Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 22 (2012) 6513-6520

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bmcl



Studies on the antimicrobial properties of N-acylated ciprofloxacins

Ryan Cormier^{a,†}, Whittney N. Burda^{b,†}, Lacey Harrington^b, Jordan Edlinger^a, Karthik M. Kodigepalli^b, John Thomas^c, Rebecca Kapolka^c, Glen Roma^c, Burt E. Anderson^c, Edward Turos^{a,*}, Lindsey N. Shaw^{b,*}

^a Center for Molecular Diversity in Drug Design, Discovery, and Delivery, Department of Chemistry, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL 33620, USA
^b Department of Cell Biology, Microbiology and Molecular Biology, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL 33620, USA
^c Department of Molecular Medicine, College of Medicine, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL 33620, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 6 March 2012 Revised 30 April 2012 Accepted 8 May 2012 Available online 1 July 2012

Keywords: N-Acyl ciprofloxacins Staphylococcus aureus MRSA Antimicrobial activity Antibiotic resistance

ABSTRACT

Fluoroquinolone antibiotics have been a mainstay in the treatment of bacterial diseases. The most notable representative, ciprofloxacin, possesses potent antimicrobial activity; however, a rise in resistance to this agent necessitates development of novel derivatives to prolong the clinical lifespan of these antibiotics. Herein we have synthesized and analyzed the antimicrobial properties of a library of N-acylated ciprofloxacin analogues. We find that these compounds are broadly effective against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, with many proving more effective than the parental drug, and several possessing MICs $\leq 1.0 \mu$ g/ml against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Bartonella* species. An analysis of spontaneous mutation frequencies reveals very low potential for resistance in MRSA compared to existing fluoroquinolones. Mode of action profiling reveals that modification of the piperazinyl nitrogen by acylation does not alter the effect of these molecules towards their bacterial target. We also present evidence that these N-acylated compounds are highly effective at killing intracellular bacteria, suggesting the suitability of these antibiotics for therapeutic treatment.

© 2012 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Over the last 50 years, the fluoroquinolone antibiotics have been a mainstay in the treatment of bacterial diseases. The most notable member of this family, ciprofloxacin, possesses potent antimicrobial activity against a broad spectrum of Gram-negative and Gram-positive pathogens and, despite recent evidence of bacterial strains having fluoroquinolone resistance, remains one of the foremost lines of defense against pathogenic bacteria.¹ Broadly, quinolone-based antibiotics inhibit bacterial DNA replication by interfering with the ability of DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV to reseal nicked DNA prior to strand passage.² Acquired resistance to the fluoroquinolones is mediated through chromosomal mutations in bacterial genes encoding these enzymes at specific domains, known as the Quinolone Resistance-Determining Regions (ORDR). Resistance can also occur via mutations that affect import and/or export of the drug, via non-specific efflux mechanisms.^{2a,3} More recently there have been reports of plasmid-mediated resistance mechanisms, including the quinolone resistance proteins Qnr, Aac(6') Ib-cr and QepA.⁴

In an attempt to overcome pathways of bacterial drug-resistance, we set out to explore ways to enhance bioactivity of ciprofloxacin. Given the mode of action and well-characterized structure-activity requirements of this drug, we viewed the best

E-mail address: eturos@shell.cas.usf.edu (E. Turos).

opportunity to accomplish this would be through attachment of lipophilic acyl residues to the nitrogen of the piperazinyl ring. Recent studies have indicated that increased bulkiness of alkyl substituents at this site enhances protection from efflux exporter proteins, and decreases bacterial drug-resistance.⁵ The antimicrobial properties of a small number of N-acylated ciprofloxacins have previously been described in the patent literature.⁶ As of yet, there have been no detailed investigations into how well these (and related) analogues may function against drug-resistant bacteria, or whether there might be perturbations to their mode of action as antibacterial agents. In this report we describe the first such studies on N-acylated ciprofloxacin analogues and their microbiological properties against representative pathogenic bacteria, including multi-drug resistant strains, and investigate whether they act by interfering with the existing and known mechanisms of action for this class of compounds.

A focused library of 18 lipophilic, N-acylated ciprofloxacin derivatives **2a**–**r** were synthesized using the published procedure of Azema, by treating ciprofloxacin with the requisite acyl chloride or acid anhydride⁷ in the presence of triethylamine at room temperature (Fig. 1). The desired N-acylated products were obtained in 32–96% yields after chromatography, and suitably characterized by proton and carbon NMR spectroscopy.

The antimicrobial properties of these N-acylated ciprofloxacin derivatives were evaluated against several key Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria by Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion assay,

^{*} Corresponding authors.

[†] These authors contributed equally to this work.



Figure 1. Synthesis of N-acylated ciprofloxacin derivatives 2a-r.

Table 1
Results of Kirby-Bauer testing of N-acylated ciprofloxacins against MRSA USA 100

Compound	R	MRSA (CBD-635)
2a	Methyl	24
2b	Ethyl	20
2c	Propyl	6
2d	Butyl	30
2e	Pentyl	36
2f	Hexyl	30
2g	Heptyl	6
2h	Octyl	22
2i	Nonyl	34
2j	CH(CH ₂ CH ₃)CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃	26
2k	$CH(CH_2CH_2CH_3)_2$	6
21	$CH(CH_2CH_3)_2$	30
2m	CH(CH ₃)CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃	33
2n	$C(CH_3)_2CH_2CH_3$	31
20	$CH_2CH(CH_3)CH_2(CH_3)_3$	35
2p	$CH_2CH(CH_3)_2$	28
2q	$C(CH_3)_3$	22
2r	Phenyl	6
Ciprofloxacin		6

Data is shown in millimeters and represents the average diameter of the zone of inhibition from three independent experiments. Each assay was performed with 50 μ g of drug per disk. For those compounds that displayed no activity, a zone of 6 mm is shown, which corresponds to the diameter of the disk.

determination of the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC), DNA gyrase activity assay, spontaneous mutation frequency assay, and intracellular viability assay.

The *N*-acyl ciprofloxacins were tested for in vitro bioactivity against three separate Gram-positive bacteria, including *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Bacillus anthracis* and *Enterococcus faecalis*. For the staphylococci, methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* (MSSA) and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) strains were examined for comparison.

Several strains of *S. aureus* were used in testing the *N*-acyl ciprofloxacins **2a–r**. The clinical isolate CBD-635 (MRSA, USA100) was selected for initial disk diffusion assays, and ATCC strain 43300 (MRSA), the laboratory strain SH1000 (MSSA) and CBD-635 (MRSA) were employed for the minimum inhibitory concentration assays.⁸

Disk diffusion assays were performed in triplicate, as previously described, with the average zones of bacterial growth inhibition of each compound shown in Table 1.⁸ All but four (**2c**, **2g**, **2k**, and **2r**) of the N-acylated ciprofloxacin derivatives we tested had greater anti-MRSA activity than ciprofloxacin, with the most active of the analogs being *N*-hexanoyl derivative **2e**.

The minimum inhibitory concentrations of the *N*-acyl ciprofloxacins were evaluated against *Staphylococcus aureus* SH1000 and the multidrug-resistant MRSA strain CBD-635 according to previous published procedures.⁸ None of the derivatives exhibited discernible inhibitory activity toward CBD-635 below a concentration of 100 µg/ml (data not shown). Consequently, we elected to use another more common MRSA strain (ATCC 43300), which shows only limited resistance to antibiotics beyond β -lactam compounds. All the antimicrobial assays were performed in triplicate, with the

Table 2							
Minimum	inhibitory	concentrations	of N-acyl	ciprofloxacins	2a-r against	MSSA	and
MRSA							

Compound	R	MSSA (SH1000)	MRSA (ATCC 43300)
2a	Methyl	10	10
2b	Ethyl	40	100+
2c	Propyl	100+	100+
2d	Butyl	7.5	1
2e	Pentyl	20	25
2f	Hexyl	25	100+
2g	Heptyl	25	25
2h	Octyl	10	10
2i	Nonyl	10	10
2j	CH(CH ₂ CH ₃)CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃	10	100+
2k	$CH(CH_2CH_2CH_3)_2$	10	100+
21	$CH(CH_2CH_3)_2$	100+	1
2m	CH(CH ₃)CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃	10	1
2n	$C(CH_3)_2CH_2CH_3$	5	1
20	$CH_2CH(CH_3)CH_2(CH_3)_3$	25	100+
2p	$CH_2CH(CH_3)_2$	100+	100+
2q	$C(CH_3)_3$	7.5	100+
2r	Phenyl	25	100+
Ciprofloxacin		10	15

Data shown is in ug/ml of antibiotic compound, tested in triplicate and averaged.

 Table 3

 Spontaneous mutation frequencies for selected N-acylated ciprofloxacin analogues

Compound	1.0×	1.5×	2.0 ×	2.5×
2a	90	41	101	0
2b	ND	ND	ND	0
2i	Lawn	Lawn	Lawn	Lawn
2m	Lawn	7	4	0
Ciprofloxacin	ND	ND	ND	551

Numbers in the upper row of the table refer to fold increase of the MIC. Lawn refers to a complete covering of the plate with bacterial cells, ND = Not Determined. The values indicate the total colonies obtained for at least 3 independent replicates per compound.

averaged MIC values shown in Table 2. Ciprofloxacin was used as a positive control. Against the MSSA strain, derivatives **2a**, **2d**, **2h**, **2i**, **2j**, **2k**, **2m**, **2n**, and **2q** were all as active as ciprofloxacin, while **2d**, **2n**, and **2q** showed slightly better activity. With regards to the MRSA strain, **2a**, **2d**, **2h**, **2i**, **2l**, **2m**, and **2n** gave MIC values lower than that of ciprofloxacin. Curiously, compounds **2d**, **2l**, **2m**, and **2n** all showed enhanced bioactivity towards the MRSA than the MSSA.

Given the ease with which *S. aureus* develops resistance to antimicrobial agents, we undertook spontaneous mutation frequency assays with selected compounds from our library (Table 3).⁸ For this we chose three representatives (**2a**, **2i** and **2m**), which each had MICs of 10 µg/ml in our MSSA assay, and **2b**, which had an MIC of 40 µg/ml. In addition, we also included ciprofloxacin as a control agent for these studies. As such, agar containing **2a**, **2i** and **2m** at $1 \times -$, $1.5 \times -$, $2.0 \times -$ and $2.5 \times$ MIC was prepared, alongside media containing ciprofloxacin at $2.5 \times$ MIC. When inoculated with overnight cultures of MSSA we found that all four concentrations of **2i**

 Table 4

 Kirby-Bauer assay of N-acylated ciprofloxacins 2a-r against B. anthracis and E. faecalis

Compound	R	B. anthracis (Sterne)	E. faecalis (DS16)
2a	Methyl	89	48
2b	Ethyl	86	46
2c	Propyl	86	40
2d	Butyl	80	39
2e	Pentyl	77	38
2f	Hexyl	71	36
2g	Heptyl	65	29
2h	Octyl	55	23
2i	Nonyl	6	6
2j	CH(CH ₂ CH ₃)CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃	65	28
2k	$CH(CH_2CH_2CH_3)_2$	72	26
21	$CH(CH_2CH_3)_2$	81	22
2m	CH(CH ₃)CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃	67	33
2n	$C(CH_3)_2CH_2CH_3$	57	45
20	$CH_2CH(CH_3)CH_2(CH_3)_3$	80	25
2р	$CH_2CH(CH_3)_2$	70	32
2q	$C(CH_3)_3$	80	44
2r	Phenyl	49	6
Ciprofloxacin		42	31

Data is shown in millimeters and represents the average diameter of the zone of inhibition from three independent experiments. Each assay was performed with 50 μ g of drug per disk. For those compounds that displayed no zone of growth inhibition, a value of 6 mm is shown, which corresponds to the diameter of the disk.

produced lawns of growth, suggesting that resistance is readily developed for this compound. We also obtained a lawn of growth for **2m** at $1 \times$ MIC; however, we observed significantly fewer colonies at higher concentrations, with none even being detectable at $2.5 \times$ MIC. From all tests, we isolated eleven **2m**-resistant colonies from a total inoculum of 1.2×10^{-10} . This yielded a spontaneous mutation rate of 1.08×10^{-9} for this agent. Testing with compound **2a** provided resistant colonies for each of the concentrations tested, apart from $2.5 \times$ MIC, which failed to produce growth. In total we isolated 232 colonies for 2a, from a combined inoculum of 1.7×10^{10} , yielding a mutation rate of 7.3×10^{-7} . Given the elevated MIC of **2b**, we chose the single, and commonly employed, concentration of $2.5 \times$ MIC for analysis. Despite repeating this assay six times, using a combined bacterial inoculum of 3.67×10^{10} , we were unable to find any mutant colonies. In contrast to these findings, when using a combined inoculum of 5.38×10^8 on agar containing $2.5 \times$ MIC of ciprofloxacin, we obtained 551 colonies from five individual tests. This results in a spontaneous mutation frequency of 1.02×10^{-6} for the parent drug. As such, this is a more than 71-fold increase in mutation frequency when compared to 2a, and a more than 1000-fold increase when compared to 2m. This significance is further heightened by the observation that no resistance to either **2a** or **2m** was observed at the 2.5× MIC concentrations used for ciprofloxacin.

N-acyl ciprofloxacins **2a–r** were also tested against *B. anthracis* (Sterne)⁹ and *E. faecalis* (DS16)¹⁰ using the previously described Kirby–Bauer disk diffusion assay (Table 4). The *N*-acyl ciprofloxacins performed well in this assay, with only one compound (**2r**) failing to surpass ciprofloxacin in bioactivity against *B. anthracis*. Derivatives **2a**, **2b**, **2c**, **2d**, **2e**, **2f**, **2m**, **2n**, **2p**, and **2q** all fared better than the positive control against *E. faecalis*. For both bacteria, bioactivity dropped as the length or lipophilicity of the acyl chain increased.

N-Acyl ciprofloxacin compounds **2a-r** were also tested and found to be effective against *Bartonella* and *Escherichia coli*, clinically-significant Gram-negative microbes. Disk diffusion assays were evaluated against four species of *Bartonella*, including *B. henselae* (ATCC 49882)¹¹, *B. quintana* (ATCC VR358), *B. elizabethae* (F9251)¹², and *B. vinsonii* (ATCC VR152). Minimum inhibitory concentration assays were performed only with the *B. henselae*

Table 5

Kirby-Bauer assay of N-acylated ciprofloxacins **2a-r** against *B. henselae* and *B. quintana*

Compound	R	B. henselae (ATCC 49882)	B. quintana (ATCC VR358)
2a	Methyl	52	6
2b	Ethyl	56	58
2c	Propyl	34	29
2d	Butyl	38	35
2e	Pentyl	31	30
2f	Hexyl	28	20
2g	Heptyl	16	14
2h	Octyl	6	11
2i	Nonyl	10	11
2j	CH(CH ₂ CH ₃)CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃	12	6
2k	$CH(CH_2CH_2CH_3)_2$	14	6
21	CH(CH ₂ CH ₃) ₂	33	17
2m	CH(CH ₃)CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃	37	24
2n	$C(CH_3)_2CH_2CH_3$	41	27
20	$CH_2CH(CH_3)CH_2(CH_3)_3$	15	7
2p	$CH_2CH(CH_3)_2$	62	36
2q	$C(CH_3)_3$	40	33
2r	Phenyl	21	7
Ciprofloxacin		56	6

Data is shown in millimeters and represents the average diameter of the zone of inhibition from three independent experiments. Each assay was performed with 20 μ g of drug per disk. For those compounds that displayed no activity, a zone of 6 mm is shown, which corresponds to the diameter of the disk.

strain. All assays were run in triplicate. While the *N*-acyl ciprofloxacins showed inhibitory activity against the four *Barton-ella* species tested (Tables 5 and 6), most produced diminished growth inhibition zone sizes compared to ciprofloxacin. A general relationship between lipophilicity and activity was observed with the more hydrophobic compounds yielding smaller growth inhibition zones.

The minimum inhibitory concentrations of the *N*-acyl ciprofloxacins were and evaluated against *B. henselae*. Ciprofloxacin was used as a positive control with the averaged MIC values shown in Table 7. Compounds **2b**, **2c**, and **2n** were as active as ciprofloxacin, whereas **2p** and **2r** displayed higher activity than the control.

Table 6

Kirby-Bauer assay of N-acylated ciprofloxacins **2a-r** against *B. elizabethae* and *B. vinsonii*

Compound	R	B. elizabethae	B. vinsonii
		(F9251)	(ATCC VR152)
22	Mathul	EQ	ND
Zd	Methyl	56	ND
2b	Ethyl	57	60
2c	Propyl	42	44
2d	Butyl	35	57
2e	Pentyl	30	21
2f	Hexyl	20	22
2g	Heptyl	14	15
2h	Octyl	12	14
2i	Nonyl	9	9
2j	CH(CH ₂ CH ₃)CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃	12	15
2k	$CH(CH_2CH_2CH_3)_2$	6	6
21	$CH(CH_2CH_3)_2$	26	25
2m	CH(CH ₃)CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃	32	30
2n	$C(CH_3)_2CH_2CH_3$	32	33
20	$CH_2CH(CH_3)CH_2(CH_3)_3$	8	10
2p	$CH_2CH(CH_3)_2$	37	40
2q	C(CH ₃) ₃	36	36
2r	Phenyl	13	12
Ciprofloxacin		58	58

Data is shown in millimeters and represents the average diameter of the zone of inhibition from three independent experiments. Each assay was performed with 20 μ g of drug per disk. ND = Not Determined. For those compounds that displayed no activity, a zone of 6 mm is shown, which corresponds to the diameter of the disk.

Table 7

Minimum inhibitory concentration assay of N-acylated ciprofloxacins **2a-r** against *B. henselae*

Compound	R	B.henselae (ATCC 49882)
2a	Methyl	ND
2b	Ethyl	0.5
2c	Propyl	0.5
2d	Butyl	5
2e	Pentyl	5
2f	Hexyl	10
2g	Heptyl	10
2h	Octyl	10
2i	Nonyl	5
2j	CH(CH ₂ CH ₃)CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃	ND
2k	$CH(CH_2CH_2CH_3)_2$	5
21	$CH(CH_2CH_3)_2$	5
2m	CH(CH ₃)CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃	0.8
2n	$C(CH_3)_2CH_2CH_3$	0.5
20	$CH_2CH(CH_3)CH_2(CH_3)_3$	1
2p	$CH_2CH(CH_3)_2$	0.2
2q	$C(CH_3)_3$	ND
2r	Phenyl	0.2
Ciprofloxacin		0.5-1 ¹³

Determined by agar dilution, data shown is in ug/ml of antibiotic compound, tested in triplicate and averaged. ND = not determined.

In order to assess the activity of representative compounds against the facultative intracellular bacterium *B. henselae*, and bio-availability inside the cell, we performed cell infection assays using immortalized microvascular cell line HMEC-1 as described previously.¹⁴ After extracellular bacteria were killed with gentamicin, infected cells were incubated for 96 h with select test compounds. At a concentration of 1.0 µg/ml, all compounds tested were effective at reducing the number of intracellular bacteria to levels \leq 10% of those found in infected cells exposed only to solvent controls (Fig. 2). At 0.1 µg/ml, the number of surviving bacteria found in cell lysates was higher, ranging from 32% for compound **2p**, to 54% for compounds **2a** and **2n**.

The Kirby-Bauer assay was used to determine the N-acyl ciprofloxacins antimicrobial assay against the D5H α strain of

*E. coli.*¹⁵ Several of the derivatives proved to be more potent than ciprofloxacin. **2a**, **2b**, **2c**, **2d**, **2f**, and **2q** all yielded larger zones than the positive control as shown in Table 8.

A major requirement for any potential antibiotic targeted towards bacterial species is that it must have a prokaryotic target that is selective and distinct from any eukaryotic counterpart. Therefore, in order to assess the relative toxicity of our library towards eukaryotic cells we repeated our disk diffusion studies using *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Encouragingly, none of the compounds tested were toxic to this organism at concentrations used for the antimicrobial testing (data not shown).

As fluoroquinolones target enzymes that mediate DNA supercoiling, we employed a classic biochemical assay to measure DNA gyrase activity in the presence of select compounds.¹⁶ All compounds tested (**2a, 2b, 2q, 2e** and **2o**) exhibited a clear ability to inhibit the supercoiling activity of purified *E. coli* DNA gyrase when tested with pUC19 at concentrations ranging from 1.0 to 100 µg/ml (Fig. 3). Of note, tests with compound **2e** appeared to demonstrate minimal supercoiling of the plasmid at even very low concentrations of the antibiotic (1.0 µg/ml). Thus, all five test compounds have inhibitory activity against DNA gyrase, indicating that N-acylation of ciprofloxacin does not abrogate gyrase inhibitory capabilities.

In addition to this biochemical approach, we also undertook DNA sequencing analyses of our spontaneously generated 2a and 2m MSSA mutants. Accordingly, we sequenced the Quinolone Resistance-Determining Regions (QRDR) of the genes encoding DNA gyrase (gyrA and gyrB) and topoisomerase IV (grlA and grlB)¹⁷ from representative mutant isolates. We also conducted this analysis in parallel on our parental MSSA strain, and used the data derived to identify mutations arising in these regions. The sequence data for all mutants revealed identical mutations in each strain in the gyrA and grlA genes, regardless of compound used to generate them. Specifically, S84L and E88 K mutations were observed in gyrA, and S80Y and E84G mutations in grlA. Interestingly, whilst the former three mutations are well characterized for ciprofloxacin resistance in *S. aureus*, the latter has only been documented rarely. Indeed, E84G mutation of grlA is more commonly associated in S. aureus with resistance to derivatives of ciprofloxacin, such as



Figure 2. Assay for intracellular antimicrobial activity of selected N-acylated ciprofloxacin compounds against *Bartonella henselae*. HMEC cells were infected with *B. henselae* for 4 h, before being incubated with select compounds at the concentration specified for 96 h. Values are shown as percentage of colony forming units in comparison to control, which contained media only. Error bars are shown as ± SD.

 Table 8

 Disk diffusion assay of N-acylated ciprofloxacins 2a-r against E. coli

Compound	R	E. coli (D5Ha)
2a	Methyl	60
2b	Ethyl	57
2c	Propyl	48
2d	Butyl	47
2e	pentyl	30
2f	Hexyl	45
2g	Heptyl	6
2h	Octyl	30
2i	Nonyl	6
2j	CH(CH ₂ CH ₃)CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃	6
2k	$CH(CH_2CH_2CH_3)_2$	6
21	$CH(CH_2CH_3)_2$	39
2m	CH(CH ₃)CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃	33
2n	$C(CH_3)_2CH_2CH_3$	38
20	$CH_2CH(CH_3)CH_2(CH_3)_3$	6
2p	$CH_2CH(CH_3)_2$	36
2q	C(CH ₃) ₃	51
2r	Phenyl	6
Ciprofloxacin		43

Data is shown in millimeters and represents the average diameter of the zone of inhibition from three independent experiments. Each assay was performed with 50 μ g of drug per disk. For those compounds that displayed no activity, a zone of 6 mm is shown, which corresponds to the diameter of the disk

trovafloxacin, norfloxacin and besofloxacin.¹⁸ No mutations were observed in the QRDR of *gyrB* or *grlB* of the mutant strains.

Prior structure-activity studies on the fluoroquinolones starting in the 1970's have enabled substantial improvements in their potency, spectrum of activity, and in vivo efficacy. Essentially every site on the quinolone framework has been chemically derivatized and evaluated for antibacterial activities, leading to a well-defined understanding of the optimal groups for each site in terms of electrostatics, size, and shape. Included in this list are the C6 fluoro substituent, and the C7 piperazinyl side chain found in ciprofloxacin, and its related structural analogues. Data from the present study indicates that N-acylation of ciprofloxacin not only affects, but can improve, the antibacterial activity of this drug against a variety of bacterial species. When compared to the parental compound, we observed a general increase in efficacy for the derivative compounds. Indeed, it appears that N-acylation of ciprofloxacin significantly improves antibacterial activity towards Gram-positive organisms. Specifically, with regards to the Kirby-Bauer assays, only four derivatives proved less effective than ciprofloxacin when tested against the MRSA strain CBD-635 (2c, 2g, 2k and 2r), eight against E. faecalis (2g, 2h, 2i, 2j, 2k, 2l, 2o and 2r), and only one (2i) had decreased activity compared to ciprofloxacin against B. anthracis. Additionally, a number of compounds showed improvement in activity over ciprofloxacin against Gram-negative organisms, when tested against E. coli and B. guintana.

Interestingly, N-acylated ciprofloxacins appear to alter the growth and survival of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria in different ways. For examples, in the case of the Gram-negative organisms *E. coli* and *Bartonella* species, there is a general trend of decreasing bioactivity for the compounds as the acyl chain length increases, which coincides with increasing lipophilicity. The calculated values for logP, a measurement of a compounds lipophilic character, are provided in Table 9, and plotted out versus anti-*Bartonella* bioactivity in Fig. 4.

This trend is most clear for *Bartonella elizabethae*, but is also seen with other *Bartonella* species, and, to a lesser extent, *E. coli* and *B. anthracis* (data not shown). Conversely, there is no apparent lipophilicity-activity correlation for MRSA and only a very weak trend for *E. faecalis* (data not shown). It is interesting that Azema and colleagues reported an analogous observation for ciprofloxacin derivatives bearing lipophilic N-side chains in their antitumor properties against five human cancer cell lines.⁷

Using a biochemical assay, we were able to demonstrate that select representatives of our library efficiently inhibited the ability of purified E. coli DNA gyrase to super-coil the plasmid pUC19. Furthermore, when analyzing strains of S. aureus having developed resistance to compounds 2a and 2m, we observed point mutations in both gyrA and grlA genes of DNA gyrase, and topoisomerase IV, respectively. As such, it would appear that modification of the piperazinyl nitrogen by acylation does not alter the manner in which these molecules act toward their bacterial target. With regards to the potential for resistance, we demonstrate that, whilst spontaneous mutation was readily obtained for one of the compounds (2i), resistance to others was a far less frequent occurrence. Specifically, we obtained a cumulative mutation frequency of 7.3×10^{-7} for **2a** and 1.2×10^{-10} for **2m**. In contrast we herein show a spontaneous mutation frequency of 1.02×10^{-6} for ciprofloxacin at $2.5 \times$ MIC. As such, this is a more than 71-fold increase in resistance frequency when compared to 2a, and a more than 1000-fold increase when compared to 2m. This clearly demonstrates that the N-acylated ciprofloxacin derivatives in our library have vastly lower mutation frequencies than for ciprofloxacin, which is of particular importance given that ciprofloxacin is rarely used in treating S. aureus infections due to relatively high resistance rates.¹⁹ By and large, the mutations obtained within our resistant strains were classical for this type of antimicrobial agent. Specifically, the S84L and E88 K mutations in gyrA, and S80Y mutation in grlA have previously been reported for ciprofloxacin. With regards to the E84G mutation of grlB, this is far less common, and is more frequently associated with resistance to trovafloxacin, norfloxacin and besofloxacin.¹⁸ As such, the more favorable mutation frequency, coupled with an unusual collection of point mutations required to achieve resistance, suggests the potential suitability of these compounds for treating S. aureus infections.

The N-acylated ciprofloxacin derivatives were even more effective against Gram-negative bacteria, and have lower MICs than those for *S. aureus*. Most Gram-negative bacteria, and *Bartonella*



Figure 3. The effects of selected *N*-acyl ciprofloxacin compounds on DNA Gyrase activity. Relaxed circular (R) pUC19 DNA was incubated in the presence of *E. coli* DNA gyrase and decreasing concentrations (100 µg/mL, 50 µg/mL, 10 µg/mL and 1.0 µg/ml) of select compounds. Gyrase conversion of pUC19 to its supercoiled (S) form was inhibited by increasing concentrations of each compound. Control samples without compound (C), in the absence (–) or presence (+) of DNA gyrase, are also shown.

Table 9

N-Acyl ciprofloxacins in increasing order of lipophilicity, as determined by their calculated logP values (ChemDraw, version 7.0)

Compound	R	Calculated logP
2a	Methyl	0.17
2b	Ethyl	0.7
2c	Propyl	1.23
2q	C(CH ₃) ₃	1.41
2p	$CH_2CH(CH_3)_2$	1.63
2d	Butyl	1.76
2n	$C(CH_3)_2CH_2CH_3$	1.96
2r	Phenyl	1.99
21	$CH(CH_2CH_3)_2$	2.07
2m	CH(CH ₃)CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃	2.07
2e	Pentyl	2.29
2f	Hexyl	2.81
2j	CH(CH ₂ CH ₃)CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃	3.12
2k	$CH(CH_2CH_2CH_3)_2$	3.12
2g	Heptyl	3.34
20	$CH_2CH(CH_3)CH_2(CH_3)_3$	3.48
2h	Octyl	3.87
2i	Nonyl	4.4



Lipophilicty

Figure 4. Antimicrobial activity of *N*-acyl ciprofloxacin derivatives against Gramnegative bacteria is inversely proportional to their lipophilicity. Values shown are the zones of inhibition against *Bartonella elizibethae* (in mm), versus the compound listed in order of increasing log *P*.

species in particular, are sensitive to quinolones, however, newer drugs have been reported to exhibit greater activity than ciprofloxacin.²⁰ In addition, both naturally occurring mutations and laboratory generated mutations in the QRDR of gyrA have been reported and associated with fluoroquinolone resistance in Bartonella species.²¹ Accordingly, the development of novel quinolone derivatives, such as those presented in this study, is desirable. This contention is enhanced by the finding that select members of our library were able to efficiently kill intracellular B. henselae. Previously, it was reported that levofloxacin (MIC = $0.84 \,\mu g/ml$) was better than ciprofloxacin (MIC = $15.2 \mu g/ml$), sparfloxacin (MIC = 6.4 μ g/ml) and ofloxacin (MIC = 5.6 μ g/ml) at killing intracellular *B. henselae* in infected Vero cells, ^{14b} suggesting that the newer antibiotic variants may possess better intracellular activity. As such, the observation that the N-acylated compounds from our library induced 50–70% killing of intracellular bacteria at 0.1 µg/ ml, and almost complete killing at 1.0 µg/ml, suggests very real enhancements in activity for these derivatives over existing fluoroquinolones. Indeed, the development of fluoroquinolones with enhanced intracellular activity is not only critical for treating infections caused by Bartonella species, but also infections caused by obligate intracellular bacteria as well. These findings, coupled with the lack of toxicity to the eukaryote S. cerevisiae, further suggest the physiological relevance and suitability of these compounds as a potential treatment option.²²

Disclaimer

Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the DARPA.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported in part by grant 1R01AI080626-01A2 (LNS) from the National Institute of Allergies and Infectious Diseases, and by the DARPA (BA and ET) under Award No. HR0011-08-1-0087.

References and notes

- (a) Chin, N. X.; Neu, H. C. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1984, 25, 319; (b) Wise, R.; Andrews, J. M.; Edwards, L. J. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1983, 23, 559.
- (a) Drlica, K.; Zhao, X. Microbiol. Molec. Biol. Rev. 1997, 61, 377; (b) Hoshino, K.; Kitamura, A.; Morrissey, I.; Sato, K.; Kato, J.; Ikeda, H. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1994, 38, 2623.
- (a) Gellert, M.; Mizuuchi, K.; O'Dea, M. H.; Itoh, T.; Tomizawa, J.-I. Proc. Nat'l. Acad. Sci. 1977, 74(11), 4772; (b) Hooper, D. C. Clin. Infect. Dis. 2000, 30, 243; (c) Sugino, A.; Peebles, C. L.; Kreuzer, K. N.; Cozzarelli, N. R. Proc. Nat'l. Acad. Sci. 1977, 74, 4767.
- (a) Asai, T.; Sato, C.; Masani, K.; Usui, M.; Ozawa, M.; Ogino, T.; Aoki, H.; Sawada, T.; Izumiya, H.; Watanabe, H. *Gut* **2010**, *2*, 17; (b) Gay, K.; Robicsek, A.; Strahilevitz, J.; Park, C. H.; Jacoby, G.; Barrett, T. J.; Medalla, F.; Chiller, T. M.; Hooper, D. C. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **2006**, *43*(3), 297; (c) Martínez-Martínez, L.; Pascual, A.; Jacoby, G. A. *Lancet* **1998**, *351*, 797; (d) Sjolund-Karlsson, M.; Howie, R.; Rickert, R.; Krueger, A.; Tran, T. T.; Zhao, S.; Ball, T.; Haro, J.; Pecic, G.; Joyce, K.; Fedorka-Cray, P. J.; Whichard, J. M.; McDermott, P. F. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2010**, *16*, 1789.
- (a) Beyer, R.; Pestova, E.; Millichap, J. J.; Stosor, V.; Noskin, G. A.; Peterson, L. R. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2000, 44, 798; (b) Davies, T. A.; Kelly, L. M.; Pankuch, G. A.; Credito, K. L.; Jacobs, M. R.; Appelbaum, P. C. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2000, 44, 304; (c) Pestova, E.; Millichap, J. J.; Noskin, G. A.; Peterson, L. R. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 2000, 45, 583; (d) Costa, S.; Falcao, C.; Viveiros, M.; Machado, D.; Martins, M.; Melo-Cristino, J.; Amaral, L.; Couto, I. BMC Microbiology 2011, 11, 241.
- 6. Petersen, U. G., K.; Kiihle, E.; Zeiler, H.; Metzger, K. G. US patent 4559341, 1984.
- Azéma, J.; Guidetti, B.; Dewelle, J.; Le Calve, B.; Mijatovic, T.; Korolyov, A.; Vaysse, J.; Malet-Martino, M.; Martino, R.; Kiss, R. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2009, 17, 5396.
- Burda, W.; Fields, K.; Gill, J.; Burt, R.; Shepherd, M.; Zhang, X.; Shaw, L.; European, J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 2012, 327.
- 9. Sterne, M. Onderstepoort. J. Vet. Sci. Anim. Ind. 1937, 9, 49.
- 10. Gawron-Burke, C.; Clewell, D. B. *Nature* **1982**, 300, 281.
- Regnery, R. L.; Anderson, B. E.; Clarridge, J. E.; Rodriguez-Barradas, M. C.; Jones, D. C.; Carr, I. H. J. Clin. Microbiol. 1992, 30, 265.
- Daly, J. S.; Worthington, M. G.; Brenner, D. J.; Moss, C. W.; Hollis, D. G.; Weyant, R. S.; Steigerwalt, A. G.; Weaver, R. E.; Daneshvar, M. I.; O'Connor, S. P. J. Clin. Microbiol. 1993, 31, 872.
- 13. Dörbecker, C.; Sander, A.; Oberle, K.; Schülin-Casonato, T. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 2006, 58, 784.
- (a) Ades, E. W.; Candal, F. J.; Swerlick, R. A.; George, V. G.; Summers, S.; Bosse, D. C.; Lawley, T. J. *J. Investig. Dermatol.* **1992**, *99*, 683; (b) Ives, T. J.; Marston, E. L.; Regnery, R. L.; Butts, J. D. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* **2001**, *18*, 217.
- Sambrook, J.; Fritsch, E. F.; Maniatis, T. T. Molecular Cloning A Laboratory Manual, second ed.; Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press: Cold Spring Harbor, New York, 1989.
- 16. Maxwell, A.; Burton, N. P.; O'Hagan, N. Nucl. Acids Res. 2006, 34, e104.
- Horii, T.; Suzuki, Y.; Monji, A.; Morita, M.; Muramatsu, H.; Kondo, Y.; Doi, M.; Takeshita, A.; Kanno, T.; Maekawa, M. Diag. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 2003, 46, 139.
- (a) Fitzgibbon, J. E.; John, J. F.; Delucia, J. L.; Dubin, D. T. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **1998**, 42, 2122; (b) Haas, W.; Pillar, C. M.; Hesje, C. K.; Sanfilippo, C. M.; Morris, T. W. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. **2010**, 65, 1441; (c) Kosmidis, C.; DeMarco, C. E.; Frempong-Manso, E.; Seo, S. M.; Kaatz, G. W. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents **2010**, 36, 222.
- Blumber, H. M.; Rimland, D.; Carroll, D. J.; Terry, P.; Wachsmuth, I. K. J. Infect. Dis. 1991, 163, 1279.
- Rolain, J. M.; Brouqui, P.; Koehler, J. E.; Maguina, C.; Dolan, M. J.; Raoult, D. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2004, 48, 1921.
- (a) Angelakis, E.; Biswas, S.; Taylor, C.; Raoult, D.; Rolain, J.-M. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 2008, 61, 1252; (b) Minnick, M. F.; Wilson, Z. R.; Smitherman, L. S.; Samuels, D. S. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2003, 47, 383.
- 22. Experimental procedures and data on the N-acyl ciprofloxacins. Bacterial strains and growth conditions

E. coli, *S. aureus*, *E. faecalis*, *B. anthracis* and *S. cerevisiae* strains were grown as described previously.⁸ *Bartonella* strains were cultured on chocolate agar prepared from heart infusion agar base supplemented with 5% bovine hemoglobin at 37 °C in 5% CO₂.

Disk diffusion sensitivity assays

Disk diffusion assays for S. aureus, B. anthracis, E. faecalis, E. coli and S. cerevisiae were performed as described previously.8 Owing to the fastidious nature of Bartonella spp., standardized susceptibility testing guidelines (CLSI or EUCAST) are not available. As such, these assays were performed as described previously, with the following modifications.¹³ Twenty microliters of relevant antibiotics, at a concentration of 1 mg/ml, were spotted onto the center of 6 mm paper disks (BBL) on a sheet of aluminum foil, in a biological safety cabinet. Disks were allowed to dry for 20 min, and then stored in a sealed bag with desiccant at 4 °C. Growth from 4 day old plates was resuspended in 1.0 ml sterile Heart Infusion Broth, and turbidity was adjusted to a McFarland 2.0 by visual inspection. The bacterial suspension was spread over the surface of a chocolate agar plate using a swab. The inoculum was allowed to dry into the agar in a biological safety cabinet for 15 min. Disks were then placed in the center of plates, which were inverted and incubated at 37 °C in a 5% CO2 incubator for one week. For all organisms, the zone of inhibition was measured by recording the diameter, to the nearest mm, for each disk.

Minimum inhibitory concentration determination

The minimum inhibitory concentration of compounds against MRSA and MSSA strains was determined as described previously.8 MICs for Bartonella strains were determined via agar dilution methods. Briefly, strains were tested for growth on chocolate agar plates containing antibiotics at 10.0 µg/ml, 1.0 µg/ ml, and 0.1 μ g/ml. Compounds inhibiting growth at $\leq 1.0 \mu$ g/ml were further tested to determine the more precise MIC using 2-fold dilutions at and below 1.0 µg/ml. Agar plates containing DMSO (without compound) as a control were prepared at the highest dilution to assess any antibacterial activity associated with the solvent. Growth from four day old chocolate agar plates was collected for each Bartonella strain tested. The growth was suspended into 0.5 ml of sterile Heart Infusion broth. The turbidity was adjusted to a McFarland standard of 2.0 by visual comparison to turbidity standards. Twenty five microliter of each bacterial suspension was spotted onto plates containing varying concentrations of drug. Chocolate agar plates containing no antibiotics were used as controls to confirm viability. Inoculation drops were allowed to briefly dry into the agar. Plates were inverted and incubated at 37 °C with a 5% CO_2 atmosphere for 7 days. Growth was recorded as + or – for each strain on duplicate plates.

Derivation of spontaneous mutation frequencies

TSB agar (TSA) was prepared containing *N*-acyl ciprofloxacin derivatives **2a**, **2i** or **2m** at concentrations equivalent to $1\times$, $1.5\times$, $2.0\times$ and $2.5\times$ the experimentally-determined MIC for MSSA. For **2b**, TSA plates were prepared at a concentration equivalent to $2.5\times$ MIC for MSSA. Overnight broth cultures of MSSA were prepared as described previously⁸, with 1 ml aliquots extracted, and cells harvested by centrifugation. Supernatants were removed, and pellets resuspended in 100 µl of fresh TSB. These preparations were then used to inoculate the *N*-acyl ciprofloxacin-containing agar, and spread using sterile glass beads. The colony forming units (cfu) per ml of the inoculating culture was determined via serial dilution into TSA containing no antibiotic compound. Spontaneous mutation frequencies were calculated by dividing the number of colonies obtained by the total bacterial load inoculated.

Sequence analysis of quinolone binding domains for spontaneously resistant strains DNA was extracted from spontaneously resistant MSSA mutants using a DNasy kit (Qiagen), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Samples were subject to DNA sequencing reactions (MWG) using primers specific for the Quinolone Resistance-Determining Regions (QRDR) of the gyrAB and grIAB genes of *S. aureus*, as described previously by Horii et al.¹⁷

Assay for intracellular activity against Bartonella henselae

The HMEC-1 human microvascular endothelial cell line was maintained in MCDB131 medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 5% L-glutamine, 10 ng/ml EGF, and 1 µg/ml hydrocortisone.¹ HMEC-1 were infected with the Houston-1 strain of *B. henselae* at an MOI of 100 for 4 h as previously described.²² After infection, the cells were washed $2\times$ with PBS, then treated with gentamicin (200 µg/ml) for 1 h to kill extracellular adherent bacteria. Infected cells were washed as before and media with test antibiotics were added at concentrations of 0.1 and 1.0 µg/ml. After addition of test antibiotics were removed, the infected cells were washed for 96 h. Following incubation, the antibiotics were removed, the infected cells were washed on chocolate agar and incubated for 7 days. After incubation, the CFU/ml were counted to determine the number of viable bacteria.

DNA gyrase activity assay

The activity of select compounds against DNA gyrase was tested using relaxed circular pUC19 DNA in the presence of *E. coli* DNA gyrase and antibiotics at concentrations of 1.0, 5.0, 10, and 25 µg/ml. Samples were incubated at 37 °C for 1 h then analyzed by gel electrophoresis to quantify the amount of relaxed and supercoiled DNA, as previously described.¹⁶

Synthetic procedures

All the chemicals used for the synthesis of the N-acylated ciprofloxacins were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Company and used without further purification. Thin layer chromatography was performed using Silica Gel 60 F_{254} purchased from EMD Chemicals. A UVG-11 Minera light lamp was used to visualize the TLC plates. The NMR spectra were recorded in deuterated chloroform using an Inova 400 MHz instrument.

General methods for the synthesis of N-acyl ciprofloxacins 2a-r

Method A: Ciprofloxacin (500 mg, 1.5 mmol) and triethylamine (300 µl, 2 mmol) were stirred in 20 mL of methylene chloride at 0 °C for 15 min. The desired acyl chloride (2.25 mmol) was added dropwise. The suspension was allowed to stir at room temperature until a clear solution was observed. To this solution, hexane was added drop wise until a white precipitate formed. The precipitate was then filtered off and dried. If further purification was needed, the desired compound was isolated via flash chromatography using 20% methanol in dichloromethane as the eluent.

Method B: Ciprofloxacin (500 mg, 1.5 mmol) and triethylamine (300 μ L, 2 mmol) were stirred in 20 mL of methylene chloride at 0 °C for 15 min. The desired acid anhydride (3 mmol) was added dropwise. The suspension was allowed to stir at room temperature until a clear solution was observed. To this solution, hexane was added drop wise until a white precipitate formed. The precipitate was then filtered off and dried. If further purification was needed, the desired compound was isolated via flash chromatography using 20% methanol in dichloromethane as the eluent.

7-(4-Acetylpiperazin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (2a). Obtained 460 mg (81%) as an off-white solid. Melting POINT: >260 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 8.70 (s, 1H) 7.96 (d, J = 12.8 Hz, 1H) 7.34 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H) 3.77 (m, 4H) 3.53 (br s, 1H) 3.31 (m, 4H) 2.14 (s, 3H) 1.38 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 2H) 1.18 (br s, 2H) ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 177.0 (d, J = 3.0 Hz), 169.1, 166.8, 153.6 (d, J = 250.0 Hz), 147.5, 145.4 (d, J = 10.7 Hz), 139.0, 120.2 (d, J = 7.6 Hz), 112.5 (d, J = 23.0 Hz), 108.1, 50.1, 49.4, 46.0, 41.0, 35.3, 21.3, 8.2

7-(4-propionylpiperazin-1-yl)-1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-1,4-

dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (**2b**). Obtained 530 mg (91%) as an off-white solid. Melting Point: >260 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 8.69 (s, 1H) 7.95 (d, *J* = 12.8 Hz, 1H) 7.33 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1H) 3.77 (m, 4H) 3.53 (br s, 1H) 3.31 (m, 4H) 2.39 (q, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H) 1.37 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 2H) 1.17 (m, 5H) ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 176.9 (d, *J* = 3.1 Hz), 172.4, 166.7, 153.6 (d, *J* = 251.6 Hz), 147.4, 145.4 (d, *J* = 10.9 Hz), 138.9, 112.4 (d, *J* = 23.2 Hz), 108.1, 105.0 (d, *J* = 3 Hz), 50.1, 49.3, 45.1, 41.1, 35.3, 26.4, 9.3, 8.2

7-(4-Butyryl-piperazin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-1,4-

1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(4-pentanoyl-piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-

dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (**2d**). Obtained 423 mg (68%) as an off-white solid. Melting Point: >260 °C ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 8.56 (br s, 1H) 7.79 (d, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 1H) 7.29 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H) 3.76 (m, 4H) 3.54 (m, 1H) 3.30 (m, 4H) 2.34 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H) 1.59 (quin, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H) 1.34 (m, 4H) 1.16 (m, 2H) 0.89 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H) ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 176.7, 171.8, 166.5, 153.5 (d, *J* = 250.1 Hz), 147.3, 145.3 (d, *J* = 11.0 Hz), 138.9, 119.6, 112.0 (d, *J* = 23.4 Hz), 107.7, 105.0 (d, *J* = 4.1 Hz), 50.1, 49.3, 45.3, 41.0, 35.3, 32.9, 27.3, 22.5, 13.8, 8.1

1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-hexanoyl-piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-

(i) group of the other of the relation of the

1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-heptanoyl-piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-

Gibydroguinoline-3-carboxylic acid (**2f**). Obtained 560 mg (84%) as an off-white solid. Melting Point: 162–164 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 8.66 (s, 1H) 7.92 (d, *J* = 12.9 Hz, 1H) 7.32 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H) 3.77 (m, 4H) 3.53 (tt, *J* = 7.0, 3.7 Hz, 1H) 3.31 (m, 4H) 2.35 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H) 1.63 (quin, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H) 1.33 (m, 8H) 1.17 (m, 2H) 0.86 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 3H) ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 176.9, 171.8, 166.7, 153.5 (d, *J* = 252.6 Hz), 147.4, 145.4 (d, *J* = 9.3 Hz), 138.9, 120.0, 112.3 (d, *J* = 24.9 Hz), 108.0, 105.0 (d, *J* = 3.4 Hz), 50.1, 49.3, 45.3, 41.0, 35.2, 33.2, 31.5, 29.1, 25.2, 22.5, 14.0, 8.2

1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-octanoyl-piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-

dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (**2g**). Obtained 656 mg (95%) as an off-white solid. Melting Point: 154–156 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 8.70 (s, 1H) 7.96 (d, *J* = 12.9 Hz, 1H) 7.33 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H) 3.77 (m, 4H) 3.53 (br s, 1H) 3.31 (m, 4H) 2.36 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H) 1.65 (s, 2H) 1.32 (m, 12H) 0.86 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H) ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 177.0, 171.8, 166.7, 153.6 (d, *J* = 250.3 Hz), 147.4, 145.4 (d, *J* = 10.7 Hz), 138.9, 120.1, 112.5 (d, *J* = 23.0 Hz), 108.1, 105.0 (d, *J* = 3.4 Hz), 50.2, 49.4, 45.3, 41.0, 35.2, 33.2, 31.6, 29.4, 29.0, 25.2, 22.5, 14.0, 8.2 1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-nonanoyl-piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-

dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (**2h**). Obtained 693 mg (96%) as an off-white solid. Melting Point: 136–142 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 8.73 (s, 1H) 8.00 (d, *J* = 12.9 Hz, 1H) 7.34 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H) 3.80 (m, 4H) 3.52 (m, 1H) 3.30 (m, 4H) 2.36 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H) 1.64 (m, 2H) 1.28 (m, 14H) 0.86 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H) ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 177.0, 171.8, 166.7, 153.5 (d, *J* = 248.7 Hz), 147.5, 145.4 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz), 138.9, 120.3, 112.6 (d, *J* = 21.6 Hz), 108.2, 105.0 (d, *J* = 2.9 Hz), 50.3, 49.4, 45.3, 41.0, 35.2, 33.2, 31.7, 29.4, 29.3, 29.1, 25.2, 22.6, 14.0, 8.2

1-Cyclopropyl-7-(4-decanoyl-piperazin-1-yl)-6-fluoro-4-oxo-1,4-

(32%) as an offwhite solid. Melting Point: 130–136 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 8.63 (s, 1H) 7.88 (d, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 1H) 7.31 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1H) 3.76 (m, 4H) 3.53 (br s, 1H) 3.30 (m, 4H) 2.35 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H) 1.62 (m, 2H) 1.29 (m, 16H) 0.84 (dd, *J* = 7.0, 5.5 Hz, 3H) ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 176.8, 171.9, 166.7, 153.4 (d, *J* = 251.6 Hz), 147.4, 145.4 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz), 138.9, 119.9, 112.3 (d, *J* = 23.0 Hz), 107.9, 105.1 (d, *J* = 3.1 Hz), 50.2, 49.4, 45.4, 41.1, 35.3, 33.2, 31.8, 29.3, 25.3, 22.6, 14.1, 8.2

1-Cyclopropyl-7-[4-(2-ethyl-hexanoyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-6-fluoro-4-oxo-1,4-

dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (**2**J). Obtained 646 mg (93%) as an off-white solid. Melting Point: 138–150 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 8.61 (s, 1H) 7.84 (d, *J* = 13.3 Hz, 1H) 7.30 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H) 3.86 (m, 4H) 3.53 (br s, 1H) 3.30 (m, 4H) 2.58 (m, 1H) 1.64 (m, 2H) 1.34 (m, 10H) 0.85 (m, 5H) ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 176.8 (d, *J* = 3.1 Hz),174.9, 166.7, 153.5 (d, *J* = 251.6 Hz), 147.4, 145.3 (d, *J* = 10.7 Hz), 138.9, 119.8 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz), 112.2 (d, *J* = 23.0 Hz), 107.9, 50.4, 49.6, 45.4, 42.5, 41.2, 35.3, 32.3, 29.8, 25.9, 22.8, 14.0, 12.1, 8.2

1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-[4-(2-propyl-pentanoyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-1,4dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (**2k**). Obtained 331 mg (48%) as an off-white solid. Melting Point: 178–179 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 8.54 (s, 1H) 7.74 (d, J = 12.9 Hz, 1H) 7.27 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 1H) 3.79 (m, 4H) 3.53 (br s, 1H) 3.28 (m, 4H) 2.65 (m, 1H) 1.59 (m, 2H) 1.25 (m, 10H) 0.83 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6H) ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 176.7, 175.0, 166.7, 153.4 (d, J = 250.1 Hz), 147.3, 145.3 (d, J = 10.7 Hz), 138.9, 119.6 (d, J = 7.7 Hz), 112.0 (d, J = 27.6 Hz), 107.7, 105.0 (d, J = 3.0 Hz), 50.3, 49.5, 45.4, 41.2, 40.4, 35.4, 35.1, 20.8, 14.1, 8.1 1-Cyclopropyl-7-[4-(2-ethyl-butyryl)-piperazin-1-yl]-6-fluoro-4-oxo-1,4-

dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (**21**). Obtained 389 mg (58%) as an off-white solid. Melting Point: 248–254 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 8.68 (s, 1H) 7.94 (d, *J* = 12.9 Hz, 1H) 7.33 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H) 3.85 (m, 4H) 3.53 (dd, *J* = 7.0, 3.5 Hz, 1H) 3.31 (m, 4H) 2.54 (tt, *J* = 8.2, 5.3 Hz, 1H) 1.66 (m, 2H) 1.50 (m, 2H) 1.38 (q, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 2H) 1.18 (m, 2H) 0.88 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 6H) ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 176.9, 174.6, 166.8, 153.5 (d, *J* = 251.6 Hz), 147.5, 145.4 (d, *J* = 10.7 Hz), 139.0, 120.1, 112.4 (d, *J* = 24.6 Hz), 108.1, 105.0 (d, *J* = 3.0 Hz), 50.5, 49.6, 45.4, 44.1, 41.3, 35.3, 25.5, 12.1, 8.2

1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-[4-(2-methyl-pentanoyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-4-oxo-1,4dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (**2m**). Obtained 504 mg (78%) as an off-white solid. Melting Point: 182–184 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 8.7 (s, 1H) 8.0 (d, *J* = 13.0 Hz, 1H) 7.3 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H) 3.8 (m, 4H) 3.5 (br s, 1H) 3.3 (d, *J* = 16.7 Hz, 4H) 2.7 (m, 1H) 1.3 (m, 8H) 1.1 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H) 0.9 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H) ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.9, 175.4, 166.8, 153.5 (d, *J* = 253.1 Hz), 147.5, 145.4 (d, *J* = 10.7 Hz), 139.0, 120.0, 112.4 (d, *J* = 23.0 Hz) 108, 108.0, 105.0 (d, *J* = 3.1 Hz), 50.4, 49.5, 45.3, 41.2, 36.2, 35.1, 20.6, 17.5, 14.1, 8.2 1-Cyclopropyl-7-[4-(2,2-dimethyl-butyryl)-piperazin-1-yl]-6-fluoro-4-oxo-1,4dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (**2n**). Obtained 343 mg (53%) as an off-white solid. Melting Point: 182–184 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 8.77 (s, 1H) 8.05 (d, *J* = 12.5 Hz, 1H) 7.34 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H) 3.88 (m, 4H) 3.51 (m, 1H) 3.30 (m, 4H) 1.66 (q, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H) 1.39 (m, 2H) 1.27 (m, 6H) 1.19 (m, 2H) 0.91 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 3H) ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 177.1, 175.8, 166.9, 153.6 (d, *J* = 251.6 Hz), 147.6, 145.4 (d, *J* = 10.7 Hz), 139.0, 138.9, 120.4, 112.7 (d, *J* = 23.0 Hz), 108.3, 104.9, 50.0, 49.9, 44.7, 43.0, 35.3, 33.3, 26.5, 9.5, 8.3

1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-[4-(3,5,5-trimethyl-hexanoyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (**20**). Obtained 386 mg (54%) as an offwhite solid. Melting Point: 204–206 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 8.7 (s, 1H) 7.9 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H) 7.3 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H) 3.8 (m, 4H) 3.5 (m, 1H) 3.3 (m, 4H) 2.3 (m, 2H) 2.1 (m, 1H) 1.4 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H) 1.2 (m, 4H) 1.0 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 3H) 0.9 (m, 9H) ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.9, 171.2, 166.7, 153.5 (d, J = 251.6 Hz), 147.4, 145.4 (d, J = 10.7 Hz), 139.0, 120.0, 112.3 (d, J = 23.0 Hz), 108.0, 105.0 (d, J = 3.0 Hz), 50.8, 50.3, 49.4, 45.5, 42.5, 41.0, 35.3, 31.1, 30.0, 27.1, 22.9, 8.2

1-Cyclopropyl-7-[4-(2,2-dimethyl-propionyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-6-fluoro-4-oxo-1,4dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (**2p**). Obtained 462 mg (68%) as an off-white solid. Melting Point: >260 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 8.7 (s, 1H) 7.9 (d, J = 12.9 Hz, 1H) 7.3 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H) 3.8 (m, 4H) 3.5 (br s, 1H) 3.3 (m, 4H) 2.3 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H) 2.1 (dt, J = 13.5, 6.5 Hz, 1H) 1.4 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H) 1.2 (br s, 2H) 1.0 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 6H) ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 177.0, 171.1, 166.7, 153.5 (d, J = 250.0 Hz), 147.5, 145.4 (d, J = 10.7 Hz), 139.0, 120.1, 112.5 (d, J = 24.6 Hz), 108.1, 105.1 (d, J = 3.0 Hz), 50.3, 49.5, 45.6, 42.0, 41.0, 35.3, 25.7, 22.5, 8.2

1-Cyclopropyl-7-[4-(2,2-dimethyl-propionyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-6-fluoro-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (**2q**). Obtained 530 mg (85%) as an off-white solid. Melting Point: >260 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 8.70 (s, 1H) 7.96 (d, *J* = 12.9 Hz, 1H) 7.33 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H) 3.87 (m, 4H) 3.52 (m, 1H) 3.31 (m, 4H) 1.38 (m, 2H) 1.30 (s, 9H)1.19 (m, 2H) ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 177.0, 176.6, 166.8, 153.6 (d, *J* = 250.0 Hz), 147.5, 145.4 (d, *J* = 10.7 Hz), 139.0, 120.1, 112.5 (d, *J* = 24.6 Hz), 108.1, 105.0 (d, *J* = 3.0 Hz), 49.9, 49.8, 44.8, 38.7, 35.3, 28.4, 8.2

7-(4-Benzoyl-piperazin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-1,4-dihydro-

quinoline-3carboxylic acid (**2r**). Obtained 572 mg (87%) as an off-white solid. Melting point: >260 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 8.8 (s, 1H) 8.0 (d, *J* = 12.5 Hz, 1H) 7.5 (m, 6H) 3.9 (m, 4H) 3.6 (br s, 1H) 3.4 (m, 4H) 1.4 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2H) 1.2 (br s, 2H) ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.0, 153.9 (d, *J* = 253.1 Hz), 148.1, 145.9 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz), 139.4, 132.9, 131.1, 128.9, 127.1, 119.1, 116.2, 113.4, 112.4 (d, *J* = 24.5 Hz), 106.9, 105.3 (d, *J* = 3.1 Hz), 50.1, 49.0, 47.8, 42.5, 36.1, 8.2.