

0957-4166(95)00273-1

PREPARATION AND RESOLUTION OF (n⁴-1,3-DIENECARBOXYLIC ACID)Fe(CO)₃ COMPLEXES

Saburo Nakanishi,* Katsuhisa Kumeta, Jun-Ichi Nakanishi, and Toshikazu Takata

Department of Applied Chemistry, College of Engineering, University of Osaka Prefecture, 1-1 Gakuen-cho, Sakai, Osaka 593, Japan

Abstract: $(\eta^{4} \cdot 1, 3$ -dienecarboxylic acid)Fe(CO)₃ complexes 1 were prepared, starting from a Horner-Emmons reaction of α,β -unsaturated aldehydes, followed by complexation with Fe(CO)₅ and hydrolysis of the ester groups. Reaction of 1 with (S)-2-octanol gave a mixture of diastereomeric esters which can be easily separated by column chromatography. Enantiomerically pure (η^{4} -1,3-dienecarboxylic acid)Fe(CO)₃ complexes were obtained by hydrolysis of the esters thus separated without racemization and decomposition of the complexes.

Planar chiral (η^4 -diene) Fe(CO)₃ complexes have attracted great interest as a powerful source for asymmetric organic synthesis.¹ They can serve as chiral auxiliary and also protected functional group to introduce the 1,3-diene fragment into organic molecules. For this purpose (η^4 -2,4-pentadienal)Fe(CO)₃ and its derivatives have been widely used.² However, utilization of (η^4 -1,3-dienecarboxylic acid)Fe(CO)₃ complexes 1 has been little developed³ and only one resolution method of 1 has been hitherto exploited.⁴ We report here a preparation of (η^4 -1,3-dienecarboxylic acid)Fe(CO)₃ complexes 1 and a facile resolution of 1 via esterification with (*S*)-2-octanol.



2097



Scheme 2

Racemic complexes 1 were prepared starting from the Horner-Emmons reaction of the corresponding phosphite and aldehydes as shown in Scheme 1. All the acid complexes **1a-d** are slightly soluble in most organic solvents.

Reaction of enantiomerically pure (S)-2-octanol with (η^4 -2,4-hexadienoyl chloride) Fe(CO)₃, generated in situ by treatment of **1a** with oxalyl chloride in dichloromethane, gave diastereomeric mixtures of esters **2a** and **3a** (1 : 1) in quantitative yields. The diastereomers thus obtained were separated by column chromatography on silica gel using hexane and dichloromethane (1:1) as eluents. Similar treatment of **1b**, **c** with (S)-2-octanol also afforded the corresponding diastereomeric ester complexes **2b**, **c** and **3b**, **c** which were separated in the same manner. No ester complexes were obtained from **1d**. The properties of the diastereomers are listed in Table 1. The structures of the complexes were confirmed by spectroscopy.⁵ Use of (-)-menthol, (+)-neomenthol and 1,2:5,6-di-O-isopropylidene- α -D-glucofuranose in place of (S)-2-octanol gave a mixture of corresponding diastereomeric ester complexes was not effected by column chromatography.

Hydrolyses of the ester complexes thus separated were carried out in ethanol-water (3:1) in the presence of KOH (0.5 M) under reflux to give carboxylic acid complexes 4 and 5 in good yields. The properties of the chiral acid complexes were summarized in Table 2. The specific rotation of the complexes 4a and 5a thus obtained are in fair agreement with those of reported.³ Consequently racemization of the complexes did not occur under these conditions. Under more drastic conditions (2 M KOH) or acidic conditions (4M HCl), racemization and decomposition of the complexes proceeded considerably.

The absolute configuration of the ester complexes was not examined by X-ray analysis because all of the complexes are oily compounds. It is known that the structure of dextrorotatory isomer 5a is the (2S, 5R) configuration (Table 2).^{3b, 4, 6} The structures of the ester complexes 2a and 3a were determined on the basis of the configuration of the acid complexes derived from the ester complexes. Molecular models of the ester complexes 2 and 3 indicate that 3 is more polar than 2. This is in

Complex	Yield ^a /%	Rf value ^b
2a	41	0.42
3a	40	0.37
2b	39	0.40
3b	44	0.36
2c	42	0.46
3c	38	0.41

Table 1. Properties of Ester Complexes

Table 2. Properties of Acid Complexes

[α]²⁰ b Complex Yield^a/% -205 (lit³ -204) 4a 67 +210 (lit³ +213) 5a 75 4h 76 +142 5b 82 -139 4c 65 -222 5c 74 +206

a) Isolated yield.

b) Silica gel, hexane : dichloromethane (1 : 1).

a) Isolated yield.

b) Measured in acetone (C: 0.05-0.1).

accord with the Rf values of the ester complexes. was predicted by their Rf values.

The configuration of the other ester complexes

To get an insight into the configuration of the $(\eta^{4}-1,3\text{-diene})Fe(CO)_3$ fragment, CD spectra of these complexes were measured. As an example, those of 4c and 5c are illustrated in Fig. 1. Greé and the coworkers⁶ reported that the configuration of $(\eta^{4}-1,3\text{-diene})Fe(CO)_3$ fragment is correlated with their CD spectrum and also the specific rotation in the case of $(\eta^{4}-1,3\text{-diene})Fe(CO)_3$ complexes bearing carbonyl and alkyl groups at 1,4-positions. Thus, the complexes having (2S,5R)configuration exhibit a negative band at long wavelength (around 400 nm) and positive rotation values. This empirical rule can be applied to 4a-c and 5a-c, that is, all the complexes 4a-c showed a positive band and 5a-c showed a negative band at 400 nm. However, the relation between Rf values and specific rotations is in opposite sense in the case of 4b and 5b. This disagreement is probably due to a different chromophole of phenyl group.



Fig. 1. CD spectra of 4c and 5c.

The authors thank Arakawa Chemical Industries, LTD. for the gift of (S)-2-octanol.

References and Notes

- 1) Greé, R., Synthesis, 1989, 5, 341.
- 2) For examples. a) Benvegnu. L., Schio, L., LeFloc'h, Y., Greé, R., Synlett., 1994, 505.
 b) Donaldson, W. A., Bell, P. T., Wang, Z., Bennett, D. W., Tetrahedron Lett., 1994, 35, 5829.
- 3) a) de Montarby, L., Mosset, P., Greé, R., Tetrahedron Lett., 1988, 29., 3937. b) Nakanishi, S., Yamamoto, H., Otsuji, Y., Nakazumi, H., Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 1993, 4, 1969.
- 4) Musco, A., Palumbo, R., Paiaro, G., Inorganic Chimica Acta, 1971, 5, 157.
- 5) All new compounds were characterized by their spectral data. Selected data: 1b: Yellow solids. mp; 215-217 °C. IR (KBr) 2064, 2002, 1978, 1669 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ 1.74 (1H, d, J=8.0, Hz, CH=), 2.99 (1H, d, J=9.6, Hz, CH=), 5.91 (1H, dd, J=8.0, 4.8 Hz, CH=), 6.36 (1H, dd, J=9.6, 4.8 Hz, =CH), 7.10-7.55 (5H, m, arom), 10.87 (1H, br, COOH); 1c: Yellow solids. mp; 153-155 °C. IR (KBr) 2063, 2000, 1978, 1674 cm⁻¹.¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ 0.85 (3H, d, J=6.9 Hz, CH₃), 1.23 (1H, d, J=8.5, Hz, CH=), 1.35-1.78 (5H, m, CH=, CH₂), 5.50 (1H, dd, J=8.5, 5.1 Hz, CH=), 5.73 (1H, dd, J=8.5, 5.1 Hz, =CH); 10.54 (1H, br, COOH); 1d: Yellow solids. mp; 192-194 °C. IR (KBr) 2060, 2003, 1982, 1669 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (DMSOd₆) δ 1.56 (1H, d, J=8.6, Hz, CH=), 3.00 (1H, d, J=8.6, Hz, CH=), 5.88 (1H, dd, J=8.6, 5.2 Hz, CH=), 6.06 (1H, dd, J=8.6, 5.2 Hz, =CH), 6.37-6.38 (2H, m, CH=), 7.56 (1H, s, CH=), 10.33 (1H, br, COOH); 2a: IR (Neat) 2058, 1986, 1709 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.87 (3H, d, J=6.5 Hz, CH₃), 1.00 (1H, d, J=8.0, Hz, CH=), 1.18 (3H, d, J=6.5 Hz, CH₃), 1.25-1.55 (11H, m, CH₂, =CH), 1.50 (3H, d, J=6.0 Hz, CH₃), 4.81-4.88 (1H, m, OCH), 5.19 (1H, dd, J=8.0, 4.8 Hz, CH=), 5.74 (1H, dd, J=8.0, 4.8 Hz, =CH).¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 14.04, 19.13, 19.70 (CH₃), 22.57, 25.40, 29.14, 31.75, 35.85 (CH₂), 46.75 (CH), 58.86, 71.15, 82.81, 88.11(C=), 171.86 (C=O), 212.5 (CO); 3a: IR (Neat) 2058, 1988, 1709 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) & 0.87 (3H, d, J=6.5 Hz, CH₃), 1.00 (1H, d, J=8.0 Hz, CH=), 1.18 (3H, d, J=6.5 Hz, CH3), 1.25-1.55 (11H, m, CH2, =CH), 1.50 (3H, d, J=6.0 Hz, CH3), 4.87-4.94 (1H, m, OCH), 5.20 (1H, dd, J=8.0, 4.8 Hz, CH=), 5.76 (1H, dd, J=8.0, 4.8 Hz, =CH). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 14.06, 19.13, 20.08 (CH₃), 22.57, 25.24, 29.10, 31.77, 36.07 (CH₂), 46.55 (CH), 58.88, 70.96, 82.83, 88.15 (C=), 171.86 (C=O), 212.8 (CO);
- 6) Djedaini, F., Greé, D., Martelli, J., Greé, R., Leroy, L., Bolard, J., Toupet, L., Tetrahedron Lett., 1989, 30, 3781.

(Received in Japan 30 June 1995)