

A Journal of the Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker A Deutscher Chemiker GDCh International Edition www.angewandte.org

Accepted Article

Title: A Redox Active Nickel Complex that Acts as an Electron Mediator in Photochemical Giese Reactions

Authors: Thomas van Leeuwen, Luca Buzzetti, Luca Alessandro Perego, and Paolo Melchiorre

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 10.1002/anie.201814497 Angew. Chem. 10.1002/ange.201814497

Link to VoR: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201814497 http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ange.201814497

WILEY-VCH



Synthetic Photochemistry

DOI: 10.1002/anie.201((will be filled in by the editorial staff))

A Redox Active Nickel Complex that Acts as an Electron Mediator in Photochemical Giese Reactions**

Thomas van Leeuwen, Luca Buzzetti, Luca Alessandro Perego, and Paolo Melchiorre*

Abstract: We report a simple protocol for the photochemical Giese addition of $C(sp^3)$ -centered radicals to a variety of electron-poor olefins. The chemistry does not require external photoredox catalysts. Instead, it harnesses the excited-state reactivity of 4-alkyl-1,4-dihydropyridines (4-alkyl-DHPs) to generate alkyl radicals. Crucial for reactivity is the use of a catalytic amount of $Ni(bpy)s^{2+}$ (bpy = 2,2'-bipyridyl), which acts as an electron mediator to facilitate the redox processes involving fleeting and highly reactive intermediates.

The venerable field of photochemistry is re-gaining a central role in synthetic endeavors.^[1] For example, the fast-moving area of photoredox catalysis is providing modern chemists with the possibility of generating radical species under mild conditions and from bench-stable reagents.^[2] A more classical photochemical approach exploits the ability of substrates or reaction intermediates to harvest the energy of the photons and access an electronically excited state (direct photochemistry). The chemical reactivity of excited molecules^[3] can then be used to unlock reaction manifolds unavailable to conventional ground-state pathways. In this context, our laboratory recently reported^[4] how selective excitation with violet light turns 4-alkyl-1,4-dihydropyridines (alkyl-DHPs, 1) into strong reducing agents, which can activate reagents via single-electron transfer (SET) manifolds while undergoing a homolytic cleavage to generate C(sp³)-centered radicals (Figure 1a).^[5] This dual photochemical reactivity was used to trigger radical-based C-C bondforming processes.

In general, the direct excitation of substrates or intermediates can create new synthetic opportunities for radical chemistry^[3,6] while bypassing the need for photoredox catalysts, which are often based on precious transition-metals. However, there are some challenges intrinsic to this photochemical strategy. The excitation of organic molecules provides strong oxidants and/or reductants. Thermodynamically speaking, this makes it easy to activate reagents via an SET manifold.^[7] However, these highly reactive excited-state

[*] Prof. Dr. P. Melchiorre
 IIT - Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia
 Laboratory of Asymmetric Catalysis and Photochemistry via Morego 30 –16163, Genoa, Italy
 Dr. T. van Leeuwen, Dr. L. Buzzetti, Dr. L. A. Perego, and Prof. Dr.
 P. Melchiorre
 ICIQ - Institute of Chemical Research of Catalonia
 the Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology,
 Avenida Països Catalans 16 – 43007, Tarragona, Spain
 E-mail: <u>pmelchiorre@iciq.es</u>
 Homepage: <u>http://www.iciq.org/research/research_group/prof-paolo-melchiorre/</u>

[**] Financial support was provided by MICIU (CTQ2016-75520-P), the AGAUR (Grant 2017 SGR 981), and the European Research Council (ERC-2015-CoG 681840 - CATA-LUX).

WILEY CONLINE LIBRARY

© 2013 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

1

intermediates may suffer from short lifetimes and low electrontransfer rates, thus rendering the SET interactions with other reagents kinetically challenging.^[8] (a) Direct excitation strategy $RO_{2}C_{1}$ $RO_{2}C_{2}$ RO_{2} $RO_{2}C_{2}$ RO_{2} RO_{2}



Figure 1. (a) The excited-state reactivity of 4-alkyl-1,4-dihydropyridines (R-DHPs, 1): on excitation, they become both photoreductants and precursors of alkyl radicals. (b) Proposed strategy to realize the Giese reaction by combining the photochemistry of 1 and the action of a catalytic nickel complex, which facilitates the redox processes by acting as an electron mediator (EM); Ni(II) rapidly oxidizes the short-lived, excited R-DHPs (1*) while the ensuing Ni(I) species reduces the highly reactive intermediate **A**, which has a high tendency to undergo side reactions. The overall sequence affords product **3**.

We recently wondered if electron mediators (EMs) could be used to alleviate these limitations, thus expanding the synthetic applicability of the direct photoexcitation approach. Electron mediators have found widespread use in different areas of chemistry, including dye-sensitized solar cells,^[9] CO₂ reduction,^[10] and electrochemical synthesis.^[11] They have also been sporadically applied in photoredox catalysis.^[12] This is because, by acting as electron shuttles, they can facilitate exergonic redox processes that are kinetically slow. They can also mitigate the occurrence of a backelectron transfer (BET) and competitive side-reactivity.

However, to date, there has been little exploration of the potential of EMs to accelerate a thermodynamically feasible but kinetically unfavorable SET event involving the direct excitation of organic intermediates. Herein, we demonstrate the feasibility of this strategy. By combining the excited-state reactivity of alkyl-DHPs **1** with the ability of a nickel complex to act as an electron mediator, we have developed a Giese addition process^[13] without the need for an external photoredox catalyst.^[14] Figure 1b details the idea behind our synthetic plan: photoexcitation turns **1** into a strong reductant (**1***). The EM facilitates the SET oxidation of this short-lived electronically



excited intermediate 1^* to afford the radical cation 1^{++} , which fragments into a carbon-centered radical (R•). Interception by the electron-poor olefin 2 leads to intermediate **A**, which is a highly reactive radical prone to side-reactions, including β -scission and polymerization.^[15] The reduced form of the EM rapidly engages in a second SET, reducing intermediate **A** to **B** while bringing the EM back to the original oxidation state. The SET reduction of **A** is critical for an effective Giese reaction leading to product **3**. Overall, the EM provides a catalytic manifold to secure an efficient SET between 1^* and intermediate **A**. The EM acts as a reservoir for the electron donated by 1^* , prolonging its availability for the reduction of **A**. These redox processes involve two short-lived intermediates, so they would be problematic without EM.

To validate our proposal, we studied the Giese-type radical conjugate addition to dimethyl fumarate (**2a**) using 4-isopropyl-DHP **1a** as the radical precursor (Table 1). We conducted our experiments in CH₃CN under irradiation by a single high-power light-emitting diode (LED, $\lambda_{max} = 405$ nm, see the Supporting Information for details).

Table 1. Optimization and control experiments[a]



^[a] Reactions performed at ambient temperature on a 0.1 mmol scale using 1.5 equiv. of **1a** under illumination by a single high-power (HP) LED (λ_{max} = 405 nm) with an irradiance of 50 mW cm⁻². ^[b] Yield determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude mixture using trichloroethylene as internal standard. ^[c] The TEMPO-trapped intermediate (TEMPO-*i*Pr) was obtained in 53% NMR yield.

Performing the model reaction in the absence of an electron mediator afforded product **3a** in low yield, while a large amount of reagents **1a** and **2a** remained unreacted (entry 1). We ascribed this low reactivity to the inefficiency of the redox events underlying the overall process.^[16] We then tested our hypothesis that, by behaving alternately as a donor and an acceptor, an EM could effectively shuttle electrons between the key fleeting intermediates (**1a**^{*} and the radical of type **A**, Figure 1b). An effective EM must here meet several requirements: (*i*) it should easily oxidize **1**^{*}; (*ii*) its reduced form should selectively reduce intermediate **A**, but not substrate **2** or the alkyl radical resulting from the fragmentation of **1**^{*}; and (*iii*) it should not strongly absorb at 405 nm to avoid inner-filter effects.

In consonance with our design, the presence of organic EMs (20 mol%) substantially improved the efficiency of the Giese process. *p*-Dicyanobenzene ($E_{p/2}$ (EM/EM⁻) = -1.64 V vs. SCE)^[17a] increased the yield of **3a** to 57% (entry 2), while another common redox mediator, methyl viologen dichloride ($E_{1/2}$ (EM²⁺/EM⁺⁺) = -0.41 V vs.

SCE),^[17b] had only a negligible effect (entry 3). We then turned our attention to transition metal complexes. Based on the aforementioned criteria, Ni(bpy)₃(BF₄)₂ was identified as a promising EM.^[18] This readily available and bench-stable Ni(II) complex, which is soluble in acetonitrile, is reduced at $E_p(Ni^{II}/Ni^{I}) = -1.35$ V vs. SCE,^[19] and absorbs weakly at 405 nm. Gratifyingly, 10 mol% of Ni(bpy)₃(BF₄)₂ increased the yield of product **3a** significantly (up to 85%, entry 4), and secured full conversion of **1a** and **2a**. Further control experiments showed that the reaction requires light, and does not proceed thermally (entry 5). The yield of **3a** was greatly diminished in the presence of 2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-1-piperidinyloxy (TEMPO, 1 equiv.), and we detected the adduct generated upon isopropyl radical trap (53% yield, entry 6).

Having identified Ni(bpy)₃(BF₄)₂ as a suitable EM, we evaluated the synthetic potential of the photochemical Giese reaction (Figure 2). We first demonstrated that a high efficiency was maintained when running the reaction on a 2 mmol scale. This experiment required the use of commercially available LEDs (see section B5 in the Supporting Information) and it afforded product 3a in 78% yield (294 mg). Concerning the reaction scope, a variety of α , β -unsaturated nitriles and esters can be successfully functionalized using 4-isopropyl-DHP 1a as the radical precursor (products 3a-3f). We then explored the possibility of using substrates 1 bearing alkyl fragments other than isopropyl at the C4-position. Secondary alkyl (3g-h), benzyl (3i-j), and a-heterosubstituted primary radicals are tolerated well in this transformation. Noteworthy examples include the addition of aoxygen (3k-n) and α -nitrogen radicals (3o-t), which bring about the facile introduction of synthetically interesting motifs such as benzotriazoles (3s-t), phthalimides (3o-p), and dioxolanes (3k-l). Appealing features of this protocol include the need for a slight excess (1.5 equiv.) of the radical precursor 1. In contrast to other Giese addition protocols, there is no need for stoichiometric amounts of additional reagents, such as bases, reductants or hydrogen-atom donors, or for photoredox catalysts.^[14]

We next conducted extensive mechanistic investigations to clarify the role played by the nickel catalyst and to establish its ability to act as an EM. The first crucial step of the mechanism proposed in Figure 1b requires Ni(bpy)₃²⁺ to oxidize the excited state of DHP (1a*). Based on UV-vis and electrochemical data, the redox potential of $1a^*$ (E ($1a^{+}/1a^*$) was estimated to be -1.9 V vs. SCE according to the Rehm-Weller approximation.^[20] Therefore, the reduction of -1.35 V vs. SCE)^[19] by $1a^*$ Ni(II) $(E_p(Ni^{II}/Ni^{I}) =$ is We further investigated this thermodynamically feasible. photoreduction using the following experiment: direct illumination of a CH₃CN solution of 4-isopropyl-DHP 1a at 405 nm in the absence of the nickel (II) complex led to very low conversion of 1a into the corresponding pyridine (Scheme 1a). The relative photostability of 1a implies that the redox processes underlying the generation of the isopropyl radical from **1a**^{*} are inefficient in the absence of an EM. The addition of Ni(bpy)₃(BF₄)₂ (10 mol%) greatly increased the degradation of 1a (Scheme 1b). In this experiment, because of the lack of an oxidation mechanism to regenerate the Ni(II) complex, we observed the formation of Ni⁰ particles, which otherwise were never observed during the progress of the catalytic reaction. When repeating the experiment (Scheme 1c) in the presence of dimethyl fumarate 2a, which provides a mechanism for regenerating the EM (see Figure 1b), we recovered Ni(bpy)₃(BF₄)₂ in 90% yield.

Angewandte Communications



Figure 2. Survey of the electron-poor olefins 2 and the dihydropyridines 1 that can participate in the photochemical Giese addition. Reactions performed at 0.1 mmol scale using 1.5 equiv. of 1; yields refer to isolated products 3 after purification (average of two runs per substrate). [a] Yields obtained in the absence of Ni(bpy)₃(BF₄)₂. [b] Conducted in a 1:1 CH₃CN:CH₂Cl₂ (0.25 M) solvent mixture due to the low solubility of the corresponding radical precursor 1.

The identity of the nickel(II) complex, which crashed out from the reaction mixture upon dilution with Et₂O, was confirmed by UVvis and IR spectroscopy. In addition, the recovered Ni(bpy)₃(BF₄)₂ was successfully reused to promote another Giese reaction, affording product 3a in high yield (Scheme 1d).





based EM in the original oxidation state, is supported by the redox

potentials of the key intermediates involved (Scheme 2).



Scheme 1. Mechanistic experiments; NMR yields are reported.

Overall, the experiments detailed in Scheme 1 suggest that Ni(bpy)₃(BF₄)₂ can effectively mediate the SET oxidation of 1a* and that its regeneration is only possible in the presence of olefin 2 (Schemes 1c and d). The latter observation is very relevant to the overall mechanism (Figure 1b): to act as an effective EM, it is essential that the Ni(I) complex reduces the highly reactive intermediate A, emerging from the radical addition to 2. The feasibility of this crucial redox step, which turns back the nickel-

Scheme 2. Redox potentials of the species involved in the proposed mechanism

The data in Scheme 2 indicate that the excited $1a^*$ can reduce $Ni(bpy)_{3^{2+}}$. The resulting Ni(I) complex is not able to reduce typical radicals generated upon fragmentation of $1a^*$ (for example $E_{1/2}$ $(Bn'/Bn^{-}) = -1.45 \text{ V}^{[21]} \text{ and } E_{\text{calc}} (iPr'/iPr^{-}) = -2.42 \text{ V vs. SCE}.^{[22]}$ However, it can easily reduce radicals a to electron withdrawing groups, such as intermediate A. This mechanistic possibility is



supported by the reduction potential of the radical resulting from the addition of *i*Pr· to **2a**, estimated by DFT calculations (E_{calc} (**A**'/**A**⁻) = -0.54 V vs. SCE).^[22] An alternative scenario, based on a self-propagating radical manifold, could be envisaged in an SET reduction of **A** from the ground state of **1a**, but this pathway can be excluded based on the redox potential of **1a** ($E_{p/2}$ (**1a**⁺⁺/**1a**) = +1.04 V vs. SCE). The quantum yield measured for the model reaction ($\Phi = 0.014$) is also incongruent with a radical chain process.

We also obtained evidence to support the intermediacy of the anionic intermediate **B**, arising from the SET reduction of **A** (Scheme 2). We performed deuteration experiments by adding CD₃OD to the reaction medium. A high deuterium incorporation (>80%) was observed at the α -carbon of product **3a** (Scheme 3). No deuterium incorporation took place at the β -carbon, indicating that deuteration occurs solely via the in-situ-generated anion.



Scheme 3. Deuteration experiments.

We deem it very unlikely that the reaction proceeds via the intermediacy of organonickel species. The trapping of photogenerated alkyl radicals with Ni complexes has been the subject of recent studies.^[23] While the majority of these procedures employ a metal-to-ligand ratio of 1:1 or 1:1.5, the present chemistry works when Ni is coordinatively saturated. The addition of extra bpy (up to 10 equiv. with respect to $Ni(bpy)_3^{2+}$ did not result in a significant drop of yield. Additional observations are incongruent with the involvement of organometallic intermediates: (i) Ni(bpy)₃(BF₄)₂ can be recovered almost quantitatively at the end of the reaction and reused; (ii) in situ monitoring of the reaction by the Evans NMR method (see Section C5 in the Supporting Information for details) reveals no significant change in the magnetic susceptibility of the reaction mixture, suggesting that the resting state of the catalyst is Ni(bpy)₃²⁺; (*iii*) organic electron mediators (Table 1, entries 2-3) promote the model reaction in the absence of nickel.

We finally considered the possibility that Ni(bpy)₃²⁺ could act as photoredox catalyst. The irradiation of the model reaction at 530 nm, where only the Ni complex absorbs, did not result in the conversion of 1a or the formation of 3a. This result is not surprising, given that the electronic structure and photophysical properties of Ni(bpy)₃²⁺ (a d⁸, high spin complex, paramagnetic) are very different from those of typical photoredox catalysts, including Ru(bpy)32+ (d⁶ low spin, diamagnetic).^[2a] This is why the sporadic applications of nickel-based photoredox catalysts have required meticulous engineering of the ligands to ensure a low-spin Ni(II) centers in a square planar coordination environment.^[24] We also observed that, in consonance with the notion that the reaction is triggered by direct excitation of alkyl-DHP 1 and not of Ni(bpy)3²⁺,^[25] the optimal irradiation wavelength changes depending on the nature of the substrate. For example, dihydropyridine 4 absorbs at significantly shorter wavelength ($\lambda_{max} = 323$ nm) than esters 1 ($\lambda_{max} = 335$ nm for 1a). Irradiation at 405 nm does not promote the formation of the Giese addition product 5 at all, while a high yield is obtained using a 365 nm LED (Scheme 4).



Scheme 4. Reactivity/wavelength correlation for substrate 4.

In conclusion, we have reported a photochemical strategy to perform the Giese addition of $C(sp^3)$ radicals to a variety of electronpoor olefin. Mechanistic investigations suggest that readily available $Ni(bpy)_3^{2+}$ acts as an electron mediator to facilitate the redox processes between fleeting and highly reactive intermediates. These findings could be relevant in the design of other photochemical strategies based on the direct excitation of organic molecules or intermediates. In addition, they may have mechanistic implications in the context of the combination of nickel and photoredox catalysis,^[23] since nickel complexes could be involved in kinetically enhancing electron-transfer processes.

Received: ((will be filled in by the editorial staff)) Published online on ((will be filled in by the editorial staff))

Keywords: dihydropyridines • electron mediator • photochemistry • nickel catalysis • synthetic methods

- a) Handbook of Synthetic Photochemistry, A. Albini, M. Fagnoni, (Eds.), Wiley-VCH, 2010; b) D. M. Schultz, T. P. Yoon, Science 2014, 343, 1239176.
- For selected reviews: a) M. H. Shaw, J. Twilton, D. W. C. MacMillan, J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 6898–6926; b) J. K. Matsui, S. B. Lang, D. R. Heitz, G. A. Molander, ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 2563–2575.
- [3] Modern molecular photochemistry of organic molecules, N. J. Turro, V. Ramamurthy, J. C. Scaiano (Eds.), University Science Books, 2010.
- [4] L. Buzzetti, A. Prieto, S. R. Roy, P. Melchiorre, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 15039–15043; Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 15235–15239.
- [5] For examples of 4-alkyl-1,4-dihydropyridines serving as radical precursors under photochemical conditions, see: a) K. Nakajima, S. Nojima, K. Sakata, Y. Nishibayashi, ChemCatChem 2016, 8, 1028-1032; b) W. Chen, Z. Liu, J. Tian, J. Ma, X. Cheng, G. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 12312-12315; c) S. O. Badir, A. Dumoulin, J. K. Matsui, G. A. Molander, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 6610-6613; Angew. Chem. 2018, 130, 6720-6723; d) F. F. de Assis, X. Huang, M. Akiyama, R. A. Pilli, E. Meggers, J. Org. Chem. 2018, 83, 10922-10932; e) Q.-Y. Wu, Q.-Q. Min, G.-Z. Ao, F. Liu, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2018, 16, 6391-6394; g) B. R. McDonald, K. A. Scheidt, Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 6877-6881; f) C. Verrier, N. Alandini, C. Pezzetta, M. Moliterno, L. Buzzetti, H. B. Hepburn, A. Vega-Peñaloza, M. Silvi, P. Melchiorre, ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 1062-1066. For a review, see: g) W. Huang, X. Cheng, Synlett 2017, 28, 148-158. M. Silvi, P. Melchiorre, Nature 2018, 554, 41-49. [6]
- [7] For selected examples, see: a) M. A. Emmanuel, N. R. Greenberg, D. G. Oblinsky, T. K. Hyster, *Nature* 2016, *540*, 414–417; b) J. Jung, J. Kim, G. Park, Y. You, E. J. Cho, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2016, *358*, 74–80; c) M. Silvi, C. Verrier, Y. P. Rey, L. Buzzetti, P. Melchiorre, *Nat. Chem.* 2017, *9*, 868–873.
- [8] a) Electron Transfer in Chemistry, (Ed: V. Balzani), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2001; b) G. J. Kavarnos in Fundamentals of Photoinduced Electron Transfer, VCH Publishers, 1993.
- [9] M. Grätzel, J. Photochem. Photobiol. C Photochem. Rev. 2003, 4, 145–153.





- [10] a) B. Kumar, M. Llorente, J. Froehlich, T. Dang, A. Sathrum, C. P. Kubiak, *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **2012**, *63*, 541–569; b) J. Wu, Y. Huang, W. Ye, Y. Li, *Adv. Sci.* **2017**, *4*, 1700194.
- [11] M. Yan, Y. Kawamata, P. S. Baran, Chem. Rev. 2017, 117, 13230– 13319.
- [12] a) K. L. Skubi, T. R. Blum, T. P. Yoon, *Chem. Rev.* 2016, *116*, 10035–10074 and references cited therein. For selected examples, see: b) M. Riener, D. A. Nicewicz, *Chem. Sci.* 2013, *4*, 2625–2629; c) E. L. Tyson, Z. L. Niemeyer, T. P. Yoon, *J. Org. Chem.* 2014, *79*, 1427–1436.
- B. Giese, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Int. 1983, 22, 753–764; Angew. Chem. 1983, 95, 771–782.
- [14] Previous methods for Giese-type additions required the use of an external photoredox catalyst, see for examples: a) G. L. Lackner, K. W. Quasdorf, L. E. Overman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 15342–15345; b) L. Chu, C. Ohta, Z. Zuo, D. W. C. MacMillan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 10886–10889; c) A. Millet, Q. Lefebvre, M. Rueping, Chem. Eur. J. 2016, 22, 13464–13468. Other non photochemical methodologies have also been reported, which require stoichiometric amounts of reductants, see: d) T. Qin, L. R. Malins, J. T. Edwards, R. R. Merchant, A. J. E. Novak, J. Z. Zhong, R. B. Mills, M. Yan, C. Yuan, M. D. Eastgate, P. S. Baran, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 260–265; Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 266–271.
- [15] J. Chiefari, J. Jeffery, R. T. A. Mayadunne, G. Moad, E. Rizzardo, S. H. Thang, *Macromolecules* 1999, *32*, 7700–7702.
- [16] The reduction of **2a** ($E_{p/2}$ (**2a**/**2a**⁻) = -1.39 V vs. SCE) by the excited **1a*** is exergonic but apparently slow, given that only a fraction of **1a** and **2a** reacted after 16 h of irradiation. The reduction potential of **1a*** was estimated to be -1.9 V vs. SCE based on UV-vis and

electrochemical data and according to the Rehm-Weller approximation, see Section C3 of the Supporting Information.

- [17] a) H. Roth, N. Romero, D. Nicewicz, *Synlett* 2015, 27, 714–723; b) T.
 M. Bockman, J. K. Kochi, *J. Org. Chem.* 1990, 55, 4127–4135.
- [18] For the use of Ni(bpy)₃(BF₄)₂ as a mediator in electrosynthesis, see: a)
 D. Franco, E. Duñach, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2000, *41*, 7333–7336; b) A.
 A. Stepanov, V. A. Grinberg, *Russ. J. Electrochem.* 2003, *39*, 1347–1350.
- [19] P. N. Bartlett, V. Eastwick-Field, *Electrochim. Acta* 1993, 38, 2515– 2523.
- [20] D. Rehm, A. Weller, Isr. J. Chem. 1970, 8, 259–271.
- [21] D. D. M. Wayner, D. J. McPhee, D. Griller, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 132–137.
- [22] Estimated by DFT computations at the B3LYP/6-311++G(2d,2p)//B3LYP/6-31+G(d) level, with PCM solvation. See the Supporting Information for computational details (Section D1) and validation of the theoretical approach (Section D2).
- [23] J. A. Milligan, J. P. Phelan, S. O. Badir, G. Molander, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, DOI: 10.1002/anie.201809431; Angew. Chem. 2018, DOI: 10.1002/ange.201809431.
- [24] a) L. A. Cameron, J. W. Ziller, A. F. Heyduk, *Chem. Sci.* 2016, 7, 1807–1814; b) M. Grübel, I. Bosque, P. J. Altmann, T. Bach, C. R. Hess, *Chem. Sci.* 2018, 9, 3313–3317; c) B. J. Shields, B. Kudisch, G. D. Scholes, A. G. Doyle, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2018, *140*, 3035–3039.
- [25] For additional mechanistic discussion, including triplet quenching experiments, see the Supporting Information, Section C6.





Synthetic Photochemistry

Thomas van Leeuwen, Luca Buzzetti, Luca Alessandro Perego, and Paolo Melchiorre* _____ Page – Page

A Redox Active Nickel Complex that Acts as an Electron Mediator in Photochemical Giese Reactions



A time to get, and a time to lose electrons. Electron mediators can alleviate limitations associated with the photochemistry of excited-state intermediates, including short lifetimes and low electron transfer rates. Here, the ability of a nickel complex to act as an electron shuttle assists the excited-state reactivity of dihydropyridines 1 to enable a photochemical Giese addition of $C(sp^3)$ -centered radicals to electron-poor olefins.



This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.