

View Article Online View Journal

RSC Advances

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: R. satish kumar, H. Jeong, J. Jeong, R. K. Chitumalla, M. J. Ko, S. K. kempahanumakkagari, J. Jang and Y. Son, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, DOI: 10.1039/C6RA00353B.



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

Page 1 of 11

Received 00th January 20xx Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

ARTICLE

Synthesis of porphyrin sensitizers with a thiazole group as an efficient π -spacer: Potential application in dye-sensitized solar cells

Rangaraju Satish Kumar^a, Hansol Jeong^{b,c}, Jaemyeng Jeong^a, Ramesh Kumar Chitumalla^e, Min Jae $Ko^{b,d}$, Kempahanumakkagaari Suresh Kumar^a, Joonkyung Jang^e and Young-A Son^{a,*}

Herein, we report porphyrin sensitizers for DSSCs, coded CNU-OC8 and CNU-TBU, which were synthesized using a donor- π -bridge-acceptor approach. The porphyrin sensitizers were subjected to electrochemical experiments to study their electron distribution, intramolecular charge transfer and HOMO-LUMO levels. The optical and photovoltaic properties of these synthesized porphyrins were measured and compared with those of the YD2-OC8 benchmark dye. To further characterize, we simulated the electrochemical and optical properties of the dyes, which are perfectly in agreement with the experimental data. The new CNU-OC8 and CNU-TBU porphyrin sensitizers provided power conversion efficiencies of 6.49% and 3.19%, respectively, compared to a conversion efficiency of 6.10% for YD2-OC8 under similar conditions. These results indicate that CNU-OC8 exhibits better photovoltaic performance than the benchmark YD2-OC8 sensitizer in a liquid $|\Gamma/l_3^-$ redox electrolyte.

nm.

Introduction

Dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs) are fascinating and of extensive interest for the conversion of sunlight into electricity because of their low cost, high conversion efficiency, colorful nature, ease of fabrication and potential usefulness in solving environmental problems.¹⁻⁷ Dye-sensitized solar cells have garnered considerable attention since the first report of DSSCs by Grätzel and O'Regan in 1991.⁸ A highly efficient metalorganic dye based on a ruthenium (Ru) sensitizer has produced solar-energy-to-electricity conversion efficiencies (n) greater than 11% under illumination with standard AM 1.5 sunlight.⁹⁻¹¹ Although Ru complexes are suitable as photosensitizers, because of their low molar extinction coefficient for incident light with wavelengths greater than 600 nm, the low natural abundance of Ru and related environmental issues limit their extensive application. On the other hand, porphyrin dyes shown high molar extinction coefficients and promising properties with easy synthetic conversion ability to be a good sensitizers for DSSCs.¹²⁻²⁴

In general, the absorption spectra of porphyrin dyes show a Soret band (B-band) with strong absorption at 400-450 nm and low-intensity Q-bands at 500-650 nm; notably, the 500-800 nm region is the most intense, photon-rich wavelength region of sunlight. According to AM1.5G solar simulations, the expected current density between 400 and 900 nm is \sim 7

Published on 20 April 2016. Downloaded by University of Wollongong on 21/04/2016 01:48:33.

mA·cm⁻² for every 100 nm, which represents a maximum cumulated J_{sc} value of ~35 mA·cm⁻². Therefore, an ideal production of extreme photocurrent should be possible with dye that can absorb maximum sunlight in the range of 400-900

The first porphyrin used for the sensitization of nanocrystalline TiO₂ was [tetrakis(4-carboxyphenyl) porphyrinato] zinc(II), with an overall conversion efficiency of 3.5%.²⁵ Then, zinc porphyrin YD2-OC8 is co-sensitized with an Y123 using a cobalt-based electrolyte that reached a power conversion efficiency of 12.3%,¹⁴ SM315 has been demonstrated to exhibit a conversion efficiency of 13%.¹⁶ Recently, thiophene or furan, 26,27 tropolone, 28 and pyridinebased compounds²⁹ have been used as acceptor anchoring groups with good conversion efficiency properties. Plater and coworkers synthesized the thiazole π -spacer porphyrin molecules,³⁰ Jin Yong Lee and Karthikeyan given the theoretical calculations for thiazole spacer containing porphyrins.³¹ Thiazole is an electrondeficiency unit with coplanarity and a significant electron-withdrawing ability. It has been widely applied in many fields, thiazole electrondeficiency is because it contains one electron-withdrawing nitrogen of the imine (-C=N) in place of the carbon atom at the 3-position of thiophene.³²⁻³⁵ Here in with the introduction of thiazole into the dyes as π -spacer, we planned the collaborative electron-withdrawing of thiazole and carboxylic acid may increase spectral response through lengthening π conjugation and increasing the withdrawing nature, while maintaining good charge injection.³⁶

Finally based on the above consideration, we have designed and synthesized two new efficient porphyrin sensitizers (CNU-OC8 and CNU-TBU shown in Fig. 1, with diarylamine as the donor, thiazole as a π -spacer, and a carboxylic acid group acting as the anchoring group. Here, in the present manuscript, we further extend this strategy to describe the DSSC properties CNU-OC8 and CNU-TBU, which feature a thiazole carboxylic acid that acts as a good acceptor and anchoring group; additionally, we compare the

^{a.} Department of Advanced Organic Materials Engineering, Chungnam National University, 220 Gung-dong, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 305-764, Republic of Korea. *E*mail address: <u>vason@cnu.ac.kr</u> (Y.-A. Son). Tel.: +82 42 821 6620; fax: +82 42 821 8870.

^{b.} Photo-Electronic Hybrids Research Center, Korea Institute of Science and Technology (KIST), Seoul, 02792, Republic of Korea

^c Green School, Korea University, Seoul, 02841, Republic of Korea

^d KU-KIST Graduate School of Converging Science and Technology, Korea University, Seoul, 02841, Republic of Korea

^e Department of Nanoenergy Engineering, Pusan National University, Busan, 609-735, Republic of Korea.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: detailed $^1\mathrm{H}\text{-NMR},\ ^{13}\mathrm{C}\text{-NMR},$ HRMS and IR data available. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

DOI: 10.1039/C6RA00353B Journal Name

performance of these compounds with that of the YD2-OC8 porphyrin dye (Fig. 1). We also performed theoretical





calculations to verify the electrochemical and photophysical properties of the two dyes, CNU-OC8 and CNU-TBU.

Experimental Section

Materials and Characterization

All solvents and reagents (analytical and spectroscopic grades) were commercially obtained and used as received unless otherwise noted. An AVANCE III 600 spectrometer was operated at 600 MHz for ¹H NMR and 150 MHz for ¹³C NMR (Akishima, Japan); the Alice 4.0 software and CDCl₃ and pyridine- d_5 (Sigma–Aldrich) were used as solvents in both cases. The chemical shifts (δ values) are reported in ppm as downfield from an internal standard (Me₄Si) (¹H and ¹³C NMR). HRMS spectra were obtained using a micrOTOF-Q II mass spectrometer. UV-Visible absorption spectra were recorded using an Agilent 8453 spectrophotometer. The electrochemical measurements, i.e., CV experiments, were conducted using a VersaSTAT 3 instrument. The electrolyte used was anhydrous methylene chloride along with 0.1 M TBAP as a supporting electrolyte. The electrodes used included a platinum disc as the working electrode, a Ag/Ag⁺ electrode as the reference electrode and platinum wire as the counter electrode.

Synthesis

Synthesis of CNUOC8 (1)

TIPS compound 4 (0.2 g, 0.128 mmol) was dissolved in 20 mL dry THF, and the resulting solution TBAF was added (0.16 mL, 1 M THF) at 0°C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 15 min under inert atmosphere and subsequently guenched with 20 mL water and extracted with DCM (2 \times 50 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. To the obtained residual compound 2-bromothiazole-5-carboxylic acid (0.266 g, 1.28 mmol) was added and dissolved in dry THF (20 mL). NEt₃ was then added to the reaction mixture (8 mL), which was subsequently degassed with Ar for 15 min. To the combined reaction mixture, Pd(dba)₂ (0.044 g, 0.0768 mmol) and AsPh₃ (0.137 g, 0.45 mmol) were added and refluxed for 12 h under an Ar atmosphere. After the reaction was completed, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by silica-gel column chromatography using DCM:CH₃OH (20:1) as the eluent to obtain a solid, which was further washed with CH₃OH to give compound 1 (0.112 g, 57.81%) as a green solid. 1 H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃ + 1 drop pyridine-d₅, ppm) δ 9.47 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 2H), 8.94 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 2H), 8.73 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 2H), 8.51-8.48 (m, 2H), 6.98-6.83 (m, 10H), 6.78-6.66 (m, 5H), 3.73 (t, J = 4.8 Hz, 8H), 2.34 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 4H), 1.51 (s, 4H), 1.46-0.29 (m, 78H). 13C NMR (125 MHz, $CDCl_3 + 1$ drop pyridine-d₅, ppm) δ 159.7, 152.1, 151.2, 150.2, 150.2, 134.7, 134.1, 132.1, 131.4, 130.2, 129.7, 129.5, 128.3, 123.3, 121.5, 114.3, 105.1, 100.1, 68.4, 45.2, 35.1, 32.0, 31.6, 31.3, 29.5, 29.2, 29.0, 28.5, 28.4, 25.0, 22.5, 22.4,22.2, 13.9, 13.7. UV-Vis [0.01 mM, λmax (nm) & ε (mol⁻¹cm⁻¹)] 453 (2, 05, 842), 575 (14,495) and 650 (31.891). FT-IR (KBr) 3344, 3093, 2952, 2184, 1724, 1584, 1296, 1094, 933, 791, 713. HR-MS (ESI-MS) m/z calcd. For $C_{94}H_{119}N_6O_6SZn[M+H]^+$: 1524.8133, found 1524.8135.

Synthesis of CNU-TBU (2)

TIPS compound 5 (0.2 g, 0.158 mmol) was taken in 20 mL THF and was added TBAF (0.16 mL, 1 M THF) at 0°C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 15 min under inert atmosphere and subsequently guenched with 20 mL of water and extracted with DCM (2×50 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. To the obtained residual compound, 2-bromothiazole-5-carboxylic acid (0.332 g, 1.6 mmol) was added and dissolved in dry THF (20 mL). NEt₃ was then added to the reaction mixture (8 mL), which was subsequently degassed with Ar for 15 min. To the combined reaction mixture Pd(dba)₂ (0.055 g, 0.095 mmol) and AsPh₃ (0.169 g, 0.553 mmol) were added and refluxed for 12 h under an Ar atmosphere. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure after completion of the reaction. The resulting residue was purified by silica-gel column chromatography using DCM:CH₃OH (20:1) as an eluent to obtain a solid, which was further recrystallized from CH_3OH to give compound **2** (0.124 g, 63.29%) as a green solid. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃ + 1 drop pyridine- d_5 , ppm) δ 9.71 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 2H), 9.23 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 2H), 8.92 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 2H), 8.74 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 2H), 7.97(s,

2 | J. Name., 2012, 00, 1-3

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx



Fig. 2 Synthesis strategies for the preparation of the new porphyrin dyes 1 and 2.

4H), 7.76 (s, 2H), 7.22-7.16 (m, 5H), 6.94 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 4H), 2.46 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 4H), 1.50 (s, 36H), 1.33-1.18 (m, 16H), 0.83 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃ + 1 drop pyridine-*d*₅, ppm) δ 152.0, 151.8, 150.2, 149.9, 149.7, 148.1, 134.3, 133.0, 132.5, 130.4, 129.3, 128.4, 121.6, 120.4, 114.3, 105.1, 58.4, 45.2, 34.7, 34.5, 31.2, 31.0, 29.3, 28.6, 23.6, 22.1, 19.3, 13.6, 13.2. UV-Vis [0.01 mM, λ_{max} (nm) & ϵ (mol $^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1})] 448 (2, 11, 388), 578 (12,711) and 655$ (34,775). FT-IR (KBr) 3359, 3026, 2954, 2185, 1736, 1696, 1590, 1433, 1285, 1207, 1066, 946, 790, 727. HR-MS (ESI-MS) m/z calcd. For $C_{78}H_{86}N_6O_2SZn$ $[M+H]^+$: 1235.5897, found 1235.5819.

Computational details

Density functional theory (DFT) and time dependent DFT (TDDFT) calculations were performed on both the Znporphyrin dyes CNU-OC8 and CNU-TBU. All the calculations reported in this paper were carried out with the Gaussian 09 ab initio quantum chemical program³⁷. The geometry optimization of the two dyes has been carried out using M06³⁸ hybrid meta exchange correlation density functional at 6-31G(d,p) level of theory. We used, quasi-relativistic pseudopotentials proposed by Hay and Wadt and a double zeta $(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ quality basis set, LanL2DZ.5³⁹⁻⁴¹ for Zn throughout. During the geometry optimization, we did not impose any symmetry constraints. The frequency analysis was carried out on optimized geometries to ensure that each configuration is indeed a minimum on the potential energy surface. To model the CNU-OC8 dye, we have replaced the $-OC_8H_{17}$ groups present on the two phenyl rings with simple -OCH₃ for computational simplicity. The other dye CNU-TBU has been

modeled as such without any modifications. We obtained the electron density distribution isosurfaces of the two dyes, by performing population analysis in dichloromethane solvent medium. To characterize the photophysical properties of the two Zn-porphyrin dyes, we performed TDDFT simulations on the ground state optimized structures. The vertical excitation energies of the two dyes for the first 25 vertical singlet excitations were calculated in tetrahydrofuran solvent medium. The solvent effects of dichloromethane and tetrahydrofuran were modeled using the polarizable continuum model^{42,43} within the self-consistent reaction field (SCRF) theory.

Procedure for the preparation of the porphyrin-modified TiO₂ electrode and for photovoltaic measurements

Transparent conducting glass substrates were cleaned sequentially with ethanol, Isopropanol and acetone with ultrasonication. A TiO₂ paste was prepared using ethyl cellulose (Aldrich), lauric acid (Fluka) and terpineol (Aldrich). The TiO₂ particles used were ca. 20-30 nm in diameter. A prepared TiO₂ paste was doctor-bladed onto the pre-cleaned glass substrates, followed by drying at 70 °C for 30 min and 30 min calcination at 500 °C. The calculated thickness is 8 μ m. A scattering layer consisting of rutile TiO₂ particles (250 nm in a size) was deposited on the mesoporous TiO₂ films and thickness is was 14 μ m. The sensitizers were dissolved in Ethanol(4):THF(1) (0.3 mM) with 0.4mM chenodeoxycholic acid at room temperature and stirred for 24 h. The TiO₂ layers were immersed in the solutions for 24 h.

Pt counter electrodes were prepared by thermal reduction

DOI: 10.1039/C6RA00353B Journal Name

ARTICLE

of the films dip-coated in H_2PtCl_6 (7 x 10^{-3} M) in 2-propanol at 400 °C for 20 min. The dye-adsorbed TiO_2 and Pt counter electrodes were sandwiched between a 60µm-thick Surlyn (Solaronix) layer, which was used as a bonding agent and spacer. A liquid electrolyte (I^{-}/I_{3}^{-}) redox couple) which was composed of 0.6M BMII, 0.03M I₂, 0.5M TBP, 0.05M LiI, 0.05M GUSCN in Acetonitrile was then introduced through a prepunched hole on the Pt counter electrode that was finally sealed. The active area of the dye-adsorbed TiO₂ films (0.24 cm²) was estimated using a digital microscope camera with image-analysis-software (Moticam 1000). Electrical Impedance Spectra were obtained using an impedance analyzer (Solartron 1287) at frequencies ranging from 0.01Hz to 1MHz, the magnitude of the signal was 10mV. The photocurrent-voltage measurement was performed using a Keithley model 2400 Source Meter and a YAMASHITA solar simulator system (equipped with a 1 kW xenon arc lamp, Oriel). A Si solar cell calibrated by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory(NREL) was used to adjust light intensity to the AM 1.5G 1 sun condition(100mW cm⁻²) with KG-3 filter. A black aperture mask was attached on the cells during the measurements. Incident photon-to-current conversion efficiency (IPCE) was measured as a function of wavelength ranging from 300 to 900 nm using a K3100 EQX Spectral IPCE measurement system for DSSCs (PV measurements, Inc.). A 75 W Xenon lamp was used as a light source for generating monochromatic beam. An ellipsoidal reflector collects light from the lamp and focuses on the monochromatic entrance slit via a mechanical chopper to create a small modulated signal. While the modulated, monochromatic light was applied to the test devices, a continuous bias light (ca. 1 sun) was also applied.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis of dyes 1 and 2

Published on 20 April 2016. Downloaded by University of Wollongong on 21/04/2016 01:48:33.

We synthesized new porphyrin sensitizers based on the donor- π -acceptor concept. The synthetic protocol for the preparation of the target dyes CNU-TBU and CNU-OC8 is shown in Fig. 2. Both compounds 4 and 5 were synthesized by adopting the literature-reported procedure.¹⁴ Compounds 4 and 5 were first silyl deprotected with TBAF in THF to obtain a common intermediate compound that was Sonogashira coupled with Pd(dba)₂, AsPh₃, Et₃N and THF. For the synthesis of compound 1 and 2 we initially tried the reaction with 0.3 equiv. of $Pd_2(dba)_3$ and 2 equiv. of AsPh₃ according to previous methods,^{14,26} but reaction yield was very low. We subsequently obtained a good yield upon changing the reaction conditions to 0.6 equiv. of Pd(dba)₂ and 3.5 equiv. of AsPh₃. The YD2-OC8 was obtained using a previously reported synthesis procedure.¹⁴ Finally synthesized porphyrin sensitizers were fully characterized by ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, IR, emission, and UV-Visible absorption spectroscopies as well as by high resolution mass spectrometry and electrochemical methods.

Absorption and emission studies

The UV-Visible absorption spectra of porphyrin dyes CNU-OC8, CNU-TBU and YD2-OC8 in THF solution are displayed in Fig. 3. The corresponding wavelengths of maximum absorption and the molar extinction coefficients of all of the compounds are given in **Table 1**. The spectra of the dyes exhibit a characteristic intense Soret band in the range of 400-500 nm; this band is assigned to the second excited state. They also exhibit less intense Q-bands in the range from 550 to 700 nm; these bands are assigned to the first excited states that belong to π - π * electron transitions. The Soret bands of the CNU-OC8 and CNU-TBU are red-shifted by 5-10 nm from that of the YD2-OC8 dye. The dyes containing thiazole group as π -spacers exhibited red-shifts of their absorption bands; this result confirms that the thiazole group attached to the porphyrin cycles improve the UV-Visible absorption characteristics of the porphyrin dyes. This enhancement is likely due to the greater electron-withdrawing nature of the thiazole group compared to that of a benzene group.

The emission spectra of porphyrin sensitizers were measured at room temperature in THF solvent; representative spectra of the compounds are given in **Fig. 4**, and the corresponding emission maxima are reported in **Table 1**. The fluorescence emission wavelengths of CNU-OC8, CNU-TBU, and YD2-OC8 are 675, 700, and 663 nm, respectively. The red shift of the emission values of the present dyes compared to the emission of YD2-OC8 can be explained by the fact that the







Fig. 4 Emission spectra of CNU-OC8 ($\lambda_{ex\,=}\,570$), YD2-OC8 ($\lambda_{ex\,=}\,590$) and CNU-TBU ($\lambda_{ex\,=}\,580$) in THF.

ARTICLE

Table 1 Absorption, fluorescence, and electrochemical data for porphyrin sensitizers.

Dye	Absorption (neutral form) ^a λ_{max} (cm ⁻¹), (log ϵ)	Emission ^b λ _{max} nm(φ)	Potential V vs. SCE ^c		HOMO (eV)	LUMO (eV)	E ^c (eV)
		-	Oxidation	Reduction			
CNU-OC8	453(205),575(14),649(32)	675	+0.571,+1.169	-1.280	-5.514	-3.511	2.003
CNU-TBU	448(211), 578(13),650(34)	700	+0.591,+1.158	-1.231	-5.474	-3.602	1.872
YD2-OC8	446(212),580(12),643(31)	663	+0.620,+1.189	-1.351	-5.483	-3.592	1.891

^aAbsorption and ^bemission data were measured in THF at 25°C (0.01 mM); error limits: λmax , ± 1 nm, $\epsilon \pm 10\%$. ^cSolvent: CH₂Cl₂, 0.1 M TBAP, scan rate: 50 mV s⁻¹, Pt working electrode, Ag/Ag+ as reference electrode and Pt wire as counter electrode.

phenyl group of YD2-OC8 was replaced with a thiazole group in CNU-OC8 and CNU-TBU.

Electrochemical Characteristics

Cyclic voltammetry studies

The electrochemical properties of the CNU-OC8, YD2-OC8 and CNU-TBU dyes were investigated by cyclic voltammetry experiments in dichloromethane in the presence of 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium perchlorate as a supporting electrolyte at 18°C. All three dyes have quasi-reversible or reversible redox voltammograms (Fig. 5). The corresponding oxidation and reduction potentials are given in Table 1. All the oxidation peaks correspond to the abstraction of electrons from the amino functional groups and porphyrin ring.^{44,45} Similarly, all the reduction peaks correspond to the reduction of acceptors



Fig. 5 Cyclic voltammograms of YD2OC8, CNU-OC8 and CNU-TBU dyes. CV measurements were carried out in DCM containing 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium perchlorate. Scan rate: 50 mV s⁻¹ at 18°C.

and/or the formation of di-anions and zinc porphyrin anions.⁴⁴ The HOMO and LUMO energy levels were calculated by using the following equations: HOMO = -[4.8 + E_{ox}^{onset}] eV and LUMO = -[4.8 + E_{red}^{onset}] eV, respectively (vs. Fc⁺/Fc).⁴⁶ The corresponding HOMO, LUMO and electrochemical energy gaps (E_g^{EC}) of the three dyes are given in Table 1. The sensitizers energy gap values have an important role in DSSCs.⁴⁷ Here the electrochemical energy gap values of CNU-TBU, CNU-OC8 and YD2-OC8 are 1.872, 2.003, and 1.891 eV respectively.

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was performed using an electronic-chemical analyzer (Solartron 1287), in order to investigate and elucidate electrochemical characteristics and to get more information about interfacial charge transfer at the interfaces of DSSC with the CNU-OC8, YD2-OC8 and CNU-TBU dyes absorbed on TiO₂. The Nyquist plots of the above dyes were shown in Fig. 6 and fitting values was shown in Table 2. The first semicircle in the above figure obtained is assigned to resistance (R_{Pt}) and capacitance (C_{Pt})

Table 2 Resistance extracted from the fitted results of the EIS spectra						
	$R_{s}\left(\Omega ight)$	$R_{Pt}\left(\Omega ight)$	R _{ct} (Ω)	C _{Pt} (µF cm ⁻²)	C _{ct} (μF cm ⁻²)	
YD2-OC8	1.428	3.23	446.2	136.83	1013.8	
CNU-OC8	1.363	2.117	13.45	87.41	1520.1	
CNU-TBU	1.316	1.949	13.62	88.93	1734.6	



Fig. 6 Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy results (Nyquist plots) for DSSCs with CNU-OC8, YD2-OC8 and CNU-TBU dyes as sensitizers.

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

ARTICLE



Fig. 7 Optimized ground state (S₀) geometries of CNU-OC8 and CNU-TBU obtained at M06/6-31G(d,p)/LanL2DZ level of theory. Hydrogen atoms are omitted here for clarity.



Fig. 8 Electron density distribution in HOMO-1, HOMO, LUMO, and LUMO+1 for CNU-OC8 and CNU-TBU obtained at M06/6-31G(d,p)/LanL2DZ level of theory. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

ARTICLE

mainly obtained for charge transport at the electrolyte/Pt counter electrode interface. In the middle frequency range, the large semicircle is assigned to the charge transfer resistance(R_{ct}) and chemical capacitance(C_{ct}) related to charge recombination at the working electrode/electrolyte interface. 48,49 This semicircle result from interface of electrolyte/dye absorbed TiO_2 is in the order of YD2-OC8 > CNU-OC8 = CNU-TBU. These results clearly indicate that the electron recombination resistance of these three dyes that affects open circuit voltage determined by the potential difference between the quasi-Fermi level of TiO₂ affected by the specific internal donors present and the redox potential of the electrolyte. This results also suggests shift of TiO₂ conduction band edge in the order of YD2-OC8 > CNU-OC8 > CNU-TBU that affects electron injection related to current density from driving force between TiO₂ Conduction band edge and LUMO of each dye. So CNU-OC8 has higher current density and higher efficiency than YD2-OC8 due to lower conduction band edge than YD2-OC8 although CNU-OC8 has lower open circuit voltage than YD2-OC8. CNU-TBU has lowest conduction band edge that has an effect on lowest voltage.⁵⁰⁻⁵⁵

Computational studies

To gain further insights into the geometry, electronic structure, and optical properties of the two Zn-porphyrin dyes, we have carried out thorough DFT and TDDFT calculations. The ground sate optimized geometries of the dyes are shown in **Fig. 7**. The two phenyl rings directly attached to the porphyrin ring are perpendicular to the porphyrin ring, whereas the other two phenyl rings present in the donor part, are with a dihedral angle of *ca.* 30° . The non-planar geometry of the dyes helps in preventing the dye aggregation on TiO₂.

The isodensity surface plots (isodensity contour: $0.02 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$) of selected molecular orbitals are shown in **Fig. 8**. From the figure, it can be seen that, the electron density distribution

pattern of both the dyes is almost similar. In the HOMO-1, the electron density is mainly delocalized over porphyrin ring but in the HOMO, the majority of the electron density is delocalized over donor and porphyrin and also partly extended to the thiazole. In the case of LUMO, the electron density is regeneration depends on the energy levels of the frontier molecular orbitals, they are of great interest in the context of DSSC. The calculated molecular orbital energy levels are given



Fig. 9 Simulated UV-Visible absorption spectra of CNU-OC8 and CNU-TBU in tetrahydrofuran obtained at TD-M06/6-31G(d,p)/Lanl2DZ level of theory.

Table 3 Energies of the frontier molecular orbitals calculated at M06/6-31G(d,p)/LanL2DZ level of theory, along with the optical band gap (E_{0-0}).

Dye	Е _{номо} (eV)	E ₀₋₀ (eV) ^a	E _{LUMO} (eV)		
CNU-OC8	-5.25	1.87	-3.38		
CNU-TBU	-5.29	1.84	-3.45		
^a E is the TDDET transition energy ($\mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$) and the LUMO = HOMO + E					

Table 4 Simulated absorption wavelengths (λ_{cal}), oscillator strengths (f), and coefficient of configuration interaction (CI) with dominant contribution to each transition of the two dyes.

Dye	Transition	λ _{cal} (nm)	λ _{exp} ª (nm)	f	CI Coefficient	Dominant Contribution (%) ^b
	$S_0 \rightarrow S_1$	662	649	0.5482	0.67036	H → L (90)
-003	S₀→S₂	597		0.0062	0.51926	H-1 → L (54)
CNL	S₀→S₃	542		0.0291	0.63328	H-2 → L (80)
	$S_0 \rightarrow S_4$	489		0.2313	0.50185	H → L+1 (50)
_	$S_0 \rightarrow S_5$	450	453	1.4180	0.45611	H-1 → L+1 (42)
	$S_0 \rightarrow S_1$	673	650	0.5111	0.67392	H → L (91)
CNU-TBU	$S_0 \rightarrow S_2$	601		0.0023	0.49824	H-1 → L (50)
	$S_0 \rightarrow S_3$	549		0.0469	0.63571	H-2 → L (81)
	$S_0 \rightarrow S_4$	496		0.2416	0.48306	H → L+1 (47)
	$S_0 \rightarrow S_5$	448	448	1.4075	0.46621	H-1 → L+1 (43)

^aExperimental absorption wavelengths.

^bH and L denote HOMO and LUMO, respectively.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

Published on 20 April 2016. Downloaded by University of Wollongong on 21/04/2016 01:48:33.

in **Table 3**. The simulated HOMO energies of CNU-OC8 and CNU-TBU are -5.25 and -5.29 eV, respectively. The TDDFT first transition energy ($S_0 \rightarrow S_1$) is considered as the optical bandgap of the dyes, which are found to be 1.87 and 1.84 eV, respectively for CNU-OC8 and CNU-TBU. In the present study, we calculated the LUMO energy by taking the sum of HOMO energy and the TDDFT transition energy, rather than from the unreliable Kohn–Sham LUMO eigenvalue.⁵⁶ The obtained LUMO energies of CNU-OC8 and CNU-TBU are -3.38 and -3.45 eV, respectively. The calculated energy levels of the frontier molecular orbitals and band gaps are in excellent agreement with the experimental CV data reported in Table 1. The simulated HOMO energies of the dyes are well below the redox potential (-5.20 eV) of the redox couple I^-/I_3^- , which

facilitates the effective dye regeneration. The LUMO energies of the two dyes are well above the conduction band of the TiO_2 (-4.20 eV), which fulfils the requirement for effective electron injection from the dye excited state. The UV-Visible spectra of CNU-OC8 and CNU-TBU were simulated in tetrahydrofuran solvent and are depicted in Fig. 9. From the figure, it can be seen that the TDDFT simulations reproduced the main bands observed in the experimental UV-Visible spectrum. Calculated first five singlet vertical excitation energies along with their oscillator strengths are given in Table 4. The calculated absorption maxima (λ_{max}) in the low energy region of the dyes CNU-OC8 and CNU-TBU are located at 662 and 673 nm, respectively, are in well agreement with the experimental results. These low energy absorptions mainly occur from the transition of HOMO to LUMO for both the dyes. The other intense band around 450 nm is due to the transition from HOMO-1 to LUMO+1 with oscillator strength of more than 1.4. The excitation energies and oscillator strengths were interpolated by a Gaussian convolution with an FWHM of 2500 cm⁻¹.

Photovoltaic characteristics

The incident photo-to-current conversion efficiencies (IPCE) diagrams for the DSSCs of the three porphyrin dyes are shown in Fig. 10. The dyes all respond in the broad range of 300-750 nm and show maximum IPCE values at 450 nm and 650 nm. This is consistent with UV-Visible absorption spectra

Table 5 Photovoltaic characteristics of the DSSC devices fabricated using dyes **1** and **2** compared to those of the device fabricated using the YD2-OC8 standard dye. The approximate electrode areas were 0.24 cm², and measurements were conducted at approximately 100 mW cm⁻²

Dye	J _{sc} (mA cm⁻²)	V _{oc} (V)	Ff (%)	η (%)	DL ^a mmol/cm ²
CNU-OC8	13.99	0.717	64.71	6.49	264.3
CNU-TBU	6.73	0.644	73.61	3.19	173.2
YD2-0C8	12.92	0.753	62.63	6.10	138.3

^aThe amounts of dye loading, indicated as YD2-OC8, CNU-OC8 and CNU-TBU were determined from desorption of dye molecules on immersion of the transparent 8 μ m TiO₂ electrodes in a basic solution of 0.1 M sodium hydroxide in THF and the calibrated absorption.



Fig. 10 IPCE [%] spectrum of the devices made with CNU-OC8, YD2-OC8 and CNU-TBU dyes.

of the three dyes. For CNU-OC8, higher dye-loading value obtained compared to CNU-TBU and YD2-OC8, it may be an important reason for the higher IPCE value, which implies that this sensitizer would result in a relatively large photocurrent in DSSCs, also shows strong -COOH anchoring on TiO₂ Surface improved by thiazole π -spacer.⁵⁷ By contrast, CNU-TBU exhibits a lower IPCE because of its weaker light-conversion ability although it has higher dye-loading value than YD2-OC8 (Table 5).

The current density-voltage (I-V) characteristic of the DSSCs sensitized by CNU-OC8, CNU-TBU and YD2-OC8 is displayed in Fig. 11, and the corresponding detailed photovoltaic performance parameters recorded in Table 5. Under standard global air mass 1.5 solar conditions, the CNU-TBU sensitized cell provided a short circuit photocurrent density (J_{sc}) value of 6.73 mA cm⁻², an open circuit voltage (V_{oc}) of 0.644 V, and a fill factor (*FF*) of 73.61, corresponding to overall conversion efficiency (η) of 3.19%. Under the similar conditions, the DSSCs



Fig. 11 Current-voltage characteristics of the devices made with CNU-OC8, YD2-OC8 and CNU-TBU porphyrin dyes with an I/I_3 -based redox electrolyte.

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

for CNU-OC8 and benchmark YD2-OC8 showed J_{sc} of 13.99 and 12.92 mA cm⁻², V_{oc} of 0.717 and 0.753 V, and FF of 64.71 and 62.63, corresponding to η of 6.49% and 6.10%, respectively. These results indicate that thiazole carboxylic acid is a good acceptor anchoring group for 2,6-dioctyloxyphenyl porphyrin sensitizer. The high J_{sc} of CNU-OC8 might be attributed the the lengthy and judiciously wrapped alkoxy chains of CNU-OC8, impede efficiently the π - π aggregation, which increases the charge injection of the dye and additionally protect the porphyrin core against the electrolyte, which on the other hand delays the charge recombination process with the oxidized species of the redox shuttle.²⁰ The obtained lower J_{sc} and efficiency values in the case of CNU-TBU is attributable to low light harvesting ability (Figure 10). Finally here, we achieved good conversion efficiency in the case of CNU-OC8, compare to benchmark YD2-OC8 under similar conditions. It shows that thiazole π -spacer play a key role to replace the phenyl group.

Conclusion

We designed and synthesized the D- π -A porphyrin sensitizers CNU-OC8 and CNU-TBU with a thiazole carboxylic acid acceptor and compared their photovoltaic performance and electrochemical properties with those of YD2-OC8. Upon photosensitization of nanocrystalline TiO₂, the sensitizer CNU-OC8 exhibited a good conversion efficiency of 6.49%, which is better than that of the standard YD2-OC8 sensitizer (6.09%) under the same photovoltaic conditions.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT and Future Planning (Grant no. 2015063131).

Notes and references

- 1 V. K. Singh, R. K. Kanaparthi and L. Giribabu, *RSC Advances*, 2014, **4**, 6970-6984.
- 2 M-E. Ragoussi, M. Ince and T. Torres, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2013, 6475-6489.
- 3 A. Hagfeld, G. Boschlo, L. Sun, L. Kloo and H. Pettersson. *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 6595-6663.
- 4 N. Robertson, Angew Chem. Int. Ed., 2006, **45**, 2338-2345.
- 5 L. Giribabu and R. K. Kanaparthi. *Current Sci.*, 2013, **104**, 847-855.
- 6 A. Mishra, M. K. R. Fischer and P. Bauerle, Angew Chem. Int. Ed., 2009, 48. 2474-2499.
- 7 M. Grätzel, *Nature*, 2001, **414**, 338-344.
- 8 B. O'Regan and M. Grätzel, Nature, 1991, 353, 737-740.
- 9 C-Y. Chen, M. Wang, J-Y. Li, N. P. chote, L. Alibabaei and C-H. Ngoc-le, ACS Nano, 2009, 3, 3103-3109.
- 10 L. Han, A. Islam, H. Chen, M. Chandrasekharam, B. Chiranjeevi, S. Zhand, X. Yang and M. Yanagida, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2012, 5, 6057-6060.
- 11 M. K. Nazeeruddin, S. M. Zakeeruddin, R. H. Baker, M. Jirousek, P. Liska, N. Vlachopoulos, V. Shklover, C. H. Fischer and M. Grätzel, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1999, **38**, 6298-6305.

- 12 S. H. Kang, I. T. Choi, M. S. Kang, Y. K. Eom, M. J. Ju and J. Y. Hong, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 3977-3982.
- 13 H. Imahori, T. Umeyama and S. Ito, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2009, **42**, 1809-1818.
- 14 A. Yella, H-W. Lee, H. N. Tsao, C. Yi, A. K. Chandiran and M. K. Nazeeruddin, *Science*, 2011, **334**, 629-633.
- 15 J. Rochford, D. Chu, A. Hagfeldt and E. Galoppini, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, **129**, 4655-4665.
- 16 S. Mathe, A. Yella, P. Gao, R. H. Baker, B. F. E. Curchod, N. A. Astani, I. Tavernelli, U Rothlisberger, M. K. Nazeeruddin and M. Grätzel, *Nature Chemistry*, 2014, 6, 242-247.
- 17 C. KC, K. Stranius, P. D'Souza, N. K. Subbaiyan, H. Lemmetyinen and N. V. Tkachenko, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2013, 117, 763-773.
- 18 S. Rangan, S. Katallinic, R. Thorpe, R. A. Bartynski, J. Rochford and E. Galoppini, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2010, **114**, 1139-1147.
- 19 J. Rochford and E. Galoppini, *Langmuir*, 2008, **24**, 5366-5374.
- 20 M. Urbani, M. Grätzel, M. K. Nazeeruddin and T. Torres, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 12330-12396.
- 21 Z. Yao, M. Zhang, H. Wu, L Yang, R. Li and P. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, **137**, 3799-3802.
- 22 Y. Xie, Y. Tang, W. Wu, Y. Wang, J. Liu, X. Li, H. Tian and W. Zhu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 14055-14058.
- 23 Y. Wang, B. Chen, W. Wu, X. Li, W. Zhu, H. Tian and Y. Xie, Angew Chem. Int. Ed., 2014, **5**3, 10779-10783.
- 24 X. Sun, Y. Wang, X. Li, H. Ågren, W. Zhu, H. Tian and Y. Xie, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 15609-15612.
- 25 S. Cherian and C. C. Wamser, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2000, 104, 3624-3629.
- 26 M. Sreenivasu, A. Suzuki, M. Adachi, V. C. Kumar, B. Srikanth, S. Rajendar, D. Rambabu, R. S. Kumar, P. Mallesham, N. V. B. Rao, M. S. Kumar and P. Y. Reddy, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2014, **20**, 14074-14083.
- 27 W. Li, Z. Liu, H. Wu, Y-B. Cheng, Z. Zhao and H. He, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2015, 119, 5265-5273.
- 28 T. Higashino, Y. Fujimori, K. Sugiura, Y. Tsuji, S. Ito and H. Imahori, *Angew Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2015, **127**, 9180-9184.
- 29 C-L. Mai, T. Moehl, C-H. Hsieh, J-D. Décoppet, S. M. Zakeeruddin, M. Grätzel and C-Y. Yeh, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, 7, 14975-14982.
- 30 J. Plater, S. Aiken and G. Bourhill, *Tetrahedron*, 2002, **58**, 2405-2413.
- 31 S. Karthikeyan and J. Y. Lee, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2013, 117, 10973-10979.
- 32 T. Yamamoto, H. Suganuma, T. Maruyama, T. Inoue, Y. Muramatsu, M. Arai, D. Komarudin, N. Ooba, S. Tomaru, S. Sasaki and K. Kubota, *Chem. Mater.*, 1997, **9**, 1217-1225.
- 33 M. Mamada, J.-I. Nishida, D. Kumaki, S. Tokito and Y. Yamashita, *Chem. Mater.*, 2007, **19**, 5404-5409.
- 34 T. Yamamoto, S. Otsuka, K. Namekawa, H. Fukumoto, I. Yamaguchi, T. Fukuda, N. Asakawa, T. Yamanobe, T. Shiono and Z. G. Cai, *Polymer*, 2006, **47**, 6038-6041.
- 35 J. He, W. Wu, J. Hua, Y. Jiang, S. Qu, J. Li, Y. Long and H. J. Tian, J. Mater. Chem., 2011, 21, 6054-6062.
- 36 C. Chen, X. Yang, M. Cheng, F. Zhang, J. Zhao and L. Sun, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2013, 5, 10960-10965.
- 37 M.J. Frisch, G.W. Trucks, H.B. Schlegel, G.E. Scuseria, M.A. Robb, J.R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G.A. Petersson, et al., Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2010.
- 38 Y. Zhao and D. Truhlar, *Theor. Chem. Acc.*, 2008, **120**, 215-241.
- 39 P. J. Hay and W. R. Wadt, J. Chem. Phys., 1985, 82, 270-283.
- 40 W. R. Wadt and P. J. Hay, J. Chem. Phys., 1985, 82, 284-298.
- 41 P. J. Hay and W. R. Wadt, J. Chem. Phys., 1985, 82, 299-310.
- 42 S. Miertuš, E. Scrocco and J. Tomasi, J. Chem. Phys., 1981, 55, 117-129.

Published on 20 April 2016. Downloaded by University of Wollongong on 21/04/2016 01:48:33.

- 43 M. Cossi, V. Barone, R. Cammi and J. Tomasi, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1996, **255**, 327-335.
- 44 C-F. Lo, S-J. Hsu,C-L. Wang, Y-H. Cheng, H-P. Lu, E. W-G. Diau and C-Y. Lin, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2010, 114, 12018-12023.
- 45 K. M. Kadish, K. M. Smith and G. Guilard, *The porphyrin hand book,* 8 and 9 Academic Press, New York, 2000, p. 1-97.
- 46 J. A. Page and G. Wilkinson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1952, 74, 6149-6150.
- 47 R. Ma, P. Guo, H. Cui, X. Zhang, M. K. Nazeeruddin and M. Grätzel, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2013, **4**, 524-530.
- 48 L. Han, N. Koide, Y. Chiba and T. Mitate. *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2004, **84**, 2433-2435.
- 49 M. Itagaki, K. Hoshino, Y. Nakano, I. Shitanda and K. Watanabe, *J. Power Sources*, 2010, **195**, 6905-6923.
- 50 K. Cao, J. Lu, J. Cui, Y. Shen, W. Chen, A. Getachewalemu, Z. Wang, H. Yuan, J. Xu and M. Wang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2014, 2, 4945-4953.
- 51 J. Chen, S. Ko, L. Liu, Y. Sheng, H. Han and X. Li, New J. Chem., 2015, **39**, 2889-2900.
- 52 Y. Tang, Y. Wang, X. Li, H. Ågren, W-H. Zhu and Y. Xie, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, **7**, 27976-27985.
- 53 T. Wei, X. Sun, X. Li, H. Ågren, and Y. Xie, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, **7**, 21956-21965.
- 54 F.F. Santiago, J. Bisquert, G.G. Belmonte, G. Boschloo, A. Hagfeldt, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2005, **87**, 117-131.
- 55 Q. Wang, J.E Mosar, M. Gratzel, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2005, **109**, 14945-14953.
- 56 G. Zhang and C. B. Musgrave, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2007, 111, 1554-1561.
- 57 L. Zhang, J.M. Cole, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, 7, 3427-3455.

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

CNU-OC8 exhibits better photovoltaic performance than the benchmark YD2-OC8 sensitizer in a liquid I^{-}/I_{3}^{-} redox electrolyte.

