SECO-GUAIANOLIDES AND OTHER CONSTITUENTS FROM ARTEMISIA SPECIES

SIEGFRIED HUNECK, CHRISTA ZDERO* and FERDINAND BOHLMANN*

Institute of Plant Biochemistry, Academy of Sciences of the GDR, GDR-4020 Halle/Saale, Weinberg, East Germany; *Institute for Organic Chemistry, Technical University of Berlin, Berlin 12 West Germany

(Revised received 4 September 1985)

Key Word Index—Artemisia species; sesquiterpenes; sesquiterpene lactones; guaianolides; seco-guaianolides; bisabolene derivative; monoterpenes; coumaric acid derivatives.

Abstract—The investigation of the aerial parts of several Mongolian Artemisia species afforded two new guaianolides and two seco-guaianolides, a nerolidol and a bisabolene derivative, four monoterpenes and two derivatives of p-coumaric acids. The structures were elucidated by spectroscopic methods and a few chemical transformations.

INTRODUCTION

From the large genus Artemisia many species have been investigated chemically. The diversity of this genus is reflected in the nature of the major constituents. While several species can be characterized by the occurrence of eudesmanolides and guaianolides, mostly highly oxygenated, others mainly contain coumarins or sesamine like lignanes. We have studied several species from the Mongolian Peoples Republic and the results are discussed in this paper.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Rutifolin, which may be identical with canin, is reported from the aerial parts of Artemisia rutifolia Steph. ex Spreng. [1]. Material collected in the Zezerleg Mountains, Mongolia, afforded germacrene D, ar-curcumene, camphor, cis-verbenol, trans-chrysanthenol, coumarin, scopoletin, p-hydroxyacetophenone, 9-hydroxynerolidol, 6oxo-5,6-seco-caryophyllen-5-al [2], the germacranolides hanphyllin [3], novanin [4] and artabin [5], the guaianolides canin [6], artecanin [6], dehydroleucodin [7], tanaparthin- α -peroxide [8], seco-tanapartholides A and B (4)[8], the new sesquiterpene lactones 2, 5 and 6, as well as the monoterpenes 8 and 10–12.

EIMS of 2 as well as of the acetate 3, obtained by mild acetylation, gave no molecular ion. However, CIMS of both compounds gave clear [M+1] peaks and the molecular formula of 2 could be established $(C_{15}H_{20}O_6)$. This formula required a lactone with three hydroxy groups if an epoxide was proposed for the structure. In the ¹H NMR spectrum (Table 1) all signals could be assigned by spin decoupling as the H-7 signal could be assigned by spin decoupling. However, the relative position of the hydroxy and the epoxide groups at C-1–C-4 and C-10 could not be determined directly and also the stereochemistry at these centres had to be established. Inspection of models and comparison of the coupling $J_{2,3}$ with those of similar compounds indicated that a 1,2-epoxide was present. If the chemical shifts of H-2 and H-5–H-7 were compared with those of similar lactones then H-2 and H-6 seem to be deshielded while H-5 and H-7 are not influenced by the 4- or 10-hydroxy group. Accordingly, a 4β , 10α -dihydroxy derivative was most likely.

The ¹HNMR spectrum of 5 (Table 1) indicated the presence of a methyl ketone by the typical signal of a three proton singlet at $\delta 2.12$. Pairs of double triplets at $\delta 2.59$ and 2.54 as well as a pair of double doublet triplets at δ 1.94 and 1.85 indicated a methyl ketone side chain located at C-7 as spin decoupling showed that the latter signals were coupled with H-7. Irradiation of the latter further allowed the assignment of the H-6 signal which was a doublet thus indicating the absence of a H-5 proton. The chemical shift already indicated the presence of neighbouring olefinic carbon. Accordingly, the C-4 methyl signal was at $\delta 2.14$. It showed a small homoallylic coupling with H-6. A pair of double doublets at $\delta 2.82$ and 2.31 collapsed to doublets by irradiation at δ 4.72, obviously the signal of a proton under the hydroxy group. Accordingly, this broadened signal sharpened after deuterium oxide exchange. The observed couplings indicated that a β -hydroxy group was most likely.

High resolution EIMS of 6 gave the molecular formula $C_{15}H_{18}O_5$ and clear fragments were observed at m/z260 $[M-H_2O]^+$, 166 (McLafferty, split of the 5,6bond), $124[166 - \text{ketene}]^+$, $109[166 - \text{CH}_2\text{COMe}]^+$, 97 $[MeCOCH=CHCO]^+$, 69 $[97-CO]^+$. This is in very good agreement with the partial structures which can be deduced from the ¹H NMR spectrum (Table 1). A pair of olefinic doublets with a 16 Hz coupling, two methyl singlets for methyl ketones at $\delta 2.36$ and 2.18 as well as clear sequences of H-5-H-9 and the presence of the exomethylene doublets (H-13) could be accomodated in the seco-lactone 6. While 6 obviously is the product of a retro-aldol-reaction of 4 followed by cis-transisomerization, both 4 and 5 most probably are formed by oxidative cleavage of corresponding guaianolides. We have named compound 5 iso-seco-tanapartholide and 6 bis-seco-tanapartholide. Biogenetic considerations led to the proposed configuration at C-7 for all seco-compounds (4-6). In ref. [8] the stereochemistry was assigned incorrectly.

The structure of 8, which on oxidation gave the ketone



9, followed from the molecular formula and the ¹H NMR spectra (Table 2). Inspection of a Dreiding-model showed that the couplings observed agreed best with the proposed configuration and the allylic coupling of H-2 with H-4 established the relative position of the oxygen functions. Furthermore the signals in the spectrum of 9 clearly indicated the relative positions. The corresponding keto acid was reported from Artemisia filifolia [9]. The spectral data of 11 (Table 2) showed that a derivative of transchrysanthenol was present. The configuration at C-2 and C-5 could be deduced from the couplings. The ¹H NMR spectrum of 10 (Table 2) clearly showed that this compound was the corresponding 2-keto derivative of 11. Accordingly, the exomethylene protons (H-7) were shifted downfield and the signals of H-3 now were double doublets. The ¹HNMR spectrum of 12 (Table 2) was close to that of borneol. However, the pair of doublets at $\delta 3.82$ and 3.67 indicated that one of the methyls was transformed to hydroxymethylene. Comparison of the chemical shifts with those of borneol indicated the relative position of the hydroxyl group. The absolute configurations of 8-12 were not determined.

The aerial parts of Artemisia laciniata Willd. also gave ar-curcumene, camphor, trans-chrysanthenol, coumarin, p-hydroxyacetophenone, scopoletin, 7-hydroxyborneol (12), tanaparthin- α -peroxide and seco-tanapartholide A and B (4) as well as eremophil-9,11-dien-12-oic acid [10], 8α -acetoxy-iso-costic acid also present in Artemisia pectinata [10] and 3-hydroxyocta-1,6E-dien-5-one [11].

The aerial parts of Artemisia xanthochroa Krasch. gave camphor, benzyl acetone [12], 3-hydroxybutyl-(1)-benzene [12], dehydroleucodin, hanphyllin, artecanin, canin, balchanin [13], artecanin hydrate (2), seco-tanapartholide A and B, iso-secotanapartholide (5) and the new guaianolide 1. The molecular formula ($C_{15}H_{16}O_4$) was deduced from the [M – H₂O]⁺ peak in the mass spectrum. From a very small peak at m/z 278 and a more pronounced one at m/z263 [M – Me]⁺ it was obvious that the m/z 260 ion was already a fragment. The ¹H NMR spectrum (Table 1) showed that most likely a derivative of dehydroleucodin

	1	2	3	5	6
H-1	2.64 s				
H-2	_	3.89 d	3.89 d	{ 2.82 dd 2.31 dd	6.79 d
H-3	6.05 q	3.82 d	4.85 d	4.72 br d	6.83 d
H-5		2.34 d	2.48 d	—	{ 3.15 dd } 2.90 dd
H-6	4.40 d	4.49 dd	4.48 dd	4.97 d	4.68 ddd
H-7	3.39 ddddd	2.85 ddddd	3.02 ddddd	3.09 dddt	2.79 dddt
H-8α H-8β H-9α	1.8–2.0 m	2.20 dddd 1.54 dddd 1.85 ddd	2.21 m 1.52 m	<pre>{ 1.94 ddt { 1.85 ddt } 2.59 dt</pre>	{ 1.91 dddd { 1.85 dddt { 2.66 dt
н-9β ∫		1.95 ddd	∫ ^{1.00} m	2.54 dt	≥ 2.54 dt
H-13	6.22 d	6.25 d	6.22 d	6.35 d	6.33 d
H-13′	5.71 d	5.54 d	5.50 d	5.67 d	5.69 d
H-14	1.56 s	1.29 s	1.25 s	2.12 s	2.18 s
H-15	2.27 d	1.44 s	1.40 s	2.14 s	2.36 s
OAc or OH	2.84 br s 2.72 br s		2.13 s	—	_

Table 1. ¹H NMR spectral data of compounds 1-3, 5 and 6 (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS as internal standard)

J (Hz): Compound 1: 3, 15 = 1; 6, 7 = 7, 8 β = 10; 7, 8 α ~ 3; 7, 13 = 3.5; 7, 13' = 3; compounds 2 and 3: 2, 3 = 2.8; 5, 6 = 11.5; 6, 7 = 10; 7, 8 α = 3; 7, 8 β = 11; 7, 13 = 3.5; 7, 13' = 3; 8 α , 8 β = 13; 8 α , 9 α = 3.5; 8 α , 9 β = 11; 8 β , 9 α = 4; 8 β , 9 β = 6; 9 α , 9 β = 15; compound 5: 2, 2' = 19; 2, 3 = 6.5; 2', 3 = 2; 3, 15 ~ 0.5; 6, 7 = 5.5; 7, 8 = 7, 8' = 7; 7, 13 = 2.7; 7, 13' = 2.5; 8, 8' = 14; 8, 9 = 8, 9' = 8', 9 = 8', 9' ~ 7; 9, 9' = 16; compound 6: 2, 3 = 16; 5, 5' = 17.5; 5, 6 = 5.5; 5', 6 = 7; 6, 7 = 4; 7, 8 = 7', 8' = 8, 9 = 8, 9' = 8', 9 = 8', 9' = 7; 7, 13 = 2.5; 7, 13' = 2; 8, 8' = 14; 9, 9' = 18.

Table 2. ¹H NMR spectral data of compounds 8-12 (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS as internal standard)

	8	9	10	11	12
H-2	5.13 dq	6.32 q		4.36 br d	4.45 ddd
H-3	_	_	{ α 2.52 dd } β 2.68 dd	{ α 1.93 brdd } β 2.35 ddd	{α 0.95 dd β 2.28 m
H-4	4.08 br t		2.41 dddd	2.30 m	1.62 t
H-5	{ α 1.78 ddd { β 2.21 ddd	{α 2.64 dd β 2.57 dd	4.69 t	4.45 br t	$\begin{cases} \alpha \ 1.41 \ m \\ \beta \ 1.81 \ m \end{cases}$
H-6	2.40 ddd	2.85 ddd	3.04 t	2.92 t	$\begin{cases} \alpha \ 2.28 \ m \\ \beta \ 1.33 \ m \end{cases}$
H-7	1.75 br s	1.77 d	{ 6.14 d { 5.13 d	{ 5.18 brs { 4.99 brs	{ 3.82 d { 3.67 d
H-8	9.83 d	9.86 d	_		
H-9	1.18 s	1.41 s	0.81 s	0.67 s	0.89 s
H-10	1.05 s	1.17 s	1.28 s	1.18 s	0.87 s

J (Hz): Compound 8: 2, 4 = 2, 7 = 1; 4, $5\alpha = 7.5$; 4, $5\beta = 6$; 5α , $5\beta = 14$; 5α , 6 = 10; 5β , 6 = 3; 6, 8 = 2; compound 9: 2, 7 = 1.5; 5α , $5\beta = 17$; 5α , 6 = 11; 5β , 6 = 5; 6, 8 = 1.5; compound 10: 3α , $3\beta = 19$; 3α , 4 = 3; 3β , 4 = 3.3; 4, 5 = 4, 6 = 5, 6 ~ 6; compound 11: 2, $3\beta = 8.5$; 2, 7 = 2, 7' ~ 0.5; 3α , $3\beta = 15$; 3α , 4 = 4; 3β , 4 = 2; 4, 5 = 4, 6 = 5, 6 = 5.5; 7, 7' ~ 0.5; compound 12: 2, $3\alpha = 3.5$; 2, $3\beta = 10$; 3α , $3\beta = 13.5$; 2, 6 = 2; 3β , 4 = 4, $5\beta = 5$; 5α , $5\beta = 6\alpha$, $6\beta = 14$; 7, 7' = 10.

was present where a hydroxy group was at C-5. Accordingly, the H-6 signal was simply a doublet. Furthermore, one of the olefinic methyls of dehydroleucodin was replaced by an upfield shifted methyl singlet at $\delta 1.56$. As the H-3 signal was still an olefinic one, which showed an allylic coupling with a doublet at $\delta 2.27$, the 1(10)-double bond was hydrated. Thus the new lactone was a 5,10-dihydroxyguaianolide with a keto group at C-2 and a 3,4-double bond. The configuration at C-5 could not be determined with certainty. The stereochemistry at C-10 was determined by NOE difference spectroscopy. Clear NOEs were observed between H-14 and H-6 and between H-7 and H-9 α and H-1. Thus 1 is 5 β ,10 α -dihydroxy-1 α -H-dehydroleucodin.

The aerial parts of Artemisia schischkinii Krasch. contain farnesyl isovalerate, arborescin [14, 15] and ashantin, present in many other Artemisia species [16]. The aerial parts of Artemisia patustris L. gave germacrene D, caryophyllene, dehydrofalcarinol [17] also present in the roots, p-hydroxyacetophenone and the p-coumaric acid derivative 13 [18] as well as the isomeric hydroxy derivatives 14 and 15. While the latter was obtained pure. 14 only could be isolated as its methyl ester 14a. Compound 15a also was transformed to 16 by treatment with acid. The structures of 14 and 15 followed from the ¹HNMR spectra (Table 3) which were close to that of 13 [18] which further showed that 14a and 15b only differed in the position of the hydroxy group. In the spectrum of 14a the signal of the olefinic proton (H-2') was shifted down field if compared with the shift of the same proton in the spectrum of 15a, thus the isomer 14a was assigned the E-configuration. Similar isomers of the corresponding phydroxyacetophenones were isolated from Artemisia campestris showing the same differences in the NMR spectra [19].

The aerial parts of Artemisia stelleriana Bess. gave as reported previously the thiophene enolether spiroketal with a six membered ring [17] and sesquiphellandrene as well as the triacetate 7. The mass spectrum gave the molecular formula $C_{21}H_{30}O_6$ and showed a base peak at m/z 84, obviously the result of a RDA and loss of ketene. While the positions of the acetoxy groups at C-1 and C-9 directly followed from the ¹H NMR spectrum (Table 4) the position of the last oxygen function could not be



*13a-15a are the corresponding methyl esters



Table 3. ¹H NMR spectral data of compounds 14a, 15, 15a and 16 (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS as internal standard)

	1 4a	15	15a	16
H-2] 7161	7.19 d	7.14 d	7.13 d
H-6	$\begin{cases} 1.10 $	7.20 d	7.16 d	7.11 d
H-7	7.59 d	7.69 d	7.59 d	7.58 d
H-8	6.27 d	6.28 d	6.26 d	6.26 d
H-1′	3.37 br d	3.46 br d	3.43 br d	3.34 br d
H-2′	4.07 br s	5.40 br t	5.38 br t	5.41 br t
H-4′	5.58 tq	1.82 s	1.79 d	1.83 br d
H-5′	1.79 br s	4.37 s	4.30 brs	4.24 br s
H-1″	3.33 br d	3.33 br d	3.30 br d	2.77 br t
H-2″	5.28 tqq	5.31 br t	5.30 tqq	1.81 t
H-4″	1.78 br s	1.74 br s	1.76 d	1 50 -
H-5″	1.79 br s	1.78 br s	1.72 br s	1.59 8
OMe	3.78 s		3.78 s	3.77 s
он	5.69 br s		7. 49 br s	
			2.67 br s	

 $J (Hz): 2, 6 = 1.7; 2, 1' = 6, 1'' \sim 1; 7, 8 = 16; 1', 2' = 1'', 2'' = 7.5; 2', 4' = 2', 5' = 2'', 4'' = 2'', 5'' \sim 1 \text{ (compound 16:6, 1'} \sim 1; 1'', 2'' = 7).$

assigned directly. Partial saponification gave three diacetates (7a-7c). The position of the free hydroxy was deduced in each case from the ¹HNMR spectrum (Table 4). The clear upfield shift of the H-13 signal in the spectrum of 7c could be explained only by the changed nature of the oxygen function at C-9. However, this requires an *E*-configuration of the Δ^{10} -double bond. Dihydrosantonin, which was reported previously [20] from this species, was not isolated.

The results on Mongolian Artemisia species discussed here and in some previous papers [10, 21, 22] showed again that the chemistry of this large genus may help in the classification of the different sections and groups. The accumulation of guaianolides, especially highly oxygenated ones, obviously is characteristic for a group of East Asian species. However, these compounds are not present in all species so far investigated. As in other areas of the world obviously chemically diverse groups, most likely belonging to different sections, can be recognized. So far it has been shown that sesquiterpene lactones [23], acetylenes [17, 24, 25], oxygenated coumarins and sesaminelike compounds [26] are widespread in the genus. However, no clear agreement with proposed divisions of the genus is observable. Still more species have to be studied chemically and also taxonomic investigations are desirable.

EXPERIMENTAL

The plant material was extracted at room temp. with MeOH-Et₂O-petrol (1:1:1) and the extracts obtained were separated as reported previously [27].

The extract from the aerial parts (570 g) of Artemisia rutifolia (voucher 56, collected in the Mongolian Peoples Republic, Bulgan Aimak, Chögno Tarna Uul (Zezerleg Mountains)) was separated by CC (silica gel) affording seven fractions [Fr. 1: petrol and Et₂O-petrol (1:20), Fr. 2: Et₂O-petrol, (1:10), Fr. 3: Et₂O-petrol (1:3), Fr. 4: Et₂O-petrol (1:1), Fr. 5: Et₂O, Fr. 6: Et₂O-MeOH (9:1) and Fr. 7: Et₂O-MeOH (4:1)]. TLC (always

	7				
	CDCl ₃ -C ₆ D ₆	CDCl ₃	7 a	7b	7c
H-1	5.46 br d	5.51 br d	5.62 br d	4.41 br d	5.51 br d
H-2	5.03 br d	5.15 br d	5.19 br d	5.23 br d	5.13 br d
H-4	2.25 br d	2.40 br d	2.39 br d	2.41 br d	2.39 br d
H-4′	1.47 dd	*	*	•	*
H-5	1.69 m	*	*	*	*
H-5'	1.85 m	*	*	+	*
H-6	1.96 br d	*	2.12 br d	*	•
H-8	2.45 dd	2.58 dd	2.59 dd	2.58 dd	2.59 dd
H-8′	2.09 dd	2.20 dd	2.20 dd	2.22 dd	2.12 dd
H-9	5.55 ddd	5.64 ddd	5.65 ddd	5.63 ddd	4.67 ddd
H-10	4.93 br d	5.06 br d	5.07 br d	5.07 br d	5.10 br d
H-12	4.54 d	4.63 d	4141	4.65 d	4.62 d
H-12′	4.46 d	4.56 d	$4.14 \ br s$	4.55 d	4.54 d
H-13	1.69 br s	1.80 br s	1.80 br s	1.79 br s	1.72 br s
H-14	5.09 br s	5.20 br s	5.16 br s	5.29 br s	5.20 br s
H-!4′	4.96 br s	5.08 br s	4.98 br s	5.18 br s	5.09 br s
H-15	1.44 br s	1.58 br s	1.60 br s	1.53 br s	1.56 br s
1-OAc	1.82 s	1.99 s	1.99 s		1.99 s
9-OAc	1.85 s	2.03 s	2.03 s	2.04 s	_
10-OAc	1.86 s	2.06 s		2.09 s	2.06 s

Table 4. ¹H NMR spectral data of compounds 7 and 7a-7c (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS as internal standard)

*Obscured multiplets.

J (Hz): 1, 2 = 7; 4, 4' = 15; 4', 5 = 4; 5', 6 = 6; 8, 8' = 12; 8, 9 = 5.5; 8', 9 = 9, 10

= 10.5; 12, 12' = 13.5.

silica gel, PF 254) of fraction 1 (petrol) gave 2 mg germacrene D and 3 mg ar-curcumene (identified by GCMS). Fraction 2 gave nothing of interest. TLC of fraction 3 (Et₂O-petrol, 1:9) gave 3 mg camphor and 8 mg trans-chrysanthenol. TLC of fraction 4 (Et₂O-petrol, 1:1) gave two bands (4/1 and 4/2). TLC of 4/1(Et₂O-petrol, 1:1) gave 5 mg camphor and 12 mg transchrysanthenol and TLC of 4/2 (Et₂O-petrol, 1:1, two developments) gave 10 mg cis-verbenol, 10 mg coumarin and a mixture $(R_f \sim 0.45)$, which together with 5/1 (see below) was separated by HPLC (MeOH-H₂O, 7:3, always RP 8, ca 120 bar, flow rate, 3 ml/min) affording 10 mg p-hydroxyacetophenone (R_t 0.8 min), 6 mg 8 (R_t 1.6 min), 2 mg novanin (R_t 3.2 min), 3 mg 9-hydroxynerolidol (R_1 4.7 min) and 3 mg 6-oxo-5,6-secocaryophyllen-5-al (R_t 5.5 min). TLC of fraction 5 (Et₂O-petrol, 3:1) gave four bands (5/1-5/4). HPLC (MeOH-H₂O, 3:2) of 5/2 $(R_f 0.55)$ gave 5 mg p-hydroxyacetophenone $(R_t 1.5 \text{ min})$, 2 mg 10 (R, 2.5 min) and 2 mg 11 (R, 4.6 min). HPLC (MeOH-H₂O, 3:2) of 5/3 (R_1 0.4) gave 12 mg tanaparthin- α -peroxide (R_1 1.4 min) and a mixture (R_t 4.0 min) which was separated by TLC (Et₂O-C₆H₆-CHCl₃, 1:1:1) affording 2 mg dehydroleucodin $(R_f 0.52)$, 3 mg hanphyllin $(R_f 0.40)$ and 10 mg 12 $(R_f 0.30)$. HPLC (MeOH-H₂O, 3:2) of 5/4 (R_f 0.2) gave 2 mg artabin (R_t 3.8 min). TLC of fraction 6 (Et₂O) gave 100 mg scopoletin (R_f 0.55) and a mixture (R_f 0.3) which was separated by HPLC (MeOH-H₂O, 1:1) affording a mixture (R_t 1.5 min) which gave by TLC (Et₂O--C₆H₆-CHCl₃, 1:1:1) 5 mg 6 (R_f 0.64) and a mixture $(R_f 0.4)$ which by TLC (Et₂O-petrol, 3:1, and than Et₂O) gave 5 mg canin (R_f 0.32) and 10 mg secotanapartholides A and B (4) (R_f 0.28). Fraction 7 was further separated by medium pressure CC (silica gel Woelm, 32-63, 25 ml fractions). Fractions 5-10 (Et₂O) gave 50 mg scopoletin, fractions 11-14 (Et₂O-MeOH, 99:1) afforded by TLC (Et₂O-MeOH, 99:1) 10 mg scopoletin (R_f 0.62) and 4 mg artecanin (R_f 0.45). Fractions 15-25 (Et₂O-MeOH, 19:1) gave

by TLC (Et₂O-MeOH, 99:1) 10 mg scopoletin and a mixture (R_f 0.45) which was combined with fractions 26-33 (Et₂O-MeOH, 9:1) which by TLC (Et₂O-MeOH, 99:1) gave 10 mg seco-tanapartholide A and B (4) and 30 mg canin. Fractions 34-40 (Et₂O-MeOH, 5:1) gave by TLC (Et₂O-MeOH, 97:3) four bands (7/1-7/4). Band 7/1 gave 10 mg scopoletin, 7/2 5 mg artecanin, 7/3 20 mg seco-tanapartholides A and B (4). TLC of 7/4 (Et₂O-MeOH, 9:1) gave a mixture (R_f 0.6) which by HPLC (MeOH-H₂O, 2:3) gave 2 mg 2 (R_t 1.1 min) and a mixture (R_t 0.65). The amounts of the seco-tanapartholides and of 5 were much higher in the crude fractions, but the compounds were destroyed to a large extent during the separations.

The extract of the aerial parts (440 g) of Artemisia laciniata (voucher 46) collected in the Mongolian Peoples Republic, Bulgan Aimak, 15 km E of Chutag, July 1983) gave by CC five fractions: Fr. 1 (petrol), Fr. 2 (Et₂O-petrol, 1:10), Fr. 3 (Et₂O-petrol, 9:1), Fr. 4 (Et₂O-petrol, 1:1) and Fr. 5 (Et₂O and Et₂O-MeOH, 9:1). TLC (petrol) of fraction 1 gave 10 mg arcurcumene. TLC of fraction 2 (Et₂O-petrol, 1:20) after addition of CH₂N₂ gave 100 mg methyleremophil-9,11-dien-12-oate, 50 mg camphor and 20 mg trans-chrysanthenol. TLC of fraction 3 (Et₂O-petrol, 1:3) gave 20 mg coumarin and fraction 4 gave by TLC (Et₂O-petrol, 1:1) 10 mg p-hydroxyacetophenone and two bands (4/1 and 4/2). Band 4/1 gave after addition of CH_2N_2 by TLC (Et₂O-petrol, 1:3) 20 mg methyl- 8α -acetoxy iso-costic acid ester (R_f 0.68). TLC of band 4/2 (C_6H_6 -CHCl₃-Et₂O, 2:2:1) gave 20 mg tanaparthin- α -peroxide (R_f 0.6), 10 mg 3hydroxyocta-1,6*E*-dien-5-one (R_f 0.45) and 20 mg 7-hydroxyborneol (R_f 0.3). TLC of fraction 5 (CH₂Cl₂-MeOH, 30:1) gave 30 mg scopoletin (R_f 0.6) and 300 mg 4 (R_f 0.45).

The extract of the aerial parts (300 g) of Artemisia xanthochroa (voucher 30) collected in the Mongolian Peoples Republic, Bulgan (Aimak, 15 km E of Chutag, July 1983) gave three CC fractions: Fr.

1 (Et₂O-petrol, 1:10), Fr. 2 (Et₂O) and Fr. 3 (Et₂O-MeOH, 20:1). Distillation of fraction 1 gave 100 mg camphor and 500 mg benzylacetone. TLC of fraction 2 (Et₂O-petrol, 1:1) gave three bands (2/1-2/3). TLC of 2/1 (Et₂O-petrol, 1:1) gave 100 mg 3hydroxybutyl-1-benzene $(R_f = 0.56).$ TLC of 2/2(CHCl₃-C₆H₆-Et₂O, 2:2:1) gave 200 mg dehydroleucodin (R_{f} 0.58) and TLC of 2/3 (CHCl₃-C₆H₆-Et₂O, 2:2:1) gave 200 mg dehydroleucodin ($R_f 0.58$) and TLC of 2/3 (CHCl₃-C₆H₆-Et₂O, 2:2:1) gave two bands (2/3/1 and 2/3/2). TLC of 2/3/1 (Et₂O-petrol, 3:1, two developments) gave 2 mg balchanin (R_f 0.50). TLC of 2/3/2 (same solvent) gave 100 mg hanphyllin (R_f 0.32), crude 1 which on TLC (Et₂O-petrol, 3:1, two developments) gave 5 mg 1 (R_f 0.42). TLC of fraction 3 (Et₂O-MeOH, 200:1) gave a polar band which on TLC (first CHCl₃-C₆H₆-Et₂O-MeOH, 7:7:7:1, then CH₂Cl₂-MeOH, 20:1) gave 2 mg canin (R_f 0.68), 4 mg artecanin (R_f 0.66), 10 mg 4 $(R_f 0.58)$, 20 mg 5 $(R_f 0.45)$ and 10 mg 2 $(R_f 0.30)$. Again the lengthy separation caused considerable losses of the unstable lactones which were present in much higher concentration in the crude fractions.

The extract of the aerial parts (66 g) of Artemisia schischkinii (voucher 36, collected in the Mongolian Peoples Republic, Töw Aimak, Möngönmort Somon, Kerulen Valley, August 1983) gave by CC and TLC 50 mg farnesyl isovalerate (Et₂O-petrol, 1:10), 100 mg arborescin (Et₂O-petrol, 1:1, R_f 0.65) and 50 mg ashantin (Et₂O-petrol, 1:1, R_f 0.22).

The extract of the aerial parts (850 g) of Artemisia palustris (voucher 55, collected in the Mongolian Peoples Republic, Töw Aimak, Möngönmort Somon, Chentej Mountains, August 1983) gave four CC fractions: Fr. 1 (petrol), Fr. 2 (Et₂O-petrol, 1:3 and 1:1), Fr. 3 (Et₂O) and Fr. 4 (Et₂O-MeOH, 10:1). TLC of fraction 1 (petrol) gave 10 mg germacrene D and 10 mg caryophyllene. TLC of fraction 2 (Et₂O-petrol, 1:10) afforded 10 mg dehydrofalcarinol. Medium pressure CC (silica gel, ϕ 60 μ) of fraction 3 gave five fractions (3/1-3/5) starting with Et₂O-petrol, 1:3, 1:1 and finally Et₂O. Fraction 3/1 gave 40 mg 13, fraction 3/2 5 mg dehydrofalcarinol, 3/3 60 mg *p*-hydroxyacetophenone, 3/4 gave 100 mg crude 14 and 3/5 100 mg 15. Fraction 4 showed absence of OMe groups in the ¹H NMR and therefore was treated with CH₂N₂. TLC (Et₂O-petrol, 1:1) gave 20 mg 15a (R_f 0.62), a mixture of 14a and 15a as well as 150 mg 14a (R_f 0.45).

The extract of the aerial parts (220 g) of Artemisia stelleriana (voucher 84/1711, Botanical Garden, Berlin) gave three CC fractions. Fraction 1 (petrol) gave by TLC (petrol) 20 mg sesquiphellandrene. TLC of fraction 2 (Et₂O-petrol, 1:20) gave as previously [17] the thiophene spiroketal enolether and fraction 3 gave by TLC (Et₂O-petrol, 1:1) 40 mg 7 (R_f 0.61).

5β,10α-Dihydroxy-1α-H-dehydroleucodin (1). Colourless oil; IR $\nu_{max}^{CHCl_3}$ cm⁻¹: 3600 (OH), 1765 (γ-lactone); MS m/z (rel. int.): 278 [M]⁺ (3), 263 [M - Me]⁺ (15), 260.105 [M - H₂O]⁺ (40) (calc. for C₁₅H₁₆O₄: 260.105), 242 [260 - H₂O]⁺ (38), 167 (100), 112 (81), 111 (96), 109 (72).

Artecaninhydrate (2). Colourless crystals, mp 142°; IR $v_{max}^{CHCl_3}$ cm⁻¹: 3620 (OH), 1770 (γ -lactone); MS m/z (rel. int.): 278 $[M - H_2O]^+$ (11), 260 $[278 - H_2O]^+$ (21), 129 (100); CIMS m/z (rel. int.): 297 $[M + 1]^+$ (21), 279 $[297 - H_2O]^+$ (100), 261 $[279 - H_2O]^+$ (32), 243 $[261 - H_2O]^+$ (12). To 5 mg 2 in 2 ml CHCl₃ 10 mg 4-pyrrolidinopyridin and 0.1 ml Ac₂O were added. After 3 hr at 20° TLC (Et₂O) gave 3 mg 3; IR $v_{max}^{CHCl_3}$ cm⁻¹: 3600 (OH), 1770 (γ -lactone), 1740, 1250 (OAc); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 339 $[M + 1]^+$ (40), 321 $[339 - H_2O]^+$ (95), 261 $[321 - HOAc]^+$ (100), 243 $[261 - H_2O]^+$ (66); $[\alpha]_D = -22^\circ$ (CHCl₃; c 0.44).

Iso-seco-tanapartholide (5). Colourless oil; IR $v_{max}^{CHCl_3}$ cm⁻¹: 3620 (OH), 1770 (γ -lactone), 1715 (C=O); MS m/z (rel. int.): 278.115 [M]⁺ (2) (calc. for C₁₅H₁₈O₅: 278.115), 260 [M $-H_2O$]⁺ (11), 218 [260 - ketene]⁺ (11), 217 [260 - MeCO]⁺ (12), 61 (100).

Bis-seco-tanapartholide (6). Colourless oil; $IR v_{max}^{CCL} cm^{-1}$: 3620 (OH), 1770 (γ-lactone), 1720 (C=O); MS m/z (rel. int.): 278.115 [M]⁺ (3) (calc. for C₁₅H₁₈O₅: 278.115), 260 [278 -H₂O]⁺ (20), 166 [M - MeCOCH=CHCOMe, McLafferty]⁺ (51), 124 [166 - ketene]⁺ (51), 109 [166 - CH₂COMe]⁺ (54), 97 [MeCOCH=CHCO]⁺ (100), 69 [97 - CO]⁺ (38).

1,9,12-*Triacetoxybisabolene* (7). Colourless crystals, mp 82°; IR $\nu_{max}^{CCL_{4}}$ cm⁻¹: 1745, 1240 (OAc); MS m/z (rel. int.): 378.204 [M]⁺ (0.2) (calc. for C₂₁H₃₀O₆: 378.204), 319 [M – OAc]⁺ (2.5), 318 [M – HOAc]⁺ (0.5), 258 [318 – HOAc]⁺ (22), 198 [258 – HOAc]⁺ (60), 183 [198 – Me]⁺ (67), 84 [C₅H₈O, RCO – ketene]⁺ (100); $[\alpha]_{D} = -55^{\circ}$ (CHCl₃; c 4.6). To 50 mg 7 in 3 ml MeOH 100 mg K₂CO₃ in 2 ml H₂O was added. After 15 min at 25° dil. H₂SO₄ was added. TLC (Et₂O– petrol, 3:1) gave 35 mg 7, 2 mg 7b (R_f 0.51), 3 mg 7a (R_f 0.46) and 3 mg 7c (R_f 0.38).

Compound 7a. MS m/z (rel. int.): 336 [M]⁺ (0.3), 277 [M -OAc]⁺ (2), 276 [M -HOAc]⁺ (1), 258 [276 $-H_2O$]⁺ (0.5), 234 [276 -ketene]⁺ (2), 216 [276 -HOAc]⁺ (24), 198 [216 $-H_2O$]⁺ (12), 183 [198 -Me]⁺ (22), 84 [C₅H₈O]⁺ (100); IR $\nu_{max}^{CCL_4}$ cm⁻¹: 3620 (OH), 1740, 1245 (OAc).

Compound 7b. MS m/z (rel. int.): 276 $[M - HOAc]^+$ (2.5), 216 $[276 - HOAc]^+$ (8), 201 $[216 - Me]^+$ (5), 198 $[216 - H_2O]^+$ (6), 183 $[198 - Me]^+$ (8), 84 $[C_5H_8O]^+$ (100).

Compound 7c. MS m/z (rel. int.): 336 [M]⁺ (0.6), 276 [M -HOAc]⁺ (10), 216 [276 -HOAc]⁺ (21), 201 [216 -Me]⁺ (14), 198 [216 $-H_2O$]⁺ (12), 84 [C₅H₈O]⁺ (100).

6-Formyl-1,1,3-trimethylcyclohex-2-en-4β-ol (8). Colourless oil; IR $\nu_{max}^{CCL_4}$ cm⁻¹: 3600 (OH), 2730, 1735 (CHO); MS m/z (rel. int.): 168.115 [M]⁺ (0.5) (calc. for C₁₀H₁₆O₂: 168.115), 153 [M – Me]⁺ (2), 150 [M – H₂O]⁺ (3), 135 [153 – CO]⁺ (2), 122 (24), 107 (100), 91 (31). 5 mg 8 in 3 ml Et₂O were stirred 1 hr with 50 mg MnO₂. TLC (Et₂O-petrol, 1:3) gave 3 mg 9 (R_f 0.45); colourless oil; IR $\nu_{max}^{CCL_4}$ cm⁻¹: 2720, 1720 (CHO), 1680 (C = CC = O); MS m/z (rel. int.): 166 [M]⁺ (14), 151 [M – Me]⁺ (24), 137 [M – CHO]⁺ (84), 109 (100); [α]_D = -25° (CHCl₃; c 0.3).

5α-Hydroxy-β-pinen-2-one (10). Colourless oil; IR $v_{max}^{CCl_4}$ cm⁻¹: 3610 (OH), 1710, 1630 (C=CC=O); MS m/z (rel. int.): 166.099 [M]⁺ (5) (calc. for C₁₀H₁₄O₂: 166.099), 137 [M - CHO]⁺ (11), 91 (100).

2α,5α-Dihydroxy-β-pinene (11). Colourless oil; $IR v_{mcl}^{CCl_4} cm^{-1}$: 3595 (OH), 910 (C=CH₂); MS m/z (rel. int.): 168.115 [M]⁺ (7) (calc. for C₁₀H₁₆O₂: 168.115), 150 [M - H₂O]⁺ (22), 135 [150 - Me]⁺ (28), 121 [150 - CHO]⁺ (71), 107 (100), 105 (84), 91 (88). 7-Hydroxyborneol (12). Colourless crystals, mp 180°;

IR v_{max}^{CCL} cm⁻¹: 3620, 3450 (OH); MS m/z (rel. int.): 152.120 [M $-H_2O$]⁺ (1) (calc. for C₁₀H₁₆O: 152.120), 137 [152 -Me]⁺ (4.5), 119 [137 $-H_2O$]⁺ (6), 108 [152 $-C_2H_4O$]⁺ (100), 95 (56).

3-[4'-Hydroxyprenyl]-5-prenyl-p-coumaric acid (14). The crude acid was transformed to methyl ester 14a; colourless oil; IR $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm CCL}$ cm⁻¹: 3590 (OH), 1720, 1635, 1600 (PhC=CCO₂R); MS m/z (rel. int.): 330.183 [M]⁺ (32) (calc. for C₂₀H₂₆O₄: 330.183), 312 [M-H₂O]⁺ (100), 297 [312 - Me]⁺ (61), 257 [312 - C₄H₇]⁺ (26), 243 (20), 206 (30).

3-[5'-Hydroxyprenyl]-5-prenyl-p-coumaric acid (15). Colourless crystals, mp 146°; $IR v_{max}^{CHCl_3} cm^{-1}$: 3550–2700, 1695, 1635, 1600 (PhC=CCO₂H, OH); MS m/z (rel. int.): 316.167 [M]⁺ (61) (calc. for C₁₉H₂₄O₄: 316.167), 298 [M - H₂O]⁺ (100), 283 [298 - Me]⁺ (95), 243 (37); To 20 mg 15 in 3 ml Et₂O excess of CH₂N₂ was added. TLC (Et₂O-petrol, 1:1) gave 20 mg 15a (R_f 0.62); colourless oil; IR $v_{max}^{CCl_4} cm^{-1}$: 3580 (OH), 1720, 1630, 1600 (PhC=CCO₂R); MS m/z (rel. int.): 330.183 [M]⁺ (64) (calc. for C₂₀H₂₆O₄: 330.183), 312 [M - H₂O]⁺ (100), 297 [312 - Me]⁺ (24).

Compound 15a (20 mg) was heated in C_6H_6 with 5 mg ptoluene sulphonic acid for 5 min at 80°. TLC (Et₂O-petrol, 1:1) gave 2 mg 15a and 15 mg 16, colourless oil; IR v_{max}^{CCL} cm⁻¹: 3600 (OH), 1720, 1630, 1600 (PhC=CCO₂R); MS m/z (rel. int.): 330.183 [M]⁺ (100) (calc. for C₂₀H₂₆O₄: 330.183), 312 [M $-H_2O$]⁺ (22), 297 [312 - Me]⁺ (41), 257 [312 - C₄H₇]⁺ (66), 243 (24).

Acknowledgements—We thank Dr. W. Hilbig and Dr. E. Jäger, University of Halle, and Dr. T. G. Leonowa, Leningrad for identification of the plant material and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for financial support (C.Z. and F.B.).

REFERENCES

- 1. Evstratova, R. I. and Chugunov, P. V. (1969) Khim. Prir. Soedin 5, 445.
- 2. Bohlmann, F., Misra, L. N., Jakupovic, J., King, R. M. and Robinson, H. (1985) Phytochemistry 24, 1315.
- 3. Asakawa, Y., Toyota, M. and Takemoto, T. (1981) Phytochemistry 20, 257.
- 4. Irwin, M. A. and Geissman, T. A. (1973) Phytochemistry 12, 875.
- 5. Abdullaev, U. A., Rashkes, X. V., Tardsov, V. A. and Kasymov, S. Z. (1980) Khim. Prir. Soedin. 636.
- Bhadane, N. R. and Shafizadeh, F. (1975) Phytochemistry 14, 2651.
- 7. Bohlmann, F. and Zdero, C. (1972) Tetrahedron Letters 621.
- 8. Bohlmann, F. and Zdero, C. (1982) Phytochemistry 21, 2543.
- 9. Torrance, S. J. and Steelink, C. (1974) J. Org. Chem. 39, 1068.
- 10. Bohlmann, F., Trinks, C., Jakupovic, J. and Huneck, S. (1985)

Phytochemistry 24, 995.

- 11. Bohlmann, F., Gupta, R. K., Jakupovic, J., King, R. M. and Robinson, H. (1981) Phytochemistry 20, 1635.
- 12. Sharvarda, A. L. (1976) Khim. Prir. Soedin 50.
- 13. Suchy, M. (1962) Coll. Czech. Chem. Commun. 27, 2925.
- Suchy, M., Herout, V. and Sorm, F. (1959) Coll. Czech. Chem. Commun. 24, 1542.
- Bates, R. B., Cekan, Z., Prohaska, V. and Herout, V. (1963) Tetrahedron Letters 1127.
- 16. Greger, H. and Hofer, O. (1980) Tetrahedron 36, 3551.
- 17. Bohlmann, F., Burkhardt, T. and Zdero, C. (1973) Naturally Occurring Acetylenes. Academic Press, London.
- Bohlmann, F. and Jakupovic, J. (1979) Phytochemistry 18, 1189.
- 19. de Pascual-T., J., Bellido, I. S., Gonzales, M. J., Murial, M. R. and Hernandez, J. M. (1981) *Phytochemistry* 20, 2417.
- Barton, D. H. R., Mors, G. P. and Whittle, J. A. (1968) J. Chem. Soc. C 1813.
- Bohlmann, F., Hartono, L., Jakupovic, J. and Huneck, S. (1985) Phytochemistry 24, 1003.
- Bohlmann, F., Ang, W., Trinks, C., Jakupovic, J. and Huneck, S. (1985) Phytochemistry 24, 1009.
- Kelsey, R. G. and Shafizadeh, F. (1979) Phytochemistry 18, 1591.
- 24. Greger, H. (1979) Phytochemistry 18, 1319.
- 25. Greger, H. (1979) Planta Med. 35, 84.
- 26. Greger, H. (1984) Biochem. Syst. 9, 165.
- Bohlmann, F., Zdero, C., King, R. M. and Robinson, H. (1984) Phytochemistry 23, 1979.