. This not only allows the introduction of other active functional groups, which can be used for targeting biomolecules, but can have a major effect on the electronic properties with a consequent influence on
- <sup>35</sup> the chemical, photochemical and spectroscopic properties. In literature, many examples are known where anticancer activities of naphthalimides have been significantly affected via fusing aromatic rings<sup>9-10</sup> or varying the position and size of side chains.<sup>11</sup> Qian and co-workers have synthesized a series of
- <sup>40</sup> naphthalimides with the substitution of 1,2,3-triazole ring at 3 or 4 position. These newly synthesized compounds showed better cytotoxic activity against breast cancer cell line MCF-7 than amonafide.<sup>12-13</sup> Wang and co-workers have synthesized naphthalimide analogues substituted with amino acids, and 45 dichloroacetamide functionalizations at 3-position and evaluated

their cytotoxic activities against Hela, A549 and K562 cancer cell

lines.<sup>14</sup> Another example of such modification is UNBS5162, which proved to be a good anticancer agent that presently at 55 clinical trials. It showed lesser toxic side effects which decreased CXCL chemokine expression in advanced solid tumors or lymphoma.<sup>15</sup> The probable mechanism of these anticancer activities of naphthalimide derivatives is supposed to be capable of either intercalation with base pairs or alkylation, or groove 60 binding of DNA as the substituted naphthalimides are characterized by presence of planar chromophore portion.<sup>16</sup> Thus, naphthalimides are not only interact with DNA, but members of this class also offer various sites for numerous modifications which provide a hope in the field of improvement of their 65 antitumor activity.<sup>17</sup> Synthesis of such analogues is the high priority for medicinal research because DNA is one of the important targets for cancer treatment.<sup>18</sup> Inspired by its promising antitumor activities and keeping in mind for their possibility of DNA intercalation, we have decided to synthesize N-allyl 70 naphthalimide analogues substituted with primary and secondary amines in order to improve their anticancer activities and selectivity profile. Calf thymus (ct)-DNA studies have also been performed with the most active compound of this series in order to observe its interaction with DNA.



Figure 1 Chemical structure of some naphthalimide analogues

#### 2. Results and Discussion

#### 2.1. Chemistry

<sup>80</sup> Target naphthalimide analogues 6a-n has been prepared via multistep reactions using commercially available starting material acenaphthene (1) (Scheme 1). Oxidation of acenaphthene was done with acetic acid and sodium dichromate at 75 °C for 8 h to obtain 1,8-naphthalic anhydride (2) with 75% yield. Compound 2
<sup>85</sup> was then treated with bromine in presence of KOH solution at 60 °C for 6 h to get white solid of 6-bromo-benzo[*de*]isochromene-1,3-dione (3) with 77% yield (m.p. = 117-119 °C). Refluxing of

School of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Thapar University, Patiala-147 001, India \*Corresponding author. Tel.: +91 9465670595; fax +91 175 236 4498 E-mail 50 address: <u>kpaul@thapar.edu</u> (K. Paul)

<sup>†</sup> Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [NMR data]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

65

compound **3** with allylamine in the presence of ethanol for 8 h resulted in the formation of white solid of 2-allyl-6-bromobenzo[de]isoquinoline-1,3-dione (**4**) in 80% yield (m.p. = 128-130 °C).

s Scheme 1<sup>a</sup> Synthesis of 2-allyl-6-substituted -benzo[de]isoquinoline-1,3dione



**Reagents and conditions**<sup>a</sup> (i) acetic acid, Sodium dichromate, 75 °C, (ii) potassium hydroxide, bromine, 60 °C, (iii) allyl amine, ethanol, reflux, 10 (iv) NH4OH, ethanol, reflux, (v) allyl bromide, EtOH, reflux, (vi) NHR<sub>1</sub>R<sub>2</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, TBAHSO<sub>4</sub>, acetonitrile, reflux.

Table 1 Physical data of synthesized compounds

| Entry | NR <sub>I</sub> R <sub>2</sub> | Product | Time (h) | Yield (%) | m.p. (°C) |
|-------|--------------------------------|---------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| 1     | piperidin-1-yl                 | 6a      | 9        | 78        | 115-117   |
| 2     | morpholin-4-yl                 | 6b      | 8        | 87        | 173-174   |
| 3     | pyrrolidin-1-yl                | 6c      | 9        | 76        | 155-158   |
| 4     | 4-amino-benzenethiol           | 6d      | 11       | 60        | 185-188   |
| 5     | 2-amino-benzenethiol           | 6e      | 12       | 59        | 205-208   |
| 6     | 2-amino-pyridin-3-ol           | 6f      | 10.5     | 63        | 210-212   |
| 7     | 5-bromo-pyridin-2-             | 6g      | 10       | 53        | 205-207   |
|       | ylamine                        |         |          |           |           |
| 8     | 2-amino-ethanol                | 6h      | 8.5      | 57        | -         |
| 9     | allylamine                     | 6i      | 9        | 50        | -         |
| 10    | n-propylamine                  | 6j      | 8.5      | 65        | -         |
| 11    | n-butylamine                   | 6k      | 8        | 62        | -         |
| 12    | n-pentylamine                  | 61      | 8.5      | 59        | -         |
| 13    | n-hexylamine                   | 6m      | 9        | 51        | -         |
| 14    | n-octylamine                   | 6n      | 8        | 49        | -         |

Alternatively, compound **4** was also synthesized by the refluxing <sup>15</sup> compound **3** with ammonium hydroxide in ethanol to get 6bromo-benzo[*de*]isoquinoline-1,3-dione (**5**) followed by treatment with allyl bromide in the presence of ethanol at reflux condition for 8 h. The crude product was purified through column chromatography to get pure 2-allyl-6-bromo-<sup>20</sup> benzo[*de*]isoquinoline-1,3-dione (**4**) in 65% overall yield. Compound **4** was further refluxed with primary and secondary amines in the presence of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub>CN using TBAHSO<sub>4</sub> as

catalyst for 8-12 h to get the crude product. The crude was purified by column chromatography to afford pure target product <sup>25</sup> 2-allyl-6-substituted-benzo[*de*]isoquinoline-1,3-dione (**6a-n**) in moderate to good yields (Table 1). Structures of all these final compounds were confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR as well as mass

#### 2.2. In vitro screening for antitumor activities

spectrometry (Supporting Information).

<sup>30</sup> All compounds were submitted to National Cancer Institute (NCI) for evaluation of their *in-vitro* antitumor activities.<sup>19-21</sup> Compounds **6b-c** and **6f-i** were evaluated against 60 human cell lines at single dose concentration of 10  $\mu$ M which includes nine tumor subpanels and their output was reported as a mean graph of <sup>35</sup> the percent growth of the treated cells and presented as percentage growth inhibition (GI %). Compound **6b** exhibited significant growth inhibition and evaluated as 60 cell panels at five dose concentration levels.

Preliminary in vitro antitumor screening revealed that only <sup>40</sup> compounds belonging to secondary amines especially morpholine showed significant inhibition for almost all the cancer cell lines. The percentages of inhibition for these cancer cells were more than 60% of tested derivative while primary amines substituted 6f-i showed weak activities with percentages of inhibition less 45 than 40% except few cell lines (Table 2). These variations could be correlated to the difference in C6 substituents on the core naphthalimide moiety, in which the presence of morpholine moiety is an important factor affecting for antitumor activity. On the contrary; compound 6c with pyrrolidine, showed potency 50 towards non-small lung cancer cell line EKVX and renal cancer cell line A498 with GI values of 40.31% and 33.55% respectively. In series of primary amines 6f-i, significant growth inhibitions were observed for renal cancer cell (UO-31; 26.10-32.85%), lung cancer cell (HOP-92; 21.32-50.37%) and prostate 55 cancer cell (PC-3; 23.41-39.40%). Compound 6i with allylamine at C6 position also showed selectivity towards lung cancer cell line HOP 92 and breast cancer cell line MCF 7 with GI values of 50.37% and 66.05% respectively. On the other hand, naphthalimide analogue 6b proved to be the most active 60 compound of these series. It showed broad spectrum of activity against all nine subpanels of cancer cell lines at primary single dose concentration level (Table 3).

Table 2 Percentage growth inhibition (GI%) of the selected compounds over the most sensitive tumor cell lines at single concentration of 10  $\mu M$ 

| Cell lines | 60    | 6f    | 6g    | 6h    | 6i    |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| MOLT-4     | -     | -     | 27.75 | -     | -     |
| RPMI-8226  | -     | -     | 21.90 | -     | -     |
| SR         | 22.00 | 25.51 | 20.73 | -     | 25.25 |
| EKVX       | 40.31 | 31.68 | -     | -     | -     |
| HOP-92     | 28.67 | 36.81 | 43.52 | 21.32 | 50.37 |
| NCI-H522   | 24.02 | -     | -     | -     | -     |
| HCT-116    | -     | 25.75 | 22.83 | -     | -     |
| SNB-75     | -     | 30.78 | 24.71 | -     | -     |
| UACC-62    | 21.85 | 28.97 | -     | -     | 24.18 |
| OVCAR-4    | -     | 22.66 | -     | -     | -     |
| A498       | 33.55 | -     | -     | -     | -     |
| CAKI-1     | -     | 31.16 | 34.13 | 20.17 | 27.05 |
| UO-31      | -     | 26.10 | 32.85 | 27.36 | 28.50 |
| PC-3       | 23.41 | 39.40 | 28.38 | 25.20 | 30.66 |
| MCF7       | -     | -     | -     | -     | 66.05 |
| MDA-MB-    | -     | 34.58 | 23.72 | -     | -     |
| 231/ATCC   |       |       |       |       |       |
| T-47D      | 25.27 | 29.71 | -     | -     | -     |
| MDA-MB-468 | -     | -     | -     | -     | 39.71 |

From the above data, it is clear that compound **6b** is the most active member of the series. Consequently, this active compound was carried over and tested against a panel of different tumor cell lines at five dose concentration level (Figure S31-S34) where it 70 exhibited remarkable anticancer activity against non-small cell lung cancer cell line HOP-92, CNS cancer cell line SNB-75 and breast cancer cell line HS 578T with GI<sub>50</sub> values in the nano molar range. Compound **6b** also showed specificity towards central nervous system (CNS), melanoma, renal and breast cancer

Published on 01 May 2015. Downloaded by Freie Universitaet Berlin on 02/05/2015 12:24:11.

| Table 3 The percentages of grow | h inhibition of compound 6b or | ver the full panel of tumor ce | Il lines at a single concentration o | of 10 μM |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|

| Subpanel Cell lines | Inhibition (%) | Subpanel Cell lines | Inhibition (%) |  |  |  |
|---------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Leukemia            |                | Ovarian Cancer      |                |  |  |  |
| CCRF-CEM -7.18      |                | IGROV1              | -14.55         |  |  |  |
| HL-60(TB)           | -5.15          | OVCAR-3             | -66.42         |  |  |  |
| K-562               | 90.22          | OVCAR-4             | 85.64          |  |  |  |
| MOLT-4              | -21.21         | OVCAR-5             | 78.58          |  |  |  |
| RPMI-8226           | -25.79         | OVCAR-8             | 79.30          |  |  |  |
| SR                  | -9.38          | NCI/ADR-RES         | 93.94          |  |  |  |
| Non-Small Cell Lung |                | SK-OV-3             | 86.12          |  |  |  |
| Cancer              |                |                     |                |  |  |  |
| A549/ATCC           | 96.95          | Renal Cancer        |                |  |  |  |
| EKVX                | -7.17          | 786-0               | 73.84          |  |  |  |
| HOP-62              | 73.56          | A498                | -57.04         |  |  |  |
| HOP-92              | 78.89          | ACHN                | 81.42          |  |  |  |
| NCI-H226            | -2.43          | CAKI-1              | -39.46         |  |  |  |
| NCI-H23             | 91.99          | RFX 393             | -29.91         |  |  |  |
| NCI-H322M           | -56.58         | SN12C               | 83.04          |  |  |  |
| NCI-H460            | 91.48          | TK-10               | -12.91         |  |  |  |
| NCI-H522            | -1.11          | UO-31               | -3.07          |  |  |  |
| Colon Cancer        |                | Melanoma            |                |  |  |  |
| COLO 205            | -21.78         | LOX IMVI            | 98.87          |  |  |  |
| HCC-2998            | -33.96         | MALME-3M            | -3.28          |  |  |  |
| HCT-116             | -25.42         | M14                 | 99.61          |  |  |  |
| HCT-15              | -9.11          | MDA-MB-435          | 99.64          |  |  |  |
| HT29                | 93.24          | SK-MEL-2            | -28.12         |  |  |  |
| KM12                | -56.35         | SK-MEL-28           | 94.33          |  |  |  |
| SW-620              | 92.76          | SK-MEL-5            | -62.71         |  |  |  |
| CNS Cancer          |                | UACC-257            | -3.63          |  |  |  |
| SF-268              | -23.87         | UACC-62             | -24.66         |  |  |  |
| SF-295              | 97.13          | Breast Cancer       |                |  |  |  |
| SF-539              | 99.50          | MCF7                | -0.41          |  |  |  |
| SNB-19 75.05        |                | MDA-MB-231/ATCC     | 76.09          |  |  |  |
| SNB-75              | 87.23          | HS 578T             | 95.42          |  |  |  |
| U251                | 94.63          | BT-549              | -47.77         |  |  |  |
| Prostate Cancer     |                | MDA-MB-468          | -40.05         |  |  |  |
| PC-3                | -21.28         | T-47D               | -2.10          |  |  |  |
| DU-145              | 89.72          |                     |                |  |  |  |

**Table 4** Compounds **6b**, naphthalimide analogue and oxaliplatin of median growth inhibitory ( $GI_{50}$ ,  $\mu M$ ), total growth inhibitory (TGI,  $\mu M$ ) and median lethal concentrations ( $LC_{50}$ ,  $\mu M$ ) of *in vitro* subpanel tumor cell line

| Compds.       | Activity         | Ι     | II    | III   | IV    | V     | VI    | VII   | VIII  | IX    | MG-MID <sup>a</sup> |
|---------------|------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------------------|
| 6b            | GI <sub>50</sub> | 0.098 | 0.115 | 0.112 | 0.043 | 0.073 | 0.132 | 0.072 | 0.095 | 0.018 | 0.084               |
|               | TGI              | b     | 21.3  | 17.04 | 10.53 | 15.61 | 40.18 | 33.42 | 7.174 | 3.962 | 27.69               |
|               | $LC_{50}$        | b     | 97.35 | b     | 92.41 | 87.55 | 80.14 | 89.48 | b     | b     | 89.38               |
| Naphthalimide | GI <sub>50</sub> | 2.90  | 3.94  | 6.58  | 4.09  | 6.93  | 6.97  | 3.30  | 3.23  | 5.20  | 5.05                |
| analogue      | TGI              | 44.51 | 32.51 | 60.81 | 45.41 | 35.07 | 37.44 | 15.41 | 17.9  | 45.98 | 38.71               |
|               | $LC_{50}$        | Ь     | 93.94 | 98.84 | 93.98 | 83.93 | 97.94 | 88.94 | 80.15 | b     | b                   |
| Oxaliplatin   | GI50             | 0.844 | 20.86 | 2.58  | 8.91  | 3.50  | 11.76 | 6.61  | 13.13 | 42.96 | 12.35               |
|               | TGI              | 15.84 | 31.11 | 44.17 | 55.87 | 38.18 | 42.12 | 40.24 | 59.62 | 6.30  | 37.05               |
|               | $LC_{50}$        | b     | 79.40 | 73.96 | 63.10 | 72.07 | b     | 79.40 | b     | b     | 73.58               |

I, leukemia; II, non-small cell lung cancer; III, colon cancer; IV, central nervous system (CNS) cancer; V, melanoma; VI, ovarian cancer; VII, renal 10 cancer; VIII, prostate cancer; IX, breast cancer.

<sup>a</sup> Full panel mean-graph midpoint (μM)

<sup>b</sup> Compounds showed values >100 µM

cell lines with GI<sub>50</sub> values in the range of 18 nM-73 nM (Table 4, Figure 2). Compound **6b** also showed almost sixty fold more <sup>15</sup> activity than naphthalimide derivative 3-(4-amino-phenylsulfanyl)-benzo[*de*]benzo[4,5]imidazo[2,1-*a*]isoquinolin-7-one, <sup>10</sup> with MG MID GI<sub>50</sub>, TGI and LC<sub>50</sub> values of 84.2 nM,

27.6  $\mu$ M and 89.3  $\mu$ M respectively. Compound **6b** was also compared with approved chemotherapeutic drug, oxaliplatin<sup>22</sup> which also showed interaction with DNA (Table 4). It was observed that compound **6b** showed almost one forty seven fold more activity than oxaliplatin (MG MID GI<sub>50</sub>, TGI and LC<sub>50</sub> values of 12.35  $\mu$ M, 37.05  $\mu$ M and 73.58  $\mu$ M respectively). It is



s Figure 2 The percentage growth inhibition (GI%) of compound 6b over the full panel cancer cell lines at concentration of 10 μM

clear that replacement with morpholine group at C6 position than other secondary or primary amines, and allyl group at N2 position leads to excellent increase in antitumor activity.

#### 2.3. Cytotoxicity (MTT and LDH)

<sup>10</sup> Cytotoxicity of compound **6b** in human normal cell line (Hek293) was performed by means of a colorimetric assay (MTT assay). The results showed that there was only 12%, 8.5%, 8%, 6.5% and 6% cytotoxicity of compound **6b** to Hek293 cells at  $10^{-4}$ ,  $10^{-5}$ ,  $10^{-6}$ ,  $10^{-7}$  and  $10^{-8}$  M concentrations, <sup>15</sup> respectively (Figure 3). Compound **6b** showed only 12% toxicity to Hek293 cells even at 100 µM. These indicated that compound **6b** showed potent anticancer activity and low toxicity to normal cells.



Figure 3 Effect of compound 6b on Hek 293 cells

Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) leakage is an indicator of cell membrane integrity.<sup>23</sup> When the cell membrane is disrupted, the membrane-bound LDH leaks into the medium <sup>25</sup> and hence, LDH leakage is considered a hallmark of cytotoxicity. Cell death leads to a collapse in membrane integrity, thereby releasing LDH into the medium. In the present study, a significant level of LDH leakage was observed in the cell culture medium of the A549 cell line at <sup>30</sup> one (IC<sub>50</sub>) and two doses (2xIC<sub>50</sub>), when they were treated with the respective IC<sub>50</sub> concentrations of the compound for a period of 12 h and 24 h (Figure 4). It has been suggested that compound **6b** exhibited significant membrane-damaging

effects showed selectivity towards cancer cells while <sup>35</sup> exhibiting minimal/no toxicity towards normal cells.



Figure 4 LDH release of compound 6b at one and two doses after 12 h (blue bar) and 24 h (red bar).

#### 2.4. DNA binding studies

<sup>40</sup> DNA binding studies of the most active compound **6b** has been evaluated using both UV-Visible and fluorescence spectrophotometer in order to determine the interaction of compound with ct-DNA.<sup>24</sup> The addition of ct-DNA (4-100  $\mu$ M) to the phosphate buffered solution of **6b** (20  $\mu$ M) caused <sup>45</sup> decrease in absorption intensity at 405 nm (Figure 5).

Fluorescence titrations were also performed with ct-DNA in phosphate buffered solution of compound 6b. The of ct-DNA incremental addition caused emission enhancement with concomitant blue shift of emission band 50 from 555 nm to 520 nm. The apparent binding constant of 6b with ct-DNA was determined to be  $\log \beta = 5.03$  calculated from titration data using Benesi-Hildebrand method which is comparable with amonafide having log  $\beta = 5.15$ . The intercalative nature of compound 6b with ct-DNA has been 55 additionally supported by thermal denaturation experiment. Intercalation of molecules into the double helix is known to stabilize the DNA against thermal strand separation and thus increases thermal melting temperature  $(T_m)^{25}$  The derivative

20

Published on 01 May 2015. Downloaded by Freie Universitaet Berlin on 02/05/2015 12:24:11.

Published on 01 May 2015. Downloaded by Freie Universitaet Berlin on 02/05/2015 12:24:11.

melting curve shows an increase of 18.2 °C in thermal melting temperature of ct-DNA (60 °C) upon addition of **6b** (78.2 °C). Thus, both UV-Visible and fluorescence titrations as well as thermal denaturation experiments indicated intercalative s nature of compound **6b** with ct-DNA.

#### 2.5. Ethidium bromide (EtBr) displacement study

In order to prove the reversibility of the compound **6b**:DNA complex, fluorescence quenching experiments of ethidium bromide:DNA were carried out by adding 0–20  $\mu$ M of the <sup>10</sup> compound to samples containing 10  $\mu$ M EtBr, 10  $\mu$ M DNA and a phosphate (pH = 7). Before the measurements, the system was shaken and incubated at room temperature for ~5 min. The emission was recorded at 100–750 nm. On addition of 100  $\mu$ M solution of ethidium bromide to compound <sup>15</sup> **6b**:DNA complex, the emission band at 520 nm disappeared with reappearance of the fluorescence maxima at 610 nm typically due to EtBr:DNA complex. It confirmed the reversible binding of ligand to DNA (Figure S35).

Competitive ethidium bromide binding studies were <sup>20</sup> carried out in order to examine the binding mode of each complex with ct-DNA. The emission spectra of EtBr bound to ct-DNA in the absence and presence of each complex have been recorded at [EtBr] = 10  $\mu$ M, [DNA] = 10  $\mu$ M. The emission intensities of EtBr bound to ct-DNA at 610 nm <sup>25</sup> enhanced indicating that they cannot effectively displace EtBr from the EtBr:DNA complex (Figure S36). This observation is often considered to be due to that they can bind weakly to the DNA, probably by electrostatic interactions. It is generally agreed that strong fluorescence enhancement accompanies <sup>30</sup> intercalation of the dye into the double helix conformation of the nucleic acid, but there is also evidence for additional non-intercalative, less fluorescence-enhanced sites, which are presumed to involve electrostatic binding.<sup>26</sup>

#### 2.6. ADME Prediction

<sup>35</sup> In order to determine the properties and drug-like characteristics of compounds **6b-c** and **6f-i**, we carried out the calculation with Molinspiration software of the lipophilicity (expressed as the octanol/water partition coefficient and herein called logP), and the theoretical prediction of other ADME properties (molecular <sup>40</sup> weight, TPSA, number of hydrogen donors and acceptors, and volume) for Lipinski's rule of five. Drug likeness seems to be a promising standard for the properties of a molecule which influences its pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics.<sup>27</sup> This rule is based upon the prediction that if a molecule have <sup>45</sup> molecular weight  $\leq$  500, log P  $\leq$  5,  $\leq$  5 hydrogen bond donor sites and  $\leq$  10 hydrogen bond acceptor sites (N or O atoms), only then it can be accepted as a member of biological active drug family.<sup>28</sup>



Figure 5 Effect of addition of ct-DNA (4-100 µM) on (a) absorption (b) emission spectra of compound 6b (20 µM, pH 7.0) in phosphate buffer

Not considering this criteria may lead the poor absorption or permeation of the drug like molecule. Compounds selected for *in* 

<sup>55</sup> vitro anticancer studies showed good physicochemical properties having no violation with any of the parameters. The results obtained are concluded in table 5. A comparison among the values leave us with the result that higher activity of compound **6b** may be described on the basis of lower value of log P factor,

60 Table 5 Structural properties of 2-allyl-6-substitutedbenzo[*de*]isoquinoline-1,3-dione 6b-c and 6f-i, and the reference compounds.

| Compds    | m.wt.  | logP | TPSA  | nON | nOHNH | nviolations | volume |
|-----------|--------|------|-------|-----|-------|-------------|--------|
| -         |        | -    |       |     |       |             |        |
| 6b        | 322.36 | 2.60 | 51.54 | 5   | 0     | 0           | 294.65 |
| 6c        | 306.36 | 3.15 | 42.31 | 4   | 0     | 0           | 285.67 |
| 6f        | 345.35 | 3.47 | 84.22 | 6   | 2     | 0           | 300.15 |
| 6g        | 408.25 | 4.72 | 63.99 | 5   | 1     | 0           | 310.01 |
| 6ĥ        | 296.32 | 1.87 | 71.33 | 5   | 2     | 0           | 266.50 |
| 6i        | 292.33 | 3.15 | 51.10 | 4   | 1     | 0           | 275.04 |
| Amonafide | 283.33 | 1.11 | 68.33 | 5   | 2     | 0           | 258.74 |
| Naphthali | 393.47 | 4.90 | 60.40 | 4   | 2     | 0           | 333.61 |
| mide      |        |      |       |     |       |             |        |

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

#### analogue<sup>10</sup>

which is an estimate of compound's overall lipophilicity 65 (supported by low lipophilicity of amonafide). This parameter might be influence the factors like solubility and permeability through biological membrane.

#### 2.7. Molecular docking studies

Molecular docking is an interesting tool to predict the possible <sup>70</sup> drug–DNA interactions because the mode of action of various drugs like anticancer, antiviral, antibacterial, is directly associated with their binding to DNA.<sup>29</sup> To explore the most feasible binding site, interaction mode and binding affinity docking studies have been performed on compound **6b** with DNA (PDB <sup>75</sup> ID: 1BNA).<sup>30</sup> Most stable binding conformation of **6b** fits into the major groove comfortably without disrupting the double helical structure of DNA and stabilized by electrostatic interaction. The planarity of naphthalimide core is comfortable for strong *π*-*π* stacking interactions and fits inside the DNA <sup>80</sup> strands by van der Waals interaction and hydrophobic contacts

**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscrip** 

while morpholine moiety moved outside of the DNA strand (Figure 6). These results are consistent with UV-Vis and fluorescence studies. Therefore, docking of compound **6b** with DNA indicated the probable mode of action for anticancer s activities.



Figure 6 Molecular docked model of compound 6b with DNA (pdb ID 1BNA)

#### 3. Conclusion

15

The present work has led to the development of novel *N*-allyl naphthalimide analogues with different substitution of primary and secondary amines at C6 position. These compounds were <sup>20</sup> evaluated towards 60 human tumor cell lines for their *in vitro* activities and some of which shown promising antitumor activities. Compound **6b** showed more active in most of the cancer cell lines at 10 μM concentration range and showed broad spectrum of antitumor activity with MG MID GI<sub>50</sub> value of 84 <sup>25</sup> nM at five dose concentration levels. LDH leakage into the medium also confirmed the cytotoxic effect of this compound. Compound **6b** showed strong intercalating properties with ct-DNA determined by UV-Visible and fluorescence spectroscopy. Molecular docking studies indicating considerable interactions of <sup>30</sup> these compounds with DNA that also favor the intercalation of

so these compounds with DINA that also favor the intercalation of synthesized compounds. These preliminary encouraging results of biological screening could offer an excellent framework in this field and thus further studies of these molecules are in progress.

#### 4. Experimental

#### 35 4.1. Chemistry

All chemicals and solvents of commercial grade were used without further purification and were supplied by spectrochemicals and Sigma-Aldrich. Melting points were determined in open capillaries and were uncorrected. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C 40 NMR spectra were recorded on Jeol ECS-400 MHz spectrometer

- <sup>40</sup> NMR spectra were recorded on Jeol ECS-400 MHZ spectrometer at 400 MHz and 100 MHz respectively, using CDCl<sub>3</sub> as solvent. The chemical shifts were expressed in parts per million with TMS as internal reference and J values are given in Hz. Mass Spectra of the synthesized compounds were recorded at Waters
- <sup>45</sup> Micromass Q-Tof Micro. Elemental Analysis has been done with Thermo Scientific (Flash 2000) analyzer. UV-Visible spectra were recorded using Chamnion UV/Vis spectrometer. Fluorescence measurements were performed on a Varian Cary Eclipse fluorescence spectrometer. Thermal denaturation

50 experiments were performed on Shimadzu UV-2450. Reactions

were monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) with silica plate coated with silica gel HF-254 and column chromatography was performed with silica gel mesh size 60-120. Hexane: ethylacetate were adopted solvent systems.

#### 55 4.2. General procedure for synthesis of 2-allyl-6-bromobenzo[*de*]isoquinoline-1,3-dione (4)

Sodium dichromate (14.50 g, 55.34 mmol) was added to a solution of acenaphthene (3 g, 19.7 mmol) and acetic acid (60 ml) with continue stirring at room temperature. This suspension was

- <sup>60</sup> then heated to 75 °C for 8 h. Reaction was monitored with TLC. On complete reaction, cold water was added to the reaction mixture, resulted in precipitation. After filtration and washed off with water, yellow coloured solid of 1,8-naphthalic anhydride (2.9 g, 75%, m.p. 266-268 °C) 2 was collected and vacuum dried.
- 65 A solution of 1,8-naphthalic anhydride (2.57 g,12.9 mmol) and hot KOH (3.5 g in 15 ml water) was prepared and cooled to room temperature. To this solution, bromine was added drop-wise with vigorous stirring. After complete addition, reaction mixture was heated to 60 °C for 6 h. The resulted solution was acidified with
- <sup>70</sup> HCl. Brown coloured solid separated out and filtered. This solid residue was further heated with 60 ml of 5% NaOH solution, filtered and treated with HCl solution till complete neutralization. Off white precipitates separated out, filtered and washed with cold water, dried to get off white solid of 6-bromo-<sup>75</sup> benzo[*de*]isochromene-1,3-dione (3) (2.75 g, 77%, m.p. 117-119 °C). 6-Bromo-benzo[*de*]isochromene-1,3-dione (3.00 g, 10.8 mmol) was then reacted with allylamine (0.62 g, 10.8 mmol) in ethanol at reflux temperature for 8 h. After the completion of reaction (TLC), cooled the reaction mixture to get crude solid, <sup>80</sup> filtered and washed with ethanol to afford pure white compound of 2-allyl-6-bromo-benzo[*de*]isoquinoline-1,3-dione (2.4 g, 80%, m.p. 128-130 °C) (4).

An alternative method for synthesizing 2-allyl-6-bromobenzo[de]isoquinoline-1,3-dione has also been followed where 6ss bromo-benzo[de]isochromene-1,3-dione (**3**) (2.00 g, 7.20 mmol) was refluxed with ammonium hydroxide (21.6 mmol) and ethanol. The reaction mixture was then cooled and solid product Published on 01 May 2015. Downloaded by Freie Universitaet Berlin on 02/05/2015 12:24:11.

was filtered to get 6-bromo-benzo[*de*]isoquinoline-1,3-dione **5** (m.p. 134-136 °C). **5** (7.25 mmol) was further refluxed with allylbromide (7.50 mmol) in presence of EtOH to yield crude product, washed with ethanol to get white solid of 2-allyl-6-s bromo-benzo[*de*]isoquinoline-1,3-dione (**4**) (1.5 g, 65% overall, m.p. 128-130 °C).

#### 2-Allyl-6-bromo-benzo[de]isoquinoline-1,3-dione (4)

White solid (80 %); m.p. 128-130 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.66 (dd, <sup>2</sup>*J* = 7.32 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 0.92 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.56 <sup>10</sup> (dd, <sup>2</sup>*J* = 8.68 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 0.92 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.41 (d, *J* = 7.80 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.04 (d, *J* = 7.76 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.86 (dd, <sup>2</sup>*J* = 8.24 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 0.92 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.04-5.94 (m, 1H, CH), 5.35-5.30 (dq, <sup>2</sup>*J* = 17.4 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 1.36 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.24-5.20 (dq, <sup>2</sup>*J* = 10.3 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 1.36 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.80-4.78 (dt, <sup>2</sup>*J* = 5.96 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 1.36 Hz, 13.2 H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  163.3 (C=O), 133.4, 132.2, 131.9, 131.4, 131.1, 130.6, 130.5, 129.0, 128.1, 123.0, 122.1, 117.9 (ArC), 42.6 (N-CH<sub>2</sub>); MS (EI) : m/z 316.0 (M<sup>+</sup>+1). Anal. Calc. for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>10</sub>BrNO<sub>2</sub>: C, 56.99; H, 3.19; N, 4.43. Found: C, 56.71; H, 3.33; N, 4.59.

#### 20 4.3. General procedure for synthesis of 2-allyl-6-substitutedbenzo[*de*]isoquinoline-1,3-dione (6a-n)

A mixture of 2-allyl-6-bromo-benzo[*de*]isoquinoline-1,3-dione (0.20 g, 0.65 mmol) and corresponding amine (0.80 mmol) was refluxed for 8-12 h in the presence of potassium carbonate (0.059 g, 1.05 mmol), TBAHSO<sub>4</sub> (catalytic amount) in acetonitrile. After completion of reaction, reaction mixture was extracted with chloroform, separated the organic layer, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated to get solid crude product of 2-allyl-6-substituted-benzo[*de*]isoquinoline-1,3-dione (**6a-n**) which were <sup>30</sup> purified using column chromatography on silica gel using hexane:ethylacetate as eluents.

#### 2-Allyl-6-piperidin-1-yl-benzo[de]isoquinoline-1,3-dione (6a)<sup>31</sup>

Yellow solid (78 %); m.p. 115-117 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.59 (dd, <sup>2</sup>*J* = 7.36 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 1.40 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.51 <sup>35</sup> (d, *J* = 8.24 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.40 (dd, <sup>2</sup>*J* = 8.24 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 1.36 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.70 (dd, <sup>2</sup>*J* = 8.72 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 1.32 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.19 (d, *J* = 8.24 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.03-5.95 (m, 1H, CH), 5.32-5.27 (dq, <sup>2</sup>*J* = 17.2 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 1.40 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.20-5.17 (dq, <sup>2</sup>*J* = 10.32 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 1.36 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.81-4.78 (dt, <sup>2</sup>*J* = 5.52 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 1.36 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.24 (t, *J* = 5.04 Hz, 4H, pip-CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.91-1.86 (m, 4H, pip-CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.75-1.71 (m, 2H, pip-CH<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 164.3 (C=O), 163.8 (C=O), 157.3, 132.8, 132.4, 131.1, 130.7, 129.9, 126.2, 125.3, 122.9, 117.1, 115.7, 114.6 (ArC), 54.4 (pip-NCH<sub>2</sub>), 42.1 (N-CH<sub>2</sub>), 26.1 (pip-CH<sub>2</sub>), 24.3

(A1C), 54.4 (hp-RCH<sub>2</sub>), 42.1 (R-CH<sub>2</sub>), 20.1 (php-CH<sub>2</sub>), 24.3 45 (pip-CH<sub>2</sub>); MS (EI) : m/z 321.1 (M<sup>+</sup>+1). Anal. Calc. for  $C_{20}H_{20}N_2O_2$ : C, 74.98; H, 6.29; N, 8.74. Found: C, 74.79; H, 6.43; N, 8.95.

## 2-Allyl-6-morpholin-4-yl-benzo[*de*]isoquinoline-1,3-dione (6b)<sup>32</sup>

- <sup>50</sup> Yellow solid (87 %); m.p. 173-174 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.61 (dd, <sup>2</sup>J = 7.32 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J = 1.40 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.55 (d, J = 8.24 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.44 (dd, <sup>2</sup>J = 8.68 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J = 1.36 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.73 (dd, <sup>2</sup>J = 8.24 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J = 7.32 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.27 (t, J = 9.36 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.04-5.94 (m, 1H, CH), 5.33-5.28 (dq, <sup>2</sup>J s = 17.42 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J = 1.36 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.21-5.18 (dq, <sup>2</sup>J = 10.08 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J = 1.36 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.81-4.78 (dt, <sup>2</sup>J = 5.96 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J = 1.36 Hz,
  - This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

2H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.03 (t, J = 4.12 Hz, 4H, mor-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.27 (t, J = 4.60 Hz, 4H, mor-CH<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  161.3 (C=O), 160.8 (C=O), 152.9, 129.8, 129.5, 128.5, 127.3, 127.1, 123.3, 60 123.0, 120.4, 114.5, 114.2, 112.1 (ArC), 64.1 (mor-OCH<sub>2</sub>), 50.6 (mor-NCH<sub>2</sub>), 39.5 (N-CH<sub>2</sub>); MS (EI) : m/z 323.1 (M<sup>+</sup>+1). Anal. Calc. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: C, 70.79; H, 5.63; N, 8.69. Found: C, 70.55; H, 5.89; N, 8.83.

#### 2-Allyl-6-pyrrolidin-1-yl-benzo[*de*]isoquinoline-1,3-dione (6c)

<sup>65</sup> Yellow solid (76 %); m.p. 155-158 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.59-8.55 (m, 2H, ArH), 8.42 (d, J = 8.68 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.54 (dd, <sup>2</sup>J = 8.68 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J = 1.32 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.80 (d, J = 8.68 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.05-5.96 (m, 1H, CH), 5.31-5.26 (dq, <sup>2</sup>J = 16.96 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J = 1.36 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.19-5.16 (dq, <sup>2</sup>J = 10.54 Hz, 2H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.78 (t, J = 6.44 Hz, 4H, pyrr-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.11-2.08 (m, 4H, pyrr-CH<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 164.4 (C=O), 163.6 (C=O), 152.5, 133.4, 132.6, 131.9, 131.0, 122.8, 122.3, 122.2, 116.8, 110.1, 108.3 (ArC), 53.0 (pyrr-CH<sub>2</sub>), 42.0 (N-CH<sub>2</sub>), 75 25.9 (pyrr-CH<sub>2</sub>); MS (EI) : m/z 307.1 (M<sup>+</sup>+1). Anal. Calc. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 74.49; H, 5.92; N, 9.14. Found: C, 74.78; H, 5.77; N, 9.36.

#### 2-Allyl-6-(4-amino-phenylsulfanyl)-benzo[*de*]isoquinoline-1,3dione (6d)

- <sup>80</sup> Brown solid (60 %); m.p. 185-188 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.65-8.61 (m, 2H, ArH), 8.31 (d, *J* = 8.24 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.79 (dd, <sup>2</sup>*J* = 8.24 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 7.32 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.40 (d, *J* = 8.72 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.06 (d, *J* = 7.76 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.81 (d, *J* = 8.68 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.01-5.95 (m, 1H, CH), 5.32-5.26 (dq, <sup>2</sup>*J* =
- <sup>85</sup> 17.42 Hz,  ${}^{3}J = 1.36$  Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.21-5.17 (dq,  ${}^{2}J = 10.08$  Hz,  ${}^{3}J = 1.36$  Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.79-4.77 (dt,  ${}^{2}J = 5.48$  Hz,  ${}^{3}J = 1.36$  Hz, 2H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.01 (bs, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>);  ${}^{13}$ C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ 163.8 (C=O), 163.7 (C=O), 148.8, 148.3, 137.4, 132.1, 131.6, 131.0, 129.8, 128.3, 126.5, 122.9, 122.8, 118.5, 117.3, 116.3, 90 115.5 (ArC), 42.3 (N-CH<sub>2</sub>); MS (EI) : m/z 361.1 (M<sup>+</sup>+1). Anal. Calc. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S: C, 69.98; H, 4.47; N, 7.77; S, 8.90. Found: C, 69.83; H, 4.69; N, 7.52; S, 8.73.

#### 2-Allyl-6-(2-amino-phenylsulfanyl)-benzo[*de*]isoquinoline-1,3dione (6e)

- <sup>95</sup> Yellow solid (59 %); m.p. 205-208 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.49 (q, *J* = 8.28 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.11 (d, *J* = 7.80 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.72-7.62 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.57 (d, *J* = 8.24 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.47 (t, *J* = 7.76 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.82 (d, *J* = 8.24 Hz, 1H, ArH), 5.90-5.82 (m, 1H, CH), 5.24 (d, *J* = 16.96, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.17
  <sup>100</sup> (d, *J* = 10.08 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.64 (d, *J* = 5.96 Hz, 2H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 161.4 (C=O), 161.3 (C=O), 148.9, 143.1, 135.7, 130.7, 130.5, 129.7, 129.0, 128.2, 126.7, 126.4, 125.3, 120.8, 120.5, 116.8, 116.0, 115.0, 113.9, 106.6 (ArC), 42.3 (N-CH<sub>2</sub>); MS (EI): m/z 361.1 (M<sup>+</sup>+1). Anal. Calc. for
- $^{105}$  C\_21H\_{16}N\_2O\_2S: C, 69.98; H, 4.47; N, 7.77; S, 8.90. Found: C, 69.79; H, 4.64; N, 7.99; S, 8.65.

## 2-Allyl-6-(3-hydroxy-pyridin-2-ylamino)-benzo[*de*] isoquinoline-1,3-dione (6f)

Yellow solid (63 %); m.p. 210-212 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, <sup>110</sup> CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.70 (d, *J* = 8.72 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.65 (d, *J* = 7.36 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.48 (dd, <sup>2</sup>*J* = 8.24 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 0.92 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.06 (d, *J* = 5.04 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.82 (t, *J* = 7.56 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.29-7.27 (m, 1H, ArH), 6.94 (dd,  ${}^{2}J = 8.24$  Hz,  ${}^{3}J = 0.92$  Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.79-6.75 (m, 1H, ArH), 6.02-5.94 (m, 1H, CH), 5.33-5.29 (dt,  ${}^{2}J = 16.96$  Hz,  ${}^{3}J = 1.36$  Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.22-5.20 (dt,  ${}^{2}J = 10.08$  Hz,  ${}^{3}J = 1.40$  Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.80-4.77 (m, 2H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>);  ${}^{13}C$  NMR 5 (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  163.8 (C=O), 163.2 (C=O), 158.1, 151.8, 145.0, 136.4, 132.9, 132.1, 129.6, 128.4, 128.1, 126.8, 123.5, 122.5, 117.5, 117.1, 114.5, 109.8 (ArC), 42.3 (N-CH<sub>2</sub>); MS (EI) : m/z 346.1 (M<sup>+</sup>+1). Anal. Calc. for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>15</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: C, 69.56; H, 4.38; N, 12.17. Found: C, 69.33; H, 4.54; N, 12.43.

### 10 2-Allyl-6-(5-bromo-pyridin-2-ylamino)-benzo[*de*]isoquinoline -1,3-dione (6g)

Brown solid (53 %); m.p. 205-207 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.75 (dd, <sup>2</sup>*J* = 8.68 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 1.36 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.67 (dd, <sup>2</sup>*J* = 7.32 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 1.36 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.45 (d, *J* = 8.24 Hz, <sup>15</sup> 1H, ArH), 7.79 (dd, <sup>2</sup>*J* = 8.68 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 1.36 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.01 (d, *J* = 1.96 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.86 (d, *J* = 8.24 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.01 (d, *J* = 8.68 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.04-5.95 (m, 1H, CH), 5.33-5.28 (dq, <sup>2</sup>*J* = 17.18 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 1.36 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.22-5.18 (dq, <sup>2</sup>*J* = 10.08 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 1.36 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.81-4.79 (dt, <sup>2</sup>*J* = 5.96 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 1.36 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.81-4.79 (dt, <sup>2</sup>*J* = 5.96 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 1.36 Hz, 12.3, 122.0, 117.2, 116.3, 115.6, 109.3 (ArC), 42.2 (N-CH<sub>2</sub>); MS (EI) : m/z 345.1 (M<sup>+</sup>+1). Anal. Calc. for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>14</sub>BrN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 58.84; H, 3.46; N, 10.29. Found: C, 58.49; <sup>25</sup> H, 3.65; N, 10.07.

#### 2-Allyl-6-(2-hydroxy-ethylamino)-benzo[*de*]isoquinoline-1,3dione (6h)

Published on 01 May 2015. Downloaded by Freie Universitaet Berlin on 02/05/2015 12:24:11.

Brown liquid (57 %); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.56 (d, J = 7.32 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.44 (d, J = 8.68 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.35 (d, J = 8.28 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.61 (t, J = 8.24 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.70 (d, J = 8.72 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.34 (t, J = 4.36 Hz, 1H, NH), 6.01-5.96 (m, 1H, CH), 5.31-5.25 (dq, <sup>2</sup>J = 17.4 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J = 1.40 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.19-5.16 (dq, <sup>2</sup>J = 10.3 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J = 1.40 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.79-4.77 (dt, <sup>2</sup>J = 5.48 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J = 1.84 Hz, 2H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.21 (bs, 1H, OH), 35 4.00 (t, J = 4.60 Hz, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.53 (q, J = 5.04 Hz, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  164.3 (C=O), 163.8 (C=O), 163.9, 163.2, 158.0, 151.7, 149.4, 144.9, 136.4, 134.4, 132.9, 132.5, 132.1, 131.3, 129.7, 128.4, 128.1, 126.8, 126.1, 124.8, 123.5, 122.8, 122.5, 117.5, 117.2, 117.0, 114.5, 110.4, 109.9, <sup>40</sup> 104.4 (ArC), 60.4 (O-CH<sub>2</sub>), 45.2 (N-CH<sub>2</sub>), 42.3 (N-CH<sub>2</sub>); MS (EI) : m/z 297.0 (M<sup>+</sup>+1). Anal. Calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: C, 68.91; H, 5.44; N, 9.45. Found: C, 68.76; H, 5.79; N, 9.22.

#### 2-Allyl-6-allylamino-benzo[de]isoquinoline-1,3-dione (6i)

Brown liquid (50 %); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.59 (d, J = 457.32 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.47 (d, J = 8.72 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.14 (d, J = 8.72 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.64 (t, J = 8.28 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.73 (d, J = 8.72 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.04-5.96 (m, 2H, CH), 5.49 (bs, 1H, NH), 5.41-5.16 (m, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.80 (dd, <sup>2</sup>J = 5.96 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J = 1.36 Hz, 2H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.09 (t, J = 5.28 Hz, 2H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, 50 CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 164.4 (C=O), 163.8 (C=O), 149.0, 134.5, 132.9, 132.5, 131.2, 118.0, 116.9, 104.9 (ArC), 46.0 (N-CH<sub>2</sub>), 42.1 (N-CH<sub>2</sub>); MS (EI) : m/z 293.1 (M<sup>+</sup>+1). Anal. Calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 73.95; H, 5.52; N, 9.58. Found: C, 73.63; H, 5.70; N, 9.34.

#### 2-Allyl-6-propylamino-benzo[de]isoquinoline-1,3-dione (6j)

<sup>55</sup> Yellow liquid (80 %); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.58 (dd, <sup>2</sup>J = 7.32 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J = 0.92 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.46 (d, J = 8.24 Hz, 1H,

ArH), 8.11 (d, J = 7.80 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.61 (dd,  ${}^{2}J = 8.28$  Hz,  ${}^{3}J = 0.92$  Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.72 (d, J = 8.72 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.03-5.97 (m, 1H, CH), 5.36 (bs, 1H, NH), 5.31-5.26 (dq,  ${}^{2}J = 17.16$  Hz,  ${}^{3}J = 60$  1.40 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.19-5.16 (dq,  ${}^{2}J = 10.52$  Hz,  ${}^{3}J = 1.40$  Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.80 (dt,  ${}^{2}J = 5.48$  Hz,  ${}^{3}J = 1.36$  Hz, 2H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.40-3.35 (m, 2H, prop-NCH<sub>2</sub>), 1.87-1.81 (m, 2H, prop-CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.11 (t, J = 7.36 Hz, 3H, prop-CH<sub>3</sub>);  ${}^{13}C$  NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  164.4 (C=O), 163.8 (C=O), 149.5, 134.6, 132.6, 131.2, 129.8,

 $^{65}$  125.8, 124.6, 122.9, 120.0, 116.9, 109.9, 104.3 (ArC), 45.4 (N-CH<sub>2</sub>), 42.1 (N-CH<sub>2</sub>), 22.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 11.6 (CH<sub>3</sub>); MS (EI) : m/z 295.1 (M<sup>+</sup>+1). Anal. Calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 73.45; H, 6.16; N, 9.52. Found: C, 73.72; H, 6.30; N, 9.31.

#### 2-Allyl-6-butylamino-benzo[de]isoquinoline-1,3-dione (6k)

- <sup>70</sup> Yellow liquid (62 %); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.58 (dd, <sup>2</sup>*J* = 7.32 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 0.92 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.47 (d, *J* = 8.24 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.10 (dd, <sup>2</sup>*J* = 8.24 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 0.92 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.62 (dd, <sup>2</sup>*J* = 8.72 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 1.32 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.72 (d, *J* = 8.68 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.03-5.97 (m, 1H, CH), 5.31-5.26 (dq, <sup>2</sup>*J* = 17.18 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* =
- <sup>75</sup> 1.36 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.19-5.16 (dq,  ${}^{2}J$  = 10.08 Hz,  ${}^{3}J$  = 1.40 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.80-4.78 (dt,  ${}^{2}J$  = 5.96 Hz,  ${}^{3}J$  = 1.36 Hz, 2H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.43-3.38 (m, 2H, but-NCH<sub>2</sub>), 1.84-1.75 (m, 2H, but-CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.56-1.50 (m, 2H, but-CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.04 (t, *J* = 7.32 Hz, 3H, but-CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  164.4 (C=O), 163.8 (C=O), 149.5,
- $_{80}$  134.6, 132.6, 131.2, 129.8, 125.8, 124.6, 122.9, 120.0, 116.9, 109.9, 104.2 (ArC), 43.3 (but-NCH<sub>2</sub>), 42.1 (N-CH<sub>2</sub>), 30.9 (but-CH<sub>2</sub>), 20.3 (but-CH<sub>2</sub>), 13.8 (but-CH<sub>3</sub>); MS (EI) : m/z 309.2 (M<sup>+</sup>+1). Anal. Calc. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 74.00; H, 6.54; N, 9.08. Found: C, 74.33; H, 6.29; N, 9.37.

#### 85 2-Allyl-6-pentylamino-benzo[de/isoquinoline-1,3-dione (6l)

- Yellow liquid (59 %); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.59 (dd, <sup>2</sup>J = 7.32 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J = 0.92 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.47 (d, J = 8.24 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.10 (dd, <sup>2</sup>J = 8.24 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J = 0.92 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.63 (dd, <sup>2</sup>J = 8.68 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J = 7.32 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.72 (d, J = 8.24 Hz, 1H,
- <sup>90</sup> ArH), 6.03-5.97 (m, 1H, CH), 5.31-5.26 (dq,  ${}^{2}J$  = 17.18 Hz,  ${}^{3}J$  = 1.36 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.19-5.16 (dq,  ${}^{2}J$  = 10.08 Hz,  ${}^{3}J$  = 1.40 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.80-4.78 (dt,  ${}^{2}J$  = 5.48 Hz,  ${}^{3}J$  = 1.36 Hz, 2H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.42-3.37 (m, 2H, pent-NCH<sub>2</sub>), 1.85-1.78 (m, 2H, pent-CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.50-1.38 (m, 4H, pent-CH<sub>2</sub>), 0.97 (t, *J* = 7.36 Hz, 3H, pent-CH<sub>3</sub>);
- <sup>95</sup> <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 164.4 (C=O), 163.8 (C=O), 149.5, 134.6, 132.6, 131.2, 129.8, 125.8, 124.6, 122.9, 120.1, 116.9, 109.9, 104.3 (ArC), 43.6 (pent-NCH<sub>2</sub>), 42.1 (N-CH<sub>2</sub>), 29.2 (pent-CH<sub>2</sub>), 28.6 (pent-CH<sub>2</sub>), 22.4 (pent-CH<sub>2</sub>), 13.9 (pent-CH<sub>3</sub>); MS (EI) : m/z 323.2 (M<sup>+</sup>+1). Anal. Calc. for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 100 74.51; H, 6.88; N, 8.69. Found: C, 74.68; H, 6.59; N, 8.57.

#### 2-Allyl-6-hexylamino-benzo[de]isoquinoline-1,3-dione (6m)

Yellow liquid (51 %); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.61 (dd, <sup>2</sup>J = 7.76 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J = 1.36 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.49 (d, J = 8.24 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.09 (d, J = 7.80 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.65 (dd, <sup>2</sup>J = 8.24 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J = 1.32 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.74 (d, J = 8.28 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.04-5.95 (m, 1H, CH), 5.32-5.26 (dq, <sup>2</sup>J = 17.2 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J = 1.36 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.20-5.16 (dq, <sup>2</sup>J = 10.08 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J = 1.36 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.80-4.78 (dt, <sup>2</sup>J = 5.52 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J = 1.36 Hz, 2H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.43-3.38 (m, 2H, hex-NCH<sub>2</sub>), 1.85-1.78 (m, 2H, hex-CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.52-1.46 (m, 2H, hex-110 CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.38-1.36 (m, 4H, hex-CH<sub>2</sub>), 0.94-0.91 (m, 3H, hex-CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  164.4 (C=O), 163.8 (C=O), 149.4, 134.6, 132.6, 131.2, 129.8, 125.8, 124.6, 123.0, 120.1, 116.9, 110.0, 104.3 (ArC), 43.7 (hex-NCH<sub>2</sub>), 22.5 (hex-CH<sub>2</sub>), 14.0

(hex-CH<sub>3</sub>); MS (EI) : m/z 337.2 (M<sup>+</sup>+1). Anal. Calc. for  $C_{21}H_{24}N_2O_2$ : C, 74.97; H, 7.19; N, 8.33. Found: C, 74.67; H, 7.32; N, 8.47.

#### 2-Allyl-6-octylamino-benzo[de]isoquinoline-1,3-dione (6n)

<sup>5</sup> Yellow liquid (49 %); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.54 (d, J = 7.36 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.43 (d, J = 8.24 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.13 (d, J = 8.24 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.57 (t, J = 8.04 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.68 (d, J = 8.72 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.03-5.96 (m, 1H, CH), 5.53 (t, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H, NH), 5.31-5.26 (dq,  ${}^{2}J = 17.42$  Hz,  ${}^{3}J = 1.36$  Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), <sup>10</sup> 5.19-5.15 (dq,  ${}^{2}J$  = 10.08 Hz,  ${}^{3}J$  = 1.36 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.79 (d, J = 5.52 Hz, 2H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.40-3.35 (m, 2H, octyl-NCH<sub>2</sub>), 1.83-1.76 (m, 2H, octyl-CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.51-1.44 (m, 2H, octyl-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.38-1.25 (m, 8H, octyl-CH<sub>2</sub>), 0.89 (t, J = 6.88 Hz, 3H, octyl-CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 164.3 (C=O), 163.7 (C=O), 149.6, 134.5, 15 132.5, 131.1, 129.7, 126.0, 124.4, 122.7, 120.0, 116.9, 109.6, 104.1 (ArC), 43.6 (octyl-NCH<sub>2</sub>), 42.0 (N-CH<sub>2</sub>), 31.7 (octyl-CH<sub>2</sub>), 29.2 (octyl-CH<sub>2</sub>), 29.1 (octyl-CH<sub>2</sub>), 28.8 (octyl-CH<sub>2</sub>), 27.1 (octyl-CH<sub>2</sub>), 22.5 (octyl-CH<sub>2</sub>), 14.0 (octyl-CH<sub>3</sub>); MS (EI) : m/z 365.2  $(M^{+}+1)$ . Anal. Calc. for  $C_{23}H_{28}N_2O_2$ : C, 75.79; H, 7.74; N, 7.69. 20 Found: C, 75.95; H, 7.89; N, 7.53.

#### 4.4. In vitro evaluation assay

*In vitro* anticancer screening at NCI is a two-stage process, beginning with the evaluation of all compounds against the 60 cell lines at a single dose of 10 µM. The output from the single 25 dose screen is reported as a mean graph and is available for analysis by the COMPARE program. Compounds which exhibit significant growth inhibition are evaluated against the 60 cell panel at five concentration levels. The human tumor cell lines of the cancer screening panel are grown in RPMI 1640 medium <sup>30</sup> containing 5% fetal bovine serum and 2 mM L-glutamine. For a typical screening experiment, cells are inoculated into 96 well microtiter plates in 100 mL at plating densities ranging from 5000 to 40,000 cells/well depending on the doubling time of individual cell lines. After cell inoculation, the microtiter plates are <sup>35</sup> incubated at 37° C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, 95% air and 100% relative humidity

for 24 h prior to addition of experimental drugs. After 24 h, two plates of each cell line are fixed in situ with TCA, to represent a measurement of the cell population for each cell line at the time of drug addition (Tz). Experimental drugs are solubilized in dimethyl sulfoxide at 400 fold the desired final maximum test concentration and stored frozen prior to use. At the time of drug addition, an aliquot of frozen concentrate is thawed and diluted to twice the desired final maximum test concentration with complete medium containing 50 µg/ml gentamicin.

- <sup>45</sup> Additional four, 10-fold or  $\frac{1}{2}$  log serial dilutions are made to provide a total of five drug concentrations plus control. Aliquots of 100  $\mu$ L of these different drug dilutions are added to the appropriate microtiter wells already containing 100  $\mu$ L of medium, resulting in the required final drug concentrations.
- so Following drug addition, the plates are incubated for an additional 48 h at 37° C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, 95% air, and 100% relative humidity. For adherent cells, the assay is terminated by the addition of cold TCA. Cells are fixed in situ by the gentle addition of 50  $\mu$ L of cold 50% (w/v) TCA (final concentration,
- 55 10% TCA) and incubated for 60 min at 4° C. The supernatant is discarded, and the plates are washed five times with tap water and air dried. Sulforhodamine B (SRB) solution (100 μl) at 0.4% (w/v) in 1% acetic acid is added to each well, and plates are incubated for 10 min at room temperature. After staining, a unbound due is removed by washing five times with 1% acetic
- 60 unbound dye is removed by washing five times with 1% acetic

acid and the plates are air dried. Bound stain is subsequently solubilized with 10 mM trizma base, and the absorbance is read on an automated plate reader at a wavelength of 515 nm. For suspension cells, the methodology is the same except that the <sup>65</sup> assay is terminated by fixing settled cells at the bottom of the wells by gently adding 50  $\mu$ L of 80% TCA (final concentration, 16% TCA). Using the seven absorbance measurements [time zero, (Tz), control growth, (C), and test growth in the presence of drug at the five concentration levels (Ti)], the percentage growth inhibition is calculated as:

 $[(Ti - Tz)/(C-Tz)] \times 100$  for concentrations for which Ti>/= Tz

 $[(Ti - Tz)/Tz] \times 100$  for concentrations for which Ti < Tz:

Three dose response parameters are calculated for each <sup>75</sup> experimental agent. Growth inhibition of 50% (GI<sub>50</sub>) is calculated from [(Ti-Tz)/(C-Tz)] ×100 = 50, which is the drug concentration resulting in a 50% reduction in the net protein increase (as measured by SRB staining) in control cells during the drug incubation. The drug concentration resulting in total growth <sup>80</sup> inhibition (TGI) is calculated from Ti = Tz. The LC<sub>50</sub> (concentration of drug resulting in a 50% reduction in the measured protein at the end of the drug treatment as compared to that at the beginning) indicating a net loss of cells following treatment is calculated from [(Ti-Tz) / Tz] ×100 = -50. Values are <sup>85</sup> calculated for each of these three parameters if the level of activity is reached; however, if the effect is not reached or is exceeded, the value for that parameter is expressed as greater or less than the maximum or minimum concentration tested.

#### 4.5. MTT assay

Hek293 (Human embryonic kidney) cells, DMEM with 50mM glutamine, 10% FBS, 100 U/ml pencillin and 100 mg/ml streptomycin. The test was performed against Hek293 (Human embryonic kidney) cells. Cells were seeded in 96 well plates at the density of 1x10<sup>-5</sup> cells/well in DMEM media 95 supplemented with 10% FBS cells. Cells were incubated at 37 <sup>o</sup>C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> incubator. Cells were treated with compound **6b** at five concentrations  $(10^{-4}, 10^{-5}, 10^{-6}, 10^{-7}, 10^{-8} \text{ M})$  for 24 h at 37 °C. 10 µl of MTT (prepared in 1\* PBS buffer) from 5 mg/ml stock was added in each well and incubated at 37 °C <sup>100</sup> for 4 h in dark. The formazan crystals were dissolved using 100 µl of DMSO. Further, the amount of formazan crystal formation was measured as difference in absorbance by Bio-Red ELISA plate reader at 570 nm and 690 nm reference wavelength. The relative cell toxicity (%) related to control 105 wells containing culture medium without test material was calculated by using formula:

% Cell Toxicity = 
$$100 - \frac{OD (Compound treated wells)}{OD (Untreated Wells)} \times 100$$

#### 4.6. LDH activity

A549 cells (5x10<sup>6</sup>cells/well) were seeded in 96 well plates with DMEM (Biological Industries) media contains 10% FBS (Sigma-Aldrich) and 1% PenStrep (Sigma-Aldrich), incubated at 37 °C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> incubator. Cells were allowed to adhere. After 24 h, containing media was replaced by fresh media and treated with mentioned concentration of compound again 60

65

70

75

80

85

90

100

105

110

115

**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript** 

following incubation for 12 and 24 h respectively in 5%CO<sub>2</sub> incubator at 37 °C. Removal of aliquot of the culture medium form seeded cell followed by the addition of Lactate Dehydrogenase assay mixture (LDH assay substrate solution, 5 LDH assay dye solution, and 1× LDH assay cofactor) into each sample in a volume equal to twice. Plates were covered with aluminum foil to protect from light and incubated at

reaction was terminated by the addition of 1/10 volume of 1 N <sup>10</sup> HCl to each well. Absorbance measured at wavelength of 490 nm by ELISA reader. Measure absorbance of the blank was subtracted from this value.

room temperature for 20-30 minutes. After incubation

#### 4.7. DNA binding studies

Stock solution of compound 6b (1 mM) was prepared by 15 dissolving 6b in AR grade DMSO. The DNA binding experiments were carried out by making dilution of stock solution with phosphate buffer. Stock solution of ct-DNA was prepared by dissolving DNA in phosphate buffer (10 mM, pH 7.0). The DNA concentration was estimated from its absorbance intensity at 260 20 nm with a known molar absorption coefficient value of 6600 dm<sup>3</sup>M<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup>. The purity of DNA was established from ratio of absorbance intensity at 260 nm and at 280 nm.

UV-Vis and Fluorescence titrations: The titration experiments were performed by varying the concentration of ct-DNA and  $_{25}$  keeping the compound concentration constant (20  $\mu$ M). All the UV spectra were recorded after equilibration of solution for 5 min. Fluorescence titration were carried out on Cary Eclipse Fluorescence Spectrophotometer at ambient temperature. A slit width of 10 nm was used with  $\lambda_{ex} = 400$  nm. The titration 30 experiment was accomplished by varying the concentration of DNA in cuvette (0.5-150 µM).

DNA thermal denaturation: DNA melting experiments were carried out by observing the absorbance of ct-DNA at 280 nm at various temperature in the absence and presence of compound 35 with a ramp rate of 0.5 °C/min in a phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) on a Shimadzu Spectrophotometer equipped with a Peltier thermo regulator.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Department of Science and Technology, New Delhi 40 (SR/FT/CS-40/2010) for the research grant. We also thank SAI Labs, Thapar University, Patiala for recording NMR spectra and Punjab University, Chandigarh for recording mass spectra. NCI, USA and Institute for Industrial research and toxicology (IIRT), India is gratefully acknowledged for investigating antitumour 45 activities. We also thank Dr. N. Tejo Prakash for his valuable guidance.

#### **References and notes**

- (a) S. Neidle and D. E. Thurston, Nat. Rev. Cancer, 2005, 5, 285. 1. (b) K. Ninomiya, H. Satoh, T. Sugiyama, M. Shinomiya and R.
- Kuroda, Chem. Commun., 1996, 1825. (c) Y. H. Du, J. Huang, 50 X. C. Weng and X. Zhou, Curr. Med. Chem.: Anti-Cancer Agents, 2010, 17, 173. (d) G. Bischoff and S. Hoffmann, Curr. Med. Chem.: Anti-Cancer Agents, 2002, 9, 321. (e) L. H. Hurley, Nat. Rev. Cancer, 2002, 2, 188.
- 55 2. M. F. Brana and A. Ramos, Curr. Med. Chem.: Anti-cancer Agents, 2001, 1, 237.

- E. Van Quaquebeke, T. Mahieu, P. Dumont, J. Dewelle, F. Ribaucour, G. Simon, S. Sauvage, J. F. Gaussin, J. Tuti, M. El Yazidi, F. Van Vynckt, T. Mijatovic, F. Lefranc, F. Darro and R. Kiss, J. Med. Chem., 2007, 50, 4122.
- (a) V. K. Malviya, P. Y. Liu, D. S. Alberts, E. A. Surwit, J. B. 4. Craig and E. V. Hannigan, Am. J. Clin. Oncol., 1992, 15, 41. (b) I. Ott, X. Qian, Y. Xu, D. H. W. Vlecken, I. J. Marques, D. Kubutat, J. Will, W. S. Sheldrick, P. Jesse, A. Prokop and C. P. Bagowski, J. Med. Chem., 2009, 52, 763.
- 5. R. Rosell, J. Carles, A. Abad, N. Ribelles, A. Barnadas, A. Benavides and M. Martin, Invest. New Drugs, 1992, 10, 171.
- 6. M. F. Brana, M. Cacho, M. A. Garcia, B. de Pascual-Teresa, A. Ramos, N. Acero, F. Llinares, D. Munoz-Mingarro, C. Abradelo, M. F. Rey-Stolle and M. Yuste, J. Med. Chem., 2002, 45, 5813.
- U. Hossain Sk, A. S. P. Gowda, M. A. Crampsie, J. K. Yun, T. E. 7. Spratt, S. Amin and A. K. Sharma, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2011, 46, 3331.
- M. J. Ratain, R. Mick, F. Berezin, L. Janisch, R. L. Schilsky, N. 8. J. Vogelzang and L.B. Lane, Cancer Res. 1993, 53, 2304.
- 9. Q. Yang, P. Yang, X. Qian and L. Tong, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2008, 18, 6210
- M. Verma, V. Luxami and K. Paul, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2013, 10 68, 352
- (a) K. Wang, Y. Wang, X. Yan, H. Chen, G. Ma, P. Zhang, J. Li, 11. X. Li and J. Zhang, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2012, 22, 937. (b) L. Xie, J. Cui, X. Qian, Y. Xu, J. Liu and R. Xu, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 2011, 19, 961.
- X. Li, Y. Lin, Q. Wang, Y. Yuan, H. Zhang and X. Qian, Eur. J. 12. Med. Chem., 2011, 46, 1274.
- 13. X. Li, Y. Lin, Y. Yuan, K. Liu and X. Qian, Tetrahedron, 2011, 67. 2299
- K.-R. Wang, F. Qian, X.-M. Wang, G.-H. Tan, R.-X. Rong, Z.-14 R. Cao, H. Chen, P.-Z. Zhang and X.-L. Li, Chin. Chem. Lett., 2014, 25, 1087
- T. Mijatovic, T. Mahieu, C. Bruyère, N. De Nève, J. Dewelle, G. 15. Simon, M. J. M. Dehoux, E. v. Aar, B. Haibe-Kains, G. Bontempi, C. Decaestecker, E. V. Quaquebeke, F. Darro and R. Kiss, Neoplasia, 2008, 10, 573.
- Z. Li, Q. Yang and X. Qian, Tetrahedron, 2005, 61, 6634. 16.
- 17. R. Martinez, L. Chacon-Garcia, Curr. Med. Chem. 2005, 12, 127
- 18. S. Banerjee, E. B. Veale, C. M. Phelan, S. A. Murphy, G. M. Tocci, L. J. Gillespie, D. O. Frimannsson, J. M. Kelly and T. Gunnlaugsson, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 1601.
- 19 M. R. Grever, S. A. Sehepartz and B. A. Chabners, Semin. Oncol., 1992, 19, 622.
- 20. A. Monks, D. Schudiero, P. Skehan, R. Shoemaker, K. Paull, D. Vistica, C. Hose, J. Langley, P. Cronise, A. Vaigro-Wolff, M. Gray-Goodrich, H. Campbell, J. Mayo and M. J. Boyd, Natl. Cancer Inst., 1991, 83, 757
- 21 M. R. Boyd and K. D. Paull, Drug Dev. Res., 1995, 34, 91.
- (a) N. J. Wheate, S. Walker, G. E. Craig and R. Oun, Dalton 22. Trans., 2010, 39, 8113. (b) O. M. Alian, A. S. Azmi and R. M. Mohammad, Clin. Transl. Med., 2012, 1, 26.
- G. Belmadani, A. M. Tramu, P. S. Betbeder and E. E. Steyn, 23. Arch. Toxicol., 1998, 72, 656.
- S. Tan, H. Yin, Z. Chen, X. Qian and Y. Xu, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 24. 2013, 62, 130.
- 25. Mudasir, E. T. Wahyuni, D. H. Tjahjono, N. Yosioka, H. Inoue, Spectrochim. Acta Part A, 2010, 77, 528.
- J. M. Ruso, D. Attwood, M. García, P. Taboada, L. M. Varela 26. and V. Mosquera, Langmuir, 2001, 17, 5189. (b) T. Banerjee, S. K. Singh and N. Kishore, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2006, 110, 24147.
- 27. G. Vistoli, A. Pedretti and B. Testa, Drug Discovery Today, 2008, 13, 285.
- 28. C. A. Lipinski, F. Lombardo, B. W. Dominy and P. J. Feeney, Adv. Drug Delivery Rev., 2001, 46, 3.
- R. Rohs, I. Bloch, H. Sklenar and Z. Shakked, Nucleic Acids 29 Res., 2005, 33, 7048.
- Compounds were constructed and docked with builder toolkit of 30 the software package ArgusLab 4.0.1 (www.arguslab.com).
- 31. http://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/assay/assay.cgi?aid=602473.

120

125

5

32. R. H. Guenther, S. P. Yenne and J. R. Szewczyk, 'Screening methods for identifying specific staphylococcus aureus inhibitors' *US Pat.*, 0163037, 2014.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]