

View Article Online View Journal

ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: P. Huang, Q. Lang, A. Wang and J. Zheng, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, DOI: 10.1039/C4CC08330J.



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/chemcomm

www.rsc.org/xxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

Direct Reductive Coupling of Secondary Amides: Chemoselective Formation of Vicinal Diamines and Vicinal Amino Alcohols^{† ‡}

Pei-Qiang Huang,^a* Qi-Wei Lang,^a Ai-E Wang^a and Jian-Feng Zheng^a

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX 5 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

We report the first one-pot reductive homocoupling reaction of secondary amides and cross-coupling reaction of secondary amides with ketones to give secondary vicinal diamines and amino alcohols. This method relies on the direct generation of ¹⁰ α -amino carbon radicals from secondary amides by activation with trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride, partial reduction with triethylsilane and samarium diiodide mediated single-electron transfer. The reactions were run under mild conditions and tolerated several functional groups.

- ¹⁵ Amides are easily available¹ and highly stable carbonyl compounds. These features make them excellent starting materials and synthetic intermediates for a number of useful transformations,² including amide group directed C–H functionalization.³ After these transformations, it is often ²⁰ necessary to convert the amide group, which is at a high level of oxidation state, to a functionality at lower oxidation state. In this regard, chemoselective transformation of amides into amines and ketones via C–C bond formation, as a class of redox economical reactions,⁴ have attracted considerable attention.⁵⁻⁹ Although ²⁵ significant progresses have been made recently after the
- discovery of the well-known Kulinkovich–de Meijere reaction,⁵ most of the methods involve the chemoselective or controlled generation of electrophilic intermediates such as iminoyl triflates, iminium ions, or nitrilium ions, followed by capturing these
- ³⁰ reactive intermediates with π -nucleophiles⁷ or organometallic reagents.⁸⁻⁹ If reactive species other than electrophilic iminium ions were generated directly from amides, many other subsequent reactions other than nucleophilic addition could be anticipated. Along these lines, few examples involving the direct generation
- $_{35}$ of α -amino carbenes¹⁰ or α -amino carbon radicals¹¹ from tertiary amides were reported. The direct generation of α -amino radicals from the more challenging secondary amides for C-C bond

⁴⁰ Chemical Biology, Collaborative Innovation Centre of Chemistry for Energy Materials, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Xiamen University, Xiamen, Fujian 361005, P.R. China. Tel: 86-592-2182240; E-mail: pqhuang@xmu.edu.cn formation has not been reported probably because of the $_{\rm 50}$ presence of a free N–H group in these amides.

As part of our goal of developing new C–C bond formation reactions that employ stable amides as substrates,^{8,9b-c} we now report the generation of α -amino carbon radicals from secondary amides and the application these reactive species for the

⁵⁵ development of the first one-pot synthesis of vicinal diamines and vicinal amino alcohols from secondary amides. Vicinal diamines and vicinal amino alcohols are privileged scaffolds widely present in synthetically useful chiral ligands, auxiliaries and bioactive compounds.¹² Although many methods have been
 ⁶⁰ developed for the synthesis of these structural motifs,^{12b,13,14} it is still highly desirable to develop methods that use stable and easily available starting materials.

Our investigation was initiated by examining the reductive homocoupling of benzamide **1a** (Table 1). **1a** was treated ⁶⁵ sequentially with trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride (Tf₂O)¹⁵ (1.1 equiv) and 2-F-Py^{16,9} (1.2 equiv) at 0 °C for 30 min, Et₃SiH^{17,18} at 0 °C to RT for 5 h, and SmI₂¹⁹ (3.0 equiv) for 5 min. To our delight, the desired diamine **2a** was obtained in 86% yield with a *meso/dl* ratio of 54:46 (Table 1, entry 1). No *N*-benzyl-⁷⁰ cyclohexamine as a result of unimolecular reduction was observed. In the presence of a catalytic amount of NiI₂^{19d} (1 %

 Table 1. Optimization of reaction conditions for the reductive homocoupling of secondary amides.

0	one-pot 1) Tf ₂ O (1.1 equiv), 2-F	-Py (1.2 equiv)	Ph Ph
Ph H H 1a	CyCH_2Cl_2, 0 °C. 2) Et ₃ SiH (1.1 equiv), 0 3) Sml ₂ (n equiv), addit 5 min, THF	, 30 min → Cy) °C to RT, 5 h ;ive, RT	и – N – Су Н Н 2a meso/ dl
entry	additive	Sml₂ (equiv)	% yield ^a (<i>meso:dl</i>) ^b
1	none	3.0	86 (54 : 46)
2	Nil₂(1 mol%)	3.0	88 (53:47)
3	Nil ₂ (1 mol%)	3.5	88 (53:47)
4	Nil ₂ (1 mol%)	2.2	74 (53:47)
5	[#] BuOH (2 equiv)	3.0	86 (54:46)
6	HMPA (2 equiv)	3.0	89 (54:46)
7	Yb(OTf)₃(1 equiv)	3.0	88 (55:45)

75 " Isolated yield; " Determined by "H NMR analysis of the benzylic protons of the mixture obtained from a preliminary column chromatographic separation.

^a Department of Chemistry and Fujian Provincial Key Laboratory for

[†] Dedicated to Professor Henri-Philippe Husson on the occasion of his 45 75th birthday

[‡] Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI:10.1039/b000000x/

mol), a slightly improved yield of 88% was obtained. However increasing the amount of SmI2 to 3.5 equiv produced no additional benefit for the yield (entry 3), lowing its quantity to 2.2 equiv was shown to be detrimental (entry 4). On the other hand,

- 5 replacing NiI₂ with other additives, including *t*-BuOH, HMPA or Yb(OTf)₃ failed to improve the diastereoselectivity (Table 1, entries 5-7). Hence, the use of 3.0 equiv of SmI₂ and 1 mol% NiI₂ was determined to be the optimal conditions for the reductive coupling reaction.^[20]
- With the reaction conditions optimized, the scope of the one-10 pot reductive homocoupling reaction was explored by varying the substituents on the phenyl ring and the amidyl nitrogen (Table 2). Electron-donating groups (entries 2, 3), halogens (entries 4-6), and electron-withdrawing groups (entries 4-7) were shown to be 15 well tolerated on the phenyl ring. A cyano group and an ester,
- often considered to be sensitive and labile under reductive conditions, were found to be compatible with the current process, furnishing the desired diamine products in moderate yields (entries 8–9). Meanwhile, amides that bear primary (entry 10) 20 and secondary (entries 1-9 and 11-15) alkyl substituents were suitable substrates. The introduction of a sterically hindered t-Bu group (entry 16) or a phenyl ring (entry 17), however, completely abolished product formation. Lastly, non-benzamides such as
- thiophenyl amide 1r (entry 18) and cyclohexyl amide 1s (entry 19) 25 also underwent reductive coupling to produce 2r in 65% yield and 2s in 54% yield, respectively. However, the homocoupling of other aliphatic amides gave low yields.

one-pot

Table 2. One-pot reductive homocoupling of sec-amides.

	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ R^1 \\ H \\ 1 \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ R^2 \\ H \\ R \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ R^2 \\ \hline 2) Et_3SIH \left(1.1 \ equiv \right), 2 \\ \hline 22 \\ SI \\ SI \\ RI \\ RI \\ SI \\ S$	2-F-Py (1.2 equiv) R ¹ 2, 30 min 0 °C to RT, 5 h mol% Nil ₂	\mathbf{R}^{1}
entry	substrate (R ¹ , R ²)	product (%) ^a	meso:dl ^b
1	1a (Ph, <i>c</i> -hex)	2a (88)	53:47
2	1b (4-MeC ₆ H ₄ , <i>c</i> -hex)	2b (90)	55:45
3	1c (4-MeOC ₆ H ₄ , <i>c</i> -hex)	2c (80)	61:39°
4	1d (4-FC ₆ H ₄ , <i>c</i> -hex)	2d (86)	56:44
5	1e (4-CIC ₆ H ₄ , <i>c</i> -hex)	2e (88)	57:43
6	1f (4-BrC ₆ H ₄ , <i>c</i> -hex)	2f (79)	54:46
7	1g (4-CF ₃ C ₆ H ₄ , <i>c</i> -hex)	2g (81)	54:46
8	1h (4-NCC ₆ H ₄ , <i>i</i> -Pr)	2h (58)	55:45
9	1i (4-MeO ₂ CC ₆ H ₄ , <i>i</i> -Pr)	2i (41)	54:46
10	1j (Ph, <i>n</i> -Bu)	2j (71)	70:30
11	1k (Ph, <i>i</i> -Bu)	2k (83)	60:40
12 ^d	1I (Ph, <i>c</i> -propyl)	2I (66)	78:22
13	1m (Ph, c-pentyl)	2m (88)	55:45
14	1n (Ph, <i>i</i> -Pr)	2n (93)	58:42
15	1o (4-MeC ₆ H ₄ , <i>i</i> -Pr)	2o (94)	59:41
16	1p (Ph, <i>t</i> -Bu)	2p (0)	-
17	1q (Ph, Ph)	2q (0)	-
18	1r (2-thienyl, c-hex)	2r (65)	60:40
19 ^e	1s (<i>c</i> -hex, Bn)	2s (54)	52:48

30 a Isolated yield; b Meso:dl ratio, determined by 1H NMR analysis of the benzylic protons of the mixture obtained from a preliminary column chromatographic separation; ^c Determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the

aromatic protons; d The reaction was treated with Tf2O at -78 °C for 10 min and then at 0 °C for another 10 min; e A N-benzyl-1-35 cyclohexylmethanamine was obtained in 20% yield.

During our investigations, we found that the reductive homocoupling reactions also proceeded smoothly in the absence of NiI₂ (see: Electronic Supplementary Information), although the addition of a catalytic amount of this additive did lead to better 40 yields and more consistent results.

Our success with the reductive homocoupling prompted us to turn our attention to the more challenging cross-coupling reactions of secondary amides with ketones. Using the reaction conditions established for the homocoupling reaction, 1n was 45 subjected to amide activation and controlled reduction before mixing with 2.0 equiv of cyclopentanone (Table 3). Under these conditions, the desired cross-coupling product 3a was obtained in 27% yield, along with the homocoupling product **2n** in 63% yield (entry 1). Attempts to increase the yield of 3a by varying the 50 amount of SmI2 or ketone used, or by altering the reaction temperature, were unsuccessful.

Gratifyingly, the addition of 1.5 equiv of Et₃N to the reaction before introducing cyclopentanone dramatically increased the yield of 3a to 66%, and concomitantly, limited the formation of 55 2n to 25% yield (Table 3, entry 2). Increasing the amount of ketone used to 3.0 equiv (entry 3) further tilted the reaction toward cross-coupling (76% of 3a and 8% of 2n). Using even higher amount (6.0 equiv), however, did not result in additional yield increase (entry 4). Conversely, changing the amount of 60 SmI2 to above or below 2.5 equiv invariably lowered the amino alcohol formation (entries 5–7). Hence, the best conditions for the cross-coupling reaction consisted the using of 1.5 equiv of Et₃N, 3.0 equiv of ketone, and 2.5 equiv of SmI₂.

Table 3. Optimization of reaction conditions for the cross-coupling of 65 sec- amides with ketones.

	$\begin{array}{c} 1) \text{ Tf}_{2}O, 2\text{-}F\text{-}Py, \text{ DCM}, \\ 0 \text{ °C}, 0.5 \text{ h} \\ 0 \text{ °C}, 0.5 \text{ h} \\ 1 \text{ Ph} \\ H \\ 1 \text{ N} \\ 1 \text{ N} \\ 1 \text{ N} \\ 1 \text{ mol} \\ 1$						
entry	base	ketone	Sml ₂	yield	l (%) ^a		
		(equiv)	(equiv)	3a	2n		
1	none	2.0	2.5	27	63		
2	Et₃N	2.0	2.5	67	23		
3	Et₃N	3.0	2.5	76	8		
4	Et ₃ N	6.0	2.5	72	8		
5	Et ₃ N	3.0	2.0	60	10		
6	Et₃N	3.0	3.0	71	12		
6	Et₃N	3.0	3.5	68	14		

^a isolated yield.

The scope of the cross-coupling reaction was investigated (Table 4). Cyclic ketones with ring sizes ranging from 4 to 8 all 70 reacted efficiently with good yields (products 3a-3e, 60-76% yields). Acyclic ketones were also suitable coupling partners; however, 8.0 equiv of the ketone were needed to ensure a good yield of the amino alcohol. For the amide part, both secondary and primary alkyl N-substituents were tolerated with the latter 75 being inferior. Reaction of cyclopentanone with the benzamides bearing either electron-donating or electron-withdrawing groups

Published on 25 November 2014. Downloaded by University of Utah on 28/11/2014 14:43:23

on the benzene ring afforded the expected *vic*-amino alcohols **3m–3p** in 53–79% yields.

Table 4. One-pot reductive cross-coupling of sec-amides with ketones.



s^a isolated yield; ^b ketone/amide ratio = 3.0; ^c homocoupling product **2n** was also obtained in 38% yield; ^d ketone/amide ratio = 8.0.

A plausible reaction mechanism for the coupling reactions is depicted in Scheme 1. The treatment of secondary amide **1** with Tf₂O yielded reactive nitrilium ion $A^{[16,9b-d]}$, which is then ¹⁰ partially reduced with triethylsilane^[17,18] to give the protonated imine **B**. The highly reactive protonated imine **B** is then subjected to the SmI₂-mediated homocoupling reaction to give vicinal diamine **2**.

The predominance of 2 in the product profile of the reaction ¹⁵ of 1 with cyclcopentenone can also be attributed to the predisposition of the highly reactive intermediate **B** to undergo the reductive homocoupling reaction. This undesired homocoupling reaction is suppressed by triethylamine to convert **B** to its less reactive neutral form imine **C**. Having comparable ²⁰ reactivity, the SmI₂-mediated cross-coupling reaction between

imine **C** and a ketone is favoured to give *vic*-amino alcohol **3**.



Scheme 1. A plausible reaction mechanism for the coupling reactions.

In summary, we have demonstrated for the first time that ²⁵ secondary vicinal diamines and vicinal amino alcohols can be

constructed efficiently from secondary amides through reductive coupling reactions. The method relied on the generation of α -amino carbon radicals from secondary amides through amide activation, controlled reduction, and SmI₂-mediated single-³⁰ electron transfer. The homocoupling of the α -amino radical or cross-coupling with ketones afforded a variety of vicinal diamines and vicinal amino alcohols, respectively. The more challenging cross-coupling reaction required a careful control of the reactivity of the imine intermediate. Studies that employ other ³⁵ radical acceptors for the α -amino radicals to generate functionalized amines are currently underway and will be reported in due course.

The authors are grateful for financial support from the NSF of China (21332007 and 21472153) and the Program for Changjiang ⁴⁰ Scholars and Innovative Research Team in University (PCSIRT) of Ministry of Education, China.

Notes and references

- H. Lundberg, F. Tinnis, N. Selander, H. Adolfsson, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, 43, 2714.
- Secondary amides: (a) L. Huang, Q. Wang, W. Wu, H. Jiang, J. Org. Chem., 2014, **79**, 7734; (b) N. Armanino, M. Lafrance, E. M. Carreira, Org. Lett., 2014, **16**, 572; (c) L. Song, K. Liu, C. Li, Org. Lett., 2011, **13**, 3434; Tertiary amides: (d) B. Peng, X. Huang, L.-G. Xie. N. Maulide, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2014, **53**, 8718; (e) B. Peng,
- D. Geerdink, C. Farès, N. Maulide, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 5462; (f) B. Peng, D. Geerdink, N. Maulide, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 14968; (g) V. Valerio, D. Petkova, C. Madelaine, N. Maulide, Chem. Eur. J., 2013, 19, 2606.
- (a) W. Song, S. Lackner, L. Ackermann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2014,
 53, 2477; (b) L.-S. Zhang, K. Chen, G. Chen, B.-J. Li, S. Luo, Q.-Y. Guo, J.-B. Wei, Z.-J. Shi, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, 15, 10; (c) Q. Chen, L. Ilies, E. Nakamura, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, 133, 428; (d) M. Wasa, K. M. Engle, J.-Q. Yu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, 131, 9886.
- N. Z. Burn, P. S. Baran, R. W. Hoffmann, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 2854.
- (a) V. Chaplinski, A. de Meijere, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 1996, 35, 413; (b) V. Chaplinski, H. Winsel, M. Kordes, A. de Meijere, Synlett, 1997, 111; (c) J. Lee, J. K. Cha, J. Org. Chem., 1997, 62, 1584; (d) Ouhamou, Y. Six, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2003, 1, 3007.
- 65 6. For reviews, see: (a) O. G. Kulinkovich, A. de Meijere, *Chem. Rev.*, 2000, **100**, 2789; (b) V. Pace, W. Holzer, *Aust. J. Chem.*, 2013, **66**, 507; (c) T. Sato, N. Chida, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2014, **12**, 3147.
- (a) G. Bélanger, R. Larouche-Gauthier, F. Ménard, M. Nantel, F. Barabé, J. Org. Chem., 2006, 71, 704; (b) M. Movassaghi, M. D. Hill,
 J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 4592; (c) H.-B. Zhou, G.-S. Liu, Z.-J. Yao, J. Org. Chem., 2007, 72, 6270; (d) S.-L. Cui, J. Wang, Y.-G. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 13526.

 (a) K.-J. Xiao, J.-M. Luo, K.-Y. Ye, Y. Wang, P.-Q. Huang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 3037; (b) Y. Oda, T. Sato, N. Chida, Org.

- ⁷⁵ Lett., 2012, **14**, 950. (c) S.-Y. Huang, Z. Chang, S.-C. Tuo, L.-H. Gao, A.-E Wang, P.-Q. Huang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 7088; (d) P.-Q. Huang, W. Ou, K.-J. Xiao, A.-E Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 8761.
- (a) W. S. Bechara, G. Pelletier, A. B. Charette, *Nat. Chem.*, 2012, 4, 228; (b) K.-J. Xiao, A.-E Wang, Y.-H. Huang, P.-Q. Huang, *Asian J. Org. Chem.*, 2012, 1, 130; (c) K.-J. Xiao, A.-E Wang, Y.-H. Huang, P.-Q. Huang, *Acta Chim. Sinica*, 2012, 70, 1917; (d) K.-J. Xiao, A.-E Wang, P.-Q. Huang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2012, 51, 8314.
- (a) A. Ogawa, N. Takami, M. Sekiguchi, I. Ryu, N. Kambe, N.
 Sonoda, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 8729; (b) A. Ogawa, N.
 Takami, T. Nanke, S. Ohya, T. Hirao, *Tetrahedron*, 1997, 53, 12895; (c) X.-L. Xu, Y.-M. Zhang, *Tetrahedron*, 2002, 58, 503.
- (a) S. Kashimura, M. Ishifune, Y. Murai, H. Murase, M. Shimomura, T. Shono, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1998, **39**, 6199; (b) C. E. McDonald, A.
 M. Galka, A. I. Green, J. M. Keane, J. E. Kowalchick, C. M. Micklitsch, D. D. Wisnoski, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2001, **42**, 163; (c) K.

Selvakumar, J. F. Harrod, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2001, 40, 2129; (d) K. Rangareddy, K. Selvakumar, J. F. Harrod, J. Org. Chem., 2004, 69, 6843; (e) M. Szostak, M. Spain, A. J. Eberhart, D. J. Procter, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 2268 (chemoselective reduction of primary, secondary, and tertiary amides to alcohols).

- (a) S. R. S. S. Kotti, C. Timmons, G. Li, *Chem. Biol. & Drug. Des.*, 2006, 67, 101; (b) Y. L. Bennani, S. Hanessian, *Chem. Rev.*, 1997, 97, 3161.
- 13. D. Lucet, T. L. Gall, C. Mioskowski, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 1998, 10 **37**, 2580.
- (a) S. C. Bergmeier, *Tetrahedron*, 2000, **56**, 2561; (b) G.-Q. Lin, M.-H. Xu, Y.-W. Zhong, X.-W. Sun, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2008, **41**, 831; (c) O. N. Burchak, S. Py, *Tetrahedron*, 2009, **65**, 7333.
- 15. For a recent review on the chemistry of Tf_2O , see: I. L. Baraznenok,
- V. G. Nenajdenko, E. S. Balenkova, *Tetrahedron*, 2000, 56, 3077; For recent progresses, see: refs. 2d-g,6b,c,7-9,16,17, and refrences cited therein.
 - 16. J. W. Medley, M. Movassaghi, J. Org. Chem., 2009, 74, 1341.
- 17. G. Pelletier, W. S. Bechara, A. B. Charette, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, 132, 12817.
 - 18. (a) D. N. Kursanov, Z. N. Parnes, N. M. Loim, *Synthesis*, 1974, 633;
 (b) P.-Q. Huang, *Synlett*, 2006, 1133.
- For SmI₂-mediated coupling reactions, see: (a) S. F. Martin, C.-P. Yang, W. L. Laswell, H. Rüeger, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1988, **29**, 6685;
 (b) E. J. Enholm, D. C. Forbes, D. P. Holub, *Synth. Commun.*, 1990, **20**, 981; (c) T. Imamoto, S. Nishimura, *Chem. Lett.*, 1990, 1141; (d) F. Machrouhi, J.-L. Namy, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1999, **40**, 1315; (e) M. Kim, B. W. Knettle, A. Dahlén, G. Hilmersson, R. A. II Flowers, *Tetrahedron*, 2003, **59**, 10397; (f) Y.-W. Zhong, Y.-Z. Dong, K.
- Fang, K. Izumi, M.-H. Xu, G.-Q. Lin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 11956; (g) R.-H. Liu, K. Fang, B. Wang, M.-H. Xu, G.-Q. Lin, J. Org. Chem., 2008, 73, 3307.
- For a comparison of more results on the reaction running in the presence or in the absence of NiI₂, see Table 1 in the Electronic
 Supplementary Information (ESI).

5