View Article Online View Journal

Chemical Science

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: S. Chaudhuri, M. Mohanan, A. V. Willems, J. A. Bertke and N. Gavvalapalli *, Chem. Sci.*, 2019, DOI: 10.1039/C9SC01724K.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the **author guidelines**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the ethical guidelines, outlined in our <u>author and reviewer resource centre</u>, still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



rsc.li/chemical-science



EDGE ARTICLE

β-Strand Inspired Bifacial π-Conjugated Polymers

Saikat Chaudhuri, Manikandan Mohanan[†], Andreas V. Willems[†], Jeffery A. Bertke, Nagarjuna Gavvalapalli*^a

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence

Open Access Article. Published on 09 May 2019. Downloaded on 5/10/2019 5:27:15 AM

Access to diverse, relatively high molecular weight soluble linear polymers without pendant solubilizing chains is the key to solution state synthesis of structurally diverse nanoribbons of conjugated materials. However, realizing soluble 1D- π -conjugated polymers without pendant solubilizing chains is a daunting task. Herein, inspired from the polypeptide β -strand architecture, we have designed and developed novel bifacial π -conjugated polymers (Mn: ca. 24 kDa) that are soluble (ca. 70 to >250 mM) despite the absence of pendant solubilizing chains. The impact of varying the bifacial monomer height on polymer solubility, optical properties, and interactions with small molecules is reported.

β-Strand

= side-chain

Introduction

Extended π -conjugation in conformationally rigid planar polymers including ladder polymers, nanoribbons, 2D-grids, and 2D- π -conjugated polymers (2D- π -CoPs) provides intriguing optical and electronic properties compared to 1D- π conjugated polymers (1D- π -CoPs).¹⁻¹⁷ However, lack of solution state synthesis, assembly, and solution processability of the 2D- π -conjugated materials limits their structural diversification and use in various applications.^{10, 11, 18-22} Although appending pendant solubilizing chains is a successful strategy in overcoming the above-mentioned challenges in the conformationally flexible 1D- π -CoPs, it is not effective for the 2D- π -conjugated materials of larger width due to strong van der Waals interactions.^{10, 11, 18-22}

Solution state synthesis of nanoribbons requires linear polymers that are soluble without pendant solubilizing chains so that monomers can be added laterally to extend the ribbon width (Scheme 1). In addition, for the resultant nanoribbons to be soluble, the π -surface of the ribbons should be masked efficiently to disrupt inter-ribbon interactions.¹²⁻¹⁶ Realizing these critical requirements, Schluter has shown two decades ago in a seminal paper that incorporating macrocycle containing monomers without pendant solubilizing chains, known as ansa-monomers, disrupts interchain (π - π) interactions and enables soluble low-molecular weight linear polymers as well as the corresponding ladder polymers of

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

 π -Conjugated polymer design strategies Conventional Ansa-monome Strapped-monome Bifacial-monomer Design (Schluter, (This work) (Sugiyasu Scherf, Swager) Takeuchi) Renders soluble, Requires pendant Renders soluble Requires pendant chains (R) to but low MW (ca. chains (R) to high MW (ca. 24 impart solubility 3 kDa) polymers impart solubility kDa) polymers β-Strand inspired bifacial polymer architecture Cycloalkyl groups control face-to-face interactions Sites for latera

Scheme 1. B-Strand inspired bifacial polymer architecture.

molecular weight 2.5 kDa (Scheme 1).^{11, 20, 23, 24} Soluble polymers of high molecular weight are needed to generate nanoribbons of larger dimensions and harness the advantages of electronic conjugation. Thus, access to diverse, relatively high molecular weight soluble linear polymers without pendant solubilizing chains is the key to realizing solution state synthesis of structurally diverse nanoribbons.

A bifacial polymer with an

adamantyl cycloalkyl group

Nature routinely forms 2D-sheets i.e., β -sheets through lateral polymerization of polypeptide β -strands.²⁵ The peptide β -strands are designed such that the side-chains on alternate repeat units are positioned above and below the strand to allow the strands to come close and polymerize laterally through hydrogen-bonding interactions. Since the β -strand

J. Name., 2013, 00, 1-3 | 1

Expanded view

of polymer

^a Department of Chemistry and Institute for Soft Matter Synthesis and Metrology, Georgetown University. 3700 Ost NW; Washington, D.C. 20057 United States.

^{b.} These Authors have contributed equally.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [synthesis and characterization of small molecules and polymers] See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Acceptec

Chemical Science

Scheme 2. Chemical structures of bifacial monomers and polymers synthesized in this study.



Solubility Increases

b) Bifacial homo- and co-polymers synthesized



design renders soluble β -strands, allows lateral polymerization of the strands to generate sheets, and also controls face-to-face aggregation of the β -sheets,^{26, 27} we envisioned designing molecular building blocks that emulate the β -strand architecture for the solution state synthesis of ladders, nanoribbons, and 2D-grids.

Herein, inspired from the β -strand design, we have designed and shown that the bifacial cyclophane monomers, despite not having pendant solubilizing chains, render soluble, high molecular weight (24 kDa) π -conjugated linear polymers. The bifacial polymers are made of bifacial cyclophane building blocks consisting of a polymerizable aryl group (aryl face) and a π -surface masking cycloalkyl group (cycloalkyl face) (Scheme 1 and Scheme 2). The aryl group of the bifacial monomer generates a π -conjugated polymer upon polymerization whereas the cycloalkyl groups positioned above and below Importantly, the bifacial monomer design allows to easily vary the monomer height (*vide infra*) by changing the cycloalkyl group (e.g., from cyclohexyl to adamantyl) without significantly altering the monomer width (Scheme 2). The bifacial polymer solubility increased by changing the monomer height as well as incorporating a co-monomer of different height. The solubility of the bifacial polymers (BiPs) (ca. 70 to >240 mM) exceeded the solubility limit (ca. 70 mM) of the conventional poly(p-phenylenebutadiynylenes) (PPB) based π -conjugated polymer with pendant solubilizing chains. The impact of varying the bifacial monomer height on optical properties, assembly and polymer interactions with small molecules is also studied.

The proposed bifacial monomer design differs from strapped-polymers and insulated molecular wires²⁸⁻³⁰ in which the polymer backbone is completely sheathed and therefore lateral polymerization to generate the 2D-polymers is not possible. Also, since only the π -surface is masked in the bifacial polymers, their interchain charge transport is expected to be comparable or better than the completely insulated molecular wires,^{28, 29} making the BiPs useful for optical and electronic applications. Importantly, the cycloalkyl groups can be cleaved post-polymerization or post-processing, if needed, using established desulfurization protocols to enhance intersheet interactions.³¹

Results and Discussion

Bifacial molecules have been known since the 1990's³²⁻³⁴ but surprisingly they have not been used as building blocks for polymers yet. The bifacial monomers were synthesized using modified reported protocols (Scheme 3 and the supplementary information). 2,5-dibromo p-xylene was brominated using NBS (N-Bromosuccinimide) to generate 2,5dibromo *p*-xylylene dibromide. 1,4-cyclohexyl dimethanol (1) was converted into 1,4-cyclohexyl dimethanethioacetate (2) and reduced to generate 1,4-cyclohexyl dimethanethiol (3). 2,5-dibromo p- xylylene dibromide and the dithiol compound (3) were reacted under dilute conditions to generate 1,4dibromo cyclohexanocyclophane (4). Sonogashira coupling of (4) with TMS-acetylene followed by desilylation resulted in 1,4-diethynyl cyclohexanocyclophane monomer (6). 1,4diethynyl adamantanocyclophane bifacial monomer (12) was generated from 1,3-adamantane dimethanol (7) following the similar protocols. 1,3-adamantane dimethanol was prepared by esterifying and reducing 1,3-adamantane dicarboxylic acid. The height of compounds 4 and 10 i.e., the distance from normal to the phenyl plane to the farthest atom in the

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence

Open Access Article. Published on 09 May 2019. Downloaded on 5/10/2019 5:27:15 AM

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.

Open Access Article. Published on 09 May 2019. Downloaded on 5/10/2019 5:27:15 AM

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C9SC01724K

Scheme 3. Synthesis of bifacial polymers.



Reaction conditions: (a) Thioacetic acid, DIAD, PPh₃, THF; (b) LiAlH₄, THF; (c) 2,5-dibromo p-xylylene dibromide, KOH, C₆H₆, EtOH; (d) (i) TMS-acetylene, Pd(PPh₃)₄, Cul, toluene, triethylamine; (e) TBAF, THF; (f) CuCl, TMEDA, O₂, solvent; (g) TMS-acetylene, Pd(PPh₃)₄, Cul, piperidine.

cycloalkyl group was determined from single crystal X-ray structures (Figure 1, Figure S1-S2). As expected, the height of **10** (7.22 Å) with an adamantyl cycloalkyl group is greater than that of **4** (5.90 Å) with a cyclohexyl group, and the heights of both these monomers are higher than the conventional 1,4-dihexyl benzene (0 Å), which lacks the cycloalkyl groups on top of the π -surface.

Monomers 6 and 12 were subjected to Glaser-Hay polymerization to obtain the BiP polymers (Scheme 2, Scheme 3 and Figure S3). The polymerization mixture was precipitated in methanol and the crude polymer was subjected to soxhlation in ethyl acetate and chloroform. The polymer collected in chloroform was re-precipitated in ether, dried and used for characterization. Three bifacial homopolymers (BiP-1, BiP-2a and BiP-2b), one bifacial co-polymer (BiP-3), and a conventional polymer with dihexyl pendant solubilizing chains (PPB) were synthesized (Scheme 3). Stereoisomers of the monomers as synthesized were used for polymerization. The BiP-1 was synthesized from both the diastereomeric (arising due to cis and trans cyclohexyl substitution) and racemic mixture (formed during cyclophane formation) of monomer 6. The BiP-2a and BiP-2b were synthesized from the racemic mixture (formed during cyclophane formation) of monomer 12. Thus, all the synthesized bifacial polymers are atactic in nature i.e., there is no control over orientation of the repeat units along the polymer backbone.



Figure 1. Single crystal X-ray thermal ellipsoid plots of a) compound **4**; b) compound **10**; and c) compound **15** (dimer) with thermal ellipsoids at 50% probability. Black-C, Yellow-S, Orange-Br, White- H.

The synthesized BiPs are soluble in several typical organic solvents (e.g., THF, CHCl₃, DMF and chlorobenzene) that are used to solubilize π -conjugated polymers. In order to

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

J. Name., 2013, 00, 1-3 | 3

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C9SC01724K

Polymers	Mn ^a (kDa)	Mw ^a (kDa)	Absorption Maximum ^b ($\Delta \lambda_{max} nm$)			Molar Extinction	Emission Maximum ^{b,c}	Solubility Limit in CHCl₂		K _{sv} ^d
			Solution	Thin film	$\Delta\lambda_{max}$	Coetticient (M ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹)	(λ _{max} nm)	mg/mL	mM	(x 10 ⁴)
BiP-1	11.0	29.3	421	433	12	24500 ±300	437	29 ±5	90 ±15	2.6 ±0.3
BiP-2a	15.0	31.8	423	-	-	27100 ±700	-	>92	>240	-
BiP-2b	23.0	48.8	424	433	9	25200 ±100	448	26 ±3	70 ±8	1.3 ±0.1
BiP-3	24.0	51.4	424	430	6	32200 ±300	445	>87	>250	1.7 ±0.3
PPB	16.1	35.6	415	443	28	29900 ±300	433	21 ±2	70 ±7	11 ±1
^a tetrahydrofuran gel permeation chromatography with polystyrene standards: ^b recorded in CHCla: ^c excited at absorption maximum: ^d polymer fluorescence guenching studies										

Table 1. GPC, optical properties, and solubility limits of bifacial polymers

Edge Article

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence

with TCNO

Dpen Access Article. Published on 09 May 2019. Downloaded on 5/10/2019 5:27:15 AM

determine the solubilization power of the bifacial monomer compared to the conventional monomer, solubility limit of the polymers were determined in chloroform from multiple trials. The solubility limits were determined by dissolving polymers in chloroform at elevated temperature (55 $^{\circ}$ C) for about 20 minutes and then bringing the solution to room temperature.

The amount of polymer dissolved in chloroform was determined using the Beer-Lambert law (see supporting information Figure S4-S8). The polymer solubility limit follows the order: PPB (16 kDa) <BiP-1 (11 kDa) <<<BiP-2a (15 kDa) (see Table 1). During the solubility limit test, an insoluble polymer was observed in solution in the case of both BiP-1 and PPB indicating that the solutions are saturated. Unlike the PPB and BiP-1, to our pleasant surprise, the BiP-2a is completely soluble in chloroform even at three times the amount of the PPB polymer and the polymer solution turned highly viscous making it difficult to determine the exact solubility limit. In order to avoid the discrepancy due to high molecular weight of the adamantyl repeat unit, the solubility limits are also determined in mM with respect to the repeat unit molecular weight, and the trend still holds well (Table 1). Solubility limit of the adamantyl bifacial polymer reduced when the polymer weight increased to 23 kDa (BiP-2b) indicating that as the molecular weight increases the solubility limit reduces for a given bifacial repeat unit (Table 1). Nonetheless, the solubility limit of BiP-2b is still higher than the conventional polymer (PPB) despite the molecular weight of BiP-2b (23 kDa) being higher than the PPB (16 kDa).

To test whether fractionation of polymers is happening during the solubility limit tests i.e., if the low molecular weight polymer preferentially dissolves in chloroform while the high molecular weight polymer stays insoluble, GPC traces of the soluble and insoluble portions of the BiP-1 were recorded and compared with the BiP-1 polymer sample before the solubility limit test (Figure S3f). There is no significant difference in the

0.5 polymer molecular weights (within kDa) and polydispersities (within 0.3) of these three samples, which indicates that there is no fractionation of the polymer during the solubility limit tests. It is worth noting that during the purification stage all the BiPs went through fractionation via soxhlet extraction. Ethylacetate was used to extract the low molecular weight polymer while chloroform was used to obtain the chloroform soluble polymer fraction of the as synthesized polymers, and only the chloroform soluble fraction is used for all characterization. Even though the BiP-1 has a higher polydispersity compared to the BiP-2a, the absence of fractionation confirms that the insoluble polymer is indeed the excess BiP-1 polymer (beyond the solubility limit) and not just the high molecular weight polymer fraction of the BiP-1. The high molecular weight of BiP-2a along with the absence of fractionation in BiP-1 makes it reasonable to compare the solubility limits of these two polymers. Within the adamantyl polymer series, the BiP-2b has higher polymer molecular weight than the BiP-2a but both have similar polydispersities. Thus, the solubility limit comparison within these two polymers clearly highlights the impact of polymer molecular weight on solubility. Similar to the conventional polymers, the bifacial polymers with higher molecular weight have lower solubility limit.

In order to understand the impact of repeat units of dissimilar height on polymer solubility and interchain interactions, a random co-polymer of **6** and **12** (BiP-3) was synthesized (Scheme 2 and 3). We are thrilled to find that the solubility limit of the co-polymer (BiP-3, 24 kDa) is more than three times higher than the adamantyl bifacial homopolymer (BiP-2b, 23 kDa) of similar molecular weight (Table 1). Even at three times the amount of BiP-2b, the co-polymer is completely soluble in chloroform and the polymer solution turned highly viscous making it difficult to determine the exact solubility limit. Since the cycloalkyl groups are far from the ethynes, they have negligible steric and electronic effect

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence

Open Access Article. Published on 09 May 2019. Downloaded on 5/10/2019 5:27:15 AM

BY-NC

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C9SC01724K

on the kinetics of the Glaser-Hay coupling. This is evident from the 1:1 ratio of repeat units in the co-polymers, which reflects the feed ratio of the monomers as determined from ¹H NMR. Thus, both the bifacial monomers of different height (1.328 Å height difference) are randomly positioned along the polymer backbone, which makes the height profile of the π -surface rugged along the polymer backbone (Scheme 2). Since both the BiP-2b and BiP-3 polymers have similar molecular weight and polydispersities, we attribute the enhanced solubility limit of the co-polymer compared to the homopolymers to the ruggedness of the π -surface, which leads to inefficient polymer chain packing and hence weaker interchain interactions.

In the case of ansa-poly(*para*-phenylene)s made using the ansa-monomers (Scheme 1), it has been shown that the isotactic and syndiotactic polymers (polymers synthesized from enantiomerically pure monomers) are less soluble compared to the atactic polymer (polymer synthesized from a racemic mixture).²³ Thus, the presence of different stereoisomers along the polymer backbone also helps to weaken interchain interactions and improve polymer solubility. In the case of BiPs also, since a stereoisomeric mixture of monomers is used to synthesize the polymers, the



Figure 2: UV-vis absorption spectra of BiP polymers. (top) in solution state; (bottom) thin film.

generated bifacial polymers contain stereoisomers associated with the monomer along the polymer backbone resulting in atactic polymers. In addition to the presence of the cycloalkyl groups, the atactic nature of the polymer backbone also helped to further weaken the interchain interactions and generate soluble polymers without pendant solubilizing chains. Since all the BiPs are synthesized from a stereoisomeric mixture of monomers, improved solubility of the BiP-2a compared to BiP-1 is attributed to a change in the height of the monomer. Improved solubility of the BiP-3 compared to BiP-2b is attributed to a random arrangement of the repeat units of different height along the polymer backbone.

In order to understand the impact of the bifacial monomer height on the strength of interchain interactions, change in absorption maximum of the polymers from solution to thin film are compared (Figure 2). The absorption maxima of all the bifacial homopolymers as well as the BiP-3 co-polymer in chloroform are ca. 424 nm, which is 9 nm higher than the PPB (Table 1). Similar absorption maxima of the BiPs and PPB indicate that the bifacial architecture has minimal effect on the solution state absorption spectrum. The absorption maxima of the BiPs in thin films are ca. 430 nm, which is 6-10 nm red-shifted compared to the solution state maxima. On the other hand, absorption maximum of the PPB in thin film is 442 nm, which is 25 nm red-shifted compared to the solution state maximum (Table 1). The higher red shift in PPB is due to strong interchain π - π interactions between the unhindered π surface of the polymers. The lower red shift (6-10 nm) in the case of BiPs support that the cycloalkyl groups weaken interchain interactions between the polymers. The emission spectra of the BiPs in chloroform are shown in Figure 3. The



Figure 3. Fluorescence spectra of BiP polymers.

difference in solution state absorption maxima to emission maxima for the BiPs are between 16-24 nm, which indicates

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.

Open Access Article. Published on 09 May 2019. Downloaded on 5/10/2019 5:27:15 AM

Chemical Science Accepted Manus

Chemical Science

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C9SC01724K

that there is not much difference in the conformational changes during emission as the repeat unit size is increased by changing the cycloalkyl group from cyclohexyl to adamantyl.

The powder X-ray diffraction data of all the BiPs shows two peaks below 25° 20 (Figure 4). For the BiP-1 the two peaks correspond to 7.18 Å and 4.12 Å. Since the BiPs lack pendant solubilizing chains their assembly is expected to be different from the conventional conjugated polymers with solubilizing



Figure 4. (top) PXRD of BiPs and (bottom) cartoon depicting the assembly of polymers in solid state.

chains. To gain insights into polymer assembly in the BiPs, a model-dimer molecule (15) is synthesized (see supplementary information). The dimer crystal structure (Figure 1 and supplementary information) suggests existence of two different layers of polymer chains in the solid state in which one of the layers is offset with respect to the other and there is an interdigitation of cycloalkyl groups between the layers. This type of assembly pattern is reminiscent of the assembly in polyacetylenes, which also lack the pendant solubilizing chains.³⁵ The crystal structure of the model dimer molecule indicates presence of ABA type stacking in the BiPs as shown in Figure 4. The interlayer separation distance in BiP-1 was found to be 7.18 Å from PXRD, which corresponds to the peak at lower 20. The interchain separation within the layer along the lateral direction is 8.24 Å but in the PXRD the corresponding overtone peak at higher 20 (4.12 Å) is appearing. In the case of BiP-2b and BiP-3 due to the increased height of the adamantyl moiety the interlayer

distance from PXRD is found to be greater than the BiP-1 and corresponds to 7.5 Å. Only a small increase in the interlayer separation for BiP-2b and BiP-3 indicates strong interdigitation of the adamantyl cycloalkyl groups in these polymers. Similar interchain distance for both the BiP-2b and BiP-3 copolymer confirms that the improved solubility of BiP-3 is due to weaker interactions in the co-polymer and not due to a difference in polymer assembly in the solid state.

To further elucidate how the bifacial monomer height impacts the BiP interactions with other molecules, fluorescence quenching studies of the BiPs with TCNQ (tetracyanoquinodimethane) acceptor were carried out and compared with that of the conventional polymer (PPB) (Figure 5, S9-S11). As the TCNQ concentration increased the fluorescence intensity of all the polymers reduced resulting in an upward curvature of the Stern-Volmer (SV) plot. Thus, the data was fitted to a reported non-linear SV equation³⁶ to



Figure 5. (top) TCNQ concentration dependent BiP-1 emission spectra in chloroform, TCNQ to polymer repeat unit concentration is shown in the legend; (bottom) Stern-Volmer plot and fit of bifacial polymers.

obtain the SV constant (Ksv) (Figure 5, S12). The Ksv decreased with an increase in the monomer height and follows the order PPB >> BiP-1 > BiP-3 > BiP-2b. Moreover,

Chemical Science

there is almost an order of magnitude reduction in the Ksv from PPB to BiP-2b (Table 1). To the best of our knowledge varying the Ksv of poly(p-phenyleneethynylene),³⁶ which is similar to the PPB backbone structure, up to an order of magnitude by varying the pendant side chains has not been demonstrated so far. All the BiPs have a similar polymer backbone; thus, the guenching constant primarily depends on how close a TCNQ molecule can approach the polymer backbone. In the case of bifacial polymers, the polymer backbone mimics a cylinder wherein the radius of the cylinder is determined by the height of the monomer. The larger the radius of the cylinder (radius of BiP-2b > BiP-3 > BiP-1), the farther the TCNQ is from the polymer backbone, thereby resulting in a lower quenching constant. BiPs have lower quenching constant than the PPB even though the width of the PPB repeat unit and hence the PPB cylinder diameter is larger because in the case of BiPs the cycloalkyl groups are directly positioned above and below the π -surface and thus are more effective in weakening the BiP-TCNQ interactions and reducing the Ksv by an order of magnitude.

Conclusions

In conclusion, novel bifacial π -conjugated polymers that mimic the β -strand architecture are developed. The lack of pendant solubilizing chains, and the ability to easily vary monomer height without significantly altering the monomer width and to generate relatively high molecular weight soluble polymers make the bifacial polymers potential building blocks to control growth, assembly, and solution processability of the 2D-π-conjugated materials. By proper design i.e., by incorporating functional moieties that undergo lateral polymerization, the high molecular weight, soluble bifacial polymers can be converted into ladder polymers and nanoribbons. Also, the lack of pendant solubilizing chains and higher solubility will help to surpass the current limitation on the number of strands used for 2D-grid growth. Finally, similar to the β -strands in β -fibrils, the bifacial architecture provides control over face-to-face interactions in 2D-π-CoPs, which enables control of the growth, assembly and solution processability of the 2D-π-CoPs.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Donors of the American Chemical Society Petroleum Research Fund (#58444-DNI7) for partial support of this research.

Notes and references

- 1. B. A. G. Hammer and K. Mullen, *Chem. Rev.*, **2016**, *116*, 2103-2140.
- 2. J. W. Colson and W. R. Dichtel, *Nat. Chem.*, **2013**, *5*, 453-465.
- L. Ma, S. Wang, X. Feng and B. Wang, Chin. Chem. Lett., 2016, 27, 1383-1394.
- 4. L. Yang and D.-C. Wei, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, **2016**, *27*, 1395-1404.
- A. Narita, X. L. Feng, Y. Hernandez, S. A. Jensen, M. Bonn, H. F. Yang, I. A. Verzhbitskiy, C. Casiraghi, M. R. Hansen, A. H. R. Koch, G. Fytas, O. Ivasenko, B. Li, K. S. Mali, T. Balandina, S. Mahesh, S. De Feyter and K. Mullen, *Nat. Chem.*, **2014**, *6*, 126-132.
- J. Lee, A. J. Kalin, T. Y. Yuan, M. Al-Hashimi and L. Fang, Chemical Science, 2017, 8, 2503-2521.
- P. M. Byers and I. V. Alabugin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 9609-9614.
- 8. D. Hertel, U. Scherf and H. Bassler, *Adv. Mater.*, **1998**, *10*, 1119-1122.
- S. R. Bheemireddy, M. P. Hautzinger, T. Li, B. Lee and K. N. Plunkett, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 5801-5807.
- 10. C. S. Hartley, E. L. Elliott and J. S. Moore, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2007**, *129*, 4512-4513.
- 11. I. C.-Y. Hou, Y. Hu, A. Narita and K. Müllen, *Polym. J.*, **2017**, *50*, 3-20.
- D. Lehnherr, C. Chen, Z. Pedramrazi, C. R. DeBlase, J. M. Alzola, I. Keresztes, E. B. Lobkovsky, M. F. Crommie and W. R. Dichtel, *Chemical Science*, **2016**, *7*, 6357-6364.
- 13. Y. J. Li, Z. Y. Jia, S. Q. Xiao, H. B. Liu and Y. L. Li, *Nature Communications*, **2016**, *7*.
- F. Schlutter, T. Nishiuchi, V. Enkelmann and K. Mullen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 1538-1542.
- T. Y. Zheng, Z. X. Cai, R. Ho-Wu, S. H. Yau, V. Shaparov, T. Goodson and L. P. Yu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2016**, *138*, 868-875.
- C. D. Zhu, D. Wang, D. Y. Wang, Y. Zhao, W. Y. Sun and Z.
 Z. Shi, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 8848-8853.
- 17. Y. Morisaki, T. Ishida and Y. Chujo, *Macromolecules*, **2002**, *35*, 7872-7877.
- A. D. Schluter, P. Payamyar and H. C. Ottinger, Macromol. Rapid Commun., 2016, 37, 1638-1650.
- 19. J. Sakamoto, J. van Heijst, O. Lukin and A. D. Schluter, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., **2009**, 48, 1030-1069.
- 20. A. D. Schluter, M. Loffler and V. Enkelmann, *Nature*, **1994**, *368*, 831-834.
- S. Gratz, D. Beyer, V. Tkachova, S. Hellmann, R. Berger, X.
 L. Feng and L. Borchardt, *Chem. Commun.*, **2018**, *54*, 5307-5310.
- 22. A. Narita, X. Y. Wang, X. L. Feng and K. Mullen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **2015**, *44*, 6616-6643.
- R. Fiesel, J. Huber, U. Apel, V. Enkelmann, R. Hentschke, U. Scherf and K. Cabrera, *Macromol. Chem. Phys.*, **1997**, 198, 2623-2650.
- 24. D. K. Fu, B. Xu and T. M. Swager, *Tetrahedron*, **1997**, *53*, 15487-15494.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

J. Name., 2013, 00, 1-3 | 7

Edge Article

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C9SC01724K

- - 25. P. N. Cheng, J. D. Pham and J. S. Nowick, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 5477-5492.

PreaseChemical Science argins

- 26. M. S. Lamm, K. Rajagopal, J. P. Schneider and D. J. Pochan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 16692-16700.
- 27. C. J. Bowerman and B. L. Nilsson, Biopolymers, 2012, 98, 169-184.
- 28. F. Cacialli, J. S. Wilson, J. J. Michels, C. Daniel, C. Silva, R. H. Friend, N. Severin, P. Samori, J. P. Rabe, M. J. O'Connell, P. N. Taylor and H. L. Anderson, Nat. Mater., 2002, 1, 160-164.
- 29. M. J. Frampton and H. L. Anderson, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 1028-1064.
- 30. C. J. Pan, C. H. Zhao, M. Takeuchi and K. Sugiyasu, Chem. - Asian J., 2015, 10, 1820-1835.
- 31. J. Rentner, M. Kljajic, L. Offner and R. Breinbauer, Tetrahedron, 2014, 70, 8983-9027.
- 32. R. Lemmerz, M. Nieger and F. Vogtle, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1993, DOI: 10.1039/c39930001168, 1168-1170.
- 33. S. T. Lin, F. M. Yang and L. H. Lin, Tetrahedron, 1997, 53, 16123-16130.
- 34. F. M. Yang and S. T. Lin, J. Org. Chem., 1997, 62, 2727-2731.
- 35. S. Flandrois and A. Boukhari, eds. A. P. Legrand and A. Flandrois, Springer Science+ Business Media, LLC, 1987, pp. 311-317.
- 36. K. Campbell, A. Zappas, U. Bunz, Y. S. Thio and D. G. Bucknall, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 2012, 249, 41-46.

BY-NC

Chemical Science Accepted Manuscript



 β -Strand inspired bifacial π -conjugated polymers that are soluble despite the absence of pendant solubilizing chains are reported. Precise tunability of the bifacial monomer height enables control of polymer solubility and intermolecular interactions.

269x234mm (72 x 72 DPI)