

View Article Online
View Journal

ChemComm

Chemical Communications

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: S. Li, K. Jie, W. Yan, Q. Pan, M. Zhang, Y. Wang, Z. Fu, S. M. Guo and H. Cai, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, DOI: 10.1039/D0CC04960C.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the <u>Information for Authors</u>.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/D0CC04960C

COMMUNICATION

Selective C-C Bond Cleavage of Amides Fused to 8-Aminoquinoline Group Controlled by Catalyst and Oxidant

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Sen Li,[‡] Kun Jie,[‡] Wenjie Yan, Qingjun Pan, Min Zhang, Yufeng Wang, Zhengjiang Fu, Shengmei Guo,* Hu Cai*

Herein, copper-catalyzed direct C-C bond cleavage of amides fused to 8-aminoquinoline as a directing group to form urea in the presence of amines and dioxygen is reported. Compare to the previous C-H aminations of amides by C-H activation, this reaction presents a catalyst and oxidant controlled C-C bond cleavage strategy that enables amidation through a radical process. CuBr/Ag₂CO₃/O₂ showes the best catalytic result in 150 °C. A series of aryl and alkyl amides were compatible with this transformation. Notably, this method provided an access to cyclohexanone, one of the most important industrial materials. The pathway of this reaction was investigated.

Introduction

Published on 13 October 2020. Downloaded on 10/14/2020 2:33:03 AM

Transition-metal-catalysed amide transformations through C-N or C-C bond cleavage have emerged as a powerful tool for the synthesis of organic molecules, as amides are common in nature, and their conversions are important.1 Therefore, numerous efforts have been devoted to such reactions, including the establishment of new catalytic systems and, studies of the reaction mechanisms.² Among these, the nickel-(0) catalysts developed by Garg and the palladium-(II) complexes disclosed by Szostak can efficiently cleave the C-N bonds of amides.^{3, 4} Mechanistically, the transition-metalcatalysed amide transformation was found to generally involve metal insertion into the amide N-C bond (oxidative addition) followed by transmetallation and elimination to form an acyl compound, such as a ketone, an amide or an ester (Scheme 1, A).5, 6, 7, 8 In addition, some compounds subsequently undergo decarbonylation of the acyl-metal intermediate to realize C-C(=O) bond cleavage, which delivers aryl derivatives or alkenes (Scheme 1, B).9, 10 However, the direct C(sp2 or sp3)-C (=O) bond cleavage of amides instead of by C-N bond cleavage first remains a challenge, because that oxidative addition to the

Department of Chemistry, Nanchang University, No. 999, Xuefu Rd. Nanchang, 330031, P. R. China. E-mail: smguo@ncu.edu.cn, Caihu@ncu.edu.cn

‡These authors contributed equally to this work

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

metal catalyst is more difficult than that of the C(O)-N bond (Scheme 1, C). 11

Scheme 1 Pathways of amide conversion

On the other hand, even though works on C-C(=O) bond cleavage of acyl compounds and their derivatives have significantly increase in the past decades, direct C-C(O) bond cleavage is still largely confined to ketones because compared to acids, esters, amides and other acyl compounds, ketones have no other sites that are more active toward the addition of the catalyst. 12 In this context, Jiao reported elegant works on the copper-catalyzed aerobic oxidative C-C bond cleavage of unstrained ketones to realize amidation and esterification. 13 Bi independently reported copper-catalyzed and transformations of methyl ketones into aldehydes or other ketones. 14 Jiang and Paine independently found that α-hydroxy ketones could be converted to acids or esters. 15

Recently, 8-aminoquinolinebenzamides have captured substantial attention from the organic chemistry community because these compounds can be directly installed with a variety of functional groups at the ortho position of amide groups via transition-metal-catalyzed C-H activation. This type of reaction was considered proceed via a stable, five-memberedring carbon-metal species followed by nucleophilic coordination

COMMUNICATION Journal Name

Scheme 2 The conversion of 8-aminoquinolinebenzamide

and reductive elimination to afford for the desired products. ¹⁶ When amines were employed as the nucleophiles, the selective C-H amination reaction was carried out under oxidative or electrochemical conditions. ¹⁷ However, to date, the C-C(=O) bond cleavage of 8-aminoquinolinebenzamides has not been reported. Herein, an unconventional coppercatalysed C-C(=O) bond cleavage of 8-aminoquinolineamides in the presence of secondary amines is reported (Scheme 2).

Table 1 Optimization of the reaction conditions a

Published on 13 October 2020. Downloaded on 10/14/2020 2:33:03 AM

1a		2a	Saa	a 4aa
entry	[Cu]	[Ag]	solvent	3:4 yield % ^b
1	Cu₂O	Ag_2CO_3	toluene	35/36
2	CuBr	Ag_2CO_3	toluene	60/trace
3	Cu(OAc) ₂	Ag_2CO_3	toluene	trace/59
4	-	Ag_2CO_3	toluene	-
5	CuBr	-	toluene	25/trace
6	CuBr	Ag_2CO_3	tol/diox (1:1)	74/trace
7 ^c	CuBr	Ag_2CO_3	tol/diox (1:1)	trace/57

 $[^]a$ Reaction conditions: $\bf 1a$ (0.3 mmol), $\bf 2a$ (0.9 mmol), [Cu] 50 mol%, [Ag] (200 mol%), solvent solvent (2.0 ml), O2, 150 °C, 12 h; b Isolated yield; c Under air instead of O2.

We initiated our investigation with the reaction of 1a and 2a in toluene to identify the optimal reaction conditions. Fortunately, when the reaction was conducted with Cu₂O (0.5 equiv.) as the catalyst and Ag₂CO₃ (2.0 equiv.) as an additive at 150 °C in an O2 atmosphere for 12 h, we found that urea 3a was produced in 35% yield along with C-H amination product 4a in 36% yield, and the benzene fragment was transformed to an unknown mixture (Table1, entry 1). Encouraged by this, various Cu salts were screened. When CuBr was employed in the reaction, the selectivity of the reaction was dramatically increased, furnishing 3a in 60% yield with a trace amount of 4a (Table 1, entry 2). In contrast, in the presence of Cu(OAc)2, the reaction afforded 4a in 59% yield with a trace amount of 3a (entry 3). Moreover, in the absence of a Cu source, neither 3a nor 4a were generated (entry 4). Notably, the Ag salt played an important role in this transformation. When Ag₂CO₃ was omitted from the reaction, desired product 3a was obtained in 25% yield with only a trace amount of **4a**, and silver mirror was found (entry 5). (Please see SI). When a mixture of 42,644 of 44 of 44

Figure 1 The scope of amines

Upon establishing the optimized reaction conditions, the amine scope was investigated. As shown in Figure 1, cyclic amines such as four-membered azetidine and pyrrolidine were compatible with this transformation, giving the desired product in 45% and 77% yields (3ab, 3ac). When 4methylpiperidine and 4-phenylpiperidine were subjected to the reaction, the desired products were obtained in 66% and 70% yields, respectively (3ad, 3ae). Furthermore, amines with larger rings, such as azepane and azocane, were also tolerated (3af and 3ag). In addition, octahydro-1H-isoindole delivered the desired product in 70% yield (3ah). Acyclic secondary amines are less reactive than cyclic secondary amines. This may be due to steric effects (3ai). Amines with less steric hindrance, such as amines with methyl groups, were subjected to this procedure, and the C-C cleavage products were isolated in 32% to 42% yields respectively (3aj, 3ak, 3al and 3am). Unfortunately, primary and aryl amines were not suitable for this reaction (3an and 3ao). It is likely that primary amines were oxidized to imines under the reaction conditions.18 Puzzlingly, 1-methylpiperazine gave only the C-H amination product, and the C-C bond cleavage product was not observed.

Subsequently, the substrate scope of amides was explored (Figure 2). For 8-aminoquinolinebenzamides, a series of halogens on the phenyl ring (**1b-1e**) were compatible with the present reaction, and desired products **3aa** were isolated from 50% to 74% yields. Other substrates with electron-withdrawing or electron-donating group, such as **1f**, **1g**, **1h**, **1i**, **1j** and **1k** were also subjected to this system, and the corresponding products were isolated in moderate yields. In

Published on 13 October 2020. Downloaded on 10/14/2020 2:33:03 AM

Journal Name COMMUNICATION

Figure 2 The scope of amides

addition, 1l could be smoothly transformed to the desired product in 70% yield. Interestingly, 1m provided the C-C bond cleavage and C-H amination products in 58% (3aa) and 30% (4ma) yields, respectively, under the standard conditions. Similar phenomena occurred with 1n, 1o as reactants. Substrates with ortho groups on the benzene such as 1p and 1q gave the expected products in 44% and 46% yields. Heterocyclic benzamides such as 1r was tolerated in this transformation. Alkyl amides such as (1s) and (1t) successfully underwent this transformation, with lower yields than aryl amides, this might be because the 2° carbon radical with an electron-withdrawing group is less stable than allyl radical.

To identify part products delivered by amides cleavage, the reaction of 1x and 2a was analysed by GC-MS, and cyclohexanone was found (Scheme 3, a). Furthermore, when 1y was used as a substrate, the 5y was isolated in 51% yield along with 54% 3aa (Scheme 3, b).

Scheme 3 Ketone formation

To elucidate the reaction mechanism, several control experiments were conducted (Please see SI). First, N-(naphthalen-1-yI)benzamide or N-phenylbenzamide instead of 1a were treated with morpholine under the standard conditions, and no desired product was observed, indicating that the directing group is necessary (SI, scheme 1, a). Next, when the substrate was switched to N-methylated 1a, the reaction was virtually stopped, which indicated that a nitrogen-copper complex was generated in the reaction (b).

Subsequently, the reaction of O18 labelled 1a was carried out. the desired product was isolated in 68% Viele, showed that the reaction did not involve decarbonylation (c). 2,3,4,5,6-Pentafluoro-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide and 2,6-dimethyl-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide were tested as substrates, and the desired urea was obtained in 25% and 32% yields, respectively, suggesting that a C-M bond was not produced from C-H bond of the amide and the metal catalyst in this reaction (d). When 2,3,4,5,6-pentamethylbenzoic acid derivative was subjected to the reaction, poor result was obtained, but phenol was detected by GC-MS, which implies that phenols are produced when the phenyl part of the amide is cleaved (e). We think that these phenols were further oxidized under harsh conditions. Radical scavengers such as TEMPO and 1,1diphenylethylene were added to the standard reactions, the product yield was dramatically decreased, implying that this reaction proceed via a radical mechanism. NBS and AIBN instead of copper were treated in the model reactions, no desired product was found. EtOH instead of amines was employed into the reaction, and no carbamate formed, indicating the reaction did not undergo an amidyl radical, which then via structure resonance to form isocyanate intermediates (g, h).

Although the mechanistic details of this reaction are currently unclear, on the basis of the control experiments and previous literature^{12b, 13, 14, 19, 20}, a plausible mechanism is illustrated (Scheme 4 and SI). First, copper coordinates with the amide to form intermediate **A**. In dioxygen, copper(II) is oxidized to peroxycopper(III) species **B**. Subsequently, an intramolecular radical relay and oxidation of **B** afford **C**. Then, the amine attacks the acyl group to give **D**. An intramolecular rearrangement takes place to generate a ketone and **E**. With acid assistance, the corresponding urea is generated, and the formed copper(II) continues the catalytic cycle.

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{CuX} \\ \text{IO} \\ \text{Cu} \\ \text{X}_2 \\ \text{HO} \\ \text{Cu} \\ \text{II} \\ \text{Cu} \\ \text{II} \\ \text{II}$$

Scheme 4 . Reaction mechanism

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have demonstrated the copper-catalyzed direct C-C bond cleavage of amides with 8-aminoquinoline as a directing group. The studies described here showed that the catalyst and dioxygen played important roles in the selectivity of the reaction. The scope of this transformation is broad. A possible mechanism of this reaction was proposed based on several detailed experiments.

COMMUNICATION Journal Name

Conflicts of interest

There are conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We thank financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21861024, 21861026, 21761021).

Notes and references

Published on 13 October 2020. Downloaded on 10/14/2020 2:33:03 AM

- (a) D. Kaiser, A. Bauer, M. Lemmerer, N. Maulide, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, 47, 7899;
 (b) R. Takise, K. Muto, J. Yamaguchi, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, 46, 5864;
 (c) F. Chen, T. Wang, N. Jiao, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, 114, 8613.
- Selected works see: (a) Y. Xu, B. Wang, J. Jiang, H. Yu, Y. Fu, J. Org. Chem., 2019, 84, 9474; (b) Z. Luo, L. Xiong, T. Liu, Y. Zhang, S. Lu, Y. Chen, W. Guo, Y. Zhu, Z. Zeng, J. Org. Chem., 2019, 84, 10559; (c) X. Zhou, G. Zhang, B. Gao, H. Huang, Org. Lett., 2018, 20, 2208; (d) J. A. Walker Jr, K. L. Vickerman, J. N. Humke, L. M. Stanley, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 10228; (e) M. C. Bröhmer, S. Mundinger, S. Bräse, W. Bannwarth, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 6175; (f) B. J. Simmons, M. Hoffmann, J. Hwang, M. K. Jackl, N. K. Garg, Org. Lett., 2017, 19, 1910; (g) K. Ouyang, W. Hao, W.-X. Zhang, Z. Xi, Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 12045; (h) Q. Wang, Y. Su, L. Li, H. Huang, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2015, 45, 1257.
- 3 Selected works see: (a) J. E. Dander, N. K. Garg, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 1413; (b) E. L. Baker, M. M. Yamano, Y. Zhou, S. M. Anthony N. K. Garg, Nat. Commun., 2016, 7, 1; (c) N. A. Weires, E. L. Baker, N. K. Garg, Nat. Chem., 2016, 8, 75; (d) L. Hie, N. F. F. Nathel, T. K. Shah, E. L. Baker, X. Hong, Y.-F. Yang, P. Liu, K. N. Houk, N. K. Garg, Nature, 2015, 524, 79; (e) J. E. Dander, M. Giroud, S. Racine, E. R. Darzi, O. Alvizo, D. Entwistle, N. K. Garg, Commun. Chem., 2019, 2, 82.
- 4 Selected works see: (a) C. Liu, M. Szostak, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 12718; (b) G. Meng, M. Szostak, Org. Lett., 2016, 18, 796; (c) C. Liu, G. Li, S. Shi, G. Meng, R. Lalancette, R. Szostak, M. Szostak, ACS Catal., 2018, 8, 9131; (d) T. Zhou, G. Li, S. P. Nolan, M. Szostak, Org. Lett., 2019, 21, 3304; (e) S. Shi, M. Szostak, Org. Lett., 2017, 19, 3095.
- For reviews see: (a) Y. Bourne-Branchu, C. Gosmini, G. Danoun, Chem. -Eur. J., 2019, 25, 2663; (b) M. B. Chaudhari, B. Gnanaprakasam, Chem. -Asian J., 2019, 14, 76; (c) C. Liu, M. Szostak, Chem.-Eur. J., 2017, 23, 7157.
- (a) T. B. Boit, N. A. Weires, J. Kim, N. K. Garg, ACS Catal., 2018, 8, 1003; (b) X. Li, G. Zou, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 5089; (c) X. Liu, C.-C. Hsiao, L. Guo, M. Rueping, Org. Lett., 2018, 20, 2976; (d) W. Srimontree, A. Chatupheeraphat, H.-H. Liao, M. Rueping, Org. Lett., 2017, 19, 3091; (e) C. Chen, P. Liu, M. Luo, X. Zeng, ACS Catal., 2018, 8, 5864; (f) G. Li, C.-L. Ji, X. Hong, M. Szostak, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2019, 141, 11161.
- (a) J. E. Dander, E. L. Baker, N. K. Garg, Chem. Sci., 2017, 8, 6433; (b) G. Meng, P. Lei, M. Szostak, Org. Lett., 2017, 19, 2158; (c) C. W. Cheung, J. Ma, X. Hu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 6789; (d) Y. Liu, M. Achtenhagen, R. Liu, M. Szostak, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2018, 16, 1322; (e) P. Lei, G. Meng, Y. Ling, J. An, M. Szostak, J. Org. Chem., 2017, 82, 6638; (f) S. Yu, T. Shin, M. Zhang, Y. Xia, H. Kim, S. Lee, Org. Lett., 2018, 20, 7563.
- (a) P.-Q. Huang, H. Geng, *Green Chem.*, 2018, 20, 593; (b) J. E. Dander, E. L. Baker, N. K. Garg, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, 8, 6433; (c) D. Ye, Z. Liu, H. Chen, J. L. Sessler, C. Lei, *Org. Lett.*, 2019, 21, 6888; (d) Y. Bourne-Branchu, C. Gosmini, G. Danoun, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2017, 23, 10043.

- 9 (a) J. Hu, Y. Zhao, J. Liu, Y. Zhang, Z. Shi, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 8718; (b) S. Shi, G. Meng, M. 15203554 CARGEW. *Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 6959; (c) S. Shi, M. Szostak, *ACS Omega*, 2019, **4**, 4901; (d) A. Chatupheeraphat, H.-H. Liao, S.-C. Lee, M. Rueping, *Org. Lett.*, 2017, **19**, 4255.
- (a) G. Meng, M. Szostak, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 14518; (b) J. Hu, M. Wang, X. Pu, Z. Shi, Nat. Commun., 2017, 8, 14993; (c) C. Liu, G. Meng, M. Szostak, J. Org. Chem., 2016, 81, 12023.
- (a) S. Shi, S. P. Nolan, M. Szostak, Acc. Chem. Res., 2018, 51, 2589; (b) X. F. Yan, H. Sun, H. Xiang, D.-G. Yu, H. Luo, X. Zhou, Chem. Comm., 2018, 54, 8606; (d) L. Li, W. Huang, L. Chen, J. Dong, X. Ma, Y. Peng, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 10539; (e) E. V. Bellale, D. S. Bhalerao, K. G. Akamanchi, J. Org. Chem., 2008, 73, 9473.
- (a) L. Li, W. Huang, L. Chen, J. Dong, X. Ma, Y. Peng, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 10539; (b) L.-H. Zou, D. L. Priebbenow, L. Wang, J. Mottweiler, C. Bolm, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2013, 355, 2558; (c) B. Tiwari, J. Zhang, Y. R. Chi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 1911; (d) C. He, S. Guo, Li. Huang, A. Lei, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 8273; (e) C. Tang, N. Jiao, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 6528; (f) N. Vodnala, R. Gujjarappa, C. K. Hazra, D. Kaldhi, A. K. Kabi, U. Beifuss, C. C. Malakar, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2019, 361, 135; (g) A. S.-K. Tsang, A. Kapat, F. Schoenebeck, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 518;
- 13 (a) C. Zhang, P. Feng, N. Jiao, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 15257; (b) W. Zhou, W. Fan, Q. Jiang, Y.-F. Liang, N. Jiao, Org. Lett., 2015, 17, 2542.
- 14 (a) L. Zhang, X. Bi, X. Guan, X. Li, Q. Liu, B.-D. Barry, P. Liao, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 11303; (b) J. Wang, W. Chen, S. Zuo, L. Liu, X. Zhang, J. Wang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 12334.
- 15 (a) H. Liu, C. Dong, Z. Zhang, P. Wu, X. Jiang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 12570; (b) S. Paria, P. Halder, T. K. Paine, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 6195.
- 16 (a) S.-Y. Zhang, Q. Li, G. He, W. A. Nack, G. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 531; (b) A. M. Suess, M. Z. Ertem, C. J. Cramer, S. S. Stahl, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 9797; (c) L. Yu, X. Chen, D. Liu, L. Hu, Y. Yu, H. Huang, Z. Tan, Q. Gui, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2018, 360, 1346; (d) Z. Li, H. Yu, C. Bolm, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 9532; (e) J. Zhang, H. Chen, C. Lin, Z. Liu, C. Wang, Y. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 12990.
- (a) X. Gao, P. Wang, L. Zeng, S. Tang, A. Lei, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 4195; (b) J. Roane, O. Daugulis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 4601; (c) Q. Yan, Z. Chen, W. Yu, H. Yin, Z. Liu, Y. Zhang, Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 2482; (d) L. D. Tran, J. Roane, O. Daugulis, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 6043; (e) L. Capdevila, E. Andris, A. Briš, M. Tarrés, S. Roldán-Gómez, J. Roithová, X. Ribas, ACS Catal., 2018, 8, 10430; (f) S. Kathiravan, S. Suriyanarayanan, I. A. Nicholls, Org. Lett., 2019, 21, 1968; (g) S.-K. Zhang, R. C. Samanta, N. Sauermann, L. Ackermann, Chem. Eur. J., 2018, 24, 19166; (h) Q. Yan, T. Xiao, Z. Liu, Y. Zhang, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2016, 358, 2707.
- 18 G. Li, M. Szostak, Nature Commun., 2018, 9, 4165.
- (a) C.-L. Ji, X. Hong, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 15522; (b) T.
 Zhou, C.-L. Ji, X. Hong, M. Szostak, Chem. Sci., 2019, 10, 9865.
- (a) K. K. Toh, Y.-F. Wang, E. P. J. Ng, S. Chiba, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011, **133**, 13942; (b) C. J. Allpress, A. Miłaczewska, T. Borowski, J. R. Bennett, D. L. Tierney, A. M. Arif, L. M. Berreau, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 7821; (c) L. M. Sayre, S.-J. Jin, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1984, **49**, 4399; (d) S. E. Allen, R. R. Walvoord, R. Padilla-Salinas, M. C. Kozlowski, *Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **113**, 6234.