# **RSC Advances**



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

## PAPER



Cite this: RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 21242

Received 3rd January 2015 Accepted 16th February 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c5ra00064e

www.rsc.org/advances

## 1. Introduction

The discovery of graphene (GR) has instigated intense interest in two-dimensional nanomaterials. Owing to its large specific surface area, extraordinary electronic properties and excellent thermal conductivity, GR has been used as a promising material for application in electrochemical devices such as chemical sensors, biosensors, supercapacitors and batteries.<sup>1,2</sup> In the case of electrochemical sensing, densities of attached analytic molecules were increased due to the high surface area of GR, which in turn can facilitate high sensitivity and device miniaturization.<sup>3</sup> In addition, the function of GR can be further amplified by decoration with guest molecules and/or materials<sup>4</sup>

# Controlled synthesis of graphene–Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub> nanocomposites and their application for detection of ascorbic acid<sup>+</sup>

Hong Ruan, Baoyong Liu and Hongguang Li\*

In this report, graphene-gadolinium hydroxide (GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>) nanocomposites have been prepared using the hydrothermal process. The crystalline structures of GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub> have been determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements and their morphologies have been revealed by field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) observations. The optical properties of GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub> have been examined by UV-vis and Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) measurements which revealed mutual interactions between GR and Gd(OH)3. GR-Gd(OH)3 was used to modify the glassy carbon electrode (GCE) which was subsequently utilized for electro-oxidation of ascorbic acid (AA) by cyclic voltammetry method. It was found that the electro-catalytic behavior of GCE modified by GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub> (GCE/GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>) was superior to that of the bare GCE. The catalytic oxidation peak current showed a linear dependence on the AA concentration and a linear calibration curve was obtained in the concentration range of 0.1-2.5 mM of AA with the lowest limit of detection (LOD) of 0.06 mM. Simultaneously, the oxidation peaks of AA over GCE/GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub> shifted to lower over potential compared to that of GCE modified by Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub> (GCE/Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>). The results indicate that GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub> can be used as a promising electrode modifier, which offers a new promising platform for application of the rare earth compound in electrochemistry and bioelectronics. Synchronously, the controlled synthesis of GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub> opens an efficient and facile strategy to design other GR-based, rare earth-containing nanocomposites.

such as polymers<sup>5</sup> and nanoparticles.<sup>6</sup> The formed hybrid materials usually show additional and/or superior properties compared to the individual components.

Rare earth compounds have been used in high performance luminescent devices, optical transmission, lighting displays and biochemical probes due to their outstanding optical, electronic and magnetic properties.7-9 One of the most attractive members in rare earth materials is Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub> which has been used as catalyst, sorbent and precursor for the preparation of Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> by thermal dehydration.<sup>10-12</sup> It is generally accepted that the size, morphology and crystallinity of a given rare earth material have a key effect on its property.<sup>13,14</sup> Hence considerable attention has been paid to the synthesis of Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub> nanomaterials with well-controlled morphologies such as rods, bundles and sheets.11,15-18 However, to the best of our knowledge, reports on GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub> nanocomposites with wellcontrolled shapes are yet to come. In addition, though the preparation of Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub> nanomaterials have already been welldocumented, little work has been carried out to investigate their electro-catalytic behavior.

In this work, we aim to prepare  $GR-Gd(OH)_3$  nanocomposites with well-defined morphologies by a facile hydrothermal method and to systematically investigate their electrocatalytic properties. For the latter purpose, oxidation of ascorbic acid (AA) was selected as a model reaction. It is known that

Laboratory of Clean Energy Chemistry and Materials, Lanzhou Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China. E-mail: hgli@licp. cas.cn

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: UV-vis spectra of GO, GR–Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-1 and GR–Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-2, EIS of GR, GCE/Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>, GCE/GR–Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-1, GCE/GR–Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-2 and bare GCE in 0.1 M PBS-0.5 mM AA aqueous solution, CV of GCE/GR–Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-2 in 0.1 M PBS (pH = 7) at varying concentration of AA, CV of GCE/GR–Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-1 and GCE/GR–Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-2 in 0.1 M PBS with 0.5 mM AA at 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, CV of GCE/GR–Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-2 in 2.5 mM AA aqueous solution at varying scan rate (15–100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>). BET surface area (*S*<sub>BET</sub>) and total pore volume of Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>, GR–Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-1 and GR–Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-2. See DOI: 10.1039/c5ra00064e

#### Paper

AA is difficult to be directly oxidized at a conventional electrode due to its high over potential, low selectivity, poor sensitivity and poor reproducibility.<sup>19</sup> To solve this problem, numerous attempts have been made by modifying the electrode surface with various nanostructured materials.<sup>20–24</sup> However, little work has been focused on lowering the over potential of AA oxidation. Here, by modifying the GCE with GR–Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub> nanocomposites, we have succeeded in improving the sensitivity and simultaneously lowering the over potential of AA oxidation, which offers a new promising platform for application of the rare earth compound in electrochemistry and bioelectronics.

## 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. Materials

Graphite flakes (325 mesh), and all the other chemicals including KMnO<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (98 wt%), concentrated HNO<sub>3</sub> and HCl, NaNO<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (30 wt%), Gd(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, NaOH, Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, ascorbic acid (AA) and ethanol were obtained from Shanghai Chemical Company. AA solutions were prepared freshly prior to use. Phosphate buffered saline (PBS) of pH 7.0 were prepared using Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> (0.1 mol L<sup>-1</sup>) and KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (0.1 mol L<sup>-1</sup>). Deionized water was used throughout the experiments.

#### 2.2. Preparation of graphene oxide (GO)

GO was synthesized by a modified Hummers' method.<sup>25</sup> In brief, graphite flakes were gradually added into a mixture of 20 mL of concentrated  $H_2SO_4$ : HNO<sub>3</sub> (with a volume ratio of 3:1). The solution was heated to 80 °C followed by stirring for 8 h. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and poured into 50 mL cold (0 °C) water. After 12 h, the sample was centrifuged and washed with water. A black sample labeled as pre-oxidized graphite was obtained. To the mixed powder of 1 g pre-oxidized graphite and 1.03 g NaNO<sub>3</sub>, 62 g concentrated H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> was slowly dropped, followed by the slow addition of 4.5 g KMnO<sub>4</sub> under violent stirring. The temperature was kept below 20 °C during the whole process and the reaction was allowed to continue for two days before it is ended by 140 mL water. Then, 30 wt% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was added slowly until the solution turned bright yellow, which was centrifuged and washed first with 1 M HCl aqueous solution and then with water for several times until the pH of the mixture was neutral. Finally, the GO slurry was purified by dialysis for a week and dried in vacuum at 60 °C for further use.

#### 2.3. Preparation of GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub> nanocomposites

3 mg GO was dispersed in 3 mL water and then ultrasonicated for 1 h. Subsequently, 0.19 mmol  $Gd(NO_3)_3$  in 5 mL water was dropped slowly. The mixture was stirred for 30 min and 1 M NaOH or concentrated  $NH_3 \cdot H_2O$  solution was slowly added until the pH of the solution was 9. Finally 7 mL water was added and the mixture was stirred for 30 min before transferred to 20 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave. The hydrothermal treatment was conducted at 120 °C for 12 h followed by cooling to ambient temperature naturally. The black precipitate was separated by centrifugation and washed with water. A series of GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub> nanocomposites were obtained upon drying. When NaOH was used as a precipitator, the obtained nano-composites were labeled as  $GR-Gd(OH)_3$ -1. If the precipitator is  $NH_3 \cdot H_2O$ , the nanocomposites were named as  $GR-Gd(OH)_3$ -2. The content of GR in two kinds of  $GR-Gd(OH)_3$  nanocomposites is calculated to be 7 wt%. For comparison, pure  $Gd(OH)_3$  was also synthesized at the absence of GO *via* the same procedure using NaOH as a precipitator.

## 3. Characterization techniques

Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) was carried out on a Bruker D8 Advance X-ray diffractometer at 40 kV and 40 mA with a Nifiltered Cu Ka radiation. The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface areas and nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms were measured at 77 K on a Quantachrome. The absorption spectra of the nanocomposites dispersed in water were obtained by a UV-vis spectrophotometer (PE Lambda 35). Field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) images were obtained on a JSM-6701F spectrophotometer. Electrochemical measurements were performed on an electrochemical system (CHI-660D, China) in PBS. Bare or modified GCE of 3 mm in diameter was used as the working electrode. Ag/AgCl and Pt wire served as the reference and counter electrode, respectively. GCE were polished with alumina slurry (0.05 and 0.3 mm) on a microcloth and were subsequently rinsed with water and ethanol. Then, the electrodes were further cleaned in ethanol and water with sonication for 5 min to remove any bound particles and finally rinsed with water. The clean electrodes were dried under a gentle nitrogen stream. To prepare the working electrodes, 1 mg of each nanocomposite was dispersed in 1 mL H<sub>2</sub>O under ultrasonication. Then 10 µL of each suspension was dropped onto the surface of the cleaned GCE and dried at room temperature.

## 4. Results and discussion

#### 4.1. Structure and morphology

The crystalline properties of  $Gd(OH)_3$ ,  $GR-Gd(OH)_3$ -1 and  $GR-Gd(OH)_3$ -2 were examined by XRD as shown in Fig. 1. It is obvious that XRD patterns of all the samples are similar with that of  $Gd(OH)_3$ , which is known to be a hexagonal phase. This means that the introduction of GR does not change the phase of  $Gd(OH)_3$ . Instead, it only provides an ideal platform for the formation of  $Gd(OH)_3$ . It should be noted that the typical peak for the GR is not detected in both  $GR-Gd(OH)_3$ -1 and  $GR-Gd(OH)_3$ -2, presumably due to the low content and relatively low diffraction intensity of GR. Similar phenomenon has also been observed in an earlier report.<sup>26</sup>

The existence of GR in the nanocomposites can be demonstrated by FTIR spectra (Fig. 2). The characteristic peaks at 1730, 1630, 1380 and 1250 cm<sup>-1</sup> are attributed to the C=O stretching vibrations of the -COOH group, the C=C stretching mode, the O-H deformation of the C-OH groups and the C-OH stretching peak, respectively.<sup>27</sup> Compared to the spectra of GO, the peaks at 1730 cm<sup>-1</sup> could not be detected in both GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-1 and GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-2, indicating the successful reduction of GO in the



Fig. 1 XRD analysis of Gd(OH) $_3$  (A), GR-Gd(OH) $_3$ -1 (B) and GR-Gd(OH) $_3$ -2 (C).



nanocomposites. On the other hand, the peaks from C==C group shifted from 1630 cm<sup>-1</sup> to 1520 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which is believed to be induced by the mutual interaction between Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub> and GR.<sup>28-30</sup> That is, Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles are well bounded with GR to form the nanocomposite. The influence of the mutual interaction between Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub> and GR on the spectroscopic properties of the nanocomposites can be also detected by UV-vis measurements (Fig. S1†). The spectroscopy of GO in water shows two peaks at about 226 and 300 nm. The former absorption peak can be assigned to  $\pi$ - $\pi$ \* transition of aromatic sp<sup>2</sup> domains,<sup>31</sup> while the later may be attributed to n- $\pi$ \* transition of the C=O band.<sup>32</sup> For GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-1 and GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-2, the peaks from  $\pi$ - $\pi$ \* transition have an obvious blue shift to 206 and 209 nm, respectively.

SEM images were taken not only to directly analyze the morphologies of the samples, but also to specifically investigate the influences of the precipitators. Typical images are shown in Fig. 3. It can be observed that both bare  $Gd(OH)_3$  (Fig. 3A) and  $GR-Gd(OH)_3$ -1 (Fig. 3B) are nanorods with big aspect ratios,

which suggests that the introduction of GR has no influence on the morphology of  $Gd(OH)_3$  nanoparticles. In other words, GR acts as an ideal platform for the formation and growth of  $Gd(OH)_3$ , with which  $Gd(OH)_3$  nanorods interact to hinder the aggregation. Additionally, strong dependences of the morphology of  $GR-Gd(OH)_3$  nanocomposites on the precipitator have been found as seen from Fig. 3B and C. When NaOH is used as a precipitator,  $Gd(OH)_3$  nanorods with big aspect ratios are dispersed on GR. While with  $NH_3 \cdot H_2O$  as a



Fig. 3 SEM images of Gd(OH)\_3 (A), GR-Gd(OH)\_3-1 (B) and GR-Gd(OH)\_3-2 (C).

View Article Online RSC Advances

precipitator, the aspect ratios of Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub> nanorods decrease and short nanorods formed. The differences between NaOH and NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O is partially due to the lower hydrolysis rate of NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O than that of NaOH, which provides basic media for precipitation and is disadvantageous for the formation of Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub> nanorods. Another possibility is that NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O can coordinate with Gd<sup>3+</sup> ions to form Gd(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>x</sub><sup>3+</sup> complexes, which prevents the reaction between Gd<sup>3+</sup> and OH<sup>-</sup> and lowers the growth rate of Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub> nanorods. The strong dependence of the nanoparticle morphology on the precipitator has also been observed previously during the preparation of ScOOH/Sc(OH)<sub>3</sub> and La(OH)<sub>3</sub> nanocrystals.<sup>33,34</sup>

#### 4.2. Electro-catalytic properties

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was used to investigate the application of GR-based nanocomposites in electrochemical sensors for AA. Fig. 4 shows CV curves for bare and modified GCE at a scan rate of 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup> in 0.1 M phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH = 7.0) at the presence of 0.5 mM AA. It is found that the oxidation currents  $(i_{pa})$  of GCE modified by Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub> (GCE/Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>), GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-1 (GCE/GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-1) and GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-2 (GCE/ GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-2, Fig. 4B-D) are all higher than that of bare GCE (Fig. 4E). However, it is not the case for GCE modified by GR (GCE/GR, Fig. 4A). The low performance of GCE/GR could be ascribed to the poor dispersibility of GR in aqueous solution due to its hydrophobicity. From Fig. 4, it can be also seen that  $i_{pa}$  of GCE/GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-1 is higher than that of GCE/Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>. This may arise from the following two factors: (i) in GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-1, GR provides a pathway for rapid electron transport due to its high conductivity; (ii) GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-1 possesses a larger surface area than Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub> due to the presence of GR. The synergistic effect of the above-mentioned two factors promotes electrochemical reactions and induces an enhancement of  $i_{pa}$ . It should also be noticed that compared to GCE/GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-1, the performance of GCE/GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-2 is even better. This suggests GR-Gd(OH)3-2 has the highest electro-catalytic properties. This can be explained by the unique



Fig. 4 CV of GCE/GR (A), GCE/Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub> (B), GCE/GR–Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-1 (C), GCE/GR–Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-2 (D) respectively. For comparison, the result of the bare GCE in 0.5 mM AA is also shown (E). The scan rate is 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>.

morphology and special surface of  $GR-Gd(OH)_3$ -2, where short  $Gd(OH)_3$  nanorods induce a higher special surface area and improve the electro-catalytic activities. Besides, it is also found that, the oxidation peaks in cases of  $GR-Gd(OH)_3$ -1 and  $GR-Gd(OH)_3$ -2 obviously shifted to lower potentials compared to that of  $Gd(OH)_3$ . This could be ascribed to the morphological changes of the nanocomposites induced by the introduction of GR. On the other hand, the intermolecular forces between the nanocomposite and AA molecules may also play a role.<sup>35</sup> Therefore, it is quite predictable and reasonable that oxidation peaks of AA shift when different modified electrodes are used.

In order to further understand the electro-catalytic behavior of electrodes with different modifications, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy has been carried out (Fig. S2<sup>†</sup>). The semicircle portion of the Nyquist plot at high frequencies corresponds to the charge transfer process. The diameter is equal to the charge transfer resistance  $(R_{ct})$ , which controls the electron transfer kinetics of the redox probe at the electrode interface. GCE/GR shows the lowest  $R_{ct}$  among all the electrodes, which can be ascribed to the high density of edge-plane sites and defects of GR. This feature of GR also leads to a lower  $R_{ct}$  of GCE/GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-1 and GCE/GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-2 compared to that of GCE/Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub> and bare GCE. It is also noted that R<sub>ct</sub> of GCE/GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-2 is lower than that of  $GCE/GR-Gd(OH)_3$ -1, which can be explained by the unique morphology of the GR-Gd(OH)3-2 where Gd(OH)3 nanorods have smaller aspect ratios than those in GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-1. This leads to a higher special surface area of GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-2 which accelerates charge transfer between AA and GCE/GR-Gd(OH)3-2 and lowers the  $R_{ct}$ . The result is also consistent with the highest activities of GCE/GR-Gd(OH)3-2 observed from Fig. 4.

Additionally, the effect of AA concentration ( $c_{AA}$ ) on the CV response of GCE/GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-1 has been investigated under a fixed scan rate of 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. Fig. 5A shows the change of  $i_{pa}$  when  $c_{AA}$  was increased. A linear relationship between  $i_{pa}$  and  $c_{AA}$  was found within the concentration range of 0.1–2.5 mM, as shown in Fig. 5B. The resulting calibration plot is a straight line, with a coefficient of 0.998, given by the equation:

$$i_{\rm pa} \,(\mu {\rm A}) = 7.34 c_{\rm AA} \,({\rm mM}) + 4.61$$
 (1)

The lowest limit of detection (LOD) (based on S/N = 3) was found to be 0.06 mM, the detailed calculation can be seen in the ESI.<sup>†</sup> The sensitivity of the electrode is 103.8  $\mu$ A mM<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>. The effect of  $c_{AA}$  on the CV response of GCE/GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-2 is shown in Fig. S3,<sup>†</sup> from which a linear relationship between  $i_{pa}$ and  $c_{AA}$  can be found within the concentration range of 0.3–2.5 mM. The LOD and the sensitivity of GCE/GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-2 can be calculated to be 0.05 mM and 116.4 µA mM<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>, respectively. Thus GCE/GR-Gd(OH)3-2 exhibits a lower LOD and a higher sensitivity compared to GCE/GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-1. Furthermore, in order to estimate the reproducibility of the results, three series of experiments were carried out (Fig. S4<sup>†</sup>) and the relative standard deviation of the current responses of GCE/GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-1 and GCE/GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-2 are 5.7% and 5.1%, respectively, indicating a reproducible fabrication of the GCE/GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-1 and GCE/GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-2 biosensors.





Fig. 5 (A) CV of GCE/GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-1 in 0.1 M PBS (pH = 7.0) at varying concentration of AA (0.1–2.5 mM) and (B) relationship between  $i_{pa}$  (µA) and  $c_{AA}$  (mM).

Fig. 6 shows the effect of the scan rates on the electrocatalytic properties of GCE/GR-Gd(OH)3-1 towards AA oxidation. An increase in the scan rate not only leads to a corresponding increase in  $i_{pa}$ , but also results in a shift of the anodic peak to more positive potentials (Fig. 6A). In addition, it can be seen that  $i_{pa}$  is proportional to the square root of the sweep rate  $(V^{1/2})$  within the investigated range (15–100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>) (with linear regression coefficient of 0.996) (Fig. 6B), suggesting that the oxidation reaction of AA molecules on GCE/GR-Gd(OH)3-1 is primarily diffusion-controlled. The effect of the scan rate on the electro-catalytic properties of GCE/GR-Gd(OH)3-2 follows similar rules as those observed for GCE/GR-Gd(OH)3-1 (Fig. S5<sup>†</sup>). Similar results of AA oxidation by an Au/TiO<sub>2</sub>/Ti electrode have also been reported by Hosseini et al.<sup>36</sup> Therefore, it highlights that the structure of GR skeleton provides an ideal morphology for anchoring bioactive molecules, which gives rise to a pathway for rapid mass transfer. Based on all the above results, a proposed oxidation process of AA can be described in Fig. 7. First, AA molecules in solution diffuse to the nearest active sites on GR and are absorbed onto Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub> nanorods. Then AA molecules absorbed on the surface of the electrode are oxidized to dehydroascorbic acid:



Fig. 6 (A) CV of GCE/GR–Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-1 in 2.5 mM AA aqueous solution at varying scan rate (15–100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>) and (B) the relationship between  $i_{pa}$  and  $V^{1/2}$ .

 $C_6H_8O_6(ascorbic acid) - 2e^- \rightarrow C_6H_6O_6(dehydroascorbic acid) + 2H^+$ 

In order to study the influence of GR on the surface area and porosity of the nanocomposites, the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) gas adsorption method was performed. BET specific



Fig. 7 Proposed mechanism for electro-catalytic oxidation of AA on GCE which was pre-modified by  $GR-Gd(OH)_3$  hybrid materials.

#### Paper

surface area ( $S_{\rm BET}$ ) and total volume of pores of the samples are listed in Fig. 8 and Table S1.<sup>†</sup> The nitrogen adsorption– desorption isotherm of Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>, GR–Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-1 and GR–Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-2 all exhibits type II, which indicates the presence of mesoporous materials according to the IUPAC classification.<sup>37</sup> A narrow pore size distribution with an averaged pore diameter of 30 nm was recorded, as displayed in the inset of Fig. 8A–C. The results suggest the addition of GR did not change the nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherm. However,  $S_{\rm BET}$ and total volume of pores of GR–Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-1 and GR–Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-2



Fig. 8 Brunauer–Emmett–Teller specific surface area of Gd(OH) $_3$  (A), GR–Gd(OH) $_3$ -1 (B) and GR–Gd(OH) $_3$ -2 (C).

has dramatically increased compared to that of Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>. In addition, compared with GR–Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-1,  $S_{\text{BET}}$  and total volume of pores of GR–Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-2 gradually increase from 18.02 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> to 32.38 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> and from 0.36 cm<sup>3</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> to 0.41 cm<sup>3</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Here, we speculate that the enhancement in  $S_{\text{BET}}$  and total volume of pores induced by the introduction of GR is the most important reason that GR–Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-2 possesses the highest electron-catalytic activity among all samples.

## 5. Conclusions

In summary, by selecting different precipitators, GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub> nanocomposites with different morphologies have been successfully prepared by a facile hydrothermal method. When NaOH is used as a precipitator, Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub> nanorods with big aspect ratios are dispersed on GR (GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-1). When NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O is selected, however, short Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub> nanorods with decreased aspect ratios formed (GR-Gd(OH)3-2). GR-Gd(OH)3-2 shows superior electrical chemical properties to Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub> and GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-1 due to its high surface area. The oxidation current of AA on GCE/GR-Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>-1 has a linear relationship with  $c_{AA}$  in the range of 0.1–2.5 mM and the oxidation reaction of AA molecules on GCE/GR-Gd(OH)3-1 is a primary diffusioncontrolled process. It is expected that our work can convey a new promising platform for the application of GR-based rare earth hybrid materials in biosensing. Furthermore, the facile methods could also be extended to other GR-based, rare earthcontaining nanocomposites with different morphologies.

## Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the Hundred Talents Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Y20245YBR1) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 21402215).

## References

- 1 Y. Shao, J. Wang, H. Wu, J. Liu, I. A. Aksay and Y. Lin, *Electroanalysis*, 2010, 22, 1027–1036.
- 2 X. Huang, Z. Zeng, Z. Fan, J. Liu and H. Zhang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, 24, 5979–6004.
- 3 F. Zhang, J. Tang, Z. Wang and L. Qin, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2013, **590**, 121–125.
- 4 X. Huang, X. Qi, F. Boey and H. Zhang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 666–686.
- 5 P. Manivel, M. Dhakshnamoorthy, A. Balamurugan,
  N. Ponpandian, D. Mangalaraj and C. Viswanathan, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, 3, 14428–14437.
- 6 X. Pan, Y. Zhao, S. Liu, C. L. Korzeniewski, S. Wang and Z. Fan, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2012, 4, 3944–3950.
- 7 S. V. Dliseeva and J.-C. G. Buenzli, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2010, **39**, 189–227.
- 8 Y. Liu, D. Tu, H. Zhu and X. Chen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, 42, 6924–6958.
- 9 M. C. Heffern, L. M. Matosziuk and T. J. Meade, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 4496–4539.

- 10 V. Parashar and A. C. Pandey, *Anal. Methods*, 2010, **2**, 1227–1229.
- 11 G. J. Xing, Q. Y. Guo, Q. J. Liu, Y. L. Li, Y. Wang, Z. L. Wu and G. M. Wu, *Ceram. Int.*, 2014, 40, 6569–6577.
- 12 S. Liu, Y. Cai, X. Cai, H. Li, F. Zhang, Q. Mu, Y. Liu and Y. Wang, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2013, **453**, 45–53.
- 13 Z. Yan and C. Yan, J. Mater. Chem., 2008, 18, 5046-5059.
- 14 R. Si, Y. Zhang, H. Zhou, L. Sun and C. Yan, *Chem. Mater.*, 2006, **19**, 18–27.
- 15 Y. Yoon, B. Lee, K. S. Lee, G. H. Im, S. Byeon, J. H. Lee and I. S. Lee, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2009, **19**, 3375–3380.
- 16 X. Wang and Y. Li, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 4790-4793.
- 17 G. Jia, K. Liu, Y. Zheng, Y. Song, M. Yang and H. You, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2009, **113**, 6050–6055.
- 18 X. Wang and Y. Li, Chem.-Eur. J., 2003, 9, 5627-5635.
- 19 S. B. Khoo and F. Chen, Anal. Chem., 2002, 74, 5734-5741.
- 20 K. Deng, J. Zhou and X. Li, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2013, **114**, 341–346.
- 21 C. Zhou, S. Li, W. Zhu, H. Pang and H. Ma, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2013, **113**, 454–463.
- 22 Y. Ma, M. Zhao, B. Cai, W. Wang, Z. Ye and J. Huang, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2014, **59**, 384–388.
- 23 G. Hu, Y. Guo, Q. Xue and S. Shao, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2010, 55, 2799–2804.
- 24 H. Li, Y. Wang, D. Ye, J. Luo, B. Su, S. Zhang and J. Kong, *Talanta*, 2014, **127**, 255–261.
- 25 H. Tetsuka, R. Asahi, A. Nagoya, K. Okamoto, I. Tajima,
   R. Ohta and A. Okamoto, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, 24, 5333–5338.

- 26 H. Zhang, X. Lv, Y. Li, Y. Wang and J. Li, *ACS Nano*, 2009, 4, 380–386.
- 27 X. D. Wang, N. L. Zhou, J. Yuan, W. Y. Wang, Y. D. Tang,
  C. Y. Lu, J. Zhang and J. Shen, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, 22, 1673–1678.
- 28 J. Guo, S. Zhu, Z. Chen, Y. Li, Z. Yu, Q. Liu, J. Li, C. Feng and D. Zhang, *Ultrason. Sonochem.*, 2011, 18, 1082–1090.
- 29 W. Wei, T. C. He, X. Teng, S. X. Wu, L. Ma, H. Zhang, J. Ma, Y. H. Yang, H. Y. Chen, Y. Han, H. D. Sun and L. Huang, *Small*, 2012, 8, 2271–2276.
- 30 X. Zhang, X. Yang, Y. Ma, Y. Huang and Y. Chen, *J. Nanosci.* Nanotechnol., 2010, **10**, 2984–2987.
- 31 D. Y. Pan, J. C. Zhang, Z. Li and M. H. Wu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2010, 22, 734–738.
- 32 J. Peng, W. Gao, B. K. Gupta, Z. Liu, R. Romero-Aburto, L. Ge, L. Song, L. B. Alemany, X. Zhan, G. Gao, S. A. Vithayathil, B. A. Kaipparettu, A. A. Marti, T. Hayashi, J. J. Zhu and P. M. Ajayan, *Nano Lett.*, 2012, **12**, 844–849.
- 33 Y. Zhang, J. Liu, R. Si, Z. Yan and C. Yan, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2005, **109**, 18324–18331.
- 34 G. Li, C. Li, Z. Xu, Z. Cheng and J. Lin, *CrystEngComm*, 2010, 12, 4208–4216.
- 35 J. Xie, Q. Wu, D. Zhang and Y. Ding, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2009, 9, 3889–3897.
- 36 M. G. Hosseini, M. Faraji and M. M. Momeni, *Thin Solid Films*, 2011, **519**, 3457–3461.
- 37 K. S. W. Sing, D. H. Everett, R. A. W. Haul, L. Moscou, R. A. Pierotti, J. Rouquérol and T. Siemieniewska, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 1985, 57, 603–619.