

View Article Online View Journal

# **RSC Advances**

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: M. Bassaco, M. D. P. Fortes, D. F. Back, T. Kaufman and C. D. C. Silveira, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, DOI: 10.1039/C4RA11571F.



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

Published on 06 November 2014. Downloaded by Ondoku Mayis Universitesi on 09/11/2014 11:22:51.

An eco-friendly synthesis of novel 3,5-disubstituted-1,2isoxazoles in PEG-400, employing the Et<sub>3</sub>N-promoted hydroamination of symmetric and unsymmetric 1,3-diyneindole derivatives

Mariana M. Bassaco, Margiani P. Fortes, Davi F. Back, Teodoro S. Kaufman<sup>\*</sup> and Claudio C. Silveira<sup>\*</sup>

PEG-400 proved to be a useful solvent for the mild and efficient synthesis of 3,5-disubstituted 1,2-isoxazoles derived from 1,3-diyne indoles. The scope and limitations of the reaction were also studied.



Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x www.rsc.org/xxxxxx View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C4RA11571F



# An eco-friendly synthesis of novel 3,5-disubstituted-1,2-isoxazoles in PEG-400, employing the Et<sub>3</sub>N-promoted hydroamination of symmetric and unsymmetric 1,3-diyne-indole derivatives

Mariana M. Bassaco,<sup>a</sup> Margiani P. Fortes,<sup>a</sup> Davi F. Back,<sup>a</sup> Teodoro S. Kaufman<sup>b\*</sup> and Claudio C. <sup>5</sup> Silveira<sup>a</sup>\*

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 201X, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 201X DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

A facile, efficient and atom-economic synthesis of 3,5-disubstituted 1,2-isoxazoles bearing indole moieties, is reported. The synthesis of these isoxazoles was carried out by the triethylamine-promoted <sup>10</sup> reaction of symmetric and unsymmetric 1,3-diyne indole derivatives with hydroxylamine in PEG-400, as an eco-friendly solvent, under relatively mild conditions. The synthesis of the starting 1,3-diyne indole

derivatives was performed by the aerobic self-coupling of diversely functionalized *N*-propargyl indoles and *N*-propargyl carbazole under copper catalysis, or by the reaction of the propargyl derivatives with phenyl- or *p*-tolyl-acetylene under combined nickel and copper catalysis. The isoxazolation reaction was 15 optimized, its scope and limitations were studied and a detailed reaction mechanism was proposed.

#### Introduction

Organic chemists are placing increasing research efforts toward the development of new, efficient, sustainable and atomeconomic synthetic methodologies, with the aim of rapidly <sup>20</sup> achieving high molecular complexity from simple building blocks, under convenient conditions.

The development of new protocols suitable for the synthesis of 1,2-isoxazoles is of current interest<sup>1</sup> because this structural motif is found in some natural products, such as the neurotoxin ibotenic <sup>25</sup> acid and the CNS depressant muscimol.<sup>2</sup> This heterocyclic ring is also part of many pharmaceutically relevant compounds,<sup>3</sup> such as the non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agent valdecoxib, its prodrug parecoxib and the semisynthetic  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics cloxacillin and dicloxacillin, being also found in the antibacterial <sup>30</sup> sulfamethoxazole, the antiinflammatory isoxicam, and the

antirheumatic and antiarthritic drug leflunomide.4

Therefore, the 1,2-isoxazole moiety is highly regarded in Medicinal and Organic Chemistry, as a privileged heterocycle, a useful building block and a masked 1,3-dicarbonyl (an important <sup>35</sup> synthetic functionality) scaffold,<sup>5</sup> due to the easy cleavage of its

N-O bond.<sup>6</sup>

<sup>45 †</sup> Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: selected spectra of intermediates and final product. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/



Falcarinol, R=OH,  $R_1=H$ ; Falcaridiol,  $R=R_1=OH$ 

**Figure 1.** Some relevant natural products containing 1,2-isoxazole and 1,3-diyne moieties, and pharmaceutical compounds bearing the 1,2-<sup>50</sup> isoxazole ring.

Published on 06 November 2014. Downloaded by Ondoku Mayis Universitesi on 09/11/2014 11:22:51.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2014

RSC Adv., 2014, vol, 00-00 | 1

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Departamento de Química, Universidade Federal de Santa Maria 97105-900, Santa Maria, RS, Brazil, Tel/Fax: +55-55-3220-8754; Email: silveira@quimica.ufsm.br; mariquimica@gmail.com;

margiani.fortes@ufsm.br; daviback@gmail.com <sup>b</sup> Instituto de Química Rosario (CONICET-UNR), Suipacha 531,

S2002LRK, Rosario, SF, Argentina. Tel/Fax: +54-341-4370477; Email: kaufman@iquir-conicet.gov.ar

The 1,2-isoxazole ring is generally constructed employing two main alternative methodologies, namely the condensation of hydroxylamine with 1,3-dicarbonyl derivatives and related compounds such as  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated ketones and nitriles, or their

<sup>5</sup> synthetic equivalents, and the [3+2] cycloaddition of alkynes with nitrile oxides.<sup>7</sup>

On the other hand, the 1,3-diynes are very interesting compounds, because they can participate in various reactions leading to heterocyclic compounds, including intramolecular

- <sup>10</sup> cyclization, 1,3-dipolar addition and cyclization via two-fold attack at the acetylene bond, among others.<sup>8</sup> Therefore, this structural moiety is an important building block, being found in synthetic intermediates and different products of biomedical<sup>9</sup> or technological interest.<sup>10</sup>
- <sup>15</sup> Complex structures containing conjugated triple bonds are also found as natural products, often embodied with relevant biological activities.<sup>11</sup> Examples of these are dipline D (Figure 1), isolated from the sponge *Diplastrella sp.*,<sup>11a</sup> which exhibits potent anti-HIV activity, as well as falcarinol and falcarindiol, obtained
   <sup>20</sup> from *Daucus carota* (carrots) and *Panax ginseng* (red ginseng), which feature antibacterial, antifungal, anti-inflammatory and platelet anti-aggregation properties.<sup>12</sup>

Alkynes are usually considered carbonyl surrogates;<sup>13</sup> this can be exemplified by the preparation of acetophenone oxime from <sup>25</sup> phenylacetylene and hydroxylamine.<sup>14</sup> In addition, in recent times  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -acetylenic oximes and oxime ethers have been used as precursors of 3,5-disubstituted 1,2-isoxazoles, accessed by copper, silver or gold-catalyzed cycloisomerization,<sup>15</sup> and electrophilic cyclization.<sup>16</sup>

<sup>30</sup> The related gold- or palladium-based annulations of arylpropargyl hydroxylamines and the K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-mediated cyclization of propargyl oximes have also been employed for that purpose.<sup>17</sup> Generally, however, these transformations involving alkynes have some drawbacks; they require either costly catalysts, <sup>35</sup> stoichiometric amounts of special promoters and long reaction times, or proceed in moderate yields.

Despite these precedents, the use of 1,3-diynes as precursors for the synthesis of 3,5-disubstituted 1,2-isoxazole derivatives has only few and scattered precedents.<sup>18</sup> Furthermore, several 1,3-<sup>40</sup> diynes derived from different *N*-propargyl heterocycles have been synthesized for potential pharmaceutical and technological applications,<sup>19</sup> however, their transformation into more complex structures has remained virtually unexplored.

We envisioned that 1,3-diynes, available through copper-45 catalyzed homo- and heterocoupling of terminal alkynes, could be regarded as synthetic equivalents of 1,3-dicarbonyl moieties. In this capacity, we speculated that 1,4-disubstituted 1,3-diynes could be suitable starting materials toward polyfunctionalized 1,2-isoxazoles (Scheme 1).



**Scheme 1.** Proposed synthesis of symmetric and unsymmetric 1,3-diynes and their conversion into 3,5-disubstituted 1,2-isoxazoles.

Therefore, in view of the importance of the polysubstituted

2 | RSC Adv., 2014, vol, 00-00

1,2-isoxazoles, and in order to circumvent the limitations of the <sup>55</sup> previous methods, here we report a convenient synthesis of 3,5disubstituted 1,2-isoxazoles from indole-derived View Article Online employing polyethyleneglycol 400 (PEG-400) as solvent.

The proposed 1,2-isoxazole synthesis is part of our continuing interest in developing new synthetic methodologies toward <sup>60</sup> indole-based heterocyclic compounds under mild and ecofriendly conditions,<sup>20</sup> which include the use of sustainable, less conventional solvents such as PEG-400, as reaction medium.<sup>20d</sup>

#### **Results and discussion**

The precursor *N*-propargyl indole (**2a-e**) and carbazole (**2f**) <sup>65</sup> derivatives were synthesized, in 70-80% yield (Table 1), by conventional propargylation of different indoles (**1a-e**) and carbazole (**1f**) with propargyl bromide and KOH in DMF.<sup>21</sup>

Table 1. Synthesis of symmetric 1,3-diynes 3a-f. N-Propargylation of indoles 1a-e and carbazole (1f), and their CuCl-catalyzed aerobic 70 homocoupling.



In turn, the *N*-propargyl indoles and carbazole were subjected to a Glaser type coupling to afford the corresponding 75 homodimerized 1,3-diynes **3a-f**, employing 5 mol% CuCl as catalyst in DMSO (1 mL/mmol) and air (atmospheric O<sub>2</sub>) as the stoichiometric oxidant.<sup>22</sup> The product yields were satisfactory, ranging from 60 to 83%, when the reaction was performed by heating 4 h at 90°C.



Figure 2. ORTEP view of 1,3-diyne compound 3a, as determined by X-ray crystallography.

Single crystal X-ray analysis of **3a** (CCDC number is 1027013) confirmed the proposed structure and revealed some interesting features (Figure 2). The 1,3-diyne unit is not linear and was found to be bent, with C2-C3-C4 and C3-C4-C5 angles of 177.2

 $s \pm 0.1^{\circ}$ , and a torsion angle C2-C3-C4-C5 of  $10.1^{\circ}$ ;<sup>18d</sup> on the other hand, the indole moieties are located on opposite sides of the plane drawn by the propargylic hydrogens, and exhibit a small torsion, with a N1-C1-C6-N2 dihedral angle of 146.9°.

In addition, their homocyclic rings are situated in the same <sup>10</sup> hemispace, with observed C14-N1-C1-C2 and C22-N2-C6-C5 dihedral angles of -79.0° and -65.3°, respectively, whereas the N1-C1-C2 and N2-C6-C5 angles are of similar values (112.8° and 112.6°, respectively). As a consequence, the indole moieties are not contained in parallel planes, but in ones tilted towards each <sup>15</sup> other.

Next, in order to broaden the scope of the transformation, the synthesis of unsymmetric 1,3-diynes was approached. However, the Glaser-synthesis of these compounds mets with the problem of affording mixtures of homo- and hetero-coupled products, <sup>20</sup> which often follow a statistical distribution. Therefore, unsymmetric 1,3-diynes are usually prepared by the copper-catalyzed Cadiot-Chodkiewicz cross-coupling between terminal alkynes and haloalkynes, and its modifications.<sup>23</sup>

An advantageous alternative has been recently reported by the <sup>25</sup> group of Lei, as a variation of the Glaser-Hay coupling, and entails the addition of NiCl<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O as a co-catalyst to promote the oxidative heterocoupling process between aryl and propargyl acetylenes. The reaction is run under CuI catalysis in THF, with added TMEDA as ligand, and air (atmospheric oxygen) is used as <sup>30</sup> the stoichiometric oxidant.<sup>24</sup> The transformation, which proceeds at ambient temperature, requires a sacrificial excess of one of the starting alkynes.

Therefore, the propargyl derivatives **2a-c** and **2f** were coupled with excess phenylacetylene (**4a**) and *p*-tolylacetylene (**4b**), as affording the corresponding unsymmetric 1,3-diynes (**5a-f**) in 60-85% yield, as shown in Table 2.

 Table 2.
 NiCl<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O and CuI co-catalyzed aerobic synthesis of unsymmetric 1,3-diynes 5a-f.



The symmetric 1,4-diphenyl- and 1,4-di-*p*-tolyl- 1,3butadiynes were concomitantly formed, but these were easily separated from their more polar nitrogen-containing, congeness during the chromatographic purification stage.

<sup>55</sup> Interestingly, except for 1,6-di(9*H*-carbazol-9-yl)hexa-2,4diyne (**3f**),<sup>25</sup> both the symmetric and unsymmetric 1,3-diynes (**3ae** and **5a-f**) are novel, and their structures were unequivocally elucidated after exhaustive spectroscopic analyses (FTIR, <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR and MS).

<sup>50</sup> With the set of required precursor 1,3-diynes in hands, the study of their transformation into 3,5-disubstituted 1,2-isoxazoles **6** was initiated, employing 1,6-di-(1*H*-indol-1-yl)hexa-2,4-diyne (**3a**, 0.3 mmol) as the starting material.

In the presence of Et<sub>3</sub>N (4 equiv.), it was observed that the <sup>55</sup> reaction with NH<sub>2</sub>OH.HCl (2.5 equiv.) was incomplete after heating 20 h in DMSO at 60°C (Table 3, entry 1),<sup>18c</sup> affording only 43% yield of the expected 1,2-isoxazole **6a**. Therefore, the transformation was tested at 80 °C, and 59% of the product was isolated (entry 2). Increasing the temperature to 110 °C resulted in <sup>60</sup> a moderate decrease of the yield to 50% of **6a** (entry 3), which

was further reduced to 45% when the reaction was carried out at 120 °C (entry 4).

Consequently, aiming to attain better yields, the reaction was tested under different conditions. Initially, and in order to reduce <sup>65</sup> the use of poorly friendly organic solvents, the performance of alternative reaction media was explored. However, the starting material was fully recovered and no product was observed when the reaction was carried out in glycerol (entry 5).

**Table 3.** Isoxazolation of the symmetric 1,3-diyne **3a**. Optimization of the 70 synthesis of the 3,5-disubstituted 1,2-isoxazole **6a**.<sup>*a*</sup>



				6a		
Run	Solvent	H <sub>2</sub> NOH.HCl	Et <sub>3</sub> N	Temp.	Time	Yield
N°		(equiv.)	(equiv.)	(°C)	(h)	(%)
1	DMSO	2.5	4	60	20	43
2	DMSO	2.5	4	80	20	59
3	DMSO	2.5	4	110	20	50
4	DMSO	2.5	4	120	20	45
5	Glycerol	2.5	2.5	80	12	b
6	<sup>t</sup> BuOH	2.5	2.5	80	12	75
7	2-PrOH	2.5	2.5	80	12	72
8	PEG-400	2.5	4	80	12	89
9	PEG-400	2.5	4	80	20	91
10	PEG-400	2.5	4	80	8	72
11	PEG-400	2.5	4	80	$1^c$	65
12	PEG-400	2.5	2.5	80	12	89
13	PEG-400	2.5	d	80	12	73
14	PEG-400	1.5	1.5	80	12	61

<sup>*a*</sup> Reaction conditions: 1,3-diyne (**3a**, 0.3 mmol); solvent (0.5 mL).

<sup>b</sup> Starting material was recovered.

<sup>c</sup> The reaction was carried out under microwave irradiation.

<sup>75</sup> <sup>d</sup> The reaction was carried out in the presence of 2.5 equiv. K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> as base.

**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscri** 

Published on 06 November 2014. Downloaded by Ondoku Mayis Universitesi on 09/11/2014 11:22:51

On the other hand, the transformation took place satisfactorily in monohydric alcohols such as 'BuOH (75% yield, entry 6) and 2-PrOH (72%, entry 7). This was in marked contrast with previous observations on an analogous transformation (10-15% 5 yield).<sup>18c</sup>

Continuing along this line and running the isoxazolation in PEG-400 afforded **6a** in 89% yield (entry 8); however, the reaction performance remained essentially unmodified when the heating period was extended to 20 h (entry 9).

- <sup>10</sup> This was considered a very interesting result because PEG-400, a polymeric, non-toxic, biodegradable, strongly hydrophilic and non-volatile solvent, qualifies as an eco-friendly reaction medium.<sup>26</sup> Furthermore, use of PEG-400 represented a substantial improvement, since this solvent allowed the reaction to be
- <sup>15</sup> advantageously carried out with great success under considerably milder conditions (80°C vs. 110°C). In addition, similar results were achieved with less solvent consumption and in reaction times shorter (12 h vs. 20 h) than those reported for analogous processes,<sup>18c,d</sup> run in the more environmentally problematic <sup>20</sup> DMSO.<sup>26b</sup>

Therefore, the optimization of the transformation was pursued in PEG-400. Reducing the reaction time to 8 h afforded 72% of **6a**, a significantly lower yield (entry 10), whereas when the reaction was carried out under microwave irradiation, incomplete <sup>25</sup> consumption of the starting material was observed after 1 h, resulting in a less satisfactory 65% yield of **6a** (entry 11).

The nature and amount of base were next optimized, observing that reduction to 2.5 equivalents of Et<sub>3</sub>N did not affect the performance of the reaction (entry 12), while employing K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> <sup>30</sup> instead of Et<sub>3</sub>N resulted in diminished product yields (entry 13). Finally, simultaneous reduction of the amounts of Et<sub>3</sub>N and NH<sub>2</sub>OH.HCl to 1.5 equiv. each caused a substantial deterioration of the performance of the reaction (entry 14), suggesting that both play significative roles in the transformation. Therefore, it was <sup>35</sup> considered that the optimum conditions were those of entry 12, which entail a lower consumption of added base.

The identity of **6a**, which confirmed the Markovnikov selectivity of the reaction,<sup>14</sup> was established after an exhaustive NMR analysis of the heterocyclic product. This included a <sup>40</sup> revealing HMBC experiment, which exhibited a correlation between the protons of the methylene group attached to one of the indolyl moieties ( $\delta$  5.18, s, 2H) and the carbon atom attached to the isoxazolic nitrogen ( $\delta$  160.8) and two additional crosspeaks between the protons of both methylene groups associated <sup>45</sup> to the other indolyl moiety [ $\delta$  3.05 (t, J = 7.0, 2H) and 4.28 (t, J

= 7.0, 2H)] and the carbon atom attached to the oxygen atom of the isoxazole ring ( $\delta$  170.2).

The group of Bao has found that DMSO was the best performing solvent in a similar transformation with 1,3-diaryl-

<sup>50</sup> 1,3-diynes, lacking a clear explanation for this serendipitous finding.<sup>18c</sup> In our hands, DMSO was clearly outperformed by PEG-400. Notwithstanding, however, 1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadiyne was refractory to undergo efficient isoxazolation in PEG-400, suggesting that the solvent should be playing some crucial role

55 during the reaction and that different kinds of substrates may require different solvents for a proper reaction. In this way, PEG-400 and DMSO may be complementary realtion media.

After this successful outcome, and in order to expand the scope

of the transformation to the use of unsymmetrical 1,3-diynes (**5a**- $_{60}$  **f**), the reaction conditions for the synthesis of 3,5-disubstituted

1,2-isoxazoles 7 were optimized (Table 4). View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C4RA11571F Employing 1-(5-phenylpenta-2,4-diynyl)-1*H*-indole (**5a**) as model unsymmetric 1,3-diyne, it was observed that under the previously optimized conditions, the reaction took place rather sluggishly, providing **7a** in only 52% yield even after 24 h (entry 1). In addition, careful <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis of the products of this initial test revealed the generation of two regioisomers (**7a** and **7'a**) resulting from attack at both ends of the 1,3-diyne moiety. The structures of the products were unequivocally established after a detailed NMR analysis, which confirmed a Markovnikov selectivity for the major product (**7a**).<sup>14</sup> The outcome of the reaction forced to also evaluate the regioselectivity of the transformation under each new condition.

In order to speed up the reaction, its performance was tested at <sup>75</sup> 100°C; this condition increased the regioselectivity, keeping the overall yield almost unaltered (entry 2). Therefore, the focus was placed on the study of the effect of the amounts of base and NH<sub>2</sub>OH.HCl. Very good yields were attained in the presence of 4.0 equiv. Et<sub>3</sub>N and 2.5 equiv. NH<sub>2</sub>OH.HCl (entry 3); however, <sup>80</sup> the yield remained almost unchanged when the excess of NH<sub>2</sub>OH.HCl was raised to 4.0 equiv. (entry 4). In both cases, the same good (**7a**:**7**'**a** = 10:1) selectivity was observed.

**Table 4.** Isoxazolation of the unsymmetric 1,3-diyne **5a** in PEG-400. Optimization of the synthesis of 3,5-disubstituted 1,2-isoxazoles  $7^{a}$ .



85	/ d			/ d			
	Run	Base	H <sub>2</sub> NOH.HCl	Temp.	Time	Yield	Ratio
	N°	(equiv.)	(equiv.)	(°C)	(h)	(%)	7a:7'a
	1	Et <sub>3</sub> N (2.5)	2.5	80 °C	24	52	7:1
	2	Et <sub>3</sub> N (2.5)	2.5	100° C	24	53	10:1
	3	Et <sub>3</sub> N (4.0)	2.5	100° C	12	85	10:1
	4	Et <sub>3</sub> N (4.0)	4.0	100° C	12	84	10:1
	5	$K_2CO_3(4.0)$	2.5	100° C	12	50	7:1
	6	DIPEA (4.0)	2.5	100° C	12	85	11:1
	7	$^{i}Pr_{2}NH(4.0)$	2.5	100° C	12	85	8:1
	8	Et <sub>2</sub> NH (4.0)	2.5	100° C	12	72	11:1
	<sup>a</sup> Reac	tion conditions:	1,3-diyne (5a, 0.	3 mmol) a	nd PEG	-400 (0.:	5 mL).

Speculating that the nature of the base may have impact on the yields of **7a** and the selectivity of the reaction, various bases were tested. The use of  $K_2CO_3$  was helpless, since lower yields and <sup>90</sup> product regioselectivity were observed (entry 5), while employing the more hindered *N*,*N*-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) furnished only subtle improvements in both, reaction yield and regioselectivity (entry 6).

On the other side, the use of secondary amines such as <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>NH

**KSC Advances Accepted Manuscri** 

and Et<sub>2</sub>NH caused either diminished regioselectivity (entry 7) or lower yields (entry 8), respectively. These results underscored the role of the added base on the reaction outcome. Bein improvements induced by DIPEA minor in nature, the con 5 of entry 3 were taken as optimal. These were still mild more time-efficient conditions than those previously for

similar transformations.18c,d The two sets of optimized conditions were employed synthesis of 3,5-disubstituted 1,2-isoxazole derivatives 6

10 (Table 5). Under these conditions, the isoxazoles were isolated in moderate to excellent yields. The average yields of the products derived from unsymmetric 1,3-diynes (7a-f) were slightly higher and more consistent (75-89%) than those arising from 6a-f, their symmetric counterparts (58-89%). However, they could not be

15 correlated with structural or electronic features of the starting heterocyles among both series of products.

Table 5. Synthesis of 3,5-disubstituted 1,2-isoxazoles 6a-f and 7a-f from symmetric (3a-f) and unsymmetric (5a-f) 1,3-diynes, in PEG-400.

R NH<sub>2</sub>OH.HCI R Et<sub>3</sub>N (2.5 equiv.), PEG-400, 80°C, 12h NH<sub>2</sub>OH.HCI, Et<sub>3</sub>N (4 equiv.) PEG-400, 100°C, 12h R 7 7 Entry Prod. N Ratio  $\mathbb{R}^1$  $\mathbb{R}^2$ R<sup>3</sup>  $\mathbb{R}^4$ N Yield, %) 7:7' Н Η Η 6a (89) 1 2 Η Η OMe 6b (71) 3 Η Η Br 6c (83)

Η

4-MeC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>

Н

Η

OMe

Br

Η

Η

1,6-Di(9H-carbazol-9-yl)hexa-2,4-diyne (3f) was used as starting

Н

Me

Η

Me

Η

Me

6d (58)

6e (63)

6f (89)

7a (85)

7b (84)

7c (75)

7d (76)

7e (84)

7f (89)

10:1

8:1

10:1

9.5:1

9:1 7:1

ng the	invariably observed, being always 7' their minor component 15 the
ditions	25 latter resulted from attack of the hydroxylamine nitrogen to the
ler and	more hindered benzylic position of the starting 1,3-diynes 5.
ind for	Interestingly, however, the use of PEG-400 seemed to afford
	highly selective reactions, resulting in mixtures with significantly
for the	lower amounts (< 12.5%) of the minor regioisomers 7'a-f than in
and 7	<sup>30</sup> a similar transformation employing DMSO (up to 42.6%). <sup>18c</sup>

The structures of the isoxazoles **6a-f** were also ascertained by NMR analysis, interpreting the effect of the heteroatoms of the 1,2-isoxazole ring on the shieldings of the hydrogens of the neighboring methylene groups. These were observed as a pair of  $_{35}$  coupled triplets resonating, at approximately  $\delta$  3.0 and 4.2 (attached to the indolic nitrogen atom) and a singlet at approximately 5.2 ppm (displaced downfield to  $\delta$  5.6 in the case of the carbazole derivatives). The latter was assigned to the protons of the methylene moiety attached to both, the indole 40 nitrogen and the carbon of the isoxazole ring attached to the isoxazolic nitrogen.

In addition, in the case of the products resulting from isoxazolation of 5a-f, mixtures of 1,2-isoxazoles (7 and 7') were

The structures of the compounds 7a-f were easily differentiated from those of their 7'a-f congeners. The former exhibited the resonances of their methylene protons as two <sup>45</sup> singlets, at approximately δ 3.9 and 5.2. The latter resonance was assigned to the methylene moiety attached to the indolic nitrogen atom; analogously to the cases of 6a-f, this signal appears deshielded at  $\delta$  5.67 in the carbazole derivatives.

On the other side, compounds 7'a-f displayed the pair of 50 coupled methylene protons as triplets resonating at approximately  $\delta$  3.3 and 4.5 ppm, the latter signal being attributed to the methylene group attached to the indole nitrogen.

Based on literature precedents, including the conclusions of a recently disclosed theoretical study of the isoxazolation of 55 symmetric 1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadiyne,<sup>27</sup> a detailed reaction mechanism (Scheme 2) can be proposed.

Hydroxylamine is known to add efficiently to alkynes to give oximes, with the Markovnikov-type reactivity of this process being at the hearth of the selectivity of the transformation.<sup>14,28</sup> 60 Accordingly, it can be proposed that the reaction is initiated when one of the triple bonds of the 1,3-divne conjugated system (5a) suffers a Cope-type intermolecular hydroamination (aza-protio transfer) reaction mediated by the hydroxylamine nitrogen, which is analogous to the reverse of the Cope elimination.<sup>29</sup>

Interestingly, unlike the copper-catalyzed 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition between nitrile oxides and alkynes to afford 1,2isoxazoles,<sup>30</sup> there is a mounting body of evidence that this noncatalyzed reaction, which should lead to the ene-N-oxide intermediate i, is a concerted process when carried out under 70 thermal and metal-free conditions.<sup>31</sup>

In turn, this intermediate should experience a proton transfer reaction from the nitrogen atom to the oxygen, furnishing the ene-hydroxylamine intermediate ii. Theoretical calculations have pointed out to this as the rate-determining step of the reaction;<sup>28</sup> 75 therefore, carrying out the transformation in the presence of an hydroxylic solvent like PEG-400 may be crucial for its success, since it can mediate a facile, bimolecular proton transfer within the generated amine oxide intermediate, through a 5-membered transition state, speeding up the process (Scheme 2). This

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

material.

 $20^{a}$ 

Me

Η

Η

Η

Η

Η

CH=CH-CH=CH

CH=CH-CH=CH<sup>a</sup>

CH=CH-CH=CH<sup>a</sup>

Η

Η

Η

Η

Η

Η

Published on 06 November 2014. Downloaded by Ondoku Mayis Universitesi on 09/11/2014 11:22:51

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2014

RSC Adv., 2014, vol, 00-00 | 5

proposal is supported by DFT studies.32

Subsequent base-assisted N-oxide-oxime tautomerization of the intermediate  $\mathbf{i}$ , also involving the conjugated alkyne,<sup>33</sup> could afford the allenic oxime iii.14 Rotation across the single bond 5 would then place the oxime and allene moieties in position and have them with the correct geometry,<sup>34</sup> suitable for undergoing an intramolecular electrophilic addition toward the final product



10 Scheme 2. Proposed reaction mechanism for the synthesis of the 3,5disubstituted 1,2-isoxazoles.

This 5-exo-dig cyclization would be formally arising from simple addition of the hydroxyl group across the distal double bond of the allene (iii). Theoretical studies have suggested that 15 this step may involve a zwitterionic intermediate that undergoes a proton transfer reaction.<sup>28</sup>

Short and Ziegler demonstrated that K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> is able to drive cyclization of homopropargyl oximes towards isoxazole derivatives. Interestingly, it seems likely that this process may 20 involve the same kind of allenic intermediates and reaction mechanism.17a

The group of Bao has recently proposed an analogous but less detailed mechanism for a similar transformation.<sup>18c</sup> However, in their proposal, the roles of the solvent, the added base and the 25 rotation step are ignored, whereas no discussion about the reaction selectivity is included.

As an hydroxylic solvent, PEG-400 is here proposed to be involved in the formation of intermediate ii, while it is evident that the added base is useful for freeing the hydroxylamine base

30 from its hydrochloride and plays a crucial role in the tautomerization of *ii* into *iii*. On the other hand, geometric considerations should not be mechanistically neglected, since they turn mandatory the rotation stage for a successful

cyclization, which takes place with Markovnikov-type selectivity <sup>35</sup> in both, symmetric and unsymmetric 1,3-diynes.

In conclusion, a regioselective, convenient and atom zeconomic on View Article Online of synthesis 3,5-disubstituted 1,2-isoxazoles bearing functionalized indolyl, aryl and carbazolyl substituents, is reported. The synthesis of the starting symmetric 1,3-diyne indole 40 derivatives was performed employing an aerobic self-coupling reaction of differently functionalized N-propargyl indoles and Npropargyl carbazole under copper catalysis. Analogously, the unsymmetric 1,3-divne derivatives were synthesized by reaction of N-propargyl indoles and N-propargyl carbazole with <sup>45</sup> phenylacetylene or *p*-tolylacetylene under combined Ni<sup>II</sup>/Cu<sup>I</sup> catalysis and aerobic conditions.

The isoxazolation of the symmetric and unsymmetric 1,3divne indole derivatives was carried out by reaction with hydroxylamine in PEG-400, as a superior and eco-friendly 50 solvent, under relatively mild conditions and without the addition of acids or metal catalysts. The transformation was optimized relative to reaction temperature and time, as well as regarding the amounts of base and NH2OH.HCl; its scope and limitations were studied and a reaction mechanism was proposed.

The operational simplicity of the described isoxazolation and 55 the easy availability of the starting N-propargyl derivatives as well as their symmetric and unsymmetric 1,3-diynes should encourage the use of this approach in synthetic and medicinal chemistry.

#### **Experimental section**

#### General information

The solvents for the chemical reactions were purified according to the literature. Commercial reagents were used without further 65 purification. In the conventional purification procedure, the crude material was submitted to flash column chromatography with silica gel 60 H (particle size 40-63 µm, 230-400 mesh), eluting isocratically with hexane or mixtures of hexane:EtOAc and hexane:EtOAc:CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>.

All new compounds gave single spots when run on TLC plates of Kieselgel 60 GF<sub>254</sub>, employing different hexane:EtOAc and hexane:EtOAc:CH2Cl2 solvent systems. Chromatographic spots were detected by irradiation of the plates with UV light (254 nm), followed by exposure to iodine vapors of by spraying with 75 ethanolic vanillin/sulfuric acid reagent and careful heating.

Apparatus

The melting points were measured on an MQAPF-301 instrument (Microquímica) and are reported uncorrected. The infrared <sup>80</sup> spectra were acquired on a Shimadzu Prestige-21 spectrometer, with the samples prepared as KBr pellets or thin films.

The NMR spectra (<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C) were recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub> unless otherwise stated, on Bruker DPX-200 and Bruker DPX-400 spectrometers (200 and 400 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H, respectively). Chemical 85 shift data are reported in ppm downfield from TMS, employed as internal standard. Coupling constants (J) are informed in Hertz.

Elemental analyses were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer CHN 2400 analyzer. Low resolution mass spectra were acquired on a

Published on 06 November 2014. Downloaded by Ondoku Mayis Universitesi on 09/11/2014 11:22:51

6 | RSC Adv., 2014, vol, 00-00

Page 8 of 13

View Article Online

Shimadzu QP2010 *Plus* CG-MS instrument. High-resolution mass spectral data were obtained in a Bruker microTOF-Q II instrument. Detection of the ions was performed with electrospray ionization in positive ion mode.

# General procedure for the synthesis of N-propargyl heterocycles $(2a-f)^{21b}$

A solution of the indole or carbazole (**1a-f**, 15 mmol) in DMF (30 mL) was cooled to 0 °C. Solid KOH (1.12 g, 20 mmol) was added

<sup>10</sup> and the reaction mixture was stirred for 15 minutes, when was treated with 80% propargyl bromide solution (2.22 mL, 20 mmol). After further stirring for 6 h at room temperature, water (50 mL) was added, and the organic phase was extracted with EtOAc ( $4 \times 30$  mL). The combined organic layers were dried <sup>15</sup> over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The product was purified by column chromatography,

#### 1-(Prop-2-ynyl)-1H-indole (2a)

eluting with hexane.

<sup>20</sup> Yellowish crystalline solid, m.p.: 38-40 °C (Lit.:<sup>36a</sup> 36-37 °C); yield: 76%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz) δ: 2.33 (t, J = 2.5, 1H), 4.76 (d, J = 2.5, 2H), 6.51 (d, J = 3.1, 1H), 7.08-7.26 (m, 3H), 7.35 (d, J = 8.1, 1H) and 7.62 (d, J = 7.6, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz) δ: 35.6, 73.4, 77.7, 102.0, 109.3, 119.8, 121.0, 121.8, 127.2, 25 128.8 and 135.7. MS (*m*/z, rel. int., %): 155 (66, M<sup>+</sup>), 154 (100), 116 (30), 89 (32) and 63 (17).

#### 5-Methoxy-1-(prop-2-ynyl)-1*H*-indole (2b)

White solid, m.p.: 66-67 °C (Lit.:<sup>36b</sup> 65-69 °C); yield: 71%. <sup>1</sup>H <sup>30</sup> NMR (400 MHz)  $\delta$ : 2.35 (t, J = 2.5, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 4.75 (d, J = 2.5, 2H), 6.42 (d, J = 3.1, 1H), 6.88 (dd, J = 8.9 and 2.4, 1H), 7.07 (d, J = 2.4, 1H), 7.12 (d, J = 3.1, 1H) and 7.25 (d, J =8.9, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz)  $\delta$ : 35.8, 55.8, 73.4, 77.8, 101.6, 102.9, 110.0, 112.1, 127.8, 129.3, 131.1 and 154.3. MS <sup>35</sup> (m/z, rel. int., %): 186 [14, (M+1)<sup>+</sup>], 185 (100, M<sup>+</sup>), 171 (11), 170 (83), 146 (18), 142 (28), 115 (24), 103 (18) and 76 (16).

#### 5-Bromo-1-(prop-2-ynyl)-1H-indole (2c)<sup>36c</sup>

Brown oil; yield: 70%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz)  $\delta$ : 2.38 (t, J = 2.5, 40 1H), 4.80 (d, J = 2.5, 2H), 6.44 (dd, J = 3.2 and 0.8, 1H), 7.16 (d, J = 3.2, 1H), 7.23 (d, J = 8.5, 1H), 7.30 (dd, J = 8.7 and 1.8, 1H) and 7.73 (d, J = 1.8, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz)  $\delta$ : 36.0, 73.9, 77.3, 101.7, 110.8, 113.3, 123.6, 124.8, 128.4, 130.7 and 134.5. MS (m/z, rel. int., %): 235 [51, (M+2)<sup>+</sup>], 234 [21, 45 (M+1)<sup>+</sup>], 233 (50, M<sup>+</sup>), <sup>+</sup>154 (100), 153 (22), 127 (26), 126 (15), 115 (44), 114 (15), 88 (16) and 62 (14).

#### 2-Methyl-1-(prop-2-ynyl)-1*H*-indole (2d)<sup>36d</sup>

White solid, m.p.: 71-72 °C; yield: 72%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz)  $\delta$ : <sup>50</sup> 2.21 (t, J = 2.5, 1H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 4.73 (d, J = 2.5, 2H), 7.05-7.18 (m, 3H), 7.30 (d, J = 8.1, 1H) and 7.50 (d, J = 7.8, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz)  $\delta$ : 12.4, 32.3, 72.1, 78.3, 100.9, 108.8, 119.8, 119.8, 120.9, 128.3, 135.9 and 136.5. MS (m/z, rel. int., %): 170 [12, (M+1)<sup>+</sup>], 169 (87, M<sup>+</sup>), 168 (100), 167 (40), 154 <sup>55</sup> (22), 130 (31), 128 (13), 103 (27), 102 (13), 77 (28) and 63 (14).

#### 1-(Prop-2-ynyl)-5-p-tolyl-1H-indole (2e)

White crystalline solid, m.p.: 118-119 °C; yield: 80%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) & 2.37 (s, 3H), 3.39 (t, J = 2.4, 1H), 5.11 <sup>60</sup> (d, J = 2.4, 2H), 6.59 (d, J = 3.1, 1H), 7.27 (d, J = 7.9, 2H), 7.46 (d, J = 3.1, 1H), 7.51 (dd, J = 8.5 and 1.5, 1H), 7.59-7.63 (m, 3H) and 7.87 (d, J = 1.5, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) & 20.4, 35.1, 75.2, 78.9, 101.6, 110.1, 118.2, 120.5, 126.4, 128.7, 128.8, 129.2, 131.9, 134.9, 135.2 and 138.6 MS (m/z, rel. <sup>65</sup> int., %): 246 [20, (M+1)<sup>+</sup>], 245 (100, M<sup>+</sup>), 230 (16), 206 (26), 204 (12), 84 (10) and 66 (13).

#### 9-(Prop-2-ynyl)-9H-carbazole (2f)

White crystalline solid, m.p.: 104-105 °C (Lit.:<sup>36e</sup> 104-107 °C); <sup>70</sup> yield: 78%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 3.24 (t, *J* = 2.4, 1H), 5.31 (d, *J* = 2.4, 2H), 7.24-7.28 (m, 2H), 7.48-7.52 (m, 2H), 7.69 (d, *J* = 8.2, 2H) and 8.17 (d, *J* = 7.8, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 31.7, 74.2, 78.9, 109.3, 119.2, 120.1, 122.3, 125.7 and 139.5. MS (*m*/*z*, rel. int., %): 206 [11, (M+1)<sup>+</sup>], 75 205 (71, M<sup>+</sup>), 204 (100), 166 (47), 140 (23) and 139 (13).

# General procedure for the synthesis of the symmetric 1,3-diynes $(3a-f)^{22c}$

A stirred mixture of the heterocyclic *N*-propargyl derivative (**2**, 5 mmol) and CuCl (25 mg, 0.25 mmol, 5 mol%) in DMSO (5 mL) was heated to 90 °C for 4 h. Then, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and filtered through Celite. The filtrate was diluted with water (15 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (4 × 25 mL). The combined extracts were successively washed with so water (1 × 10 mL) and brine (1 × 10 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The product was purified by column chromatography using an hexane: EtOAc:CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (80:10:10) solvent mixture as eluent.

#### 90 1,6-Bis(1*H*-indol-1-yl)hexa-2,4-diyne (3a)

Light brown solid, m.p.: 147-149 °C; yield: 83%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz)  $\delta$ : 4.84 (s, 4H), 6.49 (d, J = 3.1, 2H), 7.07 (d, J = 3.1, 2H), 7.10-7.13 (m, 2H), 7.19-7.23 (m, 2H), 7.31 (d, J = 8.2, 2H) and 7.60 (d, J = 7.9, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz)  $\delta$ : 36.3, 69.0, <sup>95</sup> 73.3, 102.5, 109.2, 120.0, 121.1, 122.1, 127.2, 128.9 and 135.8. IR (KBr, v): 3104, 2904, 1614, 1463, 1333, 1315, 1185, 739 and 719 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (*m*/*z*, rel. int., %): 309 [12, (M+1)<sup>+</sup>], 308 (51, M<sup>+</sup>), 281 (28), 253 (17), 209 (14), 208 (21), 207 (100), 191 (100), 133 (15), 117 (38), 89 (24) and 73 (27). HRMS (ESI-100 TOF, *m*/*z*): Obsd. 331.1208; C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub>Na [(M+Na)<sup>+</sup>] requires 331.1211.

#### 1,6-Bis(5-methoxy-1*H*-indol-1-yl)hexa-2,4-diyne (3b)

Beige solid, m.p.: 166-168 °C; yield: 72%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz) <sup>105</sup>  $\delta$ : 3.82 (s, 6H), 4.84 (s, 4H), 6.42 (dd, J = 3.2 and 0.8, 2H), 6.88 (dd, J = 8.9 and 2.4, 2H), 7.06 (d, J = 3.2, 2H), 7.07 (d, J = 2.4, 2H) and 7.21 (d, J = 8.9, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz)  $\delta$ : 36.5, 55.9, 69.0, 73.3, 102.1, 103.0, 109.9, 112.3, 127.8, 129.3, 131.1 and 154.5. IR (KBr, v): 3102, 2956, 2935, 2832, <sup>110</sup> 1728, 1616, 1576, 1482, 1432, 1397, 1243, 1152, 1030, 802

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2014

Published on 06 November 2014. Downloaded by Ondoku Mayis Universitesi on 09/11/2014 11:22:51

and 726 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (m/z, rel. int., %): 368 (3, M<sup>+</sup>), 78 (99), 63 (100), 62 (13) and 61 (36). Anal. Calc.: C, 78.24; H, 5.47; N, 7.60. Found: C, 77.86; H, 5.54; N, 7.25.

#### 5 1,6-Bis(5-bromo-1*H*-indol-1-yl)hexa-2,4-diyne (3c)

Beige solid, m.p.: 157-159 °C; yield: 76%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ : 5.26 (s, 4H), 6.46 (dd, J = 3.2 and 0.7, 2H), 7.29 (dd, J = 8.7 and 1.9, 2H), 7.42 (d, J = 3.2, 2H), 7.47 (d, J = 8.7, 2H) and 7.75 (d, J = 1.9, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )

<sup>10</sup> δ: 35.6, 67.5, 75.0, 101.3, 111.8, 112.2, 122.7, 123.9, 129.8, 130.0 and 134.1. IR (KBr, v): 3106, 1705, 1604, 1562, 1507, 1463, 1333, 1208, 793, 754 and 581 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (*m*/*z*, rel. int., %): 468 [2, (M+2)<sup>+</sup>], 466 (4, M<sup>+</sup>), 235 (27), 233 (28), 197 (55), 195 (55), 154 (60), 127 (15), 116 (78) and 89 (39). Anal. Calc.: <sup>15</sup> C, 56.68; H, 3.03; N, 6.01. Found: C, 57.07; H, 3.13; N, 5.87.

#### 1,6-Bis(2-methyl-1H-indol-1-yl)hexa-2,4-diyne (3d)

Brown solid, m.p.: 218 °C (dec); yield: 83%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) & 2.39 (s, 6H), 5.13 (s, 4H), 6.22 (s, 2H), 20 6.98-7.09 (m, 4H) and 7.40-7.43 (m, 4H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) & 12.1, 32.4, 66.7, 75.3, 100.5, 109.3, 119.3, 119.5, 120.6, 127.7, 136.2 and 136.3. IR (KBr, v): 2916, 1614, 1552, 1462, 1337, 787 and 745 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (m/z, rel. int., %): 337 [17, (M+1)<sup>+</sup>], 336 (60, M<sup>+</sup>), 205 (100), 204 (88), 191 (28), 167 25 (18), 149 (12), 130 (44), 97 (18), 81 (26) and 69 (47). HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z): Obsd. 359.1507; C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>2</sub>Na [(M+Na)<sup>+</sup>] requires 359.1519.

#### 1,6-Bis(5-p-tolyl-1H-indol-1-yl)hexa-2,4-diyne (3e)

- <sup>30</sup> Brown solid, m.p.: 175-177 °C; yield: 72%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ : 2.33 (s, 6H), 5.27 (s, 4H), 6.50 (d, J = 3.1, 2H), 7.23 (d, J = 7.9, 4H), 7.38 (d, J = 3.1, 2H), 7.44 (dd, J = 8.6 and 1.6, 2H), 7.53-7.55 (m, 6H) and 7.79-7.80 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ : 20.6, 35.6, 67.4, 75.4, 102.1,
- <sup>35</sup> 110.3, 118.3, 120.8, 126.5, 128.9, 129.1, 129.4, 132.1, 134.9, 135.5 and 138.5. IR (KBr, v): 2915, 1616, 1476, 1335, 799 and 720 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (*m*/*z*, rel. int., %): 489 [20, (M+1)<sup>+</sup>], 488 (50, M<sup>+</sup>), 282 (22), 281 (32), 267 (28), 266 (20), 244 (17), 208 (20), 207 (100), 206 (64), 204 (25), 97 (23) and 57 (46). HRMS (ESI-40 TOF, *m*/*z*): Obsd. 511.2129; C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>28</sub>N<sub>2</sub>Na [(M+Na)<sup>+</sup>] requires

#### 1,6-Bis(9H-carbazol-9-yl)hexa-2,4-diyne (3f)

511.2145.

- White crystalline solid, m.p.: 204 °C (dec); yield: 60%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR <sup>45</sup> (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ : 5.39 (s, 4H), 7.20-7.24 (m, 4H), 7.42-7.46 (m, 4H), 7.59 (d, J = 8.1, 4H) and 8.12 (d, J = 7.6, 4H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ : 32.2, 66.5, 74.8, 109.2, 119.4, 120.1, 122.4, 125.7 and 139.3. IR (KBr, v): 3050, 2910, 1625, 1599, 1485, 1454, 1325, 747 and 720 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (m/z, so rel. int., %): 409 [16, (M+1)<sup>+</sup>], 408 (50, M<sup>+</sup>), 242 (36), 241
- <sup>50</sup> rel. int., %): 409 [16, (M+1)'], 408 (50, M'), 242 (36), 241 (100), 167 (18), 166 (29) and 140 (16). HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z): Obsd. 431.1521;  $C_{30}H_{20}N_2Na$  [(M+Na)<sup>+</sup>] requires 431.1524.

## General procedure for the preparation of unsymmetric 1,3- $^{\rm 55}$ diynes $({\rm 5a-f})^{\rm 24}$

Solid CuI (10 mg, 0.05 mmol, 5 mol%) and NiCl<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O (12 mg, 0.05 mmol, 5 mol%) were added to a stirred solution of TMEDA (30  $\mu$ L, 0.2 mmol, 20 mol%) in THF (4 mL)<sub>D</sub>Thearty sector Addition (4a,b, 5 mmol) and the *N*-propargyl indole or carbazole (1 mmol)

<sup>60</sup> were successively added and the system was allowed to stir at room temperature for 6 h. Then, the volatiles were evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was chromatographically purified, eluting with hexane.

#### 65 1-(5-Phenylpenta-2,4-diynyl)-1H-indole (5a)

Light brown solid, m.p.: 68-70 °C; yield: 76%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz)  $\delta$ : 4.97 (s, 2H), 6.53 (dd, J = 3.2 and 0.6, 1H), 7.12-7.19 (m, 2H), 7.23-7.34 (m, 4H), 7.38 (d, J = 8.2, 1H), 7.43-7.45 (m, 2H) and 7.63 (d, J = 7.8, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz)  $\delta$ : 70 36.6, 69.9, 73.2, 76.4, 78.2, 102.4, 109.3, 120.0, 121.1, 121.2, 122.0, 127.2, 128.4, 128.9, 129.4, 132.6 and 135.8. IR (KBr, v): 3045, 2942, 2240, 1609, 1573, 1513, 1483, 1355, 1304, 1254, 1192, 752, 732 and 686 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (m/z, rel. int., %): 256 [15, (M+1)<sup>+</sup>], 255 (73, M<sup>+</sup>), 254 (44), 140 (12), 139 (100), 113 75 (9) and 89 (14). HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z): Obsd. 278.0948; C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>13</sub>NNa [(M+Na)<sup>+</sup>] requires 278.0946.

#### 1-(5-p-Tolylpenta-2,4-diynyl)-1H-indole (5b)

Light brown solid, m.p.: 83-85 °C; yield: 70%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz)  $\delta$ : 2.33 (s, 3H), 4.99 (s, 2H), 6.54 (dd, J = 3.2 and 0.5, 1H), 7.09 (d, J = 8.0, 2H), 7.12-7.16 (m, 1H), 7.18 (d, J = 3.2, 1H), 7.24-7.27 (m, 1H), 7.35 (d, J = 8.1, 2H), 7.40 (d, J = 8.3, 1H) and 7.64 (d, J = 7.9, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz)  $\delta$ : 21.5, 36.7, 70.1, 72.7, 76.1, 78.6, 102.4, 109.3, 118.2, 120.0, 85 121.1, 122.0, 127.2, 129.0, 129.2, 132.5, 135.9 and 139.8. IR (KBr, v): 3028, 2951, 2239, 1604, 1508, 1462, 1336, 1314, 1257, 1182, 811, 742 and 720 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (m/z, rel. int., %): 270 [14, (M+1)<sup>+</sup>], 269 (63, M<sup>+</sup>), 268 (25), 207 (13), 154 (14), 153 (100) and 152 (23). HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z): Obsd. 270.1255;

 $_{90} C_{20}H_{16}N [(M+H)^+]$  requires 270.1283.

105

#### 5-Methoxy-1-(5-phenylpenta-2,4-diynyl)-1*H*-indole (5c)

Beige solid, m.p: 105-107 °C; yield: 83%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz)  $\delta$ : 3.84 (s, 3H), 4.95 (s, 2H), 6.45 (d, *J* = 3.0, 1H), 6.91 (dd, *J* = <sup>95</sup> 8.8 and 2.3, 1H), 7.09 (d, *J* = 2.3, 1H), 7.14 (d, *J* = 3.0, 1H), 7.26-7.35 (m, 4H) and 7.44-7.46 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz)  $\delta$ : 36.8, 55.9, 69.8, 73.2, 76.5, 78.2, 102.0, 103.0, 110.0, 112.3, 121.2, 127.9, 128.4, 129.4, 131.2, 132.6 and 154.5. IR (KBr, v): 2943, 2901, 2244, 1619, 1574, 1486, 1451, 1422, 1247, 1237, 1152, 1026, 801, 757, 732, and 687

<sup>100</sup> 1423, 1347, 1237, 1152, 1026, 801, 757, 722 and 687 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (m/z, rel. int., %): 286 [16, (M+1)<sup>+</sup>], 285 (69, M<sup>+</sup>), 281 (11), 254 (11), 207 (29), 140 (13) and 139 (100). HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z): Obsd. 308.1039; C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>15</sub>NNaO [(M+Na)<sup>+</sup>] requires 308.1046.

#### 5-Bromo-1-(5-p-tolylpenta-2,4-diynyl)-1H-indole (5d)

White solid, m.p.: 120-122 °C; yield: 60%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz)  $\delta$ : 2.33 (s, 3H), 4.96 (s, 2H), 6.46 (d, J = 3.1, 1H), 7.09 (d, J = 8.0, 2H), 7.17 (d, J = 3.1, 1H), 7.26 (d, J = 8.7, 1H), 7.31-7.36 <sup>110</sup> (m, 3H) and 7.74 (d, J = 1.6, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz)  $\delta$ : 21.6,

<sup>8 |</sup> RSC Adv., 2014, vol, 00-00

SC Advances Accepted Manuscri

36.9, 70.5, 72.5, 75.4, 78.9, 102.0, 110.8, 113.4, 118.0, 123.6, 124.9, 128.5, 129.2, 130.7, 132.6, 134.6 and 140.0. IR (KBr, v): 2916, 2891, 2247, 1650, 1560, 1508, 1463, 1433, 1400, 1344, 1268, 1242, 1210, 823, 794, 755 and 723 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (m/z, rel. int., %): 349 [8, (M+2)<sup>+</sup>], 347 (9, M<sup>+</sup>), 209 (12), 208 (21), 207 (100), 153 (44), 133 (15), 96 (17) and 73 (33). HRMS (ESI-TOF, *m/z*): Obsd. 370.0190; C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>14</sub>BrNNa [(M+Na)<sup>+</sup>] requires 370.0202.

#### 10 9-(5-Phenylpenta-2,4-diynyl)-9H-carbazole (5e)

White crystalline solid, m.p: 154-155 °C; yield: 80%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) &: 5.58 (s, 2H), 7.25-7.28 (m, 2H), 7.34-7.44 (m, 3H), 7.47-7.53 (m, 4H), 7.72 (d, J = 8.2, 2H) and 8.18 (d, J = 7.8, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d^6$ ) &: 32.6, 15 67.0, 72.9, 77.2, 79.4, 109.4, 119.5, 119.9, 120.3, 122.5, 125.9, 128.6, 129.8, 132.3 and 139.5. IR (KBr, v): 3053, 2914, 2244, 1626, 1597, 1487, 1456, 1329, 748, 720 and 684 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (m/z, rel. int., %): 305 (32, M<sup>+</sup>), 304 (24), 166 (10), 140 (21) and 139 (100). Anal. Calc.: C, 90.46; H, 4.95; N, 4.59. Found: C, 20 90.10; H, 4.91; N, 4.29.

#### 9-(5-p-Tolylpenta-2,4-diynyl)-9H-carbazole (5f)

White crystalline solid, m.p: 155-156 °C; yield: 85%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) &: 2.27 (s, 3H), 5.57 (s, 2H), 7.15 (d, J <sup>25</sup> = 7.9, 2H), 7.24-7.28 (m, 2H), 7.36 (d, J = 7.9, 2H), 7.49-7.53 (m, 2H), 7.72 (d, J = 8.2, 2H) and 8.17 (d, J = 7.7, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) &: 20.9, 32.6, 67.2, 72.4, 77.5, 78.9, 109.4, 116.8, 119.5, 120.2, 122.5, 125.8, 129.2, 132.2, 139.5 and 139.9. IR (KBr, v): 3052, 2912, 2242, 1627, 1603, <sup>30</sup> 1489, 1455, 1332, 812, 746 and 719 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (*m*/*z*, rel. int., %): 319 (5, M<sup>+</sup>), 170 (65), 150 (12), 135 (22), 133 (57), 103 (21), 102 (29), 86 (100), 84 (100) and 66 (80). HRMS (ESI–TOF, *m*/*z*): Obsd. 320.1420; C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N [(M+H)<sup>+</sup>] requires 320.1434.

#### 35 General procedure for the synthesis of 3,5-disubstituted 1,2isoxazoles (6a-f) from the symmetric 1,3-diynes (3a-f)

A stirred mixture of the symmetric diyne (**3**, 0.3 mmol), H<sub>2</sub>NOH.HCl (48 mg, 0.75 mmol, 2.5 equiv.) and Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.1 mL, 0.75 mmol, 2.5 equiv.) in PEG-400 (0.5 mL) was heated at 80 °C 40 for 12 h. After the reaction was completed, water (10 mL) was added and the products were extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 15$  mL). The combined extracts were dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>; the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the oily residue was chromatographed using hexane: EtOAc (85:15) as eluent.

# 5-(2-(1*H*-Indol-1-yl)ethyl)-3-((1*H*-indol-1-yl)methyl)-1,2-isoxazole (6a)

Beige solid, m.p.: 90-92 °C; yield: 89%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz)  $\delta$ : 3.05 (t, J = 7.0, 2H), 4.28 (t, J = 7.0, 2H), 5.18 (s, 2H), 5.42 (s, <sup>50</sup> 1H), 6.35 (d, J = 3.1, 1H), 6.49 (d, J = 3.1, 1H), 6.80 (d, J = 3.1, 1H), 6.98 (d, J = 3.1, 1H), 7.04-7.19 (m, 5H), 7.26 (d, J = 8.2, 1H), 7.56 (dd, J = 7.9 and 0.6, 1H) and 7.60 (dd, J = 7.9 and 0.6, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz)  $\delta$ : 27.7, 41.7, 43.8, 101.3, 101.9, 102.5, 108.8, 109.3, 119.6, 119.9, 121.1, 121.1, 121.7, <sup>55</sup> 122.0, 127.3, 127.6, 128.7, 128.8, 135.6, 136.1, 160.8 and 170.2. IR (KBr, v): 3099, 3051, 2924, 1709, 1610, 1513, 1477, 1461, 1429, 1338, 1314, 1257, 1213, 1180, 1011 and 739 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (m/z, rel. int., %): 341 (14, M<sup>+</sup>), 131 (10), 130 Mar Article Online (10). HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z): Obsd. 364.1425; C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>19</sub>N<sub>3</sub>NaO ((M+Na)<sup>+</sup>) requires 364.1426.

#### 5-(2-(5-Methoxy-1*H*-indol-1-yl)ethyl)-3-((5-methoxy-1*H*-indol-1-yl)methyl)-1,2-isoxazole (6b)

Beige solid, m.p.: 94-95 °C; yield: 71%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz)  $\delta$ : <sup>65</sup> 3.09 (t, J = 7.0, 2H), 3.82 (s, 6H), 4.30 (t, J = 7.0, 2H), 5.19 (s, 2H), 5.46 (s, 1H), 6.29 (d, J = 3.0, 1H), 6.42 (d, J = 3.0, 1H), 6.81-6.87 (m, 3H), 6.98 (d, J = 3.0, 1H), 7.04 (d, J = 2.4, 1H), 7.07-7.09 (m, 2H) and 7.15 (d, J = 8.9, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz)  $\delta$ : 28.0, 42.0, 44.1, 55.9, 101.4, 101.5, 102.1, 103.1, <sup>70</sup> 103.1, 109.5, 110.1, 112.1, 112.4, 127.9, 128.2, 129.1, 129.3, 131.0, 131.5, 154.3, 154.5, 161.0 and 170.2. IR (KBr, v): 3123, 2948, 2829, 1715, 1612, 1484, 1445, 1245, 1237, 1147, 1030, 802, 757 and 730 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (m/z, rel. int., %): 401 (33, M<sup>+</sup>), 281 (36), 208 (18), 207 (83), 161 (11), 160 (100) and 117 <sup>75</sup> (44). Anal. Calc.: C, 71.80; H, 5.77; N, 10.47. Found: C, 71.53; H, 5.55; N, 10.06.

# 5-(2-(5-Bromo-1*H*-indol-1-yl)ethyl)-3-((5-bromo-1*H*-indol-1-yl) methyl)-1,2-isoxazole (6c)

- <sup>80</sup> Beige solid, m.p.: 99-101 °C; yield: 83%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz)  $\delta$ : 3.07 (t, J = 6.8, 2H), 4.30 (t, J = 6.8, 2H), 5.16 (s, 2H), 5.36 (s, 1H), 6.27 (d, J = 3.1, 1H), 6.43 (d, J = 3.1, 1H), 6.80 (d, J = 2.3, 1H), 6.97-7.00 (m, 2H), 7.09 (d, J = 8.7, 1H), 7.18 (d, J = 8.7, 1H), 7.24 (d, J = 8.7, 1H), 7.67 (s, 1H) and 7.72 (s, 1H).
- <sup>85</sup> <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz) δ: 27.8, 41.9, 44.0, 101.4, 101.4, 102.0, 110.2, 110.7, 112.8, 113.2, 123.5, 123.5, 124.5, 124.8, 128.4, 128.8, 130.1, 130.4, 134.1, 134.5, 160.4 and 170.0. IR (KBr, v): 3125, 3099, 2932, 1712, 1601, 1510, 1467, 1329, 1276, 1192, 1049, 870, 794, 754, and 718 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (*m*/*z*, rel. int., %): 501
- <sup>90</sup> [11, (M+2)<sup>+</sup>], 499 (17, M<sup>+</sup>), 420 (36), 419 (15), 418 (37), 250 (11), 248 (11), 210 (97), 208 (100) and 129 (72). HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z): Obsd. 499.9775; C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>18</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O [(M+H)<sup>+</sup>] requires 499.9796.

#### <sup>95</sup> 5-(2-(2-Methyl-1*H*-indol-1-yl)ethyl)-3-((2-methyl-1*H*-indol-1yl) methyl)-1,2-isoxazole (6d)

Brown solid, m.p: 112-114 °C; yield: 58%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz)  $\delta$ : 2.11 (s, 3H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 2.96 (t, J = 7.1, 2H), 4.18 (t, J = 7.1, 2H), 5.14 (s, 2H), 5.30 (s, 1H), 6.07 (s, 1H), 6.24 (s, 1H), <sup>100</sup> 7.00-7.19 (m, 6H), 7.44 (d, J = 7.7, 1H) and 7.49 (d, J = 7.7, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz)  $\delta$ : 12.2, 12.5, 27.2, 38.4, 40.7, 100.5, 101.1, 101.3, 108.4, 108.7, 119.5, 119.8, 119.8, 119.8, 120.6, 121.0, 128.2, 128.3, 135.9, 136.1, 136.1, 136.7, 161.1 and 170.1. IR (KBr, v): 3050, 2916, 1603, 1553, 1462, 1397, 1341, 1312, 1166, 777, 777 and 743 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (*m*/*z*, rel. int., %): 369 (28, M<sup>+</sup>), 206 (22), 145 (12), 144 (100), 143 (11) and 115 (12). HRMS (ESI-TOF, *m*/*z*): Obsd. 392.1720; C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>23</sub>N<sub>3</sub>NaO

110 5-(2-(5-p-Tolyl-1H-indol-1-yl)ethyl)-3-((5-p-tolyl-1H-indol-1-yl) methyl)-1,2-isoxazole (6e)

 $[(M+Na)^{+}]$  requires 392.1733.

Light brown solid, m.p.: 55-56 °C; yield: 63%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz)  $\delta$ : 2.34 (s, 6H), 2.97 (t, J = 6.7, 2H), 4.19 (t, J = 6.7, 2H), 5.11 (s, 2H), 5.38 (s, 1H), 6.36 (d, J = 3.0, 1H), 6.48 (d, J = 3.0, 1H), 6.76 (d, J = 3.1, 1H), 6.92 (d, J = 3.1, 1H), 7.13 <sup>5</sup> (d, J = 8.5, 1H), 7.18 (d, J = 7.9, 4H), 7.23 (d, J = 8.5, 1H), 7.34-7.39 (m, 2H), 7.48 (d, J = 7.9, 4H), 7.74 (s, 1H) and 7.77 (s, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz)  $\delta$ : 20.9, 27.7, 41.7, 43.8, 101.4, 102.2, 102.8, 109.0, 109.5, 119.2, 121.4, 121.7, 127.1, 127.9, 128.2, 129.1, 129.3, 129.3, 133.0, 133.4, 134.9, 135.4, 135.9, 10 135.9, 139.3, 160.7 and 170.1. IR (KBr, v): 3098, 3020, 2919, 1602, 1516, 1477, 1450, 1335, 1260, 1181, 886, 798, 762 and 722 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (*m*/*z*, rel. int., %): 521 (22, M<sup>+</sup>), 260 (13), 221 (21), 220 (100), 207 (12) and 204 (15). HRMS (ESI–TOF, *m*/*z*): Obsd. 544.2350; C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>31</sub>N<sub>3</sub>NaO [(M+Na)<sup>+</sup>] requires 544.2359.

15

Published on 06 November 2014. Downloaded by Ondoku Mayis Universitesi on 09/11/2014 11:22:51

#### 5-(2-(9H-Carbazol-9-yl)ethyl)-3-((9H-carbazol-9-yl) methyl)-1,2-isoxazole (6f)

White solid, m.p.: 167-168 °C; yield: 89%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) δ: 3.13 (t, J = 7.0, 2H), 4.59 (t, J = 7.0, 2H), 5.60 <sup>20</sup> (s, 2H), 6.01 (s, 1H), 7.14-7.18 (m, 2H), 7.23-7.26 (m, 2H), 7.29-7.33 (m, 2H), 7.43 (d, J = 8.2, 2H), 7.45-7.49 (m, 2H), 7.59 (d, J = 8.2, 2H), 8.08 (d, J = 7.7, 2H) and 8.16 (d, J = 7.7, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) δ: 25.5, 37.7, 40.2, 101.4, 108.9, 109.2, 118.8, 119.1, 120.0, 120.1, 122.0, 122.2, 125.5, 125.7, <sup>25</sup> 139.5, 139.7, 160.1 and 170.6. IR (KBr, v): 3051, 1599, 1486, 1456, 1329, 1232, 750 and 722. MS (m/z, rel. int., %): 441 (17, M<sup>+</sup>), 181 (19), 180 (100), 167 (77), 149 (40) and 105 (39). Anal. Calc.: C, 81.61; H, 5.25; N, 9.52. Found: C, 81.58; H, 5.23; N, 9.25.

#### General procedure for the synthesis of 3,5-disubstituted-1,2isoxazoles (7a-f) from the unsymmetric 1,3-diynes (5a-f)

A stirred mixture of the unsymmetrical diyne (5, 0.3 mmol),  $\rm H_2NOH.HCl$  (48 mg, 0.75 mmol, 2.5 equiv.) and Et\_3N (0.17 mL,

<sup>35</sup> 1.2 mmol, 4.0 equiv.) in PEG-400 (0.5 mL) was heated at 100 °C for 12 h. After the reaction was completed, water (10 mL) was added and the products were extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 15$  mL). The combined extracts were dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>; the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the oily residue was <sup>40</sup> chromatographed using hexane:EtOAc (90:10) as eluent.

#### 3-((1H-Indol-1-yl)methyl)-5-benzyl-1,2-isoxazole (7a)

Beige waxy solid; yield: 85%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz)  $\delta$ : 3.92 (s, 2H), 5.24 (s, 2H), 5.60 (s, 1H), 6.51 (d, J = 3.1, 1H), 7.06 (d, J = 3.1, 1H), 7.08-7.13 (m, 3H), 7.16-7.27 (m, 4H), 7.33 (d, J = 8.2, 1H) and 7.60 (d, J = 7.8, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz)  $\delta$ : 33.1, 41.8, 100.6, 102.4, 109.3, 119.8, 121.0, 122.0, 127.1, 127.7, 128.7, 128.7, 128.8, 135.5, 136.1, 160.7 and 172.9. IR (KBr, v): 3111, 3027, 2924, 2860, 1724, 1598, 1468, 1420,

<sup>50</sup> 1327, 1260, 1186, 1131, 996, 822, 746, 743 and 709. MS (m/z, rel. int., %): 289 [10, (M+1)<sup>+</sup>], 288 (49, M<sup>+</sup>), 197 (54), 169 (17), 131 (12), 130 (100), 103 (23) and 91 (17). HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z): Obsd. 311.1170; C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub>NaO [(M+Na)<sup>+</sup>] requires 311.1160.

3-((1H-Indol-1-yl)methyl)-5-(4-methylbenzyl)-1,2-isoxazole

#### (7b)

- <sup>60</sup> 1H), 7.00-7.11 (m, 6H), 7.17 (d, J = 8.1, 1H), 7.33 (d, J = 8.1, 1H) and 7.60 (d, J = 7.8, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz) & 20.9, 32.7, 41.8, 100.5, 102.4, 109.3, 119.8, 121.0, 122.0, 127.6, 128.6, 128.7, 129.4, 132.4, 136.1, 136.7, 160.7 and 173.3. IR (KBr, v): 2924, 2860, 1728, 1603, 1468, 1460, 1268, 1226 and <sup>65</sup> 751 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (m/z, rel. int., %): 303 [15, (M+1)<sup>+</sup>], 302 (60, M<sup>+</sup>), 207 (14) 197 (29) 170 (40) 169 (53) 131 (13) and 130 (100)
- 207 (14), 197 (29), 170 (40), 169 (53), 131 (13) and 130 (100). HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z): Obsd. 303.1543; C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>19</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O [(M+H)<sup>+</sup>] requires 303.1492.

# <sup>70</sup> **5-Benzyl-3-((5-methoxy-1***H***-indol-1-yl)methyl)-1,2-isoxazole** (7c)

Brown oil; yield: 75%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz)  $\delta$ : 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.93 (s, 2H), 5.20 (s, 2H), 5.60 (s, 1H), 6.42 (d, J = 3.0, 1H), 6.85 (dd, J = 8.9 and 2.4, 1H), 7.03 (d, J = 3.0, 1H), 7.06 (d, J = 2.4,

<sup>75</sup> 1H), 7.12-7.14 (m, 2H) and 7.19-7.28 (m, 4H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz) δ: 33.0, 42.0, 55.7, 110.6, 101.9, 102.8, 110.1, 112.2, 127.1, 128.2, 128.6, 128.7, 129.1, 131.3, 135.5, 154.3, 160.7 and 172.9. IR (film, v): 2939, 2833, 1599, 1484, 1443, 1341, 1243, 1144, 1032, 801 and 715 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (*m/z*, rel. int., %): 319 <sup>80</sup> [24, (M+1)<sup>+</sup>], 318 (100, M<sup>+</sup>), 303 (17), 227 (31), 160 (74), 117 (46) and 91 (32). HRMS (ESI-TOF, *m/z*): Obsd. 341.1260; C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>2</sub>NaO<sub>2</sub> [(M+Na)<sup>+</sup>] requires 341.1260.

#### 3-((5-Bromo-1*H*-indol-1-yl)methyl)-5-(4-methylbenzyl)-1,2-85 isoxazole (7d)

Brown oil; yield: 76%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz)  $\delta$ : 2.29 (s, 3H), 3.90 (s, 2H), 5.23 (s, 2H), 5.58 (s, 1H), 6.44 (dd, J = 3.2 and 0.7, 1H), 7.02-7.08 (m, 5H), 7.19-7.25 (m, 2H) and 7.72 (d, J = 1.8, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz)  $\delta$ : 21.0, 32.7, 42.1, 100.4, 102.0,

<sup>90</sup> 110.8, 113.2, 123.5, 124.8, 128.6, 128.9, 129.4, 130.4, 132.3, 134.7, 136.8, 160.2 and 173.5. IR (film, v): 2922, 1600, 1514, 1467, 1434, 1334, 1278, 1187, 792, 762 and 719 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (*m/z*, rel. int., %): 382 [84, (M+2)<sup>+</sup>], 380 (85, M<sup>+</sup>), 277 (26), 275 (26), 210 (70), 208 (74), 196 (64), 130 (18), 129 (100), 128 (22)
<sup>95</sup> and 105 (31). HRMS (ESI-TOF, *m/z*): Obsd. 403.0402;

 $C_{20}H_{17}BrN_2NaO[(M+Na)^+]$  requires 403.0416.

#### 3-((9H-Carbazol-9-yl)methyl)-5-benzyl-1,2-isoxazole (7e)

White solid, m.p.: 103-104 °C; yield: 84%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, <sup>100</sup> DMSO- $d_6$ ) & 4.02 (s, 2H), 5.67 (s, 2H), 5.93 (s, 1H), 7.18-7.29 (m, 6H), 7.43-7.47 (m, 3H), 7.66 (d, J = 8.2, 2H) and 8.15 (d, J = 7.4, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) & 31.7, 37.7, 100.7, 109.1, 119.1, 120.0, 122.2, 125.6, 126.6, 128.3, 128.4, 135.9, 139.7, 160.2 and 172.3. IR (KBr, v): 3113, 3050, <sup>105</sup> 3030, 2927, 1598, 1487, 1456, 1425, 1329, 1261, 1207, 751,

725 and 705 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (m/z, rel. int., %): 339 [11, (M+1)<sup>+</sup>], 338 (46, M<sup>+</sup>), 281 (17), 247 (17), 207 (56), 180 (100) and 91 (31). Anal. Calc.: C, 81.63; H, 5.36; N, 8.28. Found: C, 81.17; H, 5.28; N, 7.89.

110

#### $\label{eq:2.1} 3-((9H\mbox{-}Carbazol\mbox{-}9\mbox{-}yl)\mbox{methyl})\mbox{-}5-(4\mbox{-}methyl\mbox{benzyl})\mbox{-}1,2\mbox{-}$

**<sup>10</sup>** | *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **vol**, 00–00

SC Advances Accepted Manuscrip

#### isoxazole (7f)

- White solid, m.p.: 108-110 °C; yield: 89%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ: 2.22 (s, 3H), 3.95 (s, 2H), 5.67 (s, 2H), 5.90 (s, 1H), 7.06 (s, 4H), 7.20-7.24 (m, 2H), 7.43-7.47 (m, 2H), 7.67 s (d, *J* = 8.2, 2H) and 8.15 (d, *J* = 7.7, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ: 20.4, 31.4, 37.8, 100.6, 109.2, 119.1, 120.1, 122.2, 125.7, 128.4, 129.0, 132.9, 135.8, 139.7, 160.2 and 172.7. IR (KBr, v): 3052, 2954, 2924, 2856, 1730, 1598, 1515, 1483, 1455, 1326, 1206, 1154, 775, 751 and 726 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (*m/z*, rel. <sup>10</sup> int., %): 352 (18, M<sup>+</sup>), 180 (34), 84 (63) and 78 (100). HRMS
- (ESI-TOF, m/z): Obsd. 353.1635; C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O [(M+H)<sup>+</sup>] requires 353.1648.

#### Acknowledgements

<sup>15</sup> The authors are thankful to MCT/CNPq and CAPES, for financial support. TSK also thanks CONICET and ANPCyT.

#### **References and notes**

- a) T. M. V. D. Pinho e Melo, *Curr. Org. Chem.*, 2005, 9, 925–958; b)
   K. A. Kumar and P. Jayaroopa, *Int. J. Pharm. Chem. Biol. Sci.*, 2013, 3, 294–304.
- a) K. Frydenvang, D. S. Pickering, J. R. Greenwood, N. Krogsgaard-Larsen, L. Brehm, B. Nielsen, S. B. Vogensen, H. Hald, J. S. Kastrup, P. Krogsgaard-Larsen and R. P. Clausen, J. Med. Chem., 2010, 53, 8354–8361; b) U. Madsen, H. Bräuner-Osborne, K. Frydenvang, L. Hvene, T. N. Johansen, B. Nielsen, C. Sánchez, T. B. Stensbøl, F. Bischoff and P. Krogsgaard-Larsen, J. Med. Chem., 2001, 44, 1051–1059; c) S. Sakuda and M. Kimura, Toxins of Microorganisms in Comprehensive Natural Products II, Vol. 4. L. Mander and H.-W. Liu (Eds.), Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2010, pp. 411–455; d) L. Rahbak and C. Christophersen, The Isoxazole Alkaloids, in: The Alkaloids: Chemistry and Biology, Vol. 57, E. C. Taylor (Ed.), Wiley, New York, 2001, pp. 185–233.
- a) J. J. Talley, D. L. Brown, J. S. Carter, M. J. Graneto, C. M. Koboldt, J. L. Masferrer, W. E. Perkins, R. S. Rogers, A. F. Shaffer, Y. Y. Zhang, B. S. Zweifel and K. Seibert, J. Med. Chem., 2000, 43, 775–777; b) M. P. Giovannoni, C. Vergelli, C. Ghelardini, N. Galeotti, A. Bartolini and V. Dal Piaz, J. Med. Chem., 2003, 46, 1055–1059; c) W.-T. Li, D.-R. Hwang, C.-P. Chen, C.-W. Shen, C.-L. Huang, T.-W. Chen, C.-H. Lin, Y.-L. Chang, Y.-Y. Chang, Y.-K. Lo, H.-Y. Tseng, C.-C. Lin, J.-S. Song, H.-C. Chen, S.-J. Chen, S.-H. Wu and C.-T. Chen, J. Med. Chem., 2003, 46, 1706–1715.
- 4. a) The Chemistry of Heterocycles: Structures, Reactions, Synthesis, and Applications, T. Eicher, S. Hauptmann and A. Speicher (Eds.), Wiley, NY, 2013, p. 15; b) The Isoxazoles: Leflunomide, Muscimol, Flucloxacillin, Sulfamethoxazole, Sitaxentan, Dicloxacillin, Abt–418, Valdecoxib, Sia, Ibotenic Acid, LLC Books, 2010.
- a) F. Bendrath, A. Villinger and P. Langer, J. Organomet. Chem., 2011, 696, 1388–1393; b) A. Schmidt, D. Michalik, S. Rotzoll, E. Ullah, C. Fischer, H. Reinke, H. Görls and P. Langer, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2008, 6, 2804–2814; c) S. El Kharrat, P. Laurent and H. Blancou, Synlett, 2009, 9–22.
- a) T. M. Kaiser, J. Huang and J. Yang, J. Org. Chem., 2013, 78, 6297–6302; b) J. B. Sperry and D. L. Wright, Curr. Opin. Drug Discov. Dev., 2005, 8, 723–740; c) B. Heasley, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 8474–8477.
- a) H. Pellisier, *Tetrahedron*, 2007, **63**, 3235–3285; b) V. Nair and T. D. Suja, *Tetrahedron*, 2007, **63**, 12247–12275; c) M. Pineiro and T. M. V. D. Pinto e Melo, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, 5287–5307; d) L. Johnson, J. Powers, F. Ma, K. Jendza, B. Wang, E. Meredith and N. Mainolfi, *Synthesis*, 2013, **45**, 171–173; e) H.-L. Liu, Z.-F. Geng, S. Y. Zhang and J. Han, *Heterocycles*, 2014, **89**, 1221–1227.

- a) W. Shi and A. Lei, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2014, 55, 2763–2772; b) I. A. Maretina and B. A. Trofimov, *Adv. Heterocyclic Chem.*, 2002, 82, 157–259. View Article Online
- a) X. Liang, C.-J. Lee, X. Chen, H.-S. Chang, 19.12566, 40.48574F
   Raetz, Y. Li, P. Zhou and E. J. Toone, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2011, 19, 852–860; b) X. Liang, C.-J. Lee, J. Zhao, E. J. Toone and P. Zhou, J. Med. Chem., 2013, 56, 6954–6966; c) S. Kyi, N. Wongkattiya, A. C. Warden, M. S. O'Shea, M. Deighton, I. Macreadie and F. H. M. Graichen, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2010, 20, 4555–4557; d) L. Manzoni, L. Belvisi, A. Bianchi, A. Conti, C. Drago, M. De Matteo, L. Ferrante, E. Mastrangelo, P. Perego, D. Potenza, C. Scolastico, F. Servida, G. Timpano, F. Vasile, V. Rizzo and P. Seneci, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 2012, 20, 6687–6708.
- a) L. Zhang, H. Gopee, D. L. Hughes and A. N. Cammidge, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, 46, 4255–4257; b) G. Jeschke, M. Sajid, M. Schulte, N. Ramezanian, A. Volkov, H. Zimmermann and A. Godt, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, 132, 10107–10117; c) M. Taniguchi and J. S. Lindsey, *Tetrahedron*, 2010, 66, 5549–5565; d) G. Venkataramana, P. Dongare, L. N. Dawe, D. W. Thompson, Y. Zhao and G. J. Bodwell, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, 13, 2240–2243.
- a) M. L. Lerch, M. K. Harper and D. J. Faulkner, J. Nat. Prod., 2003, 66, 667–670; b) M. Ladika, T. E. Fisk, W. W. Wu and S. D. Jons, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, 116, 12093–12094; c) S. F. Mayer, A. Steinreiber, R. V. A. Orru and K. Faber, J. Org. Chem., 2002, 67, 9115–9121; d) G. Zeni, R. B. Panatieri, E. Lissner, P. H. Menezes, A. L. Braga and H. A. Stefani, Org. Lett., 2001, 3, 819–821; e) Y.-Z. Zhou, H.-Y. Ma, H. Chen, L. Qiao, Y. Yao, J.-Q. Cao and Y.-H. Pei, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 2006, 54, 1455–1456.
- a) D. Lechner, M. Stavri, M. Oluwatuyi, R. Pereda-Miranda and S. Gibbons, *Phytochemistry*, 2004, **65**, 331–335; b) M. Kobaisy, Z. Abramowski, L. Lermer, G. Saxena, R. E. W. Hancock, G. H. N. Towers, D. Doxsee and R. W. Stokes, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 1997, **60**, 1210–1213.
- a) W. E. Brenzovich Jr., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2012, **51**, 8933– 8935; b) B. Lu, C. Li and L. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, **132**, 14070–14072; c) B. M. Trost, Z. T. Ball and K. M. Laemmerhold, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, **127**, 10028–10038.
- J. Moran, S. I. Gorelsky, E. Dimitrijevic, M.-E. Lebrun, A.-C. Bédard, C. Séguin and A. M. Beauchemin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, 130, 17893–17906.
- a) C. Praveen, A. Kalyanasundaram and P. T. Perumal, *Synlett*, 2010, 777–781; b) M. Ueda, A. Sato, Y. Ikeda, T. Miyoshi, T. Naito and O. Miyata, *Org. Lett.*, 2010, **12**, 2594–2597; c) O. Debleds, E. Gayon, E. Ostaszuk, E. Vrancken and J. M. Campagne, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2010, **16**, 12207–12213; d) M. Ueda, Y. Ikeda, A. Sato, Y. Ito, M. Kakiuchi, H. Shono, T. Miyoshi, T. Naito and O. Miyata, *Tetrahedron*, 2011, **67**, 4612–4615; e) Z. She, D. Niu, L. Chen, M. A. Gunawan, X. Shanja, W. H. Hersh and Y. Chen, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2012, **77**, 3627–3633; f) M. Ueda, S. Sugita, A. Sato, T. Miyoshi and O. Miyata, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2012, **77**, 9344–9351.
- a) J. P. Waldo and R. C. Larock, *Org. Lett.*, 2005, **7**, 5203–5205; b) J.
   P. Waldo and R. C. Larock, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2007, **72**, 9643–9647.
- a) K. M. Short and C. B. Ziegler Jr., *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1993, 34, 75– 78; b) E. Gayon, O. Quinonero, S. Lemouzy, E. Vrancken and J.-M. Campagne, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, 13, 6418–6421; c) P. A. Allegretti and E. M. Ferreira, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, 4, 1053–1058.
- a) G. Himbert, D. Faul and M. Barz, Z. Naturforsch. B 1991, 46b, 955–968; b) E. S. Turbanova, N. P. Stepanova, V. B. Lebedev, V. A. Galishev and A. A. Petrov, J. Org. Chem. USSR (Eng. Transl.) 1983, 19, 204; Z. Org. Khim., 1983, 19, 221; c) L. Wang, X. Yu, X. Feng and M. Bao, Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 2418–2421; d) Naveen, S. A. Babu, G. Kaur, N. A. Aslam and M. Karanam, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 18904– 18916.
- a) C. Li, X. Liu, M. Yuan, J. Li, Y. Guo, J. Xu, M. Zhu, J. Lv, H. Liu, and Y. Li, *Langmuir*, 2007, 23, 6754–6760; b) H. E. Montenegro, P. Ramírez–López, M. C. de la Torre, M. Asenjo and M. A. Sierra, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2010, 16, 3798–3814; c) B. Alcaide, P. Almendros, C. Aragoncillo and G. Gómez-Campillos, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2011, 364–370; d) B. Alcaide, P. Almendros, R. Carrascosa, R. López and M. I. Menéndez, *Tetrahedron*, 2012, 68, 10748–10760; e) H. Gan, H. Liu, Y. Li, Q. Zhao, Y. Li, S. Wang, T. Jiu, N. Wang, X. He, D. Yu

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2014

dgeme re thanl also the s and . D. Pinh ar and F . . Brehm . Krogs 8354-{ g, L. Hv F. Bisch 1051-1 misms in d H.-W. Rabbak *Ikaloids:* ey, New alley, D . L. Mas ng, B. S. b) M. A. Barto D; c) W.-T.-W. C Tseng, C .-T. Cher temistry cations, (, 2013, illin, Sulj

and D. Zhu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 12452-12453.

- a) B. L. Kuhn, M. P. Fortes, T. S. Kaufman and C. C. Silveira, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2014, 55, 1648–1652; b) C. C. Silveira, S. R. Mendes, G. M. Martins, S. C. Schlösser and T. S. Kaufman, *Tetrahedron*, 2013, 69, 9076–9085; c) C. C. Silveira, S. R. Mendes, M. A. Villetti, D. F. Back and T. S. Kaufman, *Green Chem.*, 2012, 14, 2912–2921; d) M. P. Fortes, M. M. Bassaco, T. S. Kaufman and C. C. Silveira, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, 4, 34519–34530.
- a) Y. Kikugawa and Y. Miyake, *Synthesis*, 1981, 461–462; b) H. Zhang, D. Liu, C. Chen, C. Liu and A. Lei, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2011, **17**, 9581–9585.
- 22. a) Z. Ma, X. Wang, S. Wei, H. Yang, F. Zhang, P. Wang, M. Xie and J. Ma, *Catal. Commun.*, 2013, **39**, 24–29; b) A. Narani, R. K. Marella, P. Ramudu, K. S. Rama Rao and D. R. Burri, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 3774–3781; c) K. Yin, C. Li, J. Li and X. Jia, *Green Chem.*, 2011, **13**, 591–593.
- a) L. Shu, M. Müri, R. Krupke and M. Mayor, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2009, 7, 1081–1092; b) H.-F. Jiang and A.-Z. Wang, Synthesis, 2007, 1649–1654; c) G. Kumaraswamy and K. Sadaiah, Tetrahedron, 2012, 68, 262–271; d) M. Turlington, Y. Du, S. G. Ostrum, V. Santosh, K. Wren, T. Lin, M. Sabat and L. Pu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 11780–11794.
- W. Yin, C. He, M. Chen, H. Zhang and A. Lei, Org. Lett., 2009, 11, 709–712.
- a) J.-A. He, K. Yang, J. Kumar, S. K. Tripathy, L. A. Samuelson, T. Oshikiri, H. Katagi, H. Kasai, S. Okada, H. Oikawa and H. Nakanashi, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 1999, **103**, 11050–11056; b) T. Yaji, S. Isoda, N. Kawase, T. Kobayashi and K. Takeda, *Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst.*, 2000, **349**, 107–110; c) M. Arai and S. Okada, *Chem. Lett.*, 2006, **35**, 1012–1013; d) Y. Miyazaki, K. Okamoto, K. Tsuchiya and K. Ogino, *Chem. Lett.*, 2013, **42**, 1217–1219; e) K. C. Yee, Carbazolyl diacetylenic compounds. US Patent 4,125,534, 1978.
- a) J. Chen, S. K. Spear, J. G. Huddleston and R. D. Rogers, *Green Chem.*, 2005, 7, 64–82; b) R. A. Sheldon, *Green Chem.*, 2005, 7, 267–278; c) Y.-N. Li, J.-L. Wang and L.-N. He, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2011, 52, 3485–3488.
- Y. Wang, D. Wei, W. Zhang, Y. Wang, Y. Zhu, Y. Jia and M. Tang, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2014, 12, 7503–7514.
- a) A. M. Beauchemin, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2013, **11**, 7039–7050; b)
   M.-E. Lebrun, J. Y. Pfeiffer and A. M. Beauchemin, *Synlett*, 2009, 1087–1090.
- 29. N. J. Cooper and D. W. Knight, Tetrahedron, 2004, 60, 243-269.
- F. Himo, T. Lovell, R. Hilgraf, V. V. Rostovtsev, L. Noodleman, K. B. Sharpless and V. V. Fokin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 210–216.
- E. H. Krenske, E. C. Davison, I. T. Forbes, J. A. Warner, A. L. Smith, A. B. Holmes and K. N. Houk, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, 134, 2434–2441.
- 32. a) A. M. Beauchemin, J. Moran, M.-E. Lebrun, C. Séguin, E. Dimitrijevic, L. Zhang and S. I. Gorelsky, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 1410 –1413; b) J. Moran, J. Y. Pfeiffer, S. I. Gorelsky and A. M. Beauchemin, *Org. Lett.*, 2009, **11**, 1895–1898.
- 33. a) L. Antonov, *Tautomerism: Methods and Theories*, Wiley, NY, 2013, pp.128–129; b) A. S. K. Hashmi, *Synthesis of Allenes by Isomerization Reactions*, in: *Modern Allene Chemistry*, N. Krause and A. S. K. Hashmi (Eds.), Wiley, Heidelberg, 2004, Chapter 1.
- 34. F. Heaney and C. O'Mahony, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1998, 341–350.
- 35. M. P. Muñoz, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 3164-3183.
- 36. a) S. A. Samsoniya, N. A. Esakiya and N. N. Suvorov, *Chem. Heterocyclic Comp.*, 1991, **27**, 366–369; b) N. Haider and J. Käferböck, *Tetrahedron*, 2004, **60**, 6495–6507; c) N. Haider, T. Kabicher, J. Käferböck and A. Plenk, *Molecules*, 2007, **12**, 1900–1909; d) R. Gibe, J. R. Green and G. Davidson, *Org. Lett.*, 2003, **5**, 1003–1005; e) V. G. Lendel, B. I. Pak, I. M. Balog, M. V. Kiyak and Yu V. Migalina, *Chem. Heterocyclic Comp.*, 1990, **26**, 108–110.

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C4RA11571F

#### 12 | RSC Adv., 2014, vol, 00-00

Published on 06 November 2014. Downloaded by Ondoku Mayis Universitesi on 09/11/2014 11:22:51