View Article Online

Journal of Materials Chemistry A

Materials for energy and sustainability

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: X. Yin, S. Tang, H. Wang, C. Zhang, R. Si, X. Lu and T. Lu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2020, DOI: 10.1039/D0TA07705D.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



rsc.li/materials-a

Received 00th January 20xx. Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Single-Atom Catalyst **Graphdiyne-Based** Pd for Semihydrogenation of Alkynes to Alkenes with High Selectivity and Conversion under Mild Conditions

Xue-Peng Yin, Shang-Feng Tang, Chao Zhang, Hong-Juan Wang,* Rui Si,* Xiu-Li Lu,* and Tong-Bu Lu*

The development of efficient heterogeneous catalysts for alkyne hydrogenation with high activity and selectivity is highly desirable and yet remains a great challenge. Herein, a Pd single-atom catalyst (Pds-GDY) is prepared using graphdiyne as support, and used in the semihydrogenation of alkynes. As a proof of concept, the Pds-GDY exhibits a high activity for the semihydrogenation of phenylacetylene under mild reaction conditions, with a TOF of 6290 h⁻¹, and a selectivity of 99.3% at 100% conversion, both much higher than those of the counterparts comprising Pd nanoparticles (NPs), namely, Pd^{NP1}-GDY (with 2 nm Pd NPs) and PdNP2-GDY (with 12 nm Pd NPs). In addition, after the full conversion of phenylacetylene, Pds-GDY could still maintain a selectivity as high as 98.9% towards styrene, with almost no phenylethane produced even with a prolonged reaction time; in contrast, for Pd^{NP1}-GDY and Pd^{NP2}-GDY, within the same reaction time, the selectivity decreases dramatically to 66.6% and 8.5%, respectively. Infrared spectroscopy reveals that Pds-GDY features the weakest adsorption to styrene, which is responsible for its high performance. This work provides an effective strategy to rationally design Pd catalysts for semihydrogenation of alkynes to alkenes with desirable activity and selectivity.

Introduction

Published on 30 September 2020. Downloaded by Auckland University of Technology on 10/5/2020 8:04:10 AM

Semihydrogenation of alkynes to alkenes is of great importance on account of the extensive applications of alkenes in chemical industry, such as agrochemicals, vitamins, polymers, and olefin metathesis.¹⁻⁵ Up to now, palladium(Pd)-based catalysts are most used in this area because of their outstanding hydrogenation activities; however, they typically suffer from low selectivities due to further hydrogenation of alkenes (a phenomenon also known as over-hydrogenation).⁶⁻⁹ In order to restrain the over-hydrogenation, many methods have been developed;¹⁰⁻¹⁶ for example, Pd metal could be modified by lead or quinoline (e.g., Lindlar catalyst) to suppress the hydrogenation of alkenes and thus to improve the selectivity in semihydrogenation of alkynes. However, these Pdbased catalysts are often toxic and not environment-friendly. Another efficient strategy to achieve desirable selectivity is

E-mail: hongjuanwang@tjut.edu.cn

lutongbu@tjut.edu.cn.

fabricating bimetallic catalysts through alloying Pd with metals such as In, Ag, Pb, and Cu, also known as the "site-isolating" structural modification.¹⁷⁻²⁰ For example, Feng et al. reported that PdIn(110) surface with atomic Pd sites has a high catalytic selectivity for semihydrogenation of acetylene, with 92% selectivity for ethylene at 90 °C, much higher than that of Pd₃In(111) surface with Pd trimer sites.¹⁷ However, the "site-isolating" structural modification of Pdbased catalysts could not enable the high exposure of active sites (which only reside on the surface of alloys), thus leading to low utilization of Pd atoms and a poor atom economy. In either case above, Pd-based heterogeneous catalysts can hardly achieve high activity simultaneously with outstanding selectivity under mild reaction conditions. Therefore, it is highly desirable to develop Pdbased catalysts with high TOF, high conversion, excellent selectivity, and good stability for semihydrogenation of alkynes.

Recently, as a new emerging class of heterogeneous catalysts, single-atom catalysts (SACs) featuring isolated metal atoms anchored on solid supports have shown great advantages in water splitting, oxygen reduction, CO₂ reduction, and organic synthesis, by virtue of their maximized atomic utilization as well as unique structures.²¹⁻²⁹ In particular, for noble-metal-based catalysts, the utmost atomic utilization can dramatically decrease the material cost. In addition, benefiting from the relatively uniform structure of metal atoms with low coordination numbers therein, many SACs show high selectivities and activities in the catalytic process.³⁰ It has been shown that Pd SACs fabricated on various supports give higher activities for hydrogenation of alkynes to alkenes, compared with Pd nanoparticle counterparts.³¹⁻³⁵ However, a high conversion and a high selectivity could not be simultaneously achieved for these Pd

X. Yin, S. Tang, C. Zhang, H. Wang, X. Lu, Prof. T. Lu

MOE International Joint Research Laboratory of Materials Microstructure, Institute for New Energy Materials and Low Carbon Technologies, School of Material Science & Engineering, Tianjin University of Technology, Tianjin 300384, People's Republic of China.

Prof. R. S

Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility, Shanghai Institute of Applied Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences Shanghai 201204 (China)

sirui@sinap.ac.cn, luxiuli@email.tjut.edu.cn,

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Published on 30 September 2020. Downloaded by Auckland University of Technology on 10/5/2020 8:04:10 AM

Page 2 of 7

SACs, unless (in a few cases) under an extremely high H_2 pressure. For example, isolated Pd species anchored on TiO₂ support (Pd-TiO₂) show a high selectivity (>90%) and high conversion (99%) for semihydrogenation of phenylacetylene to styrene at a high H_2 pressure of 1.0 MPa, and yet the conversion decreases dramatically to 16% at 0.4 MPa H_2 pressure owing to the limited catalytic activity of Pd SACs.³⁵ Thus, it remains a challenge to achieve both high selectivity and high activity for semihydrogenation of alkyne under mild conditions.

As a new carbon material, graphdiyne (GDY) features the unique butadiyne linkages between benzene rings, which could serve as the sites to stabilize isolated metal atoms of low valence, and thus enable high catalytic activities.³⁶⁻³⁸ Herein, on the basis of the unique structure of GDY, we prepared three types of Pd-GDY catalysts, comprising Pd single atoms (denoted as Pds-GDY) and two types of Pd nanoparticles with sizes around 2 nm (Pd^{NP1}-GDY) and 12 nm (Pd^{NP2}-GDY). The catalytic performances of these Pd-GDY catalysts in semihydrogenation of alkynes show an evident dependence on the size of Pd species under room temperature and 0.2 MPa H₂ pressure. Remarkably, Pds-GDY displays an outstanding catalytic activity, with TOF up to 6290 h⁻¹ at 100% conversion with 99.3% selectivity in hydrogenation of phenylacetylene to styrene. Moreover, Pds-GDY shows almost no activity for the further hydrogenation of styrene, even when the reaction is kept going on after the full conversion of phenylacetylene. By contrast, for Pd^{NP1-} GDY and Pd^{NP2}-GDY, they both display the unwanted abilities to further hydrogenate styrene, and show much lower activities for styrene production. And their selectivities for styrene drop to 66.6% and 8.5% after 3 h hydrogenation. The experimental results indicated that the higher catalytic performance of Pds-GDY originates from the weaker adsorption of styrene on Pd single atoms compared with the cases on Pd nanoparticles in Pd^{NP1}-GDY and Pd^{NP2}-GDY. In addition, Pd^s-GDY also shows high performances for the semihydrogenation of some other alkynes, including 1octyne, 3-octyne, and diphenylacetylene.

Results and discussion



Scheme 1. Illustration for the preparation of Pds-GDY, PdNP1-GDY, and PdNP2-GDY.

In this study, the Pd-GDY catalysts were prepared by simple wetchemistry routes as shown in **Scheme 1.** First, GDY powder was synthesized from hexaethynylbenzene by a modified Hay–Glaser coupling reaction. The *sp*-hybridized alkynyl carbon atoms in GDY provide the sites for anchoring Pd atoms. Through controlling the

reaction solvent and temperature, Pds-GDY, PdNP1-GDY, and PdNP2 GDY were obtained. Specifically, for the preparation of Portation, and preparation of the GDY powder (10 mg) was dispersed in DMF (5 mL) to obtain a dispersion of GDY. Then K₂PdCl₄ (470 µL, 10 mM) was added into the above dispersion, and the mixture was stirred for 2 h at 0 °C to obtain Pd^s-GDY. In contrast, Pd^{NP1}-GDY and Pd^{NP2}-GDY were prepared by replacing the DMF with deionized water and regulating the reaction temperatures (0 °C for Pd^{NP1}-GDY and 60 °C for Pd^{NP2}-GDY). The prepared GDY was characterized by Raman and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). As shown in Figure S1a, the Raman spectrum shows four distinct peaks at 1420 cm⁻¹ (D band), 1578 cm⁻¹ (G band), 1924 cm⁻¹, and 2163 cm⁻¹, respectively, in which the peaks at 1924 cm⁻¹ and 2163 cm⁻¹ can be attributed to the vibration of conjugated diyne linkers, in good accordance with those reported in the literatures.³⁹⁻⁴⁰ In addition, the highresolution XPS of C 1s of GDY in Figure S1b could be deconvoluted into four subpeaks, in which the peak at 285.1 eV was assigned to the C=C (sp).⁴⁰ And the atomic ratio of sp/sp^2 is approximately 2, which is consistent with the chemical composition of GDY. The microstructure and composition of Pd-GDY catalysts were further investigated by transmission electron microscopy (TEM), highresolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM), and highangle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM). As shown in Figure 1a, no Pd clusters or nanoparticles were observed in the TEM image of Pds-GDY; in contrast, the average sizes of Pd nanoparticles in Pd^{NP1}-GDY and Pd^{NP2}-GDY were about 2 and 12 nm, respectively (Figure 1b and 1c). As shown in Figure 1d and 1e of Pds-GDY, abundant isolated Pd atoms can be observed in GDY because of the different Z contrast of



Figure 1. (a-c) TEM images of Pd^s-GDY (a), Pd^{NP1}-GDY (b), and Pd^{NP2}-GDY (c). (d, e) HAADF-STEM image (d) and the enlarged HAADF-STEM image (e) of (d) of Pd^s-GDY. (f) EDS spectrum for Pd^s-GDY. (g-j) EDS mapping images of Pd^s-GDY.

Pd and C. The energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) (Figure 1f) shows that the C, Pd, and Cl elements coexist in the sample of Pd^s-GDY (the other elements come from the Cu grid). Moreover, the elemental mapping images for Pd^s-GDY reveal the uniform spatial

Published on 30 September 2020. Downloaded by Auckland University of Technology on 10/5/2020 8:04:10 AM

Journal Name

distributions of C, Pd, and Cl over the entire structure (Figure 1g-j). Furthermore, the X-Ray Diffractometer (XRD) pattern in Figure S2 confirms that no crystalline Pd species is formed in Pd^s-GDY. For Pd^{NP1}-GDY and Pd^{NP2}-GDY, the XRD patterns (Figure S2) display peaks at $2\theta = 40.1^{\circ}$, which could be assigned to the (111) plane of metallic Pd, indicating the formation of Pd nanoparticles. In addition, the HR-TEM images in Figure S3 and S5 show clear fringes of 0.22 nm, which can be ascribed to the (111) lattice plane of cubic-phase Pd, in good accordance with the XRD results. Moreover, the HR-TEM images and corresponding elemental mapping images of Pd^{NP1}-GDY and Pd^{NP2}-GDY confirm the distribution of Pd nanoparticles on the support of GDY (Figure S4 and S6). The surface area, pore volume, and pore size distribution of Pds-GDY, PdNP1-GDY, and PdNP2-GDY were analyzed by measuring the N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms at 77 K (Figure S7), the specific surface area of Pds-GDY, PdNP1-GDY, and PdNP2-GDY were 25.0, 21.5, and 21.0 m²/g, respectively. In addition, the average pore sizes and pore volume for Pd^{NP1}-GDY are almost the same as those of Pds-GDY (Table S1). However, the average pore sizes and pore volume of Pd^{NP2}-GDY have obvious decrease compared with those of Pds-GDY and PdNP1-GDY. This phenomenon could be attributed to much larger size of Pd nanoparticles (12 nm) in Pd^{NP2}-GDY than those in Pd^{NP1}-GDY(2 nm) and Pd^s-GDY (atomic Pd sites), bringing to the coverage of some mesopores in GDY supports.



Figure 2. (a) Core-level Pd 3d XPS of Pd^s-GDY, Pd^{NP1}-GDY, Pd^{NP2}-GDY, and K₂PdCl₄. (b, c) Pd K-edge XANES (b) and FT-EXAFS spectra (c) of Pd^s-GDY, with Pd foil and PdO as reference.

Further insights into the electronic and structural information on these Pd-GDY catalysts were obtained from XPS and X-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS) spectroscopy. As demonstrated in **Figure 2a**, for Pd 3*d*, the two main peaks located at 338.3 and 343.6 eV could be attributed to Pd 3*d*_{5/2} and Pd 3*d*_{3/2} in K₂PdCl₄. These two peaks in Pd⁵-GDY shift to lower binding energy at 337.7 and 342.8 eV, which are higher than that of Pd⁰ (336.0 and 341.3 eV) and lower than that of Pd²⁺ (338.3 and 343.6 eV), demonstrating that Pd atoms are positively charged Pd⁶⁺ (0 < δ < 2), indicating the strong interaction between Pd atoms and GDY. For Pd^{NP1}-GDY and Pd^{NP2}-GDY, the two peaks could be divided into four peaks at 336.0, 337.7, 341.3, and 342.8 eV, which could be ascribed to the coexistence of Pd⁰ and Pd⁶⁺, further confirming the formation of Pd

nanoparticles.⁴¹ In addition, as shown in Figure S8; the Baman peaks attributed to the vibration of conjugated divide / In Ks 1977 Pat GDY, Pd^{NP1}-GDY, and Pd^{NP2}-GDY were not as prominent as those for GDY, indicating the interaction between Pd species and -C=C-C=Cmoieties.³⁶ Furthermore, more detailed structural information for Pds-GDY was obtained from the Pd K-edge X-ray absorption nearedge structure (XANES) spectra and extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectra. As shown in Figure 2b, the near-edge feature of Pds-GDY is between those of Pd foil and PdO, confirming the slightly positively charged Pd species, in good consistency with the XPS results. Moreover, as shown by the FT-EXAFS spectra in Figure 2c, the Pd foil displays a distinct peak at about 2.8 Å, which is attributed to the Pd-Pd bond. In the FT-EXAFS of Pds-GDY, only the peak centered at the distance of ca. 2.0 Å was observed and no Pd-Pd contribution was found, further confirming that Pd atoms are atomically dispersed on the surface of GDY. In order to unveil the coordination environment around Pd in Pds-GDY, its FT-EXAFS curve was fitted in R space. The fitting results shown in Table S2 demonstrate that on average each Pd atom coordinates with two C and two Cl atoms.



Figure 3. (a) Illustration showing different hydrogenation processes of phenylacetylene over different Pd-GDY catalysts. (b-d) The conversion of phenylacetylene and the selectivity toward styrene and phenylethane as a function of reaction time over Pd^{NP2} -GDY (b), Pd^{NP1} -GDY (c), and Pd^{s} -GDY (d). (e) The comparison of catalytic performances for Pd^{s} -GDY, Pd^{NP1} -GDY, and Pd^{NP2} -GDY catalysts. Reaction conditions: 2 mg catalyst, 1.0 mmol phenylacetylene, 2 mL ethanol, 25 °C, 0.2 MPa H₂.

Semihydrogenation of alkynes to alkenes is of great importance in the chemical industry.⁴¹ As a proof of concept, the catalytic

ARTICLE

performances of Pd^s-GDY, Pd^{NP1}-GDY, and Pd^{NP2}-GDY in phenylacetylene hydrogenation was tested in an autoclave in ethanol solvent under 0.2 MPa pressure hydrogen at 25 °C. As shown in Figure 3a, the Pd-GDY catalysts exhibit distinct sizedependent performances on the hydrogenation of phenylacetylene. The conversion of phenylacetylene and the selectivity for styrene and phenylethane as a function of reaction time over the prepared catalysts are shown in Figure 3b-d. As shown in Figure 3b, the highest selectivity toward styrene for Pd^{NP2} -GDY is only 59.7% with 86.4% conversion, and the selectivity is reduced to 8.5% at 100% conversion of phenylacetylene after reaction for 3 h. For Pd^{NP1}-GDY, as shown in Figure 3c, it achieves 95.8% selectivity with 100% conversion after reaction for 2h; however, Pd^{NP1}-GDY subsequently catalyzes the hydrogenation of styrene to phenylethane and displays only 66.6% selectivity to styrene after 3 h reaction. By stark contrast, for Pds-GDY, the selectivity toward styrene could reach at 99.3% with 100% conversion of phenylacetylene at 2 h (Figure 3d), and even with prolonged reaction time, the further hydrogenation of styrene is effectively suppressed, which is beneficial for the styrene separation. In brief, the hydrogenation properties on Pd^{NP2}-GDY, Pd^{NP1}-GDY, and Pd^s-GDY are quite different (Figure 3a and 3e) and show a prominent size-dependence. After the 100% conversion of phenylacetylene, Pds-GDY shows the best catalytic performance, including a highest TOF of 6290 h⁻¹ for styrene production with 99.3% selectivity; in contrast, the TOF values (and selectivity) were 4551 h⁻¹ (95.8%) for Pd^{NP1}-GDY and 1270 h⁻¹ (38.8%) for Pd^{NP2}-GDY (Table S4). Durability and recyclability of catalysts are critical for practical applications. To test the durability of Pds-GDY, the catalyst after a test run was collected and washed for subsequent recycling.⁴² As shown in Figure S9, the selectivity and activity were still high with negligible changes after five recycling tests. In addition, we have measured the semihydrogenation performance of Pds-GDY at 50 °C. As shown in Figure S10, the reaction rate for the hydrogenation of phenylacetylene at 50 °C was greatly improved compared with that at 25 °C. In addition, Pds-GDY still shows high selectivity (>99%) to styrene at 100% conversion of phenylacetylene. In addition, the TEM image, Pd 3d XPS spectra, HAADF-STEM images and Raman spectra after the catalytic test were shown in Figure S11-S14, indicating that Pds-GDY has a high stability in semihydrogenation of phenylacetylene. Besides, As shown in Figure S15 the Cl 2p XPS spectra of Pds-GDY was analyzed showing the corresponding binding energies at 198.5 eV (Cl-Pd) and 200.3 eV (CI-C).43 In addition, the amounts of chlorine showed no obvious decrease after the catalytic test, further indicating the stability of Pds-GDY (Table S5).

To further investigate the performance of Pd^s-GDY in semihydrogenation of alkynes to alkenes, a series of additional experiments were conducted. As shown in **Table S6**, GDY gives no activity for hydrogenation of phenylacetylene, demonstrating that the active sites derived from the Pd species in Pd^s-GDY, Pd^{NP1}-GDY, and Pd^{NP2}-GDY. As a comparison, hydrogenations of phenylacetylene were also performed on Pd/C-D (Pd nanoparticles prepared on mesoporous carbon in DMF solution) and commercial Pd/C under the same conditions (**Figure S17** and **Table S6**). It is shown that Pd/C-D and Pd/C converts phenylacetylene completely to phenylethane after reacting for 2h, demonstrating no selectivity toward styrene. Notably, even in a reactant with a molar ratio of

1/9 (phenylacetylene/styrene), Pd^s-GDY still displays a high activity for the semihydrogenation of phenylacetylene to styrene with 200% conversion and 99.9% selectivity, and only trace phenylethane was detected. Moreover, as shown in **Figure S18**, when the reaction

conversion and 99.9% selectivity, and only trace phenylethane was detected. Moreover, as shown in **Figure S18**, when the reaction system was scaled up by elevating the amount of phenylacetylene, Pd^s-GDY maintains a high catalytic performance. Furthermore, Pd^s-GDY could also be used as the catalyst for semihydrogenation of other alkynes (such as 1-octyne, 3-octyne, and diphenylacetylene) with high performances (**Table S6**). These results further confirm the unique advantages of Pd^s-GDY in selective hydrogenation.

The strong size-dependent activity of the Pd-GDY catalysts for the hydrogenation of alkynes may originate from the different adsorption ability for alkenes.⁴⁴ To understand the adsorption properties of Pd^s-GDY, we recorded the FT-IR spectra of all Pd-GDY catalysts after exposure to styrene and subsequent removal of free styrene. As shown in **Figure S19**, the peaks of vibrational signals attributed to -C=C- shift to lower wavenumber because of the interaction with Pd species in the Pd-GDY catalysts, and the intensities of the vibrational signals increase in the order of Pd^s-GDY < Pd^{NP1}-GDY < Pd^{NP2}-GDY, indicating the weakest binding capability of Pd single atoms in Pd^s-GDY to styrene molecules. As a result, Pd^s-GDY shows the best performance for semihydrogenation of phenylacetylene to styrene and demonstrates almost no ability for the further hydrogenation of styrene to phenylethane.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we developed facile strategies to prepare Pd-GDY catalysts, including Pds-GDY, PdNP1-GDY, and PdNP2-GDY. The HAADF-STEM and XAFS analyses of the Pds-GDY confirmed the isolated Pd atoms anchored on GDY support. The Pd^{NP1}-GDY and Pd^{NP2}-GDY samples contain Pd nanoparticles with size about 2 and 12 nm, respectively. The prepared Pd-GDY catalysts exhibit strong size-dependent activities for the hydrogenation of alkynes. Benefiting from the weak adsorption ability to styrene, Pds-GDY shows a superior activity and selectivity in semihydrogenation of phenylacetylene to styrene, compared with Pd^{NP1}-GDY, Pd^{NP2}-GDY and commercial Pd/C catalyst. This work not only designs a highly efficient Pd single-atom catalyst for selective hydrogenation reaction under mild reaction conditions but also provides the understanding of the correlation between the size of Pd species and hydrogenation performance.

Experimental section

Materials.

Potassium chloropalladite (K_2 PdCl₄), phenylacetylene (536-74-3), 1-octyne (629-05-0), 3-octyne (15232-76-5) and diphenylacetylene (501-65-5) purchased from energy-chemical (Shanghai, China). Ethanol and DMF purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd (Shanghai, China). All reagents were of analytical grade and used without further purification. The GDY powder was synthesized according to Li's work.⁴⁵ Ultra-pure water with a resistivity of 18.2 M Ω was used in all synthesis.

Journal Name

Journal Name

Catalyst preparation.

Pds-GDY: In a typical synthesis of Pds-GDY, 10 mg GDY powder was uniformly dispersed in 5 mL DMF solution to obtain a dispersion of GDY. Then 470 μ L 10 mM K₂PdCl₄ was put into the above dispersion and stirred for 2 h at 0 °C. The resulting product was washed several times with DMF and ethanol and dried under vacuum at 50 °C.

Pd^{NP1}-**GDY** and **Pd**^{NP2}-**GDY**: The preparation methods of Pd^{NP1}-GDY and Pd^{NP2}-GDY were similar to those of Pd^s-GDY. 10 mg GDY was uniformly dispersed in 5 mL H₂O to obtain a dispersion of GDY. Then 470 µL 10 mM K₂PdCl₄ was put into the above dispersion and stirred for 2 h at 0 °C and 60 °C, respectively. The Pd^{NP1}-GDY and Pd^{NP2}-GDY were finally obtained after washing several times with H₂O and ethanol and dried under vacuum at 50 °C.

Pd/C-D: In detail, 10 mg mesoporous carbon powder was uniformly dispersed in 5 mL DMF solution to obtain a dispersion of mesoporous carbon. Then 470 μ L K₂PdCl₄ (10 mM) and 200 mL NaBH₄ (4 mg/mL) was put into the above dispersion and stirred for 2 h at 0 °C. The resulting product was washed several times with DMF and ethanol and dried under vacuum at 50 °C.

Catalyst testing.

Published on 30 September 2020. Downloaded by Auckland University of Technology on 10/5/2020 8:04:10 AM

Liquid-phase hydrogenation of phenylacetylene (536-74-3), 1-octyne (629-05-0), 3-octyne (15232-76-5), and diphenylacetylene (501-65-5) were performed in a 50 mL stainless steel autoclave. 2.0 mg of catalyst was placed into the autoclave with 2.0 mL of ethanol (as a solvent) and 1.0 mmol alkyne under magnetic stirring at 25 °C. After the system was purged with hydrogen gas for three times, 0.2 MPa of H₂ pressure remained. When the reaction time was reached, products were tested by Thermo Trace1300 chromatography equipped with a flame ionization detector. The signals of alkyne, alkene, and alkane (as the reactant, desirable product, and byproduct respectively in semihydrogenation of alkyne) were recorded. Catalytic activity and selectivity were calculated as follows:

$$Conversion (\%) = \frac{moles of converted reactants}{moles of reactants in feed} \times 100$$

$$Selectivity (\%) = \frac{moles of desirable products}{moles of converted reactants} \times 100$$

Characterization.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were obtained on SmartLab 9KW equipped with Cu $K\alpha$ radiation (λ = 1.5147 Å). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) characterization was recorded using a Tecnai G2 Spirit TWIN at an acceleration voltage of 120 KV. Aberration-corrected scanning transmission electron microscope (STEM) images were performed using a Titan Cubed Themis G2 300 equipped with a Probe Corrector. X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) data were collected on an ESCALAB250Xi with the Al $K\alpha$ radiation as the X-ray source. All binding energies were calibrated based on the C 1s peak at 284.8 eV. Raman spectra were obtained on high resolution laser confocal fiber Raman spectrometer (HORIBA EVOLVTION, HORIBA Jobinyvon, France) at the excitation wavelength of 532

ARTICLE

nm. The actual Pd loadings were analyzed by the inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP_IAS,1@CAP9RQ).ATTR % ray absorption fine structure (XAFS) spectra at Pd K-edge (E_0 = 24350 eV) was performed at BL14W1 beamline of Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility (SSRF) operated at 3.5 GeV under "top-up" mode with a constant current of 250 mA. The XAFS data were recorded under fluorescence mode with a Lytle-type ion chamber. The energy was calibrated accordingly to the absorption edge of pure Pd foil. Athena and Artemis codes were used to extract the data and fit the profiles. For the X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) part, the experimental absorption coefficients as function of energies $\mu(E)$ were processed by background subtraction and normalization procedures, and reported as "normalized absorption" with $E_0 = 24350$ eV for all the tested samples and Pd foil/PdO standard. For the extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) part, the Fourier transformed (FT) data in R space were analyzed by applying first-shell approximate model for Pd-C and Pd-Cl contributions. The passive electron factors, S_0^2 , were determined by fitting the experimental data on Pd foils and fixing the coordination number (CN) of Pd-Pd to be 12, and then fixed for further analysis of the measured samples. The parameters describing the electronic properties (e.g., correction to the photoelectron energy origin, E_0) and local structure environment including CN, bond distance (R) and Debye-Waller factor around the absorbing atoms (σ^2) were allowed to vary during the fit process. The fitted ranges for k and R spaces were selected to be $k = 3-11 \text{ }^{-1}$ or $3-12 \text{ }^{-1}$ with R = 1-3 Å (k^2 weighted).

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2017YFA0700104), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21790052 and 21805207), 111 Project of China (D17003) and the Science & Technology Development Fund of Tianjin Education Commission for Higher Education(2018KJ129).

Notes and references

- K. Choe, F. Zheng, H. Wang, Y. Yuan, W. Zhao, G. Xue, X. Qiu, M. Ri, X. Shi, Y. Wang, G. Li and Z. Tang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2020, 59, 3650-3657.
- F. Studt, F. Abild-Pedersen, T. Bligaard, R. Z. Sorensen, C. H. Christensen and J. K. Norskov, *Science*, 2008, **320**, 1320-1322.
- Y. Liu, X. Liu, Q. Feng, D. He, L. Zhang, C. Lian, R. Shen, G. Zhao, Y. Ji, D. Wang, G. Zhou and Y. Li, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, 28, 4747-4754.
- H. Liang, B. Zhang, H. Ge, X. Gu, S. Zhang and Y. Qin, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 6567-6572.
- Y. Cao, H. Zhang, S. Ji, Z. Sui, Z. Jiang, D. Wang, F. Zaera, X. Zhou, X. Duan and Y. Li, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 11647-11652.

Published on 30 September 2020. Downloaded by Auckland University of Technology on 10/5/2020 8:04:10 AM

- Kuai, Z. Chen, S. Liu, E. Kan, N. Yu, Y. Ren, C. Fang, X. Li, Y. Li and B. Geng, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 48.
- X. Zhao, L. Zhou, W. Zhang, C. Hu, L. Dai, L. Ren, B. Wu, G. Fu and N. Zheng, *Chem*, 2018, 4, 1080-1091.
- 8. M. Crespo-Quesada, F. Cárdenas-Lizana, A.-L. Dessimoz and L. Kiwi-Minsker, *ACS Catalysis*, 2012, **2**, 1773-1786.
- T. Mitsudome, T. Urayama, K. Yamazaki, Y. Maehara, J. Yamasaki,
 K. Gohara, Z. Maeno, T. Mizugaki, K. Jitsukawa and K. Kaneda,
 ACS Catal., 2015, 6, 666-670.
- 10. Z. Wang, L. Yang, R. Zhang, L. Li, Z. Cheng and Z. Zhou, *Catal. Today*, 2016, **264**, 37-43.
- 11. D. Mei, M. Neurock and C. M. Smith, J. Catal., 2009, 268, 181-195.
- 12. B. Yang, R. Burch, C. Hardacre, G. Headdock and P. Hu, *J. Catal.*, 2013, **305**, 264-276.
- G. Vilé, D. Albani, N. Almora-Barrios, N. López and J. Pérez-Ramírez, *ChemCatChem*, 2016, 8, 21-33.
- 14. M. Armbruster, K. Kovnir, M. Behrens, D. Teschner, Y. Grin and R. Schlogl, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 14745-14747.
- G. Kyriakou, M. B. Boucher, A. D. Jewell, E. A. Lewis, T. J. Lawton, A. E. Baber, H. L. Tierney, M. Flytzani-Stephanopoulos and E. C. Sykes, *Science*, 2012, **335**, 1209-1212.
- D. Albani, M. Shahrokhi, Z. Chen, S. Mitchell, R. Hauert, N. Lopez and J. Perez-Ramirez, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, 9, 2634.
- Q. Feng, S. Zhao, Y. Wang, J. Dong, W. Chen, D. He, D. Wang, J. Yang, Y. Zhu, H. Zhu, L. Gu, Z. Li, Y. Liu, R. Yu, J. Li and Y. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 7294-7301.
- G. X. Pei, X. Y. Liu, A. Wang, A. F. Lee, M. A. Isaacs, L. Li, X. Pan, X. Yang, X. Wang, Z. Tai, K. Wilson and T. Zhang, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, 5, 3717-3725.
- 19. W. Niu, Y. Gao, W. Zhang, N. Yan and X. Lu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 8271-8274.
- 20. G. X. Pei, X. Y. Liu, X. Yang, L. Zhang, A. Wang, L. Li, H. Wang, X. Wang and T. Zhang, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, **7**, 1491-1500.
- 21. X. Li, W. Bi, L. Zhang, S. Tao, W. Chu, Q. Zhang, Y. Luo, C. Wu and Y. Xie, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 2427-2431.
- H. Fei, J. Dong, Y. Feng, C. S. Allen, C. Wan, B. Volosskiy, M. Li, Z. Zhao, Y. Wang, H. Sun, P. An, W. Chen, Z. Guo, C. Lee, D. Chen, I. Shakir, M. Liu, T. Hu, Y. Li, A. I. Kirkland, X. Duan and Y. Huang, *Nat. Catal.*, 2018, 1, 63-72.
- 23. Y. Zheng, Y. Jiao, Y. Zhu, Q. Cai, A. Vasileff, L. H. Li, Y. Han, Y. Chen and S. Z. Qiao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 3336-3339.
- 24. N. Zhang, T. Zhou, M. Chen, H. Feng, R. Yuan, C. a. Zhong, W. Yan, Y. Tian, X. Wu, W. Chu, C. Wu and Y. Xie, *Energ. Environ. Sci.*, 2020, **13**, 111-118.
- Y. Qu, Z. Li, W. Chen, Y. Lin, T. Yuan, Z. Yang, C. Zhao, J. Wang, C. Zhao, X. Wang, F. Zhou, Z. Zhuang, Y. Wu and Y. Li, *Nat. Catal.*, 2018, 1, 781-786.
- X. Rong, H. J. Wang, X. L. Lu, R. Si and T. B. Lu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2020, 59, 1961-1965.
- X. Wang, Z. Chen, X. Zhao, T. Yao, W. Chen, R. You, C. Zhao, G. Wu, J. Wang, W. Huang, J. Yang, X. Hong, S. Wei, Y. Wu and Y. Li, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2018, 57, 1944-1948.
- X. L. Lu, X. Rong, C. Zhang and T. B. Lu, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2020, 8, 10695-10708.
- H. Zhou, Y. Zhao, J. Gan, J. Xu, Y. Wang, H. Lv, S. Fang, Z. Wang,
 Z. Deng, X. Wang, P. Liu, W. Guo, B. Mao, H. Wang, T. Yao, X.

 Hong, S. Wei, X. Duan, J. Luo and Y. Wu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020.

 DOI: 10.1021/jacs.0c03415.
 DOI: 10.1039/D0TA07705D

- 30. A. Wang, J. Li and T. Zhang, Nat. Rev. Chem., 2018, 2, 65-81.
- Q. Feng, S. Zhao, Q. Xu, W. Chen, S. Tian, Y. Wang, W. Yan, J. Luo, D. Wang and Y. Li, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, e1901024.
- S. Zhou, L. Shang, Y. Zhao, R. Shi, G. I. N. Waterhouse, Y. C. Huang, L. Zheng and T. Zhang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, e1900509.
- F. Huang, Y. Deng, Y. Chen, X. Cai, M. Peng, Z. Jia, P. Ren, D. Xiao,
 X. Wen, N. Wang, H. Liu and D. Ma, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 13142-13146.
- G. Vile, D. Albani, M. Nachtegaal, Z. Chen, D. Dontsova, M. Antonietti, N. Lopez and J. Perez-Ramirez, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2015, 54, 11265-11269.
- 35. F. Yang, S. Ding, H. Song and N. Yan, *Sci. China Mater.*, 2020, **63**, 982-992.
- X. P. Yin, H. J. Wang, S. F. Tang, X. L. Lu, M. Shu, R. Si and T. B. Lu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 9382-9386.
- L. Hui, Y. Xue, H. Yu, Y. Liu, Y. Fang, C. Xing, B. Huang and Y. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2019, 141, 10677-10683.
- Y. Xue, B. Huang, Y. Yi, Y. Guo, Z. Zuo, Y. Li, Z. Jia, H. Liu and Y. Li, Nat. Commun., 2018, 9, 1460.
- 39. Y. Y. Han, X. L. Lu, S. F. Tang, X. P. Yin, Z. W. Wei and T. B. Lu, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2018, **8**, 1702992.
- 40. Y. Fang, Y. Xue, Y. Li, H. Yu, L. Hui, Y. Liu, C. Xing, C. Zhang, D. Zhang, Z. Wang, X. Chen, Y. Gao, B. Huang and Y. Li, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2020, DOI: 10.1002/anie.202004213.
- 41. N. Yang, H. Cheng, X. Liu, Q. Yun, Y. Chen, B. Li, B. Chen, Z. Zhang, X. Chen, Q. Lu, J. Huang, Y. Huang, Y. Zong, Y. Yang, L. Gu and H. Zhang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, e1803234.
- 42. G. Ji, Y. Duan, S. Zhang, B. Fei, X. Chen and Y. Yang, *ChemSusChem*, 2017, **10**, 3427-3434.
- 43. Y. Zhao, W. Liang Y. Li and L. Lefferts, Catal. Today, 2017, 297,308-315.
- R. Guo, Q. Chen, X. Li, Y. Liu, C. Wang, W. Bi, C. Zhao, y. Guo and M. Jin, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2019, **7**, 4714-4720.
- 45. G. Li, Y. Li, H. Liu, Y. Guo, Y. Li, and D. Zhu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 3256-3258.

ТоС

Graphdiyne-Based Pd Single-Atom Catalyst for Semihydrogenation of Alkynes to Alkenes with High Selectivity and Conversion under Mild Conditions

Xue-Peng Yin, Shang-Feng Tang, Chao Zhang, Hong-Juan Wang, Xiu-Li Lu,* Rui Si,* and Tong-Bu Lu*

Keywords: graphdiyne, semihydrogenation, Pd single-atom catalyst

SYNOPSIS

Published on 30 September 2020. Downloaded by Auckland University of Technology on 10/5/2020 8:04:10 AM.

The prepared Pd-GDY catalysts show an evident dependence on the size of Pd species in hydrogenation reaction under mild conditions. Specifically, Pd^s-GDY shows superior activity and selectivity in semihydrogenation of phenylacetylene with much higher TOF of 6290 h⁻¹, and higher selectivity of 99.3% at 100% conversion under mild reaction conditions.



ToC figure