Highly Selective Generation of Urethanes from Amines, Carbon Dioxide and Alkyl Chlorides

William D. McGhee,* Yi Pan and Dennis P. Riley

Monsanto Company, 800 N. Lindbergh Blvd., St. Louis MO 63167, USA

Generation of carbamate anions from either a primary or secondary amine, carbon dioxide and a stoichiometric amount of a pentaalkylguanidine followed by the addition of alkyl chlorides gives high yields of urethanes.

The most important pathway towards the synthesis of polyurethanes rests on phosgene/isocyanate technology.¹ Because of the toxicity of these materials a significant effort has been undertaken to find new methods for making polyurethane materials.² Our approach centres on the use of carbon dioxide as a phosgene replacement.

We have successfully synthesized urethanes in excellent yields with virutally 100% selectivity under mild conditions from amines, carbon dioxide and alkyl chlorides using conditions which enhance the nucleophilic nature of the oxygen centre of the carbamate anion.³ The key to this chemistry lies in the use of sterically hindered, powerful organic bases (*i.e.* pentaalkylguanidines)⁴ to drive the formation of carbamate and also to increase the nucleophilicity of the oxygen centre of the carbamate anion.

For example, addition of carbon dioxide, 80 psig, to an acetonitrile solution of diethyl amine and a stoichiometric amount of *N*-cyclohexyl-N', N', N'', N''-tetramethylguanidine (CyTMG) causes an exothermic reaction generating the carbamate anion of diethylamine. Rapid addition of a solution of benzyl chloride in acetonitrile to the reaction mixture under 80 psig of carbon dioxide, followed by heating the reaction mixture to 40 °C for 1 h gives a 95% yield of *N*, *N*-diethyl



Table 1 Reaction of carbamate (generated from RR'NH and CO_2) with alkyl chloride^{*a*}

RR'NH	R'Cl	T/°C	Urethane (GC) (%)	Urethane (isolated) (%)
Et ₂ NH	PhCH ₂ Cl	40	95	47
Bu ₂ NH	PhCH ₂ Cl	40	95	64
BuNH ₂	PhCH ₂ Cl	55	95	64
Bu ^s NH ₂	PhCH ₂ Cl	55	89	44
Bu ^t NH ₂	PhCH ₂ Cl	55	90	41
CyNH ₂	PhCH ₂ Cl	55	97	50
PhNH ₂	PhCH ₂ Cl	55	90	64
$1,4-(NH_2Cy)_2CH_2^b$	PhCH ₂ Cl	55		78
$1,4-H_2NC_6H_4NH_4$	PhCH ₂ Cl	55		70
Et ₂ NH	BuCl	70	97	61
BuNH ₂ ^b	$Cl(CH_2)_4Cl$	85	91.5	77
Et ₂ NH	CICH ₂ CH ₂ CI	70	85	45
$1,4-NH_2C_6H_4NH_2$	EtCl	85		73
$1,2-(NH_2)_2Cy$	EtCl	80		60
1,4-(NH ₂) ₂ Cy	EtCl	80		60.5

^{*a*} All reactions run under 80–160 psig (1 psig = 689 Pa) CO_2 in acetonitrile using CyTMG as base and isolated yields are unoptimized. All reactions were run to completion and GC yields were calculated *vs.* biphenyl used as internal standard. ^{*b*} Reaction run in 1-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone.

benzylcarbamate by GC and a 64% isolated yield of the carbamate ester after work-up.

 $RR'NH + CO_2 + CyTMG \rightleftharpoons RR'NCO_2^{-+}HCyTMG$

 $\xrightarrow{R''Cl} RR'NCO_2R'' \quad (1)$

This procedure was repeated with *n*-butylamine giving a 95% GC yield (64% isolated) of *N*-butyl benzylcarbamate, eqn. (1) (see Table 1 for additional examples—isolated yields are unoptomized).

An initial study of the reaction conditions of the formation of benzyl carbamates was completed using *n*-butylamine as a model system and the results of this study which show the sensitivity of this reaction to the nature of added base is given in Table 2. Key to the successful generation of urethanes *via* oxygen centred attack *vs.* nitrogen attack of a carbamate anion on alkyl chlorides rests in the choice of base. The appropriate base must not only be capable of driving the equilibrium of carbamate formation (*i.e.* high basicity) but also capable of generating a counterion that is highly polarizable. The use of highly polarizable cationic counterion (*i.e.* the pentaalkylguanidinium cations) creates a 'naked' anion which is more available for nucleophilic attack on an electrophilic substrate (initial results from conductance measurements supports this).

 Table 2 Effect of base on conversion of butyl amine, carbon dioxide, and benzyl chloride to N-butylbenzyl carbamate^a

Base ^b	Urethane GC yield (%)	Nitrogen derived products ^c (%)	
Butyl amine	2	77	
PMP	7	92.5	
ButDEF	48	34	
TMG	62	22	
DBU	69	18	
MTDB	86	14	
CyTEG	92	14	
CyTEG	94	9	

" All reactions run at 55 °C under 80 psig carbon dioxide pressure and run to completion based on butyl amine. GC yields determined using biphenyl as internal standard. ^b PMP = 1,2,2,6,6-pentamethylpiperadine; Bu'DEF = *N-tert*-butyl-*N*',*N*'-diethylformamidine; TMG = N,N,N',N'-tetramethylguanidine; DBU = 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene; MTDB = 7-methyl-1,5,7-triazabicyclo[4.4.0]dec-5-ene; CyTEG = *N*-cyclohexyl-*N'*,*N'*,*N'''*.etraethylguanidine. ^c Nitrogen derived products include, *N*-butyl-*N*-benzyl amine, *N*-butyl-*N*,*N*dibenzyl amine and *N*-butyl-*N*-benzyl amine generated). A small amount of dibenzylcarbonate resulting from trace amounts of water in reagents was also detected by GC.

Table 3 Activation parameters for reaction of amine, CO_2 , $CyTMG^{\alpha}$ with benzyl chloride in MeCN

Nucleophile	$E_{\rm a}/\rm kcalmol^{-1b}$	$\Delta H^{\ddagger/\text{kcal mol}^{-1b}}$	$\Delta S^{\ddagger}/e.u.^{c}$
Et ₂ NCO ₂ -	12.4	11.8	-33
Bu ^s NHCO ₂ -	13.4	12.8	-33
Bu ⁿ NHCO ₂ ⁻	24	23.4	-3
PhNHCO ₂ ⁻	14.9	14.3	-28

^{*a*} All parameters calculated at 40 °C. ^{*b*} kcal mol⁻¹ = 4.184 kJ mol⁻¹. ^{*c*} e.u. = 4.184 J K⁻¹.

This effect is similar to that reported for the use of crown ethers or cryptands with potassium salts of carboxylic acids in nucleophilic substitution reactions.5

We have also compiled a relative rate of reactivity profile based on the nature of the carbamate anion. The relative rate of reactivity follows the order: $Et_2NCO_2^-$ (32) = $Bu_2NCO_2^ (29) > CyNHCO_2^-$ (14.5) = Bu^sNHCO_2^- (11.9) > PhNHCO_2^- (8.2) \gg *n*-octylNHCO_2^- (1.1) = BuⁿNHCO_2^-(1). Insight into the large difference in reactivity between various carbamates was obtained from experimentally derived activation parameters in the S_N2 reaction of various carbamates with benzyl chloride giving O-benzylic urethanes (Table 3).

Further insight into the difference in reactivity was gained by an investigation of the dependence of rate on carbon dioxide pressure. With *n*-butyl carbamate the rate of reaction significantly decreased with an increase of carbon dioxide pressure (20 psig, $k = 4.8 \times 10^{-4} \text{ dm}^{-3} \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$; 120 psig, $k = 1.8 \times 10^{-4} \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$). This effect was not observed with either the diethyl or s-butyl carbamates. We postulate that this is owing to the reaction of a second equivalent of carbon dioxide with the *n*-butyl carbamate thereby lowering the reactivity of the oxygen of the carbamate anion; thus, reversible insertion of a second equivalent of carbon dioxide into the remaining N-H occurs giving a dianion as shown below.

In order to determine the viability of possible double carbon dioxide insertion, the addition of ¹³CO₂ to Et¹⁵NH₂ and Et215NH was studied by 15N NMR spectroscopy. The appearance of a doublet at δ 87.6 for the addition of ¹³CO₂ (atmospheric pressure of carbon dioxide) to $Et_2^{15}NH$ with CyTMG as base indicates the generation of Et₂¹⁵N- $^{13}\text{CO}_2$ + HCyTMG with $J_{\text{N-C}} = 19.3$ Hz. The appearance of a triplet centred at δ 129.1 in the ¹⁵N NMR spectrum of the product from the addition of ¹³CO₂ (atmospheric pressure of carbon dioxide) to Et15NH2 with two equivalents of CyTMG indicates the formation of Et15N(13CO2-)2 (+HCyTMG)2 with $J_{N-C} = 15.2$ Hz. This result clearly indicates that with ethylamine (and with other amines with the general structure:

RCH₂NH₂) two equivalents of carbon dioxide interact and that this pathway must be considered in reactions involving these substrates.

The discovery that the use of pentaalkylguanidines as a base in the generation of carbamate anions increases the nucleophilicity of the oxygen centre of the carbamate anion has allowed us to generate urethane materials in high yields and with high selectivities. It has also given us the opportunity to investigate the nucleophilic behaviour of the carbamate anion in greater detail.

We would like to thank Dr T. Waldman, Professors P. Beck, J. Groves, J. Halpern and L. Liebskind for helpful discussions. We would also like to thank Dr W. Wise for assistance in the NMR experiments and Kevin Christ for his involvement with the rate studies.

Received, 15th November 1993; Com. 3/06832C

References

- 1 G. Oertel, Polyurethane Handbook, Hanser Publishers, 1985.
- 2 See: Handbook of Toxic and Hazardous Chemicals and Carcinogens, 2nd edn., Noyes Publications, 1985, for phosgene, p. 714;
- for methyl isocyanate, p. 609; for toluene diisocyanate, p. 891. 3 C. Bruneau and P. H. Dixneuf, J. Mol. Catal., 1992, 74, 97; M. Aresta and E. Quaranta, Tetrahedron, 1992, 48, 1515; M. Aresta, M. and E. Quaranta, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1992, 1893; W. D. McGhee and D. P. Riley, Organometallics, 1992, 11, 900; W. D. McGhee, D. P. Riley, M. E. Christ and K. M. Christ, Organometallics, 1993, 12, 1429 and references cited therein.
- 4 H. Bredereck and K. Bredereck, Chem. Ber., 1961, 94, 2278; D. H. R. Barton, J. D. Elliott and S. D. Gero, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1982, 2085; J.Oszczapowicz and E. Raczynska, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1984, 1643; R. Schwesinger, Chimia, 1985, 39, 269; K. T. Leffek, R. Pruszynski and K. Thanapaalasingham, Can. J. Chem., 1989, 67, 590; P. H. Boyle, M. A. Convery, A. P. Davis, G. D. Hosken and B. A. Murray, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1992, 239.
 5 C. L. Liotta, E. E. Grisdale and H. P. Hopkins, Tetrahedron Lett., 10, 100 (2010)
- 1975, 48, 4205.