

View Article Online View Journal

# **RSC Advances**

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: W. Luo, Y. Iyu, L. Gong, H. Du, T. Wang and Y. Ding, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, DOI: 10.1039/C5RA24808F.



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C5RA24808F

## CROYAL SOCIETY OF CHEMISTRY

### Selectively hydrogenolysis of glycerol to 1,3-propanediol over eggshell type Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub> catalysts

Wenting Luo,<sup>a, c</sup> Yuan Lyu,<sup>\*a</sup> Leifeng Gong,<sup>a</sup> Hong Du,<sup>a,c</sup> Tao, Wang,<sup>a,c</sup> Yunjie Ding<sup>\*a,b</sup>

The selective liquid-phase hydrogenolysis of glycerol to 1,3-propanediol is reported over egg-shell catalysts in which the loadings of Ir and Re were both 2 wt.%. The shell thickness can be tuned by impregnating a hydrophobic silanized support with an aqueous solution of precursors containing various concentrations of ethanol, using entrapped air to prevent the impregnation solution from entering into the support pellets. The conversion of glycerol for the target reaction over egg-shell catalysts was higher than that over a uniform catalyst, accompanied by a high selectivity for 1,3-propanediol over 1,2-propanediol. Reacting over the catalyst for which the ethanol concentration of the impregnation solution was 20 vol.% resulted in the best glycerol conversion (60.9%) and the highest yield of removal secondary hydroxyl group (30.2%). The properties of the egg-shell and uniform catalysts were characterized by XRD, TEM, XPS, H<sub>2</sub>-TPR, H<sub>2</sub>-pulse chemisorption and NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD. An appropriate diffusion distance of reactants for egg-shell catalysts might result in better catalytic performance because of the high viscosity of the glycerol aqueous solution.

#### Introduction

Journal Name

ARTICLE

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

As a sustainable biomass-derived product, glycerol has few environmental impacts compared with the energy- and emissionsintensive synthesis of fossil fuel-derived chemicals.<sup>1-3</sup> Glycerol is a byproduct of biodiesel produced from vegetable oils and animal fat,<sup>4</sup> and a large surplus glycerol has resulted from the rapid expansion of the global biodiesel production capacity.<sup>5, 6</sup> From an economic standpoint, the price decline of crude glycerol due to increasing biodiesel production makes glycerol an attractive material for the synthesis of fine chemicals.<sup>7</sup> Many processes have been developed to transform glycerol into different chemicals, such oxidation, reforming, etherification, dehydration and hydrogenolysis.<sup>8</sup> Among these processing approaches, glycerol hydrogenolysis is one of the promising. The main products of glycerol hydrogenolysis are 1,3-propanediol, 1,2-propanediol, 1propanol, and 2-propanol, and 1,3-propanediol has great economic value as the monomer of polytrimethylene terephthalate (PTT). Therefore, hydrogenolysis of glycerol to 1,3-propanediol has attracted more attention in recent years.<sup>8</sup>

Recently, Re has been added to different noble metal catalysts to improve their catalytic activity. Pt-Re, Rh-Re, Pd-Re, Ru-Re, and Ir-Re have all been investigated.<sup>9-13</sup> Among these catalyst systems, iridium modified with rhenium has demonstrated the best catalytic

selectivity for 1,3-propanediol on Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> with an Ir loading of 4wt.% when reacted with the addition of a solid acid co-catalyst in a batch reactor.<sup>14, 15</sup> They proposed the following mechanism for Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>-catalyzed glycerol hydrogenolysis: Re-OH interacts with the OH groups of glycerol to form 2,3-dihydroxypropaneoxide; then, hydrogen species activate the secondary carbon of 2,3-dihydroxypropaneoxide to cleave the C-O bond; and hydrolysis occurs to release the product.<sup>11, 14, 16, 17</sup>

activity in glycerol hydrogenolysis. Tomishige et al. observed high

Egg-shell catalysts are pelletized catalysts in which the active phase is located on the outer surface. Egg-shell catalysts are broadly applied in many reactions, such as selective hydrogenation reactions<sup>18-21</sup>, Fischer-Tropsch synthesis<sup>22, 23</sup>, methane partial oxidation<sup>24</sup> and hydrodesulfurization<sup>25</sup>. To maintain an acceptable pressure gradient, pelletized catalysts of a certain size are usually required for reactions in industrial fixed-bed reactors, but uniform catalysts will sometimes limit the mass and/or heat transfer, which negatively affect catalytic performance. Egg-shell catalysts solve problems of gum formation, the generation of overheating spots in the reactor bed and the undesired complete reaction of reactants.<sup>18-26</sup>

To the best of our knowledge, few studies have investigated Ir eggshell catalysts for glycerol hydrogenolysis. Glycerol is strongly adhesive, and internal diffusion probably affects the catalytic performance in glycerol hydrogenolysis. In this study, egg-shell catalysts were prepared by impregnating a hydrophobic silanized support with an aqueous solution of precursors containing various concentrations of ethanol, using entrapped air to prevent the impregnation solution from entering the support pellets. The properties of these egg-shell catalysts and uniform catalyst were investigated by several characterization techniques, including

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a.</sup> Dalian National Laboratory for Clean Energy, Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 457 Zhongshan Road, Dalian, Liaoning, China. Email: dyj@dicp.ac.cn. Tel: +86 411 84379143; Fax: +86 411 84379143.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b.</sup> State Key Laboratory of Catalysis, Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 457 Zhongshan Road, Dalian, Liaoning, China.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, No.19A Yuquan Road, Beijing 100049, China.

#### DOI: 10.1039/C5RA24808F Journal Name

#### ARTICLE

optical microscopy, X-ray powder diffraction (XRD), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS),  $H_2$ -TPR (temperature-programmed reduction),  $H_2$ -pulse chemisorption and temperature-programmed desorption of ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD), and the catalytic performance of the egg-shell catalysts and uniform catalyst were all evaluated in glycerol hydrogenolysis.

#### Experimental

#### **Catalyst preparation**

The egg-shell catalysts were prepared by the method devised by  $\text{Ding}^{22}$ . TMCS-SiO<sub>2</sub> was prepared by silanizing a SiO<sub>2</sub> support (8-10 mesh) with trimethylchlorosilane (TMCS). First, we mixed 100 ml of toluene and 2.5 g of TMCS and stirred them uniformly. Then, 5 g of SiO<sub>2</sub> was adding to this mixture, which was refluxed for 16 h. We filtered the above material and washed it with ethanol and cyclohexane. Finally, the material was dried at 393 K for 8 h in air to obtain TMCS-SiO<sub>2</sub>.

TMCS-SiO<sub>2</sub> was impregnated in a mixture of an aqueous solution of H<sub>2</sub>IrCl<sub>6</sub> and ethanol, in which the ethanol concentration was 20, 25 or 30 vol.%. These treated samples were heated in a rotary evaporator at 313 K for 2 h, followed by drying at 393 K for 8 h in an oven and calcination at 773 K for 3 h. Then, Re was deposited on these materials with an aqueous solution of NH<sub>4</sub>ReO<sub>4</sub>. The above material was dried at 393 K for 12 h and calcinated at 773 K for 3 h, and the egg-shell catalysts were obtained using the impregnation solution with different concentrations of ethanol, which were denoted as RI20, RI25 and RI30, respectively. For comparison, a uniform catalyst was prepared by impregnating an aqueous solution of H<sub>2</sub>IrCl<sub>6</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub>ReO<sub>4</sub> in sequence with silica gel without any treatments. This uniform catalyst was denoted RI. RI was broken up and put through a series of graded sieves, and the resulting fractions were denoted as RI-sm-n (m and n correspond to the lower and upper mesh numbers of pellet size, respectively). RI20s60-80 was prepared by the same method as RI20 with silica supports of 60-80 mesh. The contents of iridium and rhenium in all catalysts were 2 wt.%.

#### **Catalytic performance test**

Glycerol hydrogenolysis reactions were performed in a trickle bed reactor (9 mm i.d.) using 1.5 g of catalyst. The hydrogen flow was 40 ml·min<sup>-1</sup>, which corresponded to a space velocity of 1600 ml·(h·g)<sup>-1</sup>, and the glycerol flow was 0.65 ml·h<sup>-1</sup>, which corresponded to a space velocity of 0.44 ml·(h·g)<sup>-1</sup>. Before reaction, all catalysts were reduced at 463 K. The reactions were conducted at 403 K and 8 MPa. The gaseous products from the effluent were analyzed on-line using an Agilent GC-7890. The liquid-phase products were quantified by an internal standard method, and the internal standard substance was n-butyl alcohol. Then, the liquid-phase products were esterified by acetic anhydride catalyzed by pyridine and heated to 328 K for 1.5 h. The samples were quantified on a gas chromatograph (Agilent 7890) fitted with a flame ionization detector and a HP-5 capillary column.

The conversion of glycerol and the selectivity of the products were calculated by the following equations:

Conversion of glycerol (%) =

moles of glycerol initially added – moles of glycerol that remained moles of glycerol initially added ×100

Selectivity (%) =

C mole of specific product sum of C mole of glycerol consumed ×100

The removal selectivity of primary (or secondary) hydroxyl group (%) ( $S_{1-OH}$  or  $S_{2-OH}$ ) =

sum of removal primary or secondary hydroxyl group sum of removal hydroxyl group × 100

The yield of removal secondary hydroxyl group (%)  $(Y_{2-OH}) =$ 

Conversion of glycerol  $\times$  removal selectivity of secondary hydroxyl group

#### Characterizations

XRD data were collected on an X'pert PRO/PANalytical diffractometer with  $CuK_{\alpha}$  radiation. The X-ray patterns were measured over a  $2\theta$  range of  $10^{\circ}$ -80° at 40 kV and 40 mA.

The particle size of the samples was observed by TEM using a JEM-2100 microscope operated at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. The catalysts were ground and placed on a copper grid after being briefly dispersed in ethanol with ultrasonication.

XPS data were collected on a Thermo ESCALAB 250Xi equipped with a monochromatized AlK<sub>a</sub> radiation (1486.6 eV, 15 kV, 10.8 mA). The pressure in the analysis chamber was approximately  $7.1 \times 10^{-5}$  Pa.

TPR analyses were performed on an AMI-300 catalyst characterization system. Approximately 100 mg of each sample was placed into quartz reactors and reduced under a stream of  $H_2$  at 30 ml·min<sup>-1</sup> (10 vol.% in Ar) from 323 to 773 K at a heating rate of 10 K·min<sup>-1</sup>.

Optical micrographs were observed by optical microscopy, and the images were captured by a camera. The sample was the cross-sections of catalyst pellets prepared by cutting. The magnification of the objective and eyepiece were both 4. The image ruler was determined using the diameter of the catalyst pellets as a reference. The diameters of the catalyst pellets were measured by a Vernier calliper.

H<sub>2</sub>-chemisorption was performed on an AMI-300 catalyst characterization system. Approximately 100 mg of the catalyst samples were reduced under a flow of 10% H<sub>2</sub>/Ar at 463 K for 2 h, followed by purging with argon at 463 K for 0.5 h. Then, the samples were cooled to 313 K to be used for pulse adsorption. The adsorbed gas was 10% H<sub>2</sub>/Ar, and the carrier gas was argon.

NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD was carried out on an AMI-300 catalyst characterization system equipped with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD). A 100 mg sample was pre-treated in an Ar flow at 873 K for 0.5 h. Then, after cooling to 373 K under a continuous flow of argon, ammonia adsorption was performed by introducing a flow of 5% NH<sub>3</sub>/Ar for 2 h using the static adsorption method. To remove all the physically adsorbed ammonia, the sample was purged with a helium flow of

Published on 15 January 2016. Downloaded by University of California - San Diego on 17/01/2016 06:54:40.

Table 1 Catalytic performance of the glycerol hydrogenolysis over the RI, RI20, RI25 and RI30 catalysts <sup>141</sup>										
		selectivity/%						Carbon	1,3-	
catalyst	Conv. /%	1,3-PD <sup>[b]</sup>	1,2-PD <sup>[c]</sup>	1-PO <sup>[d]</sup>	2-P0 <sup>[e]</sup>	$C_3H_8$	Others <sup>[f]</sup>	balance/%	PD/1,2- PD <sup>[g]</sup>	Y <sub>2-OH</sub> /% <sup>[h]</sup>
RI	48.9	37.0	12.9	35.6	9.0	4.9	0.6	100.9	2.9	24.6
RI20	60.9	31.1	7.5	45.4	9.1	6.6	0.3	94.7	4.1	30.2
RI25	56.6	31.9	8.6	42.9	9.3	6.8	0.5	101.4	3.7	27.9
RI30	53.7	32.1	9.3	33.5	8.1	16.7	0.3	95.0	3.5	25.3

[a] Reaction condition: P=8.0 MPa, T= 403 K, WHSV=  $0.5 h^{-1}$ , 1.5 g catalyst, 80 wt% glycerol aqueous solution,V<sub>H2</sub> = 40 ml/min, TOS= 36 h. [b] 1,3-PD = 1,3-propandiol, [c] 1,2-PD = 1,2-propanediol, [d] 1-PO = 1-propanol, [e] 2-PO = 2-propanol. [f] Others include ethanol, ethane and methane. [g] The ratio of selectivity of 1,3-propanediol to 1,2-propanediol, [h] The yield of removal secondary hydroxyl group. Reduction condition: P=1 atm, V<sub>H2</sub> = 40ml/min, T=463 K, TOS=2h.

30 ml·min<sup>-1</sup> at 373 K for 0.5 h. Then, the NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD pattern was recorded by heating from 373 to 873 K with a ramp of 10 K/min in an Ar flow, and the desorbed NH<sub>3</sub> was simultaneously monitored by online TCD analysis.

#### **Results and discussion**

#### Catalytic activity measurements

The activity of glycerol hydrogenolysis over the RI, RI20, RI25 and RI30 catalysts is summarized in Table 1. Approximately 60.9% glycerol conversion with 31.1% selectivity for 1,3-propanediol was obtained over the RI20 catalyst, which was impregnated in an aqueous solution of  $H_2IrCl_6$  with a 20 vol.% ethanol concentration, followed by an aqueous solution of  $NH_4ReO_4$  at 403 K with 8.0 MPa of  $H_2$  pressure in an aqueous solution of 80% glycerol. Compared with the uniform catalyst (RI), the glycerol conversion of the RI20 catalyst increased from 48.9 to 60.9%, and the selectivity of 1,3-propanediol decreased from 37.0 to 31.1%. All the egg-shell catalysts (RI20, RI25 and RI30) showed better glycerol conversion than RI, accompanied with slightly lower selectivity for 1,3-propanediol. For the three egg-shell catalysts, the glycerol conversion increased from 53.7 to 60.9% with the decrease in the ethanol concentration from 30 to 20 vol.%.

Table 2 Catalytic performance of the hydrogenolysis of 1,3-propanediol over the RI and RI20 catalysts<sup>[a]</sup>

and a locat	0 /0/	selectivity/%				
catalyst	Conv. /%	1-PO	$C_3H_8$	Others		
RI	40.6	91.0	8.5	0.5		
RI20	43.0	95.8	3.7	0.5		

[a] Reaction condition: P=8.0 MPa, T= 403 K, WHSV= 0.533 h<sup>-1</sup>, 1.5 g catalyst, 80 wt% 1,3-propanediol aqueous solution,  $V_{H2}$  = 40 ml/min, t= 36 h. Reduction condition: P=1 atm,  $V_{H_2}$ = 40 ml/min, T=463 K, t=2 h.

In addition, 1,3-propanediol and 1,2-propanediol are the products of the parallel reaction of the first step of glycerol hydrogenolysis. Lower temperature would thermodynamically favour the selectivity for 1,3-propanediol over 1,2-propaendiol.<sup>27</sup> The short diffusion distance would also lead to better heat diffusion from the catalyst surface. Therefore, overheating on the catalytic active sites would be prevented, and the generation of undesired by-products (1,2-

propanediol) would also be restricted. This is consistent with the reaction results. The 1,3-propanediol/1,2-propanediol ratios of RI20 and RI were 4.1 and 2.9, respectively, and the other egg-shell catalysts also produced a larger 1,3-propanediol/1,2-propanediol ratio than that of the uniform catalyst RI. Table 2 shows the results of the hydrogenolysis of 1,3-propanediol over the RI and RI20 catalysts. The conversion of 1,3-propanediol over the RI20 catalyst was higher than over the RI catalyst primarily because this reaction is consecutive and the active sites of glycerol hydrogenolysis and 1,3-propanediol hydrogenolysis both lie at the interface of the iridium and rhenium species.<sup>14, 28, 29</sup> Therefore, the yields of removal secondary hydroxyl groups ( $Y_{2-OH}$ ) given in Table 1 can express the ability to remove secondary hydroxyl groups. This removal yield for the RI20 catalyst was 30.2%, much larger than that of the RI catalyst (24.6%). Therefore, the removal ability of 2-OH for the RI20 sample was better than the RI catalyst, which was mainly due to the high conversion of the RI20 catalyst. To determine how egg-shell catalysts produce high glycerol conversion, we conducted the following catalyst characterizations and experiments.

[\_1]

#### Catalysts characterizations



Figure 1 Optical micrographs of cross sections of catalysts. (a) RI20, (b) RI25, (c) RI30 and (d) RI.

DOI: 10.1039/C5RA24808F Journal Name

#### ARTICLE

Optical micrographs of catalyst cross-sections are shown in Figure 1. Black part of the circle section was where Ir species loaded on, and the white center was the part without supported Ir species. The active component of the uniform catalyst spread to every part of the pellet. The RI20 catalyst had the thinnest active component layer of approximately 0.4 mm. The thickness of shell for the RI25 and RI30 catalysts were approximately 0.7 and 1.0 mm. Combined with the results in Table 1, when a higher concentration of ethanol was used to prepare the catalysts, a thicker layer resulted, and the catalyst conversion was lower. The mass fraction of glycerol in the aqueous solution used in the reaction was 80%, which was highly viscous (85.00 Pa·s, 300K). Therefore, this hydrogenolysis reaction might be influenced by internal diffusion. To verify the influence of internal diffusion, the catalytic activities of the RI catalysts of different sizes were tested, and the results are shown in Table 3. The conversion of glycerol increased as the mesh of the catalysts decreased, and the conversion remained almost unchanged when the mesh of the catalysts decreased below 60. Egg-shell catalysts concentrate the active Ir component on their outer surface, which weakens the effect of internal diffusion. The conversion was higher when the shell was thinner, which might be due to difficult diffusion into the centre of the catalyst pellets. Glycerol may also react with hydrogen mostly on the outer surface of the catalyst pellets.

Table 3 Catalytic performance of the glycerol hydrogenolysis over the RI and RI20 catalysts in smaller mesh  $^{\rm [a]}$ 

catalyst	Conv.		selectiv	ity/%	
catalyst	/%	1,3-PD	1,2-PD	1-PO	2-PO
RI-s10-20	52.7	34.2	9.8	41.9	10.9
RI-s20-40	54.5	33.5	9.5	42.2	11.0
RI-s40-60	57.4	31.5	8.7	41.8	9.1
RI-s60-80	56.4	32.9	9.7	37.6	10.7
RI20-s60-80	58.3	32	9.8	43.6	11.2
RI0.75-s20-	51.4	35.5	16.4	34.2	11.3

[a] Reaction condition: P=8.0 MPa, T= 403 K, WHSV= 0.5 h<sup>-1</sup>, 1.5 g catalyst, 80 wt% glycerol aqueous solution,  $V_{H2}$  = 40 ml/min, t= 36 h. Reduction condition: P=1 atm,  $V_{H_2}$ = 40ml/min, T=463 K, t=2h. [b] Ir%=2wt%, Re%=1.5wt%, 20-40 mesh.

Although Ir was mainly distributed in the shell layer of the egg-shell catalysts, the silyl groups on the supports were wiped out after calcination at 773 K. Therefore,  $\text{ReO}_x$  was impregnated in the catalyst pellets using a common impregnation method without the existence of silyl groups. In other words,  $\text{ReO}_x$  should be distributed uniformly. Therefore, the mass ratio of Re and Ir (Re/Ir) on the outer surface of the catalysts was different. Thin shells would be accompanied by a low value of Re/Ir, and the Re/Ir ratio of the Rl20 catalysts with the thinnest shell was 0.75. The catalytic activities of catalysts with a Re/Ir ratio of 0.75, which were prepared by the same method as the Rl sample, are listed in Table 3. Reducing the value of Re/Ir from 1 to 0.75 will decrease the conversion of glycerol. These results are in agreement with Tomishige's work<sup>16</sup>. Therefore, the high activity of the Rl20 catalyst was not due to the decrease in Re/Ir.

Table 4	The	average	particle	size	of	Ir specie	s cal	culated	from	XRD
results										

	The avera				
		Dispersion			
catalyst			After	After	of
Catalyst	Before	After	reaction	reaction	reduced
	reaction	reduction	for	for	catalysts <sup>[a]</sup>
			150h	500h	
RI	6.18	2.24	3.12	3.25	49.2
RI20	4.53	2.11	3.06	3.20	52.2
RI25	5.34	2.13		-	51.9
RI30	5.84	2.19		-	50.3

[a] calculated with the Ir particles obtained from XRD

The XRD analysis was also performed on fresh catalysts (Figure 2a). The diffraction peak at  $2\theta$ =21.4° was attributed to amorphous silica gel. Several peaks were observed in the patterns of the fresh catalysts at approximately 26.7°, 34.2° and 53.1°, indexed to IrO<sub>2</sub>(110), IrO<sub>2</sub>(101) and IrO<sub>2</sub>(211) (PDF 01-088-0288). XRD patterns of the samples reduced at 463 K contain new reflections at 2 $\theta$ =40.5° that are characteristic of metallic iridium (PDF 01-087-0715) (Figure 2b). For the catalysts reacted for 150 h or 500 h, a diffusive diffraction peak of metallic iridium was also observed (Figure 2c). Whether the catalysts were used/not used or reduced/not reduced, all showed no evidence of Re species due to its small particle size. Table 4 shows the average particle size of



Figure 2 XRD patterns of the RI, RI20, RI25 and RI30 catalysts. (a) before reaction, (b) after reduction at 463K, (c) after reaction.

Published on 15 January 2016. Downloaded by University of California - San Diego on 17/01/2016 06:54:40.



Figure 3 TEM images of catalysts. (A) before reduction, (B) after reduction. (a) RI, (b) RI20, (c) RI25 and (d) RI30..

iridium species of the catalysts that were fresh, reduced and reacted for 150 or 500 h. The average  $IrO_2$  particle sizes of the fresh RI, RI20, RI25 and RI30 catalysts were  $6.184 \times 10^{-6}$ ,  $4.534 \times 10^{-6}$ ,  $5.344 \times 10^{-6}$  and  $5.84 \times 10^{-6}$  mm, respectively. After reduction, the average Ir particle size of the RI catalyst was  $2.24 \times 10^{-6}$  mm, which was also larger than the particle size of other samples. As the reaction proceeded, the average particle size of Ir increased. The average Ir particle size of the RI catalyst increased from  $2.24 \times 10^{-6}$  mm to  $3.12 \times 10^{-6}$  mm after reaction for 150 h and to  $3.25 \times 10^{-6}$  mm to  $3.06 \times 10^{-6}$  mm and then to  $3.20 \times 10^{-6}$  mm, respectively. Therefore, the Ir species were the same, and the average particle size of Ir was similar for all of these catalysts.

Figures 3A and 3B show the TEM images of the fresh and reduced catalysts, and the corresponding average Ir particle size statistically calculated from TEM are shown in Table 5. The average IrO<sub>2</sub> particle size on the fresh egg-shell catalysts and the average particle size of Ir species on reduced egg-shell catalysts exhibited smaller mean particle diameters than those of the uniform catalyst, which is consistent with the XRD results. According to the results reported in the literature<sup>30-33</sup>, superficial silyl or alkoxyl groups hinder the aggregation of the active metal precursors during drying and reducing processes. The egg-shell catalyst supports were treated in trimethylchlorosilane, causing the trimethylchlorosilane to chemically bond to the surface of the support. The existence of these groups might be the reason that particle sizes on the egg-shell catalysts were smaller than on the uniform catalyst. However, similar dimensions were observed for the reduced catalysts due to the re-dispersion of active components during reduction.

	Table 5 The average particle size of Ir s	species calculated from TEM
--	---	-----------------------------

aataluat -	The average particle size of Ir species (×10 <sup>-6</sup> mm)				
catalyst	Fresh catalysts	Reduced catalysts			
RI	6.08	2.14			
RI20	4.65	1.85			
RI25	5.01	1.88			
RI30	5.08	1.89			

The TPR profiles of the RI, RI20, RI25, RI30 and mono-component catalysts are shown in Figure 4. The TPR profile of the  $Re/SiO_2$  catalyst contained a single reduction peak with a maximum at 583

K. The signal, which belonged to the reduction of the iridium component, was observed at 493 K in the TPR profile of Ir/SiO<sub>2</sub>. The reduction peaks of all Ir catalysts modified by Re have obvious shifts in their reduction temperatures towards lower values, implying an interaction between the Ir and Re species. Contrary to the uniform catalyst, the iridium oxide species were reduced at a much lower temperature for all egg-shell catalysts. Only one peak was observed on the RI and RI30 catalysts at 472 K and 467 K, respectively. This peak might be assigned to the co-reduction of Ir and Re species. However, the TPR profile of the RI20 and RI25 samples contained two reduction peaks. The first peak at 426 K likely resulted from the reduction of small Ir oxide species deposited on the support surface, whereas the second peak at 459 K may have been due to the co-reduction of Ir and Re oxide species.<sup>34-36</sup> The existence of smaller IrO<sub>2</sub>, which was revealed by H<sub>2</sub>-TPR, is coincident with the results of XRD and TEM.





Information on the metal state was obtained from XPS analysis. Figures S1a and S1b show the Ir 4f XPS spectra of the catalysts before and after reaction for 150 h. For all these fresh catalysts, the binding energy of Ir  $4f_{7/2}$  at 61.3-61.7 eV was assigned to  $1r^{4+.37}$  After reaction, the XPS spectra showed a peak at approximately 60.7 eV, corresponding to the Ir  $4f_{7/2}$  level of  $1r^{0}$  species.<sup>38</sup> The valence of Ir species is consistent with the results of XRD. Figures S1c and S1d

DOI: 10.1039/C5RA24808F

Journal Name

#### ARTICLE

present the Re 4f XPS spectra for the fresh catalysts and spent catalysts. The XPS spectra for these catalysts contained a peak at 44.9-45.7 eV. The binding energy of Re  $4f_{7/2}$  of Re<sup>7+</sup> is 46.9 eV.<sup>39,40</sup> However, the binding energy of Re  $4f_{7/2}$  shown in Figure 5 is below 46.9 eV. According to the literature, NH<sub>4</sub>ReO<sub>4</sub> is pyrolyzed wholly into Re<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> in air; therefore, the Re species here should be +7.<sup>41</sup> The lower binding energies observed for Re  $4f_{7/2}$  compared with that of — Re<sup>7+</sup> species may have been caused by Re species interacting with the support or Ir species.<sup>39</sup> For the catalysts after reaction, the binding energies of Re  $4f_{7/2}$  were similar to those for the fresh catalysts, which might have been caused by the oxidation of ReO<sub>x</sub> when the spent catalysts were exposed to air.<sup>41</sup>

H<sub>2</sub> chemisorption was carried out to determine the number of iridium active sites, and the results are shown in Table 6. The uptake of hydrogen on all the egg-shell catalysts was greater than that of the uniform catalyst. The H<sub>2</sub> uptake of the RI20 sample which was 1.303  $\mu$ mol·g<sup>-1</sup> was the highest among these catalysts. The dispersion of Ir shown in Tables 6 and 4 was calculated from the H<sub>2</sub>-chemisorption and XRD results, respectively. The dispersion calculated by the former analysis was much lower than by the latter, implying that only approximately 4% of Ir atoms were exposed on the surface and the other Ir atoms on the surface of the Ir particles might have been covered with Re species. The results obtained from H<sub>2</sub>-chemisorption demonstrate that more superficial Ir atoms were present on the egg-shell catalysts than on the uniform catalyst. The uptake of the RI20 catalyst was almost 7 times greater than that of the RI sample. The uptake of the RI25 and RI30 samples were also lager than that of the RI sample. This suggests that the Ir particle size might be smaller on the egg-shell catalysts than on the uniform catalyst, and this result is consistent with the TEM and XRD results.

Table 6 The	H <sub>2</sub> uptake	and dispersion
-------------	-----------------------	----------------

Cataluat		Dispersion (%)		
Catalyst	$H_2$ uptake (µmol/g)	H <sub>2</sub> chemisorption		
RI	0.19	0.4		
RI20	1.303	2.5		
RI25	0.884	1.7		
RI30	0.774	1.5		
RI20-s60-80	0.499	1.0		

Figure 5 shows the NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD profiles of fresh catalysts. For TMCS-SiO<sub>2</sub>, no NH<sub>3</sub> desorption could be detected in the NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD experiment. This indicates that TMCS-SiO<sub>2</sub> had no acidity. A very weak NH<sub>3</sub> desorption peak at a wide temperature range near 573 K was detected over the Ir/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst. However, the Re/SiO<sub>2</sub> sample showed one desorption peak at 490 K, and all the catalysts containing Re showed a NH<sub>3</sub> desorption peak at nearly 490 K, suggesting that the Re species constituted the main source of acidity. The supply of acidic sites by Re species was also investigated in the work of He et al.<sup>13</sup> Table 7 shows the acidity of all the samples calculated by integrating the NH<sub>3</sub> desorption curves. All the egg-shell catalysts had more acidity than the uniform catalyst, and their acidity might be proportional to the amount of superficial Re species. Therefore, Re species might be better dispersed on the egg-shell catalysts than on the RI sample. Figure 6 shows the NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD profiles of RI and RI20 after reacting for 150 h and 500 h. The existence of two desorption peaks suggests that there are two

types of acidity on these catalysts. The acidity might be ascribed to Re-OH, and the weak acidity might be assigned to Re-O-Re<sup>42</sup>. The desorption amounts of NH<sub>3</sub> was reduced by about 4.5% when reacted from 150h to 500h.

Table 7 Uptake of NH3	of catalysts	
Catalyst	Acidity ( μmol·g <sup>-1</sup> )	
RI	312.1	
RI20	368.9	
RI25	363.3	
RI30	373.2	
Re/SiO <sub>2</sub>	239.6	



Figure 5  $NH_3$ -TPD profiles of the RI, RI20, RI25, RI30, TMCS-SiO<sub>2</sub>, Ir/SiO<sub>2</sub> and Re/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts.





The results of  $H_2$ -chemisorption and  $NH_3$ -TPD characterizations showed that the Ir sites in RI20 catalyst were 7-times higher than in RI catalyst, and ReO<sub>x</sub> sites in RI20 catalyst were 18% higher than



Figure 7 Catalytic performances of RI and RI20 catalysts vs. time onstream

those in RI catalyst. It could be found in Table 1 that the conversion of glycerol over RI20 catalyst was 24.5% higher than that over RI catalyst. The activity was not proportion to Ir sites or  $\text{ReO}_x$  sites, which resulted from the fact that the active sites were the interface of Ir and  $\text{ReO}_x$ , not separate Ir or  $\text{ReO}_x$  sites<sup>43</sup>. Nonetheless, more Ir and  $\text{ReO}_x$  sites support more opportunities for the interaction of Ir

and  $\text{ReO}_x$ , which might result in more active sites formation. Therefore, we think that the activity of the catalyst supplying more Ir and  $\text{ReO}_x$  sites might be higher than others, and it was corresponded to this that the glycerol conversion over RI20-s60-80 catalysts was a little higher than the RI-s60-80 catalyst. However, only a little difference existed between the glycerol conversion over RI20-s60-80 catalyst and over RI-s60-80 catalyst. Therefore, the main reason for higher conversion over RI20 was shorter diffusion distance which could reduce the effect of internal transfer limitation.

#### 500h catalytic performances measurements of catalysts

Figure 7 shows the plot of catalytic performances of RI and RI20 catalysts vs. time on-stream. The conversion of the RI and RI20 catalysts increased to a maximum after 36 h on-stream and then decreased gradually. The selectivities of the RI and RI20 catalysts increased with time on-stream, and the selectivity of the RI20 catalyst increased more quickly. Therefore, the yield of the RI catalyst declined more after the induction period. After reaction for 500 h, the 1,3-propanediol yield of the RI catalyst declined to 48% of its maximum value, whereas that of the RI20 catalyst only declined to 70%. In addition, it took 348 h for the glycerol conversion of the RI catalyst to reduce by half, but 468 h for the RI20 catalyst. Therefore, to some extent, the RI20 catalyst was more stable than the RI catalyst. According to the XRD (Table 4) and NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD (Figure 6) results, the Ir particles grew larger and the acidity of RI and RI20 decreased as the reaction progressed, which may have caused the interfacial sites of Ir and ReO<sub>x</sub> to decrease. However, the active sites were the interface of Ir and ReO<sub>x</sub>. Therefore, fewer interfacial sites of Ir and ReO<sub>x</sub> support fewer active sites, which may have caused the deactivation.

#### Conclusions

Egg-shell Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub> catalysts were prepared with silanized support using entrapped air to prevent the impregnation solution from entering into the support pellets. The ethanol concentration of the impregnation solution controlled the thickness of the shells. When a 20% ethanol impregnation solution was used, the best glycerol conversion and 1,3-propanediol yield were obtained. The decreasing diffusion distance in the egg-shell catalyst structure was the primary cause of its high conversion of glycerol. Better heat diffusion hindered the hydrogenolysis of glycerol to 1,2-propanediol, resulting in a higher ratio of 1,3-propandiol to 1,2-propanediol. The generation of smaller particles of IrO<sub>2</sub> on the fresh egg-shell catalysts resulted from the superficial trimethylchlorosilane groups hindering the aggregation of the active metal precursors, but the average particle size of Ir trend to accord after reduction. Catalysts with high conversion lead to greater consecutive hydrogenolysis of 1,3-propanediol. Therefore, there was higher conversion along with lower 1,3-propandiol selectivity when using the egg-shell catalysts. However, the yields of removal secondary hydroxyl groups (Y<sub>2-OH</sub>) on the egg-shell catalysts were higher than on the uniform catalyst. Our results also suggest that the RI20 catalyst was more stable than the RI catalyst. The egg-shell catalysts could promote the conversion of glycerol while maintaining an acceptable pressure

Published on 15 January 2016. Downloaded by University of California - San Diego on 17/01/2016 06:54:40.

DOI: 10.1039/C5RA24808F

Published on 15 January 2016. Downloaded by University of California - San Diego on 17/01/2016 06:54:40.

gradient which is important in industrial fixed-bed reactors. Therefore, our study provides a basis for practical industrial applications.

#### Notes and references

- 1. C. Cardona, J. Posada and M. Montoya, *Proc. Eur. Congr. Chem. Eng.*, 2007, 16-20.
- 2. J. Regalbuto, Comput. Chem. Eng., 2010, 34, 1393-1396.
- 3. P. Gallezot, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 1538-1558.
- G. Knothe, S. C. Cermak and R. L. Evangelista, Fuel, 2012, 96, 535-540.
- 5. M. McCoy, Chemical & Engineering News, 2006, 84, 7-7.
- J. Gong and F. You, ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering, 2015, 3, 82-96.
- A. Behr, J. Eilting, K. Irawadi, J. Leschinski and F. Lindner, Green Chem., 2008, 10, 13-30.
- 8. Y. Nakagawa and K. Tomishige, *Catal. Sci. Technol*, 2011, 1, 179.
- 9. O. M. Daniel, A. DeLaRiva, E. L. Kunkes, A. K. Datye, J. A. Dumesic and R. J. Davis, *ChemCatChem*, 2010, **2**, 1107-1114.
- Y. Shinmi, S. Koso, T. Kubota, Y. Nakagawa and K. Tomishige, Appl. Catal. B: Environ, 2010, 94, 318-326.
- Y. Nakagawa, Y. Shinmi, S. Koso and K. Tomishige, J. Catal., 2010, 272, 191-194.
- 12. L. Ma and D. He, Top. Catal., 2009, 52, 834-844.
- 13. Y. Li, H. Liu, L. Ma and D. He, RSC. Adv., 2014, 4, 5503.
- 14. Y. Nakagawa, X. Ning, Y. Amada and K. Tomishige, *Appl. Catal. A: Gen*, 2012, **433-434**, 128-134.
- Y. Nakagawa, K. Mori, K. Chen, Y. Amada, M. Tamura and K. Tomishige, *Appl. Catal. A: Gen*, 2013, **468**, 418-425.
- Y. Amada, Y. Shinmi, S. Koso, T. Kubota, Y. Nakagawa and K. Tomishige, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ*, 2011, **105**, 117-127.
- 17. K. Tomishige, Y. Nakagawa and M. Tamura, 2014, 353, 127-162.
- N. Carrara, J. M. Badano, C. Betti, C. Lederhos, I. Rintoul, F. Coloma-Pascual, C. Vera and M. Quiroga, *Catal. Commun.*, 2015, 61, 72-77.
- A. Drelinkiewicza, A. Waksmundzka, W. Makowski, J. W. Sobczak, A. Krol and A. Zieba, *Catal. Lett.*, 2004, 94, 143-156.
- J. M. Badano, C. Betti, I. Rintoul, J. Vich-Berlanga, E. Cagnola, G. Torres, C. Vera, J. Yori and M. Quiroga, *Appl. Catal. A: Gen*, 2010, **390**, 166-174.
- 21. T. B. Lin and T. C. Chou, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 1995, 34, 128-134.
- J. Li, Y. Ding, X. Li, G. Jiao, T. Wang, W. Chen and H. Luo, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, DOI: 10.1039/b813641f, 5954.
- S. A. Gardezi, L. Landrigan, B. Joseph and J. T. Wolan, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2012, **51**, 1703-1712.
- 24. Y. Qiu, J. Chen and J. Zhang, Catal. Commun., 2007, 8, 508-512.
- 25. B. Liu, Y. M. Chai, Y. K. Liu, Y. J. Wang, Y. Q. Liu and C. G. Liu, Fuel, 2012, 95, 457-463.
- 26. T. A. Nijhuis, F. M. Dautzenberg and J. A. Moulijn, *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, 2003, **58**, 1113-1124.
- K. Ouyang, Y. Huang, H. Chen, T. Li, F. Cao and D. Fang, Frontiers of Chemical Science and Engineering, 2010, 5, 67-73.
- C. Deng, L. Leng, X. Duan, J. Zhou, X. Zhou and W. Yuan, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2015, 410, 81-88.
- 29. C. Deng, X. Duan, J. Zhou, X. Zhou, W. Yuan and S. L. Scott, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2015, **5**, 1540-1547.

- D. Jiang, Y. Ding, Z. Pan, W. Chen and H. Luo, *Catal. Lett.*, 2007, 121, 241-246.
- Y. Zhang, K. Hanayama and N. Tsubaki, *Catal. Commun.*, 2006, 7, 251-254.
- 32. J. Cortes, R. Jimenez and P. Araya, *Catal. Lett.*, 2002, **82**, 255-259.
- 33. L. Jia, L. Jia, D. Li, B. Hou, J. Wang and Y. Sun, Natural Gas Chemical Industry, 2010, 35, 6-11.
- 34. B. Li, X. Qian and X. Wang, International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 2015, 40, 8081-8092.
- L. Shi, D. Li, B. Hou, Y. Wang and Y. Sun, *Fuel Process. Technol.*, 2010, **91**, 394-398.
- K. Baranowska and J. Okal, Appl. Catal. A: Gen, 2015, 499, 158-167.
- J. Riga, C. Tenretnoel, J. J. Pireaux, R. Caudano, J. J. Verbist and Y. Gobillon, *Phys. Scr.*, 1977, 16, 351-354.
- C. Campos, C. Torres, M. Oportus, M. A. Peña, J. L. G. Fierro and P. Reyes, *Catal. Today*, 2013, **213**, 93-100.
- T. Tsoncheva, S. Vankova, O. Bozhkov and D. Mehandjiev, *Can. J. Chem.*, 2007, **85**, 118-123.
- 40. Youzhu Yuan and Y. Iwasawa, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2002, **106**, 4441-4449.
- 41. M. Bai, Z.-h. Liu, L.-j. Zhou, Ζ.-γ. Liu and C.-f. Zhang, *Trans.* Nonferrous Met. Soc. China, 2013, **23**, 538-542.
- 42. A. Ciftci, B. Peng, A. Jentys, J. A. Lercher and E. J. M. Hensen, Appl. Catal. A: Gen, 2012, 431-432, 113-119.
- 43. Y. Amada, H. Watanabe, M. Tamura, Y. Nakagawa, K. Okumura and K. Tomishige, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2012, 116, 23503-23514.

#### 8 | J. Name., 2012, 00, 1-3



The egg-shell catalysts could promote the conversion of glycerol while maintaining an acceptable pressure gradient.