



Accepted Article

Title: Total Synthesis of Tiacumicin B: Implementing H-bond-Directed Acceptor Delivery for Highly Selective β-Glycosylations

Authors: Stéphanie Norsikian, Cedric Tresse, Marc François-Eude, Louis Jeanne-Julien, Guillaume Masson, Vincent Servajean, Grégory Genta-Jouve, Jean-Marie Beau, and Emmanuel Roulland

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 10.1002/anie.202000231 Angew. Chem. 10.1002/ange.202000231

Link to VoR: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.202000231 http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ange.202000231

COMMUNICATION WILEY-VCH

Total Synthesis of Tiacumicin B: Implementing H-bond-Directed Acceptor Delivery for Highly Selective β -Glycosylations

Stéphanie Norsikian,*^[a] Cedric Tresse,^[a] Marc François-Eude,^[a] Louis Jeanne-Julien,^[b] Guillaume Masson,^[b] Vincent Servajean,^[a] Grégory Genta-Jouve,^[b] Jean-Marie Beau,^{[a][c]} and Emmanuel Roulland*^[b]

Dedicated to Professor Henri-Philippe Husson

Abstract: We report a total synthesis of tiacumicin B, a natural macrolide whose remarkable antibiotic properties are used to treat severe intestinal infections. The strategy is in part based on our experience of the synthesis of the tiacumicin B aglycone, and on the decisive use of sulfoxides as anomeric leaving-groups in H-bond-mediated Aglycone Delivery (HAD). This new HAD variant permitted highly β -selective rhamnosylation and noviosylation. To increase convergence, the rhamnosylated C1-C3 fragment thus obtained was anchored to the C4-C19 aglycone fragment by adapting the reliable Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling used for the aglycone synthesis. Ring-size selective macrolactonization provided a compound engaged directly in the noviolysation step with a virtually total β -selectivity. The final efficient removal of all the protective groups (PGs) provided synthetic tiacumicin B.

Tiacumicin B (Tcn-B, 1) - also known as clostomicin B1, fidaxomicin or lipiarmycin A3 – (Scheme 1), is a molecule isolated for the first time in 1975 from Dactylosporangium aurantiacum, an actinobacterium.^[1] Consisting of an 18-membered macrolactonic core decorated by two rare sugars (D-noviose and D-rhamnose) attached through β glycosidic bonds, displaying 14 stereogenic centers and several polysubstituted alkenes, Tcn-B is therefore one of the most complex antibiotic-macrolides known. A high degree of synthetic difficulty arises from such a structural complexity, whose the thorny problem of the 1,2-cis glycosylations. [2] Resistance to antibiotics has become a serious biomedical risk and a major threat to public health with sever impacts on the economy. In this context the finding of new antibiotics with new biological targets is essential. Tcn-B being one of them it received a fast-track FDA approval in 2011 for the treatment of frequently nosocomial and fatal gut infections associated with Clostridium difficile. [3] Tcn-B eradicates bacteria by inhibiting the RNA polymerase, targeting the "switch-region".[4] Cross-resistance with other antibiotics is very unlikely, [5] even with rifamycin because, although close, the domains targeted do not overlap so that rifamycin-resistant forms of Mycobacterium tuberculosis remain highly sensitive to Tcn-B. [6] The demand for Tcn-B analogues is therefore strong and calls for

the setting of reliable total synthesis pathways. The daunting structure of Tcn-B has inspired several renowned research groups to embark in the adventure of its total synthesis. [7] In 2015 Gademann^[8] and Altmann,^[9] published the two firsts syntheses of the aglycone of Tcn-B, and Zhu^[10] the synthesis of a diastereomer. Nonetheless, only Gademann was capable of completing the total synthesis of Tcn-B, bringing the following answers to the β -glycosylations problem: $^{[11,12]}$ a) The Helferich's protocol (activating agent: HgO_(excess)/HgBr_{2(cat)})^[13] was used to noviosylate the C4-C13 aglycone fragment (α/β : 1/3, β : 54%), as the cyclic aglycone gave only α adducts whatever the conditions. b) The rhamnosylation was carried out on the macrolide, using an imidate donor (α/β : 1/4, β : 62%). Following similar strategies, Gademann also synthesized three congeners of Tcn-B: tiacumicin A,[12] and mangrolide A^[14] and D.^[15] In 2019, de Brabander also reported a total synthesis of mangrolide D.[16] As to our contribution, we reported two related synthetic pathways leading to the aglycone.^[17] The original strategy we designed for the synthesis of its C12-C15 diene region resulted in the discovery that Pd-nanoparticles catalyze the Kumada-Corriu reaction of vinylsulfides, [18] and led us to study the Grigg's allene/alkyne cross-coupling and proposing a mechanism based on DFT calculations. [19]

Tiacumicin B (1)

(C1-C3)-β-Rhamnopyranosyl

Scheme 1. Tiacumicin B (1) and our retrosynthetic analysis

The new total synthesis of tiacumicin B (1) we depict here is based on strategic and methodological innovations that allowed an original and selective assemblage of the three main regions of the molecule (Scheme 1). It naturally relies on our synthesis of the

Aglycone fragment

2

Noviosyl donor

 [[]a] Dr. C. Tresse, Dr. M. François-Eude, V. Servajean, Prof. J.-M. Beau, Dr. S. Norsikian, Institut de Chimie des Substances Naturelles ICSN-CNRS, Centre de Recherche de Gif,

Avenue de la Terrasse, 91198, Gif-sur-Yvette (France).

[b] Dr. L. Jeanne-Julien, Dr. G. Masson, Dr. G. Genta-Jouve, Dr. E. Roulland, C-TAC, CitCom, UMR 8038, CNRS-Université de Paris, Faculté de Pharmacie, 4, avenue de l'Observatoire, 75006, Paris (France).

[[]c] Prof. J.-M. Beau, Laboratoire de Synthèse de Biomolécules, ICMMO, UMR 8182, Univ. Paris-Sud and CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, F-91405 Orsay, France E-mail: emmanuel.roulland@parisdescartes.fr Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

Tcn-B aglycone, whose strategy had been designed with an eye to the total synthesis. Originally, we had imagined glycosylating our aglycone sequentially, a possible viable pathway. However, we finally opted for a less traditional but more convergent retrosynthetic plan in which Tcn-B was disconnected into fragments A, B and the known 2,[17a] equivalent in size and complexity. We chose as first move to assemble fragment A together with fragment 2, then close the macrolactone, and install fragment **B** at the very end. The Suzuki coupling developed during our aglycone synthesis^[17a] was considered robust enough to allow assembling fragments 2 with fragment A, instead of the small silvlated C1-C3 fragment formerly involved, [17a] thus adding convergence. This approach reduces risks of failure since rhamnosylation conditions needed for the synthesis of A can be developed using the structurally simple C1-C3 fragment as the acceptor. Complete ring-size selectivity had been previously observed during the aglycone macrolactonization. Applied to this total synthesis, this step should provide a monoglycosylated macrolactone bearing at C11 an unprotected OH directly ready for the β -noviosylation step. This scenario was nonetheless uncertain for at least three reasons: a) noviosylation is a very late step, b) a high β -selectivity is required, and c) this macrolactone has been described as a reluctant glycosylation acceptor. [12]

To prepare fragment **A** we considered using a β -selective glycosylation of acceptor **18** (Scheme 3) by the phenylthiorhamnosyl donor **16** that bears a picoloyl group (Pico) at *O*-3 (Scheme 2).

Scheme 2. Syntheses of rhamnopyranosyl donors **16** and **17**. TFA: trifluoroacetic acid, NapBr: 2-naphthalene-methyl-bromide, LDA: lithium di-iso-propylamide, CSA: camphorsulfonic acid, PTSA: paratoluene sulfonic acid, DCC: dicyclohexylcarbodiimide, DMAP: 4-dimethylaminopyridine, *m*-CPBA: 3-chloroperoxybenzoic acid.

As described by Demchenko, [20] a remotely positioned Pico group can direct, through intermolecular H-bonding, a selective facial

attack on the glycosyl donor. We started with the synthesis of the homodichloro orsellinate attached to the rhamnoside. Commercially available orcellinate 3 was dichlorinated, and both phenols were TBS-protected providing 4. The benzylic methyl group was deprotonated with LDA then methylated giving 5. Acidic hydrolysis led to deprotected carboxylic acid 6 that upon treatment with acetone and Tf_2O in trifluoroacetic acid furnished cyclic ester 7. The remaining free phenol of 7 was protected, supplying 2-naphthalene-methyl (Nap) ether 8.

We then addressed the rhamnosyl donor synthesis starting from phenyl-2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-acetyl-1-thio-α-D-manopyranoside **9** (Scheme 2). Diol **10** was obtained through Zemplén deacetylation and 4,6-benzylidene formation. Then **10** was selectively TBS-protected at *O*-3, methylated at *O*-2 and deprotected furnishing triol **11**. Selective tosylation in 2,6-lutidine gave **12**, whose reduction with LAH provided the desired rhamnose derivative **13**. Gademann's conditions allowed assembling ester **8** together with diol **13** giving selectively **14**.^[11] The free phenol of **14** was protected as the Nap ether **15**, and the rhamnoside *O*-3 position was esterified with picolinic acid. This led to the expected donor **16** ready for the glycosylation of alcohol **18**^[21] (Scheme 3).

Scheme 3. Glycosylation conditions and synthesis of fragment **A.** NIS: *N*-iodosuccinimide, DTBMP: 2,6-di-terbutyl-4-methylpiridine, ADMB: 4-allyl-1,2-dimethoxybenzene.

Initial glycosylation attempts carried out in 1,2-dichloroethane with dimethyl(methylthio)sulfonium triflate, a classical promoter of H-bond-mediated Aglycone Delivery (HAD), [20] were disappointingly unsuccessful. First promising results for the coupling of donor 16 with acceptor 18 were obtained using *N*-iodosuccinimide (1 equiv/donor) and triflic acid (0.92 equiv/donor)[22] in CH₂Cl₂ at – 40 °C to rt and produced 19 (76%, α/β : 1/4), [23] whose anomers were separated by prep-HPLC. Seeking much higher β -selectivity, we shifted to sulfoxide 17 featuring an anomeric leaving-group never used before in HAD. In this case, donor 17 (1.7 eq.) was activated using Tf₂O in CH₂Cl₂ at –70 °C in the presence of

acceptor **18**, DTBMP and ADMB, ^[24, 25] which resulted in the formation of desired glycosylated compound **19** with high facial selectivity (α/β : 1/20) and in 64% yield. To verify that this glycosylation took place through HAD, we did a control experiment using donor **17**', an analog of **17** whose picoloyl was replaced by a benzoyl. Product **19**' was the only one formed and its α configuration indicated that the picoloyl group on donor **17** could remotely direct the nucleophilic attack to the β -face by forming an H-bond. The picoloyl was easily removed from **19** with Cu(OAc)₂, and replaced by a TBS leading to the key fragment **A** (**21**).

Our synthesis of aglycone fragment 2 was robust enough to be scaled up. The cross-coupling of 2 with rhamnoside 21 proceeded cleanly requiring only slight modifications of the previously used conditions. [17a] This convergent step provided ester 22 in a 79% yield (Scheme 4).

Scheme 4. Assemblage of fragments **2** and **A**, and macrolactonization. MPM: 4-Methoxyphenylmethyl.

A critically important selectivity was needed to convert 22 into seco-acid 23 since a second ester function was present on the rhamnoside moiety. This was achieved by using Me₃SnOH in toluene at 120 °C giving 23 with yields of 70 to 93%. [26] With seco-acid 23 in hand, we focused on the macrolactonization expecting again ring-size selectivity. However, the strict transposition of the Yamaguchi conditions^[27] we had used before. led to the desired hemi-glycosylated tiacumicin B 24 with only 23% yield. The Boden-Keck's protocol^[28] allowed reaching a 58% yield but 24 proved to be a mixture of two products resulting from the isomerization of the C4-C5 alkene. Finally, the Shiina's conditions [29] allowed a far cleaner and reproducible macrolactonization into 24 with 72% yield, and an isomerization minimized at 15%. Our strategy allowed keeping the OH at C11 free of protective-group throughout this synthesis so that 24 could be directly engaged in the noviosylation step.

To secure good β -selectivity, we originally programmed to anchor the noviose using a silicon tether delivery. [30] This strategy failed, so we decided to again implement a HAD approach by installing a directing picoloyl group at O-3 of the sugar. The required noviosyl donor was prepared from D-arabinose 25 (Scheme 5) which was transformed into lactone 26 through selective acetonide protection, oxidation of the lactal, and silylation of the remaining OH group. Lactone 26 was treated with MeMgBr, then oxidized into lactone 27. Dibal-H reduction of 27 led to the corresponding lactol whose acetonide protection was removed under mild acidic conditions leading to 28. A thiophenyl group was then installed at the anomeric position giving diol 29, which was protected as bisdichloroacetyl ester 30. Treatment by (iPrCO)₂O and a catalytic amount of Sc(OTf)3 in MeCN allowed the direct replacement of the TBS group by an isobutyrate leading to 31.[31] The two dichloroacetates of 31 were then selectively removed with symcolliding giving diol 32. Through the intermediate stannylene of 32, and a NapBr/CsF treatment, a Nap PG was introduced at the O-2 position leading to alcohol 33 with an unexpectedly high regioselectivity.[32]

Scheme 5. Noviosyl donor synthesis. TEMPO: 2,2,6,6-Tetramethyl-1-piperidinyloxy, TCCA: trichloroisocyanuric acid.

Alcohol **33** was esterified with picolinic acid using DCC to give sulfide **34**, the desired noviosyl donor. Preliminary trials using (+)-menthol as acceptor led predominantly to the β -adduct (α/β : 1/5) in a 72 % yield demonstrating the feasibility of this approach. Unfortunately, the glycosylation of macrolactonic acceptor **24** was unsuccessful as no adduct was detected. The success of the above-mentioned rhamnosylation led us to consider that sulfoxide **35** could be a superiorly reactive donor. Under our activation conditions (Tf₂O activation of **35** in the presence of **24**, DTBMP, ADMB/CH₂Cl₂, -70 °C) we were pleased to isolate the desired noviosylated product **33** in 68% yield, with a virtually total facial selectivity ($\alpha/\beta > 1/20$). The success of the above-mentioned rhamnosylation led us to consider that sulfoxide **35** could be a superiorly reactive donor. Under our activation conditions (Tf₂O activation of **35** in the presence of **24**, DTBMP, ADMB/CH₂Cl₂, -70 °C) we were pleased to isolate the desired noviosylated product **33** in 68% yield, with a virtually total facial selectivity ($\alpha/\beta > 1/20$).

The very last steps of this total synthesis consisted in removing seven PGs from compound **36**: 2 MPMs, 3 Naps, 1 Pico and 1 TBS. First, the TBS group located on the rhamnoside moiety was cleaved using HF.NEt₃ giving alcohol **37** (Scheme 6). The 2 MPMs

COMMUNICATION

Scheme 6. Noviosylation and PGs removal. DDQ: 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone.

[3 : 48.4%] | [3 : 52.9%] | [5 : 37.8%]

From 9: [22; 2.5%]

as well as the Nap located at O-2 on the novioside, were readily oxidized by DDQ at 0 °C leading to tetraol 38 in 3 hours. However, the two Naps on the phenol functions of the rhamnoside proved resistant to these smooth conditions and an extended reaction time at r.t. produced an intractable mixture of products. The removal of the Pico was cleanly carried out (Cu(OAc)2/methanol-CH2Cl2, 0 °C) giving 39. Importantly, this Pico group had to be removed after its neighboring Nap to prevent DDQ from forming of a 2naphthylmethylidene bridge over O-2 and O-3 of the novioside. These three operations were carried out without intermediate purification giving 39 with an overall 74% yield (94% per PG). Finally, we had to address the cleavage of the two reluctant Nap groups protecting the phenol functions. Mild Pd-catalyzed hydrogenation conditions failed at being selective. [34] However, we had previously observed that Suzuki cross-coupling conditions used to create the C3-C4 bond of the aglycone led, when conducted at 80 °C, to a partial loss of the Nap groups of these phenols.

Exploiting this we developed Pd-catalyzed conditions $(Pd_2(dba)_3/4.PPh_3, 1,3-dimethylbarbituric$ acid, pyridine/DMF, 80 °C) that ultimately provided tiacumicin B (1) cleanly in a good yield. The 4-steps removal of the 7 PGs took place with 55.5% overall yield (91.9% *per* PG). The physicochemical data of our synthetic Tcn-B are strictly identical to those of the naturally occurring compound; $[\alpha]_D^{23} = -5.6 \text{ deg cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1} \text{dm}^{-1}$ (c= 0.41 g/100 cm³, MeOH), lit.: $[1b]([\alpha]_D^{23} = -5.5 \text{ deg cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1} \text{dm}^{-1}$ (c=1.98 g/100 cm³, MeOH).

In summary, the total synthesis of tiacumicin B (1) was achieved, with as key steps a highly β -selective rhamnosylation, a Suzuki cross-coupling that allowed assembling the rhamnoside 21 with aglycone fragment 2, a ring-size selective macrolactonization, a final and virtually totally selective β -noviosylation of the cyclic aglycone, and the successful removal of all PGs. The remarkable facial selectivity of both glycosylations relied on an H-bond-directed effect of a remote 3-O-picoloyl group set on the incoming glycosyl acceptors, and the conjoint use of a phenylsulfoxide leaving-group. We believe that this new variant of the Demchenko procedure will prove useful in addressing the biological relevance of the carbohydrate moieties of tiacumicin B or other sensitive aglycones through the preparation of a set of glycosylated analogues.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge financial supports from the French Agence Nationale pour la Recherche (ANR-14-CE16-0019-02, SYNTIA project), the CNRS, and the Université de Paris. We thank Vincent Steinmetz for HPLC support, Karim Hammad for NMR support, and Dr. Rosemary Green Beau for her editing comments on the manuscript.

Keywords: antibiotics • natural products • total synthesis • catalysis • enantioselective synthesis • glycosylation

^[1] Firstly isolated: a) F. Parenti, H. Pagani, G. Beretta, J. Antibiot. 1975, 28, 247-252. b) C. Coronelli, R. J. White, G. C. Lancini, F. Parenti, J. Antibiot. 1975, 28, 253-259. c) Amura S., Imamura N., Oiwa R., Kuga H., Iwata R., Masuma R., J. Antibiot. 1986, 39, 1407–1412.d) Theriault R. J., Karwowski J. P., Jackson M., Girolami R. L., Sunga G. N., Vojtko C. M., J. Antibiot. 1987, 40, 567–574. e) Hochlowski J. E., Swanson S. J., Ranfranz L. M., Whittern D. N., Buko A. M., McAlpine J. B., J. Antibiot. 1987, 40, 575–588. f) A. Bedeschi, P. Fonte, G. Fronza, C. Fuganti, S. Serra, Nat. Prod. Commun. 2014, 9, 237-240; Some ambiguity existed concerning the structure of tiacumicin B and its relatives, for clarifications see: g) W. Erb, J. Zhu, Nat. Prod. Rep. 2013, 30, 161–174.

^[2] For a recent review see: S. S. Nigudkar and A. V. Demchenko, Chem. Sci. 2015, 6, 2687-2704.

^[3] Traynor K., Am. J. Health Syst. Pharm. 2011, 68, 1276.

^[4] a) A. Tupin, M. Gualtieri, J.-P. Leonetti, K. Brodolin, EMBO J. 2010, 29, 2527-2537. b) M. Gualtieri, A. Tupin, K. Brodolin, J.-P. Leonetti, Int. J. Antimicrobial Agents 2009, 34, 605-616.

^[5] A. Srivastava, M. Talaue, S. Liu, D. Degen, R. Y. Ebright, E. Sineva, A. Chakraborty, S. Y. Druzhinin, S. Chatterjee, J. Mukhopadhyay, Y. W. Ebright, A. Zozula, J. Shen, S. Sengupta, R. R. Niedfeldt, C. Xin, T.

- Kaneko, H. Irschik, R. Jansen, S. Donadio, N. Connell, R. H Ebright Current Opinion in Microbiology 2011, 14, 532-543.
- [6] M. Kurabachew, S. H. Lu, P. Krastel, E. K. Schmitt, B. L. Suresh, A. Goh, J. E. Knox, N. L. Ma, J. Jiricek, D. Beer, M. Cynamon, F. Petersen, V. Dartois, T. Keller, T. Dick, V. K. J. Sambandamurthy, Antimicrob. Chemother 2008, 62, 713-719.
- [7] For a review on the various synthetic approaches see: E. Roulland Synthesis 2018, 50, 4189-4200.
- [8] H. Miyatake-Ondozabal, E. Kaufmann, K. Gademann, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 1933-1936. Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 1953-1956.
- [9] F. Glaus, K.-H. Altmann, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 1937-1940.Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 1957-1961.
- [10] W. Erb, J.-M. Grassot, D. Linder, L. Neuville, J. Zhu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 1929-1932. Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 1949-1952.
- [11] E. Kaufmann, H. Hattori, H. Miyatake-Ondozabal, K. Gademann Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 3514-3517.
- [12] H. Hattori, E. Kaufmann, H. Miyatake-Ondozabal, R. Berg, K. Gademann, J. Org. Chem. 2018, 83, 7180-7205.
- [13] B. Helferich, K. F. Wedemeyer, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1949, 563, 139-145.
- H. Hattori, J. Roesslein, P. Caspers, K. Zerbe, H. Miyatake-Ondozabal,
 D. Ritz, G. Rueedi, K. Gademann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2018, 57, 11020-11024. *Angew. Chem.* 2018, 130, 11186-11190.
- [15] H. Hattori, L. V. Hoff, K. Gademann *Org. Lett.* **2019**, *21*, 3465-3459.
- [16] J. Gong, W. Li, P. Fu, J. MacMillan, J. K. De Brabander Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 2957-2961.
- [17] a) L. Jeanne-Julien, G. Masson, E. Astier, G. Genta-Jouve, V. Servajean, J.-M. Beau, S. Norsikian, E. Roulland *Org. Lett.* 2017, 19, 4006 4009. b) L. Jeanne-Julien, G. Masson, E. Astier, G. Genta-Jouve, V. Servajean, J.-M. Beau, S. Norsikian, E. Roulland *J. Org. Chem.* 2018, 83, 921 929.
- [18] L. Jeanne-Julien, E. Astier, R. Lai-Kuen, G. Genta-Jouve, E. Roulland Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 1430-1434.
- [19] L. Jeanne-Julien, G. Masson, R. Kouoi, A. Regazzetti, G. Genta-Jouve, V. Gandon, E. Roulland Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 3136-3141.

- [20] a) J. P. Yasomanee and A. V. Demchenko, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 20097-20102. b) S. G. Pistorio, J. P. Yasomanee, A. V. Demchenko, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 716–719.
- [21] See the Supporting Information for its preparation.
- [22] The reaction was unsuccessful with a catalytic amount of TfOH
- [23] Stereochemistry determined by measuring ¹J_{C1,H1} coupling: ≈160 Hz indicates that H-1 is axial, and ≈170 Hz equatorial.
- [24] J. Gildersleeve, A. Smith, K. Sakurai, S. Raghavan, D. Kahne, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 6176-6182.
- [25] J. Zeng, Y. Liu, W. Chen, X. Zhao, L. Meng, Q. Wan, *Top Curr Chem* 2018, 376, 27-59.
- [26] K. C. Nicolaou, A. A. Estrada, M. Zak, S. H. Lee, B. S. Safina, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 1378-1382. Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 1402-1406
- [27] J. Inanaga, K. Hirata, H. Saeki, T. Katsuki, M. Yamaguchi, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1979**, *52*, 1989-1993.
- [28] a) E. P. Boden, G. E. Keck, J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 2394-2395. b) G.
 E. Keck, E. P. Boden, M. R. Wiley, J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 896-906.
- [29] I. Shiina, M. Kubota, H. Oshiumi, M. Hashizume, J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 1822-1830.
- [30] a) G. Stork, G. Kim, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 1087. b) M. Bols, J.
 Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1992, 913-914. c) J. T. Walk, Z. A.
 Buchan, J. Montgomery, Chem. Sci. 2015, 6, 3448-3453.
- [31] S. Norsikian, I. Holmes, F. Lagasse, H. B. Kagan, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2002, 43, 5715-5717.
- [32] A ³J_{1,2} coupling constant of 9.5 Hz in the ¹H NMR spectrum of diol **32** indicates a H-1/H-2a *trans* diaxial orientation. Therefore, the conformation of the starting diol is not a conventional ⁴C₁ chair but rather a ¹C₄ chair certainly imposed by the presence of the gemdimethyl group. The equatorial OH is more accessible, which accounts for the selectivity of this protection.
- [33] The α anomer could not be detected in 1H NMR spectrum.
- [34] A B. Smith, III, C. Sfouggatakis, C. A. Risatti, J. B. Sperry, W. Zhu, V. A. Doughty, T. Tomioka, D. B. Gotchev, C. S. Bennett, S. Sakamoto, O. Atasoylu, S. Shirakami, D. Bauer, M. Takeuchi, J. Koyanagi, Y. Sakamoto, *Tetrahedron*, 2009, 65, 6489-6509.

COMMUNICATION WILEY-VCH

Entry for the Table of Contents (Please choose one layout)

COMMUNICATION

Stéphanie Norsikian,* Cedric Tresse, Marc François-Eude, Louis Jeanne-Julien, Guillaume Masson, Vincent Servajean, Grégory Genta-Jouve, Jean-Marie Beau, and Emmanuel Roulland*

Page No. – Page No.

Total Synthesis of Tiacumicin B: Implementing H-bond-Directed Acceptor Delivery for Highly Selective β-Glycosylations

