

UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM

Subscriber access provided by University of Birmingham

Article

Synthesis and synthetic application of chloro- and bromofuroxans

Ryosuke Matsubara, Akihiro Ando, Hayu Hasebe, Hojin Kim, Takao Tsuneda, and Masahiko Hayashi J. Org. Chem., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.0c00326 • Publication Date (Web): 03 Apr 2020 Downloaded from pubs.acs.org on April 9, 2020

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.

is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

Synthesis and synthetic application of chloro- and bromofuroxans

Ryosuke Matsubara, *a Akihiro Ando, a Hayu Hasebe, Hojin Kim, Takao Tsuneda, Masahiko Hayashi

^a Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Nada-ku, Kobe, Hyogo 657-8501 (Japan)

^b Graduate School of Science, Technology and Innovation, Kobe University, Nada-ku, Kobe, Hyogo 657-8501 (Japan).

KEYWORDS (Word Style "BG_Keywords"). Furoxan; Synthetic method; Radical addition; Modular synthesis; Heterocycles

ABSTRACT: Furoxans are potentially useful heteroaromatic units in pharmaceuticals and agrichemicals. However, the applications for furoxan-based compounds have been hampered due to the underdevelopment of their synthetic methods. Herein we report a new synthetic approach for the synthesis of chloro- and bromofuroxans. The starting materials were dichloro- and dibromofuroxans, and the substituents were directly introduced to the furoxan ring in a modular fashion. The synthesized monohalofuroxans served as substrates for the installation of a second substituent to prepare further functionalized furoxans.

INTRODUCTION

The majority of pharmaceuticals and agrichemicals contain heteroaromatic units. Heteroaromatic units are indispensable in drug discovery¹ because they not only serve as rigid backbones to maintain the shape of a molecule, but also affect the interaction and reactivity of the molecule towards the target proteins via their unique electronic states and coordination ability, which can contribute to improved biological activity.

The heteroaromatic unit furoxan was first synthesized 150 years ago.² In the past few decades, it has attracted attention for its ability to enable spontaneous or stimulus-sensitive nitrogen oxide release,³ which is a unique characteristic that distinguishes it from other heteroaromatics. Furoxan is generally air and moisture stable, which enables easy isolation, manipulation and storage; therefore, it is expected to have high potential as a structural unit for fine chemicals.⁴ However, a literature survey reveals that furoxan has seen little application in fine-chemical-based fields.⁵

Our group has recently been interested in the overlooked potential of furoxan as a surrogate for other five- or sixmembered heterocyclic rings. Simple replacement of a more common heterocyclic architecture or hazardous functionality (e.g. nitrate esters) by a furoxan ring could improve the biological activity and safety of a molecule with minimal change to its overall shape (Figure 1A).⁶ Additionally, from an industrial viewpoint, patent violations would not be an issue for such compounds, given the scarcity of furoxan-containing functional molecules.

The underdevelopment of applications for furoxan-based compounds can be largely ascribed to the limited general synthetic methods of furoxans. Conventionally, the required substituents, especially carbon substituents, have had to be preinstalled before the formation of the furoxan ring ("pre-ring" modification strategy). In such cases, multi-step synthesis is required to obtain each targeted molecule; thus, this methodology is unsuitable in drug discovery studies. To tackle this issue, our laboratory has recently focused on the development of "post-ring" furoxan modification; i.e., methods in which the designated substituents are introduced directly onto the furoxan ring.⁷ Using post-ring methods, libraries of furoxans can be synthesized in a modular fashion from common intermediates.

Chloro- and bromofuroxanyl groups, which are small heteroaromatic units comprising seven atoms, are potentially useful for several reasons. (1) Such compact monovalent atomic groups, e.g., CF₃ and CF₂H,⁸ are often installed in drug candidate molecules to adjust their pharmacological activity (Figure 1B). (2) They contain reactive C-X bonds that can serve as versatile handles for further functionalization, making them useful intermediates for the divergent synthesis of functionalized furoxans. (3) Monohalofuroxans can be utilized as photolabile nitric oxide donors.^{3c, 3e} Only sporadic reports of the synthesis of monochloro- and monobromofuroxans have been published.9 Most previous methods have relied on pre-ring modifications starting from 1-haloalkenes, halo α-dioximes, and 1-haloalkynes, in which the designated substituents had to pre-installed. To the best of our knowledge, be monohalofuroxan syntheses based on post-ring modification strategies have so far been limited to the synthesis of 3chlorofuroxans from 3-chloro-4-nitrofuroxan developed by Rakitin9a, 9b, 10 and our previous synthesis of chloro- and fluorofuroxans via halide installation into 4-nitrofuroxans.^{3e}

Here, we report a variety of substitution reactions of dichloroand dibromofuroxans, including reactions in which carboncarbon bonds are formed on the furoxan ring, that enable easy access to various monochloro- and monobromofuroxans. The halogen atom on the obtained monohalofuroxans serves as a synthetic handle for the introduction of the second substituent to generate the desired functionalized furoxans.



Figure 1. (A) Furoxan as a surrogate for known five- or sixmembered ring architectures. (B) Advantages of chloro- and bromofuroxans.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Synthesis of dihalofuroxans

The synthesis of dichlorofuroxan (1) and dibromofuroxan (2) is known in the literature. However, the reported methods require toxic and non-user-friendly reagents such as chlorine gas, ^{9f} mercury oxide, ¹¹ and explosive mercury(II) fulminate.^{2, 12} Therefore, we have investigated new synthetic routes for these compounds; our modified methods are shown in Figure 2.¹³ Glyoxal (3) was used as a starting material for 1, while glyoxylic acid oxime (6) was used for 2. Both routes were scalable and gave the dihalofuroxans in acceptable yields. Additionally, only reagents that are readily accessible in an ordinary laboratory are employed in these syntheses. The structure of 2 was unambiguously determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis (sc-XRD).¹⁴



Figure 2. Synthesis of dichloro- and dibromofuroxans

2. Synthesis of 4-alkoxy-3-halofuroxans

4-Nitro- and 4-(arylsulfonyl)furoxans readily react with alkoxides in an aromatic nucleophilic substitution (S_NAr) manner to afford 4-alkoxyfuroxans in generally high yields.^{4e} Anticipating that dihalofuroxans would also undergo S_NAr reactions with alkoxides to afford 4-alkoxy-3-halofuroxans, we began our investigation using 1, alkali metal methoxides, and THF as a solvent (Table 1). The reaction of **1** with NaOMe proceeded to give product 8a regioselectively, although in moderate yield (entry 1). HMBC NMR analysis of 8a revealed that the protons of the methyl group showed a cross-peak with the most deshielded ¹³C peak (161 ppm), which was assigned to C4 of the furoxan, confirming the regiochemistry of 8a. The choice of alkali metal proved to be important; potassium and lithium methoxides afforded 8a in lower yields (entries 2 and 3). A slight increase in the loading of NaOMe dramatically decreased the yield (entry 4), indicating that product decomposition could occur under these conditions, and could be one of the reasons for the moderate yield. Thus, the loading of NaOMe was further decreased (1.2 equiv) and the reaction was conducted at lower temperature (-20 °C), which resulted in a slight improvement in the yield (entry 5). However, regioisomer 9a was obtained as a minor product along with 8a under these conditions for unclear reasons.

Table 1. Optimization of the alkoxylation of dichlorofuroxan(1)



entry	M ⁺ OR ⁻ (equiv)	solvent	temp /°C	time /h	yield /% ^a	8:9
1	NaOMe (1.5)	THF	0	12	31	100:0
2	KOMe (1.5)	THF	0	24	15	100:0
3	LiOMe (1.5)	THF	0	35	3	100:0
4	NaOMe (2.0)	THF	0	12	3	100:0
5	NaOMe (1.2)	THF	-20	24	39 (25) ^b	87:13
6 ^{<i>c</i>}	10 (1.0) NaH (3.0)	DME	-20	7	40 (41) ^e	N.D.
7 ^{c,d}	10 (1.0) NaH (3.0)	DME	-20	7	85^b (7) ^e	84:16

^{*a*} Determined by ¹H NMR analysis using durene as an internal standard. The combined yield of **8** and **9** is shown. ^{*b*} Isolated yield. ^{*c*} 3 equiv of **1** was used. ^{*d*} AgOTf (3 equiv) was added after the reaction. ^{*e*} Recovery of **1**. N.D. = not determined.

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57 58 59

60

 Table 2. Alkoxylation of dichlorofuroxan (1)

entry	ROH	yield /% ^a	8:9	product
1	cyclohexanol	44	70:30	8c and 9c
2	^t BuOH	65	67:33	8d and 9d
3	CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	59	100:0	8e
4	PhOH	27	100:0	8f

^a Isolated yield.

The use of the alcohol as the limiting reagent is desirable for larger and more valuable alcohols than methanol. Thus, we investigated the reaction conditions for the alkoxylation of 1 using alcohol 10 as the limiting reagent. We found that the use of 3 equivalents of 1 and NaH as a base in the solvent DME provided promising results, giving adduct 8b in 40% yield (Table 1, entry 6). The structure of 8b was unambiguously determined by sc-XRD.14 Careful analysis of the reaction course revealed an anomalous phenomenon in this reaction; although TLC analysis of an in situ sample indicated the complete consumption of alcohol 10, a significant amount of 10 (41%) was recovered after aqueous work-up. We observed a similar phenomenon in our previous cyanation reaction of 4nitrofuroxans.7b We surmised that a Meisenheimer complex may be formed in situ and remain stable until the addition of water, upon which it may either be converted to product 8b or revert to the starting 1 and 10. Based on this assumption, we added AgOTf (3 equiv) after the reaction was completed but before the aqueous work-up to selectively remove the chloride anion from the Meisenheimer complex. To our delight, the yield of 8b improved to 85% (entry 7). Under these optimized conditions, a secondary and tertiary alcohol (entries 1 and 2, Table 2), a fluorinated alcohol (entry 3, Table 2), and phenol (entry 4, Table 2) reacted with 1 to give the corresponding adducts,14 demonstrating the wide scope of the established method.

Next, we investigated the alkoxylation of dibromofuroxan (2) (Table 3). The methoxylation of 2 proceeded in DME to afford adduct **11a** (entry 1), the structure of which was determined by sc-XRD.¹⁴ To our regret, the conditions that improved the yields in the alkoxylation of **1** resulted in a low yield in the methoxylation of **2** (entries 1–3). Prolonging the reaction decreased the yield (entry 1 vs entry 4), indicating that the decomposition of product **11a** might occur in this reaction system. Solvent screening revealed that DMF, DMSO, and THF all gave unpromising results (entries 5–7). Finally, the use of NaOMe (2 equiv) in the solvent MeOH improved the yield to 62% (entry 8).

Table 3. Optimization of the reaction of dibromofuroxan (2)







Scheme 1. Byproduct formation in the alkoxylation of 2

Although the use of the alcohol nucleophile as the solvent is acceptable if the alcohol is volatile and liquid, it is not practical when the alcohol nucleophile is non-volatile, solid, or valuable. Thereby, we reinvestigated the reaction conditions for the alkoxylation of **2** using **10** as a limiting reagent (Scheme 1). However, the yield of the desired product **11b** did not exceed 10% even after extensive screening. 1-Bromo-4-phenylbutane (**12**) was detected as a byproduct in this reaction; we attributed its formation to the S_N2 reaction of the bromide anion with the initial product **11b** (bottom, Scheme 1). In this case, the 3bromofuroxan-4-yloxy group acts as a leaving group, which is unsurprising considering the electron-deficient nature of the furoxan ring. The low yields observed in the reaction of **1** and NaOMe (Table 3) could be partially ascribed to the same type of side-reaction.

3. C–C bond forming reactions of dihalofuroxans

C–C bond formation on the furoxan ring has been underdeveloped. Before we began our investigation of C–C bond formation on the furoxan ring, only one report by Gasco et al.¹⁵ was available in the literature, in which two selected substrates reacted with an aryl Grignard reagent. Recently, our group developed the alkynylation of 4-nitro- and 4sulfonylfuroxans, which represented the first general reaction for C–C bond formation on furoxan rings.^{7c} Thereafter, we reported cyanation reactions and radical addition-elimination reactions of 4-nitro- and 4-sulfonylfuroxans.^{7a,7b} We expected that dihalofuroxans 1 and 2 could also undergo C–C bond formation on the furoxan ring to enable facile synthesis of carbon-substituted monohalofuroxans.

3-1. Attempted alkylation of the dihalofuroxans

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58 59

60

We began our initial investigation using alkyl metal reagents (M = Li, Mg, Zn, and Cu), which are known to be hard nucleophiles. Despite extensive screening of the reaction conditions, the reactions of dihalofuroxan 1 or 2 with the alkyl metal reagents failed to give the desired alkyl-substituted monohalofuroxans in more than 10% yield. A representative example of the attempted reactions is shown in Figure 3 (top). The targeted alkyl-halofuroxans 13 and 14 were not obtained, and the sole identified byproduct in the reaction of 1 and BuLi was ring-opened compound 15, the structure of which was determined by single sc-XRD.¹⁴ The formation mechanism of byproduct 15 is proposed to be halogen-metal exchange of 1 to give the transient nitrile oxide intermediate 16, followed by the second attack of a butyl anion at the nitrile oxide moiety (Figure 3, bottom).



Figure 3. Attempted alkylation of dihalofuroxans

3-2. Alkynylation of dihalofuroxans

The alkynylation of dihalofuroxans 1 and 2 was then investigated (Table 4). Whereas the attempted alkynylation of 2 resulted in a complex mixture (entry 1), that of 1 afforded the desired adduct 19 in good yield (entries 2 and 3). Fortunately, both alkyl and aryl ethynyl lithium compounds served as good nucleophiles for 1. The structure of 19b was determined by sc-XRD.¹⁴ The observed regioselectivity favoring nucleophilic attack at the 3-position was surprising; generally, the C4 carbon of the furoxan, which is more electrophilic compared to C3 carbon. undergoes nucleophilic attack with high regioselectivity.4d, 4e, 16 This anomalous selectivity probably arises from the directing effect of the exo-ring oxygen atom via its coordination to the lithium metal. In the reactions of 1 and alkynyl lithium compounds, the furazan byproducts 20 and 21 were observed. The structure of 21b was determined by sc-XRD,¹⁴ and those of **20** and **21a** were determined by analogy to **21b**. The proposed mechanism for the formation of **20** and **21**, which is reminiscent of that of dioxime 15 (vide supra), is shown in Figure 4. The alkynyl anion undergoes halogen-metal exchange at the 3-position of furoxan to generate nitrile oxide 16 and chloroalkyne 22. Nitrile oxide 16 reacts with either the starting acetylene or 22 in a [3+2]-cycloaddition manner to give

1,2-oxazole 23, followed by ring rearrangement to give 20 or 21.

Table 4. Alkynylation of dihalofuroxans



^a Isolated yield.

 b Determined by $^{1}\mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis using durene as an internal standard.



Figure 4. Proposed mechanism for the formation of 20 and 21

3-3. Arylation of dihalofuroxans

The arylation of dihalofuroxans was then investigated (Table 5). The reaction of **2** with PhMgBr afforded a complex mixture of products, and the desired product **24a** was not obtained (entries 1 and 2). To our delight, the reaction of **1** and PhMgBr provided 4-chloro-3-phenylfuroxan (**25a**) (entries 3–6), the structure of which was determined by sc-XRD.¹⁴ The regioisomer **26a** was not detected. Similarly to in the alkynylation of **1**, anomalous regioselectivity favoring the 3-position attack was observed, again probably due to the directing effect of the exo-ring oxygen atom of furoxan. The use of phenyl lithium gave product **25a** in low yield (entry 7). Other aryl groups could also be installed smoothly (entries 8 and 9).

3-4. Alkylation of dihalofuroxans

Alkylation of the dihalofuroxans using alkyl metal reagents to synthesize alkyl-substituted monohalofuroxans failed due to a side reaction (vide supra). Our group recently developed the radical-mediated alkylation of 3-(arylsulfonyl)furoxans,^{7a} in which aliphatic carboxylic acids serve as an alkyl radical source in the presence of a silver catalyst and the arylsulfonyl radical acts as a good radical leaving group. In analogy, we proposed that dihalofuroxans might also react with alkyl radicals and that the halogen atom could serve as a radical leaving group to

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

Table 5. Arylation of dihalofuroxans



^{*a*} Determined by ¹H NMR analysis using durene as an internal standard. ^{*b*} 1.5 equiv of ArMgBr was used. ^{*c*} PhLi (1 equiv) was used instead of PhMgBr. ^{*d*} Isolated yield. PMP = *p*-methoxyphenyl.

Table 6. Optimization study for the alkylation ofdibromofuroxan (2)

_ 0~*^0 }	й Қ +ОН	$\begin{array}{c} \text{AgNO}_3 & \overline{\text{O}}_{\text{N}} \\ \text{K}_2 \text{S}_2 \text{O}_8 \left(1.5 \text{ equiv}\right) & \overline{\text{O}}_{\text{N}} \\ \text{NaHCO}_3 \left(3 \text{ equiv}\right) & \end{array}$
Br [⁄] 2 (2 equi	`Br Ö (1 equiv) iv)	CH ₃ CN/H ₂ O (1/1) 70 °C 27a
entry	amount of AgNO ₃ /equiv	f time /h yield /% ^{<i>a</i>}
1	0.2	30 trace
2	2.0	24 44
3^b	2.0	24 40
4	2.0	1 46
5^c	2.0	1 51
6	3.0	1 57 $(50)^d$
7^e	3.0	1 3

^{*a*} Determined by ¹H NMR analysis using durene as an internal standard. ^{*b*} 5 equiv of **2** was used. ^{*c*} 3.0 equiv of $K_2S_2O_8$ was used. ^{*d*} Isolated yield. ^{*e*} 1,2-Dichloroethane/H₂O (1/1) was used as the solvent.

afford alkyl-substituted monohalofuroxans. **1** did not react with the alkyl radical during our attempted trials (data not shown), but to our delight, **2** reacted with the carboxylic acid-derived alkyl radical to afford 3-alkyl-4-bromofuroxan **27a** (Table 6), although in low yield (entry 1). The use of a superstoichiometric amount of AgNO₃ improved the yield to 44%, with the majority of the remaining carboxylic acid being recovered (entry 2). Optimization of the reaction conditions (entries 3–7) revealed that 3 equiv of AgNO₃ were required to obtain a higher yield. Under the optimized conditions (entry 6 in Table 6), secondary and tertiary alkyl groups were also successfully introduced to the furoxan in good yields (Scheme 2).¹⁴

Scheme 2. Alkylation of dibromofuroxan (2)



Based on precedents in the literature,¹⁷ a proposed mechanism for the radical-mediated alkylation of **2** is delineated in Figure 5. An Ag(II) salt is generated in the presence of the persulfate anion. The carboxylic acid reacts with Ag(II), and then generates an alkyl radical through decarboxylation. The alkyl radical reacts with **2** at the 3-position to afford 3-alkyl-4-bromofuroxan **27** and generate a bromo radical. In contrast to our previous report, in which 3-sulfonylfuroxans were used as the substrate,^{7a} a stoichiometric amount of the silver salt was required in this reaction. This was probably due to the reaction of in-situ generated bromide radicals or bromide anions with the silver salt to form inactive silver bromide (AgBr).



Figure 5. Proposed mechanism for the radical-mediated alkylation of dibromofuroxan (2)

Souther of Organic Chemistry



Figure 6. Computed potential energy surfaces and relative Gibbs free energies for the radical addition reactions to dibromofuroxan (a) and dichlorofuroxan (b) at the (u)LC-BLYP/6-31G(d) level using the SMD polarizable continuum solvation model (DMSO). All energies are indicated in kcal mol⁻¹, and interatomic distances are shown in angstroms. Inset: The delocalized structure of **Br-3-INT** is a nitroxyl radical; these radicals are known to be stable.

Long-range corrected (LC) density functional theory (DFT) calculations,¹⁸ which were developed to determine orbital energies with chemical $\operatorname{accuracy}^{19} \operatorname{\bar{and}}$ correct for the charge transfer nature of reactions,²⁰ were performed for the radical addition and elimination reactions of 1 and 2 using a simplified alkyl radical (methyl radical) (Figure 6). To clarify the origin of the regioselective addition at the 3-position over the 4-position, both routes were calculated. In the case of 2, the radical addition step is exoergonic for both the 3- and 4-position attacks (Figure 6a), but Br-3-INT, the radical intermediate generated by the 3position radical attack, is lower in energy than Br-4-INT by 17.3 kcal mol⁻¹. The transition state **Br-3-TS1** is also lower in energy than **Br-4-TS1** by 4.9 kcal mol⁻¹. The same tendency was observed for the radical addition reaction to 3,4bis(arylsulfonyl)furoxan in our previous work.^{7a} The preference for the 3-position attack of alkyl radical can be intuitively understood from the fact that the delocalized structure of Br-3-INT is a nitroxyl radical; nitroxyl radicals are well-known as stable oxy-radical species (inset of Figure 6a). In contrast to in our previous work,^{7a} the subsequent elimination step (from **Br**-**3-INT** to **Br-3-PD**) proved to be slightly endoergonic ($\Delta\Delta G =$ +5.8 kcal mol⁻¹). This result indicates that the reverse reaction. i.e., the reaction of product 27 with a bromide radical to afford Br-3-INT, is possible. In this context, the use of a stoichiometric amount of silver salt would be required to scavenge the free bromide radical in order to overcome the unfavorable equilibrium. On the other hand, in the radical addition to 1, Cl-3-TS2, the transition state for the elimination step from Cl-3-INT to Cl-3-PD, involves a significant increase in energy ($\Delta\Delta G = +18.1$ kcal mol⁻¹), which can be attributed to the relative strength of the C-Cl bond compared to the C-Br bond. Thus, the calculations do not contradict the experimental finding that **1** did not give the radical addition product.

4. Modular synthesis of functionalized furoxans via monohalofuroxans

Since furoxans have two open sites (at the 3- and 4-positions) at which a substituent can be installed, the ideal synthesis of disubstituted furoxans would proceed via the sequential "postring" installation of the two substituents of interest to the furoxan ring. However, to the best of our knowledge, no general strategy enabling the sequential installation of two different substituents on a furoxan ring was reported prior to our first example of such a methodology using bis(arylsulfonyl)furoxan substrates.^{7a}

With the synthetic routes to various types of monohalofuroxans in hand, the installation of a second substituent to the monohalofuroxans was investigated. 3-Aryl-4-chlorofuroxan 25b was photochemically isomerized to its regioisomer 26b in high yield using our previously developed method (Figure 7A, left).^{3e} 3-Chlorofuroxan 26b could be arylated with an aryl Grignard reagent to form diarylfuroxan 28 (Figure 7A, right), demonstrating that chloride substituents at both the 3- and 4-positions are not necessarily required for the replacement of Cl by an aryl nucleophile. Diarylfuroxans are known to have anticancer activity.²¹ Monochlorofuroxan 25b successfully underwent methoxylation to afford 4-alkoxy-3arylfuroxan 29 (Figure 7B left).²² The same compound could also be synthesized from 8a via arylation in good yield (Figure 7B, right). Although both routes in Figure 7B provide the same product, each route has potential applications for the creation of furoxan-based chemical libraries. When diversity in the aryl group is required, the arylation should follow the alkoxylation of 1. However, when diversity in the alkoxy group is required, the alkoxylation should follow the arylation of 1. Furoxan 11a could be alkylated under the developed radical-mediated conditions to form 3-alkyl-4-methoxyfuroxan 30 (Figure 7C, left). Compound **30** was also synthesized by the methoxylation of 27a (Figure 7C, right). Dialkylfuroxans 32a-d were synthesized from 27a by photochemical isomerization (Figure 7D, left) followed by radical-mediated reaction (Figure 7D, right). Dialkylfuroxan regioisomers have very similar polarity and are difficult to separate chromatographically, especially when the two alkyl groups are similar, e.g., an n-hexyl and an n-heptyl group. It is thus noteworthy that, using our route, dialkylfuroxans can be obtained as a regiochemically pure isomer.23

The Journal of Organic Chemistry



Figure 7. Modular synthesis of functionalized furoxans via monohalofuroxans. Numbers in brackets refer to the section numbers of this manuscript and relevant literature citations. See the experimental section for details.

Conclusion

In this work, we have established synthetic methods to obtain dihalofuroxans and monohalofuroxans (X = Cl, Br). Dichlorofuroxan was synthesized from glyoxal, and dibromofuroxan was synthesized from glyoxylic acid oxime. Both routes are scalable and avoid the use of toxic or explosive reagents. Alkoxylation of dichlorofuroxan proceeded predominantly at the 4-position. Because of the equilibrium that exists in this reaction, the use of Ag salt to scavenge the chloride anion was effective to obtain a higher yield. The alkoxylation of dibromofuroxan has a severely limited substrate scope due to the over-reaction caused by the liberated bromide anion; only methoxylation was feasible. The alkynylation and arylation of dichlorofuroxan proceeded to afford monochlorofuroxans. These additions showed exclusive unusual regioselectivity

towards 3-position attack, which was ascribed to the directing effect of the exo-ring oxygen atom of furoxan. Neither the alkynylation nor arylation of dibromofuroxan was feasible. Alkyl radical addition to dibromofuroxan occurred selectively at the 3-position to give 3-alkyl-4-bromofuroxans; the use of a stoichiometric amount of silver salt was important in this reaction. The synthesized monohalofuroxans served as substrates for the installation of a second substituent to prepare further functionalized furoxans. Diarylfuroxan, 4-alkoxy-3arylfuroxan, 3-alkyl-4-methoxyfuroxan, and dialkylfuroxans were synthesized in a modular fashion. An overview of this work is provided in Figure 8. The developed methodology should provide easy access to a variety of furoxan molecules, and will hopefully trigger the development of pharmaceuticals and agrichemicals based on furoxan architectures, which remains a relatively underdeveloped area.



(a) RONa; AgOTf. (b) ArMgCl. (c) Alkynyl lithium. (d) light irradiation. (e) MeONa in MeOH. (f) RCOOH, AgNO₃, K₂S₂O₈.

Figure 8. Summary of the results

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Unless otherwise noted, all reactions were carried out in well cleaned glasswares with magnetic stirring. Operations were performed under an atmosphere of dry argon using Schlenk and vacuum techniques, unless otherwise noted. Heated reactions were conducted in an oil bath, unless otherwise noted. All starting materials were obtained from commercial sources or were synthesized using standard procedures. Melting points were measured on a Yanaco MP-500D and are not corrected. ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR (400 and 100 MHz, respectively) were recorded on a Bruker Avance III HD 400 using TMS (0 ppm) and CDCl₃ (77.0 ppm) as an internal standard, respectively. The following abbreviations are used in connection with NMR; s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q =quartet, quint = quintet, sep = septet, and m = multiplet, br =broad. Mass spectra were measured using a JEOL JMS-T100LP (DART method, ambient ionization) or a LTQ Orbitrap Elite (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Brehmen, Germany) with an electrospray ionization (ESI) ion source. Preparative column chromatography was performed using Kanto Chemical silica gel 60 N (spherical, neutral), Fuji Silysia BW-4:10MH silica gel or YMC_GEL Silica (6 nm I-40-63 um). Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out on Merck 25 TLC silica gel 60 F254 aluminium sheets. Preparative HPLC was performed with a silica-based normal phase HPLC packed column (YMC-SIL 06, 20 \times 250 mm, 5 μ m, 6 nm). GC analyses were performed using a Shimazu GC-2025 gas chromatograph equipped with GL Science Inertcap5. Photoreactions were conducted using a 300W Xenon lamp, Asahi Spectra MAX-303 equipped with a combination of module and optical filters suitable for the designated wavelength.

3,4-Dichlorofuroxan (1) 3,4-Dichlorofuroxan (1) was synthesized by modified method reported in the literature. ^{9f, 24} To a solution of hydroxylamine hydrochloride (123.7 g, 1.78 mol, 2.0 eq.) and glyoxal (3) (129.1 g, 40% aqueous solution, 0.89 mol, 1.0 eq.) in distilled water (310 mL) was added NaOH (71.2g, 1.78 mol, 2.0 eq.) in distilled water (310 mL) slowly at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 40 min at 0 °C. The resultant white solid was collected by vacuum filtration and washed with distilled water to give glyoxime (4) (74.2 g, 843 mmol, 95% yield) as a white solid. To a solution of glyoxime (4) (20.7 g, 235 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in DMF (132 mL) was added NCS (40.1 g, 300 mmol, 1.3 eq.) portionwise at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 4.5 h at 22 °C. *N*-

Chlorosuccinimide (20.0 g, 150 mmol, 0.6 eq.) was added portionwise and the mixture was stirred for 5.5 h at 22 °C. The reaction was quenched by the addition of distilled water (100 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted three times with Et₂O. The combined organic extracts were washed three times with water, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The white solid was washed with toluene at 70 °C. The resultant white solid was collected by vacuum filtration washing with toluene to give dichloroglyoxime (5) (25.7 g, 163.7 mmol, 70% yield) as a white solid. To fuming nitric acid (47 mL) was added dichloroglyoxime (5) (4.7 g, 30 mmol) slowly at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2.5 h at 20 °C, poured into ice and extracted three times with CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/benzene = 3/1) to give **1** as a colourless oil (3.6 g, 23.2 mmol, 78% yield). The total yield over 3 steps was 52%. IR (neat): 2857, 1614, 1462, 1387, 1321, 1296, 1244, 1077, 1040, 986, 820, 706 cm⁻¹; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 146.3$ (furoxan 4C), 109.7 (furoxan 3C) ppm; HRMS (DART) m/z: [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₂H³⁵Cl₂N₂O₂ 154.9415; Found 154.9397.

3,4-Dibromofuroxan (2) 2-(Hydroxyimino)acetic acid (6) was known in the literature²⁵ and were synthesized without modification. To a solution of 2-(hydroxyimino)acetic acid (6) (35.6 g, 400 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in 1,2-dimethoxyetane (360 mL) and distilled water (107 mL) was added N-bromosuccinimide (142 g, 800 mmol, 2.0 eq.) portionwise at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at 20 °C, and then extracted three times with Et2O. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the crude mixture (49 g) as an orange oil. To this crude mixture in 1,2-dimethoxyethane (243 mL) was added an aqueous solution of Na₂CO₃ (0.25 M, 268 mmol, 1072 mL) dropwise over 30 min. The reaction mixture was stirred for 20 h at 20 °C, and then extracted three times with CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the crude mixture. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/ethyl acetate = 1/0 to 10/1) to give 2 as a yellow solid (13 g, 107 mmol, 27% yield over 2 steps). The total yield over 3 steps from glyoxylic acid (6) was 21%. Single crystals of 2 suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained by recrystallization from hexane/1,2-dichloroethane by vapor

57 58 59

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

54

55

56

57

58 59

60

diffusion. Mp: 46.6-47.6 °C; IR (neat): 2809, 1763, 1643, 1601, 1582, 1501, 1451, 1374, 1352, 1292, 1248, 1228, 1009, 993, 960, 844, 807, 697 cm⁻¹; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 136.8 (furoxan 4C), 98.1 (furoxan 3C) ppm; HRMS (DART) m/z: [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₂H⁷⁹Br⁸¹BrN₂O₂ 244.8384; Found 244.8350.

3-Chloro-4-methoxyfuroxan (8a) To a solution of 3,4dichlorofuroxan (1) (774.7 mg, 5.0 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in THF (16.8 mL) was added NaOMe (324.1 mg, 6.0 mmol, 1.2 eq.) at -20 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at -20 °C. The reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous solution of NH₄Cl. The mixture was extracted three times with CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the residue. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/benzene = 3/1 to 1/1) to give an inseparable mixture of 8a and 9a (188.4 mg, 1.25 mmol, 25%) as a white solid. The ratio of 8a and 9a was determined by ¹H NMR analysis. Mp (8a): 49.1-50.1 °C; IR (neat): 3054, 2982, 2948, 2880, 2765, 2275, 1622, 1558, 1453, 1411, 1262, 1200, 1143, 993, 948, 836, 723, 710, 684 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): **8a**: $\delta = 4.16$ (s, 3H, OCH₃) ppm, **9a**: $\delta = 4.16$ (s, 3H, OCH₃) ppm.; ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H{} NMR$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 8a: $\delta = 161.1$ (furoxan 4C), 103.4 (furoxan 3C), 57.6 (OCH₃) ppm; HRMS (DART) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ Calcd for C₂H₄³⁵ClN₂O₃ 150.9910; Found 150.9923.

24 3-Chloro-4-(4-phenylbutoxy)furoxan (8b) To a deaerated 25 solution of NaH (60.0 mg, 60% dispersion, 1.5 mmol, 3.0 eq., washed by hexane before use) in 1,2-dimethoxyethane (1.5 mL) 26 was added 4-phenylbutan-1-ol (10) (76.3 µL, 0.5 mmol, 1.0 eq.) 27 at 28 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 15 min at 28 °C. 28 To this solution 1 (232.4 mg, 1.5 mmol, 3.0 eq.) was added at -29 20 °C. The mixture was stirred for 7 h at -20 °C. After the 30 addition of AgOTf (385.4 mg, 1.5 mmol, 3.0 eq.), the reaction 31 was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous solution of 32 NH₄Cl. The mixture was filtered and extracted three times with 33 CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous 34 Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the residue. 35 The recovery of 10, determined by ¹H NMR analysis using 36 durene as an internal standard, was 7%. The residue was 37 purified by preparative TLC (eluent: hexane/ethyl acetate = 10/1, hexane/benzene = 2/1) twice to give a mixture of **8b** and 38 9b (133.7 mg, 0.50 mmol, 85%) as a colorless oil. The ratio of 39 **8b** and **9b** was determined by ¹H NMR analysis. Single crystals 40 of 8b suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained by 41 recrystallization from hexane/dichloromethane by vapor 42 diffusion. Mp (8b): 28.3-28.5 °C; IR (neat): 2358, 2224, 1613, 43 1590, 1503, 1476, 1437, 1299, 1260, 1042, 1009, 995, 777, 757, 44 687 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): **8b**: $\delta = 7.32-7.28$ (m, 45 2H, Ph 3C-H), 7.22-7.18 (m, 3H, Ph 2C-H, Ph 4C-H), 4.43 (t, J 46 = 6.4 Hz, 2H, OCH₂), 2.70 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, PhCH₂), 1.94-47 1.87 (m, 2H, OCH₂CH₂), 1.84-1.76 (m, 2H, PhCH₂CH₂) ppm; **9b**: (distinguishable peak) $\delta = 3.60$ (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H, OCH₂) 48 ppm; ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): **8b**: $\delta = 160.7$ (furoxan 49 4C), 141.5 (Ph 1C), 128.43 (Ph 2C or Ph 3C), 128.37 (Ph 2C or 50 Ph 3C), 126.0 (Ph 4C), 103.4 (furoxan 3C), 71.1 (OCH₂), 35.3 51 (OCH_2CH_2) , 28.0, 27.2 ppm; HRMS (DART) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ 52 Calcd for C₁₂H₁₄³⁵ClN₂O₃ 269.0693; Found 269.0698. 53

3-Chloro-4-(cyclohexyloxy)furoxan (8c) To a deaerated solution of NaH (36.0 mg, 1.5 mmol, 3.0 eq.) in 1,2-dimethoxyethane (1.5 mL) was added cyclohexanol (52.8 μL, 0.5 mmol, 1.0 eq.) at 26 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 15 min at 26 °C. To this solution **1** (232.4 mg, 1.5 mmol, 3.0

eq.) was added at -20 °C. The mixture was stirred for 7 h at -20 °C. After the addition of AgOTf (385.4 mg, 1.5 mmol, 3.0 eq.), the reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous solution of NH₄Cl. The mixture was filtered and extracted three times with CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the residue. The residue was purified by preparative TLC (eluent: hexane/benzene = 2/1) to give a mixture of 8c and 9c (48.3 mg, 0.22 mmol, 44%) as a colorless oil. The ratio of **8c** and **9c** was determined by ¹H NMR analysis using durene as an internal standard. IR (neat): 2938, 2861, 1624, 1551, 1447, 1368, 1261, 1161, 1147, 1119, 1008, 993, 928, 906, 838 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 8c: $\delta = 4.87$ (tt, J = 9.2, 3.6 Hz, 1H, OCH), 2.11-2.07 (m, 2H), 1.84-1.80 (m, 2H), 1.68-1.57 (m, 3H), 1.45-1.35 (m, 3H) ppm, 9c: (distinguishable peak) $\delta = 4.75$ (tt, J = 8.8, 4.0 Hz, 1H, OCH) ppm; ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 8c: $\delta = 160.0$ (furoxan 4C), 103.8 (furoxan 3C), 80.6 (OCH), 31.1, 25.1, 23.4 ppm; HRMS (DART) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ Calcd for $C_8H_{13}{}^{35}ClN_2O_3$ 220.0615; Found 220.0588.

4-(tert-Butoxy)-3-chlorofuroxan (8d) To a deaerated solution of NaH (36.0 mg, 1.5 mmol, 3.0 eq.) in 1,2dimethoxyethane (1.5 mL) was added tert-butyl alcohol (47.9 $\mu L,$ 0.5 mmol, 1.0 eq.) at 26 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 15 min at 26 °C. To this solution 1 (232.4 mg, 1.5 mmol, 3.0 eq.) was added at -20 °C. The mixture was stirred for 7 h at -20 °C. After the addition of AgOTf (385.4 mg, 1.5 mmol, 3.0 eq.), the reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous solution of NH₄Cl. The mixture was filtered and extracted three times with CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the residue. The residue was purified by preparative TLC (eluent: hexane/benzene = 2/1) to give a mixture of 8d and 9d (62.6 mg, 0.33 mmol, 65%) as a yellow oil. The ratio of 8d and 9d was determined by ¹H NMR analysis using durene as an internal standard. IR (neat): 3031, 2924, 2614, 2358, 1948, 1601, 1495, 1454, 1412, 1173, 1076, 1021, 1007, 836 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 8d: $\delta = 1.63$ (s, 9H, OC(CH₃)₃) ppm, **9d**: $\delta = 1.59$ (s, 9H, OC(CH₃)₃) ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 158.9$ (furoxan 4C), 104.6 (furoxan 3C), 87.1 (OC), 27.7 (OC(CH₃)₃) ppm: HRMS (DART) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ Calcd for $C_6H_{10}^{35}ClN_2O_3$ 193.0380; Found 193.0394.

3-Chloro-4-(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy)furoxan (8e) To а deaerated solution of NaH (36.0 mg, 1.5 mmol, 3.0 eq.) in 1,2dimethoxyethane (1.5 mL) was added 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol (36.0 µL, 0.5 mmol, 1.0 eq.) at 22 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 15 min at 22 °C. To this solution 1 (232.4 mg, 1.5 mmol, 3.0 eq.) was added at -20 °C. The mixture was stirred for 7 h at -20 °C. After the addition of AgOTf (385.4 mg, 1.5 mmol, 3.0 eq.), the reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous solution of NH₄Cl. The mixture was filtered and extracted three times with CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the residue. The residue was purified by preparative TLC (eluent: hexane/benzene = 2/1) to give 8e (65.6 mg, 0.30 mmol, 59%) as a yellow oil. IR (neat): 2973, 2877, 1728, 1630, 1558, 1471, 1274, 1167, 1148, 1027, 998, 962, 866, 840 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 4.79$ $(q, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}, \text{OC}H_2\text{C}F_3) \text{ ppm}; {}^{13}\text{C}\{{}^{1}\text{H}\} \text{ NMR} (100 \text{ MHz},$ CDCl₃): $\delta = 159.5$ (furoxan 4C), 121.9 (q, J = 275.7 Hz, CF_3), 103.0 (furoxan 3C), 65.9 (q, J = 38 Hz, OCH₂) ppm; ¹⁹F NMR $(376 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta = -72.2 \text{ ppm}; \text{HRMS} (\text{DART}) \text{ m/z}: [M + H]^+ \text{ Calcd for } C_4 \text{H}_3^{35} \text{ClN}_2 \text{O}_3 \text{ 218.9784}; \text{ Found } 218.9770.$

3-Chloro-4-phenoxyfuroxan (8f) To a deaerated solution of NaH (36.0 mg, 1.5 mmol, 3.0 eq.) in 1,2-dimethoxyethane (1.5 mL) was added phenol (47.1 mg, 0.5 mmol, 1.0 eq.) at 24 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 15 min at 22 °C. To this solution 1 (232.4 mg, 1.5 mmol, 3.0 eq.) was added at -20 °C. The mixture was stirred for 7 h at 22 °C. After the addition of AgOTf (385.4 mg, 1.5 mmol, 3.0 eq.), the reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous solution of NH₄Cl. The mixture was filtered and extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the residue. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/ethyl acetate = 30/1), preparative TLC (eluent: hexane/benzene = 3/1), and HPLC (eluent: hexane/ethyl acetate = 30/1) to give **8f** (28.9 mg, 0.14 mmol, 27%) as a white solid. Single crystals of 8f suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained by recrystallization from hexane/acetone by vapor diffusion. Mp: 134.3-135.3 °C; IR (neat): 3357, 3309, 3187, 2920, 2852, 1626, 1540, 1447, 1258, 1184, 1096, 997, 920, 843 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.49-7.44$ (m, 2H, Ph 3C-H) ppm, 7.36-7.30 (m, 3H, Ph 2C-*H*, 4C-*H*) ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 160.2$ (furoxan 4C), 152.2 (Ph 1C), 130.1 (Ph 3C), 126.7 (Ph 4C), 119.6 (Ph 2C) ppm.

3-Bromo-4-methoxyfuroxan (11a) To a solution of NaOMe (1.08 g, 20 mmol, 2.0 eq.) in MeOH (33.6 mL) was added 2 (2.44 g, 10 mmol, 1.0 eq.) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 8 h at 0 °C. The reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous solution of NH₄Cl. The mixture was extracted three times with CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the residue. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/ethyl acetate = 10/1) to give **11a** (1.22 g, 6.2 mmol, 62%) as a white solid. Single crystals of **11a** suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained by recrystallization from hexane/1,2-dichloroethane by vapor diffusion. Mp: 64.5-65.1 °C; IR (neat): 3051, 3002, 2944, 2852, 1620, 1557, 1466, 1450, 1408, 1366, 1240, 1200, 1130, 986, 948, 827, 712, 684 cm^{-1} ; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 4.15$ (s, 3H, OCH₃) ppm. $^{13}C{^{1}H}$ NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 162.5$ (furoxan 4C), 89.2 (furoxan 3C), 57.5 (OCH₃) ppm; HRMS (DART) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ Calcd for C₃H₄⁷⁹BrN₂O₃ 194.9405; Found 194.9414.

3-Bromo-4-(4-phenylbutoxy)furoxan (11b) To a solution of NaH (36.0 mg, 1.5 mmol, 3.0 eq.) in 1.2-dimethoxyethane (1.5 mL) was added 10 (76.3 µL, 0.5 mmol, 1.0 eq.) at 32 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 10 min at -20 °C. To this solution 2 (365.8 mg, 1.5 mmol, 3.0 eq.) was added at -20 °C. The mixture was stirred for 12 h at 32 °C. The reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous solution of NH₄Cl. The mixture was extracted three times with CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the residue. The residue was purified by preparative TLC (eluent: hexane/benzene = 3/1) to give **11b** (14.8 mg, 0.047 mmol, 10%) as a colorless oil. 4-(Bromobutyl)benzene (12) was detected by GC-MS analysis. Mp: 31.9-32.5 °C; IR (neat): 3082, 3024, 2962, 2934, 2917, 2859, 1612, 1554, 1495, 1442, 1383, 1368, 1235, 1146, 988, 963, 946, 842, 799, 751 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.32-7.28$ (m, 2H, Ph 3C-H), 7.22-7.18 (m, 3H, Ph 2C-H, Ph 4C-H), 4.42 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H, OCH₂), 2.70

(t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, PhCH₂), 1.93-1.86 (m, 2H, OCH₂CH₂), 1.84-1.76 (m, 2H, PhCH₂CH₂) ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 162.1$ (furoxan 4C), 141.5 (Ph 1C), 128.42 (Ph 2C or Ph 3C), 128.37 (Ph 2C or Ph 3C), 126.0 (Ph 4C), 89.3 (furoxan 3C), 70.9 (OCH₂), 35.3 (OCH₂CH₂), 28.0, 27.2 ppm; HRMS (DART) m/z: [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₁₂H₁₄⁷⁹BrN₂O₃ 313.0188; Found 313.0188.

(1E,2E)-1-Butyl-2-chloroglyoxime (15) To a solution of 1 (154.9 mg, 1.0 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in THF (0.9 mL) was added ⁿBuLi (1.6 M in hexane, 0.63 mL, 1.0 mmol, 1.0 eq.) dropwise at -78 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at -78 °C. The reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous solution of NH₄Cl. The mixture was extracted three times with CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the residue. The residue was purified by preparative TLC (eluent: hexane/ethyl acetate = 10/1) to give **15** (82.9 mg, 0.46 mmol, 46%) as a yellow solid. Single crystals of 15 suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained by recrystallization from hexane/1,2-dichloroethane by vapor diffusion. Mp: 138.0-139.0 °C; IR (neat): 3211(br), 2950, 2872, 1704, 1622, 1427, 1406, 994, 936, 912 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): $\delta =$ 12.56 (s, 1H, NOH), 11.99 (s, 1H, NOH), 2.59 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, CH₂(CH₂)₂CH₃), 1.46-1.39 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₂CH₃), 1.33-1.23 (m, 2H, CH_2CH_3), 0.87 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H, CH_3) ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): $\delta = 153.2$ (ClCNOH), 135.5 (CH₂CNOH), 28.3, 25.3, 22.7, 14.2 (CH₃) ppm; HRMS (DART) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ Calcd for $C_6H_{12}^{35}ClN_2O_2$ 179.0587; Found 179.0593.

4-Chloro-3-(oct-1-yn-1-yl)furoxan (19a) To a solution of 1hexyne (95.5 µL, 0.65 mmol, 1.3 eq.) in THF (2.0 mL) was added "BuLi (1.6 M in hexane, 0.34 mL, 0.55 mmol, 1.1 eq.) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at 0 °C. To this solution 1 (77.5 mg, 0.5 mmol, 1.0 eq.) was added at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred for 1 h at 0 °C. The reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous solution of NH₄Cl. The mixture was extracted three times with CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the residue. The residue was purified by preparative TLC (eluent: hexane/benzene = 3/1) to give **19a** (76.2 mg, 0.33 mmol, 67%) as a yellow oil. IR (neat): 2957, 2930, 2589, 2240, 1609, 1437, 1284, 1085, 802 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 2.53$ (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, CCCH₂), 1.65 (quint, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, CCCH₂CH₂), 1.48-1.41 (m, 2H, CC(CH₂)₂CH₂), 1.36-1.32 (m, 4H, $(CH_2)_2CH_3$, 0.9 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H, CH_3) ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 147.3$ (furoxan 4C), 109.8 (C≡CCH₂), 103.8 (furoxan 3C), 60.9 (C≡CCH₂), 31.2, 28.4, 27.6, 22.5, 20.0, 14.0 (CH₃) ppm; HRMS (DART) m/z: [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₁₀H₁₄³⁵ClN₂O₂ 229.0744; Found 229.0753.

1-Chloro-1-(4-chloro-1,2,5-oxadiazol-3-yl)octan-2-one (21a) This compound was obtained in the synthesis of **19a** shown above. The yield was determined at the crude stage by ¹H NMR analysis using durene as an internal standard (12%). Analytically pure sample of **21a** was obtained by purification using preparative TLC (eluent: hexane/benzene = 3/1) as a yellow oil. IR (neat): 2956, 2930, 2859, 1725, 1442, 1153, 1006, 727 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 5.59 (s, 1H, C(O)CClH), 2.98-2.70 (m, 2H, CH₂C(O)), 1.72-1.64 (m, 2H, CH₂ CH₂C(O)), 1.38-1.26 (m, 6H, (CH₂)₃CH₃), 0.89 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H, CH₃) ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 198.9 (*C*(O)), 150.2 (furazan C), 145.9 (furazan C), 51.8 (CHCl), 39.1 (CH₂CO), 31.4, 28.6, 23.5, 22.4, 14.0 (CH₃) ppm;

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58 59

60

HRMS (DART) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ Calcd for $C_{10}H_{15}{}^{35}Cl^{37}ClN_2O_2$ 267.0481; Found 267.0448.

3-(Phenylethynyl)-4-chlorofuroxan (19b) To a solution of ethynylbenzene (71.4 µL, 0.65 mmol, 1.3 eq.) in THF (2.0 mL) was added "BuLi (1.6 M in hexane, 0.34 mL, 0.55 mmol, 1.1 eq.) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at 0 °C. To this solution 1 (77.5 mg, 0.5 mmol, 1.0 eq.) was added at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred for 1 h at 0 °C. The reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous solution of NH₄Cl. The mixture was extracted three times with CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the residue. The residue was purified by preparative TLC (eluent: hexane/benzene = 3/1) to give **19b** (61.0 mg, 0.28 mmol, 55%) as a white solid. Single crystals of **19b** suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained by recrystallization from hexane/1,2-dichloroethane by vapor diffusion. See Supporting Information for the details of sc-XRD data. Mp: 66.3-67.2 °C; IR (neat): 3087, 3029, 2942, 2920, 2859, 2360, 1624, 1560, 1447, 1385, 1256, 1156, 998, 752, 717 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.61-7.58$ (m, 2H, Ph 2C-H), 7.50-7.46 (m, 1H, Ph 4C-H), 7.43-7.39 (m, 2H,Ph 3C-H) ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 147.0$ (furoxan 4C), 132.1 (Ph 2C), 130.7 (Ph 4C), 128.7 (Ph 3C), 119.9 (Ph 1C), 106.3 (C=CPh), 103.9 (furoxan 3C), 68.7 (C≡*C*Ph) ppm; HRMS (DART) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ Calcd for $C_{10}H_6^{35}ClN_2O_2$ 221.0118; Found 221.0128. 2-(4-Chloro-1,2,5-oxadiazol-3-yl)-1-phenylethan-1-one

24 25 (20b) This compound was obtained in the synthesis of 19b shown above. The yield was determined at the crude stage by 26 ¹H NMR analysis using durene as an internal standard (10%). 27 Analytically pure sample of **20b** was obtained by purification 28 using preparative TLC (eluent: hexane/benzene = 3/1) as a 29 white solid. Mp: 29.3-30.0 °C; IR (neat): 3057, 2958, 2921, 30 2854, 2360, 1672, 1447, 1331, 1218, 1140, 1007, 749 cm⁻¹; ¹H 31 NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 8.05-8.02$ (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.70-32 7.65 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.57-7.53 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 4.54 (s, 2H, 33 PhC(O)CH₂) ppm; ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 191.6$ 34 (C(O)), 149.2 (furazan C), 147.2 (furzan C), 135.2 (Ph 1C), 35 134.4 (Ph 4C), 129.1 (Ph 2C or Ph 3C), 128.4 (Ph 2C or Ph 3C), 36 32.8 (CH₂) ppm; HRMS (DART) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ Calcd for 37 C₁₀H₈³⁵ClN₂O₂ 223.0274; Found 223.0274.

2-Chloro-2-(4-chloro-1,2,5-oxadiazol-3-yl)-1-phenylethan-1-one (21b) This compound was obtained in the synthesis of **19b** shown above. The yield was determined at the crude stage by ¹H NMR analysis using durene as an internal standard (12%). Analytically pure sample of **21b** was obtained by purification using preparative TLC (eluent: hexane/benzene = 3/1). Single crystals of 21b suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained by recrystallization from hexane/ethyl acetate by vapor diffusion. White solid. Mp: 46.5-47.3 °C; IR (neat): 3070, 2967, 2358, 1690, 1443, 1311, 1229, 1154, 998, 818, 750 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 8.05-8.03$ (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.71-7.66 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.57-7.53 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 6.51 (s, 1H, PhC(O)CCl*H*) ppm; ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta =$ 187.3 (CO)), 150.2 (furazan C), 146.5 (furazan C), 134.9 (Ph 1C), 132.5 (Ph 4C), 129.4 (Ph 2C or 3C), 129.1 (Ph 2C or 3C), 48.4 (CHCl) ppm; HRMS (DART) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ Calcd for C₁₀H₇³⁵Cl₂N₂O₂ 256.9885; Found 256.9914.

4-Chloro-3-phenylfuroxan (25a) To a solution of 1 (77.5 mg, 0.5 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in THF (2.0 mL) was added PhMgBr (1.03 M in THF, 0.73 mL, 0.75 mmol, 1.5 eq.) at -78 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at -40 °C. The reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous solution of

NH₄Cl. The mixture was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the residue. The residue was purified by preparative TLC (eluent: hexane/benzene = 3/1) to give **25a** (40.3 mg, 0.21 mmol, 41%) as a yellow solid. Single crystals of **25a** suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained by recrystallization from hexane/ethyl acetate by vapor diffusion. This compound is known in the literature.^{9c}

4-Chloro-3-(p-tolyl)furoxan (25b) To a solution of **1** (77.5 mg, 0.5 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in THF (2.0 mL) was added *p*-tolylmagnesium bromide (1.44 M in THF, 0.52 mL, 0.75 mmol, 1.5 eq.) at -78 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 h at -40 °C. The reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous solution of NH₄Cl. The mixture was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the residue. The residue was purified by preparative TLC (eluent: hexane/benzene = 3/1) to give **25b** (39.3 mg, 0.19 mmol, 37%) as a yellow solid. This compound is known in the literature.^{3e}

4-Chloro-3-(p-methoxyphenyl)furoxan (25c) To a solution of 1 (77.5 mg, 0.5 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in THF (2.0 mL) was added p-methoxyphenylmagnesium bromide (0.54 M in THF, 1.38 mL, 0.75 mmol, 1.5 eq.) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 6 h at 28 °C. The reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous solution of NH₄Cl. The mixture was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the residue. The residue was purified by preparative TLC (eluent: hexane/ethyl acetate = 5/1, hexane/ethyl acetate = 10/1) twice to give **25c** (44.4 mg, 0.20) mmol, 39%) as a greenish oil. IR (neat): 3010, 2970, 2938, 2913, 2895, 2840, 1587, 1516, 1463, 1433, 1394, 1403, 1306, 1254, 1186, 1110, 1036, 1022, 961, 830 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$): $\delta = 7.91-7.87$ (m, 2H, CHCHCOCH₃), 7.08 -7.04 (m, 2H, CHCOCH₃), 3.88 (s, 3H, OCH₃) ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =161.6 (*C*(OCH₃)), 146.0 (furoxan 4C), 129.1 (CHCHCOCH₃), 114.6 (CHCOCH₃), 113.9 (furoxan 3C), 112.8 (CCHCHCOCH₃), 55.5 (OCH₃) ppm; HRMS (DART) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ Calcd for C₉H₈³⁵ClN₂O₃ 227.0218; Found 227.0245.

4-Bromo-3-pentylfuroxan (27a) To a solution of 2 (146.3 mg, 0.6 mmol, 2.0 eq.), AgNO₃ (152.9 mg, 0.9 mmol, 3.0 eq.), K₂S₂O₈ (121.6 mg, 0.45 mmol, 1.5 eq.), NaHCO₃ (75.6 mg, 0.9 mmol, 3.0 eq.) in CH₃CN (1.5 mL) and distilled water (1.5 mL) was added hexanoic acid (37.5 µL, 0.30 mmol, 1.0 eq.) at 20 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at 70 °C. The reaction was quenched by the addition of distilled water. The mixture was filtered with ethyl acetate, and then extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the residue. The residue was purified by preparative TLC (eluent: hexane/ethyl acetate = 10/1) to give 27a (35.3 mg, 0.15 mmol, 50%) as a yellow oil. IR (neat): 2958, 2930, 2861, 1602, 1563, 1463, 1412, 1113, 1012 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 2.57$ (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, CH₂(CH₂)₃CH₃), 1.65 (quint, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, $CH_2(CH_2)_2CH_3$, 1.41-1.24 (m, 4H, $(CH_2)_2CH_3$), 0.91 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H, CH₃) ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 135.4$ (furoxan 4C), 115.9 (furoxan 3C), 31.0 (CH₂(CH₂)₃CH₃), 24.9, 22.5, 22.1, 13.8 (CH₃) ppm; HRMS (DART) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ Calcd for $C_7H_{12}^{79}BrN_2O_2$ 235.0082; Found 235.0096.

4-Bromo-3-cyclohexylfuroxan (27b) To a solution of 2 (146.3 mg, 0.6 mmol, 2.0 eq.), AgNO₃ (152.9 mg, 0.9 mmol, 3.0 eq.), K₂S₂O₈ (121.6 mg, 0.45 mmol, 1.5 eq.), NaHCO₃ (75.6 mg, 0.9 mmol, 3.0 eq.) in CH₃CN (1.5 mL) and distilled water (1.5 mL) was added cyclohexanoic acid (38.5 mg, 0.30 mmol, 1.0 eq.) at 20 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at 70 °C. The reaction was guenched by the addition of distilled water. The mixture was filtered with ethyl acetate, and then extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the residue. The residue was purified by preparative TLC (eluent: hexane/ethyl acetate = 5/1) to give **27b** (46.7 mg, 0.19 mmol, 63%) as a white solid. Mp: 84.3-85.1 °C; IR (neat): 2960, 2941, 2860, 1576, 1448, 1414, 1275, 1227, 1004, 983, 796, 661 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 2.72 (tt, J = 12.0, 4.0 Hz, 1H, CH), 1.89-1.80 (m, 4H), 1.76-1.70 (m, 3H), 1.40-1.21 (m, 3H) ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 134.5$ (furoxan 4C), 117.9 (furoxan 3C), 33.7 (CH₂(CH₂)₃CH₃), 27.0, 25.8, 25.1 ppm; HRMS (DART) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ Calcd for $C_8H_{12}^{79}BrN_2O_2$ 247.0082; Found 247.0098.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58 59

60

3-(Adamantan-1-yl)-4-bromofuroxan (27c) To a solution of 2 (146.3 mg, 0.6 mmol, 2.0 eq.), AgNO₃ (152.9 mg, 0.9 mmol, 3.0 eq.), K₂S₂O₈ (121.6 mg, 0.45 mmol, 1.5 eq.), NaHCO₃ (75.6 mg, 0.9 mmol, 3.0 eq.) in CH₃CN (1.5 mL) and distilled water (1.5 mL) was added adamantane-1-carboxylic acid (54.1 mg, 0.30 mmol, 1.0 eq.) at 18 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at 70 °C. The reaction was quenched by the addition of distilled water. The mixture was filtered with ethyl acetate, and then extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the residue. The residue was purified by preparative TLC (eluent: hexane/ethyl acetate = 5/1) to give 27c (71.3 mg, 0.24 mmol, 79%) as a white solid. Single crystals of 27c suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained by recrystallization from hexane/1,2-dichloroethane by vapor diffusion. Mp: 143.0-144.0 °C; IR (neat): 2933, 2912, 2851, 2163, 1574, 1435, 1343, 1229, 1077, 989, 795, 762 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 2.20-2.16$ (m, 6H), 2.11 (br, 3H), 1.78-1.76 (m, 6H) ppm; ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 132.7 (furoxan 4C), 118.4 (furoxan 3C), 37.3, 36.1, 34.5, 27.8 ppm; HRMS (DART) m/z: [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₁₂H₁₆⁷⁹BrN₂O₂ 299.0395; Found 299.0395.

3-Chloro-4-(p-tolyl)furoxan (26b) A solution of **25b** (10 mg, 0.048 mmol) in benzene (0.8 mL) was prepared in a Pyrex NMR tube. The same reaction sets were prepared in another two NMR tubes. These three NMR tubes were irradiated with 300–400 nm light (a 300W Xenon lamp, Asahi Spectra MAX-303 equipped with a 300- to 600-nm ultraviolet-visible module and a 400-nm short-pass filter) for 2 h at 26 °C and the reaction progress was monitored by ¹H NMR analysis. After 2 h of the reaction the isomerization ratio reached 90:10 (**26b:25b**) on average. The reaction solutions in the three NMR tubes were combined and concentrated in vacuo to give the residue. The residue was purified by preparative TLC (eluent: hexane/benzene = 3/1) to give **26b** (25.0 mg, 0.12 mmol, 83%) as a yellow solid. This compound is known in the literature.^{3e}

3-(*p*-*Methoxyphenyl*)-4-(*p*-*methylphenyl*)*furoxan* (28) To a solution of **26b** (23.7 mg, 0.11 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in THF (0.5 mL) was added *p*-methoxyphenylmagnesium bromide (0.72 M in THF, 0.24 mL, 0.17 mmol, 1.5 eq.) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 6 h at 25 °C. The reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous solution of NH₄Cl. The mixture was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The

combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the residue. The residue was purified by preparative TLC (eluent: hexane/ethyl acetate = 5/1) to give **28** (12.6 mg, 0.045 mmol, 41%) as a white solid. Mp: 95.3-96.3 °C; IR (neat): 2918, 2848, 1609, 1590, 1568, 1519, 1447, 1438, 1414, 1328, 1298, 1253, 1177, 1112, 1025, 989, 960, 836, 820 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.50-7.46 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.41 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.0 Hz, Ar-*H*), 7.25 (d, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz, Ar-*H*), 6.97-6.93 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*), 3.84 (s, 3H), 2.42 (s, 3H, OCH₃) ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 161.0 (COCH₃), 156.3 (furoxan 4C), 141.3 (CCH₃), 130.2, 129.7, 128.2, 123.9 (CCHCHCCH₃), 114.8 (CCHCHCOCH₃), 114.4 (CHCOCH₃), 114.3 (furoxan 3C), 55.4 (OCH₃) , 21.5 (CCH₃) ppm; HRMS (DART) m/z: [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₁₆H₁₅N₂O₃ 283.1077; Found 283.1073.

3-(*p*-**Tolyl**)-**4-**methoxyfuroxan (29) This compound known in the literature.^{3d}

Path a: To a solution of **25b** (63.2 mg, 0.3 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in MeOH (1.0 mL) was added NaOMe (32.4 mg, 0.60 mmol, 2.0 eq.) at 20 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at 20 °C. The reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous solution of NH₄Cl. The mixture was extracted three times with CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the crude material. The yield (46%) was determined by ¹H NMR analysis using durene as an internal standard.

Path b: To a solution of **8a** (45.2 mg, 0.3 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in THF (1.2 mL) was added *p*-tolylmagnesium bromide (1.44 M in THF, 0.31 mL, 0.45 mmol, 1.5 eq.) at -78 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at -40 °C. The reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous solution of NH₄Cl. The mixture was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the crude material. The yield (60%) was determined by ¹H NMR analysis using durene as an internal standard.

4-Methoxy-3-pentylfuroxan (30) This compound is known in the literature.^{3d}

Path a: To a solution of **11a** (58.5 mg, 0.3 mmol, 1.0 eq.), AgNO₃ (101.9 mg, 0.6 mmol, 2.0 eq.), $K_2S_2O_8$ (121.6 mg, 0.45 mmol, 1.5 eq.), NaHCO₃ (75.6 mg, 0.9 mmol, 3.0 eq.) in CH₃CN (1.5 mL) and distilled water (1.5 mL) was added hexanoic acid (75.0 µL, 0.6 mmol, 2.0 eq.) at 20 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at 70 °C. The reaction was quenched by the addition of distilled water. The mixture was filtered with ethyl acetate, and then extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the crude material. The yield (55%) was determined by ¹H NMR analysis using durene as an internal standard.

Path b: To a solution of **27a** (70.5 mg, 0.3 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in THF (1.0 mL) was added NaOMe (32.4 mg, 0.6 mmol, 2.0 eq.) at 20 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at 20 °C. The reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous solution of NH₄Cl. The mixture was extracted three times with CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the crude material. The yield (33%) was determined by ¹H NMR analysis using durene as an internal standard.

3-Bromo-4-pentylfuroxan (31) A solution of **27a** (36.8 mg, 0.16 mmol) in benzene (3.1 mL) was prepared in a Pyrex test tube. The solution was irradiated with 250–385 nm light (a 300W Xenon lamp, Asahi Spectra MAX-303 equipped with a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

59

60

250- to 385-nm ultraviolet module) for 30 min at 20 °C and the reaction progress was monitored by ¹H NMR analysis. The ratio reached 67:33 (**31:27a**) at the photostationary state. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo to give the residue. The residue was purified by preparative TLC (eluent: hexane/benzene = 1/1) to give **31** (21.6 mg, 0.092 mmol, 59%) as a yellow oil. IR (neat): 2957, 2930, 2861, 1600, 1509, 1454, 1435, 1416, 1226, 1083, 962, 828 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 2.68 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H, CH₂(CH₂)₃CH₃), 1.80-1.72 (quint, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H, CH₂CH₂(CH₂)₂CH₃), 1.39 (m, 4H, (CH₂CH₂(CH₂)₂CH₃), 0.93 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H, CH₃) ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 158.1 (furoxan 4C), 96.9 (furoxan 3C), 31.0, 26.0, 25.8, 22.2, 13.8 (CH₃) ppm; HRMS (DART) m/z: [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₇H₁₂⁷⁹BrN₂O₂ 235.0077; Found 235.0083.

4-Pentyl-3-(3-phenyl)propylfuroxan (32a) To a solution of **31** (48.8 mg, 0.21 mmol, 2.0 eq.), AgNO₃ (52.7 mg, 0.31 mmol, 3.0 eq.), $K_2S_2O_8$ (43.3 mg, 0.16 mmol, 1.5 eq.), NaHCO₃ (26.0 mg, 0.31 mmol, 3.0 eq.) in CH₃CN (0.5 mL) and distilled water (0.5 mL) was added 4-phenylbutanoic acid (16.4 mg, 0.10 mmol, 1.0 eq.) at 20 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at 70 °C. The reaction was quenched by the addition of distilled water. The mixture was filtered with ethyl acetate. And then extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the crude material. The yield (30%) was determined by ¹H NMR analysis using dimethyl sulfone as an internal standard. This compound is known in the literature.^{7a}

4-Pentyl-3-propylfuroxan (32b) To a solution of 31 (47.0 mg, 27 0.2 mmol, 2.0 eq.), AgNO3 (51.0 mg, 0.3 mmol, 3.0 eq.), 28 K₂S₂O₈ (40.5 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1.5 eq.), NaHCO₃ (25.2 mg, 0.3 29 mmol, 3.0 eq.) in CH₃CN (0.5 mL) and distilled water (0.5 mL) 30 was added n-butyric acid (9.2 µL, 0.10 mmol, 1.0 eq.) at room 31 temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at 70 °C for 1 h. 32 The reaction was quenched by the addition of distilled water. 33 The mixture was filtered with ethyl acetate. And then extracted 34 three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layer was 35 dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in 36 vacuo to give the crude material. The yield (22%) was 37 determined by ¹H NMR analysis using 1,4-dioxane as an internal standard. The crude was purified by preparative TLC 38 (eluent: hexane/ethyl acetate = 10/1) to give **32b** (2.8 mg, 0.014 39 mmol, 14%) as a colourless oil. IR (neat): 2957, 2926, 2870, 40 2862, 1599, 1505, 1462, 1424, 1376, 1144, 1042, 1009, 967 cm⁻ 41 ¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 2.63$ (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 42 2.49 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H) 1.77-1.61 (m, 4H), 1.41-1.37 (m, 4H), 43 0.99 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H), 0.92 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H) ppm; ¹³C{¹H} 44 NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 158.0$ (furoxan 4C), 115.9 45 (furoxan 3C), 31.2, 26.4, 25.6, 24.3, 22.2, 19.0, 13.9, 13.7 ppm; 46 HRMS (ESI) m/z: $[M + Na]^+$ Calcd for $C_{10}H_{18}N_2NaO_2$ 47 221.1260; Found 221.1252.

3-Cyclohexyl-4-pentylfuroxan (32c) To a solution of 31 48 (47.0 mg, 0.2 mmol, 2.0 eq.), AgNO₃ (51.0 mg, 0.3 mmol, 3.0 49 eq.), K₂S₂O₈ (40.5 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1.5 eq.), NaHCO₃ (25.2 mg, 50 0.3 mmol, 3.0 eq.) in CH₃CN (0.5 mL) and distilled water (0.5 51 mL) was added cyclohexanecarboxylic acid (12.8 mg, 0.10 52 mmol, 1.0 eq.) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was 53 stirred at 70 °C for 1 h. The reaction was quenched by the 54 addition of distilled water. The mixture was filtered with ethyl 55 acetate. And then extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The 56 combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na2SO4, 57 filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the crude material. 58

The yield (39%) was determined by ¹H NMR analysis using 1,4-dioxane as an internal standard. The crude was purified by preparative TLC (eluent: hexane/benzene = 1/1) to give **32c** (5.9 mg, 0.014 mmol, 25%) as a colourless oil. IR (neat): 2931, 2856, 1589, 1503, 1467, 1451, 1379, 1367, 1302, 1267, 1245, 1149, 1148, 1100, 1077, 1043, 1022, 987 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 2.65 (t, *J* = 7.6, 2H, C*H*₂(CH₂)₄CH₃), 2.59 (tt, *J* = 7.6, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 1.89-1.68 (m, 9H), 1.44-1.24 (m, 7H), 0.93 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H, CH₂(CH₂)₄CH₃) ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 157.6 (furoxan 4C), 118.6 (furoxan 3C), 33.7, 31.2, 27.3, 26.7, 26.0, 25.3, 22.3, 13.9 (CH₃) ppm; HRMS (ESI) m/z: [M + Na]⁺ Calcd for C₁₃H₂₂N₂NaO₂ 261.1573; Found 261.1566.

3-Adamantyl-4-pentylfuroxan (32d) To a solution of 31 (47.0 mg, 0.2 mmol, 2.0 eq.), AgNO₃ (51.0 mg, 0.3 mmol, 3.0 eq.), K₂S₂O₈ (40.5 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1.5 eq.), NaHCO₃ (25.2 mg, 0.3 mmol, 3.0 eq.) in CH₃CN (1.5 mL) and distilled water (1.5 mL) was added 1-adamantanecarboxylic acid (18.0 mg, 0.10 mmol, 1.0 eq.) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at 70 °C for 1 h. The reaction was guenched by the addition of distilled water. The mixture was filtered with ethyl acetate. And then extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the crude material. The yield (72%) was determined by ¹H NMR analysis using 1,4-dioxane as an internal standard. The crude was purified by preparative TLC (eluent: hexane/ethyl acetate = 5/1) to give 32d (11.2 mg, 0.039 mmol, 39%) as a white solid. Mp: 84.5-84.9 °C; IR (neat): 2967, 2953, 2913, 2849, 1567, 1490, 1463, 1451, 1429, 1416, 1374, 1358, 1342, 1313, 1252, 1215, 1117, 1096, 987 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 2.77$ (t, J =7.6 Hz, 2H, CH₂(CH₂)₄CH₃), 2.11-2.09 (m, 9H), 1.78-1.73 (m, 8H), 1.44-1.35 (m, 4H, CH₂CH₂(CH₂)₂CH₃), 0.93 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H, CH₂(CH₂)₄CH₃) ppm; ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 157.2 (furoxan 4C), 120.3 (furoxan 3C), 36.9, 36.3, 34.4, 31.4 (CH₂(CH₂)₄CH₃), 28.1, 27.8, 27.2, 22.3, 14.0 (CH₃) ppm; HRMS (ESI) m/z: $[M + Na]^+$ Calcd for $C_{17}H_{26}N_2NaO_2$ 313.1886; Found 313.1874.

DFT calculations All calculations were performed with the Gaussian 09 package.²⁶ The promising pathway was investigated by the (U)LC-BLYP¹⁸⁻²⁰ DFT method with 6-31G* basis set and the conductor-like polarizable continuum solvation model (CPCM, DMSO).²⁷ The transition states were optimized with Berny algorithm²⁸ and verified by the intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) calculation.²⁹ Frequency analyses were also carried out to identify the stationary points (RT, INT, PD: no imaginary frequency, TS: one imaginary frequency) and to estimate thermodynamic properties at 298.15 K and 1 atm and Gibbs free energies. The molecular structures were depicted by using the CYLview v1.0.561 β.³⁰

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website.

DFT calculations, crystallographic data, and NMR spectra (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

* E-mail: matsubara.ryosuke@people.kobe-u.ac.jp

Notes

1

2

3

4

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was financially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP16K18844 and JP17J00025, Futaba Electronics Memorial Foundation, Suzuken Memorial Foundation, Inamori Foundation, and Daiichi Sankyo Foundation of Life Science.

REFERENCES

(1) Vitaku, E.; Smith, D. T.; Njardarson, J. T., Analysis of the Structural Diversity, Substitution Patterns, and Frequency of Nitrogen Heterocycles among U.S. FDA Approved Pharmaceuticals. *J. Med. Chem.* **2014**, *57*, 10257-10274.

(2) Kekulé, A., Ueber die Constitution des Knallquecksilbers. Ann. Chem. Pharm. 1857, 101, 200-213.

(3) (a) Seymour, C. P.; Nakata, A.; Tsubaki, M.; Hayashi, M.; Matsubara, R., A Fluorescent Naphthalenediimide-Alkoxyfuroxan Photoinduced Nitric Oxide Donor. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2019, 92, 162-169; (b) Horton, A.; Nash, K.; Tackie-Yarboi, E.; Kostrevski, A.; Novak, A.; Raghavan, A.; Tulsulkar, J.; Alhadidi, Q.; Wamer, N.; Langenderfer, B.; Royster, K.; Ducharme, M.; Hagood, K.; Post, M.; Shah, Z. A.; Schiefer, I. T., Furoxans (Oxadiazole-4N-oxides) with Attenuated Reactivity are Neuroprotective, Cross the Blood Brain Barrier, and Improve Passive Avoidance Memory. J. Med. Chem. 2018, 61, 4593-4607; (c) Seymour, C. P.; Tohda, R.; Tsubaki, M.; Hayashi, M.; Matsubara, R., Photosensitization of Fluorofuroxans and Its Application to the Development of Visible Light-Triggered Nitric Oxide Donor. J. Org. Chem. 2017, 82, 9647-9654; (d) Matsubara, R.; Takazawa, S.; Ando, A.; Hayashi, M.; Tohda, R.; Tsubaki, M., Study on the Photoinduced Nitric-Oxide-Releasing Ability of 4-Alkoxy Furoxans. Asian J. Org. Chem. 2017, 6, 619-626; (e) Ando, A.; Matsubara, R.; Takazawa, S.; Shimada, T.; Hayashi, M., Fluorofuroxans: Synthesis and Application as Photoinduced Nitric Oxide Donors. Asian J. Org. Chem. 2016, 5, 886-890; (f) Medana, C.; Ermondi, G.; Fruttero, R.; Di Stilo, A.; Ferretti, C.; Gasco, A., Furoxans as Nitric Oxide Donors. 4-Phenyl-3-furoxancarbonitrile: Thiol-Mediated Nitric Oxide Release and Biological Evaluation. J. Med. Chem. 1994, 37, 4412-4416; (g) Ghigo, D.; Calvino, R.; Heller, R.; Calvino, R.; Alessio, P.; Fruttero, R.; Gasco, A.; Bosia, A.; Pescarmona, G., Characterization of a new compound, S35b, as a guanylate cyclase activator in human platelets. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1992, 43, 1281-1288: (h) Feelisch, M.: Schönafingeri, K.: Noack, H., Thiol-mediated generation of nitric oxide accounts for the vasodilator action of furoxans. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1992, 44, 1149-1157; (i) Calvino, R.; Fruttero, R.; Ghigo, D.; Bosia, A.; Pescarmona, G. P.; Gasco, A., 4-Methyl-3-(arylsulfonyl)furoxans: a new class of potent inhibitors of platelet aggregation. J. Med. Chem. 1992, 35, 3296-3300.

(4) (a) Fershtat, L. L.; Makhova, N. N., Advances in the synthesis of non-annelated polynuclear heterocyclic systems comprising the 1,2,5-oxadiazole ring. *Russ. Chem. Rev.* 2016, *85*, 1097-1145; (b) Makhova, N. N.; Kulikov, A. S., Advances in the chemistry of monocyclic amino-and nitrofuroxans. *Russ. Chem. Rev.* 2013, *82*, 1007-1033; (c) Nikonov, G.; Bobrov, S., 5.05 - 1,2,5-Oxadiazoles. In *Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry III*, Katritzky, A. R.; Ramsden, C. A.; Scriven, E. F. V.; Taylor, R. J. K., Eds. Elsevier: Oxford, 2008; Vol. 5, pp 315-395; (d) Paton, R. M., Product Class 7: 1,2,5-Oxadiazoles. In *Sience of Synthesis*, 2004 ed.; Storr, R. C.; Gilchrist, T. L., Eds. Georg Thieme Verlag: Stuttgart, 2004; Vol. 13, pp 185-218; (e) Sheremetev, A. B.; Makhova, N. N.; Friedrichsen, W., Monocyclic furazans and furoxans. In *Adv. Heterocycl. Chem.*, Academic Press: 2001; Vol. 78, pp 65-188.

(5) Very recently diacylfuroxans were reported to have GPX4 inhibitory activities., Eaton, J. K.; Ruberto, R. A.; Kramm, A.; Viswanathan, V. S.; Schreiber, S. L., Diacylfuroxans Are Masked Nitrile Oxides That Inhibit GPX4 Covalently. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 20407-20415.

(6) Kielty, P.; Smith, D. A.; Cannon, P.; Carty, M. P.; Kennedy, M.; McArdle, P.; Singer, R. J.; Aldabbagh, F., Selective Methylmagnesium Chloride Mediated Acetylations of Isosorbide: A Route to Powerful Nitric Oxide Donor Furoxans. *Org. Lett.* **2018**, *20*, 3025-3029.

(7) (a) Matsubara, R.; Kim, H.; Sakaguchi, T.; Xie, W.; Zhao, X.; Nagoshi, Y.; Wang, C.; Tateiwa, M.; Ando, A.; Hayashi, M.; Yamanaka, M.; Tsuneda, T., Modular Synthesis of Carbon-Substituted Furoxans via Radical Addition Pathway. Useful Tool for Transformation of Aliphatic Carboxylic Acids Based on "Build-and-Scrap" Strategy. *Org. Lett.* **2020**, *22*, 1182-1187; (b) Matsubara, R.; Ando, A.; Hayashi, M., Synthesis of cyanofuroxans from 4-nitrofuroxans via CC bond forming reactions. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2017**, *58*, 3337-3340; (c) Matsubara, R.; Eguchi, S.; Ando, A.; Hayashi, M., Synthesis of alkynyl furoxans. Rare carbon–carbon bond-forming reaction on a furoxan ring. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2017**, *15*, 1965-1969.

(8) (a) Yerien, D. E.; Barata-Vallejo, S.; Postigo, A., Difluoromethylation Reactions of Organic Compounds. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2017**, *23*, 14676-14701; (b) Tomashenko, O. A.; Grushin, V. V., Aromatic Trifluoromethylation with Metal Complexes. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 4475-4521.

(9) (a) Zavarzina, O. V.: Rakitin, O. A.: Khmel'nitskii, L. I., Substitution of the Nitro Group in Chloronitrofuroxan by N- and O-Trimethylsilyl Derivatives. Mendeleev Commun. 1994, 4, 135; (b) Rakitin, O. A.; Godovikova, T. I.; Strelenko, Y. A.; Khmel'nitskii, L. I., An unusual reaction of nitrochlorofuroxane with ammonia. Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, Div. Chem. Sci. 1986, 35, 2198-2198; (c) Calvino, R.; Serafino, A.; Ferrarotti, B.; Gasco, A.; Sanfilippo, A., Syntheses, Structures and Antimicrobial Properties of Some Halogenofuroxans and Related Furazans. Arch. Pharm. 1984, 317, 695-701; (d) Calvino, R.; Gasco, A.; Menziani, E.; Serafino, A., Unsymmetrically substituted furoxans. VIII. Chloromethylfuroxans. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1983, 20, 783-785; (e) Calvino, R.; Fruttero, R.; Gasco, A.; Mortarini, V.; Aime, S., Unsymmetrically substituted furoxans. VII. A 13C NMR study of a series of isomeric pairs of furoxans and the structure of the two isomeric chloro-phenyl-furoxans. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1982, 19, 427-430; (f) Ungnade, H. E.; Kissinger, L. W., Nitration of chloroglyoximes: Chlorofuroxans and other nitration products. Tetrahedron 1963, 19, 143-154.

(10) Zavarzina, O. V.; Rakitin, O. A.; Khmel'nitskii, L. I., Nitro group substitution in nitrochlorofuroxan using N- and O-trimethylsilyl derivatives. *Chem. Heterocycl. Compd.* **1994**, *30*, 979-981.

(11) (a) Pasinszki, T.; Vass, G.; Klapstein, D.; Westwood, N. P. C., Generation, Spectroscopy, and Structure of Cyanoformyl Chloride and Cyanoformyl Bromide, XC(O)CN. J. Phys. Chem. A **2012**, 116, 3396-3403; (b) Birckenbach, L.; Sennewald, K., 1. Zur Halogen-Einwirkung auf Knallsäure und Knallate. —2. Trihalogen-nitroso-methane (XIX. Mitteil. zur Kenntnis der Pseudohalogene). Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. (A and B Series) **1932**, 65, 546-552; (c) Birckenbach, L.; Sennewald, K., Über Pseudohalogene. XV. Zur Reaktion der Knallsäure und ihrer Salze mit Halogenen. Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. **1931**, 489, 7-30.

(12) Kekulé, A., Ueber die Constitution des Knallquecksilbers. Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1858, 105, 279-286.

(13) Compound **1** was reported to be synthesized from dichloroformaldoxime., Chen, W.; Zhang, J.; Wang, B.; Zhao, Z.; Wang, X.; Hu, Y., Tandem Synthesis of 3-Chloro-4-iodoisoxazoles from 1-Copper(I) Alkynes, Dichloroformaldoxime, and Molecular Iodine. *J. Org. Chem.* **2015**, *80*, 2413-2417.

(14) The structures of compounds **2**, **8b**, **8f**, **11a**, **15**, **19b**, **21b**, **25a**, and **27c** were determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. CCDC 1979369-1979377 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

(15) Del Grosso, E.; Boschi, D.; Lazzarato, L.; Cena, C.; Di Stilo, A.; Fruttero, R.; Moro, S.; Gasco, A., The Furoxan System: Design of Selective Nitric Oxide (NO) Donor Inhibitors of COX-2 Endowed with Anti-Aggregatory and Vasodilating Activities. *Chem. Biodivers.* **2005**, *2*, 886-900.

(16) Mallory, F. B.; Cammarata, A., Evidence for the Transient Existence of 1,2-Dinitrosoalkenes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1966**, 88, 61-64.

(17) (a) Cui, L.; Chen, H.; Liu, C.; Li, C., Silver-Catalyzed Decarboxylative Allylation of Aliphatic Carboxylic Acids in Aqueous Solution. *Org. Lett.* **2016**, *18*, 2188-2191; (b) Liu, X.; Wang, Z.; Cheng,

X.; Li, C., Silver-Catalyzed Decarboxylative Alkynylation of Aliphatic Carboxylic Acids in Aqueous Solution. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 14330-14333.

(18) Tsuneda, T.; Hirao, K., Long-range correction for density functional theory. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Comput. Mol. Sci.* **2014**, *4*, 375-390.

(19) Tsuneda, T.; Song, J.-W.; Suzuki, S.; Hirao, K., On Koopmans' theorem in density functional theory. *J. Chem. Phys.* 2010, *133*, 174101.
(20) Tsuneda, T.; Singh, R. K., Reactivity index based on orbital

energies. J. Comput. Chem. 2014, 35, 1093-1100. (21) Weige, Z.; Lei, Y.; Yingliang, W.; Qi, G.; Dongjie, F.; Daiying,

Z.; Mingyang, J.; Qian, Z.; Haiqiu, T. Preparation and application of 3-

4-diaryl-1,2,5-oxadiazole oxide. CN 106279058, 2017.

(22) Ponzio, G., Ricerche Sulle Diossime-LXXXIII. *Gazz. Chim. Ital.* **1932**, *62*, 127-131.

(23) Although chromatographic separation of regioisomers of **31** was required in our route, they are easy to separate because the two substituents (Br and alkyl group) are different in nature, and therefore the regioisomers have distinct polarities.

(24) van der Peet, P. L.; Connell, T. U.; Gunawan, C.; White, J. M.; Donnelly, P. S.; Williams, S. J., A Click Chemistry Approach to 5,5'

-Disubstituted-3,3 ' -Bisisoxazoles from Dichloroglyoxime and Alkynes: Luminescent Organometallic Iridium and Rhenium Bisisoxazole Complexes. J. Org. Chem. **2013**, *78*, 7298-7304.

(25) Orth, R.; Böttcher, T.; Sieber, S. A., The biological targets of acivicin inspired 3-chloro- and 3-bromodihydroisoxazole scaffolds. *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, *46*, 8475-8477.

(26) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Caricato, M.; Li, X.; Hratchian, H. P.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Bloino, J.; Zheng, G.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Throssell, K.; Montgomery Jr., J. A.; Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M. J.; Heyd, J. J.; Brothers, E. N.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A. P.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Millam, J. M.; Klene, M.; Knox, J. E.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Startmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Farkas, O.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cioslowski, J.; Fox, D. J. Gaussian 09, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2009.

(27) Tomasi, J.; Mennucci, B.; Cammi, R., Quantum Mechanical Continuum Solvation Models. *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, *105*, 2999-3094.

(28) Peng, C.; Ayala, P. Y.; Schlegel, H. B.; Frisch, M. J., Using redundant internal coordinates to optimize equilibrium geometries and transition states. *J. Comput. Chem.* **1996**, *17*, 49-56.

(29) Hratchian, H. P.; Schlegel, H. B., Chapter 10 - Finding minima, transition states, and following reaction pathways on ab initio potential energy surfaces. In *Theory and Applications of Computational Chemistry*, Dykstra, C. E.; Frenking, G.; Kim, K. S.; Scuseria, G. E., Eds. Elsevier: Amsterdam, **2005**; pp 195-249.

(30) CYLview, 1.0b, Legault, C. Y. Université de Sherbrooke, 2009.



ACS Paragon Plus Environment