Hydroaminations of unactivated alkenes with basic alkylamines: group 4 metal halide catalysts and Brønsted-acid organocatalysts[†]

Lutz Ackermann,*^{*a,b*} Ludwig T. Kaspar^{*b*} and Andreas Althammer^{*a,b*}

Received 25th April 2007, Accepted 8th May 2007 First published as an Advance Article on the web 17th May 2007 DOI: 10.1039/b706301f

Two distinct economical catalysts for intramolecular hydroaminations of electronically unactivated alkenes with basic amines are described, which are based on (a) group 4 metal halides under basic reaction conditions or (b) Brønsted-acid organocatalysts.

Introduction

Saturated N-heterocycles are ubiquitous in natural products as well as biologically active compounds.1 Their synthesis through direct intramolecular additions of basic amines to alkenes are attractive, because of their atom-economical nature.² Recently, significant progress has been achieved through the use of group 4 metal complexes, previously developed for elegant hydroaminations of alkynes and allenes.³ Thus, cyclizations of both secondary^{4,5} and primary⁶ aminoalkenes were reported, and for the latter transformations strong evidence was provided for a mechanism involving group 4 metal imido complexes.⁷ We proposed comparable imido species as intermediates for the use of the inexpensive Lewis-acid TiCl₄ (99.9%, Acros 2006, 0.01 EUR mmol⁻¹)⁸ (and HfCl₄) in hydroamination reactions.⁹ Given the importance of economical heterocycle syntheses, we became interested in probing preparatively useful group 4 metal halidebased catalysts for intramolecular addition reactions of basic alkylamines to unactivated olefins. Additionally, as Brønsted-acids have thus far only been employed as catalysts for addition reactions of significantly less basic amides^{10,11} or anilines,¹² we explored their use in organocatalytic additions of basic alkylamines to unactivated alkenes.

Results and discussion

Group 4 metal halide catalysts

At the outset of our studies, we probed various additives for TiCl₄-catalyzed intramolecular hydroamination reactions using aminoalkene **1a** (Table 1). Previously employed *t*-BuNH₂^{8,9} provided unsatisfactory results (entry 1). In contrast, excess of a basic pyridine or amine gave rise to more efficient conversion of amine **1a** (entries 2 and 3, respectively). A significantly increased reactivity was achieved with catalysts comprising a group 4 metal halide and LDA as additive, particularly when using HfCl₄^{9d} or ZrCl₄

-NH 1) MCl₄ (20 mol %), additive CF PhMe 18 h 120 °C $\langle \rangle$ 2) (CF₃CO)₂O 2a 1a Entry MCl₄ Additive (equiv.)^k Yield (%) TiCl₄ t-BuNH₂ (1.2) 114 2 t-BuNH₂ (1.2), 2,6-(t-Bu)₂C₅H₃N 29 TiCl₄ 3 26 TiCl₄ TMP (1.2) 37⁶ 59 4 TiCl₄ LDA (0.8) 5 HfCl LDA (0.8) 87 6 LDA (0.8) ZrCl₄ 7 LDA (0.8) 6

Table 1 MCl₄-catalyzed hydroamination of an unactivated alkene

^{*a*} Reagents and conditions: **1a** (1.0 mmol), MCl₄ (20 mol%), PhMe (2.0 mL), 18 h, 120 °C; (CF₃CO)₂O (2.0 mmol), 20 °C, 15 min. ^{*b*} TMP: 2,2,6,6tetramethylpiperidine; LDA: lithium diisopropylamide. ^{*c*} GC conversion.

(entries 5 and 6, respectively). Importantly, these transformations proceeded in the presence of an excess of either a sterically hindered pyridine (entry 2)¹³ or a basic amide (entries 4–6), rendering Brønsted-acid catalysis less likely. Based on a control experiment a base-catalyzed¹⁴ hydroamination is also unlikely (entry 7).

Further, the catalysts were probed using secondary amine **1b**. Interestingly, formation of heterocycle **2b** was not observed using catalytic amounts of either TiCl₄, HfCl₄ or ZrCl₄ in combination with LDA (Scheme 1). This lack of reactivity suggests that alkene hydroamination is catalyzed by *in situ*-generated group 4 metal imido complexes, which subsequently undergo an intramolecular [2 + 2] cycloaddition reaction with the alkene.



This Bergman mechanism is well established for alkyne hydroaminations³ and has been postulated for alkene hydroaminations.^{6,7}

^aInstitut fuer Organische und Biomolekulare Chemie, Georg-August-Universitaet, Tammannstr. 2, 37077, Goettingen, Germany. E-mail: Lutz. Ackermann@chemie.uni-goettingen.de; Fax: +49 551 396777

^bDepartment Chemie und Biochemie, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universitaet Muenchen, Butenandtstrasse 5–13, Haus F, 81377, Muenchen, Germany † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Full experimental

procedures and analytical data for hydroamination products. See DOI: 10.1039/b706301f

Brønsted-acids as organocatalysts

Intramolecular hydroamination reactions of unactivated alkenes with basic alkylamines are often employed to evaluate the performance of metal-based catalysts. As studies on the use of Brønsted-acids as catalysts in these important transformations have not previously been reported, we probed the efficacy of such reagents in the challenging conversion of basic primary and secondary aminoalkenes.¹⁵ We observed unprecedented Brønstedacid-catalyzed hydroamination reactions with basic alkylamines (Table 2). Particularly, salts of weakly coordinating anions¹⁶ enabled efficient catalysis. While PhMe₂NH⁺ $-B(C_6F_5)_4$ (98%, Strem, 120.16 EUR mmol⁻¹) proved highly active (entries 13 and 14), the use of NH₄⁺ $-O_2CCF_3$ (98%, Aldrich, 0.12 EUR mmol⁻¹)¹⁷ constitutes an economically attractive, preparatively simple, yet efficient alternative (entries 15 and 16).

With two highly promising catalysts in hand, we studied the scope of the methodology (Table 3). The mild reaction conditions allowed for the use of substrates bearing a variety of valuable functional groups, such as a chloro- (entry 2), an ester- (entry 3), a nitro- (entry 4) or a cyano-substituent (entry 5). Further, a hydroxy-substituted substrate was chemoselectively converted to pyrrolidine **2h** in high yield (entry 6). Importantly, *gem*-disubstitution¹⁸ is not a stringent requirement for the success of the protocol, and more challenging substrates were converted with high efficacy (entries 9 and 10). Finally, it is noteworthy that this methodology is not limited to secondary aminoalkenes, but also proved applicable to primary alkylamines (entry 11).

Conclusions

Br

In summary, we have presented herein two distinct economical catalysts for intramolecular addition reactions of basic amines to

Table 2 Brønsted-acid-catalyzed hydroamination with a basic amine

Ph	NH cat. (10-20 mol %)	I	PhN_Bn	
Ph	1,4-dioxane, 80 -130 °C, 2	24 h	Ph	
1Ь			2b	
Entry ^a	Catalyst	T∕°C	Yield (%)	
1		130	_	
2	$(NH_4)_2SO_4$	120	_	
3	NH4 ⁺ -O2CCH3	120	7 ^b	
4	NH_4F	120	$< 5^{b}$	
5	NH ₄ Cl	120	5 ^b	
6	NH_4Br	120	5 ^b	
7	NH_4I	120	10 ^b	
8	NH4 ⁺ -O3SCF3	130	20 ^b	
9	$(NH_4)BF_4$	120	25 ^b	
10	BINOLP(O)OH	130	33	
11	NH_4PF_6	120	39	
12		130	44	
13 ^c	$PhMe_2NH^+ - B(C_6F_5)_4$	120	83	
14		80	76	
15	NH4 ⁺ -O2CCF3	120	56	
16	-	130	74	

^a Reagents and conditions (unless otherwise indicated): **1b** (1.0 mmol), catalyst (20 mol%), 1,4-dioxane (2.0 mL), 24 h. ^b GC conversion. ^c Reagents and conditions: **1b** (0.5 mmol), catalyst (10 mol%), 1,4-dioxane (1.0 mL).





^{*a*} Reagents and conditions: **1** (1.0 mmol), $NH_4^+ - O_2CCF_3$ (20 mol%), 1,4dioxane (2.0 mL), 24 h, 130 °C. ^{*b*} Reagents and conditions: **1** (0.5 mmol), $PhMe_2NH^+ - B(C_6F_5)_4$ (10 mol%), 24 h, 120 °C. ^{*c*} Diastereomeric ratio. ^{*d*} Reaction conducted at 130 °C. ^{*e*} Reagents and conditions: **1** (0.5 mmol), $PhMe_2NH^+ - B(C_6F_5)_4$ (20 mol%), 18 h, 120 °C; (CF₃CO)₂O (2.0 mmol), 20 °C, 15 min.

unactivated olefins. Under basic reaction conditions, group 4 metal halides were employed for efficient hydroamination reactions of primary aminoalkenes, which likely proceed through *in situ* generation of group 4 metal imido complexes. Further, we have described the unprecedented use of Brønsted-acids for generally applicable and efficient catalytic hydroamination reactions of unactivated alkenes with basic alkyl-substituted amines. Generally, these findings are of fundamental significance for the evaluation of metal-based hydroamination catalysts. Form a preparative viewpoint, NH_4^+ O₂CCF₃ represents an economically attractive catalyst with a broad functional group tolerance.

Experimental[†]

Representative procedure for MCl₄-catalyzed intramolecular hydroaminations of unactivated olefins

1-Trifluoroacetyl-2-methyl-4,4-diphenylpyrrolidine (2a, Table 1, entry 6). An oven-dried sealed tube was charged under a positive pressure of nitrogen with ZrCl₄ (47 mg, 0.20 mmol, 20 mol%), toluene (2 mL) and LDA (2.0 M in THF-n-heptane-ethylbenzene, 0.40 mL, 0.80 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred for 30 min at ambient temperature, followed by the addition of 1a (237 mg, 1.00 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 120 °C for 18 h. The cold solution was subsequently treated with trifluoroacetic anhydride (420 mg, 2.00 mmol). After stirring for 15 min at ambient temperature, Et₂O (50 mL) and saturated aqueous $(NH_4)_2CO_3$ (30 mL) were added. The separated aqueous phase was extracted with $Et_2O(2 \times 50 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine (50 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. The remaining residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (*n*-pentane– $Et_2O = 60: 1 \rightarrow$ 30:1) to yield 2a (290 mg, 0.87 mmol, 87%) as a light yellow solid (mp 78.8–79.6 °C).

¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.34–7.37 (m, 10H), 4.61 (dt, J = 11.5, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 4.12–4.02 (m, 1H), 3.98 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, 1H), 3.06–2.97 (m, 1H), 2.32–2.25 (m, 1H), 1.40 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, DEPT, CDCl₃): δ = 155.3 (q, J = 36.1 Hz, CO), 144.7 (C_q), 143.6 (C_q), 128.8 (CH), 128.7 (CH), 126.9 (CH), 126.8 (CH), 126.5 (CH), 126.3 (CH), 116.1 (q, J = 288.0 Hz, CF₃), 56.2 (q, J = 2.6 Hz, CH₂), 54.6 (CH), 53.3 (C_q), 44.4 (CH₂), 18.9 (CH₃). ¹⁹F-NMR (275 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = -72.37 (s). IR (ATR): 3060, 2932, 1685, 1496, 1446, 1253, 1205, 1180, 1136, 1033, 753, 696 cm⁻¹. MS (EI), m/z (relative intensity) 334 (18) [M + H⁺], 333 (90) [M⁺], 220 (19), 207 (46), 193 (66), 179 (100), 115 (40), 91 (31), 69 (35). HR-MS (EI) m/z calcd for C₁₉H₁₈F₃NO 333.1340, found 333.1322.

Representative procedure for $NH_4O_2CCF_3\mbox{-}catalyzed$ hydroamination reactions

1-Benzyl-2-methyl-4,4-diphenylpyrrolidine (2b, Table 2, entry 16). A solution of **1b** (328 mg, 1.00 mmol) and NH₄⁺⁻O₂CCF₃ (26.2 mg, 0.20 mmol, 20 mol%) in dry 1,4-dioxane (2.0 mL) was stirred in a sealed tube under N₂ for 24 h at 130 °C. After cooling to ambient temperature, saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (80 mL) and Et₂O (80 mL) were added. The separated aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O (2 × 80 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated *in vacuo*. The remaining residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (*n*-pentane–Et₂O = 30 : 1) to yield **2b** (243 mg, 74%) as a yellow solid (mp 70.6–72.2 °C). The spectral data were in accordance with those reported in the literature.^{15a}

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.42–7.37 (m, 15H), 4.12 (d, J = 13.3 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 3.30 (d, J = 13.3 Hz, 1H), 2.99–2.83 (m, 3H), 2.25 (dd, J = 12.2, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 1.21 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, DEPT, CDCl₃): δ = 150.5 (C_q), 148.6 (C_q), 139.9 (C_q), 128.6 (CH), 128.2 (CH), 128.1 (CH), 127.8 (CH), 127.4 (CH), 127.2 (CH), 126.8 (CH), 125.8 (CH), 125.4 (CH), 66.4 (CH₂), 59.7 (CH), 58.0 (CH₂), 52.5 (C_q), 47.9 (CH₂), 19.5 (CH₃). IR (ATR): 3061, 3029, 2960, 2924, 2788, 1491, 1445, 1373, 730, 695 cm⁻¹. MS (EI) *m/z* (relative intensity) 327 (18) [M⁺], 312 (75), 147 (100), 91 (64), 56 (98). HR-MS (EI) m/z calcd for C₂₄H₂₅N 327.1987, found 327.2002.

Acknowledgements

We thank the DFG (Emmy Noether-Program) and Sanofi-Aventis for substantial financial support. Further support by the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie, DuPont and BASF AG is gratefully acknowledged.

References and notes

- 1 A. Mitchinson and A. Nadin, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 2000, 2862–2892.
- 2 (a) M. Beller, J. Seayad, A. Tillack and H. Jiao, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 3368–3398; (b) F. Alonso, I. P. Beletskaya and M. Yus, Chem. Rev., 2004, 104, 3079–3159; (c) S. Hong and T. J. Marks, Acc. Chem. Res., 2004, 37, 673–686.
- 3 (a) P. J. Walsh, A. M. Baranger and R. G. Bergman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 1708–1719; (b) P. L. McGrane, M. Jensen and T. Livinghouse, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 5459–5460. For reviews, see: (c) A. L. Odom, Dalton Trans., 2005, 225–233; (d) I. Bytschkov and S. Doye, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2003, 935–946; (e) A. P. Duncan and R. G. Bergman, Chem. Rec., 2002, 2, 431–445.
- 4 P. D. Knight, L. Munslow, P. N. O'Shaughnessy and P. Scott, *Chem. Commun.*, 2004, 894–895.
- 5 D. V. Gribkov and K. C. Hultzsch, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 5542–5546.
- 6 (a) J. A. Bexrud, J. D. Beard, D. C. Leitch and L. L. Schafer, Org. Lett., 2005, 7, 1959–1962; (b) M. C. Wood, D. C. Leitch, C. S. Yeung, J. A. Kozak and L. L. Schafer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 354–358; (c) H. Kim, P. H. Lee and T. Livinghouse, Chem. Commun., 2005, 5205–5207; (d) D. A. Watson, M. Chiu and R. G. Bergman, Organometallics, 2006, 25, 4731–4733; (e) A. L. Gott, A. J. Clarke, G. J. Clarkson and P. Scott, Organometallics, 2007, 26, 1729–1737; (f) H. Kim, Y. K. Kim, J. H. Shim, M. Kim, M. Han, T. Livinghouse and P. H. Leea, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2006, 348, 2609–2618; (g) C. Mueller, C. Loos, N. Schulenberg and S. Doye, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2006, 2499–2503; (h) B. D. Stubbert and T. J. Marks, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 6149–6167.
- 7 (a) R. K. Thomson, J. A. Bexrud and L. L. Schafer, *Organometallics*, 2006, **25**, 4069–4071; (b) Titanium imido complexes are likely also involved in an elegant allylation of amines: B. Ramanathan and A. L. Odom, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 9344–9345.
- 8 L. Ackermann, Organometallics, 2003, 22, 4367-4368.
- 9 (a) L. Ackermann and R. Born, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2004, **45**, 9541–9544;
 (b) L. Ackermann, L. T. Kaspar and C. J. Gschrei, *Chem. Commun.*, 2004, 2824–2825; (c) L. Ackermann, L. T. Kaspar and C. J. Gschrei, *Org. Lett.*, 2004, **6**, 2515–2518; (d) L. T. Kaspar, B. Fingerhut and L. Ackermann, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 5972–5974; (e) G. Abbiati, A. Casoni, V. Canevari, D. Nava and E. Rossi, *Org. Lett.*, 2006, **8**, 4839–4842.
- 10 For intramolecular hydroamidation reactions of olefins, which proved not to be applicable to more basic amines, see: (a) B. Schlummer and J. F. Hartwig, Org. Lett, 2002, 4, 1471–1474; (b) C. M. Haskins and D. W. Knight, Chem. Commun., 2002, 2724–2725; (c) C. M. Haskins and D. W. Knight, Chem. Commun., 2005, 3162–3164; (d) Y. Yin and G. Zhao, Heterocycles, 2006, 68, 23–31.
- 11 (a) Z. Li, J. Zhang, C. Brouwer, C.-G. Yang, N. W. Reich and C. He, Org. Lett., 2006, 8, 4175–4178; (b) D. C. Rosenfeld, S. Shekhar, A. Takemiya, M. Utsunomiya and J. F. Hartwig, Org. Lett., 2006, 8, 4179– 4182; (c) K. Motokura, N. Nakagiri, K. Mori, T. Mizugaki, K. Ebitani, K. Jitsukawa and K. Kaneda, Org. Lett., 2006, 8, 4617–4620.
- 12 For selected important references, see: (a) L. L. Anderson, J. Arnold and R. G. Bergman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, **127**, 14542–14543; (b) A. E. Cherian, G. J. Domski, J. M. Rose, E. B. Lobkovsky and G. W. Coates, Org. Lett., 2005, **7**, 5135–5137; (c) A. A. M. Lapis, B. A. D. Neto, J. D. Scholten, F. M. Nachtigall, M. N. Eberlin and J. Dupont, *Tetrahedron* Lett., 2006, **47**, 6775–6779.
- 13 T. C. Wabnitz, J.-Q. Yu and J. B. Spencer, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2004, **10**, 484–493.
- 14 (a) P. H. Martinez, K. C. Hultzsch and F. Hampel, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, 2221–2223; (b) J. Seayad, A. Tillack, C. G. Hartung and M.

Beller, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2002, 344, 795-813, and references cited therein.

15 For relevant transition metal-catalyzed hydroaminations of secondary aminoalkenes, see refs. 4,5 and: (a) C. F. Bender and R. A. Widenhoefer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, **127**, 1070–1071; (b) A. Zulys, M. Dochnahl, D. Hollmann, K. Löhnwitz, J.-S. Herrmann, P. W. Roesky and S. Blechert, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed, 2005, **44**, 7794–7798; (c) M. Dochnahl, J.-W. Pissarek, S. Blechert, K. Löhnwitz and P. W. Roesky, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, 3405–3407, and references cited therein.

- 16 I. Krossing and I. Raabe, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 2066–2090.
- 17 The reaction described in Table 2, entry 9, gave in DMSO, DMF, NMP or CH_2Cl_2 as solvent under otherwise identical reaction conditions, 8%, 15%, 15% and 39% conversion, respectively.
- 18 M. E. Jung and G. Piizzi, Chem. Rev., 2005, 105, 1735-1766.