## Studies on the Inhibition of Glyoxalase I by S-Substituted Glutathiones<sup>1</sup>

ROBERT VINCE,\* SUSAN DALUGE,<sup>2</sup> AND WALLACE B. WADD

Department of Medicinal Chemistry, College of Pharmacy, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

Received September 16, 1970

S-Substituted glutathiones were prepared and investigated as inhibitors of the enzyme, glyoxalase I. A nonpolar region exists on the enzyme and plays an important role in the formation of an enzyme-inhibitor complex. The amount of inhibition of glyoxalase increases as the alkyl chain is lengthened from methyl to n-octyl. Several S-arylglutathiones were also prepared in order to take advantage of this nonpolar region on the enzyme. For example, S-(p-bromobenzyl)glutathione (14) gave about 920-fold better binding than the previously reported S-methylglutathione (1).

It has recently been shown that S-alkylglutathiones are potent competitive inhibitors of glyoxalase.<sup>3</sup> The glyoxalase system (glyoxalase I and glyoxalase II) is present in plant and animal cells and converts methylglyoxal into lactic acid. Since methylglyoxal and other  $\alpha$ -ketoaldehydes are known to be carcinostatic agents,<sup>4</sup> it was suggested that inhibitors of the glyoxalase system may create a build-up of methylglyoxal in cells and thus inhibit cellular growth.<sup>3</sup> Also, coadministration of a glyoxalase inhibitor and methylglyoxal may potentiate the carcinostatic action of the ketoaldehyde. The rationale for the potential carcinostatic activity of glyoxalase inhibitors has been presented in a previous paper.<sup>5</sup>

**Enzyme Kinetics.**—Upon addition of glyoxalase I to a solution of methylglyoxal and reduced glutathione (GSH), S-lactoylglutathione is produced. It is known<sup>6,7</sup> that methylglyoxal and GSH react nonenzymatically to form a hemimercaptal according to the equation

$$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{CH}_{3}\operatorname{COCHO} + \operatorname{GSH} \xrightarrow{\phantom{\operatorname{CH}}} \operatorname{CH}_{3}\operatorname{COCH(OH)}\operatorname{SG} \\ (M) & (G) & \kappa & (GM) \end{array}$$

and it has been demonstrated that the hemimercaptal is the substrate for the enzyme.<sup>7,8</sup> The hemimercaptal (GM) forms immediately upon mixing the methylglyoxal and GSH (pH 6.6, 30°), and equilibrium is reached in less than 5 min. A spectrophotometric determination of the dissociation constant, K, was made from the absorbances at 240 m $\mu$  of equilibrated mixtures of methylglyoxal and GSH. Since the amount of GM formed depends upon the initial concentration of methylglyoxal and GSH, K may be obtained from eq 1, and (GM) may be obtained spectro-

$$K = \frac{(M)(G)}{(GM)} = \frac{[(M_0) - (GM)][(G_0) - (GM)]}{(GM)}$$
(1)

photometrically, since

$$A_{240} = \epsilon_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{G}) + \epsilon_{\mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{M}) + \epsilon_{\mathbf{GM}}(\mathbf{GM})$$
(2)

(8) K. A. Davis and G. R. Williams, Can. J. Biochem., 47, 553 (1969).

or

$$(GM) = \frac{A_{240} - \epsilon_G(G_0) - \epsilon_M(M_0)}{\epsilon_{GM} - \epsilon_M - \epsilon_G}$$
(3)

where  $A_{240}$  = total absorbance at 240 m $\mu$ ; (M) = concentration of methylgloxal; (G) = concentration of glutathione; (GM) = concentration of hemimercaptal adduct; (M<sub>0</sub>), (G<sub>0</sub>) = initial concentrations; and  $\epsilon_{\rm M}$ ,  $\epsilon_{\rm G}$ ,  $\epsilon_{\rm GM}$  = molar extinction coefficients. The value of K at 30°, calculated with  $\epsilon_{\rm M}$  3.15  $M^{-1}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>,  $\epsilon_{\rm GM}$  395  $M^{-1}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>, and values of  $\epsilon_{\rm G}$  taken from Figure 1 by



Figure 1.—Plot of molar extinction coefficient vs. concentration for GSH at pH 6.6.

successive approximation, as described in the Experimental Section, was  $3.1 \pm 0.2 \text{ m}M$ . Cliffe and Waley<sup>7</sup> reported a value of 2 mM for K, but no details were given concerning concentrations or purity of the solutions used. We have found that the extinction coefficient of GSH in the range of 1–10 mM varied considerably, and the appropriate extinction coefficient for a particular concentration of GSH was obtained from the experimentally determined plot (see Figure 1). Thus, the value of 3.1 mM represents a more accurate value for K.

In an enzymatic determination of K, the rate of product formation was measured in two experiments, the first determined at concentrations of methylglyoxal and GSH given by (M<sub>1</sub>) and (G<sub>1</sub>), and the second at concentrations (M<sub>2</sub>) and (G<sub>2</sub>). If the rate is the same in both experiments, then the hemimercaptal (GM) concentrations must be equal, thus (M<sub>1</sub> - GM) (G<sub>1</sub> -GM) = (M<sub>2</sub> - GM) (G<sub>2</sub> - GM) or (GM) = (G<sub>2</sub>M<sub>2</sub> -G<sub>1</sub>M<sub>1</sub>)/(G<sub>2</sub> + M<sub>2</sub> - G<sub>1</sub> - M<sub>1</sub>). Values of K ranging from 3.21 to 3.54 mM were obtained by this method. These values are in good agreement with K obtained by

<sup>(1)</sup> This work was generously supported by Grant CA-10979 from the National Cancer Institute, U. S. Public Health Service, and a grant from the Minnesota Division of the American Cancer Society.

<sup>(2)</sup> Supported as a postdoctoral trainee on U. S. Public Health Service Training Grant GM-01769 during the period 2-1-69 to 1-31-70.
(3) R. Vince and W. B. Wadd, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun., 35, 593

<sup>(1969).
(4) (</sup>a) F. A. French and B. L. Freedlander, Cancer Res., 18, 172 (1958).

 <sup>(</sup>a) F. A. French and B. L. Freedmander, Carcer Res., 16, 172 (1958).
 (b) F. E. Knock, Lancet, 1, 824 (1966).
 (c) M. A. Apple and D. M. Greenberg, Cancer Chemother. Rep., 51, 455 (1967).
 (d) L. G. Egyud and A. Szent-Gyorgyi, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S., 55, 388 (1966).

<sup>(5)</sup> R. Vince and S. Daluge, J. Med. Chem., 14, 35 (1971).

<sup>(6)</sup> W. O. Kermack and N. A. Matheson, Biochem. J., 65, 48 (1957).

<sup>(7)</sup> E. E. Cliffe and S. G. Waley, *ibid.*, 79, 475 (1960).

the spectrophotometric method. However, high concentrations of GSH relative to methylglyoxal must be avoided in these experiments due to inhibition of glyoxalase by free GSH.

Enzyme Inhibition Studies.—The S-alkyl- and S-arylglutathiones prepared for possible inhibition of glyoxalase I are listed in Table I. An examination of the

TABLE I
INHIBITION OF GLYOXALASE BY S-SUBSTITUTED GLUTATHIONES
$CH_2SR$ $NH_2$
HCNHCOCH CHCHCOOH

## L CONHCH₂COOH

		mM concentration
R	No.	for 50% inhibition
$CH_3$	1	$8.30 \pm 0.50$
$C_2H_5$	<b>2</b>	$0.964 \pm 0.046$
$(CH_2)_2CH_3$	3	$0.187 \pm 0.017$
(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	4	$0.057\pm0.002$
$(CH_2)_4CH_3$	<b>5</b>	$0.055\pm0.003$
$(CH_2)_5CH_3$	6	$0.032 \pm 0.002$
$(CH_2)_6CH_3$	7	$0.021 \pm 0.002$
$(CH_2)_7 CH_3$	8	$0.020 \pm 0.002$
$CH_2C_6H_5$	9	$0.185\pm0.004$
$CH_2C_6H_4OCH_{3-}p$	10	$0.098 \pm 0.008$
$CH_2C_6H_4CH_3-p$	11	$0.065 \pm 0.000$
$CH_2C_6H_4F-p$	12	$0.061\pm0.003$
$CH_2C_6H_4Cl-p$	13	$0.016\pm0.001$
$CH_2C_6H_4Br-p$	14	$0.009 \pm 0.000$
$CH_2C_6H_4NO_2-p$	15	$0.033\pm0.001$
$CH_2C_6H_4CN-p$	16	$0.023\pm0.002$
$CH_2C_6H_4CH_3-m$	17	$0.147\pm0.015$
$CH_2C_6H_4Cl-m$	18	$^{*}0.042\pm0.001$
$CH_2C_6H_4NO_2-m$	19	$0.111 \pm 0.021$
CH2C6H4Cl-0	20	$0.134\pm0.005$
CH <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> -0	<b>21</b>	$0.510\pm0.017$
$(CH_2)_2C_6H_5$	<b>22</b>	$0.198 \pm 0.004$
$(CH_2)_3C_6H_5$	<b>23</b>	$0.018\pm0.002$
$(CH_2)_4C_6H_5$	<b>24</b>	$0.080\pm0.008$
$(CH_2)_5C_6H_5$	<b>25</b>	$0.015\pm0.001$
$(CH_2)_2C_6H_4NO_2-p$	26	$0.133\pm0.009$
CH2COC6H5	27	$0.394 \pm 0.042$
$CH_2COC_6H_4NH_2-p$	<b>28</b>	$0.873 \pm 0.008$
$CH_2COC_6H_4OH-p$	29	$0.393 \pm 0.042$
$CH_2COC_6H_4Cl-p$	30	$0.102 \pm 0.003$
$CH_2COC_6H_4Br-p$	31	$0.074 \pm 0.002$
$CH_2COC_6H_4NO_{2}-m$	32	$0.145 \pm 0.002$
CH2COC6H3-3-NO2-4-Br	33	$0.129\pm0.012$
$(CH_2)_2COC_8H_5$	34	$0.077 \pm 0.006$
$(CH_2)_5CH_3$ (N-Ac)	35	$0.227\pm0.019$
(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> (N-Ac)	36	$1.000 \pm 0.045$
$CH_2C_6H_4Br-p$ (N-Ac)	37	$0.075\pm0.010$
$C_6H_4Br-p$	38	$0.068\pm0.001$
$C_6H_3-2, 4-(NO_2)_2$	39	$0.766 \pm 0.067$
$C_{6}H_{2}-2,4,6-(NO_{2})_{3}$	<b>40</b>	Too weak to measure

50% inhibition concentrations for the S-alkylglutathiones (compds 1-8) reveals that glyoxalase exhibits a significant hydrophobic region extending out from the S-alkyl chain of glutathione. The greatest amount of inhibition occurs as the alkyl group is lengthened from Me to Et to Pr. The large increase in binding of the S-Et derivative 2 with respect to the S-Me derivative 1 may be due to the Me group occupying a hydrophilic region normally occupied by the OH of the hemimercaptal. The addition of one more  $CH_2$  unit may allow the alkyl chain of 2 to reach a hydrophobic region on the enzyme and enhance the binding within the enzyme-inhibitor complex. By taking advantage of the nonpolar character of glyoxalase, we have been able to increase binding by 430 times over that of S-methyl-glutathione by extending the chain to 8 carbons.

A series of 2,3- and 4-substituted S-benzylglutathiones is represented by 9-21 in Table I. Both electronwithdrawing and electron-donating substituents on the 4 position of the benzyl group increased binding over 9. Only fair correlation was obtained for 9-16 in regression studies using  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  constants and steric parameters,  $E_{\rm s}$ .<sup>9</sup> These substituents may influence the conformation of the tripeptide structure which may account for the variation in binding to the enzyme. Substitution in the 3 position decreased activity by a factor of 2 to 3. For example, 17 binds 2.3 times less than 11. Comparison of 20 and 21 to 13 and 15 indicates a significant reduction: in binding of the ortho- over the para-substituted derivatives.

Hydrophobic bonding<sup>10</sup> with S-aralkylglutathiones was also investigated. The S-phenethyl derivative **22** complexed to about the same extent as the S-benzyl **9**. However, the phenylpropyl **23** gave a significant increase in binding over the benzyl derivative. The anomolous behavior of **24** relative to **23** and **25** is difficult to assess and may reflect conformational changes induced in the enzyme or inhibitor by the presence of these large hydrophobic groups. The placement of a p-NO<sub>2</sub> (**26**) on the phenethyl derivative did not significantly increase binding to the enzyme.

A series of substituted acetophenone derivatives (27-33) showed that hydrophobic electron-withdrawing para substituents (30, 31) gave significant increase in binding while a hydrophilic electron-donating substituent did not contribute to binding (29) or even decreased activity (28) when compared with 27. Hydrophobic contribution of the para substituent seems to be more significant than electron withdrawal, since Br and Cl both have  $\sigma$  2.3 while  $\pi$  is 0.86 and 0.71, respectively. The greater binding of **31** over **30** must be a reflection of the hydrophobic character of Br. The propiophenone derivative 34 also gave better activity than 27. Nacetylation of the glutathione derivatives (35-37) gave a five- to eightfold decrease in binding indicating that the free amine is not essential for activity, but does contribute to binding with the enzyme.

The S-phenyl derivatives (38-40) did not show any advantage over the S-benzyl compounds as far as binding to glyoxalase was concerned. However, they did exhibit interesting growth inhibitory activity on cell cultures.<sup>5</sup> All of the glyoxalase inhibitors are being screened for antitumor activity. Some preliminary results on cytotoxicity have been reported.<sup>5</sup>

## **Experimental Section**<sup>11</sup>

**Determination of K.**—All absorbance measurements were made in 0.05 mM phosphate buffer at pH 6.6 and at 30°. The extinction coefficient of freshly prepared and standardized methylglyoxal soln was found to be  $3.15 \pm 0.12 M^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$  in the concn range of 10–50 mM. The extinction coefficient of GSH in the

<sup>(9)</sup> E. Kutter and C. Hansch, J. Med. Chem., 12, 647 (1969).

<sup>(10)</sup> B. R. Baker, "Design of Active-Site-Directed Irreversible Enzyme Inhibitors," Wiley, New York, N. Y., 1967.

<sup>(11)</sup> All enzymatic analyses were performed on a Gilford Model 2400 spectrophotometer. Melting points were determined on a Mel-Temp and are uncorrected. Elemental analyses for C, H, and N were obtained for all compounds in Table II and were within 0.4% of the theoretical value.

range of 1-10 mM varied considerably, and the appropriate  $\epsilon_G$  for a particular concentration of GSH was obtained from the experimentally determined plot of  $\epsilon_G vs.$  (G) (see Figure 1). A first approximation to the appropriate  $\epsilon_G$  for a given mixt of methyl-glyoxal and GSH was taken to be that of a soln of concn (G<sub>0</sub>). This estimate of  $\epsilon_G$  allowed calcn of an approximate value of (GM), which in turn allowed a closer approximation of (G), and thus of  $\epsilon_G$ . The refined value of  $\epsilon_G$  was then used to calc a closer approximation to (GM) for this mixt. This procedure was rerepeated until no further change was made in the value of (GM) upon refinement of the value of  $\epsilon_G$ , usually requiring only two recalens.

The extinction coefficient,  $\epsilon_{\rm GM}$ , of the hemimercaptal adduct was calcd from absorbance measurements of mixts of methylglyoxal and GSH in which the ratio of  $(M_0)$  to  $(G_0)$  was at least 100:1. In such mixts, since the order of magnitude of K is  $2-5 \times 10^{-3} M^{6.7}$  it may be shown that  $(\rm GM) \cong (G_0)$ . For this case, eq 3 may be written as  $\epsilon_{\rm GM} = [A_{240} - \epsilon_{\rm M}(M_0) + \epsilon_{\rm M}(G_0)]/ (G_0)$ , for  $(M_0) \gg (G_0)$ , and  $\epsilon_{\rm GM}$  calcd. The extinction coefficient of the hemimercaptal adduct calcd in this way was  $391 \pm 14 M^{-1}$ cm<sup>-1</sup> for the concn range of 1-3 mM. Recently, Davis and Williams,<sup>8</sup> using reaction velocities at high enzyme concns, calcd 2 possible values of 395 or 198  $M^{-1}$  cm<sup>-1</sup> for the extinction coefficient of the hemimercaptal, depending upon whether glyoxalase reacts with both or only one of the enantiomorphs. Our value is in agreement with the mechanism involving reaction of both hemimercaptal enantiomorphs with the enzyme.

The value of K at 30° calcd with  $\epsilon_M 3.15 M^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $\epsilon_{GM} 395 M^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , and values of  $\epsilon_G$  taken from Figure 1 by successive approximations was  $(3.1 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-3} M$ . All readings were taken from duplicate experiments of 16 different combinations of methylglyoxal and GSH conens.

Enzyme Assay.—A commercial 40% methylglyoxal solution was distd to remove polymerization products and dild with distd H<sub>2</sub>O. The acidic materials were removed by passing the dild dist through Dowex 1-X8 (carbonate form) resin, and the soln was standardized by the method of Friedemann.<sup>12</sup> Glyoxalase I was obtained from Sigma Chemical Company and was dild to a concn of 20  $\mu$ g/ml with 30% glycerol contg 0.1% bovine serum albumin. All enzymatic reactions were performed at 30° in 0.05 mM phosphate buffer at pH 6.6. A fresh GSH solution was prepared daily using distd H<sub>2</sub>O. For each assay the cell contained a total vol of 3.0 ml which was 5.0 mM with respect to methylglyoxal and 0.87 mM with respect to GSH. Sufficient amounts of glyoxalase were employed to give an easily measurable initial rate which was followed by increase in absorption at 240 mµ. Methylglyoxal, GSH, inhibitor, and buffer were added to the cell and allowed to equilibrate at 30° for 6 min (to allow formation of hemimercaptal) before addition of the enzyme. In order to determine the concn of inhibitor required for 50% inhibition, a plot of  $V_0/V_i$  vs. (I) was made where  $V_0$  = initial velocity of the uninhibited enzymatic reaction and  $V_i$  = initial velocity of the inhibited reaction at various inhibitor concns.

**Syntheses.**—The procedures for the preparation of the compounds listed in Table II are illustrated by the general methods below.

Method A.—Reduced glutathione (6.14 mg, 2.00 mmoles) was dissolved in  $H_2O(2.0 \text{ ml})$  and 2N NaOH (2.0 ml, 4.0 mmoles) with stirring at room temp. EtOH (12–15 ml) was added to the cloud point. An equimolar amount of RX was then added, either all at once, or in portions over about 30 min if solubility problems were encountered. Additional EtOH was also added if solid appeared in the reaction mixt. Vigorous stirring was contd for about 3 hr after addn was complete. In many cases the reaction mixts were allowed to stir overnight without affecting the yield. Solid had often pptd at this point. The pH of the mixt was chilled. The solid was removed by filtration and washed with  $H_4O$  (20 ml). Anal. samples were prepd by two recrystns from  $H_4O$ -EtOH.

**Method B.**—For cases in which RX had very low solubility in aq EtOH, the method of Kermack and Matheson,<sup>6</sup> in which liq  $NH_3$  is the solvent, was found to give better yields than method A.

TABLE II							
S-Substituted	GLUTATHIONES <sup>a</sup>						

$GSH + RX \longrightarrow GSR$								
Compd	RX	Method	% yield	Mp, °C	Formula			
9	CICH <sub>2</sub> C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	A	67	155-160	CurHarN: O.S			
10	ClCH2CeH4OCH3-7	$B^b$	70	192-193	C18H25N2O28			
11	BrCH <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> -n	Ā	82	204-205	C18H36N3O48			
12	ClCH <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> F-p	A	62	196-197	C17H22N3SF			
13	ClCH <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> Cl-p	A	50	198-199	C17H22N3O6SCI			
14	BrCH <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> Br-p	A	74	206-207	C17H22N3O6SBr			
15	BrCH2C6H4NO2-p	А	74	199-200	C17H22N4O8S			
16	BrCH2C6H4CN-p	A	38	198-199	$C_{18}H_{22}N_4O_6S$			
17	ClCH2C6H4CH3-m	Α	74	204 - 205	C18H25N3O6S			
18	ClCH2C6H4Cl-m	А	54	201-202	C17H22N3O6SC1			
19	BrCH2C6H4NO2-m	A	73	202-203	C17H22N4O8S			
20	ClCH2C6H4Cl-o	А	32	205 - 206	C17H22N3O6SC1			
21	ClCH <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> -0	Α	65	196 - 197	$C_{17}H_{22}N_4O_8S$			
22	$Br(CH_2)_2C_6H_5$	в	87	199-200	$C_{18}H_{25}N_{3}O_{6}S$			
23	Br(CH2)3C6H5	$\mathbf{A}^{c}$	38	200-201	$C_{19}H_{27}N_{3}O_{6}S$			
<b>24</b>	$Br(CH_2)_4C_5H_5$	$\mathbf{B}^{d}$	69	203 - 204	$C_{20}H_{29}N_{3}O_{6}S$			
25	Br(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>5</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	$\mathbf{B}^{c}$	53	204 - 205	$C_{21}H_{31}N_{3}O_{6}S$			
26	$Br(CH_2)_2C_6H_4NO_{2-}p$	А	62	201 - 202	$\mathrm{C}_{18}\mathrm{H}_{24}\mathrm{N}_4\mathrm{O}_8\mathrm{S}$			
27	ClCH <sub>2</sub> COC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	A	72	190-191	$C_{18}H_{23}N_{3}O_{7}S$			
<b>28</b>	$ClCH_2COC_6H_4NH_{2-}p$	A	84	207 - 208	$C_{18}H_{24}N_4O_7S$			
29	$ClCH_2COC_6H_4OH_p$	А	58	193 - 194	$\mathrm{C}_{18}\mathrm{H}_{23}\mathrm{N}_8\mathrm{O}_8\mathrm{S}$			
30	$ClCH_2COC_6H_4Cl-p$	A	81	184 - 185	$C_{18}H_{22}N_{3}O_{7}SCl$			
31	$BrCH_2COC_6H_4Br-p$	А	52	191 - 192	$C_{18}H_{22}N_3O_7SBr$			
32	$ClCH_2COC_6H_4NO_{2-}m$	A	44	194 - 195	$C_{18}H_{22}N_4O_9S$			
33	BrCH2COC6H3-3-NO2-							
	4-Br	$C^{e}$	<b>26</b>	198 - 200	$C_{18}H_{21}N_4O_9SBr$			
34	$Cl(CH_2)_2COC_6H_5$	$\mathbf{A}^{f}$	58	201 - 202	$C_{19}H_{25}N_3O_7S$			
35	$Cl(CH_2)_{s}CH_{3}(N-Ac)$	$\mathbf{A}^{g}$	20	145 - 150	$\mathrm{C}_{18}\mathrm{H}_{31}\mathrm{N}_{3}\mathrm{O}_{7}\mathrm{S}$			
36	$Br(CH_2)_2CH_3(N-Ac)$	Α	67	196 - 197	$C_{15}H_{25}N_{3}O_{7}S$			
37	$BrCH_2C_6H_4Br-p(N-Ac)$	Α	26	128 - 130	$C_{19}H_{24}N_3O_7SBr$			
38	$N_2-C_6H_4Br-p$	D	26	213 - 214	$C_{16}H_{20}N_3O_6SBr$			
39	$ClC_{6}H_{3}-2, 4-(NO_{2})_{2}$	С	94	194 - 195	$C_{16}H_{14}N_5O_{10}S$			
40	ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>2</sub> -2,4,6-(NO <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	С	89	198 - 199	${ m C}_{16}{ m H}_{13}{ m N}_{6}{ m O}_{12}{ m S}$			

<sup>a</sup> Prepn of the S-alkylglutathiones (1-8) has been previously reported [R. Vince and W. B. Wadd, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, **35**, 593 (1969). <sup>b</sup> 4-Methoxybenzyl chloride was prepd according to K. Rorig, J. D. Johnston, R. W. Hamilton, and T. J. Telinski, "Organic Syntheses," Collect. Vol. 4, Wiley, New York, N. Y., 1963, p 576. <sup>c</sup> RX not sol in H<sub>2</sub>O-EtOH; additional EtOH added and vigorous shaking over 24 hr still left considerable RX undissolved. <sup>d</sup> Prepd by modification of procedure of S. Oae and C. A. Van der Werf, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 75, 5037 (1953). <sup>e</sup>  $\alpha$ -Chloroacetophenone was nitrated by the procedure of J. R. Catch, D. F. Elliott, D. H. Hey, and E. R. H. Jones, J. Chem. Soc., 552 (1949). <sup>f</sup>  $\alpha$ , p-Dibromoacetophenone was nitrated by the same procedure described in footnote e; mp 101-102°. <sup>g</sup> The S-substituted glutathione was acetylated.

Method C.—Method A was modified by using  $Na_2CO_3$  (0.160 g, 1.00 mmole) instead of NaOH.

Method D. S-(p-Bromophenyl)glutathione (38).—p-Bromoaniline (0.602 g, 3.50 mmoles) was diazotized in 1 N H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (12.5 ml) and condensed with reduced glutathione (1.00 g, 3.25 mmoles) according to the procedure of Booth, et al.<sup>13</sup> The product was collected as a tan ppt (0.459 g) and recrystd from H<sub>2</sub>O-EtOH; yield, 0.355 g (24%), mp 213-214°. Anal. (C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>20</sub>BrN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>6</sub>S) C, H, N.

Acetylation Procedure. N-Acetyl-S-(p-bromobenzyl)glutathione (37).—S-(p-bromobenzyl)glutathione (0.953 g, 2.00 mmoles) was dissolved in 10% NaOH (2.4 ml, 6.0 mmoles) and H<sub>2</sub>O (1.6 ml). The soln was chilled, and Ac<sub>2</sub>O (0.5 ml, 5.0 mmoles) was added and stirring was contd for 15 min. The mixt was adjusted to pH 2 with 2 N HCl, EtOH (10 ml) was added, and the soln was warmed (steam bath) for 5 min. The volatile materials were removed *in vacuo*, and the residue was extd with three 30-ml portions of hot EtOAc-EtOH (9:1). Addition of petr ether (bp 30-60°) to the combined extracts resulted in the formation of a gummy solid; yield, 0.788 g, mp *ca.* 130°. The crude material was crystd from EtOAc-petr ether and gave a white powder; yield, 0.271 g (26%), mp 128-130°. Anal. (C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>24</sub>BrN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>S) C, H, N.

(13) J. Booth, E. Boyland, and P. Sims, Biochem. J., 74, 117 (1960).

<sup>(12)</sup> T. E. Friedmann, J. Biol. Chem., 73, 331 (1927).