

Tetrahedron Letters 42 (2001) 6469-6471

TETRAHEDRON LETTERS

The 2-(allyloxy) phenyl acetyl ester as a new relay protecting group for oligosaccharide synthesis

Esther Arranz and Geert-Jan Boons*

Complex Carbohydrate Research Center, University of Georgia, 220 Riverbend Road, Athens, GA 30602-4712, USA Received 15 June 2001; revised 17 July 2001; accepted 19 July 2001

Abstract—The 2-(allyloxy) phenyl acetyl group can be removed by a relay approach using $Pd(PPh_3)_4$ in combination with proton sponge, conditions that do not affect acetyl, benzoyl and levulinoyl esters. On the other hand, the acetyl and levulinoyl ester could be cleaved without removal of the 2-(allyloxy) phenyl acetyl group. The new protecting group is compatible with glycosylations and can perform efficiently neighboring group participation leading to the exclusive formation of 1,2-*trans* glycosides. © 2001 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Recent developments in oligosaccharide chemistry focus on the preparation of compound libraries either by parallel synthesis or by a mix and split approach.¹ In particular, the use of monosaccharide building blocks that are substituted with orthogonal protecting groups proved to be attractive for parallel synthesis of collections of oligosaccharides. For example, Wong and coworkers reported² that chloroacetyl (ClAc), p-methoxybenzyl (PMB), levulinoyl (Lev), and tertbutyldiphenylsilyl (TBDPS) are a set of orthogonal protecting groups. Recently, we reported³ that the Fmoc, Lev and diethylisopropylsilyl (DEIPS) are an attractive set of orthogonal hydroxyl protecting groups for amino sugars. The cleavage conditions of these protecting groups did not affect the base sensitive amino protecting group trichloroethoxycarbonyl (Troc).

Although these sets of protecting groups allow the synthesis of a wide range of oligosaccharides, the sensitivity of esters such as ClAc and Fmoc imposes limitations.

Here we present the 2-(allyloxy) phenyl acetyl (APAC) as a new protecting group for oligosaccharide synthesis, which is orthogonal with the levulinoyl and acetyl ester and is capable of controlling the anomeric selectivity of glycosylations by neighboring group participation. The new protecting group can be removed by relay deprotection⁴ whereby the phenolic allyl ether is cleaved by treatment with a transition metal followed by

intramolecular ester cleavage by nucleophilic attack of the revealed hydroxyl.

2-(Allyloxy) phenyl acetic acid (3) was prepared by treatment of methyl 2-hydroxyl phenylacetic acid $(1)^5$ with allyl bromide in the presence of sodium hydride and tetra-*n*-butyl ammonium iodide, followed by





^{0040-4039/01/\$ -} see front matter \bigcirc 2001 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. PII: S0040-4039(01)01303-X

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-706-542-9161; fax: +1-706-542-4412; e-mail: gjboons@ccrc.uga.edu

saponification of the ester moiety of the resulting 2 with lithium hydroxide in methanol/water (Scheme 1). The hydroxyls of 4^{6} , 5^{7} and 6 could be protected as an APAC ester to give 7, 8 and 9 by reaction with 3 in the presence of DCC and DMAP in DCM. In each case, the ester was isolated in an almost quantitative yield. Several conditions were examined for removal of the APAC ester (Table 1). Thus, treatment of 7 with PdCl₂ in MeOH at 60°C gave, after a reaction time of 1 h, the required alcohol 4 together with a small amount of deallylated derivative 10. Intermediate 10 could be converted into the alcohol 4 by treatment with triethyl amine or imidazole but this approach was deemed less attractive than a one-pot cleavage reaction. The use of $Pd(PPh_3)_4$ and Et_3N in ethanol/ H_2O gave a higher yield of alcohol 4, but also in this case the product was contaminated with 10. Fortunately, the application of $Pd(PPh_3)_4$ in combination with proton sponge in refluxing ethanol/water gave, after a reaction time of 2 h, 4 in almost quantitative yield.

Having established mild conditions for the introduction and removal of the APAC protecting group, attention was focussed on the employment of APAC protected glycosyl donors. Furthermore, its ability to perform neighboring group participation was explored. NIS/ TMSOTf-mediated glycosylations of glycosyl donor 8 with acceptors 11, 14,8 and 17 gave disaccharides 12, 15 and 18^9 in yields of 76, 67 and 81%, respectively (Scheme 2). As expected, in each case only the β anomer was formed. Glycosylation of 9 with the less reactive acceptor 21¹⁰ was also successful and in this case 22 was isolated in a yield of 71% as exclusively the β -anomer. In each case, the APAC protecting group of disaccharides 12, 15, 18 and 22 could be removed using standard conditions to give 13, 16, 19 and 23 in good yields. It is important to note that the acetyl, benzoyl, and levulinoyl esters of 12, 15, 18 and 22 were not affected when the APAC protecting group was removed.

Finally, the orthogonality of the APAC protecting group with the Lev and acetyl ester was explored. Treatment of 18 with hydrazine acetate in DCM resulted in clean removal of the Lev ester without affecting the APAC or acetyl ester to give 20 in a

Table 1. Cleavage of APAC protecting group





Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (i) NIS, TMSOTF, DCM; (ii) $Pd(PPh_3)_4$, proton sponge, $EtOH/H_2O$; (iii) NH_2NH_2 . AcOH, DCM, guanidine, 0.1 M in MeOH, DCM.

quantitative yield. Furthermore, the acetyl ester of 20 could be selectively removed by guanidine in methanol¹¹ to furnish diol **21**. The latter two deprotections demonstrate that the APAC is a robust ester functionality that is more stable towards base treatment than the Lev and acetyl ester.

In conclusion, the APAC ester is a robust protecting group that can be cleaved under mild conditions using a relay approach involving cleavage of a phenolic allyl ether followed by cyclization. The new protecting group performs neighboring group participation in glycosylations and is orthogonal with acetyl and Lev esters. We anticipate that the APAC protecting group will be a valuable tool for combinatorial synthesis of oligosaccharide libraries.

Experimental procedure for removal APAC ester: $Pd(PPh_3)_4$ (5 mg) and proton Sponge (0.2 mmol) were added to a solution of the protected sugar (0.2 mmol, 7, 12, 15, 18 or 22) in ethanol/water (2/1, v/v). The reaction mixture was heated under reflux (2–7 h) until TLC analysis indicated completion of the reaction. The solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure and the crude product purified by silica gel column chromatography.

References

- (a) Arya, P.; Ben, R. N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 1280; (b) Schweizer, F.; Hindsgaul, O. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 1999, 2, 291; (c) St. Hilaire, P. M.; Meldal, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 1162; (d) Haase, W. H.; Seeberger, P. H. Curr. Org. Chem. 2000, 4, 481.
- Wong, C. H.; Ye, X.; Zhang, Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 7137.
- (a) Zhu, T.; Boons, G.-J. Chem. Eur. J. 2001, 7, 2382; (b) Zhu, T.; Boons, G. J. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2000, 11, 199; (c) Zhu, T.; Boons, G. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 10222.
- For examples of relay deprotection, see: (a) Ziegler, T.; Pantkowski, G. Liebigs Ann. 1994, 659; (b) Ziegler, T.; Pantkowski, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 5727; (c) Velarde, S.; Urbina, J.; Pena, M. R. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 9541; (d) Zegelaar-Jaarsveld, K.; Duynstee, H. I.; van der Marel, G. A.; van Boom, J. H. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 3575.
- Chakraborti, A. K.; Basak, A.; Grover, V. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 8014.
- Boren, H. B.; Eklind, K.; Garegg, P. J.; Lindberg, B.; Pilotti, A. Acta Chem. Scand. 1972, 26, 4143.
- Garegg, P. J.; Kvarnstrom, I.; Niklasson, A.; Niklasson, G.; Svensson, S. C. T. J. Carbohydr. Chem. 1993, 12, 933.
- Koto, S.; Inada, S.; Yoshida, T.; Toyama, M.; Zen, S. Can. J. Chem. 1981, 59, 255.
- 9. All new compounds gave satisfactory ¹H, ¹³C, and MS data. Analytical data for compound 18. $[\alpha]_{D}^{26} = +69.1$ (c=3.6, DCM). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.50 (m, 2H, CH arom), 7.40-7.15 (m, 15H, CH arom), 6.90-6.78 (m, 2H, CH arom), 6.06-5.90 (m, 1H, 1H, CH= allyl), 5.50-5.34 (m, 4H, OCHO, H-3, H-2', CH₂= allyl), 5.26–5.16 (dd, 1H, J=10.4 Hz, J=1.5 Hz, $CH_2=$ allyl), 4.88 (t, 1H, J=9.7 Hz, H-4), 4.70–4.44 (m, 8H, H-1, H-1', 2CH₂Ph, CH₂O allyl), 4.28 (d, 1H, J = 12.4 Hz, H-6^{'a}), 4.05 (d, 1H, J=3.3 Hz, H-4'), 4.00–3.85 (m, 3H, H-6'^b, H-6^a, H-5), 3.74 (AX, 2H, CH₂CO), 3.62-3.48 (m, 3H, H-2, H-3', H-6^b), 3.36 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.32 (bs, 1H, H-5'), 2.68–2.60 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.52–2.43 (t, 2H, J=6.0 Hz, CH₂), 2.08 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.01 (s, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 206.3 (CO), 171.7, 170.0 (CO), 156.5 (C arom), 138.1, 137.9, 137.6 (C arom), 133.4 (CH arom), 130.9 (CH= allyl), 128.9, 128.4, 128.3, 128.1, 127.9, 127.8, 127.6, 127.5, 126.4 (CH arom), 123.3 (C arom), 120.6 (CH arom), 117.0 (CH₂=), 111.8 (CH arom), 101.1, 100.9 (OCHO, H-1'), 97.5 (C-1), 77.4, 77.3 (C-2, C-3'), 73.5 (C-4'), 73.0 (CH₂Ph), 71.7 (C-3 or C-2'), 71.3 (CH₂O allyl), 69.9 (C-3 or C-2'), 69.5 (C-4), 68.9 (C-6'), 68.2 (C-5), 66.6 (C-6 and C-5'), 55.4 (OCH₃), 37.7 (CH₂CO lev), 35.5 (CH₂CO), 29.6 (CH₃CO), 28.0 (CH₂CO lev), 20.9 (CH₃CO). MALDI-TOF MS: m/z = 961.5 (M⁺+Na), 977.7 (M⁺+K).
- Bourne, E. J.; Huggard, A. J.; Tatlow, J. C. J. Chem. Soc. 1953, 735.
- 11. Ellervik, U.; Magnusson, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 1627.