RSC Advances

COMMUNICATION



View Article Online View Journal | View Issue

Bis(oxazoline)-copper catalyzed enantioselective hydrophosphonylation of aldehydes[†]

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 27853

Tao Deng and Chun Cai*

Received 11th April 2014 Accepted 9th June 2014

DOI: 10.1039/c4ra03269a

www.rsc.org/advances

A highly enantioselective copper-catalyzed hydrophosphonylation of aldehydes in the presence of bis(oxazoline) ligand is presented. The reaction proceeded smoothly under mild conditions. The resulting α -hydroxyphosphonates were obtained with high yields as well as enantioselectivities (up to 98% ee).

Chiral bis(oxazoline) (BOX) ligands were first used in 1991 (ref. 1 and 2) and since that time, a large number of such ligands have been reported.³ BOX ligands have been applied to the asymmetric catalysis of a wide variety of key organic reactions, such as Friedel–Crafts reaction,⁴ Mukaiyama–Michael reaction⁵ and so on. In general, the BOX ligands reported to date are predominantly based around the same ligand backbone with variation in the pendant groups at the two available positions in the oxazoline ring or on the bridgehead atom. Hence, a variety of bisoxazoline derivatives have been prepared and extensively utilized in asymmetric catalysis, in addition to other chiral ligands.⁶

Phosphonic acids and their phosphonate derivatives are of immense interest in organic chemistry due to their biological activities.⁷ These molecules, such as α -aminophosphonates, α hydroxyphosphonates, have been found a wide range of applications in the areas of fine chemical, biological, and medicinal chemistry, as inhibitors of synthase, HIV protease, antibiotics, enzyme inhibitors, anti-thrombotic agents, herbicides, fungicides, insecticides, plant growth regulators and as substrates in the synthesis of phosphonopeptides.⁸ The addition of dialkyl phosphites to carbonyl compounds, known as Pudovik reaction, is a direct and atom-economical method for the synthesis of α hydroxyphosphonates and for C–P bond formation.⁹ Since the pioneering chiral organocatalytic example appeared in 1983,¹⁰ in which a limited number of aldehydes (only two) was disclosed, much attention has been paid to developing enantioselective catalysts for the synthesis of chiral α -hydroxyphosphonates.¹¹ Chiral aluminium complexes (*e.g.* Al(salen) and Al(salcyen) catalysts, Al-Schiff base) are the most successful among the chiral catalysts.¹² During the last decade, Feng and co-workers reported the first highly efficient Lewis-acid-catalyzed hydrophosphonylations in the presence of Ti(OiPr)₄ or Et₂AlCl as catalysts. Then it was found that Ln(OTf)₃ species (Ln = Sc, La, Yb) exhibited catalytic activities for hydrophosphonylations of acetophenones.¹³ Very recently, Herrera and co-workers reported the results concerning the first asymmetric squaramide-organocatalyzed Pudovik reaction.¹⁴

Although the asymmetric addition of dialkyl phosphites to aldehydes has been successfully studied by different groups, strong bases have been the most general reagents for the synthesis of α -hydroxyphosphonates until now. However, the yields were not always good and complex mixtures were sometimes obtained, due to side reactions.¹⁵ These results showed that new and more effective catalysts need to be developed for highly enantioselective dialkyl phosphite addition to aldehydes. Following our interest in asymmetric synthesis using bis-oxazoline ligands,¹⁶ we hypothesized that bis(oxazoline) ligand would afford a high level of chiral induction in the hydrophosphonylation reactions of aldehydes because of the presence of steric hindrance. Herein, we report the results of the copper-catalyzed hydrophosphonylation of aldehydes in the presence of bis(oxazoline) ligands.

Firstly, a preliminary screening of different privileged ligands (Fig. 1), such as L-proline (L1), quinine (L2), D-valine (L4) and bis(oxazoline) (L3 and L5), was carried out with $CuBr_2$ using methanol as solvent and K_3PO_4 as base. Good enantioselectivities at room temperature were obtained only with the BOX-type ligands (L3, L5), the best result being those obtained with Ph-BOX (L3) (Table 1, entry 3). Copper complexes based on other chiral ligands were found to catalyze the reaction in low yields with moderate enantiomeric excess.

Next, solvents were evaluated for this reaction (Table 1, entries 6–14). Tetrahydrofuran gave the best result in terms of both yield and enantioselectivity (76% yield, 96% ee, Table 1,

Chemical Engineering College, Nanjing University of Science & Technology, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210094, P. R. China. E-mail: c.cai@mail.njust.edu.cn

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c4ra03269a



Fig. 1 Selected examples of chiral ligands examined.

Table 1 Optimization of the conditions for the hydrophosphonylation of benzaldehyde a

	$H + H = \frac{O}{OEt} \xrightarrow{\text{base}}_{\text{ligand}} \xrightarrow{\text{OH}}_{\text{U}} OEt$							
Entry	L	Cu source	Base	Solvent	Yield ^b /%	ee ^c /%		
1	L1	CuBr ₂	K ₃ PO ₄	MeOH	37	58		
2	L2	CuBr ₂	K ₃ PO ₄	MeOH	53	64		
3	L3	CuBr ₂	K_3PO_4	MeOH	41	94		
4	L4	CuBr ₂	K_3PO_4	MeOH	48	76		
5	L5	CuBr ₂	K_3PO_4	MeOH	45	88		
6	L3	CuBr ₂	K_3PO_4	Hexane	nr	—		
7	L3	CuBr ₂	K_3PO_4	Toluene	nr	—		
8	L3	CuBr ₂	K_3PO_4	DMSO	nr	—		
9	L3	CuBr ₂	K_3PO_4	MeCN	nr	—		
10	L3	CuBr ₂	K_3PO_4	CH_2Cl_2	71	86		
11	L3	CuBr ₂	K_3PO_4	DMF	33	64		
12	L3	CuBr ₂	K_3PO_4	Et_2O	67	90		
13	L3	CuBr ₂	K_3PO_4	Dioxane	49	92		
14	L3	CuBr ₂	K_3PO_4	THF	76	96		
15	L3	CuBr ₂	Na ₂ CO ₃	THF	67	84		
16	L3	CuBr ₂	K_2CO_3	THF	53	94		
17	L3	CuBr ₂	DBU	THF	33	28		
18	L3	CuBr ₂	DABCO	THF	38	72		
19	L3	CuBr ₂	TEA	THF	29	66		
20	L3	CuBr ₂	NaOEt	THF	nr	—		
21	L3	CuBr ₂	t-BuOK	THF	nr	—		
22	L3	$Cu(TFA)_2$	K_3PO_4	THF	63	94		
23	L3	$Cu(OTf)_2$	K_3PO_4	THF	37	82		
24	L3	CuCl ₂	K_3PO_4	THF	nr	—		
25	L3	$Cu(OAc)_2$	K_3PO_4	THF	81	96		

^{*a*} Unless otherwise specified, all reactions were carried out at room temperature with benzaldehyde (1.0 mmol), diethyl phosphite (1.2 mmol), base (0.2 mmol), Cu source (0.1 mmol) and ligand (0.1 mmol) in the solvent (1 mL) for 24 hours. ^{*b*} Isolated yields after column chromatography. ^{*c*} The ee values were determined by chiral HPLC using a Ultron ES-OVM column.

entry 14). In the case of using hexane, toluene, dimethyl sulfoxide and acetonitrile as solvent, no reaction occured.

Subsequently, we focused on optimizing the base for the hydrophosphonylation. Inorganic bases have been considered to facilitate the hydrophosphonylation reaction without eroding the enantioselectivity because they exhibit a relatively weak basicity and a low solubility in THF. The results of the base screening (Table 1, entries 14–21) showed that 0.1 equivalent of inorganic bases, such as Na_2CO_3 , K_2CO_3 , K_3PO_4 , produced hydroxyphosphonates with higher ee values (84%, 94% and 96%, respectively) than that of organic bases, such as TEA, DBU and DABCO. NaOEt and *t*-BuOK afford only traces of product, and the non-nucleophilic organic base DBU affords almost racemic phosphonate (only 28% ee).

Additionally, we explored other copper sources, such as $Cu(TFA)_2$, $Cu(OTf)_2$, $CuCl_2$, $Cu(OAc)_2$, and $Cu(OAc)_2$ was found to be the best choice for the hydrophosphonylation of benzaldehyde to obtain good yield and enantioselectivity (Table 1, entry 25). In the case of using $Cu(TFA)_2$ or $Cu(OTf)_2$, low conversion and enantioselectivity were obtained (Table 1, entries 22, 23). The reaction did not occur with $CuCl_2$ as copper source (Table 1, entry 24).

Table 2 shows the result of the hydrophosphonylation of various aldehydes under the optimal conditions. Most of the aldehydes rendered moderate to excellent yields (up to 91%) and ee values (up to 98%) of α -hydroxyphosphonates. The aromatic aldehydes possessing a strong electron-donating group at the *para*-position showed a high enantioselectivity; for instance, 4-methoxybenzaldehyde and 4-methylbenzaldehyde formed **2a** and **4a** with 98% ee and 97% ee, respectively (Table 2, entries 2 and 4). Electron-withdrawing halogen substituent slightly reduced the enantioselectivity (Table 2, entry 5). With

Table 2 Enantioselective hydrophosphonylation of various aldehydes $^{\it a}$

R ₁ H +	$H = P OR_2 OR_2 OR_2$	L3 Cu(OAc) ₂ K ₃ PO ₄ THE rt	
--------------------	------------------------	--	--

Entry	R1	R2	Product	Yield ^b /%	ee ^c /%
L	Ph	Et	1a	81	$96(S)^d$
2	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄	Et	2a	75	$98(S)^{d}$
3	$3-NO_2C_6H_4$	Et	3a	67	$96(S)^{d}$
1	$4-MeC_{\epsilon}H_{4}$	Et	4a	76	$97(S)^d$
5	4-ClC ₆ H ₄	Et	5a	73	$94(S)^{d}$
5	$4-CF_2C_cH_4$	Et	6a	91	74
7	$3-CF_3C_6H_4$	Et	7a	86	$95(S)^{d}$
3	$2 - CF_2C_cH_4$	Et	8a	83	88
)	4-CNC ₆ H₄	Et	9a	69	$91(S)^{d}$
10	$2-NO_2C_6H_4$	Et	10a	42	96
11	2-Furvl	Et		nr	_
12	2-Thienvl	Et	_	nr	
13	2-Pvridinvl	Et	11a	71	79
4	Ph	iPr	_	nr	
15	1-Naphthyl	Et	12a	84	$69(S)^{d}$
16	(E)-PhCH=CH	Et	13a	53	$67(S)^d$

^{*a*} Unless otherwise specified, all reactions were carried out at room temperature with aldehyde (1.0 mmol), diethyl phosphite (1.2 mmol), K_3PO_4 (0.2 mmol), $Cu(OAc)_2$ (0.1 mmol) and L3 (0.1 mmol) in the THF (1 mL) for 24 hours. ^{*b*} Isolated yields after column chromatography. ^{*c*} The ee values were determined by chiral HPLC using a Ultron ES-OVM column. ^{*d*} The absolute configurations were determined by comparison with literature data.

strong electron-withdrawing groups on the phenyl ring led to lower yields and enantioselectivities (Table 2, entries 3, 6-10). Regardless of the electronic nature of the substituents on the aryl groups, it was also found that the steric hindrance of the substrates had an effect on the reactivities of hydrophosphonylation of aldehydes, with the corresponding products being obtained in higher yields but with obvious loss of ee values (Table 2, entries 6-8). The addition of diethyl phosphite to 4-trifluoromethyl benzaldehyde, for example, afforded the product 6a in 91% yield and 74% ee (Table 2, entry 6), the addition to 2-trifluoromethyl benzaldehyde gave 8a in 83% yield and 88% ee (Table 2, entry 8). However, the addition to 3-trifluoromethyl benzaldehyde afforded 7a in an excellent ee of 95% (Table 2, entry 7). For heterocyclic aldehydes, 2-pyridinecarboxaldehyde was also converted to the a-hydroxyphosphonate 11a with 79% ee in 71% yield (Table 2, entry 13), while no desired α -hydroxyphosphonates were obtained for furfural and 2-thienaldehyde (Table 2, entries 11 and 12). Unfortunately, we found that no desired product was obtained with bulky diisopropyl phosphite due to side reaction (Table 2, entry 14). While the condensed-ring aldehydes (1-naphthaldehyde) reacted smoothly with diethyl phosphite, giving the product with 69% ee (Table 2, entry 15), the α , β -unsaturated aldehyde (cinnamaldehyde) showed a slightly reduced reactivity and enantioselectivity (Table 2, entry 16).

Conclusions

In summary, we have demonstrated for the first time that $Cu(OAc)_2$ paired with Ph-BOX (L3) turned out to be a good catalytic system to accomplish the asymmetric hydrophosphonylation of aldehydes with diethyl phosphite. This process was applied to a wide variety of aldehydes and in most of the cases good yields and enantioselectivities were achieved. Our work in this area is currently ongoing particularly in the application of metal complexes of the bis(oxazoline) ligands to the catalysis of different reactions.

Notes and references

- 1 D. A. Evans, K. A. Woerpel, M. M. Hinman and M. M. Faul, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1991, **113**, 726.
- 2 E. J. Corey, N. Imai and H. Y. Zhang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1991, **113**, 728.
- 3 G. Desimoni, G. Faita and K. A. Jørgensen, *Chem. Rev.*, 2006, **106**, 3561.
- 4 (a) J. R. Gao, H. Wu, B. Xiang, W. B. Yu, L. Han and Y. X. Jia, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 2983; (b) J. George and B. V. S. Reddy, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2012, **10**, 4731.
- 5 J. George and B. V. S. Reddy, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2013, 355, 383.
- 6 For reviews, see:(a) A. K. Ghosh, P. Mathivanan and J. Cappiello, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 1998, 9, 1; (b)
 K. A. Jørgensen, M. Johannsen, S. Yao, H. Audrain and J. Thorhauge, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1999, 32, 605; (c)
 J. S. Johnson and D. A. Evans, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2000, 33, 325; (d) H. A. McManus and P. J. Guiry, *Chem. Rev.*, 2004,

104, 4151; (e) G. C. Hargaden and P. J. Guiry, *Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **109**, 2505.

- 7 (a) R. P. Sheridan, J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci., 2002, 42, 103; (b) A. Szymanska, M. Szymczak, J. Boryski, J. Stawinski, A. Kraszewski, G. Collu, G. Sanna, G. Giliberti, R. Loddo and P. L. Colla, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 2006, 14, 1924; (c) R. U. Pokalwar, R. V. Hangarge, P. V. Maske and M. S. Shingare, ARKIVOC, 2006, 6, 196; (d)O. I. Kolodiazhnyi, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 2005, 16, 3295; Firouzabadi, N. Iranpoor, S. Sobhani and (e) H. Z. Amoozgar, Synthesis, 2004, 1771; (f) D. Q. Shi, Z. L. Sheng, X. P. Liu and H. Wu, Heteroat. Chem., 2003, 14, 266; (g) B. Kaboudin, Tetrahedron Lett., 2003, 44, 1051; (h) A. J. Ganzhorn, J. Hoflack, P. D. Pelton, F. Strasser, M. C. Chanal and S. R. Piettre, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 1998, 6, 1865; (i) W. P. Taylor, Z. Zhang and T. S. Widlanski, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 1996, 4, 1515; (j) J. A. Sikorski, M. J. Miller, D. S. Braccolino, D. G. Cleary, S. D. Corey, J. L. Font, K. J. Gruys, C. Y. Han, K. C. Lin, P. D. Pansegrau, J. E. Ream, D. Schnur, A. Shah and M. C. Walker, Phosphorus, Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem., 1993, 76, 375; (k) B. Stowasser, K. H. Budt, J. Q. Li, A. Peyman and D. Ruppert, Tetrahedron Lett., 1992, 33, 6625; (l) J. V. Alegre-Requena, E. Marqués-López, P. J. Sanz Miguel and R. P. Herrera, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2010, 8, 4255; (m) Y. Z. Gao, Z. B. Huang, R. Q. Zhuang, J. Xu, P. B. Zhang, G. Tang and Y. F. Zhao, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 4214.
- 8 (a) J. A. Sikosrki, M. J. Miller, D. S. Braccolino, D. G. Cleary, S. D. Corey, J. E. Ream, D. Schnur, A. Shah and M. C. Walker, *Phosphorus, Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem.*, 1993, 76, 375; (b) B. Stowasser, K. H. Budt, L. Jian-Qi, A. Peyman and D. Ruppert, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1992, 33, 6625; (c) F. R. Atherton, C. H. Hassall and R. W. Lambert, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1986, 29, 29; (d) A. Peyman, K. H. Budt, J. S. Paning, B. Stowasser and D. Ruppert, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1992, 33, 4549; (e) J. H. Meyer and P. A. Barlett, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1998, 120, 4600; (f) L. Maier, *Phosphorus, Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem.*, 1990, 53, 43; (g) L. Maier and H. Spoerri, *Phosphorus, Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem.*, 1991, 61, 69; (h) P. P. Giannousis and P. A. Bartlett, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1987, 30, 1603.
- 9 (a) A. N. Pudovik and I. V. Konovalova, Synthesis, 1979, 81; (b)
 H. Gröger and B. Hammer, Chem.-Eur. J, 2000, 6, 943; (c)
 P. Merino, E. Marqués-López and R. P. Herrera, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2008, 350, 1195; (d) F. Wang, X. H. Liu, X. Cui,
 Y. Xiong, X. Zhou and X. M. Feng, Chem.-Eur. J, 2009, 15, 589; (e) C. B. Wang, C. Xu, X. S. Tan, H. Peng and
 H. W. He, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2012, 10, 1680; (f)
 M. T. Corbett and J. S. Johnson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 594; (g) T. Verbrugghen, P. Vandurm, J. Pouyez,
 L. Maes, J. Wouters and S. V. Calenbergh, J. Med. Chem., 2013, 56, 376; (h) F. Palacios, T. K. Olszewski and J. Vicario, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2014, 12, 1258; (i) Y. Q. Zhou, C. Chen,
 X. Y. Yan and C. J. Xi, Organometallics, 2014, 33, 844.
- 10 (a) H. Wynberg and A. A. Smaardijk, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1983,
 24, 5899; (b) A. A. Smaardijk, S. Noorda, F. V. Bolhuis and
 H. Wynberg, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1985, 26, 493.

- 11 For the pioneering enantioselective hydrophosphonylation of aldehydes, see:(a) T. Arai, M. Bougauchi, H. Sasai and M. Shibasaki, J. Org. Chem., 1996, 61, 2926; (b) H. Sasai, M. Bougauchi, T. Arai and M. Shibasaki, Tetrahedron Lett., 1997, 38, 2717; (c) D. M. Cermak, Y. Du and D. F. Weimer, J. Org. Chem., 1999, 64, 388; (d) G. D. Joly and E. N. Jacobsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 4102; (e) T. Akiyama, H. Morita, J. Itoh and K. Fuchibe, Org. Lett., 2005, 7, 2583; (f) P. Muthupandi and G. Sekar, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2012, 10, 5347.
- 12 For additional remarkable examples of metal catalyzed hydrophosphonylation, see:(a) J. P. Duxbury, J. N. D. Warne, R. Mushtaq, C. Ward, M. Thornton-Pett, M. Jiang, R. Greatrex and T. P. Kee, *Organometallics*, 2000, 19, 4445; (b) B. Saito and T. Katsuki, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, 44, 4600; (c) B. Saito, H. Egami and T. Katsuki, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, 129, 1978; (d) K. Suyama, Y. Sakai, K. Matsumoto, B. Saito and T. Katsuki, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, 49, 797.
- 13 (a) X. Zhou, X. Liu, X. Yang, D. Shang, J. Xin and X. Feng, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 392; (b) J. P. Abell and

H. Yamamoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, **130**, 10521; (c) F. Yang, D. Zhao, J. Lan, P. Xi, L. Yang, S. Xiang and J. You, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, **47**, 5646.

- 14 J. V. Alegre-Requena, E. Marqués-López, P. J. Sanz Miguel and R. P. Herrera, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2014, **12**, 1258.
- 15 (a) M. A. Ruveda and S. A. De Licastro, *Tetrahedron*, 1972, 28, 6012; (b) M. S. Kharasch, R. A. Mosher and I. S. Bengelsdorf, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1960, 25, 1000; (c) D. Simoni, F. P. Invidiata, M. Manferdini, I. Lampronti, R. Rondanin, M. Roberti and G. P. Pollini, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1998, 39, 7615; (d) H. Maeda, K. Takahashi and H. Ohmori, *Tetrahedron*, 1998, 54, 12233; (e) A. S. Demir, Ö. Reis, A. Ç. İğdir, İ. Esiringü and S. Eymur, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2005, 70, 10584; (f) L. El Kaïm, L. Gaultier and L. Grimaud, *Synlett*, 2005, 2335; (g) A. S. Demir, İ. Esiringü, M. Göllü and Ö. Reis, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, 74, 2197; (h) G. Desimoni, G. Faita and K. A. Jørgensen, *Chem. Rev.*, 2006, 106, 3561.
- 16 (a) J. George and B. V. S. Reddy, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2012, 10, 4731; (b) B. V. S. Reddy and J. George, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 2011, 22, 1169; (c) T. Deng and C. Cai, J. Fluorine Chem., 2013, 156, 183.