A Structure–Affinity Relationship Study on Derivatives of *N*-[2-[4-(4-Chlorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl]ethyl]-3-methoxybenzamide, a High-Affinity and Selective D₄ Receptor Ligand

Roberto Perrone,* Francesco Berardi, Nicola A. Colabufo, Marcello Leopoldo, and Vincenzo Tortorella

Dipartimento Farmaco-Chimico, Universitá di Bari, via Orabona, 4, 70126 Bari, Italy

Received August 2, 1999

N-[2-[4-(4-Chlorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl]ethyl]-3-methoxybenzamide (1), a high-affinity and selective dopamine D₄ receptor ligand, was chosen as a lead, and structural modifications were done on its amide bond and on its alkyl chain linking the benzamide moiety to the piperazine ring and by preparing some semirigid analogues. The binding profile at dopamine D₄ and dopamine D₂, serotonin 5-HT_{1A}, and adrenergic α_1 receptors of 16 new compounds was determined. From the results emerged that the modification of the amide bond and the elongation of the intermediate alkyl chain caused a decrease in dopamine D₄ receptor affinity. All prepared semirigid analogues displayed D₄ receptor affinity values in the same range of the opened counterparts.

Currently, much research effort is being focused on the discovery of highly selective dopamine D₄ receptor ligands.¹ The reason for the interest in this area derives from the possible involvement of D₄ receptor in schizophrenia.²⁻⁵ Recent advances in molecular biology have identified five cloned human subtypes of dopamine receptor, divided pharmacologically into two classes: D₁like $(D_1 \text{ and } D_5)^{6,7}$ and D_2 -like $(D_2, D_3, \text{ and } D_4)^{.8-10}$ Classical antipsychotic drugs are presumed to act by an unselective blockade of D₂-like dopamine receptors.¹¹ These drugs are useful for the treatment of positive symptoms of schizophrenia, and their use is limited by disabling side effects such as the unwanted extrapyramidal syndrome (EPS), tardive dyskinesia, and hormonal side effects such as hyperprolactinemia.¹² On the other hand, unlike classical neuroleptics, the atypical antipsychotic clozapine can be used to treat both positive and negative symptoms of schizophrenia and it causes fewer cases of EPS.¹³ These beneficial effects of clozapine have been assigned to its approximately 10-fold higher affinity for D₄ receptor than D₂ receptor. Unfortunately, clozapine displays high affinity for a variety of other receptors and produces fatal agranulocytosis in approximately 2% of patients.¹⁴ In the last five years, several research groups have communicated on a number of series of D_4 receptor ligands. Some of them underwent clinical trials giving somewhat different results. For example, L-745,870 was found to be ineffective as an antipsychotic in humans;¹⁵ on the contrary, CP-293,019 was claimed to display an excellent activity in an in vivo model responsive to antipsychotic drugs, yet lacked the property to produce APO-induced stereotypy and to induce catalepsy.¹⁶ Therefore, to date, new potent and selective D₄ receptor ligands are needed to clarify the role of the D4 receptors in the etiology of schizophrenia and related disorders. Our research group initiated a research program aimed to discover new D₄



CP-293,019

receptor ligands with 1-arylpiperazine structure¹⁷ which led to N-[2-[4-(4-chlorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl]ethyl]-3methoxybenzamide (1).¹⁸ This derivative exhibited very high affinity toward dopamine D_4 receptor ($K_i = 0.04$ nM) with a >10000-fold selectivity versus the D₂ receptor. In the present paper we studied some structural modifications with the aim to put in evidence the fundamental structural requirement for the high affinity and selectivity of compound 1 at D₄ receptor. We first studied the importance of the amide function in compound 1 through the substitution of the amido group with a keto group (compound 28), the replacing of the amide function with two methylene groups (compound **29**), and the reduction of the amide function (compound **30**). Furthermore, we provided for the synthesis of the corresponding retro amide 31 and the methylation of the nitrogen of the amide function (compound 32). Subsequently, we studied the effect on D_4 receptor binding affinity of the alkyl chain length for the most high affinity compounds (derivatives 1 and 28) by preparing compounds 33-37. Finally, as we noted that the optimal chain length was of two methylene groups

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. Phone: +39 080 54 42 752. Fax: +39 080 54 42 724. E-mail: perrone@farmchim.uniba.it.

Scheme 1^a



^{*a*} Reagents: (A) (CH₃)₃SiCN, ZnI₂; (B) (i) LDA, Br(CH₂)₃Cl, (ii) 2 N HCl; (C) BrMg(CH₂)₄Cl or BrMg(CH₂)₅Cl; (D) Jones' reagent; (E) (CH₃)₃CNH₂·BH₃, AlCl₃; (F) Cl(CH₂)₂COCl; (G) 1-(4-chlorophenyl)piperazine (**14**).

(n = 2), some semirigid congeners of compounds 1, 29, and 30 were prepared and tested. In particular, compounds 38 and 39, 40 and 41, 42 and 43 can be considered structurally related with compounds 1, 29, and 30, respectively.

Chemistry

The final step for the preparation of compounds **28**, **29**, **31**, **36**, **37**, **40**, and **41** was the alkylation of 1-(4-chlorophenyl)piperazine (**14**) with the appropriate alkyl halides (Scheme 1). Among the latter compounds, derivatives **9** and **10** were prepared according to the reported procedure,¹⁹ and the remaining were obtained as described below.

Ketone **6** was prepared as follows: 3-methoxybenzaldehyde (**2**) was reacted with trimethylsilyl cyanide to give the trimethylsilyl cyanohydrin derivative **3**;²⁰ the latter was transformed into its anion with lithium diisopropylamide (LDA) and then it was alkylated with 1-bromo-3-chloropropane.²¹ The protected cyanohydrin so obtained gave the intermediate ketone **6** by subsequent treatment with dilute hydrochloric acid.

The ketones **7** and **8** were obtained from 3-methoxybenzaldehyde (**2**) which was reacted with the appropriate Grignard reagent to give the secondary alcohols **4** and **5**; oxidation of the latter compounds with Jones' reagent gave the key intermediates **7** and **8**.

Chlorobutyl derivative **12** was obtained from ketone **6** by reduction of the carbonyl function with *tert*-butylamino borane complex in the presence of AlCl₃.²²

The intermediate anilide **13** was prepared by acylation of 3-methoxyaniline **(11)** with 3-chloropropionyl chloride.

The synthesis of compounds **33**–**35** (Scheme 2) required amines **17**–**19** as the key intermediates. The amines **17** and **18** were prepared as described in the literature.²³ The amine **19** was prepared by alkylating 1-(4-chlorophenyl)piperazine (**14**) with 4-chloropentanenitrile to give compound **15**; reduction of the latter with borane methyl sulfide complex²⁴ yielded amine **19**. Finally, benzamides **33**–**35** were obtained by condensing 3-methoxybenzoyl chloride with the amines **17**–**19**. Tetrahydronaphthalenamine derivatives **42** and **43** were prepared by condensing methoxy-1-tetralones **20** and **21** with the amine **16**²³ and then reducing the intermediate imines with NaBH₄.

The amine **30** was prepared by reducing benzamide **1** with borane methyl sulfide complex.²⁴

N-Methylated benzamide **32** and cyclic amides **38** and **39** were prepared (Scheme 2) by reacting, under phasetransfer catalysis,²⁵ 4-(2-chloroethyl)-1-(4-chlorophenyl)piperazine²⁶ (**22**) with the *N*-methyl-3-methoxybenzamide²⁷ (**23**) or intermediate amides **26** and **27**, respectively. Isoquinolinone **26** was prepared, following the same synthetic procedure reported for its isomer **27**,²⁸ starting from 2-methoxyphenethylamine (**24**) which was reacted with methyl chloroformate to give the carbamate **25**; cyclization of the latter with polyphosphoric acid afforded isoquinolinone **26**. Scheme 2^a



^{*a*} Reagents: (A) Cl(CH₂)₄CN; (B) (CH₃)₂S·BH₃; (C) 3-methoxybenzoyl chloride; (D) (i) *p*-toluenesulfonic acid, (ii) NaBH₄; (E) Br(CH₂)₂Cl; (F) ClCOOCH₃, triethylamine; (G) polyphosphoric acid; (H) tetrabutylammonium bromide, KOH.

Table 1. Physical Properties and Binding Affinities of Derivatives 28-37



						$K_{\rm i}\pm$ SEM, nM ^a			
cpd	Х	n	$\mathbf{formula}^{b}$	mp, °C	recryst solv	D _{4.4}	D_{2L}	$5-HT_{1A}$	α1
1 ^c	CONH	2				0.040 ± 0.002	1900 ± 250	147 ± 14	245 ± 30
28	COCH ₂	2	$C_{21}H_{25}ClN_2O_2$	96-97	CHCl ₃ / <i>n</i> -hexane	4.0 ± 0.8	2550 ± 140	183 ± 11	908 ± 37
29	CH_2CH_2	2	$C_{21}H_{27}ClN_2O\cdot 2HCl\cdot 1/_2H_2O$	161	MeOH/Et ₂ O	204 ± 19	2740 ± 200	514 ± 29	>850 (25%) ^d
30	CH_2NH	2	C ₂₀ H ₂₆ ClN ₃ O·2HCl	235	MeOH/Et ₂ O	23 ± 9	5000 ± 210	>850 (16%)	>850 (12%)
31	NHCO	2	C ₂₀ H ₂₄ ClN ₃ O ₂ ·2HCl	254 dec	MeOH/Et ₂ O	42 ± 8	282 ± 21	859 ± 93	303 ± 28
32	CON(CH ₃)	2	C21H26ClN3O2·HCl	237 - 239	MeOH	180 ± 12	>850 (10%)	>850 (18%)	>850 (22%)
33	CONH	3	$C_{21}H_{26}ClN_3O_2$	121 - 123	CHCl ₃ / <i>n</i> -hexane	5.4 ± 0.7	3950 ± 150	>850 (41%)	437 ± 31
34	CONH	4	$C_{22}H_{28}ClN_3O_2 \cdot HCl \cdot \frac{5}{4}H_2O$	191 - 193	MeOH/Et ₂ O	25 ± 2	2350 ± 270	397 ± 32	406 ± 40
35	CONH	5	C23H30ClN3O2·HCl	189 - 190	MeOH/Et ₂ O	241 ± 35	>850 (22%)	>850 (44%)	470 ± 25
36	$COCH_2$	3	C ₂₂ H ₂₇ ClN ₂ O ₂ ·HCl· ³ / ₂ H ₂ O	179 - 180	MeOH/Et ₂ O	21 ± 8	>850 (20%)	37 ± 6	146 ± 12
37	$COCH_2$	4	$C_{23}H_{29}ClN_2O_2$	75 - 77	CHCl ₃ / <i>n</i> -hexane	280 ± 21	>850 (15%)	45 ± 8	678 ± 35
clozapine						30.0 ± 0.3	876 ± 72	443 ± 13	56 ± 8
haloperidol						1.0 ± 0.1	5.6 ± 0.3	2390 ± 320	146 ± 18

^{*a*} Data are the mean of three independent determinations (samples in triplicate). ^{*b*} Analyses for C, H, N; results were within $\pm 0.4\%$ of the theoretical values for the formulas given. ^{*c*} See ref 18. ^{*d*} Full K_i not obtained, percentage inhibition at the concentration shown given in parentheses. Values taken from only one experiment.

Pharmacology

All final compounds (Tables 1 and 2) were tested for their in vitro binding affinities toward dopamine $D_{4.4}$ and D_{2L} , serotonin 5-HT_{1A}, and α_1 adrenergic receptors. The following specific radioligands and tissue sources were used: (a) dopamine $D_{4.4}$ receptors—[³H]YM 09151-2, human cloned in *SI*9 baculovirus expression; (b) dopamine D_{2L} receptors—[³H]spiroperidol, human cloned in *SI*9 baculovirus expression; (c) serotonin 5-HT_{1A} receptors—[³H]-8-OH-DPAT, rat hippocampal

Table 2. Physical Properties and Binding Affinities of Derivatives 38-43



								$K_{ m i}\pm$ SEM, nM ^a			
cpd	type	R_1	R_2	Х	$\mathbf{formula}^{b}$	mp, °C	recryst solv	D_4	D_2	$5\text{-}HT_{1A}$	α_1
38 39 40	A A B	H OCH3 H	OCH3 H OCH3	CH_2	$\begin{array}{l} C_{22}H_{26}ClN_{3}O_{2}\textbf{\cdot} 2HCl\textbf{\cdot}^{3}/_{2}H_{2}O\\ C_{22}H_{26}ClN_{3}O_{2}\\ C_{24}H_{31}ClN_{2}O\textbf{\cdot} 2HCl \end{array}$	234 120–122 230–231	MeOH/Et ₂ O CHCl ₃ / <i>n</i> -hexane MeOH/Et ₂ O	$\begin{array}{c} 42\pm 8 \\ 141\pm 15 \\ 416\pm 24 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3170 \pm 120 \\ 3960 \pm 210 \\ 3400 \pm 140 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 451 \pm 78 \\ 11 \pm 1 \\ 105 \pm 15 \end{array}$	$631 \pm 27 \\ > 850 \ (33\%)^c \\ > 850 \ (34\%)$
41 42 43	B B B	OCH ₃ H OCH ₃	H OCH ₃ H	CH ₂ NH NH	C ₂₄ H ₃₁ ClN ₂ O·2HCl C ₂₃ H ₃₀ ClN ₃ O·2HCl C ₂₃ H ₃₀ ClN ₃ O·2HCl· ¹ / ₂ H ₂ O	196–198 256–258 235 dec	MeOH MeOH MeOH/Et2O	$\begin{array}{c} 391 \pm 25 \\ 32 \pm 6 \\ 46 \pm 9 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 980 \pm 62 \\ 3180 \pm 170 \\ 262 \pm 12 \end{array}$	$708 \pm 19 \\ 821 \pm 29 \\ > 850 \; (37\%)$	>850 (19%) >850 (27%) >850 (37%)

^{*a*} Data are the mean of three independent determinations (samples in triplicate). ^{*b*} Analyses for C, H, N; results were within $\pm 0.4\%$ of the theoretical values for the formulas given. ^{*c*} Full K_i not obtained, percentage inhibition at the concentration shown given in parentheses. Values taken from only one experiment.

membranes; (d) α_1 adrenergic receptors—[³H]prazosin, rat brain cortex membranes. Concentrations required to inhibit 50% of radioligand specific binding (IC₅₀) were determined by using eight to nine different concentrations of the drug studied. Specific binding was defined as described in the Experimental Section under Pharmacological Methods; in all binding assays, it represents more than 80% of total binding. The results were analyzed by using the LIGAND program to determine IC₅₀ values which were used to calculate the inhibition constants (*K*_i) using the Cheng–Prusoff equation.²⁹

Results and Discussion

The results of the in vitro binding experiments on the target compounds 28-43 are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. Considering the first group of compounds (derivatives 28-32), where the amide function of compound 1 has been changed, it can be noted that none of these compounds displayed better D₄ receptor affinity than the reference compound 1. Only compound 28, where the benzamide moiety was replaced by a benzophenone one, retained a D₄ receptor affinity in the nanomolar range ($K_i = 4$ nM). The reduced analogue **30** of the lead benzamide 1 and the *retro* amide 31 still retained D₄ receptor affinity ($K_i = 23$ and 42 nM, respectively). A dramatic loss in D₄ receptor affinity was observed when the amide function was replaced by two methylene groups (compound **29**) or in the case that the amide group was N-methylated (compound **32**), being $K_i = 204$ and 180 nM, respectively. These data indicated the importance of the secondary benzamide moiety for binding with a possible H-bond at D₄ receptor in this series of compounds. Considering the D₂ receptor it can be noted that compounds **28–32** displayed poor receptor affinities. As far as 5-HT_{1A} and α_1 receptor affinities were considered, compounds **28**–**32** displayed K_i values lower than those displayed by the reference compound 1.

Starting from these results, we prepared the compounds **33**–**37** in order to investigate the effect of the elongation of the alkyl chain on D_4 receptor binding affinity; such a study was limited only to compounds showing the highest D_4 receptor affinity (derivative **1** and **28**). As additional methylene groups were added to extend the length of the spacer, both the benzamide and benzophenone derivatives exhibited similar trends: receptor binding affinities for dopamine D₄ declined with the increasing of the number of atoms in the chain. With regard to D_2 receptor affinity, it can be noted that compounds 33-37 displayed poor affinity values, having $K_{\rm i}$ values always over 850 nM. On the other hand, considering 5-HT_{1A} and α_1 receptor affinities, they were low with the exception of benzophenones 36 and 37 which displayed moderate 5-HT_{1A} receptor affinity (K_i = 37 and 45 nM, respectively). These results indicated that in both class of compounds a four-atom linker (derivative 1 and 28) provided optimal D₄ receptor affinity. Furthermore, in benzophenone derivatives the elongation of the linker shifted the affinity from D₄ to 5-HT_{1A} receptor. Finally, regarding the semirigid congeners, compounds 38 and 39 can be considered as derived from two possible rotamers of compound 1. Comparing their D₄ receptor affinity with that of the reference compound $\mathbf{1}$, a dramatic loss in D_4 receptor affinity was observed. More correctly, one should compare isoquinolinones 38 and 39 with derivative 32 which is devoid of the hydrogen atom on the amide function. In this way, a great difference in D₄ receptor affinity can not be found. The same behavior was observed when comparing compound **29** ($K_i = 204$ nM) with tetralin derivatives 40 and 41 ($K_i = 416$ and 391 nM, respectively) and compound **30** ($K_i = 23$ nM) with compounds **42** and **43** (K_i = 32 and 46 nM, respectively). The only interesting data from these semirigid congeners derived from compounds **38** and **39**: the 5-HT_{1A} receptor affinity of the latter compound is higher than that of its isomer **38**. Consequently, the compound **38** showed higher D_4 receptor affinity and selectivity than compound 39. In the other cases of semirigid congeners, both the pair 40, 41 and 42, 43, corresponding to the possible rotamers of **29** and **30**, respectively, displayed D₄ receptor affinity and selectivity values in the same range.

In conclusion, it can be affirmed that the optimal structural conditions for D_4 receptor affinity in this series of compounds are achieved for opened derivatives with a secondary 3-OCH₃-benzamide moiety (compounds **1** and **33**) or a 3-OCH₃-benzophenone group (compounds **28** and **36**) joined to the piperazine ring by two or three methylene groups.

Experimental Section

Chemistry. Column chromatography was performed with 1:30 ICN silica gel 60 Å (63–200 μ m) as the stationary phase. Melting points were determined in open capillaries on a Gallenkamp electrothermal apparatus. Elemental analyses (C, H, N) were performed on a Carlo Erba model 1106 analyzer; the analytical results were within $\pm 0.4\%$ of the theoretical values for the formula given. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded either on a Varian EM-390 where indicated 90 MHz (TMS as internal standard) or on a Bruker AM 300 WB instrument, with CDCl₃ as solvent (unless otherwise indicated); all chemical shift values are reported in ppm (δ). Recording of mass spectra was done on a HP6890-5973 MSD gas chromatograph/ mass spectrometer; only significant m/z peaks, with their percent relative intensity in parentheses, are herein reported. All spectra were in accordance with the assigned structures. When necessary final compounds were transformed into their hydrochloride salt by adding an HCl ethereal solution to a methanolic solution of the amine; the salts were recrystallized as detailed in Tables 1 and 2.

The following compounds were synthesized by published procedures: 1-(3-bromopropyl)-5-methoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene (**9**),¹⁹ 1-(3-bromopropyl)-7-methoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene (**10**),¹⁹ 4-(4-chlorophenyl)-1-piperazineethanamine (**16**),²³ 4-(4-chlorophenyl)piperazinepropanamine (**17**),²³ 4-(4-chlorophenyl)-1-piperazinebutanamine (**18**),²³ 4-(2-chloroethyl)-1-(4-chlorophenyl)piperazine (**22**),²⁶ *N*-methyl-3-methoxybenzamide (**23**),²⁷ and 7-methoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-1-one (**27**).²⁸

3-Methoxy-\alpha-[(trimethylsilyl)oxy]benzeneacetonitrile (3). 3-Methoxybenzaldehyde (2) (2.7 mL, 22.2 mmol) was added to a cooled mixture of trimethylsilyl cyanide (3.2 mL, 24.2 mmol) and a catalytic amount of ZnI₂, under stirring. The mixture was heated at 80 °C for 8 h, then cooled and diluted with CH₂Cl₂. The organic phase was washed with 20% aqueous Na₂CO₃ and dried over Na₂SO₄. Evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure gave the crude trimethylsilyl cyanohydrin that was chromatographed (petroleum ether/ AcOEt, 4:1 as eluent) to give compound **3** as a pale yellow oil (3.60 g, 69% yield). ¹H NMR (90 MHz, TMS was not used): 0.10 [s, 9H, Si(CH₃)₃], 3.75 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 5.33 (s, 1H, CH), 6.65–7.30 (m, 4H, aromatic). GC/MS: *m*/z 237 (M⁺ + 2, 2), 236 (M⁺ + 1, 8), 235 (M⁺, 39), 221 (28), 220 (100), 146 (28).

4-Chloro-1-(3-methoxyphenyl)-1-butanone (6). Freshly distilled diisopropylamine (5 mL) was mixed with anhydrous THF (10 mL) under N₂. The solution was cooled at 0 °C and n-butyllithium (2.336 M solution in n-hexane, 7.7 mL, 18.0 mmol) was added. After 15 min the mixture was cooled at -70°C, and the trimethysilyl cyanohydrin **3** (3.86 g, 16.4 mmol) in anhydrous THF was added dropwise. The mixture was kept at -70 °C for 30 min, and then 1-bromo-3-chloropropane (1.8 mL, 18.0 mmol) in THF was added. The stirred reaction mixture was allowed to warm at room temperature and so was kept for 2 h; then it was treated with 2 N HCl (20 mL) and MeOH (10 mL) and was stirred overnight at room temperature. Finally, the reaction mixture was diluted with H₂O and extracted with Et₂O. The separated organic layer was washed with 1 N NaOH, then with H₂O, and dried (Na₂SO₄). The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the crude residue was chromatographed (petroleum ether/AcOEt, 2:1 as eluent) to give ketone 6 as a colorless oil (2.13 g, 61% yield). ¹H NMR (90 MHz): 2.03-2.40 [m, 2H, COCH₂CH₂], 3.16 (t, 2H, J = 6.0 Hz, COCH₂), 3.67 (t, 2H, J = 6.0 Hz, CH₂Cl), 3.85 (s, 3H, CH₃), 7.05-7.70 (m, 4H, aromatic). GC/MS: m/z 214 $(M^+ + 2, 9), 213 (M^+ + 1, 3), 212 (M^+, 25), 150 (30), 135 (100),$ 107 (33).

5-Chloro-1-(3-methoxyphenyl)-1-pentanol (4). To a stirred solution of Grignard reagent, prepared from Mg turnings (0.75 g, 30.7 mmol) and 1-bromo-4-chlorobutane (3.0 mL, 26.4 mmol) in anhydrous THF (20 mL), was added dropwise 3-methoxybenzaldehyde (2) (3.00 g, 22.0 mmol) in the same solvent (20 mL). After the mixture was refluxed for 3 h and cooled at room temperature, a cooled saturated solution of NH₄-Cl (40 mL) was added to the cooled reaction mixture. Extrac-

tion with Et₂O and evaporation of the dried (Na_2SO_4) organic layer gave a crude residue which was chromatographed (CH₂-Cl₂/AcOEt, 7:3 as eluent) to give alcohol **4** as a colorless liquid (3.20 g, 64% yield). ¹H NMR (90 MHz): 1.15–2.13 [m, 7H, (CH₂)₃CH₂Cl, OH, 1H D₂O exchanged], 3.50 (br t, 2H, CH₂-Cl), 3.80 (s, 3H, CH₃), 4.53–4.75 (m, 1H, C*H*OH), 6.73–7.30 (m, 4H, aromatic). GC/MS: m/z 230 (M⁺ + 2, 4), 229 (M⁺ + 1, 2), 228 (M⁺, 12), 137 (99), 109 (100).

6-Chloro-1-(3-methoxyphenyl)-1-hexanol (5). The title compound was prepared from bromo(5-chloropentyl)magnesium and aldehyde **2** in the same manner as above. ¹H NMR (90 MHz): 1.05-1.95 [m, 8H, (C H_2)₄CH₂Cl], 2.13 (s, 1H, OH, D₂O exchanged), 3.45 (t, 2H, J = 6.0 Hz, CH₂Cl), 3.78 (s, 3H, CH₃), 4.50–4.73 (m, 1H, C*H*OH), 6.73–7.40 (m, 4H, aromatic). GC/MS: m/z 244 (M⁺ + 2, 11), 243 (M⁺ + 1, 5), 242 (M⁺, 30), 138 (21), 137 (100), 109 (80).

5-Chloro-1-(3-methoxyphenyl)-1-pentanone (7). Jones' reagent (2.5 mL, prepared by dissolving 40 g of CrO₃ in 80 mL of H₂O and 20 mL of concentrated H₂SO₄) was added dropwise to a cooled solution of the compound **4** (1.01 g, 4.4 mmol) in acetone (50 mL, distilled over KMnO₄) and kept at 0 °C. When the addition was over, the reaction mixture was stirred for 6 h at room temperature and then diluted with H_2O . The aqueous phase was extracted with AcOEt (3×50 mL), and the collected organic layers were washed with 5% aqueous NaHCO₃ and dried (Na₂SO₄). Evaporation of the solvent gave a crude residue which was chromatographed (petroleum ether/ CH_2Cl_2 , 4:1 as eluent) to give ketone 7 as a colorless oil (0.89 g, 89% yield). ¹H NMR (90 MHz): 1.75-1.97 [m, 4H, (CH₂)₂-CH₂Cl], 2.95 (br t, 2H, COCH₂), 3.55 (br t, 2H, CH₂Cl), 3.80 (s, 3H, CH₃), 6.98-7.60 (m, 4H, aromatic). GC/MS: m/z 228 $(M^+ + 2, 4), 227 (M^+ + 1, 2), 226 (M^+, 13), 135 (100), 107 (30).$

6-Chloro-1-(3-methoxyphenyl)-1-hexanone (8). As above, the title compound was obtained from alcohol **5**. ¹H NMR (90 MHz): 1.33-2.03 [m, 6H, $(CH_2)_3CH_2CI$], 2.98 (t, 2H, J = 6.0 Hz, COCH₂), 3.55 (t, 2H, J = 6.0 Hz, CH₂Cl), 3.85 (s, 3H, CH₃), 7.03-7.66 (m, 4H, aromatic). GC/MS: m/z 242 (M⁺ + 2, 6), 241 (M⁺ + 1, 3), 240 (M⁺, 18), 150 (78), 135 (100), 107 (39).

3-(4-Chlorobutyl)methoxybenzene (12). To a cooled (0 °C), stirred suspension of AlCl₃ (1.56 g, 11.7 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) was added tert-butylamine-borane (2.04 g, 23.4 mmol). The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 10 min. A clear solution resulted. A solution of ketone 6 (0.83 g, 3.9 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) was added. The resulting mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 2 h. Then cold 1 N HCl (30 mL) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture. The separated organic phase was dried (Na₂-SO₄), and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was chromatographed (petroleum ether/CH2Cl2, 4:1 as eluent) to give chloro derivative 12 as a colorless oil (0.41 g, 53% yield). ¹H NMR (90 MHz): 1.66-1.95 [m, 4H, (CH₂)₂CH₂Cl], 2.60 (br t, 2H, ArCH₂), 3.53 (br t, 2H, CH₂Cl), 3.80 (s, 3H, CH₃), 6.70-7.36 (m, 4H, aromatic). GC/MS: m/z $200 (M^+ + 2, 18), 199 (M^+ + 1, 7), 198 (M^+, 50), 163 (33), 122$ (66), 121 (100), 91(29).

3-Chloro-*N***-(3-methoxyphenyl)propanamide (13).** 3-Chloropropionyl chloride (1.4 mL, 14.8 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ was added dropwise to a cooled suspension of 3-methoxyaniline (**11**) (1.82 g, 14.8 mmol) and a slight excess of K₂CO₃ in CH₂Cl₂. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min, and then H₂O was added. The separated organic layer was washed with dilute HCl, dried over Na₂SO₄, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was chromatographed (CH₂Cl₂/AcOEt, 1:1 as eluent) to obtain anilide **13** (2.31 g, 73% yield) as a white solid, mp 90–92 °C (from Et₂O/ petroleum ether) [lit.³⁰ 95 °C (from aqueous ethanol)]. ¹H NMR (90 MHz): 2.75 (t, 2H, *J*=7.0 Hz, COCH₂), 3.70 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.80 (t, 2H, *J*=7.0 Hz, CH₂Cl), 6.53–7.30 (m, 4H, aromatic), 8.15 (br s, 1H, NH, D₂O exchanged). GC/MS: *m/z* 215 (M⁺+ 2, 8), 214 (M⁺ + 1, 3), 213 (M⁺, 23), 123 (100), 94 (30).

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 28, 29, 31, 36, 37, 40, and 41. A stirred suspension of the appropriate alkyl halide (2.0 mmol), piperazine 14 (4.0 mmol), and a slight excess of K_2CO_3 (this reagent was omitted in the case of compounds 28, 36, and 37) in acetonitrile was refluxed

overnight. After cooling, the mixture was evaporated to dryness, and 20% aqueous Na_2CO_3 was added to the residue. The aqueous phase was extracted two times with CH_2Cl_2 , and the collected organic layers were dried over Na_2SO_4 and were evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was chromatographed as indicated below to give title compounds.

4-[4-(4-Chlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-1-(3-methoxyphen-yl)-1-butanone (28). Eluted with CHCl₃/AcOEt, 7:3; 40% yield. ¹H NMR: 1.92–2.02 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₂CH₂), 2.46 [t, 2H, J = 7.1, CH_2 N(CH₂)₂], 2.58 [br t, 4H, CH₂N(CH₂)₂], 3.00 (t, 2H, J = 7.0 Hz, COCH₂), 3.10 [br t, 4H, (CH₂)₂NAr], 3.82 (s, 3H, CH₃), 6.77–7.55 (m, 8H, aromatic). GC/MS: m/z 374 (M⁺ + 2, 14), 373 (M⁺ + 1, 10), 372 (M⁺, 39), 224 (36), 222 (100), 211 (30), 209 (91), 177 (31), 166 (56), 135 (32).

1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-4-[4-(3-methoxyphenyl)butyl]piperazine (29). Eluted with CHCl₃/AcOEt, 7:3; 36% yield. ¹H NMR: 1.50-1.70 [m, 4H, CH₂(CH₂)₂CH₂], 2.40 [t, 2H, J = 7.4 Hz, CH_2 N(CH₂)₂], 2.55–2.63 [m, 6H, ArCH₂, CH₂N(CH₂)₂], 3.15 [br s, 4H, (CH₂)₂NAr], 3.78 (s, 3H, CH₃), 6.69–7.24 (m, 8H, aromatic). GC/MS: m/z 360 (M⁺ + 2, 17), 359 (M⁺ + 1, 12), 358 (M⁺, 47), 211 (35), 209 (100).

3-(4-Chlorophenyl)-*N***-(3-methoxyphenyl)-1-piperazinopropanamide (31).** Eluted with CHCl₃/AcOEt, 1:1; 75% yield. ¹H NMR: 2.53–2.57 [m, 2H, CH₂N(CH₂)₂], 2.73–2.79 [m, 6H, CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)₂], 3.25 [br t, 4H, (CH₂)₂NAr], 3.76 (s, 3H, CH₃), 6.58–7.35 (m, 8H, aromatic), 10.72 (br s, 1H, NH, D₂O exchanged). GC/MS: *m*/*z* 196 (31), 177 (38), 156 (28), 154 (100), 123 (41).

5-[4-(4-Chlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-1-(3-methoxyphen-yl)-1-pentanone (36). Eluted with CHCl₃/AcOEt, 7:3; 56% yield. ¹H NMR: 1.56–1.66 (m, 2H, CH_2 CH₂N), 1.72–1.82 (m, 2H, COCH₂CH₂), 2.43 [br t, 2H, CH_2 N(CH₂)₂], 2.59 [br t, 4H, CH₂N(CH₂)₂], 2.98 (t, 2H, J = 7.2 Hz, COCH₂), 3.15 [br t, 4H, (CH₂)₂NAr], 3.83 (s, 3H, CH₃), 6.79–7.54 (m, 8H, aromatic). GC/MS: m/z 388 (M⁺ + 2, 13), 387 (M⁺ + 1, 10), 386 (M⁺, 38), 211 (34), 209 (100).

6-[4-(4-Chlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-1-(3-methoxyphen-yl)-1-hexanone (37). Eluted with $CH_2Cl_2/ACOEt$, 7:3; 23% yield. ¹H NMR: 1.37–1.45 [m, 2H, $CH_2(CH_2)_2$], 1.53–1.63 (m, 2H, CH_2CH_2N), 1.70–1.80 (m, 2H, $COCH_2CH_2$), 2.40 [br t, 2H, $CH_2N(CH_2)_2$], 2.59 [br t, 4H, $CH_2N(CH_2)_2$], 2.95 (t, 2H, J = 7.3 Hz, $COCH_2$), 3.16 [br t, 4H, $(CH_2)_2NAr$], 3.83 (s, 3H, CH_3), 6.79–7.53 (m, 8H, aromatic). GC/MS: m/z 402 (M⁺ + 2, 12), 401 (M⁺ + 1, 10), 400 (M⁺, 35), 211 (35), 209 (100), 177 (31), 166 (56), 135 (32).

1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-4-[3-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-5-methoxy-1-naphthalenyl)propyl]piperazine (40). Eluted with CHCl₃/ AcOEt, 1:1; 81% yield. ¹H NMR: 1.54–1.84 [m, 8H, CH-(CH₂CH₂)₂], 2.40 [br t, 2H, CH₂N(CH₂)₂], 2.52–2.77 [m, 7H, benzylic CH₂ and CH, CH₂N(CH₂)₂], 3.16 (br t, 4H, (CH₂)₂NAr], 3.79 (s, 3H, CH₃), 6.63–7.24 (m, 7H, aromatic). GC/MS: m/z400 (M⁺ + 2, 27), 399 (M⁺ + 1, 22), 398 (M⁺, 77), 210 (36), 209 (100), 196 (40).

1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-4-[3-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-7-methoxy-1-naphthalenyl)propyl]piperazine (41). Eluted with CH₂-Cl₂/AcOEt, 4:1; 95% yield. ¹H NMR: 1.57–1.88 [m, 8H, CH(CH_2CH_2)₂], 2.41 [br t, 2H, CH_2 N(CH₂)₂], 2.59–2.74 [m, 7H, benzylic CH₂ and CH, CH₂N(CH_2)₂], 3.16 (br t, 4H, (CH_2)₂NAr], 3.76 (s, 3H, CH₃), 6.63–7.24 (m, 7H, aromatic). GC/MS: m/z 400 (M⁺ + 2, 11), 399 (M⁺ + 1, 9), 398 (M⁺, 33), 211 (33), 209 (100), 196 (37).

4-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1-piperazinopentanenitrile (15). The title compounds was prepared in 83% yield from the piperazine **14** and 4-chloropentanenitrile following the procedure described for the synthesis of the above compounds. ¹H NMR (90 MHz): 1.50-1.85 [m, 4H, $(CH_2)_2CH_2CN$], 2.20-2.70 [m, 8H, $(CH_2)_2NCH_2$, CH_2CN], 3.00-3.30 [m, 4H, $ArN(CH_2)_2$], 6.75-7.35 (m, 4H, aromatic). GC/MS: m/z 279 (M⁺ + 2, 24), 278 (M⁺ + 1, 14), 277 (M⁺, 68), 211 (37), 209 (100), 166 (22), 139 (30), 138 (25).

4-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1-piperazinepentanamine (19). Borane-methyl sulfide complex, as 10.0 M BH₃ in excess methyl sulfide (2.0 mL, 20.0 mmol), was dropped into an ice-cooled solution of nitrile **15** (1.16 g, 4.2 mmol) in anhydrous THF (10

mL), under stirring. After being refluxed for 1 h, the reaction mixture was cooled at -10 °C and MeOH was added very carefully dropwise until gas evolution ceased. The mixture was treated with 3 N HCl (5 mL) and was refluxed for 1 h. After cooling, the mixture was alkalized with 3 N NaOH and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 × 50 mL). The collected organic layers were dried over Na₂SO₄, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to give the amine **19** as a white semisolid in nearly quantitative yield. ¹H NMR (90 MHz): 1.25–1.75 [m, 6H, (CH₂)₃CH₂NH₂], 2.00 (br s, 2H, NH₂, D₂O exchanged), 2.25–2.90 [m, 8H, CH₂N(CH₂)₂, CH₂NH₂], 3.05–3.33 [m, 4H, ArN(CH₂)₂], 6.75–7.35 (m, 4H, aromatic). GC/MS: *m*/*z* 283 (M⁺ + 2, 2), 282 (M⁺ + 1, 3), 281 (M⁺, 6), 268 (20), 266 (58), 211 (34), 209 (100), 195 (28), 166 (45), 141 (71), 98 (71).

General Procedure for Preparation of the Benzamides 33–35. To a cooled mixture containing amines 17–19 (5.0 mmol) and a slight excess of NaHCO₃ was added dropwise a solution of 3-methoxybenzoyl chloride, prepared from 3-methoxybenzoic acid (2.00 g, 11 mmol) in SOCl₂ (5 mL), in CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL) under vigorous stirring. Then the aqueous layer was separated and extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄ and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. Final compounds were purified by column chromatography (CHCl₃/MeOH, 19:1 as eluent) to give benzamides 33–35 in 90–95% yield.

N-[3-[4-(4-Chlorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl]propyl]-3-methoxybenzamide (33). ¹H NMR: 1.75–1.85 (m, 2H, CH₂C H_2 -CH₂), 2.59–2.66 [m, 6H, CH₂N(CH₂)₂], 3.14 [br t, 4H, (C H_2)₂-NAr], 3.56 (q, 2H, J = 5.5 Hz, NHC H_2), 3.72 (s, 3H, CH₃), 6.77–7.44 (m, 8H, aromatic), 8.11 (br s, 1H, NH, D₂O exchanged). GC/MS: m/z 389 (M⁺ + 2, 7), 388 (M⁺ + 1, 5), 387 (M⁺, 20), 372 (34), 221 (100), 209 (54), 192 (56), 135 (56).

N-[4-[4-(4-Chlorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl]butyl]-3-methoxybenzamide (34). ¹H NMR: 1.70-1.75 [m, 4H, CH₂(CH₂)₂-CH₂], 2.57 [br s, 2H, CH₂N(CH₂)₂], 2.72 [br t, 4H, CH₂N(CH₂)₂], 3.21 [br t, 4H, (CH₂)₂NAr], 3.44-3.49 (m, 2H, NHCH₂), 3.81 (s, 3H, CH₃), 6.77-7.34 (m, 9H, aromatic, NH). GC/MS: *m*/*z* 403 (M⁺ + 2, 4), 402 (M⁺ + 1, 4), 401 (M⁺, 11), 386 (20), 235 (100), 209 (57), 135 (51).

N-[5-[4-(4-Chlorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl]pentyl]-3-methoxybenzamide (35). ¹H NMR: 1.38–1.46 [m, 2H, $(CH_2)_2CH_2$ - $(CH_2)_2$] 1.54–1.68 (m, 4H, $CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2$), 2.43 [br t, 2H, $CH_2N(CH_2)_2$], 2.61 [br t, 4H, $CH_2N(CH_2)_2$], 3.16 [br t, 4H, $(CH_2)_2NAr$], 3.43 (q, 2H, J = 5.0, NHC H_2), 3.81 (s, 3H, CH₃), 6.21 (br s, 1H, NH, D₂O exchanged), 6.78–7.34 (m, 8H, aromatic). GC/MS: m/z 417 (M⁺ + 2, 5), 416 (M⁺ + 1, 4), 415 (M⁺, 14), 400 (20), 249 (100), 211 (26), 209 (77), 135 (44).

4-(4-Chlorophenyl)-*N*-**[(3-methoxyphenyl)methyl]-1piperazineethanamine (30).** This compound was obtained in nearly quantitative yield from the reduction of benzamide **1** with borane methyl sulfide complex, following the procedure reported for the compound **19.** ¹H NMR: 2.06 (br s, 1H, NH, D₂O exchanged), 2.52–2.56 (m, 6H, $CH_2CH_2N(CH_2)_2$], 2.72 [t, 2H, J = 6.0, $CH_2N(CH_2)_2$], 3.11 [br t, 4H, $(CH_2)_2NAr$], 3.79 (s, 5H, ArC H_2 , CH₃), 6.76–7.25 (m, 8H, aromatic). GC/MS: m/z361 (M⁺ + 2, 1), 360 (M⁺ + 1, 1), 359 (M⁺, 3), 211 (24), 210 (21), 209 (77), 179 (21), 166 (30), 138 (23), 121 (70), 70 (100).

N-(Methoxycarbonyl)-2-(2-methoxyphenyl)ethyl**amine (25).** To a solution of 2-methoxyphenetylamine (24) (5.00 g, 33.1 mmol) and triethylamine (5.5 mL, 39.5 mmol) in anhydrous THF (50 mL) at 0 °C was carefully added methyl chloroformate (13.1 mL, 169 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. H₂O was added, the aqueous and organic layers were separated, and the former was extracted with Et_2O (3 \times 20 mL). The combined organic fractions were washed with 1 N HCl (2 \times 50 mL), H_2O (50 mL), and brine (50 mL) and dried over Na₂SO₄. Evaporation of the solvent in vacuo gave compound 25 in nearly quantitative yield. ¹H NMR (90 MHz): 2.80 (t, 2H, J = 6.0 Hz, CH_2 -NH), 3.25–3.55 (m, 2H, ArCH₂), 3.73 and 3.83 (2 s, 6H, 2 CH₃), 4.87 (br s, 1H, NH), 6.75–7.35 (m, 4H, aromatic). GC/MS: m/z $211 (M^+ + 2, 1), 210 (M^+ + 1, 6), 209 (M^+, 40), 134 (100), 122$ (26), 121 (52), 119 (37), 91 (80).

5-Methoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-1-one (26). Carbamate 25 (6.85 g, 32.7 mmol) was added to polyphosphoric acid (40 g) heated at 145 °C, and the mixture was stirred for 10 min. Then the cooled reaction mixture was poured onto ice, and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 \times 50 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated in vacuo to give a crude residue which was chromatographed (CHCl₃/AcOEt, 1:1 as eluent) to afford compound 26 as a pale yellow semisolid (1.29 g, 22% yield). Spectral properties of the obtained compound were fully consistent with those reported.³¹

N-[2-[4-(4-Chlorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl]ethyl]-N-methyl-3-methoxybenzamide (32). A mixture of benzamide 23 (0.88 g, 5.3 mmol), KOH (1.20 g, 21.4 mmol), tetrabutylammonium bromide (0.051 g, 0.16 mmol), and compound 22 (0.70 g, 2.7 mmol) in DMF was heated at 80 °C for 4 h. After cooling, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude residue was taken up with H₂O. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂, and the separated organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was chromatographed (CHCl₃/AcOEt, 1:1 as eluent) to give compound 32 as a semisolid (0.51 g, 48% yield). ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6):³² 2.32 and 2.58 [2 br s, 6H, CH₂N-(CH₂)₂], 2.89, 2.96, 3.02, 3.10 [4 br s, 7H, NCH₃, (CH₂)₂NAr], 3.31, 3.57 (2 br s, 2H, CH₃NCH₂), 3.75 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 6.89-7.34 (m, 8H, aromatic). GC/MS: m/z 389 (M⁺ + 2, 2), 388 (M⁺ + 1, 1), 387 (M⁺, 5), 222 (23), 211 (34), 209 (100), 166 (21).

N-[2-[4-(4-Chlorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl]ethyl]-5-methoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-1-one (38). Title compound was obtained from the amide **26** and the compound **22**, following the above-reported procedure. ¹H NMR: 2.70 [br s, 6H, CH₂N- $(CH_2)_2$], 2.93 (t, 2H, J = 6.7 Hz, $ArCH_2$), 3.14 [br t, 4H, $(CH_2)_2$ -NAr], 3.58 (t, 2H, J=6.7 Hz, endo CONCH₂), 3.72 (t, 2H, J= 6.7 Hz, exo CONCH₂), 3.83 (s, 3H, CH₃), 6.79-7.68 (m, 7H, aromatic). GC/MS: m/z 401 (M⁺ + 2, 1), 400 (M⁺ + 1, 1), 399 (M⁺, 4), 233 (24), 222 (35), 211 (33), 209 (100), 166 (31).

N-[2-[4-(4-Chlorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl]ethyl]-7-methoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-1-one (39). As for compound 38, the title compound was obtained from the amide 27 and the derivative 22. ¹H NMR: 2.66-2.71 [m, 6H, CH₂N(CH₂)₂], 2.91 (t, 2H, J = 6.7 Hz, ArCH₂), 3.14 [br t, 4H, (CH₂)₂NAr], 3.59 (t, 2H, J = 6.7 Hz, endo CONCH₂), 3.73 (t, 2H, J = 6.7 Hz, exo CONCH₂), 3.82 (s, 3H, CH₃), 6.79-7.58 (m, 7H, aromatic). GC/ MS: m/z 401 (M⁺ + 2, 2), 400 (M⁺ + 1, 1), 399 (M⁺, 4), 233 (21), 211 (33), 222 (29), 209 (100), 166 (28).

4-(4-Chlorophenyl)-N-[3-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-5-methoxy-1-naphthalenyl)propyl]-1-piperazineethanamine (42). A mixture of 5-methoxy-1-tetralone (20) (1.38 g, 7.8 mmol) and 4-(4-chlorophenyl)-1-piperazinoethanamine (16) (1.01 g, 4.2 mmol) in anhydrous toluene (100 mL) was refluxed in the presence of a catalytic amount of *p*-toluenesulfonic acid, and the formed H₂O was azeotropically distilled off and collected with a Dean–Stark trap for 1 h. After cooling, the solvent was evaporated, and the crude intermediate Schiff's base was solubilized in absolute ethanol (50 mL) and treated with NaBH₄ (0.38 g, 8.7 mmol) for 2 h at room temperature, under N₂. Then the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was partitioned between H₂O and CH₂Cl₂. The separated organic layer was dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated in vacuo to give a crude residue which was chromatographed (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH, 95:5 as eluent) to afford amine 42 (1.28 g, 76% yield). ¹H NMR: 1.66-2.00 (m, 5H, endo CH₂CH₂, NH, 1H D₂O exchanged), 2.48-2.88 [m, 10H, benzylic CH₂, CH₂CH₂N-(CH₂)₂], 3.13 [br t, 4H, (CH₂)₂NAr], 3.75–3.79 (t+s, 4H, CHN, CH₃), 6.68–7.24 (m, 7H, aromatic). GC/MS: m/z 210 (32), 209 (33), 161 (100).

4-(4-Chlorophenyl)-N-[3-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-7-methoxy-1-naphthalenyl)propyl]-1-piperazineethanamine (43). As above, the title compound was obtained from amine 16 and 7-methoxy-1-tetralone (21). ¹H NMR: 1.67-1.99 (m, 4H, endo CH₂CH₂), 2.09 (br s, 1H, NH, D₂O exchanged), 2.52-2.87 [m, 10H, benzylic CH₂, CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)₂], 3.13 [br t, 4H, (CH₂)₂-NAr], 3.74-3.81 (t+s, 4H, CHN, CH₃), 6.69-7.24 (m, 7H,

aromatic). GC/MS: m/z 401 (M⁺ + 2, 1), 400 (M⁺ + 1, 1), 399 (M⁺, 2), 210 (26), 209 (35), 161 (100).

Pharmacological Methods. D_{4.4} Dopaminergic Binding Assay. Binding of [³H]YM-09151-2 for human cloned D_{4.4} dopamine receptor produced in S/9 cells baculovirus expression (NEN Life Science) was performed according to Hadley et al.³³ with minor modifications. The reaction buffer consisted of 50 mM Tris·HCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM EDTA, 5 mM KCl, 1.5 mM CaCl₂ (pH 7.4), including 500 μ L of dopamine D_{4.4} diluted membranes, 0.15 nM of [³H]YM-09151-2 ($K_d = 0.17$ nM), and 100 μ L of various concentrations (10⁻⁶-10⁻¹¹ M) of drugs to reach a total volume of 1 mL. After a 60 min incubation at 25 °C, the incubations were terminated by rapid filtration through Whatman GF/A glass fiber filters (presoaked in 0.3% polyethylenimine) with two washes of 1 mL of ice cold buffer (50 mM Tris·HCl, pH 7.4). Nonspecific binding was defined in the presence of 10 μ M clozapine.

D2L Dopaminergic Binding Assay. Binding of [3H]spiroperidol for human cloned D_{2L} dopamine receptor produced in S19 cells baculovirus expression (NEN Life Science) was performed according to Hadley et al.33 with minor modifications. The reaction buffer consisted of 50 mM Tris·HCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EDTA (pH 7.4), including 500 μ L of dopamine D_{2L} diluted membranes, 0.2 nM [³H]spiperone ($K_d = 0.20$ nM), and 100 μ L of various concentrations (10⁻⁶-10⁻¹¹ M) of drugs to reach a total volume of 1 mL. After a 60 min incubation at 27 °C, the incubations were terminated by rapid filtration through Whatman GF/C glass fiber filters (presoaked in 0.3% polyethylenimine) with two washes of 1 mL of ice cold buffer (50 mM Tris·HCl, pH 7.4). Nonspecific binding was defined in the presence of $10 \ \mu M$ haloperidol.

5-HT_{1A} Serotonergic Binding Assay. Binding experiments were performed according to Borsini et al.³⁴ with minor modifications. Each tube received 50 mM Tris·HCl (pH 7.6) hippocampus membranes suspension and 1 nM [3H]-8-OH-DPAT in a final volume of 1 mL. For competitive inhibition experiments, various concentrations $(10^{-5} - 10^{-11} \text{ M})$ of drugs studied were incubated. Nonspecific binding was defined using 1 µM 8-OH-DPAT. Samples were incubated at 37 °C for 20 min and then filtered on Whatman GF/B glass microfiber filters. The *K*_d value determined for 8-OH-DPAT was 8.8 nM.

α1 Adrenergic Binding Assay. Binding experiments were performed according to Glossman and Hornung³⁵ with minor modifications. Each tube received 50 mM Tris·HCl (pH 7.4) rat cerebral cortical membranes suspension and 1 nM [3H]prazosin in a final volume of 1 mL. For competitive inhibition experiments, various concentrations $(10^{-5}-10^{-11} \text{ M})$ of drugs studied were incubated. Nonspecific binding was defined using 1 μ M prazosin. Samples were incubated at 25 °C for 50 min and then filtered on Whatman GF/B glass microfiber filters. The filters were presoaked for 50 min in Tris-HCl-polyethylenimine 0.5%. The K_d value determined for prazosin was 0.5 nM.

Acknowledgment. This study was supported by research grant no. 9703028183-022 from Università degli Studi di Bari and MURST (Italy) for the scientific program in CO7X field - 1998-2000: "Design, synthesis and biological evaluation of new drugs: SAR studies on serotonergic agents with arylpiperazine structure".

Supporting Information Available: Elemental analyses. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (1) Liégeois, J.-F.; Eyrolles, L.; Bruhwyler, J.; Delarge, J. Dopamine D_4 receptors: a new opportunity for research on schizophrenia. *Curr. Med. Chem.* **1998**, *5*, 77–100. Seeman, P.; Guan, H. C.; Van Tol, H. H. Dopamine D_4 receptors
- elevated in schizophrenia. Nature 1993, 365, 441–445.
- Reynolds, G. P.; Mason, S. L. Absence of detectable striatal dopamine D₄ receptors in drug-treated schizophrenia. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 1995, 281, R5-R-6.

- (4) Seeman, P.; Guan, H. C.; Van Tol, H. H. Schizophrenia: elevation of dopamine D₄-like sites, using [³H]nemonapride and [¹²⁵I]epidepride. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **1995**, *286*, R3–R-5.
- Sumiyoshi, T.; Stockmeier, C. A.; Overholser, J. C.; Thompson, (5)P. A.; Meltzer, H. Y. Dopamine D_4 receptors and effects of guanine nucleotides on [³H]raclopride binding in postmortem caudate nucleus of subjects with schizophrenia or major depres-
- sion. Brain Res. **1995**, 681, 109–116. Dearry, A.; Gingrich, J. A.; Falardeau, P.; Fremeau, R. T., Jr.; Bates, M. D.; Caron, M. G. Molecular cloning and expression of the gene for a human D_1 dopamine receptor. Nature **1990**, 347, (6)72 - 76
- Sunahara, R. K.; Guan, H. C.; O'Dowd, B. F.; Seeman, P.; Laurier, L. G.; Ng, G.; George, S. R.; Torchia, J.; Van Tol, H. H.; Niznik, H. B. Cloning of the gene for a human dopamine D_5 (7)receptor with higher affinity for dopamine than D₁. Nature 1991, 350. 614-619.
- Grandy, D. K.; Marchionni, M. A.; Makam, H.; Stofko, R. E.; (8)Alfano, M.; Frothingham, L.; Fisher, J. B.; Burke-Howie, K. J.; Bunzow, J. R.; Server, A. C.; Civelli, O. Cloning of the cDNA and gene for a human D₂ dopamine receptor. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1989, 86, 9762–9766.
- Sokoloff, P.; Giros, B.; Martres, M. P.; Bouthenet, M. L.; Schwarz, (9)J. C. Molecular cloning and characterization of a novel dopamine receptor (D₃) as a target of neuroleptics. Nature 1991, 347, 72-
- (10) Van Tol, H. H.; Bunzow, J. R.; Guan, H. C.; Sunahara, R. K.; Seeman, P.; Niznik, H. B.; Civelli, O. Cloning of the gene for a human dopamine D₄ receptor with high affinity for the antipsychotic clozapine. Nature 1991, 350, 610-614
- (11) Snyder, S. H. Dopamine receptors, neuroleptics, and schizophre-nia. Am. J. Psychiatry **1981**, *138*, 461–464.
- (12)Reynolds, G. P. Developments in the drug treatment of schizophrenia. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* **1992**, *13*, 116–121.
- (13)Fitton, A.; Heel, R. C. Clozapine. A review of its pharmacological properties, and therapeutic use in schizophrenia. Drugs 1990, 40, 722-747.
- (14) Krupp, P.; Barnes, P. Clozapine-associated agranulocytosis: risk
- and aetiology. Br. J. Psychiatry 1992, 160 (Suppl. 17), 38–40.
 Bristow, L. J.; Kramer, M. S.; Kulagowski, J.; Patel, S.; Ragan, C. I.; Seabrook, G. R. Schizophrenia and L-745,870, a novel dopamine D₄ receptor antagonist. Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 1997, *8*, 186–188.
- (16) Sanner, M. A.; Chappie, T. A.; Dunaiskis, A. R.; Fliri, A. F.; Desai, K. A.; Zorn, S. H.; Jackson, E. R.; Johnson, C. G.; Morrone, J. M.; Seymour, P. A.; Majchrzak, M. J.; Faraci, W. S.; Collins, J. L.; Duignan, D. B.; Di Prete, C. C.; Lee, J. S.; Trozzi, A. Synthesis, SAR and pharmacology of CP-293,019: a potent, but transfer for the product of the form Mod Charge. Selective dopamine D_4 receptor antagonist. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* Lett. **1998**, *8*, 725–730.
- (17) Perrone, R.; Berardi, F.; Colabufo, N. A.; Leopoldo, M.; Tortorella, V. 1-(2-Methoxyhenyl)-4-alkylpiperazines: effect of the N-4 substituent on the affinity and selectivity for dopamine D₄ receptor. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1997**, *7*, 1327–1330.
 (18) Perrone, R.; Berardi, F.; Colabufo, N. A.; Leopoldo, M.; Tortorella, V. M. (2 (4 Checephonyl)ningrazin-1, vullethull.3, methovyhen-
- V. N-[2-[4-(4-Chlorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl]ethyl]-3-methoxybenzamide: a potent and selective dopamine D_4 ligand. J. Med. Chem. 1998, 41, 4903-4909.
- (19) Perrone, R.; Berardi, F.; Colabufo, N. A.; Leopoldo, M.; Tortorella, V.; Fiorentini, F.; Olgiati, V.; Ghiglieri, Å.; Govoni, S. High affinity and selectivity on 5-HT_{1A} receptor of 1-aryl-4-[(1-tetralin)alkyl]piperazines. 2. J. Med. Chem. 1995, 38, 942–949.
 (20) Evans, D. A.; Carrol, G. L.; Truesdale, L. K. Synthetic applica-
- tions of trimethylsilyl cyanide. An efficient synthesis of β -amino alcohols. J. Org. Chem. 1974, 39, 914–917.
- (21) Deuchert, K.; Hertenstein, U.; Hunig, S.; Wehner, G. Nucleophile acylierung von alkylerungsmitteln mit aromatischen und het-

eroaromatischen aldehyden. (Trimethylsilyl cyanide as an umpolung reagent. I. Nucleophilic acylation of alkylating agents with aromatic and heteroaromatic aldehydes.) Chem. Ber. 1979,

- 112, 2045–2061. (22) Lau, C. K.; Tardif, S.; Dufresne, C.; Scheigetz, J. Reductive deoxygenation of aryl aldehydes and ketones by tert-butylamineborane and aluminum chloride. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 491-494.
- (23) Hayao, S.; Schut, R. N. New sedative and hypotensive phenylpiperazine amides. J. Org. Chem. 1961, 26, 3414-3419.
- (24)Brown, H. C.; Choi, Y. M.; Narasimhan, S. Selective reductions. 29. A simple technique to achieve an enhanced rate of reduction of representative organic compounds by borane-dimethyl sulfide. *J. Org. Chem.* **1982**, *47*, 3153–3163.
- (25) Loupy, A.; Sansoulet, J.; Diez-Barra, E.; Carrillo, J. R. Phase transfer catalysis without solvent. N-Alkylation of aromatic carboxamides. *Synth. Commun.* **1992**, *22*, 1661–1672
- Caliendo, G.; Di Carlo, R.; Greco, G.; Meli, R.; Novellino, E.; (26)Perissutti, E.; Santagada, V. Synthesis and biological activity of benzotriazole derivatives structurally related to trazodone. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 1995, 30, 77-84.
- Vekemans, J.; Hoornaert, G. A new pathway to 1,3,4(2H)-(27)isoquinolinetriones and substituted isoindolinones. Tetrahedron 1980, 36, 943-950.
- (28) Sall, D. J.; Grunewald, G. L. Inhibition of phenylethanolamine *N*-methyltransferase (PNMT) by aromatic hydroxy-substituted 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolines: further studies on the hydrophilic pocket of the aromatic ring binding region of the active site. J. Med. Chem. **1987**, 30, 2208–2216.
- Cheng, Y. C.; Prusoff, W. H. Relationship between the inhibition (29) constant (Ki) and the concentration of inhibitor which causes 50% inhibition (IC $_{\rm 50}$) of an enzymatic reaction. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1973, 22, 3099-3108.
- (30) Meth-Cohn, O.; Rhouati, S.; Tarnowski, B.; Robinson, A. A versatile new synthesis of quinolines and related fused pyridines. Part 8. Conversion of anilides into 3-substituted quinolines and into quinoxalines. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1. 1981, 1537-1543
- (31) Suto, M. J.; Turner, W. R.; Arundel-Suto, C. M.; Werbel, L. M.; Sebolt-Leopold, J. S. Dihydroisoquinolinones: the design and synthesis of a new series of potent inhibitors of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase. *Anti-Cancer Drug Des.* **1991**, *7*, 101–117.
- The reported NMR data were obtained at +20 °C. The appear-(32)ance of spectra recorded at higher temperatures changed as detailed below: the two broad singlets observed at $\delta = 2.32$ and δ = 2.58 merged at +80 °C and coalesced at 90 °C; the four broad singlets at $\delta = 2.89$, 2.96, 3.02, 3.10 gave a sharp singlet ($\delta = 2.93$) and br t peak ($\delta = 3.05$) at +80 °C; the two broad singlets at $\delta = 3.31$ and 3.57 merged at 40 °C and coalesced at 80 °C. Therefore, there is evidence that the compound 32 presents two stable conformational isomers at room temperature.
- Boyfield, I.; Brown, T. H.; Coldwell, M. C.; Cooper, D. G.; Hadley, M. S.; Hagan, J. J.; Healy, M. A.; Jones, A.; King, R. J.; Middlemiss, D. N.; Nash, D. J.; Riley, G. J.; Scott, E. E.; Smith, S. A.; Stemp, G. Design and synthesis of 2-naphthoate esters as (33) selective dopamine D₄ antagonists. J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 1946 - 1948
- (34) Borsini, F.; Giraldo, E.; Monferini, E.; Antonini, G.; Parenti, M.; Bietti, G.; Donetti, A. BIMT 17, a 5-HT_{2A} receptor antagonist and 5-HT_{1A} receptor full agonist in rat cerebral cortex. Naunyn. Schmiedebergs Arch. Pharmacol. 1995, 352, 276–282.
- (35) Glossmann, H.; Hornung, R. α-Adrenoceptors in rat brain: sodium changes the affinity of agonists for prazosin sites. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 1980, 61, 407-408.

JM991138Z