

Chemical Science



Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: A. J. Smith, D. Dimitrova, J. N. Arokianathar, K. F. Clark, D. Poole, S. G. Leach and J. Murphy, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, DOI: 10.1039/D0SC04244G.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the <u>Information for Authors</u>.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence. Open Access Article. Published on 21 October 2020. Downloaded on 10/21/2020 2:25:24 PM

Journal Name

ARTICLE

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx000000x

www.rsc.org/

Et₃SiH + KO^tBu Provide Multiple Reactive Intermediates that Compete in the Reactions and Rearrangements of Benzylnitriles and Indolenines

Andrew J. Smith,at Daniela Dimitrova,at Jude N. Arokianathar,a Kenneth F. Clark,a Darren L. Poole,b Stuart G. Leachb and John A. Murphy a*

The combination of potassium tert-butoxide and triethylsilane is unusual because it generates multiple different types of reactive intermediates simultaneously that provide access to (i) silyl radical reactions, (ii) hydrogen atom transfer reactions to closed shell molecules and to radicals, (iii) electron transfer reductions and (iv) hydride ion chemistry, giving scope for unprecedented outcomes. Until now, reactions with this reagent pair have generally been explained by reference to one of the intermediates, but we now highlight the interplay and competition between them.

Introduction

A novel reducing system, consisting of the reagent-pair, triethylsilane and potassium tert-butoxide was reported by Stoltz, Grubbs et al. in 2013.1 The combination of the two reagents has since been investigated by a number of research groups²⁻¹⁵ and provides a range of distinctive reaction types, arising through an unprecedented menu of reactive intermediates formed in the reaction, including triethylsilyl radical 1, silanates 2 as hydrogen atom donors to both closed shell molecules and to radicals, and as potential hydride ion donors, and tert-butoxytriethylsilyl radical anion 3 as a very powerful electron donor. Exposing substrates simultaneously multiple reactive intermediates is not routinely encountered in organic chemistry, other than in modelling of prebiotic conditions,16 and so the variety of reactive intermediates produced by this reagent pair provides opportunities to witness unusual outcomes.

Thus, triethysilyl radicals 1 are candidates for the conversions of substrates 4-7^{2-6,8,13} to their products 11-14. (Note that silylation reactions, as in formation of 13 usually occur at lower temperatures, here 45 °C). On the other hand, Jeon has established9 that silanate complex 2' (and less efficiently 2) conducts a potassium ion-dependent H-atom transfer to afford hydrosilylation products 15 from styrenes such as 8 at 80 °C. Tuttle, Murphy et al. have reported that N-benzylindoles 9 are deprotected by electron transfer reactions with 3 acting as

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of supplementary information available should be included here]. DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

 $\overset{\ominus}{\operatorname{Et_2RSi(H)O^t}}\operatorname{Bu}\overset{\oplus}{\operatorname{K}}$ Et₃SiO^tBu K 2, R = Et Et₂SiH (5 equiv.) KO^tBu (2 equiv.) 100 °C, 20 h, PhMe 11. 78% Et₂SiH (3 equiv.) KO^tBu (3 equiv.) 165 °C, 40 h 12.83% Et₃SiH (3 equiv.) KO^tBu (0.2 equiv.) 45 °C, 96 h Me 13, 78% Et₃SiH (3 equiv.) KO^tBu (3 equiv.) 130 °C. 18 h 14 SiEt₂F Et₂SiH₂ (3.5 equiv.) KO^tBu (0.2 equiv.) 80 °C, 24 h **15**. 97% Et₃SiH (3 equiv.) KO^tBu (3 equiv.) 130 °C, 18 h 16,80% Ph Et₃SiH (3 equiv.) KO^tBu (3 equiv.) 130 °C, 18 h 17, 92%

Scheme 1

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

^a Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry, Thomas Graham Building, 295

b. GlaxoSmithKline Medicines Research Centre, Gunnels Wood Road, Stevenage, Hertfordshire, SG1 2NY, UK.

ARTICLE Journal Name

electron donor.⁷ In each of the above cases, the products can be attributed to *one* of the reactive intermediates. Most recently, a more complex rearrangement of N-aryl indoles (e.g. **10**) to dihydroacridines (in this case, **17**) features sequential electron transfer from **3** and H-atom transfer from **2**.¹⁴ In addition to these transformations, the reagent pair Et₃SiH / KO¹Bu has found wider applications in silylation of alcohols¹¹o and amines,¹¹¹ as well as the silylation of terminal alkynes.¹¹5 The broad range of possible pathways featuring different reactive intermediates is what makes this reagent-pair so fascinating.¹²

During a recent study, we showed that Et₃SiH/KO¹Bu carries out reductive decyanation of benzylic nitriles (e.g. **18** \rightarrow **19**, Scheme 2)^{7,17} and our starting point for this current study was to find out more about the reactivity of the substrates and intermediates.

In 2017, Chiba *et al.* uncovered¹⁸ a probe for hydride-based reduction of nitriles, where substrates e.g. **20** reacted with a composite of NaH and LiI to form an iminyl anion **21** that displaced the *o*-MeO group in a concerted cS_NAr reaction¹⁹ to form indolenine **22** [R = $(CH_2)_4$]. In his elegant paper, aminyl anions also underwent efficient cyclisation. We wondered whether nitriles that are subjected to the $Et_3SiH+KO^tBu$ reagent would behave similarly, giving evidence for formation of iminyl anion intermediates through hydride ion delivery from **2**.²⁰

Scheme 2

Results and Discussion

Substrates **23**, **25** and **27** (Scheme 2) were prepared (see SI) and reacted with the Et_3SiH/KO^tBu mixture. In each case, cyclisation with displacement of the methoxy group was

observed. Our initial conversion of 23→24 occurred in 32% yield (Scheme 2), but upon optimisation, the yield of 24.2 was increased to 72% by lowering the temperature to 70 °C (Scheme 3 and SI). The detection of imine 30 during the optimisation studies suggests that an iminyl anion 21 (R = "Pr) was a key intermediate in the reactions. These reactions are therefore proposed to occur by hydride ion delivery to the nitrile by intermediate 2. The mechanism of conversion of imines e.g. 30 to amines (in this case, 24) comes up for discussion later in this paper.

Scheme 3

The effect of the identity of the base and silane present was then investigated. On changing the counter-ion on butoxide from potassium to sodium or lithium, no reaction was observed (see SI). Other potassium bases such as KHMDS, KOH, and KOEt were also unsuccessful. KH was somewhat successful with 24 being isolated in 12% yield, whereas NaH gave no reaction. These results underline the special reactivity of potassium *tert*-butoxide in this reagent system, which cannot be replicated by sodium *tert*-butoxide or lithium *tert*-butoxide. The effect of solvent on the reaction was also investigated, and solvent-free conditions were found to be optimal for the cyclisation (see SI).

Table 1

Ent	ry	Substrate	24/%	29 /%	30 /%	37/ %
1		23	72	11	-	-
2		31	65	8	-	-
3		32	44	-	Trace	-
4		33	19	-	-	-
5		34	-	-	-	57
6		35	-	-	-	78

The optimised conditions were then used to further study the scope of the reaction with substrates related to **23**. Firstly, the ethoxy derivative **31** was also successful, with cyclised product **24** (R = Et) isolated in 65% yield. Halide leaving groups were then tested (Table 1, entries 3-6). Interestingly, methoxide outperformed halide leaving groups for the formation of **24**, with

Journal Name ARTICLE

the halides following the general trend of S_NAr reactivity (F > Cl > Br = I). Bromo- and iodo-substituted substrates **34** and **35** did not afford any cyclised products and instead, dehalogenated compound **37** was isolated, suggesting that dehalogenation of iodides and bromides was more rapid than activation of the nitrile. Although many mechanisms can be considered, dehalogenation is a hallmark of reactions of silyl radicals **1** or can result from electron transfer chemistry of **2**.

Scheme 4.

Changing the methoxy group to benzyloxy in **36** brought about different chemistry. No displacement of the benzyloxy group was detected and, instead, compounds **38** (5%) and **39** (82%) were isolated (Scheme 4). Both products suggested an initial activation at the benzyl position, most likely via anion **40**. Cyclisation onto the nitrile would afford **41** which would be converted to **42** through a proton shift. The electron-rich alkene in **42** will readily undergo electron transfer and coupling to molecular oxygen to afford **43**.^{21,22,23} If this can convert into a hydroperoxide, then reductive cleavage of the O-O bond can occur during the reaction. Otherwise, **43** could protonate on workup to a hydroperoxyketal, which can lose hydroperoxide anion in a hydrolysis that then leads to **39**. Alternatively, any residual anion **40** would also react with air on work up, ultimately leading to ester **38**.

Table 2 ^a 3 equiv. of all reagents were used Our next steps were to establish the necessary components for the cyclisation of **23** to **24**. Control reactions were now performed (Table 2). The parent reaction is shown as entry 1.

Scheme 5

Recently, we proposed ¹⁴ that the reactivity of the Et₃SiH/KO^tBu couple could be reproduced in the absence of the silane, provided that an alternative source of silyl radicals was present. To this end, entry 2 shows that when the silane was replaced by the disilane **44** (Scheme 5) in the presence of the electron donor **47**, ²⁴ the radical anion of di-*p-tert*-butylbiphenyl, the cyclisation reaction was still observed, affording **24** (19%).

Entries 3 and 4 show that in the absence of a silyl source or an electron donor source, the reaction is not observed, while entry 5 shows that KO^tBu alone cannot bring about the reaction.

Scheme 6.

Our explanation for entry 2 is that the electron donor **47** can cleave the disilane **44** to a silyl radical **45** and a silyl anion **46** as shown in Scheme 5.²⁵ The silyl radical can react with many

MeÓ

62

ARTICLE Journal Name

species in solution. Notably, it can add to arene rings in the substrate to generate intermediates 49 that feature a labile H atom.^{2-6,13} This can react with a silyl radical **45** to form trimethylsilane or with silyl anion 46 to form trimethylsilane as shown in Scheme 5. This would mean that the missing trialkylsilane reagent (Me₃SiH in this experiment) would be generated in situ, starting from the disilane.

A series of substrates, 51, 20 and 55, (Scheme 6) was now prepared and tested under the optimised conditions, with surprising results. From substrate 51, reductive decyanation to 52 was observed in 99% yield, with only a trace amount of cyclised product 53 detected. However, from the analogous substrate 20, cyclisation to 54 was observed in 65% yield. Pyridine-containing substrate 55 afforded product 56 (24%), along with dimer 57 (15%). This compound 57 might arise by dimerisation of radical anion 58, e.g. if electron transfer occurred from radical anion 3, followed by double cS_NAr cyclisation. Alternatively, and more probably, cyclic imine 60 could be deprotonated under the basic conditions to anion 61,26 which could then attack another molecule of 55 to give anion 62, which affords bis-indolenine 57 by cS_NAr cyclisation. Observation of this dimerisation solely for this substrate could then be attributed to enhancement of the acidity of the iminyl proton in 60 by the pyridine ring.

Scheme 7

The results to date are consistent with bicyclic imines such as **60** as key intermediates in the formation of the final indolines, and so we were curious to probe the behaviour of related imines in the presence of the reductive silane-butoxide reagent pair.

Scheme 8

To access imines related to 30 (Scheme 3), we considered that an iminyl anion could form by addition of a Grignard reagent to a nitrile and then undergo cyclisation, in the manner of Gademann et al.27 To test this, substrate 23 was treated with

MeMgBr at 70 °C, however no reaction occurred icle Upop warming to 130 °C, however, products 631650 were solated with optimum yields arising from 4 equiv. of Grignard reagent (Scheme 7 and SI). Compounds 63 and 64 are indicative of the proposed mechanism for indolenine formation. Compound 65 could arise by deprotonation of the iminyl-CH₃ group of **64** by MeMgBr, before attack onto the nitrile group of another molecule of 23. The resulting imine anion can then undergo cS_NAr and tautomerism to yield 65.

The complications in Scheme 7 leading to a low yield of 64 arose from the ease of deprotonation of the methyl group in 64. To prevent such complications, a Grignard reagent was used that cannot be deprotonated in the α -position, i.e. PhMgBr. Interestingly, cyclisation to an inseparable mixture of indoles 66 and 67 (in 30% yield each, calculated by NMR internal standard) was observed (Scheme 8). This transformation shows loss of a propyl substituent, and aromatisation of the ring system to give indole products undoubtedly provides the driving force for this.

Grignard reagents have been previously reported in the literature to facilitate SET reactions to reducible substrates.28 Therefore, we propose that electron transfer from PhMgBr must occur to the conjugated indolenine 69. First, PhMgBr adds to the nitrile of 23, forming 68, which can undergo cS_NAr to form 69. Electron transfer to the conjugated indolenine 69 then occurs to form 70, which can aromatise with loss of an alkyl group to form **71**, which ultimately protonates to **66** upon work-up. The formation of compound 67 is rationalised by the presence of phenyl radicals, generated upon single electron oxidation of PhMgBr, initiating a BHAS mechanism as previously reported in the literature.29

Table 3

Entry	Conditions ^a	72 / %	73 / %	74 / %	75 / %
1	Et₃SiH + KO¹Bu	-	45	24	-
2	Et₃SiH+ KO¹Bu	9	84	Trace	Trace
	+TEMPO ^b				
3	K+KO ^t Bu	28	36	-	Trace

^a Entry 1 and 2: Et₃SiH (3 equiv.), KO^tBu (3 equiv.), TEMPO (1 equiv.); Entry 3: KO^tBu (1 equiv.), K (1.3 equiv.);^b TEMPO-SiEt₃ was detected by GCMS (see S.I. file)

We then investigated if the reducing mixture resulting from the combination of Et₃SiH and KO¹Bu, could perform the same transformation of indolenine to indoline and thereby give evidence of electron transfer from intermediate 3. Compound 72 was treated with Et₃SiH and KO^tBu, and compounds 73 and 74 were isolated in 45% and 24% respectively (Table 3, entry

Journal Name ARTICLE

1). The elimination of a methyl group from 72 clearly mirrors the electron transfer reactions seen with PhMgBr. Moreover, the formation of silvlated derivative 74 (an inseparable mixture of 2 regioisomers was isolated) results from the presence of triethylsilyl radicals, analogous to the phenyl

Scheme 9

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

Open Access Article. Published on 21 October 2020. Downloaded on 10/21/2020 2:25:24 PM

radicals above. The reaction was repeated in the presence of TEMPO. The outcome was to improve the yield of indole 73 to 84% (Entry 2). This outcome likely arises, at least in part, from trapping of triethylsilyl radicals by TEMPO, thereby inhibiting the formation of 74. Two further pieces of evidence support the electron transfer proposal: (i) Exposure of substrate 72 to potassium metal and KOtBu also afforded 73 (36%), 72 (28%) and 75 (trace amounts) (Entry 3); (ii) analogue 76 (Scheme 9) underwent reaction with Et₃SiH+KO^tBu to afford principally indoline 77 (86%) together with indole 78 (trace amounts). Product 78 arises from an analogous cleavage in radical anion 79 to that seen for radical anion 70. The pentyl side-chain of 79 shows the fate of the cleaved radical, which simply abstracts a hydrogen atom from silane or hydrogen atom donor 2. The difference in outcome for substrates 72 and 76 relates to the fragmentation of their radical anions – when the radical anion of 72 fragments, a methyl group is lost and diffuses away from the substrate. In contrast, fragmentation of radical anion 79 sees the fragmented radical tethered to the indole structure in 80. Radical re-addition to the indole anion reforms radical anion 79, which then abstracts an H-atom (e.g. from triethylsilane or from species 2) to give indoline 77.

The scope of the groups that can be expelled upon aromatisation was also investigated (Scheme 10). These results show that phenyl, allyl and benzyl are feasible leaving groups. Firstly taking substrate 81, this mirrors the reactions of imines 69, 72 and 76. Loss of a phenyl radical is more difficult than loss of an alkyl radical, but indole 84 is still formed in 35% yield. Also detected were products 85 and 87, resulting from attack on 84 by phenyl or triethylsilyl radicals and subsequent rearomatisation. Again, this mimics the addition of phenyl radicals and triethylsilyl radicals seen respectively in 67 and 74. In addition, compound 86 was detected in an inseparable mixture with compound 85 with ¹H NMR data and GC-MS data consistent with those previously reported in the literature. The mechanism envisaged for the formation of 86 is somewhat analogous to that for compound 92. (see below).

For substrate 82, the products 88 (27%) and 89 (22%) can be explained by invoking KOtBu-induced isomerisation of a

terminal allyl group to internal alkene 96 (Scheme 11) We isomerisation under the Et₃SiH/KO^tBu conditions. 14 Subjecting this compound, 96, to electron transfer from donor 3 gives radical anion 94. Expulsion of an allyl radical accounts for the formation of indole 88.

Scheme 10

The second product formed from substrate 82 is the quinoline 89 (22%). This is a really interesting product. Focusing on the 6 carbons of the allyl substituents in 82, it appears that one carbon has been incorporated into the ring system during a ring-expansion, two carbons have been lost during the rearrangement, and the remaining three carbons end up as the methyl and ethyl substituents on neighbouring ring carbons in 89 - a rearrangement of serious complexity. Our working hypothesis is that the product 89 arises also from intermediate diene 96. Jeon recently demonstrated H-atom addition to styrenes by reactive intermediate 2' (inset, Scheme 11) formed from diethylsilane. A K+ ion, complexed by the aromatic ring in the styrene, held the silanate anion in 2' (and analogues) close to the aromatic ring, and this complexation was essential for H-atom addition. Here, the feasibility of Hatom addition from 2, rather than 2', to a side-chain alkene should also increase when the alkene is nearer to the aromatic ring, thereby directing H-atom addition to 96 to form radical 97. An aza-version of a cyclopropylcarbinyl rearrangement governs the ring-expansion to radical 99. This radical has an adjacent H-atom that is easily acidic enough to be removed by KO^tBu, affording the quinoline radical anion 100. This

Scheme 11

ARTICLE Journal Name

undergoes reversible cyclisation to cyclopropylcarbinyl radical **101**, which must very occasionally fragment to distal radical anion **102**; expulsion of a vinyl radical (or a vinyl anion) then affords benzylic anion **103** (or its benzylic radical counterpart) which affords **89** on workup.³⁰

Having proposed a route to the quinoline **89** from substrate **82**, we note that two further quinolines, **92** and **93**, which arise from substrate **83**, require explanation. We have recently shown that benzylic C-H bonds can undergo abstraction of an H-atom under the conditions of these reactions, by triethylsilyl radicals **1**.¹³ In this case, this would lead to radical **105**. (Scheme **12**) Cyclopropylcarbinyl radical rearrangement would lead to ring-expansion to radical **107**, which, following deprotonation, would expel a benzyl radical to yield quinoline anion **109**. Protonation from 'BuOH, followed by electron transfer from **3** would give radical anion **110**. Expulsion of a phenyl radical affords anion **111** that abstracts a proton (from 'BuOH or on workup) to give **93**.

We again propose **110'** as the source of the other product, **92**. Cyclisation to the neighbouring phenyl ring gives radical anion **112**. The drive to aromaticity can then oversee the expulsion of an H atom (or a proton followed by an electron) and a hydride ion to give product **92**.

2-Phenyl-sustituted indolenines **81-83** are likely to be more receptive towards electron transfer than analogues with H or

alkyl groups substituted in the 2-position, but these substrates illustrate well here the array of reactive the Hotel Hotel RO'Bu/Et_3SiH reagent pair.

Conclusions

The reagent pair KO^tBu + Et₃SiH provides a unique interplay of reactive intermediates to react with substrates. This study of benzyl nitriles and indolenines features products arising from (i) hydride addition from silanate complex **2**, (ii) electron transfer from **3** (iii) hydrogen atom transfer from anion **2**, and (iv) hydrogen atom abstraction by silyl radicals **1**. The range of product types observed illustrates a unique diversity of outcomes.

† These authors contributed equally.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We thank GSK and EPSRC for i-CASE awards to AJS and DD. We thank Professor Leroy Cronin (University of Glasgow) for high resolution mass spectra.

References

Journal Name ARTICLE

- A. Fedorov, A. A. Toutov, N. A. Swisher and R. H. Grubbs, Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 1640–1645.
- 2 A. A. Toutov, W.-B. Liu, K. N. Betz, A. Fedorov, B. M. Stoltz, and R. H. Grubbs, *Nature* 2015, **518**, 80–84.
- 3 A. A. Toutov, W.-B. Liu, K. N. Betz, B. M. Stoltz and R. H. Grubbs *Nat. Protoc.*, 2016, **10**, 1897–1903.
- W.-B. Liu, D. P. Schuman, Y.-F. Yang, A. A. Toutov, Y. Liang, H. F. T. Klare, N. Nesnas, M. Oestreich, D. G. Blackmond, S. C. Virgil, S. Banerjee, R. N. Zare, R. H. Grubbs, K. N. Houk and B. M. Stoltz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 6867–6879.
- S. Banerjee, Y.-F. Yang, I. D. Jenkins, Y. Liang, A. A. Toutov, W.-B.; Liu, D. P. Schuman, R. H. Grubbs, B. M. Stoltz, E. H. Krenske, K. N. Houk and R N.; Zare, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2017, 139, 6880–6887.
- 6 A. A. Toutov, M. Salata, A. Fedorov, Y.-F. Yang, Y. Liang, R. Cariou, K. N. Betz, E. P. A. Couzijn, J. W. Shabaker, K. N. Houk, and R. H. Grubbs, *Nat. Energy* 2017, article number 17008 doi.org/10.1038/nenergy.2017.
- 7 A. J. Smith, A. Young, S. Rohrbach, E. F. O'Connor, M. Allison, H.-S. Wang, D. L. Poole, T. Tuttle and J. A. Murphy, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2017, **56**, 13747–13751.
- (a) A. A. Toutov, K. N. Betz, A. Fedorov, B. M. Stoltz, W.-B. Liu, R. H. Grubbs, US2019/0048030A1, 2019.
 (b) A. A. Toutov, K. N. Betz, A. Fedorov, B. M. Stoltz, W. -B. Liu and R. H. Grubbs, US2020/0115396A1, 2020
- 9 P. Asgari, Y. Hua, C. Thiamsiri, W. Prasitwatcharakorn, A. Karedath, X. Chen, S. Sardar, K. Yum, G. Leem, B. S. Pierce, K. Nam, J. Gao and J. Jeon, *Nature Catalysis*, 2019, 2, 164–173
- 10 A. A. Toutov, K. N. Betz, A. M. Romine and R. H. Grubbs, US2019/0241587A1, 2019.
- 11 (a) A. A. Toutov, K. N. Betz, A. M. Romine, R. H. Grubbs, US 2019/0218232A1, 2019. (b) F. Palumbo, S. Rohrbach, T. Tuttle and J. A. Murphy, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 2019, e1900235
- 12 I. D. Jenkins and E. H. Krenske, *ACS Omega*, 2020, **5**, 7053–7058.
- 13 J. N. Arokianathar, K. Kolodziejczak, F. Bugden, K. Clark, T. Tuttle and J. A. Murphy, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2020, 362, 2260–2267.
- 14 A. J. Smith, D. Dimitrova, J. N. Arokianathar, K. Kolodziejczak, A. Young, M. Alison, D. L. Pole, S. G. Leach, J. A. Parkinson, T. Tuttle and J. A. Murphy, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, 11, 3719–3726.
- A. A. Toutov, K. N. Betz, D. P. Schuman, W. B. Liu, A. Fedorov,
 B. M. Stoltz and R. H. Grubbs, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 1668–1674.
- 16 S. L. Miller, Science 1953, 117, 528-529.
- 17 For some previous papers on decyanation, see (a) J. M. R. Mattalia, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.*, 2017, **13**, 267–284. (b) P. C. Too, G. H. Chan, Y. L. Tnay, H. Hirao and S. Chiba, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 3719–3723. (c) E. Doni and J. A. Murphy, *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2014, **1**, 1072–1076.
- 18 A. Kaga, H. Hayashi, H. Hakamata, M. Oi, M. Uchiyama, R. Takita and S. Chiba, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 11807–11811.
- (a) E. E. Kwan, Y. Zeng, H. A. Besser and E. N. Jacobsen, *Nat. Chem.* 2018, **10**, 917–923. (b) A. J. Lennox, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 14898–14900. (c) S. Rohrbach, A. J. Smith, J. H. Pang, D. L. Poole, T. Tuttle, S. Chiba and J. A. Murphy, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 16368-16388.
- 20 We verified that such benzylic nitrile substrates can be cyclised also with LiAlH $_4$ at 130 $^{\circ}$ C, although not at 70 $^{\circ}$ C, again indicative of cyclisation of an iminyl anion See SI.
- 21 J. A. Murphy, J. Org. Chem., 2014, 79, 3731-3746.
- 22 S. S. Hanson, E. Doni, K.T. Traboulsee, G. Coulthard, J. A. Murphy, and C. A. Dyker, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 11236–11239
- 23 R. A. Aitken, A. D. Harper, A. M. Z. Slawin, Synlett, 2017, 28, 1738–1742.

- 24 A. Guijarro and M. Yus, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1993, **34**, 3487–3490.

 DOI: 10.1039/D0SC04244G
- 25 C. Däschlein and C. Strohmann, Dalton Trans. 2010, 39, 2062–2069.
- 26 N-Methylindoles have also been proposed to be deprotonated in the 2-position by Et₃SiH + KO^tBu.⁵
- 27 F. Huber, J. Roesslein and K. Gademann, Org. Lett., 2019, 21, 2560–2564.
- (a) T. Holm, H. Baumann, H. Lönn, J. Lönngren, H. Nyman and H. Ottosson, *Acta Chem. Scand.*, 1983, 37b, 567–584. (b) T. Matsuyama, H. Yamataka and T. Hanafusa, *Chem. Lett.*, 1988, 1367–1370. (c) J. McKinley, A. Aponick, J. C. Raber, C. Fritz, D. Montgomery and C. T. Wigal, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1997, 62, 4874–4876. (d) T. Ramnial, S. A. Taylor, J. A. C. Clyburne and C. J. Walsby, *Chem. Commun.*, 2007, 2066–2068. (e) N. Uchiyama, E. Shirakawa and T. Hayashi, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, 49, 364–366. (f) E. C. Ashby and T. L. Wiesemann, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1974, 96, 7117–7119. (g) E. C. Ashby, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1988, 21, 414–421.
- 29 A. Studer and D. P. Curran, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 5018–5022.
- 30 An alternative direct fragmentation from **100** → **103** is also considered. Vinyl radical fragmentations often have high energy transition states, but additional driving force is expected in converting **102** → **103** as the nitrogen-containing ring in **102** is not aromatic but becomes aromatic in **103**.

Chemical Science Accepted Manuscript

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/D0SC04244G

Et₃SiH + KO^tBu Provide Multiple Reactive Intermediates that Compete in the Reactions and Rearrangements of Benzylnitriles and Indolenines

A. J. Smith, D. Dimitrova, J. N. Arokianathar, K. F. Clark, D. L. Poole, S. G. Leach. J. A. Murphy