# COMMUNICATIONS



Figure 4. Autoradiograms showing the binding of hybrid **5** to <sup>32</sup>P-labeled DNAs. Lanes 1–6: T/CRE<sup>hs</sup>, **5**: 0, 7.7, 19, 38, 58, 77 nm respectively; Lanes 7–11: T/CRE<sup>hs</sup>*m*, **5**: 7.7, 19, 38, 58, 77 nm respectively; lanes 12–14: CRE<sup>hs</sup>, **5**: 38, 77, 154 nm respectively. Binding reactions were performed over 10 min at 4 °C using <1 nm labeled DNAs in a binding mixture (20  $\mu$ L) containing 20 mm tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (pH 7.5), 100 mm KCl, 2 mm MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 2 mm ethylenediaminetetraacetate, 10% glycerol, 0.3 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> *N,O*-bovine serum albumin (BSA), and 2% NP-40. The products were resolved by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis using a 10% nondenaturing acrylamide gel and 0.5X TBE (25 mm tris borate and 0.5 mm EDTA) buffer.

CRE<sup>hs</sup>: 5'-d(CGAC<u>GTCAT</u>CGGAGGTCCT)-3' 3'-d(GCTGCAGTAGCCTCCAGGA)-5'

makes non-specific electrostatic contacts to the phosphate groups.<sup>[18]</sup>

In conclusion, appropriate linking of a b-ZIP basic region to a minor groove binding tripyrrole allowed for specific binding to its cognate DNA site. The hybrid compound **5** shows considerably higher affinity for its designated target DNA sequence than that of its isolated components for their respective cognate subsites. Although further refinement of the design is necessary to obtain compounds with higher affinities and better specificities, the work described herein confirms the viability of this new type of major-minor groove DNA-binding molecules.

Received: July 23, 2001 [Z17576]

- [3] a) R. V. Talanian, C. J. McKnight, P. S. Kim, *Science* 1990, 249, 769–771; b) B. Cuenoud, A. Shepartz, *Science* 1993, 259, 510–513; c) T. Morii, M. Shimomura, M. Morimoto, I. Saito, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1993, 115, 1150–1151; d) T. Morii, Y. Saimei, M. Okagami, K. Makino Y. Sugiura, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1997, 119, 3649–3655; e) Y. Aizawa, Y. Sugiura, T. Morii, *Biochemistry* 1999, 38, 1626–1632.
- [4] The use of an azobenzene as a dimerizing unit allows the DNA affinity of the peptides to be photomodulated: A. M. Caamaño, M. E. Vázquez, J. Martínez-Costas, L. Castedo, J. L. Mascareñas, *Angew. Chem.* 2000, *112*, 3234–3237; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2000, *39*, 3104– 3107.
- [5] Recently Zondlo and Shepparzt have developed a smart strategy for achieving monovalent DNA recognition which is based on grafting the DNA-binding residues into the helix of an *aPP* protein: a) N. J. Zondlo, A. Schepartz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 6938–6939; b) J. W. Chin, A. Schepartz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 2929–2930.
- [6] D. Stanojevic, G. L. Verdine, Nat. Struct. Biol. 1995, 2, 450-457.
- [7] This system would somewhat mimick the mode of recognition of a number of transcription factors that bind DNA by interacting with both the major and minor grooves. See for example: a) J.-A. Feng,

R. C. Johnson, R. E. Dickerson, *Science* **1994**, *263*, 348–355; b) A. Draganescu, J. R. Levin, T. D. Tullius, *J. Mol. Biol.* **1995**, *250*, 595–608; c) G. Meinke, P. B. Sigler, *Nat. Struct. Biol.* **1999**, *6*, 471–477.

- [8] A major-minor groove DNA-binding ligand made of a hairpin polyamide and a 11-mer oligonucleotide has been previously described: J. W. Szewczyk, E. E. Baird, P. B. Dervan, Angew. Chem. 1996, 108, 1596-1598; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1996, 35, 1487-1489.
- [9] C. Zimmer, U. Wahnert, *Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol.* **1986**, *47*, 31–112.
   [10] T. E. Ellemberger, C. J. Brandl, K. Struhl, S. C. Harrison, *Cell* **1992**, *71*,
- 1223-1237.
  [11] M. Coll, C. A. Frederick, A. H. J. Wang, A. Rich, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* USA 1987, 84, 8385-8389.
- [12] The model was constructed by superimposing appropriate base pairs, by using the INSIGHTII software package on a SGI workstation.
- [13] The synthesis of 2 and 1 have been previously communicated: M. E. Vázquez, A. M. Caamaño, L. Castedo, D. Gramberg, J. L. Mascareñas, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1999, 40, 3625–3629.
- [14] The target site of this sequence does not match exactly with that of the model, but it was used on the basis of the reported preferred (1:1) recognition sites for distamycin, see: D. Rentzeperis, L. A. Marky, T. J. Dwyer, B. H. Geierstanger, J. G. Pelton, D. A. Wemmer, *Biochemistry* 1995, 34, 2937. See also reference [15].
- [15] F.-M. Chen, F. Sha, *Biochemistry* **1998**, *37*, 11143–11151.
- [16] We have calculated an approximate  $K_d$  value of  $0.8 \pm 0.5 \,\mu\text{M}$  by CD titration of compound **2** with this dsDNA.
- [17] The synthesis of 5 was carried out by using similar procedures as for the synthesis of 1, and is described in the Supporting Information. Electrospray mass spectrometry: *m*/*z* [M+H<sup>+</sup>] found: 3271.4; calcd: 3270.8.
- [18] A related mode of interaction has been previously observed with microgonotropens, see: D. Sengupta, A. Blaskó, T. C. Bruice, *Biorg. Med. Chem.* 1996, 4, 803–813, and references therein.

#### Solid-Phase Capture – Release Strategy Applied to Oligosaccharide Synthesis on a Soluble Polymer Support\*\*

Hiromune Ando, Shino Manabe, Yoshiaki Nakahara, and Yukishige Ito\*

Advances in the techniques for oligosaccharide synthesis have lagged behind those for other classes of biological oligomers. For the preparation of oligopeptides and oligo-

[\*] Dr. Y. Ito, Dr. H. Ando, Dr. S. Manabe

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://www.angewandte.com or from the author.

1433-7851/01/4024-4725 \$ 17.50+.50/0

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001, 40, No. 24 © WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH, D-69451 Weinheim, 2001

For reviews on DNA-binding proteins, see: a) C. O. Pabo, R. T. Sauer, Annu. Rev. Biochem. 1992, 61, 1053-1095; b) S. K. Burley, Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 1994, 4, 3-11; c) B. Alberts, D. Bray, J. Lewis, M. Raff, K. Roberts, J. D. Watson, Molecular Biology of the Cell, Garland Publishing, New York, 1994, chap. 9; d) C. Branden, J. Tooze, Introduction to Protein Structure, Garland Publishing, New York, 1999, chap. 8-10; e) D. S. Latchman, Eukaryotic Transcription Factors, Academic Press, London, 1998, chap. 8; f) N. M. Luscombe, S. E. Austin, H. M. Berman, J. M. Thornton, Genome Biology 2000, 1, 1-37.

<sup>[2]</sup> H. C. Hurst, Protein Profile 1996, 3, 1-72.

RIKEN (The Institute of Physical and Chemical Research) and CREST, Japan Science and Technology Corporation (JST) 2–1 Hirosawa, Wako-shi, Saitama 351-0198 (Japan) Fax: (+81)48-462-4680 E-mail: yukito@postman.riken.go.jp Prof. Dr. Y. Nakahara Department of Applied Biochemistry, Tokai University and CREST, JST Kitakaname 1117, Hiratsuka-shi, Kanagawa 259-1292 (Japan)

<sup>[\*\*]</sup> This work was supported in part by the Mizutani Foundation for

Glycoscience (grant to Y.I.), the RIKEN Presidential Fund (grant to S.M.), and the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research to S.M.). We thank Dr. Teiji Chihara and his staff for the elemental analyses, Ms. Yasuko Tanaka for her contribution at the exploratory stage of this work, and Ms. Akemi Takahashi for her technical assistance.

## COMMUNICATIONS

nucleotides, the automated synthesizer has become a routine tool, in which polymer-support synthesis plays a key role.

Considering the structural diversity of glycoconjugatederived oligosaccharides,<sup>[1]</sup> the potential utility of oligosaccharide synthesis on a polymer support is obvious.<sup>[2]</sup> This technology would greatly facilitate glycoconjugate synthesis and could possibly reap the benefits of automation.<sup>[3]</sup> However, several problems must be solved before this goal can be reached: firstly, the difficulty in monitoring reaction progress; secondly, the reduced reactivity of substrates bound to the polymer support; and thirdly, the limitations in scaling up. Addressing these issues, we recently developed a methodology for the monitorable synthesis of oligosaccharides on a soluble polymer support based on the "tag-reporter" concept.<sup>[4]</sup> It exploited low molecular weight poly(ethylene)glycol (PEG),<sup>[5]</sup> which served both as a "reporter" in the monitoring of the reaction by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry and as a "tag" in the separation of support-bound materials from the reaction mixture due to its high polarity.<sup>[6, 7]</sup>

Although syntheses on polymer supports can indeed be monitored,<sup>[4, 8]</sup> the success of this method assumes all reactions can be driven to near completion. This seems to be a difficult requirement, considering that typical O-glycosylations proceed in 50-80% yield.<sup>[9]</sup> Now, we wish to report a refined strategy for the synthesis of PEG-tagged oligosaccharides that circumvents this obstacle.

This new approach is based on the concept of resin-aided capture – release (Scheme 1).<sup>[10]</sup> It employs a glycosyl acceptor bound to a low molecular weight PEG support ( $A_1$ ) in combination with a chloroacetyl (CA) carrying donor (**B**), as described before.<sup>[4]</sup> The PEG-bound component can be recovered by filtration through a pad of silica gel. At this



Scheme 1. Capture – release strategy for the synthesis of disaccharides  $A_2$  and oligosaccharides  $A_n$  on a polymer support. Fmoc = 9-fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl.

stage, excess donor and side product(s) can be removed. The coupled product (C), potentially contaminated with unreacted acceptor  $A_1$ , is then captured onto solid phase by a chemoselective reaction between CA and a resin-bound thiol group (D). After removal of Fmoc, the exposed amine function should cyclize spontaneously to release a disaccharide ( $A_2$ ), which is now ready for the next coupling. Repetition of this cycle should provide facile access to correctly assembled oligomers  $A_n$ .

To examine the adequacy of this strategy, we selected a lactosamine repetition sequence as the target. It is well recognized that polylactosamine  $[(Gal\beta1 \rightarrow 4GlcNAc\beta1 \rightarrow 3)_n]$  is an important structural motif of Asn-linked<sup>[11]</sup> and Ser/Thr-linked<sup>[12]</sup> glycoproteins and of glycosphingolipids.<sup>[13]</sup>

PEG-supported monosaccharide **2**, which carries the nitromodified Wang resin-type linker,<sup>[14]</sup> was prepared as depicted in Scheme 2. Previously reported **1**<sup>[4]</sup> was treated with PEG



Scheme 2. Preparation of PEG-supported monosaccharide **2**. a) PEG monomethyl ether, DEAD, Ph<sub>3</sub>P, THF, RT, 18 h, 99%; b) acetylacetone, Zn/Cu, THF, RT, 16 h, then Ac<sub>2</sub>O, Et<sub>3</sub>N; c) DDQ, ClCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>Cl/H<sub>2</sub>O, RT, 4 h, 92% overall. DEAD = diethyl azodicarboxylate, DDQ = 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyanobenzoquinone, MP = p-MeOC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, Phth = phthaloyl.

methyl ether (av  $M_w = 550$ ) under Mitsunobu conditions. Nearly quantitative carbohydrate incorporation was supported by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy and confirmed by the cleavage experiment performed under the conditions reported by Kusumoto et al. for the removal of the nitrobenzyl group.<sup>[15]</sup> Namely, the nitro group of **2** was first converted to the acetamido group in **3**, which was then treated with DDQ<sup>[16]</sup> to afford compound **4** in high overall yield.

Galactosyl donor 9, which has a chloroacetyl group, was prepared from phenylsulfanylgalactoside  $5^{[17]}$  via 6-8 (Scheme 3). The coupling of 2 and 9 was performed with dimethyl(methylsulfanyl)sulfonium triflate (DMTST)<sup>[18]</sup> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (Scheme 4). To evaluate the efficacy of the capture - release process only a substoichiometric amount (0.9 equiv) of 9 was used for this reaction. The reaction progress was monitored by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry as described previously.<sup>[4, 19]</sup> The mixture was passed through a pad of silica gel that was first washed with AcOEt, and the PEG-bound component was eluted with AcOEt/MeOH 3:1. Analysis of this fraction by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy revealed the presence of disaccharide 10 and unreacted acceptor 2 in a ratio of about 10:3 (Figure 1 A). This indicates that the



Scheme 3. Preparation of galactosyl donor **9**. a)  $(MeO)_2CMe_2$ , CSA, MeCN, RT, 10 min; b) *p*-methoxybenzyl chloride (PMBCl), NaH, DMF, RT, 15 h; c) 60% AcOH, RT, 13 h, 56% overall; d) benzyl bromide, NaH, DMF, RT, 5 h; e) DDQ, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O, RT, overnight, 79% from **6**; f) (ClCH<sub>2</sub>CO)<sub>2</sub>O, pyridine, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>,  $-40^{\circ}$ C, 3 h, 33%; g) *p*-MeC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>COCl (TolCl), pyridine, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>,  $0^{\circ}$ C  $\rightarrow$ RT, 3 h, 85%. CSA = 10-camphorsulfonic acid, DMF = dimethylformamide.



Scheme 4. Capture – release of disaccharide **10**. a) DMTST, MS  $4 \text{Å}/\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ ,  $-20 \rightarrow 0^{\circ}\text{C}$ , 14 h, 94%; b) *n*Bu<sub>3</sub>P, EtOH/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O (4:6:1), RT, 3 h, 98%; c) **12** (3 equiv), *i*Pr<sub>3</sub>EtN (3 equiv), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeCN (1:1), RT, 14 h; d) 4-(aminomethyl)pyridine (15 equiv), THF, RT, 12 h, then Amberlyst 15E, 82% from **10**; e) acetylacetone, Zn/Cu, THF, RT, 18 h, then Ac<sub>2</sub>O, Et<sub>3</sub>N; f) DDQ, ClCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>Cl/H<sub>2</sub>O, RT, 3 h, 86% from **14**.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001, 40, No. 24

24 © WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH, D-69451 Weinheim, 2001

#### COMMUNICATIONS

glycosylation proceeded in a highly efficient manner (ca. 85% based on donor **9**) and that the entropic disadvantage inherent to reactions on a polymer support was kept to a minimum through the use of low molecular weight PEG. Additionally, potential contamination by moisture due to the hygroscopic nature of PEG was practically inconsequential.

The mixture of disaccharide **10** and unreacted **2** was then subjected to the capture–release cycle. According to our expectations, thiol-containing resin **12** generated from commercially available StBu-protected cysteine-loaded Wang resin **11** efficiently captured the coupled product. This step was monitored by chloroacetyl color test with *p*-nitrobenzylpyridine–piperidine.<sup>[4, 20]</sup> Subsequent release was effected by treatment with 4-(aminomethyl)piperidine<sup>[21]</sup> to afford **14** with excellent purity. As apparent from <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis, unreacted acceptor **2** was completely removed (Figure 1B). At this stage, the coupled product was fully characterized as

disaccharide **15**, which was obtained from **14** after cleavage from PEG (Scheme 4).

Further chain elongation was performed according to Scheme 5. Namely, the second glucosamine residue was incorporated by using trichloroacetimidate **16**. Crude trisaccharide **17** was then subjected to capture-release to give **18**. After final glycosylation with thioglycoside **9** and capture-release, tetrasaccharide **20** was cleaved from PEG to provide **21**. Complete deprotection was performed in a standard manner to give the free tetrasaccharide Gal $\beta$ 1  $\rightarrow$ 4GlcNAc $\beta$ 1  $\rightarrow$ 3Gal $\beta$ 1  $\rightarrow$ 4GlcNAc $\beta$ 1  $\rightarrow$  OMP.

We have developed a resin-aided capture – release strategy for oligosaccharide synthesis on a polymer support. As an initial demonstration of the strategy, we examined the construction of an oligosaccharide consisting solely of synthetically straightforward 1,2-*trans* ( $\beta$ ) glycosidic linkages. More systematic studies should prove the generality of this strategy to a wide range of glycoconjugate-derived glycans.

Received: June 1, 2001 Revised: August 13, 2001 [Z17211]

[1] A. Kobata, Acc. Chem. Res. 1993, 26, 319-324.

- [2] Recent reviews: Y. Ito, S. Manabe, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* **1998**, *2*, 701–708; H. M. I. Osborn, T. H. Khan, *Tetrahedron* **1999**, *55*, 1807–1850; P. M. St. Hilaire, M. Meldal, *Angew. Chem.* **2000**, *112*, 1210–1228; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2000**, *39*, 1162– 1179; P. H. Seeberger, W.-C. Hasse, *Chem. Rev.* **2000**, *100*, 4349–4393.
- [3] O. J. Plante, E. R. Palmacci, P. H. Seeberger, *Science* 2001, 291, 1523 – 1527.
- [4] H. Ando, S. Manabe, Y. Nakahara, Y. Ito, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 3848–3849.
- [5] L. Jiang, R. C. Hartley, T.-H. Chan, *Chem. Commun.* 1996, 2193–2194.
- [6] Review: P. H. Toy, K. D. Janda, Acc. Chem. Res. 2000, 33, 546-554. For pioneering work on PEGsupported oligosaccharide synthesis: S. P. Douglas,

1433-7851/01/4024-4727 \$ 17.50+.50/0

## **COMMUNICATIONS**



Figure 1. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of crude **10** before capture (A), released disaccharide **14** (B), PEGsupported acceptor **2** (C), and disaccharide **15** after cleavage (D), and schematic reaction sequence. The starred signals belong to unreacted **2**.



21

Scheme 5. Synthesis of tetrasaccharide **21**. a) TMSOTf/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>,  $-20^{\circ}$ C, 1 h, 95%; b) **12**, *i*Pr<sub>2</sub>EtN, MeCN/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, RT, then 4-(aminomethyl)pyridine, THF, RT, 79% (**18**), 80% (**20**); c) **9**, DMTST, MS 4Å, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>,  $0 \rightarrow 10^{\circ}$ C, 5 h, 88%; d) acetylacetone, Zn/Cu, THF, RT, 18 h, then Ac<sub>2</sub>O, Et<sub>3</sub>N; e) DDQ, ClCH<sub>2</sub>ClH<sub>2</sub>Cl/H<sub>2</sub>O, RT, 3 h, 72% overall. TMSOTf = trimethylsilyl triflate.

D. M. Whitfield, J. J. Krepinsky, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 2116–2117.

- [7] Recent examples of tag-assisted product isolation: V. Pozgay, Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 477-480;
  H. Perrier, M. Labelle, J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 2110-2113; S.-Q. Zhang, K. Fukase, S. Kusumoto, Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 7479-7483;
  K. Itami, K. Mitsudo, T. Kamei, T. Koike, T. Nokami, J. Yoshida, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 12013-12014; S. V. Ley, A. Massi, F. Rodríguez, D. C. Horwell, R. A. Lewthwaite, M. C. Pritchard, A. M. Reid, Angew. Chem. 2001, 113, 1088-1090; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 1053-1055.
- [8] P. H. Seeberger, X. Beebe, G. D. Sukenick, S. Pochapsky, S. Danishefsky, Angew. Chem. 1997, 109, 507-510; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 491-493; T. Kanemitsu, O. Kanie, C.-H. Wong, Angew. Chem. 1998, 110, 3574-3577; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 3415-3418.
- [9] F. Baressi, O. Hindsgaul, J. Carbohydr. Chem. 1995, 14, 1043-1087.
- [10] Review: A. Kirschning, H. Monenschein, R. Wittenberg, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2000, *6*, 4445–4450.
  [11] M. Hummel, H. C. Hedrich, A. Hasilik, *Eur. J.*
- Biochem. 1997, 245, 428–433.
  [12] K. Maemura, M. Fukuda, J. Biol. Chem. 1992,
- [12] K. Matemura, W. Fukuda, J. Biol. Chem. 1992, 267, 24379-24386.
   [12] K. Watemaka, S. Halamari, B. A. Chille, T.
- [13] K. Watanabe, S. Hakomori, R. A. Childs, T. Feizi, J. Biol. Chem. 1979, 254, 3221-3228.

 [14] Similar linkers were reported previously: S. Manabe, Y. Nakahara, Y. Ito, Synlett 2000,
 4. X. Wu, M. Grathwohl, R. R. Schmidt, Org. Lett. 2001.

1241–1244; X. Wu, M. Grathwohl, R. R. Schmidt, *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 747–750.

- [15] K. Fukase, T. Yoshimura, M. Hashida, S. Kusumoto, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991**, *32*, 4019–4022; K. Fukase, K. Egusa, Y. Nakai, S. Kusumoto, *Mol. Diversity* **1996**, *2*, 182–188.
- [16] Y. Oikawa, T. Yoshioka, O. Yonemitsu, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1982, 23, 885–888.
- [17] K. C. Nicolaou, N. J. Bockovich, D. R. Carcanague, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 8843–8844.
- [18] P. Fügedi, P. J. Garegg, Carbohydr. Res. 1986, 149, c9-c12.
- [19] Due to the statistical distribution of the length of the PEG chain, which consists of 8-20 ethylene glycol units, the progress of the reaction was clearly seen in the mass spectrum by the shift of a group of signals with a characteristic mountainlike shape (m/z 980–1450, highest peak at 1212) to a higher molecular weight region (m/z 1570–2020, highest peak at 1751).
- [20] O. Kuisle, M. Lolo, E. Quiñoá, R. Riguera, *Tetrahedron* 1999, 55, 14807–14812.
- [21] L. Carpino, B. J. Cohen, K. E. Stephens, Jr., S. Y. Sadat-Aalaee, J.-H. Tien, D. C. Langridge, J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 3732–3734.

4728