Alkyne Zip Reaction in the Synthesis of a Taxoid C-Ring

Damien Bourgeois,^[a] Joëlle Prunet,^[a] Ange Pancrazi,^{*[b]} Thierry Prangé,^[c] and Jean-Yves Lallemand^[a]

Keywords: Antitumor agents / Heterocycles / Natural products / Taxol / Total synthesis

Hydrazone **30**, precursor of a taxoid C-ring, was synthesized in 7 steps from commercial 2-methyl-1,3-cyclohexanedione. An enantioselective approach to **30** was also investigated, using a reduction of diketone **11** with bakers' yeast to introduce enantioselectivity. During the alkyne isomerization step, anionic cyclizations of alkoxides and enolates onto the triple bond were observed, and a thorough study of these reactions is reported here.

Introduction

In our studies directed towards the total synthesis of antitumor agents $taxol^{(m)}$ (1) and $taxotere^{(m)}$ (2)^[1,2] we planned a convergent retrosynthesis in which the key step was an olefin metathesis to form the B-ring of these molecules (Scheme 1). In a preceding paper,^[3] we described the preparation of seco-taxane 3 by coupling the vinyllithium derived from hydrazone 5 with aldehyde 4, by a Shapiro reaction. The diol formation was completely diastereoselective and adduct 3 was obtained with the desired stereochemistry for taxol at C-1 and C-2. Unfortunately, all attempts at metathesis with derivatives of diol 3 were unsuccessful.^[4] We then turned our attention to a semiconvergent retrosynthesis, in which the A-ring would be assembled during the last steps by a pinacol coupling between ketone moieties at C11 and C12.^[2e] Formation of the eight-membered Bring would still be effected by an olefin metathesis reaction.^[5] A similar Shapiro reaction between hydrazone 5 and acyclic aldehyde 7 was envisaged for the formation of secotaxane 6 (Scheme 1).

We wish to describe here an efficient, enantioselective synthesis of ketone 8, precursor of hydrazone 5, from the easily accessible diketone 11 (Scheme 2). The nontrivial isomerization step of 10 into 9, which led to side products through anionic cyclizations of alkoxide and enolate intermediates onto the alkyne function, is reported in detail.

Results and Discussion

Alkylation of commercial 1,3-diketone **12** furnished diketo propargylic derivative **11** (Scheme 3).^[6] The expected

 [a] Laboratoire de Synthèse Organique, Ecole Polytechnique, 91128 Palaiseau Cedex, France Fax: (internat.) + 33 1 69333010

- E-mail: Joelle.Prunet@polytechnique.fr
- [b] Laboratoire de Synthèse Organique Sélective et Chimie Organométallique, UCP-ESCOM,
 13 Bd de l'Hautil, 95092 Cergy-Pontoise, France Fax: (internat.) + 33 1 0130756186
- ^[c] Laboratoire de Chimie Structurale Biomoléculaire, Université Paris Nord,

74 rue Marcel Cachin, 93017 Bobigny, France





enantiopure hydroxy ketone **13** was obtained in >95% *ee* according to the method of Brooks,^[7] by enantioselective reduction using bakers' yeast. The isolated yield of **13** on a large scale was only 33%, due to an arduous extraction followed by a difficult separation from the starting diketone, the other diastereomer (**13/14** = 3:1) and the diol resulting from overreduction.



Scheme 2



We therefore decided to look into an efficient preparation, from diketone 11, of the racemic alkoxy derivative 10, and to explore its conversion into 9. Monoketalization of 11 gave ketone 15; conversion did not exceed 70%, but the product crystallized out of the reaction mixture and it was possible to recycle the mother liquors, thus giving the desired product in 85% yield after 3 cycles. This monoketone was then reduced under various different conditions;^[8] the best result was finally obtained with LiAlH₄ in toluene, furnishing a 1:1 mixture of the expected derivative 16 and its diastereomer 17 (Scheme 4). Both structures were assigned by extensive NMR study.



Scheme 4

When treated with a catalytic amount of tBuOK in DMSO,^[9] alcohol 16 did not produce the expected isomerized alkyne. However, enol ether 18 could be isolated in 90% yield after rapid flash chromatography (Scheme 5). Hydrolysis of 18 in acidic media led to hydroxy ketone 19 (existing in an open form). The same treatment was also applied, at a slightly lower temperature, to isomer 17, and resulted in an unstable product which, after hydrolysis on silica gel, produced lactol 21.^[10] Hence, the intermediate product was assigned, from its ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra, as enol ether 20. The mechanism leading to these products is discussed later in this paper.

In order to avoid the anionic cyclization of the alkoxide onto the alkyne during the basic treatment needed for the isomerization, we decided to protect the free alcohol as a silvl ether. However, the only reaction observed on treatment of the corresponding TBS ether with tBuOK in DMSO was deprotection of the silvl ether, followed by anionic cyclization of the resulting alkoxide. We next examined the case of ketone 15.^[11] Treatment of 15 with *t*BuOK in DMSO at room temperature required a stoichiometric amount of base, and afforded the cyclic products 23, 24, and 25. The desired acetylenic isomer 22 was not detected (Scheme 6). Use of KH in DMF led to compounds 23, 24, and 25 in poor yields, because of extensive degradation. However, when this reaction was carried out under the same conditions, except with diisopropylamine added as a proton source, acetylenic product 22 was produced in 52% vield. Compounds 23 and 24 were isolated in 8% and 4%yields, respectively, while bicyclo derivative 25 was not detected in this case. Having revealed the importance of the proton source, we reverted to the previous conditions (tBuOK in DMSO), with addition of tBuOH. Under these conditions, reaction was complete within one hour; the expected acetylenic product 22 was obtained, but only in a 35% yield, along with 35% of 23. The moderate yields observed for these reactions are due to degradation of compound 22 in basic media; 22 has a half-life of 6 hours at room temperature under tBuOK/DMSO conditions (none of the other isomers 23, 24, 25, or even 15 were detected in this case).

Formation of compounds 18 and 20 can be explained by attack of the oxygen atom of the intermediate alkoxides onto the triple bond. Cyclizations of alcohols on triple bonds have been thoroughly studied. The method most often used to effect such a reaction involves either stoichiometric salts of (for example) Ag, Hg, or W,^[12] or catalytic amounts of palladium catalysts.^[13] An anionic cyclization similar to ours had already been reported by Heathcock in the synthesis of dihydromevinolin^[14] and a mechanism has been proposed, for the case of a phenolate, by Kirby.^[15] However, this reaction has seldom been used, although it does not require any expensive or toxic reagent and it proceeds under mild conditions.



Scheme 6

Compound 23 results from a cyclization of the oxygen atom of the intermediate enolate 26 onto the triple bond.^[16] Endocyclic alkene 24 is not a product of cyclization of the isomerized alkyne 22 (vide supra), but might derive from an isomerization of a precursor of 23, or from direct isomerization of 23 (Scheme 7, path a). All attempts to induce isomerization of 23 into 24 resulted either in degradation or in recovery of the starting material,^[17] and we therefore suppose isomerization occurs on the intermediate vinylic anion before reprotonation. For the methyl-1-bicyclo[3.3.1]nonane 25, we also assume intermediate formation of the enolate, followed by C-cyclization on the triple bond (Scheme, path b). To the best of our knowledge, such an anionic C-cyclization of an enolate is unprecedented.

We have shown that addition of a proton source prevents these cyclization reactions and promotes triple bond isomerization. This could be rationalized with the mechanism proposed below. A proton source appears to be necessary to shift the I–II–III anionic equilibrium towards formation of allene IV; the second anionic equilibrium V–VI might then lead after protonation to the expected isomeric compound VII (Scheme 8).^[18]

In order to achieve an efficient preparation of the target ketone 8, we decided to protect the secondary alcohol of 16



Scheme 7

the triple bond with Lindlar's catalyst (Scheme 10). Hydrazone **30** was then obtained in 92% yield.

It is noteworthy that *t*BuOH did not facilitate the isomerization of **27** much (a temperature of 120 °C was still necessary). However, in the presence of *t*BuOH, a substoichiometric amount of *t*BuOK was sufficient and the reaction was much cleaner, with fewer side products resulting from DMSO decomposition. The harsh conditions required for the isomerization process might be attributed to the difference in conformation between the sp² and sp³ substituted cyclohexanes, which might position the methylene moiety of the propargyl side chain in a hindered position.

In conclusion, we have shown that anionic cyclizations of an alkoxide or an enolate onto a triple bond constitute an



Scheme 8

with a benzyl ether: a group commonly used for the C7 alcohol function of taxol.^[2a] Protection proved to be tricky; use of NaH in THF led to less than 10% conversion into the protected product, while use of KH in DMF led to complete cyclization. Finally, however, protection of a 1:1 mixture of the two alcohols 16 and 17 using KH and BnBr in refluxing THF with a catalytic amount of TBAI was found to deliver benzyl derivative 27, as a pure isomer, in 48% yield (Scheme 9). This result clearly illustrates the difference in reactivity between the two diastereomers; under these conditions, isomer 17 produces lactol 21, while the alkoxide derived from isomer 16 does not cyclize and can hence be protected in very good yield. This route proved to be practical on large scale (e.g., 10 g) as the laborious separation of 16 and 17 by column chromatography was avoided (see the Experimental Section for details).



Scheme 9

Compound 27 required drastic conditions (stoichiometric tBuOK, 120 °C in DMSO) for isomerization, but the expected acetylenic derivative 28 was produced in 88% yield after purification. The desired ketone 29 was obtained after hydrolysis of the ketal moiety and partial hydrogenation of



Scheme 10

easy route to furan derivatives, and proved that this reaction could be utilized advantageously for the diastereoselective synthesis of derivative 27, by differentiating between diastereomers 16 and 17. We have also demonstrated that the isomerization of alkyne 27 to give alkyne 28 can be effected, although under harsh conditions, leading to a C-ring precursor for the synthesis of taxol[®].

Experimental Section

General Remarks: All air- and/or water-sensitive reactions were carried out under argon atmosphere with dry, freshly distilled solvents, using standard syringe-cannula/septa techniques. All corresponding glassware was oven-dried (110 °C) and/or carefully dried in line with a flameless heat gun.

¹H NMR spectra were recorded in $CDCl_3$ on a Bruker WP 200 (200 MHz) or on a Bruker AM 400 (400 MHz) instrument. The chemical shifts are expressed in parts per million = referenced to

residual chloroform (δ = 7.27). H,H-COSY and H,H-NOESY experiments were routinely performed to ascertain H–H connectivities and configuration assignments, respectively. ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on the same instruments at 50.3 MHz and 100.6 MHz, respectively. ¹³C NMR chemical shifts are expressed in parts per million (ppm), reported from the central peak of deuteriochloroform (δ = 77.14). *J*-modulated spin-echo technique (*J*-mod) experiments were used for evaluating CH multiplicities.

Mass spectra (MS) were obtained on a Hewlett–Packard HP 5989B spectrometer, either by direct introduction (chemical ionisation, CI, NH₃) or by GC/MS coupling with a Hewlett-Packard HP 5890 chromatograph. – Infrared spectra (IR) were obtained on a Perkin–Elmer FT 1600 instrument, using NaCl salt plates (thin film) and are reported in terms of absorption frequency (\tilde{v} , cm⁻¹). – Microanalyses were performed by the Service de Microanalyse, Institut de Chimie des Substances Naturelles, C.N.R.S., F-91198, Gif sur Yvette. – Flash chromatography was performed on E. Merck silica gel Si 60 (40–63 mm, ref. 9385).

Tetrahydrofuran (THF) and diethyl ether were distilled from sodium/benzophenone, methanol from magnesium methoxide.

3,3,7-Trimethyl-7-prop-2-ynyl-1,5-dioxaspiro[5.5]undecan-8-one (15): To a solution of diketone 11 (17.1 g, 0.10 mmol) and 2,2-dimethylpropane-1,3-diol (15.6 g, 0.15 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (300 mL) was added BF₃·OEt₂ (1.2 mL, 10 mol-%). The pink solution was stirred at 20 °C for 2 h, quenched with triethylamine (10 mL), and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting crude solid was triturated with ether (50 mL), and filtered. The white paste was successively washed with ethanol $(2 \times 25 \text{ mL})$ and ether (50 mL) to yield 13.3 g (51%) of 15 as a white solid. Repetition of the above procedure on the mother liquors yielded successively 5.7 g (22%) and 2.9 g (11%) of 15 (total yield 84%). $- {}^{1}$ H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): $\delta = 3.62$ $(t, 2 H, J = 11.4 Hz, 2-H_a, 4-H_a), 3.34 (dt, 2 H, J = 11.0, 2.5 Hz)$ 2-H_b, 4-H_b), 2.83 (dd, 1 H, J = 17.0, 2.7 Hz, 1'-H), 2.58 (dd, 1 H, J = 17.0, 2.8, 1'-H), 2.53–2.36 (br. m, 2 H, CH₂), 2.22 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 1.95 (t, 1 H, J = 2.7, 3'-H), 1.68 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 1.37 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 1.15 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 0.72 (s, 3 H, CH₃-3). - ¹³C NMR $(CDCl_3, 100.6 \text{ MHz}): \delta = 209.7 (8), 101.9 (6), 81.7 (2'), 58.0 (7),$ 70.2 (2, 4), 70.1 (3'), 36.8 (9), 29.7 (3), 23.3, 22.3 (2 C, CH₃-3), 22.3 (1'), 21.1 (10, 11), 16.1 (CH₃-7). – IR (thin film): 3239, 2870, 1717, 1395, 1124, 1080. – MS (CI, NH₃): m/z 268 (MNH₄⁺), 251 $(MH^{+}).$

(7*R**,8*S**)-3,3,7-Trimethyl-7-prop-2-ynyl-1,5-dioxaspiro[5.5]undecan-8-ol (16). Starting from Ketone 15. – Sodium Borohydride Reduction: To a solution of 15 (300 mg, 1.2 mmol) in MeOH/ CH₂Cl₂, 2:1, (10 mL) was added portionwise sodium borohydride (90 mg, 2.4 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred at 20 °C for 4 h, then diluted with ether (50 mL) and quenched with a saturated, aqueous NH₄Cl solution (5 mL). The phases were separated, and the organic layer was washed with water (10 mL) and brine (10 mL), dried with MgSO₄, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (Et₂O/ petroleum ether, 10:90) to yield 66 mg (22%) of pure 16 as a white solid (m.p. 95–97 °C), 157 mg (52%) of pure 17 as a white solid (m.p. 110–112 °C) and 40 mg (13%) of a mixture of 16 and 17.

Lithium Aluminium Hydride Reduction: See preparation of compound 27.

From Alcohol 13: To a solution of hydroxy ketone 13 (500 mg, 3.0 mmol) and 2,2-dimethyl-1,3-propanediol (626 mg, 6.0 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) over 3-Å molecular sieves was added BF₃·OEt₂ (37 μ L, 0.3 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred at 20 °C for

15 h, then filtered, diluted with ether (100 mL), and quenched with a saturated, aqueous NaHCO₃ solution (15 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with ether (50 mL), and the combined organic layers were washed successively with water (2 \times 10 mL) and brine (10 mL), dried with MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (eluent: Et₂O/petroleum ether, 30:70) to yield 541 mg (71%) of 16 and 96 mg (19%) of recovered starting material 13. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): $\delta = 4.01$ (dt, 1 H, J = 6.9, 3.6 Hz, 8-H), 3.66 (d, 1 H, J = 11.3 Hz, 2,4-H_a), 3.61 (d, 1 H, J = 11.4 Hz, 2,4-H_a), 3.36 (dd, 1 H, J = 11.3, 2.6 Hz, 2.4 Hz, 3.26 (dd, 1 H, J = 11.4, 2.4 Hz, H_b), 3.30 (brs, 1 H, OH), 2.56 (d, 2 H, J = 2.4 Hz, 1'-H), 2.04 (t, 1 H, J = 2.8 Hz, 3'-H), 1.76-1.41 (br. m, 6 H, CH₂), 1.25, 1.17 (2s, 6 H, CH₃-3, CH₃-7), 0.72 (s, 3 H, CH₃-3). - ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): $\delta = 101.0$ (6), 82.8 (2'), 73.5 (8), 70.8, 69.8, 69.1 (2, 4, 3'), 46.0 (7), 29.8 (3), 28.6 (1'), 23.9 (9), 23.3, 22.2 (2C, CH₃-3), 21.1 (10), 17.2 (11), 14.4 (CH₃-7). - IR (thin film): 3519, 3305, 2953, 2869, 2114, 1470, 1395, 1122, 1066, 1027. - MS (CI, NH₃): m/z 270 (MNH₄⁺), 253 (MH⁺), 235. - C₁₅H₂₄O₃ (252.4): calcd. C 71.39, H 9.58; found C 71.22, H 9.53.

(7R*,8R*)-3,3,7-Trimethyl-7-prop-2-ynyl-1,5-dioxaspiro[5.5]undecan-8-ol (17). - From Alcohol 14: To a solution of hydroxy ketone 14 (300 mg, 1.8 mmol) and 2,2-dimethyl-1,3-propanediol (375 mg, 3.6 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (6 mL) over 3 Å molecular sieves was added BF₃·OEt₂ (20 µL, 0.15 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred at 20 °C for 15 h, then filtered, diluted with ether (50 mL) and quenched with a saturated, aqueous NaHCO3 solution (10 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with ether (50 mL), and the combined organic layers were washed successively with water (2 \times 10 mL) and brine (10 mL), dried with MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (Et₂O/petroleum ether, 30:70) to yield 320 mg (70%) of 17 and 59 mg (20%) of recovered starting material **14**. $- {}^{1}$ H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): $\delta = 3.89$ (dt, 1 H, J = 10.9, 3.0 Hz, 8-H), 3.69 (d, 1 H, J = 17.5 Hz, 2,4-H_a), 3.66 (d, 1 H, J =17.3 Hz, 2,4-H_a), 3.57 (brd, 1 H, J = 10.9 Hz, OH), 3.37 (dd, 1 H, $J = 10.3, 2.6 \text{ Hz}, 2.4 \text{-H}_{b}, 3.27 \text{ (dd, 1 H, } J = 10.3, 2.6 \text{ Hz}, 2.4 \text{-H}_{b}),$ 3.07 (dd, 1 H, J = 16.7, 2.8 Hz, 1'-H), 2.54 (dt, 1 H, J = 14.0, 2.9 Hz, 9-H_{eq}), 2.50 (dd, 1 H, J = 16.7, 2.7 Hz, 1'-H), 1.96 (t, 1 H, J = 2.7 Hz, 3'-H), 1.78–1.51 (br. m, 4 H, H-10, 11-H), 1.37 (td, 1 H, J = 13.9, 4.5 Hz, 9-H_{ax}), 1.15 (s, 3 H, CH₃-3), 1.12 (d, 3 H, J = 0.6 Hz, CH₃-7), 0.72 (s, 3 H, CH₃-3). $- {}^{13}$ C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): $\delta = 101.4$ (6), 82.8 (2'), 72.6 (8), 69.7, 69.6, 69.1 (2, 4, 3'), 45.0 (7), 29.8 (3), 28.2 (1'), 23.3, 22.2 (2C, CH₃-3), 21.6, 21.1 (9, 10), 19.0 (CH₃-7), 16.5 (11). - IR (thin film): 3498, 3228, 2958, 2869, 2114, 1469, 1444, 1417, 1114, 1067, 1014, 913. - MS (CI, NH₃): m/z 270 (MNH₄⁺), 253 (MH⁺), 235. - C₁₅H₂₄O₃ (252.4): calcd. C 71.39, H 9.58; found C 71.27, H 9.59.

(3a*R**,7a*S**)-3a,3',3'-Trimethyl-2-methylene-2,3,5,6,7,7a-hexahydrospiro[benzofuran-4,2'-[1,3]dioxane] (18): To a solution of 16 (50 mg, 0.2 mmol) in DMSO (1 mL) was added *t*BuOK (4 mg, ca. 0.2 equiv.). The resulting mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 15 h, then cooled to room temperature, diluted with ether (50 mL) and quenched with a saturated, aqueous NH₄Cl solution (5 mL). The layers were separated, and the organic layer was washed with water (3 × 5 mL) and brine (10 mL), then dried with MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was quickly purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (Et₂O/petroleum ether, 50:50) to yield 45 mg (90%) of **18**. - ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ = 4.26 (s, 1 H, CH₂-2), 3.98 (dd, 1 H, *J* = 18.2, 8.4 Hz, 7a-H), 3.95 (s, 1 H, CH₂-2), 3.63 (d, 1 H, *J* = 15.1 Hz, 4',6'-H_a), 3.60 (d, 1 H, *J* = 14.6 Hz, 4',6'-H_a), 3.35 (dd, 1 H, *J* = 15.0, 1.3 Hz, 4',6'-H_b), 3.30 (dd, 1 H, J = 14.7, 1.2 Hz, 4',6'-H_b), 3.05 (d, 1 H, J = 17.2 Hz, 3-H), 2.51 (d, 1 H, J = 16.7 Hz, 5-H), 2.16 (d, 1 H, J = 17.0 Hz, 3-H), 1.88 (m, 1 H, 7-H), 1.69 (m, 1 H, 6-H), 1.60 (m, 1 H, 7-H), 1.30 (br. m, 2 H, H-5, 6-H), 1.12 (s, 3 H, CH₃-5'), 0.96 (s, 3 H, CH₃-3a), 0.73 (s, 3 H, CH₃-5'). $-^{13}$ C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): $\delta = 162.2$ (2), 99.8 (4), 82.0 (7a), 81.3 (CH₂-2), 70.2, 70.0 (4', 6'), 49.0 (3a), 36.6 (3), 30.1 (5'), 23.8 (5), 22.9, 22.0 (2C, CH₃-5'), 21.7 (7), 19.5 (6), 14.5 (CH₃-3a). - MS (CI, NH₃): m/z 252 (M⁺), 209, 141, 122, 109.

(2R*,3aR*,7aR*)-2-Hydroxy-2,3a,3',3'-tetramethyl-2,3,5,6,7,7ahexahydrospiro[benzofuran-4,2'-[1,3]dioxane] (21): To a solution of 17 (60 mg, 0.2 mmol) in DMSO (1 mL) was added tBuOK (5 mg, ca. 0.2 equiv.). The resulting mixture was stirred at 40 °C for 5 h, then cooled to room temperature, diluted with ether (50 mL) and quenched with a saturated, aqueous NH_4Cl solution (5 mL). The layers were separated, and the organic layer was washed with water $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$ and brine (10 mL), then dried with MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was diluted with CH₂Cl₂, and stirred with SiO₂ (500 mg) for 1 h, then filtered, concentrated in vacuo, and purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (Et₂O/ petroleum ether, 50:50) to yield 57 mg (95%) of 21. - ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3, 200 \text{ MHz}): \delta = 5.21 \text{ (d, 1 H, } J = 0.8 \text{ Hz, OH}), 3.78-3.61$ (bm, 3 H, 2',4'-H_a, 7a-H), 3.41 (dd, 1 H, J = 18.7, 2.6 Hz, 2',4'-H_e), 3.33 (dd, 1 H, J = 18.5, 2.6 Hz, 2',4'-H_e), 2.68 (d, 1 H, J =14.3 Hz, 3-H), 2.57 (m, 1 H, CH₂), 1.97 (m, 1 H, CH₂), 1.73 (d, 1 H, J = 14.2 Hz, 3-H), 1.67–1.49 (bm, 4 H, CH₂), 1.46 (d, 2 H, J = 0.9 Hz, CH₃-2), 1.22 (s, 3 H, CH₃-3'), 1.07 (s, 3 H, CH₃-3a), 0.75 (s, 3 H, CH₃-3'). - ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): $\delta = 103.5$ (2), 102.0 (4), 83.5 (7a), 69.6, 69.2 (2', 4'), 49.5 (3), 43.1 (3a), 29.6 (3'), 27.5 (CH₃-2), 25.3, 22.1 (5, 7), 23.5, 21.9, 20.7 (CH₃-3', CH₃-3a), 17.3 (6). – IR (film): \tilde{v} (cm⁻¹) 3394, 2950, 2670, 1463, 1394, 1377, 1265, 1110, 1054, 958, 892. – MS (IC, NH₃): m/z 253 (MH⁺ – H₂O), 237, 141, 122.

Procedures for Anionic Cyclizations. – With KH/DMF: To a suspension of degreased KH (35% in oil, 70 mg, 1.2 equiv.) at room temperature in dry DMF (2.5 mL) was added, portionwise over 5 min, **15** (125 mg, 0.5 mmol). The resulting pink mixture was then stirred at 40 °C for 5 h, during which time it turned brown. It was then diluted with ether (50 mL) and quenched with water (5 mL). The layers were separated, and the organic layer was washed with water (3 × 10 mL) and brine (10 mL), dried with MgSO₄, and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting crude product was then purified by flash chromatography (Et₂O/petroleum ether, 10:90 \rightarrow 20:80), giving successively **23** (8 mg, 6%), **24** (25 mg, 20%), **25** (19 mg, 15%), and **15** (15 mg, 12%).

With KH/DMF/DIPA: To a suspension of degreased KH (35% in oil, 125 mg, 1.2 equiv.) at room temperature in dry DMF (10 mL) was added diisopropylamine (285 μ L, 2.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv.), dropwise over 5 min, and **15** (250 mg, 1.0 mmol), portionwise. The resulting orange mixture was then stirred at 60 °C for 15 h, during which time it turned brown. It was then diluted with ether (100 mL) and quenched with water (10 mL). The layers were separated, and the organic layer was washed with water (3× 10 mL) and brine (10 mL), dried with MgSO₄, and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting crude product was then purified by flash chromatography (Et₂O/petroleum ether, 10:90 \rightarrow 20:80), giving successively **23** (20 mg, 8%), **24** (10 mg, 4%), **22** (130 mg, 52%), and **15** (26 mg, 10%).

With *t*BuOK/DMSO: To a solution of 15 (100 mg, 0.4 mmol) at room temperature in dry DMSO (2 mL) was added, portionwise over 2 min, *t*BuOK (54 mg, 0.5 mmol, 1.2 equiv.). The resulting

brown mixture was stirred at 20 °C for 12 h. It was then diluted with ether (50 mL) and quenched with water (5 mL). The layers were separated, and the organic layer was washed with water (3 × 10 mL) and brine (10 mL), dried with MgSO₄, and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting crude product was then purified by flash chromatography (Et₂O/petroleum ether, 10:90 \rightarrow 20:80), giving successively **23** (50 mg, 50%), **24** (10 mg, 10%), and **25** (7 mg, 7%).

With *t*BuOK/*t*BuOH/DMSO: To a solution of 15 (100 mg, 0.4 mmol) and *t*BuOH (375 μ L, 4.0 mmol, 10 equiv.) at room temperature in dry DMSO (2 mL) was added, portionwise over 2 min, *t*BuOK (54 mg, 0.5 mmol, 1.2 equiv.). The resulting brown mixture was stirred at 20 °C for 2 h. It was then diluted with ether (50 mL) and quenched with water (5 mL). The layers were separated, and the organic layer was washed with water (3 × 10 mL) and brine (10 mL), dried with MgSO₄, and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting crude product was then purified by flash chromatography (Et₂O/petroleum ether, 10:90 \rightarrow 20:80), giving successively 23 (35 mg, 35%) and 22 (35 mg, 35%).

3,3,7-Trimethyl-7-prop-1-ynyl-1,5-dioxaspiro[**5.5**]undecan-8-one (**22**): ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): $\delta = 3.73$ (d, 1 H, J = 11.4 Hz, 2',4'-H_a), 3.47 (d, 1 H, J = 11.3 Hz, 2',4'-H_a), 3.45 (dd, 1 H, J = 11.3, 2.6 Hz, 2',4'-H_e), 3.28 (dd, 1 H, J = 11.2, 2.6 Hz, 2',4'-H_e), 2.95 (td, 1 H, J = 13.6, 7.2 Hz, 6-H), 2.67 (dt, 1 H, J = 14.2, 3.0 Hz, 5-H), 2.23 (brd, 1 H, J = 14.0 Hz, 5-H), 2.13 (td, 1 H, J = 13.7, 4.3 Hz, 6-H), 1.80 (s, 3 H, CH₃-CC-2), 1.49 (dt, 1 H, J = 13.2, 4.5 Hz, 4-H), 1.43 (dt, 1 H, J = 13.4, 4.5 Hz, 4-H), 1.40, 1.12, 0.69 (3s, 9 H, CH₃-2, CH₃-3'). $-^{13}$ C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): $\delta = 205.9$ (1), 101.7 (3), 81.0, 79.2 (CC-2), 70.8, 70.0 (2', 4'), 55.6 (2), 36.3 (6), 29.6 (3'), 22.9, 22.0 (CH₃-3'), 22.3, 18.5 (4, 5), 14.9 (CH₃-2), 3.8 (CH₃-CC-2). - IR (film): \tilde{v} (cm⁻¹) 2956, 2869, 2248, 1731, 1454, 1396, 1365, 1237, 1178, 1133, 1084. - MS (IC, NH₃): m/z 251 (MH⁺), 180, 164, 141.

3a,3',3'-Trimethyl-2-methylene-3,3a,5,6-tetrahydro-2*H***-spiro-[benzofuran-4,2'-[1,3]dioxane] (23):** ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): $\delta = 4.82$ (t, 1 H, J = 3.5 Hz, 7-H), 4.42 (t, 1 H, J = 2.2 Hz, CH₂-2), 4.06 (t, 1 H, J = 2.0 Hz, CH₂-2), 3.75 (d, 1 H, J = 11.3 Hz, 2',4'-H_a), 3.67 (d, 1 H, J = 11.3 Hz, 2',4'-H_a), 3.44 (dd, 1 H, J =11.3, 2.7 Hz, 2',4'-H_e), 3.37 (dd, 1 H, J = 11.3, 2.7 Hz, 2',4'-H_e), 3.25 (dt, 1 H, J = 14.8, 1.8 Hz, 3-H), 2.20 (d, 1 H, J = 14.7 Hz, 3-H), 2.64 (dd, 1 H, J = 14.5, 6.1 Hz, 5-H_e), 2.18 (dddd, 1 H, J =17.2, 6.9, 3.8, 1.1 Hz, 6-H_e), 2.04 (dddd, 1 H, J = 17.2, 11.1, 6.8, 3.2 Hz, 6-H_a), 1.58 (ddd, 1 H, J = 14.5, 11.1, 7.0 Hz, 5-H_a), 1.23 (d, 3 H, J = 1.5 Hz, CH₃-3a), 1.15, 0.75 (2s, 6 H, CH₃-3'). $- ^{13}$ C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): $\delta = 160.0$, 157.7 (2, 8), 98.7 (4), 92.1 (7), 83.2 (CH₂-2), 71.0, 70.3 (2', 4'), 47.6 (3a), 34.5 (3), 29.9 (3'), 22.9, 22.8, 22.0 (CH₃-3', CH₃-3a), 20.5, 18.5 (5, 6). - IR (film): \tilde{v} (cm⁻¹) 2953, 2868, 1725, 1472, 1395, 1254, 1194, 1111, 1054, 954.

2,3a,3',3'-Tetramethyl-5,6-dihydro-3*aH*-**spiro**[**benzofuran-4,2'-[1,3]dioxane**] **(24):** ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): $\delta = 5.05$ (t, 1 H, J = 1.1 Hz, 3-H), 4.95 (t, 1 H, J = 3.6 Hz, 7-H), 3.72 (d, 1 H, J = 11.2 Hz, 2',4'-H_a), 3.58 (d, 1 H, J = 11.3 Hz, 2',4'-H_a), 3.44 (dd, 1 H, J = 11.3, 2.6 Hz, 2',4'-H_e), 3.39 (dd, 1 H, J = 11.2, 2.6 Hz, 2',4'-H_e), 2.61 (ddd, 1 H, J = 14.6, 7.3, 1.3 Hz, 5-H_e), 2.23 (dddd, 1 H, J = 17.5, 7.9, 3.2, 1.6 Hz, 6-H_e), 2.12 (dddd, 1 H, J = 17.4, 9.9, 7.4, 4.1 Hz, 6-H_a), 1.61 (ddd, 1 H, J = 14.6, 9.8, 8.0 Hz, 5-H_a), 1.90 (d, 3 H, J = 1.3 Hz, CH₃-2), 1.19, 1.16, 0.75 (3s, 9 H, CH₃-3', CH₃-3a). – ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): $\delta = 159.3$ (7a), 152.1 (2), 103.9 (3), 99.1 (4), 94.1 (7), 70.9, 70.7 (2', 4'), 53.4 (3a), 29.9 (3'), 25.1 (CH₃-2), 22.8, 22.0 (CH₃-3'), 20.4, 19.0 (5, 6), 13.7 (CH₃-3a). – IR (film): \tilde{v} (cm⁻¹) 2954, 2865, 1715, 1664, 1471, 1454, 1434, 1394, 1348, 1258, 1211, 1134, 1092, 1043, 935, 912, 821. (1*R**,5*S**)-1,3',3'-Trimethylspiro[bicyclo[3.3.1]non-6-en-4,2'-[1,3]dioxane]-9-one (25): ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz): $\delta = 5.77$ (dt, 1 H, *J* = 11.4, 3.6 Hz, 8-H), 5.62 (ddt, 1 H, *J* = 11.4, 6.4, 2.0 Hz, 7-H), 3.76 (d, 1 H, *J* = 11.2 Hz, 2',4'-H_a), 3.57 (d, 1 H, *J* = 11.2 Hz, 2',4'-H_a), 3.48 (dd, 1 H, *J* = 11.2, 2.3 Hz, 2',4'-H_e), 3.36 (dd, 1 H, *J* = 11.2, 2.3 Hz, 2',4'-H_e), 3.01 (ddd, 1 H, *J* = 19.0, 3.5, 1.7 Hz, 4-H), 2.89 (dd, 1 H, *J* = 5.9, 3.5 Hz, 6-H), 2.72–2.69 (m, 1 H, 5-H), 2.12 (dt, 1 H, *J* = 19.0, 2.5 Hz, 4-H), 1.79 (dd, 1 H, *J* = 13.5, 4.1 Hz, 9-H), 1.70 (dt, 1 H, *J* = 13.5, 3.5 Hz, 9-H), 1.61–1.58 (m, 1 H, 5-H), 1.22, 1.15, 0.72 (3s, 9 H, CH₃-2',4',2). – ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): δ = 129.4, 127.6 (7, 8), 103.3 (3), 70.6, 69.7 (2', 4'), 55.6 (2), 46.6 (6), 40.5 (9), 29.6 (3'), 26.3 (4), 23.2, 22.2 (CH₃-3'), 19.6 (5), 15.2 (CH₃-2). – IR (film): \tilde{v} (cm⁻¹) 2955, 2869, 1726, 1442, 1395, 1369, 1307, 1209, 1102, 1065, 1038, 908.

(7R*,8S*)-8-Benzyloxy-3,3,7-trimethyl-7-prop-2-ynyl-1,5-dioxaspiro[5.5]undecane (27): To a suspension of LiAlH₄ (380 mg, 10 mmol, 0.5 equiv.) in toluene (60 mL) was added, portionwise over 15 min, ketone 15 (5.0 g, 20 mmol). The resulting mixture was heated for 1 h at 70 °C (reaction was monitored by TLC with MeOH/CH2Cl2, 2:98 as eluent) and then cooled to 0 °C, and treated with methanol (10 mL, added carefully). The mixture was diluted with ether (100 mL), and stirred overnight with a saturated, aqueous Na,K-tartrate solution (Rochelle's salt, 40 mL). The layers were separated, the aqueous layer was extracted with ether (2 \times 100 mL), and the combined organic layers were washed successively with water (50 mL) and brine (50 mL), then concentrated in vacuo and dried with MgSO4. The crude product of the reaction was diluted with THF (40 mL), and added by cannula to a suspension of KH (880 mg, 22 mmol, 1.1 equiv, from 2.5 g of a 35% suspension of KH in mineral oil) in THF (40 mL) at room temperature. Benzyl bromide (2.9 mL, 1.2 equiv.) and (NBu)₄I (ca 500 mg, 10% weight) were added, and the reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 2 h. It was cooled to room temperature, and guenched with a saturated, aqueous NH₄Cl solution. The layers were separated, the aqueous layer was extracted with ether (2 \times 100 mL), and the combined organic layers were washed successively with 1 N HCl (2×50 mL), water (50 mL), and brine (50 mL), then concentrated in vacuo and dried with MgSO₄. Purification by flash chromatography (Et₂O/ petroleum ether, 10:90) yielded 3.3 g of 27 (48%) as a colourless oil. - ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.43-7.25 (br. m, 5 H, Ar-H), 4.60 (s, 2 H, Ar-CH₂-O), 3.74 (dd, 1 H, J = 10.6, 4.5 Hz, 8-H), 3.69 (d, 1 H, J = 11.3 Hz, 2,4-H_a), 3.58 (d, 1 H, J = 11.6 Hz, 2,4-H_a), 3.35 (dd, 1 H, J = 11.5, 2.5 Hz, 2,4-H_b), 3.33 (dd, 1 H, J = 11.6, 2.4 Hz, 2.4 Hz, 2.78 (dd, 1 H, J = 17.0, 2.7 Hz, 1' -H),2.65 (dd, 1 H, J = 17.0, 2.6 Hz, 1'-H), 2.50 (m, 1 H, CH₂), 2.00 (m, 1 H, CH₂), 1.94 (t, 1 H, J = 2.7 Hz, 3'-H), 1.61-1.19 (m, 4 H, CH₂), 1.26 (s, 3 H, CH₃-3), 1.08 (s, 3 H, CH₃-7), 0.73 (s, 3 H, CH₃-3). – ¹³C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 140.0 (*C*-CH₂-O-8), 128.3, 127.9, 127.3 (5C, Ar), 101.6 (6), 85.4 (2'), 80.4 (8), 72.1, 70.3, 69.7, 68.1 (4C, CH₂-O-8, 3', 2, 4), 48.0 (7), 29.9 (3), 26.6 (1'), 23.9, 22.7 (2C, CH₃-3), 23.3 (11), 21.6, 18.7 (2C, 9, 10), 15.0 (CH₃-7). - IR (thin film): 3299, 2952, 2865, 2115, 1720, 1453, 1394, 1362, 1210, 1163, 1111, 1090, 1047, 1027, 969. - MS (CI, NH₃): m/z 360 (MNH₄⁺), 343 (MH⁺), 257, 235, 197, 150.

(7*R**,8*S**)-8-Benzyloxy-3,3,7-trimethyl-7-prop-1-ynyl-1,5-dioxaspiro[5.5]undecane (28): To a solution of 27 (430 mg, 1.2 mmol) in DMSO (5 mL) with *t*BuOH (1.5 mL) was added *t*BuOK (40 mg, 30 mol-%), and the resulting mixture was stirred at 120 °C for 2 h. It was then cooled to room temperature, diluted with ether (100 mL) and quenched with a saturated, aqueous NH₄Cl solution. The layers were separated, the aqueous layer was extracted with ether (2× 50 mL), and the combined organic layers were washed successively with water $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ and brine (20 mL), then concentrated in vacuo and dried with MgSO₄. Purification by flash chromatography (Et₂O/petroleum ether, 10:90) yielded 380 mg of **28** (88%) as a colourless oil. $- {}^{1}$ H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.43 (d, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, Ar-H), 7.34 (t, 2 H, J = 7.4 Hz, Ar-H), 7.27 (m, 1 H, Ar-H), 4.86 (d, 1 H, J = 11.7 Hz, Ar-CH₂-O), 4.66 (d, 1 H, J = 11.7 Hz, Ar-CH₂-O), 3.75 (dd, 1 H, J = 11.1, 4.7 Hz, 8-H), 3.70 (d, 1 H, J = 11.5 Hz, 2,4-H_a), 3.60 (d, 1 H, J = 11.5 Hz, 2,4-H_a), 3.47 (m, 2 H, H_b-2, 4-H_b), 2.48 (d, 1 H, J = 11.7 Hz, CH₂), 1.91 (s, 3 H, CH₃-2'), 1.84 (m, 1 H, CH₂), 1.55 (m, 1 H, CH₂), 1.37, 1.26 (2s, 6 H, CH₃-3, CH₃-7), 1.46-1.21 (br. m, 3 H, CH₂), 0.75 (s, 3 H, CH₃-3). - ¹³C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 139.6 (C-CH₂-O-8), 128.1, 127.5, 127.1 (5C, Ar), 100.8 (2C, 6, 1'), 83.5 (2'), 81.2 (8), 73.2, 70.3, 69.7 (CH₂-O-8, 2, 4), 47.7 (7), 30.1 (3), 26.7 (11), 22.8, 22.1 (CH₃-3), 20.8 (9), 18.2 (10), 16.0 (CH₃-7), 3.9 (CH₃-2'). - IR (thin film): 3062, 3029, 2952, 2864, 2237, 1496, 1454, 1395, 1364, 1334, 1281, 1216, 1184, 1127, 1089, 969, 910. -MS (CI, NH₃): *m*/*z* 360 (MNH₄⁺), 343 (MH⁺), 257, 235, 141, 108, 91. - C₂₂H₃₀O₃ (342.5): calcd. C 77.15, H 8.82; found C 77.24, H 8.83.

(7R*,8S*)-8-Benzyloxy-3,3,7-trimethyl-7-prop-1-enyl-1,5-dioxaspiro[5.5]undecane (29): A solution of 28 (420 mg, 1.6 mmol) in 20 mL of AcOEt was stirred vigorously under a hydrogen atmosphere with a catalytic amount of Lindlar catalyst (40 mg, 10% weight) for 2 h. The resulting suspension was then filtered through a pad of Celite and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by flash chromatography (Et₂O/petroleum ether, 20:80) yielded 410 mg (98%) of 29 as a colourless oil. - ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz): $\delta = 7.35 - 7.25$ (bm, 5 H, Ar-H), 5.49 (dq, 1 H, J = 11.3, 7.0 Hz, 2'-H), 5.40 (dq, 1 H, J = 11.4, 1.3 Hz, 1'-H), 4.59, 4.37 (2d, 2 H, J = 12.1 Hz, O-CH₂-Ar), 3.68 (m, 1 H, 3-H), 2.66 (m, 1 H, 6-H), 2.28 (m, 1 H, CH₂), 1.98 (m, 3 H, CH₂), 1.82 (m, 1 H, CH₂), 1.48 (dd, 3 H, J = 7.0, 1.3 Hz, CH₃-2'), 1.26 (s, 3 H, CH₃-2). $- {}^{13}C$ NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): $\delta = 213.1$ (1), 138.1 (O-CH₂-C), 133.1 (1'), 128.0, 127.9, 127.2, 126.6 (6 C, 2', Ar), 85.8 (O-CH₂-Ar), 70.5 (3), 55.6 (2), 38.7 (6), 23.8, 21.8 (4, 5), 19.1 (CH_3-3') , 13.2 (CH_3-2) . – IR (film): \tilde{v} (cm⁻¹) 2941, 1715, 1653, 1455, 1374, 1313, 1103, 949, 801. - MS (IC, NH₃): m/z 276 (MNH₄⁺), 259 (MH⁺), 241, 167, 151, 108, 91.

Triisopropylbenzenesulfonyl Hydrazone 30 Derived from Ketone 29: To a solution of 29 (420 mg, 1.6 mmol) and triisopropylbenzenesulfonylhydrazine (600 mg, 2.0 mmol, 1.25 equiv.) in 4 mL of THF was added ten drops of concentrated HCl. The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 h, then diluted with ether (80 mL) and quenched with a saturated, aqueous NaHCO3 solution (10 mL). The layers were separated, and the organic layer was washed successively with water $(2 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ and brine (10 mL), dried with MgSO₄, and concentrated in vacuo at 40 °C. The resulting crude product was recrystallized from petroleum ether to give 590 mg (68%) of trisylhydrazone 30 as a white solid (m.p. 35-37 °C). Purification of the mother liquors by flash chromatography (Et₂O/petroleum ether, 20:80) yielded a further 210 mg (24%) of **30**. - ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): $\delta = 7.47$ (brs, 1 H, NH), 7.29-7.20 (m, 5 H, Ar-H), 7.16 (s, 2 H, Ar), 5.35 (dq, 1 H, J = 11.6, 7.2 Hz, 2'-H), 5.21 (dq, J = 11.6, 1.7 Hz, 1'-H), 4.50 (d, 1 H, J = 12.2 Hz, O-CH₂-Ar), 4.32 (d, 1 H, J = 12.2 Hz, O-CH₂-Ar), 4.26 (sept, 2 H, J = 6.7 Hz, CH-Ar), 3.40 (brs, 1 H, 3-H), 2.92 (sept, 1 H, J = 6.9 Hz, CH-Ar), 2.52 (dt, 1 H, J = 14.0, 4.1 Hz, CH₂), 1.97 (td, 1 H, J = 12.5, 5.9 Hz, CH₂), 1.90-1.64 (br. m, 4 H, CH₂), 1.29, 1.28, 1.28, 1.26 $[(CH_3)_2 - CH - Ar]$, 1.19 (dd, 3 H, J = 7.4, 1.8 Hz, $CH_3 - 2'$), 1.17 (s, 3 H, CH₃-2). - ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): δ = 160.8 (1), 152.7, 151.4 (Ar), 138.7 (O–CH₂–C), 134.2 (1'), 132.1 (Ar), 128.0, 127.4, 127.2, 126.0 (Ar), 123.4 (2'), 84.4 (O–CH₂–Ar), 71.1 (3), 50.0 (2), 34.1 (CH–Ar), 29.7 (CH–Ar), 24.7, 23.4 ((CH₃)₂–CH–Ar), 24.5, 23.4, 20.0 (4, 5, 6), 21.0 (CH₃-2'), 13.0 (CH₃-2). – IR (film): \tilde{v} (cm⁻¹) 2959, 1600, 1455, 1361, 1318, 1164, 1107. – MS (IC, NH₃): *m*/*z* 539 (MH⁺), 449, 300, 276, 259, 151, 108, 91.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge financial support from the CNRS (UMR 7652) and the Ecole Polytechnique.

- ^[1] [^{1a]} M. C. Wani, H. L. Taylor, M. E. Wall, P. Coggon, A. T. McPhail, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1971**, 93, 2325-2327. [^{1b]} ACS Symposium Series 583, Taxane Anticancer Agents: Basic Science and Current Status (Eds.: G. I. Georg, T. T. Chen, I. Ojima, D. M. Vyas); American Chemical Society, Washington D.C., **1995**. F. Lavelle, F. Guéritte-Voegelein, D. Guénard, Bull. Cancer **1993**, 80, 326-338. D. Guénard, F. Guéritte-Voegelein, P. Potier, Acc. Chem. Res. **1993**, 26, 160-167 and references cited therein.
- ^[2] Total syntheses: ^[2a] K. C. Nicolaou, Z. Yang, J. J. Liu, H. Ueno, P. G. Nantermet, R. K. Guy, C. F. Claiborne, J. Renaud, E. A. Couladouros, K. Paulvannan, E. J. Sorensen, *Nature* **1994**, *367*, 630. K. C. Nicolaou, P. G. Nantermet, H. Ueno, R. K. Guy, E. A. Couladouros, E. J. Sorensen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 624. K. C. Nicolaou, J. J. Liu, Z. Yang, H. Ueno, E. J. Sorensen, C. F. Claiborne, R. K. Guy, C. K. Hwang, M. Nakada, P. G. Nantermet, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1995**, *117*, 634. K. C. Nicolaou, R. K. Guy, C. K. Hwang, M. Nakada, P. G. Nantermet, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1995**, *117*, 634. K. C. Nicolaou, J. J. Liu, P. G. Nantermet, C. F. Claiborne, J. Renaud, R. K. Guy, K. Shibayama, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1995**, *117*, 645. K. C. Nicolaou, H. Ueno, J. J. Liu, P. G. Nantermet, Z. Yang, J. Renaud, K. Paulvannan, R. Chadha, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1995**, *117*, 653. ^[2b] R. A. Holton, C. Somoza, H. B. Kim, F. Liang, R. J. Biediger, P. D. Boatman, M. Shindo, C. C. Smith, S. Kim, H. Nadizadeh, Y. Suzuki, C. Tao, P. Vu, S. Tang, P. Zhang, K. K. Murthi, L. N. Gentile, J. H. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1994**, *116*, 1597. R. A. Holton, H. B. Kim, C. Somoza, F. Liang, R. J. Biediger, P. D. Boatman, M. Shindo, C. C. Smith, S. Kim, H. Nadizadeh, Y. Suzuki, C. Tao, P. Vu, S. Tang, P. Zhang, K. K. Murthi, L. N. Gentile, J. H. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1994**, *116*, 1599. ^[2c] J. J. Masters, J. T. Link, L. B. Snyder, W. B. Young, S. Danishefsky, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. **1995**, *34*, 1723. ^[2d] P. A. Wender, N. F. Badham, S. P. Conway, P. E. Floreancig, T. E. Glass, C. Gränicher, J. B. Houze, J. Jänichen, D. Lee, D. G. Marquess, P. L. McGrane, W. Meng, T. P. Mucciaro, M. Mühlebach, M. G. Natchus, H. Paulsen, D. B. Rawlins, J. Satkofsky, A. J. Shuker, J. C. Sutton, R. E. Taylor, K. Tomooka, J. Am. Chem. Soc

1997, *119*, 2755. P. A. Wender, N. F. Badham, S. P. Conway, P. E. Floreancig, T. E. Glass, J. B. Houze, N. E. Krauss, D. Lee, D. G. Marquess, P. L. McGrane, W. Meng, M. G. Natchus, A. J. Shuker, J. C. Sutton, R. E. Taylor, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 2757. – ^[2e] T. Mukaiyama, I. Shiina, H. Iwadare, M. Saitoh, T. Nishimura, N. Ohkawa, H. Sakoh, H. Nishimura, Y.-I. Tani, M. Hasegawa, K. Yamada, K. Saito, *Chem. Eur. J.* **1999**, *5*, 121. – ^[2n] K. Morihara, R. Hara, S. Kawahara, T. Nishimori, N. Nakamura, H. Kusama, I. Kuwajima, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 12980.

- [3] D. Bourgeois, J. Y. Lallemand, A. J. Pancrazi, Prunet, Synlett 1999, 10, 1555-1558.
- [4] For recent reviews on RCM see the following for leading references: [4a] R. H. Grubbs, S. Chang, *Tetrahedron* 1998, 54, 4413–4450. [4b] M. Schuster, S. Blechert, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 1997, 36, 2036–2056. [4c] S. K. Armstrong, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1998, 371–388.
- [5] For preliminary results, see: D. Bourgeois, A. Pancrazi, J. Prunet, L. Ricard, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 2000, 725-728.
- ^[6] W. G. Dauben, D. J. Hart, J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 3787-3793.
- [7] D. W. Brooks, H. Mazdiyasni, P. G. Grothaus, J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 3223-3232.
- ^[8] For a discussion of the reduction conditions, see ref.^[3]
- [9] Isomerization of terminal alkynes under base-catalysed conditions is well documented; see for instance: L. Brandsma, *Preparative acetylenic chemistry*, Elsevier, **1988**, 231.
- ^[10] The data of X-ray structure analysis were deposited as CIS archive files CCDC-151246 with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 IEZ, UK). They are also available from the authors by E-mail: prange@lure.u-psud.fr
- ^[11] Alcohol **16** is the compound needing to be isomerized in the optically pure route envisaged for **8** (see ref.^[3]), and therefore we did not initially investigate ketone **15**.
- ^[12] P. Pale, J. Chuche, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, *28*, 6447–6448, and references therein; F. E. McDonald, J. L. Bowman, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 4675–4678.
- ^[13] S. Schalbert, E. Schaumann, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, 1873–1878, and references therein.
- ^[14] S. J. Hecker, C. H. Heathcock, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 4586–4594.
- ^[15] C. M. Evans, A. J. Kirby, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 11 1984, 1269–1275.
- ^[16] D. I. McGee, J. D. Leach, S. Setiadji, *Tetrahedron* 1999, 55, 2847–2856.
- [17] The conditions tested were: cat. PPTS in EtOH, cat. I₂ in Et₂O, RhCl(PPh₃)₄ in THF, and *t*BuLi in refluxing hexane.
- ^[18] The acetylene/allene isomerization mechanism has been described many times. See for example: H. Hopf, *Chem. Ber.* **1971**, *104*, 3087–3095.

Received May 5, 2000 [O00240]